

Exhibit CAW-030V

~~KDAA~~
262.5(27-01)



California-American Water Company

Monterey Division
50 Ragsdale Dr., Suite 100, P.O. Box 951 • Monterey, CA 93942-0951

Terry Ryan
Vice President & Manager
443-151

January 17, 2000

Mr. Harry Schueller
Chief, Division of Water Rights
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-2828

RE: SWRCB Order No. WR 95-10
October-December Quarterly Report

Dear Mr. Schueller:

As a condition of the subject order, we are filing herewith our *quarterly* report for the period of October 1, 2000 through December 31, 2000 updating the status of Condition Nos. 2, 3(a), 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 12, including the supporting backup information for each condition.

Enclosed and made part of this report is the *monthly* report required under Condition Nos. 3(b) and 5. Also included are the following data reports:

1. Carmel Valley Wells - Production Water Year
2. Carmel Valley and Seaside Production - Water Year to Date
3. Water Supply and Budget
4. Documentation supporting compliance with Condition No. 9(b), (c) and (d) of Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. 262.5-6 regarding investments and improvements to the Pebble Beach Community Services District.

Sincerely,

Terry Ryan

TDR/sr
Enclosure

Mr. Harry Schueller
SWRCB Order No. WR 95-10
October-December Quarterly Report
January 17, 2001
Page 2

cc: P. Coulston
D. Fuerst
J. Almond
D. Stephenson
M. Lucca
S. Somach, Esq.

J. Driscoll, Esq.
L. Weiss, Esq.
D. Laredo, Esq.
F. Farina, Esq.
D. Armanasco
P. Ma

SWRCB - ORDER NO. WR 95-10
Quarterly Report - October/December 2000

ORDER CONDITION NO. 2

Cal-Am shall diligently implement one or more of the following actions to terminate its unlawful diversions from the Carmel River: (1) obtain appropriate permits for water being unlawfully diverted from the Carmel River, (2) obtain water from other sources of supply and make one-for-one reductions in unlawful diversions from the Carmel River, provided that water pumped from the Seaside aquifer shall be governed by Condition 4 of this Order, not this condition, and/or (3) contract with another agency having appropriate rights to divert and use water from the Carmel River.

Response No. 2.(1):

Cal-Am continues to pursue acquisition of permits to legalize diversions from the Carmel River. Acquiring the appropriate permits for water rights is embodied in the The Draft SEIR - 2 for the Carmel River Dam and Reservoir Project (CRDRP). The environmental review process for the CRDERP project is on hold, as directed by the lead agency (Monterey Peninsula Water Management District) pending the responsible agency's (CPUC) release of the Plan B project description, in the form of recommendations for a preferred resource strategy. The CPUC has indicated this will occur in July of 2001. One of the five components of Plan B "...would legalize a portion of Cal-Am's existing diversion from the Carmel River by acquiring legal right to appropriate Carmel River water, pursuant to Table 13 of SWRCB Decision 1632" (Plan B Component Screening Report p. 6-9). After the Plan B project description is completed, the lead agency will prepare environmental documentation with completion of same expected by December 2001. Final EIR certification for a project (CRDRP or Plan B) is tentatively scheduled for the 2nd quarter of 2001.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 3

- (a) *Cal-Am shall develop and implement an urban water conservation plan. In addition, Cal-Am shall develop and implement a water conservation plan based upon best irrigation practices for all parcels with turf and crops of more than one-half acre receiving Carmel River water deliveries from Cal-Am. Documentation that best irrigation practices and urban water conservation have already been implemented may be substituted for plans where applicable.*
- (b) *Urban and irrigation conservation measures shall remain in effect until Cal-Am ceases unlawful diversions from the Carmel River. Conservation measures required by this Order in combination with conservation measures required by the District shall have the goal of achieving 15 percent conservation in the 1996 water year and 20 percent conservation in each subsequent year.²³ To the extent that this requirement conflicts with prior commitments (allocations) by the District, the Chief, Division of Water Rights shall have the authority to modify the conservation requirement. The base for measuring conservation savings shall be 14,106²⁴ AFA. Water conservation measures required by this order shall not supersede any more stringent water conservation requirement imposed by other agencies.*

Response No. 3(a):

Cal-Am *Urban Water Management Plan* was heard at the January 27, 2000 meeting of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District. Vote for acceptance of the Plan was unanimous with no public opposition.

Cal-Am continues to work with the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District to develop a database of "water budgets" deemed appropriate and necessary usage for Peninsula consumers. This is a requirement of the MPWMD's *Expanded Water Conservation and Standby Water Rationing Plan*.

Response No. 3(b):

For the first three months of the water year October, 2000 through September 2001, the established goal for the Carmel Valley was 2,257.0 AF. Actual production for the three month period from both surface and well diversions was 2,045.4 AF, or 9.38 percent under goal. The overall production, which includes the Seaside Basin was 3,058.0 AF, or 12.01 percent under the total three month system goal of 3,475.2 AF.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 4

Cal-Am shall maximize production from the Seaside aquifer for the purpose of serving existing connections, honoring existing commitments (allocations), and to reduce diversions from the Carmel River to the greatest practicable extent. The long-term yield of the basin shall be maintained by using the practical rate of withdrawal method.

Response No. 4:

During the first three months of water year October 2000 through September 2001, Cal-Am extracted 1,012.6.0 AF. from the Seaside Basin. The plan is to maximize Seaside extraction's up to a goal of 4000 AF. Cal-Am's management of Seaside Basin extraction's is based on the Memo of Agreement between the MPWMD, Cal-Am and California Department of Fish and Game, adopted as part of the MPWMD's Water Supply Strategy by the board of directors. The agreement includes the reducing basin production to absolute minimums during the winter months to allow recharge and maximization of production during the summer months. Cal-Am will continue this water management plan which will assist in maintaining the production goal limits for the Carmel Valley Basin and with the continuation of river flows to the Lagoon.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 5

Cal-Am shall satisfy the water demands of its customers by extracting water from its most downstream wells to the maximum practicable extent, without degrading water quality or significantly affecting the operation of other wells.

Response No. 5:

Cal-Am is including in this 2000-2001 water year quarterly report the monthly production data for December, 2000 from specific sub-units in the Carmel Valley via Carmel Valley wells: Carmel Valley Filter Plant produced 0 AF, with 56.8 AF from Aquifers No. 1 and No. 2; Water West - 0 AF; Aquifer No. 3 - 372.6 AF; Aquifer No. 4 - 204.9 AF. Total production for the month of December was 634.3 AF. Applying an adjustment of -1.1 AF for the Begonia Iron Removal Plant Backwash, brings the net production to 633.2 AF in December 2000.

Status of wells:

Lower Carmel Valley Wells

Rancho Canada - On Line
 San Carlos - On Line
 Cypress - On Line
 Pearce - On Line
 Schulte - On Line
 Manor - On Line
 Begonia #2 - On Line
 Berwick 7 - Out of Service for rehabilitation until further notice.
 Berwick 8 - On Line

Upper Carmel Valley Wells

These wells were operated in accordance with the year 2000 Memorandum of Understanding with Monterey Peninsula Water Management District and the California Department of Fish and Game. An operating synopsis follows:

Russell 2 - On line (nearest wells to Carmel Valley Filter Treatment Plant)
 Russell 4 - On line (nearest wells to Carmel Valley Filter Treatment Plant)

Panetta 1 - Off Line
 Panetta 2 - Off Line
 Garzes 3 - Off Line
 Garzes 4 - Off Line
 Los Laureles 5 - Off Line
 Los Laureles 6 - Off Line
 Scarlett 8 - Off Line
 Robles - Off Line

1/17/01

The wells that are indicated as "off Line" are operated a maximum of 8 hours per month only for maintenance purposes, in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding.

The operating synopsis is indicative of Cal-Am's "summer use" pattern. This operating plan will not change as agreed to in the Memorandum of Understanding until the Carmel River flows greater than 20 cfs at the Garland Park Gauging Station.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 6

Cal-Am shall conduct a reconnaissance level study of the feasibility, benefits, and costs of supplying water to the Carmel Village through the Carmel Valley Filter Plant from its more nearby wells downstream of the plant. The objective of supplying water from the wells is to maintain surface flow in the stream as far downstream as possible by releasing water from San Clemente Dam for maintenance of fish habitat. The results of the study and recommendations shall be provided to the District and DF&G for comment.

Response No. 6:

In accordance with the terms of Order No. 98-04, the Reconnaissance-Level Feasibility Study of the Operational Reconfiguration of Lower Carmel Valley Wells has been completed and was submitted to the State Board on June 21, 1999.

It is our understanding that SWRCB staff will be issuing their findings within the next quarter.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 7

Cal-Am shall evaluate the feasibility of bypassing early storm runoff at Los Padres and San Clemente Dams to recharge the subterranean stream below San Clemente Dam in order to restore surface water flows in the river at an earlier date. The results of the study and recommendations shall be provided to the District and CDF&G for comment.

Response No. 7:

Cal-Am hired Entrix to finalize the subject studies. The completed studies were mailed to the SWRCB on July 5, 2000.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 8

Cal-Am shall conduct a study of the feasibility, benefits, and costs of modifying critical stream reaches to facilitate the passage of fish. The study shall be designed and carried out in consultation with DF&G and the District. The results of the study and recommendations shall be provided to the district and DF&G for comment.

Response No. 8:

Cal-Am hired Entrix to finalize the subject studies. The completed studies were mailed to the SWRCB on July 5, 2000.

ORDER CONDITION NO. 12

Within 90 days of the date of this order, Cal-Am shall submit for the approval of the Chief, Division of Water Rights:

- (a) A compliance plan detailing the specific actions which will be taken to comply with condition 2 and the dates by which those action will be accomplished;*
- (b) An urban water conservation plan;*
- (c) An irrigation management plan.*

Response 12(a):

We were provided information from the CPUC and the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD) that the revised date for release of the Draft SEIR-2 for the Carmel River Dam and Reservoir Project and Plan B for public comment will be yearend 2001.

Development of Plan B, the alternative to the CRDRP, is being managed by the California Public Utilities Commission in response to AB 1182, legislation passed by Assemblyman Keeley. A public workshop was held on December 13, 2000 in Monterey to present the CPUC's Component Screening Report. Five components (Conservation and reclamation, Operational yield from existing reservoirs, water rights, aquifer storage and recovery, and desalination) were offered for public review and comment. Comments were received by the CPUC's consultant, EDAW, Inc. The next scheduled event is assembly and evaluation of the five alternatives; this is due to be completed by April 2001.

CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
 Monterey Division 443
 S.C. DAM & CARMEL VALLEY WELLS
 Production Water Year (AF)
 2000-01

Date	CVFP San Clemente Dam	Aquifer 1 Russell 2 & 4	Aquifer 2 Robles Los Laureles 5 & 6	Water West Paneto 1 & 2 Garza 3 & 4	Aquifer 3 Scarlet/Blenwick 7 & 8 Bryana/Mantz/Schute Pearce/Cypress/San Carlos	Aquifer 4 Rancho Canada	Total Production	BIRP Backwash	Net Production
Oct 2000	3.9	68.6	0.0	0.7	472.4	201.1	754.7	0.5	755.2
Oct 1999	32.0	77.8	0.0	11.1	479.6	224.2	824.7	(1.1)	823.6
Nov 2000	0.0	62.5	0.0	2.4	402.6	189.6	657.1	(0.1)	657.0
Nov 1999	7.3	68.6	0.0	11.0	346.9	225.8	659.6	(2.6)	657.0
Dec 2000	0.0	56.8	0.0	0.0	372.6	204.9	634.3	(1.1)	633.2
Dec 1999	15.0	80.2	0.0	10.7	346.4	240.6	692.9	0.1	693.0
Jan 2001							0.0		0.0
Jan 2000	21.8	70.7	0.0	4.8	601.6	205.3	904.2	(1.0)	903.2
Feb 2001							0.0		0.0
Feb 2000	24.2	55.5	0.0	32.4	685.7	45.0	842.8	(0.1)	842.7
Mar 2001							0.0		0.0
Mar 2000	25.5	70.7	0.0	36.2	875.1	0.0	1,007.5	(0.6)	1,006.9
Apr 2001							0.0		0.0
Apr 2000	35.2	80.8	0.0	34.6	1,070.1	0.1	1,220.8	(0.0)	1,220.8
May 2001							0.0		0.0
May 2000	33.3	83.2	0.0	21.2	1,001.4	1.9	1,141.0	(1.4)	1,139.6
Jun 2001							0.0		0.0
Jun 2000	29.9	77.6	0.0	2.6	714.9	133.8	958.8	(0.3)	958.5
Jul 2001							0.0		0.0
Jul 2000	14.4	82.6	0.0	11.1	705.3	158.9	972.3	(1.2)	971.1
Aug 2001							0.0		0.0
Aug 2000	10.1	80.4	0.0	10.5	718.4	197.3	1,016.7	(1.2)	1,015.5
Sep 2001							0.0		0.0
Sep 2000	11.4	78.1	0.0	10.9	654.5	191.7	946.6	0.0	946.6
Total	3.9	187.9	0.0	11.1	1,247.6	595.6	2,046.1	(0.7)	2,045.4

California-American Water Company
 Monterey Division
 Carmel Valley & Seaside Production
 Water Year to Date 00-01

Month		San Clemente Dam Surface Water	Carmel Valley Wells	Water West Wells	Seaside Wells	TOTAL
10/00	CF	168,809	32,346,950	380,770	16,575,540	49,472,069
	1000 G	1,263	241,972	2,848	123,994	370,077
	AF	3.9	742.6	8.7	380.5	1,135.7
W-Y-T-D	CF	168,809	32,346,950	380,770	16,575,540	49,472,069
	1000 G	1,263	241,972	2,848	123,994	370,077
	AF	3.9	742.6	8.7	380.5	1,135.7
11/00	CF	0	28,513,328	105,170	12,762,292	41,380,790
	1000 G	0	213,295	787	95,469	309,550
	AF	0.0	654.6	2.4	293.0	950.0
W-Y-T-D	CF	168,809	60,860,278	485,940	29,337,832	90,852,859
	1000 G	1,263	455,267	3,635	219,462	679,627
	AF	3.9	1,397.2	11.2	673.5	2,085.7
12/00	CF	0	27,583,352	10	14,770,920	42,354,282
	1000 G	0	206,338	0	110,494	316,832
	AF	0.0	633.2	0.0	339.1	972.3
W-Y-T-D	CF	168,809	88,443,630	485,950	44,108,752	133,207,141
	1000 G	1,263	661,604	3,635	329,956	996,459
	AF	3.9	2,030.4	11.2	1,012.6	3,058.0

WATER SUPPLY STRATEGY AND BUDGET OCTOBER - DECEMBER 2000
Carmel River Reservoirs: Diversion and Release Schedule Assuming Near Normal Inflow Conditions
 (All Values in Acre-Feet, except as Indicated)

	Jan-2000	Feb-2000	Mar-2000	Apr-2000	May-2000	Jun-2000	Jul-2000	Aug-2000	Sep-2000	Oct-2000	Nov-2000	Dec-2000	Total WY 2000
Los Padres Reservoir													
Inflow	6,803	17,491	11,928	3,945	2,604	1,408	814	405	207	246	492	1,722	45,070
Outflow													
Evaporation	4	6	16	35	37	57	53	35	22	13	7	6	195
Spillage	5,827	17,101	11,298	3,315	1,852	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,184
Release (Fish Ladder)	400	374	615	665	616	820	762	510	524	455	308	307	4,643
Release (Outlet)	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	61	0	0	0	0	58
Release (Notch)	0	0	0	0	0	0	476	61	0	0	0	0	476
Total Storage	997	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,566	1,452	1,312	974	752	828	
Beginning of Month	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,569	1,566	1,452	1,312	974	752	828	1,569	
End of Month													
Between Reservoirs													
Inflow	2,489	9,362	6,848	2,176	873	456	174	89	46	54	108	378	22,312
Outflow													
Evapotranspiration	13	13	37	53	74	63	68	58	53	37	21	15	310
Private Usage	2	2	2	6	7	8	8	8	6	5	2	2	16
San Clemente Reservoir													
Inflow	8,501	26,812	18,622	6,027	3,259	1,740	972	533	511	467	394	1,434	68,358
Outflow													
Evaporation	7	4	7	13	17	30	22	13	5	3	2	1	94
Spillage	7,488	26,864	17,506	6,028	2,226	1,026	475	90	149	126	124	911	59,288
Diversion (Filter Plant)	22	24	26	35	33	30	14	31	30	31	30	31	224
Release (Valve)	307	288	307	297	307	297	338	277	208	184	59	123	2,475
Release (Fish Ladder)	816	575	615	695	615	297	81	61	59	61	119	307	3,736
Leakage	61	58	61	59	61	59	61	61	59	61	59	61	543
Total Storage	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	
Beginning of Month	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	
End of Month													
Total Release	8,472	26,784	18,490	6,979	3,210	1,880	936	489	476	433	362	1,403	66,040
Mean Daily Release in cfs	138	486	301	101	62.2	28.2	15.2	8.0	8.0	7.0	6.1	23	
Mean Daily Diversion in cfs	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Mean Daily Diversion from Russell Wells	1.2	1.0	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	

- Notes:
- The minimum pool requirements at Los Padres and San Clemente Reservoirs are 91 acre-feet @ elevation 980 ft and 74 acre-feet @ elevation 515 ft, respectively.
 - Projected inflow for August and September 2000 period is based on the recession of reconstructed unimpaired flows at San Clemente Dam from April 1 to July 25, 2000.
 - Projected inflow for the October 2000 through December 2000 period is based on the expectation that unimpaired flows at San Clemente Dam will equal median historical flows (1959-98).
 - Calculated inflow to San Clemente Reservoir is distributed 82% above Los Padres Dam and 18% between Los Padres and San Clemente Dams.
 - Estimated evaporation is based on average monthly reservoir surface area and gross monthly evaporation rates developed by US Army Corps of Engineers (1981).
 - Diversion rate of 0.5 cfs at San Clemente Dam is for purpose of analysis. Maximum average diversion of 3.0 cfs is allowed during this period, but not expected due to operational constraints at CVFP.