

OTC Nuclear Review Committee 9-23-11 Meeting Summary

Committee Chair	
Jonathan Bishop	SWRCB
Committee Members	
David Asti	Southern California Edison
David Barker	San Diego Regional Water Board
Dominic Gregorio	SWRCB
Jim Caldwell	CEERT
Mark Krausse	Pacific Gas and Electric
Melissa Jones	California Energy Commission
Peter Von Langen (listening in only)	Central Coast Regional Water Board
Rochelle Becker	Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility
Tom Luster	California Coastal Commission
Staff in Attendance	
Joanna Jensen	SWRCB
Laurel Warddrip	SWRCB
Marleigh Wood	SWRCB
Renan Jauregui	SWRCB
Public in Attendance	
Bryan Cunningham	Pacific Gas and Electric
Julian Isham	Shaw Group
Maury Ktwth	FERC
Siddhartha R. Oza	NRDC

1. Welcome Introductions and Updates

- Wire mesh video presented by Dominic Gregorio
- SACCWIS meeting next Thursday 1pm, their last meeting considered 2 recommendations: unit to unit compliance for fossil fuel plants, and 1 time submittal of info (not on an annual basis). Board yet to decide on these recommendations.
- NPDES program funding to write permits for 5 of the 17 power plant permits. For phase one of two phases: 3 in Central Coast (Region 3) (scheduled: mid summer 2013) and 2 in Los Angeles Region (scheduled: end spring 2013).
- February 8 2012 tour of Diablo Canyon available through the Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee

Action Item: broken Region 3 link needs to be fixed

Action Item: NRC Inspectors list to needs to go out to group

2. Overview of agenda

No changes needed

3. Review and approve meeting notes

Edit: Jonathan Bishop being nominated change to being voted in as chair

4. Review and discuss special studies draft scope of work

Part 1 - General

Committee needs to ensure that the goal of the scope of work is met, that goal being that each potential technology is evaluated for cost/benefit and what the possible Impingement and Entrainment reduction each may offer (“feasibility”), the scope seems to eliminate technologies before this evaluation is done. The board needs to see a broad range of alternatives. The scope can be seen as “stage 1” where reasonable feasibility/cost and a

list of these alternatives needs to be developed and then in “stage 2” is where the results of the studies will be presented to the board for recommendations on requirements for the 2 nuclear power plants. Construction is NOT the result of this scope.

Part 2 – Scope Line Edits

The group made many edits to the draft, and some recommendations for edits to be made later by the SWRCB. The intent of these edits was to clarify the scope to meet the general goal (Part 1 above) more of a guide to evaluate cost/benefit than screening criteria for the technologies to be considered or not. Please see red line/strike out of the scope for details.

The committee will oversee work done by the independent third party through meetings at critical junctures in the process. Tentative schedule:

Year One (Tentative)

- ITP Contract begins – January 30 2012
- First meeting with committee - February 2012
- Interim meeting - (Summer 2012) draft report from ITP due outlining feasibility of technologies specified in the scope. Committee will determine which technologies to focus on
- Year closing meeting – February 2013 discuss draft report

Year Two (Tentative)

- Beginning of year meeting - February 2013 discuss draft report
- Interim meeting - June 30 cleanup draft report
- Final meeting with committee September/October - **Final** report due from ITP October 1, 2013 (tentative)

5. Discuss and prepare draft report

Report posted on October 1 for public comment. It will have incorporated edits to the scope/recommendations made by the committee. Nuclear facilities need to have final scope before early November (November 15th at latest).

6. Public comments

NRDC – as much time to review the scope when goes to public comment. This is an issue of great interest to NRDC.

7. Next committee meeting

Action item: schedule for early November

8. Adjourn