

Tauriainen, Andrew@Waterboards

From: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards </O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=O'HAGAN, JOHN@WATER7B72A79A-DD76-4B3C-B470-A3BF3B5BCDD7939>
Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2015 9:36 AM
To: Dadamo, Dorene@Waterboards; Howard, Tom
Cc: Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards; Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards; Coats, Brian@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Water Rights Curtailment Letter

Dee Dee and Tom,

There is an increases in flows as you move downstream on the San Joaquin River. Most of this increased flows are associated with fishery or Delta bypass and/or releases requirements on Merced ID, Turlock Modesto IDs and the Bureau at New Melones. We are using the FNF from Upper San Joaquin above Friant, and at New Melones, New Don Pedro and New Exchequer on the Stanislaus, Tuolumne and Merced rivers, respectively. Contributions from Fresno and other tributaries are insignificant or zero.

The following is USGS flow data for today along the San Joaquin as you move downstream. As evident, the changes in flow are mostly reflective of the contributions we are considering but there be additional drainage returns from day to day. Today ~130 cfs above Vernalis.

Below Friant- 195 cfs
San Mateo Rd. above Mendota- 0 cfs
Below Mendota- 141 cfs (+141 cfs maybe Sacramento River Exchange?)
Fremont Ford Bridge- 79 cfs (-62 cfs)
Above Newman- 111 cfs (+ 32 cfs)
Below Newman- 196 cfs Merced River ~ 85 cfs Note: 3/27/15 Exchequer Inflow- 246 Outflow- 207 cfs Storage Change-39 AFA
Crows Landing - 217 cfs (+21 cfs)
Tuolumne R. at Modesto- 178 cfs Below LaGrange Dam- 171 cfs New Don Pedro Storage Change- -1757 AF
Stanislaus R. at Ripon - 568 cfs Note: Release from New Melones- 1495 cfs, Inflow- 205 cfs, FNF- 392 cfs
Vernalis- 805 cfs 70% from Stanislaus.

I believe Jeanie's clients are above Vernalis and Stanislaus confluence.

Information from: <http://ca.water.usgs.gov/data/waterconditionsmap.html>.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dadamo, Dorene@Waterboards
Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2015 8:17 AM
To: Howard, Tom
Cc: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards; Marcus, Felicia@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards
Subject: Re: Water Rights Curtailment Letter

I should have been more clear-- This was a discussion related to measurement of supply throughout the watershed, not demand.

On Apr 2, 2015, at 8:12 AM, Howard, Tom <Tom.Howard@waterboards.ca.gov> wrote:

DeeDee,

We just issued an order and received responses from the top 90 percent of valley water users asking for their most accurate, up-to-date information. But we will continue to improve our data by working with the water users.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dadamo, Dorene@Waterboards
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 10:29 PM
To: Howard, Tom; O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Cc: Marcus, Felicia@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards
Subject: Re: Water Rights Curtailment Letter

Letter looks good.

I had an interesting meeting with Jeanne Zolezzi regarding curtailment and measurement issues. She indicated many water districts have more accurate info than we have. I imagine this will come up in tomorrow's meeting as well. Please let me know what steps we are taking to incorporate information from others (where appropriate) in order to help ensure accuracy with our supply/demand curves.

Thanks

Dorene D'Adamo
State Water Resources Control Board
(916)341-5607
dorene.dadamo@waterboards.ca.gov

> On 4/1/15, 1:21 PM, "Howard, Tom" <Tom.Howard@waterboards.ca.gov> wrote:

>

> FYI, I would like to post this about noon tomorrow. We sent a less
> ominous letter in early February but it seems appropriate to continue
> to warn people. Let me know if you have any questions or comments.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
> Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 1:17 PM
> To: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards; Howard, Tom
> Cc: Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards; Coats,
> Brian@Waterboards
> Subject: FW: Water Rights Curtailment Letter

>

> Tom and Caren,
> Here is letter. Let me know and we can post today on Drought Lyris.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Hensley, Cindy D.@Waterboards
> Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 1:11 PM
> To: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards
> Subject: RE: Water Rights Curtailment Letter

>
> John and Barbara,
> Here you go,
>
> Cindy D. Hensley
> Executive Assistant
> Division of Water Rights
> (916) 341-5308

>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
> Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 1:03 PM
> To: Hensley, Cindy D.@Waterboards
> Subject: RE: Water Rights Curtailment Letter

>
> Add date.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hensley, Cindy D.@Waterboards
> Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 12:57 PM
> To: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
> Subject: RE: Water Rights Curtailment Letter

>
> John,
> I did not change the format or add a date. Please let me know if you
> need me to do that.
>
> Cindy D. Hensley
> Executive Assistant
> Division of Water Rights
> (916) 341-5308

>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
> Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 12:54 PM
> To: Hensley, Cindy D.@Waterboards
> Cc: Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards
> Subject: FW: Water Rights Curtailment Letter

>
> Cindy,
> Can you put this on SWRCB Executive letterhead. Return to Barbara and I.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
> Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 9:10 AM
> To: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; Howard,

> Tom
> Cc: Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards; Coats, Brian@Waterboards
> Subject: RE: Water Rights Curtailment Letter
>
> Barbara, Caren and Tom,
> Here is clean version. I assume this will be sent via lyris.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards
> Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 7:06 AM
> To: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; Kostyrko,
> George@Waterboards
> Cc: Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards; Coats, Brian@Waterboards
> Subject: RE: Water Rights Curtailment Letter
>
> Agreed..,
>
> George - can you please provide us with a status on the web page as
> soon as you can?
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
> Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 7:00 AM
> To: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards
> Cc: Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards; Coats, Brian@Waterboards
> Subject: RE: Water Rights Curtailment Letter
>
> Caren and Barbara,
> I edited the letter slightly to reflect the Statewide Notice letter date.
> I also edited the letter from "in the coming months" to "soon".
>
> I assume this is to be posted via lyris.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards
> Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2015 7:03 PM
> To: Howard, Tom; Marcus, Felicia@Waterboards
> Cc: Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
> Subject: RE: Water Rights Curtailment Letter
>
> OK...I made a couple of edits to reflect continuing dry conditions.
> Barbara and John - you can take it from here.
>
> _____
> From: Howard, Tom
> Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2015 6:54 PM
> To: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards; Marcus, Felicia@Waterboards
> Cc: Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
> Subject: Re: Water Rights Curtailment Letter
>
> Yes, something like this. We need to qualify that if dry conditions
> persist. Let's not caution riparians unless john thinks it will
> happen. I assume we will target our watersheds.

>
> Please try to get me a final draft tomorrow. I want to run by Gordon,
> et al.
>
> Sent from my iPad
>
>> On Mar 31, 2015, at 6:48 PM, Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards
>> <Caren.Trgovcich@waterboards.ca.gov> wrote:
>>
>> Tom and Barbara - I took a stab at the letter that would be sent. It
>> will need a bit of work since I did this pretty quick.
>> Tom - please let us know if this is along the lines of what you were
>> looking for.
>>
>> Barbara - based on Tom's feedback, please revise...I am going to be
>> pretty tied up tomorrow. Thanks!!
>>
>> CT
>> <CURTAILMENT OF WATER RIGHT DIVERSIONS ct.docx>