1			
1	ANDREW TAURIAINEN (SBN 214837)		
2	KENNETH PETRUZZELLI (SBN 227192) JOHN PRAGER (SBN 289610)		
3	OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD		
4	1001 I Street, 16 th Floor		
5	Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel: (916) 319-8577		
6	Fax: (916) 341-5896		
7	Email: Kenneth.petruzzelli@waterboards.ca.gov		
	Attorneys for the Prosecution Team		
9	BEFORE THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD		
10	In the matter of Administrative Civil Liability and Cease and Desist Order Testimony of Kyle L. Wooldridge, P.E. McCarthy in Support of Administrative		
11	Against Nancy K. Donovan and Stephen J. Peters Order		
12	J. Peters) Order		
13	I, Kyle L. Wooldridge, P.E., declare as follows:		
14	1. My testimony, herein provided, identifies my personal knowledge of the evidence, actions,		
15	and rationale for the State Water Board (Board) Division of Water Rights' (Division)		
	recommendation to issue an Administrative Civil Liability (ACL) Order and Cease and		
16	Desist Order (CDO) against Nancy K. Donovan and Stephen J. Peters (collectively the		
17	"Diverters"). The ACL Complaint and Draft CDO are attached as Prosecution Team		
18	Exhibit WR-1. ¹		
19	2. I have been an employee of the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board)		
20	for the past 11 years. I am currently employed as a Water Resources Control Engineer. In		
21	that capacity I conduct water rights investigations and prepare enforcement documents. My		
22	statement of qualifications is attached Exhibit WR-6 .		
23	3. I became involved in the enforcement action involving Ms. Donovan and Mr. Peters on or about May 5, 2016. The lead staff in this matter during the initial investigation and at the		
24	time the ACL Complaint and Draft CDO were issued were Mr. Aaron Miller and Mr.		
	Jeffrey Wetzel, who are no longer in the Division of Water Rights Enforcement Section.		
25	Transfer in cases, and are no longer in the Division of which regime Division Divisi		
26			
27	Further references to Prosecution Team exhibits will be "WR-[Exhibit Number]."		
28	Tarmer references to Prosecution ream exhibits will be Wix-[Limitot Ivalider].		

- However, I have reviewed the investigation file they developed as well as the Diverter's original application and have conducted my own investigation.
- 4. The Diverters filed Application 30926 in July of 1999. On August 5, 2010, the Division issued an order cancelling Application 30926 (Cancellation Order), because the Diverters failed to diligently pursue their application. A true and correct copy of the Cancellation Order, is attached as **Exhibit WR-11**.
- 5. On September 15, 2010, Mr. Miller and Mr. Wetzel conducted an on-site inspection of the Diverters' property located at 21451 Highway 128 in Yorkville, and documented their findings in a Report of Inspection. During the inspection, Mr. Peters indicated that he built the reservoirs in the late 1980s. Division staff found both reservoirs were still in place, and were storing water collected annually during the rainy season from an ephemeral Unnamed Stream and Unnamed Springs. Based on measurements taken during the inspection, Reservoir #1 was estimated to have a capacity of 16.4 acre-feet and Reservoir #2 was estimated to have a capacity of 15 acre-feet. The Diverters stated the uses of water at Reservoir #1 are recreation and fire protection, and Reservoir #2 is used for fire protection and irrigation of 8 acres of vineyard. The Diverters informed Division staff that they had not received the Cancellation Order and were unaware that their Application had been canceled. A true and correct copy of the inspection report for September 15, 2010, as well as the maps and photos attached to that report are attached as Exhibits WR-12, WR-13, and WR-14.
- 6. In response to the Diverters assertion that they have not received the Cancellation Order, Mr. Wetzel called the Yorkville Post Office on September 16, 2010 to follow up on delivery of the certified letter containing the Cancellation Order. Post Office staff confirmed the letter had been received by the Post Office on August 11, 2010, and stated that the Post Office had delivered two notices to the Diverters' address that informed them they had certified mail to pick up. Post Office staff also stated they had spoken with the Diverters on September 13, 2011 and notified them of the certified mail. A true and correct copy of the contact report is attached as **Exhibit WR-15**.
- 7. On September 23, 2010, Mr. Wetzel followed up with the Diverters regarding the certified letter. Mr. Peters stated that they had mail delivery problems from the Yorkville Post Office because of the rural location of their home, and they had still not received the letter or any notices to pick up a certified letter. The Diverters called the Yorkville Post Office and discovered the certified letter been sent back to the Division on September 18, 2010. The

- Diverters requested the Division to fax or e-mail a copy of the certified letter and Cancellation Order. True and correct copies of the contact reports are attached as **Exhibit WR-16**, **WR-17**, and **WR-18**.
- 8. On September 27, 2010, the Division received the returned certified letter from the Yorkville Post Office. The returned mail indicates the letter went unclaimed, and attempts to deliver were made on August 11, 2010, September 7, 2010, and September 12, 2010.
 - 9. On September 28, 2010, Division staff emailed the Diverters copies of the Cancellation Order and the certified letter describing the Diverters options. On October 29, 2010, Mr. Wetzel spoke with the Diverters over the phone, and the Diverters confirmed their receipt of the Division's e-mail on September 28, 2010. Mr. Wetzel also notified the Diverters that they may write a letter to the Division petitioning for reconsideration of the Cancellation Order and stating their causes for requesting reinstatement of Application 30926. The Diverters were informed that a petition for reconsideration does not eliminate the potential for enforcement action. A true and correct copy of a contact report documenting these interactions is attached as Exhibit WR-19.
- 10. California Code of Regulations title 23 section 768 requires that a petition be submitted within 30 days of the date of the Order and be based on one or more of the causes listed in that section. As of September 14, 2016, the Division has no record of receiving any petition for reconsideration of the Cancellation Order, nor a Statement of Diversion and Use or new application to appropriate water having been filed by the Diverters.
- 11. On August 9, 2016 I conducted an inspection of the Diverters property, along with Division staff Shay Richardson, an Environmental Scientist, and Chuck Arnold, a Water Resources Control Engineer, and California Department of Fish and Wildlife staff Wesley Stokes, an Environmental Scientist. I confirmed, through both discussion with Mr. Peters and visual observation that both reservoirs are currently operational, and storing water. Mr. Peters confirmed that water has been, and is currently diverted from the Unnamed Tributary and Unnamed Springs during the rainy season and then stored for later use during the dry summer months. A true and correct copy of the report documenting this inspection is attached as Exhibit WR-39.
- 12. The basis of the administrative civil liability complaint is the unauthorized diversion, storage, and use of water by the Diverters since at least the year 1999 for each reservoir, and the failure to file a Statement for each reservoir.

- 13. The unauthorized diversion and use of water constitutes a trespass within the meaning of California Water Code section 1052, subdivision (a), and the failure to file statements constitutes a violation within the meaning of California Water Code section 5107, subdivision (c)(1). Water Code section 1052 provides that the maximum civil liability that can be imposed by the State Water Board in this matter for the unauthorized diversion and use of the water is \$500 for each day of trespass.
- 14. Water Code section 5107 provides that the maximum civil liability for that can be imposed by the State Water Board in this matter for the failure to file statements is \$1,000, plus \$500 per day for each day the violation continues if the person fails to file a statement within 30 days after the Board has called the violation to the attention of that person.
- 15. In Application 30926, Diverters indicated the reservoirs were completed in 1992 and first used in 1993. In the ACL complaint, for the purposes of determining the maximum civil liability that the State Water Board can impose for the unauthorized diversions, Division staff calculated that at least 11 years (4015 days) of continuous violation had occurred in each reservoir between 1999 and the date of the complaint (June 14, 2012), totaling \$4,015,000 (4015 days X \$500/day X 2 reservoirs).
- 16. In the calculation for the ACL complaint of the maximum civil liability that the State Water Board can impose for failure to file Statements of Diversion and Use of Water, Division staff determined that Diverters had failed to file Statements for diversions occurring after January 1, 2009, and that the maximum civil liability that could be considered on the date of the ACL complaint (June 14, 2012) was \$1,000 for each reservoir, totaling \$2,000 (\$1,000 X 2 reservoirs).
- 17. On March 1, 2014 Water Code section 1052 amended the maximum civil liability for unauthorized diversion during a period where the Governor has issued a proclamation of a state of emergency based on drought conditions, to \$1,000 for each day of trespass plus \$2,500 for each acre-foot of water diverted or used in excess of that diverter's water rights.
- 18. Executive Order B-29-15 (Executive Order), issued by Governor Brown on April 1, 2015 found that the on-going severe drought conditions presented urgent challenges across the state, including water shortages for municipal use and for agricultural production, increased wildfire activity, degraded habitat for fish and wildlife, threat of saltwater contamination, and additional water scarcity if drought conditions persist. The Executive Order confirmed that the orders and provisions in the Governor's previous drought proclamations and orders, the Proclamations on January 17, 2014 and April 25, 2014, and Executive Orders B-26-14

- and B-28-14, remained in full force and effect. A true and correct copy of Executive Order B-29-15 is offered into evidence as **Exhibit WR-29**.
- 19. Evidence gathered during the August 9, 2016 site inspection indicates that Diverters have continued to divert and store water in the reservoirs without authorization after the ACL Complaint was issued. Mr. Peters stated that the reservoirs fill after the first heavy rains, and are drained each year in the fall.
- 20. Based on Mr. Peter's statement and precipitation data from CDEC for water years 2012-2016, I estimate that the reservoirs were storing water or filling by January 1, 2013 (WY 2013), March 1, 2014 (WY 2014), January 1, 2015 (WY 2015), and January 1, 2016 (WY 2016). I estimate that the reservoirs held water in storage until at least October 1 in 2013, 2014, and 2015, and observed that the reservoirs were storing water on August 9, 2016. A true and correct copy of precipitation data obtained from CDEC is offered into evidence as Exhibit WR-42.
- 21. Water was collected or stored without authorization in the reservoirs every day between January 1 and October 1 in 2013, March 1 and October 1 in 2014, January 1 and October 1 in 2015, and January 1 and August 9 in 2016, for a total of 273 days before March 1, 2014 and 708 days after March 1, 2014.
- 22. The combined capacity of the reservoirs is approximately 31 acre-feet, and the reservoirs were drained and filled each year between 2013 and 2016. However only diversions to storage after March 1, 2014 are subject to the \$2,500 per acre-foot civil liability under Water Code section 1052. I estimated that the reservoirs were full in 2014 by March 1, so only diversions to fill the reservoirs after they were drained in 2014 can be subject to the \$2,500 per acre-foot civil liability. The reservoirs have been filled and drained two times totaling at least 62 acre-feet of water diverted to storage in excess of their water right after March 1, 2014.
- 23. The maximum civil liability the State Water Board can impose for unauthorized diversions in 2013 is \$273,000 (273 days X \$500/day X 2 reservoirs)
- 24. The maximum civil liability the State Water Board can impose for unauthorized diversions in 2014, 2015, and 2016 under the enhanced penalty for unauthorized diversions during a drought emergency is \$1,416,000 (708 days X \$1,000/day X 2 reservoirs) plus \$155,000 (62 acre-feet X \$2,500/acre-foot) totaling \$1,571,000.
- 25. In determining the amount of civil liability, California Water Code section 1055.3 requires that the State Water Board consider all relevant circumstances, including, but not limited to,

26

27

28

- the extent of harm caused by the violation, the nature and persistence of the violation, the length of time over which the violation occurs, and any corrective action taken by the violator.
- 26. For the ACL Complaint, Division staff considered the following factors to determine a proposed civil liability of \$40,000:
 - a. Diverters' unauthorized diversions have reduced the amount of water available for the Northern California Coastal steelhead trout fishery and other riparian habitat;
 - b. Diverters received an economic advantage over other legitimate water diverters in the area by foregoing the costs of acquiring an appropriative water right or securing alternative sources of water. Division staff estimated a typical cost to pump groundwater of \$198 per acre-foot, and calculated that Diverters avoided pumping a minimum of 166 acre-feet, saving \$32,868 over 10 years prior to the ACL complaint;
 - c. Diverters failed to diligently pursue Application 30926 even after they were made aware of the actions needed to continue processing of the application and given ample time to take those actions;
 - d. Diverters failed to file a statement of Diversion and Use even after they were made aware of the need to do so in the Order Canceling Application 30926, and given ample time to take those actions; and
 - e. Division staff estimated the staff cost to review the project and develop enforcement documents to be \$5,511 and estimated additional staff costs of approximately \$10,000 to prosecute the case should it go to hearing.
- 27. The Diverters have not taken corrective action in the four years since the ACL Complaint was issued, and have confirmed that the reservoirs are operated in same manner they were operated when the ACL Complaint was issued;
- 28. Diverters' unauthorized diversions since the ACL Complaint was issued continue to reduce the amount of water available for the Northern California Coastal steelhead trout fishery and other riparian habitat;
- 29. The Diverters continued to gain an economic advantage over other legitimate water diverters by foregoing the costs of acquiring an appropriative water right or securing alternative sources of water.
- 30. Diverters' unauthorized diversions since the ACL Complaint was issued would have a maximum civil liability of \$1,844,000.

1	31.	Staff cost to prepare for a hearing and prosecute this case are estimated to be \$10,000.
		These costs are in addition to the staff cost of \$5,511 estimated for the initial review of the
2		project and development of enforcement documents.
3	32.	Authentication of Exhibits from the Enforcement File: I have reviewed the enforcement and
4		permit file for this matter. The Prosecution Team Exhibits contain true and correct copies of
5		the following from the Enforcement file:
6		1. WR-20. 2012.06.20 ACL-CDO Affidavits for Service
7		 WR-21. 2012.06.27 Request for Service of process DON WR-22. 2012.06.28 email Yvonne West to Stephen Peters re water right resources
8		4. WR-23. 2012.06.29 email Stephen Petrucci to Yvonne West5. WR-24. 2012.07.06 Request for Hearing submitted by Stephen J. Peters
9		6. WR-25. 2012.07.18 Request for Hearing submitted by Nancy K. Donovan
10		7. WR-27. 2015.02.23 Advance Courtesy Notice of Tentatively Scheduled Public Hearing8. WR-28. 2015.03.13 Notice of Public Hearing
		9. WR-30. 2015.04.10 Notice of Postponement of Public Hearing Donovan & Peters
11		10. WR-31. 2015.11.13 H. Team email to Ms. Donovan re availability to attend hearing 11. WR-32. 2016.05.03 H. Team email to Ms. Donovan re availability to attend hearing
12		12. WR-33. 2016.05.12 email Ms. Donovan to Kenneth Petruzzelli re inspection
13		13. WR-34. 2016.05.13 H. Team email to Prosecution Team and Ms. Donovan regarding status of tentatively rescheduled hearing
14		14. WR-35. 2016.05.15 email Nancy Donovan to Kenneth Petruzzelli re enforcement action
15		15. WR-36. 2016.07.11 email Hearing Team to Donovan re availability for hearing date 16. WR-41. 2016.08.23 Donovan-Peters Stream Class Memo
16		17. WR-43. Water Code section 1055.3 factors
		18. WR-44. Annual Water Use Calculation 19. WR-45. Navarro Base Flow 2003-2005
17		20. WR-46. Navarro Base Flow 2006-2010
18		21. WR-47. Navarro River flow gage and precipitation data 22. WR-48. Reservoir annual water use calculations
19		23. WR-49. Sample Costs to Establish a Vineyard and Produce Winegrapes, 2009, p.5
20		24. WR-50. Sample Costs to Establish a Vineyard and Produce Winegrapes, 2010, p. 725. WR-62. 2016.07.21Notice of Rescheduled Public Hearing
21		
22		
23	I decla	are under penalty of perjury to the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true
24	and co	rrect. Executed on September 14, 2016 at Sacramento, California.
25		
26		Kyle Wooldridge
27		y 0010110B0
28		