

36/535

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
2005 OCT 20 PM 12:30
DIV. OF WATER RIGHTS
SACRAMENTO

Written Comment Form
Public Scoping Meeting
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
FOR
UPPER NORTH FORK FEATHER RIVER HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION

State Water Resources Control Board

Your input is important to us; please use this comment sheet to provide input on issues, alternatives and mitigation measures to be evaluated in the Draft EIR. Your comments will assist in preparation of the Draft EIR. Please include your name and address below to receive a copy of the Draft EIR.

First, I would appreciate learning more of THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD. If the Board is an advisory group only or a rule with control effectiveness would be great for me to know. Also, I would like to learn if the Board is made up of geographical representation as well as experts in water resources would be of interest to me.

I do believe whole heartedly that the "curtain" approach to cooling the water of the No. Fork of the Feather River in Lake Almanor does not make sense. We all know that the temperature of the whole lake varies year to year depending on the heat of the summer and the snow pack from the previous winter. Normal fishing in the lake is also a factor based on the same facts plus others such as spawning fish. If, by raising the water temperature down the No. Fork some twenty five miles by a few degrees is to resurrect a dwindling species of trout that has dwindled over the past 50 years does not add up to what the "curtain" approach will do to alter the dwindling of that species. Not to mention the effort on fish in Lake Almanor.

If, however, we are interested in more water for the Delta region so that more clean water can be shipped to dryer southern Calif., I will wholeheartedly back the finishing of the Auburn Dam and possibly a feeder reservoir down the Feather River.
Additional space? Please use other side.

Name* Charles P. Webb
Address 
City/State/Zip Code 

Comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, will be made available for public review. Individual respondents may request their home address be withheld from public disclosure. Please check this box if you wish your name and/or address withheld from public disclosure.

Please submit your comments at the Sign-in Station or send your comments to:

Sharon Stohrer
State Water Resources Control Board
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000
or
via e-mail to: 2105comments@nsrnet.com

To ensure that your comments are considered in the Draft EIR, comments must be received by
October 17, 2005.