Buckhorn Mountain Mine, Washington

Buckhorn Mountain Mine

- North central Washington State – Okanogan Valley
- Underground gold mine
- Ore trucked to Republic, WA – tailings
- Originally planned for open pit
- 59% potentially acid-generating waste rock
- Settlement with Kinross – agreement for independent monitoring with Okanogan Highlands Alliance
Independent Monitoring Goal

- Provide input about the adequacy of the hydrogeologic and water quality monitoring of the mine and associated mitigations
- Suggest modifications to monitoring and adaptive management plans that will help ensure protection of the environment
## Background and Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sep-06</td>
<td>Construction of Buckhorn Mine began</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2007</td>
<td>Dewatering began</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan-09</td>
<td>Development rock excavation began; discharge to Outfall 002 began</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-08</td>
<td>First annual meeting and reporting due (missed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-08</td>
<td>Okanogan Highlands Alliance (OHA) and Kinross/Crown Resources (Kinross) agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-08</td>
<td>Discharge to Outfalls 003 and 004 began</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-08</td>
<td>First meeting with WDOE, WDFW, Kinross, and OHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-09</td>
<td>Second annual meeting with WDOE, WDFW, Kinross, and OHA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Buckhorn gold mine fined $40,000 for violating water quality permit

YAKIMA – Crown Resources Corporation has been fined $40,000 for violating its water quality permit at the Buckhorn Mountain gold mine near Republic, Wash., in Okanogan County.

The Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) has cited the company for failing to adequately capture and treat water from the mine operation, violating the company’s NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination) permit. The mine discharges treated mine water and stormwater to both surface and groundwater, including Gold Bowl, Nicholson, and Marias creeks.

Ecology’s interpretation of data indicates seepage from the mine workings has been detected in groundwater monitoring wells, and stream samples and springs downstream from the mine.
Mine Site – Elevated Nitrate/Ammonia

Stratus Consulting, 2009
Locations with Elevated Nitrate and/or Ammonia Concentrations
Increasing Nitrate Concentrations

INFL = WWTP influent from mine; EFFL = WWTP effluent to streams, groundwater

Data from Washington Dept. of Ecology website
Increasing Ammonia in Effluent

Data from Washington Dept. of Ecology website
Increasing Sulfate Concentrations

Data from Washington Dept. of Ecology website
Locations with Elevated Sulfate Concentrations
Increasing Chloride Concentrations

Data from Washington Dept. of Ecology website
Locations with Elevated Chloride Concentrations

Stratus Consulting, 2009
Increasing Chloride in Groundwater Outside Capture Zone

Notes:
1. Groundwater quality criterion for chloride is 250 mg/L

Golder Assoc., Mar. 2009
Mine Inflow Water Quality: Measured vs. Predicted

- Southwest Zone (bold >2x; yellow>10x)
  - Sulfate, aluminum, arsenic, fluoride, lead, manganese, nitrate, and zinc higher than predicted
  - Compared to Revised Worst Case for Southwest Zone Operational, Table 6 Engineering Report
- Makes treatment more difficult than expected
Measured vs. Predicted Flow Issues

- Measured low flows (fall/winter) are often substantially lower than modeled baseflows
- FEFLOW model does not adequately simulate pre-mining conditions
- Need precipitation data from the mine and historic streamflows as input to model
Figure 1. Comparison of Measured and Predicted Flows at SW-4, Lower Gold Creek: 2005-2008

*Modeled low flows are substantially larger than the measured flows*

Stratus Consulting, 2009
Summary

- Increased nitrate, ammonia, chloride in groundwater related infiltration of treated effluent from surge pond and outfall 002 infiltration trench
- Increased sulfate related to oxidation of sulfides from blasting and mining
- Capture zone isn’t capturing all mine water - violation
- Actual water quality in treatment plant inflow is much higher than predicted
- Measured flows in streams are often higher than predicted – need on-site meteorological station
- Need to increase dewatering and monitoring wells to improve capture