Update on Implementation Plans Submitted by Merchant Generators and Next Steps

Statewide Advisory Committee on Cooling Water Intake Structures for Implementation of the Policy on the Use of Coastal and Estuarine Waters for Power Plant Cooling

Tuesday, July 5, 2011 Sacramento

Dominic Gregorio.

Division of Water Quality

State Water Resources Control Board



Implementation of OTC Policy

Date	Event
October 1, 2010	OTC Policy Effective
November 30, 2010	SWRCB sends letter to generators requesting that they submit implementation plans
December 31, 2010	CAISO and LADWP submits grid reliability reports
February 7, 2011	Informational stakeholder meeting to address questions on the implementation plans and required interim measures
April 1, 2011	Generators submit implementation plans
April 8, 2011	First meeting of SACCWIS
October 1, 2011	SACCWIS reviews the implementation plans and report their recommendations to the SWRCB

Content of Implementation Plans

The Implementation Plan must include:

- The selected compliance alternative (retirement, Track 1, or Track 2);
- Measures to implement the alternative (dry cooling, wet cooling, other);
- An implementation schedule; and
- Other requested information



Overview of Implementation Plans for Merchant Fossil-fueled Power Plants

- 14 affected facilities in total
- Three of the 14 facilities are already in compliance:
 - Humboldt Bay re-powered with air cooling
 - Potrero retired
 - South Bay retired
- The remaining 11 facilities have 35 powergenerating units currently using OTC

Overview of Implementation Plans for Merchant Fossil-fueled Power Plants

- 2 out of 35 units will retire (Contra Costa)
- 2 out of 35 units will either retire or switch to Track 1 - wet cooling (Pittsburg)
- 19 out of 35 units will either retire or switch to Track 1 - dry cooling (El Segundo, Alamitos, Huntington Beach, Redondo Beach, and Units 1-3 of Encina)
- 12 out of 35 units will either retire or pursue Track 2 controls (Moss Landing, Morro Bay, Mandalay, Ormond Beach, and Units 4&5 of Encina)



- 24 out of 35 units will meet compliance deadlines
- 11 out of 35 units requested extensions
 - Extensions range from 2 to 16 years longer than current policy deadlines



Facility	Owner	Unit	SWRCB Compliance Date	New Proposed Compliance Date	Report of Waste Discharge Required	Design Flow (MGD)	Existing Capacity (MW)
Contra Costa	GenOn	6	12/31/2017	2013	No	440	337
		7	12/31/2017				337
Pittsburg	GenOn	5	12/31/2017		Yes	506	312
		6	12/31/2017				317
Mandalay	GenOn	1	12/31/2020		No	253	215
		2	12/31/2020				215
Ormond Beach	GenOn	1	12/31/2020			685	741
		2	12/31/2020		No		775

Facility	Owner	Unit	SWRCB Compliance Date	New Proposed Compliance Date	Report of Waste Discharge Required	Design Flow (MGD)	Existing Capacity (MW)
Moss Landing	Dynegy	1	12/31/2017	2032	361 756	510	
		2	12/31/2017			301	510
		6	12/31/2017		730	865	754
		7	12/31/2017				
Morro Bay	Dynegy	3	12/31/2015		Yes	668	325
		4	12/31/2015				325

Facility	Owner	Unit	SWRCB Compliance Date	New Proposed Compliance Date	Report of Waste Discharge Required	Design Flow (MGD)	Existing Capacity (MW)
Alamitos	AES	1	12/31/2020	2022	495	207	175
		2	12/31/2020				175
		3	12/31/2020			392	332
		4	12/31/2020				
		5	12/31/2020			674	498
		6	12/31/2020				
Huntington Beach	AES	1	12/31/2020		227	514	226
		2	12/31/2020				226
		3	12/31/2020				225
		4	12/31/2020				
Redondo Beach	AES	5	12/31/2020	2022	496	217	179
		6	12/31/2020				175
		7	12/31/2020			675	493
		8	12/31/2020				

Facility	Owner	Unit	SWRCB Complianc e Date	New Proposed Compliance Date	Report of Waste Discharge Required	Design Flow (MGD)	Existing Capacity (MW)
		1	12/31/2017				106
	NRG	2	12/31/2017		329	857	103
Encina		3	12/31/2017				109
		4	12/31/2017				299
		5	12/31/2017				
El Segundo	NRG	3	12/31/2015	2011	Yes	399	335
		4	12/31/2015	2017			335

Questions?



