

Tauriainen, Andrew@Waterboards

From: Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards </O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MROWKA, KATHY@WATERD1188F18-E359-4DA8-A3F2-FC48F57B907E63A>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2015 2:27 PM
To: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards; Grober, Les@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards
Cc: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards; Grober, Les@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Curtailment info
Attachments: Tuolumne Supply-Demand Graph 2015-05-11.pdf; San Joaquin Basin Supply-Demand Graph 2015-05-11.pdf; Merced Supply-Demand Graph 2015-05-11.pdf; Stanislaus Supply-Demand Graph 2015-05-11.pdf; Draft Upper San Joaquin To Merced Supply-Demand Analysis 2015-05-18.pdf; Draft North SJ Basin Supply-Demand Analysis 2015-05-18.pdf; image005.png; image006.jpg

Here it is.

Attached are the current supply/demand charts for the San Joaquin Basin, and the Stanislaus, Merced, and Tuolumne rivers. Listed below are the rights junior to the red lines on the San Joaquin tributary graphs. If the major river basins are considered separately, these are the cutoff dates:

Merced River above Goodwin Dam – cutoff date is junior to 1857.

Stanislaus River above Crocker-Huffman Dam - cutoff date is junior to 1908.

Tuolumne River above La Grange Dam - cutoff date is junior to 1871.

During the meeting on curtailment of San Joaquin River pre-1914 rights, the parties asked that we run a separate model run with the upper San Joaquin assumed to have no hydraulic connectivity to the San Joaquin River at Merced. The graph (see Draft Upper San Joaquin to Merced graph) includes all rainfall events through 5-13. We are below the pre-1914 water supply now and should curtail all pre-1914s on the San Joaquin River mainstem above the Merced River. We also completed a North SJ Basin River supply graph (Draft North SJ Basin graph) which includes all rainfall events through 5-13. This graph includes the Stanislaus, Tuolumne, Merced, Mokelumne and Cosumnes Rivers and cuts off at the lowermost point where all these sources have joined the San Joaquin River. Staff does not yet have a common date for pre-1914 curtailment, if we curtail the basin upstream of the common point at one common date. The curtailment issue for Friday is whether you prefer curtailment at the common point, or the localized curtailments listed above. Also, should we curtail the mainstem San Joaquin River above Merced? The two new graphs will be distributed to the pre-1914 curtailment meeting participants. The meeting participants previously received the other graphs.

Of course, there is still the general graph for the San Joaquin River. It includes the Millerton supply and Exchange Contractors demand. (see San Joaquin Basin Supply-Demand Graph). This was last updated on the 11th.

Katherine Mrowka, Manager
Enforcement Section
Water Rights
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95814

916-341-5363



From: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2015 8:29 AM
To: Grober, Les@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards
Cc: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Curtailment info

Thanks...also, does the cooler weather and precipitation last week change the recommendations?

From: Grober, Les@Waterboards
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2015 8:27 AM
To: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards
Cc: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Curtailment info

Kathy,

Please send.

Thanks, Les

From: Trgovcich, Caren@Waterboards
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2015 8:16 AM
To: Grober, Les@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards
Cc: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Subject: Curtailment info

Can one of you send me the handout that John had prepared providing the priorities for the next round of curtailments later this week?

Caren Trgovcich
Chief Deputy Director
State Water Board
(916) 341-5727
ctrgovcich@waterboards.ca.gov