WHEREAS

1. The State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) is designated as the state water pollution control agency for all purposes stated in the Clean Water Act, including water quality control planning and waste discharge regulation.

2. The State Water Board is responsible for adopting state policy for water quality control, which may consist of water quality principles, guidelines, and objectives deemed essential for water quality control.

3. On May 4, 2010, the State Water Board adopted the statewide “Water Quality Control Policy on the Use of Coastal and Estuarine Waters for Power Plant Cooling” (Policy) under Resolution No. 2010-0020. The Policy was approved by the Office of Administrative Law on September 27, 2010 and became fully effective on October 1, 2010.

4. The Policy establishes uniform, technology-based standards to implement federal Clean Water Act section 316(b), which requires that the location, design, construction, and capacity of cooling water intake structures reflect the best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact.

5. The Policy applies to 16 existing power plants located along the California coast, and is implemented through National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits, issued pursuant to Clean Water Act Section 402, which authorize the point source discharge of pollutants to navigable waters. The Policy originally affected 19 Once-Through Cooling (OTC) power plants, but three of these plants have ceased all once-through cooling operations since adoption of the Policy.

6. The Statewide Advisory Committee on Cooling Water Intake Structures (SACCWIS) was convened pursuant to the Policy, composed of representatives from the California Air Resources Board, the California Coastal Commission, the California Energy Commission, the California Public Utilities Commission, the California State Lands Commission, the California Independent System Operator, and the State Water Board. The purpose of SACCWIS is to review implementation plans and schedules and to advise the State Water Board on Policy implementation, in order to ensure that the implementation schedule takes into account local area and grid reliability, including permitting constraints.
7. The Policy was amended on July 19, 2011, making changes to existing Policy compliance dates for the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) on a unit by unit basis rather than facility-wide basis. The changes allowed LADWP to commit to earlier deadlines than required for some of its OTC power-generating units, while meeting deadlines later than required. The amendments also included additional requirements for studies and implementation of technologies to reduce impingement and entrainment for facilities with compliance dates beyond 2022.

8. On September 29, 2011, SACCWIS adopted a report recommending that the State Water Board modify the Policy’s implementation schedule to reflect compliance on a unit-by-unit basis. As SACCWIS formulates recommendations for specific changes to the implementation schedule, it may do so on a unit-by-unit rather than facility-wide basis.

9. The State Water Board and Regional Water Quality Control Boards (Regional Water Boards) are authorized to issue NPDES permits to point source dischargers in California, including power plants subject to the Policy.

10. Section 1.N of the Policy placed the entire responsibility of all NPDES permit actions on the State Water Board for all existing power plants subject to this Policy. The State Water Board staff developed a schedule for permit adoption, starting with the older permits first. Due to the large number of OTC Power Plants, and minimal resources, the requirement has prevented the adoption of NPDES Permits on an efficient and timely manner.

11. The State Water Board has determined that it is in the best interest of the marine and estuarine life to have an NPDES Permit adopted by a power plant’s corresponding Regional Water Boards as it has been in the past. It prevents delays in adoption of permits since more permits can be adopted by simultaneous Regional Water Boards. In addition, the Regional Water Boards are more aware of all the local water quality issues and concerns. Therefore, it is more effective to have the Regional Water Boards issue the NPDES Permits, and to ensure consistency on the OTC issues and implementation, it is appropriate for the Regional Water Boards to consult with State Water Board staff, when appropriate.

12. The Resources Agency has approved the State Water Board’s water quality control planning process as a “certified regulatory program” that adequately satisfies the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements for preparing environmental documents. A Substitute Environmental Document (SED) is used in place of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) as CEQA environmental documentation.
13. State Water Board staff has prepared a Draft Staff Report for the amendment that contains the required environmental documentation under the State Water Board’s CEQA regulations. (California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 3777). The changes to the Policy do not lead to new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified environmental effects. Therefore, an addendum to the Final SED adopted with the Policy on May 4, 2010 has been prepared for this Policy amendment, explaining why no new environmental effects will result.

14. The Health and Safety Code section 57004 requires external scientific peer review of the scientific basis for any rule proposed by any board, office, or department within the California Environmental Protection Agency. However, because this amendment is strictly administrative and is not based on any scientific data, peer review requirements do not apply.

15. Consistent with CEQA, the State Water Board considers that the Draft Staff Report does not engage in speculation, but rather analyzes the project and the alternatives to the project, and concludes that the project will not result in any additional environmental impacts.

16. An amendment to a policy for water quality control does not become effective until adopted by the State Water Board and until the regulatory provisions are approved by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL).

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The State Water Board:

1. Approves the Draft Staff Report and as an addendum to the 2010 Final SED of the Water Quality Control Policy on the Use of Coastal and Estuarine Waters for Power Plant Cooling.

2. Adopts the amendment (Appendix A of the Draft Staff Report) to the Water Quality Control Policy on the Use of Coastal and Estuarine Waters for Power Plant Cooling.

3. Authorizes the Executive Director or designee to submit the amendment to OAL for review and approval.

4. Directs the Executive Director or designee to make minor, non-substantive modifications to the language of the amendment, if OAL determines during its approval process that such changes are needed, and inform the State Water Board of any such changes.
5. Directs staff to solicit annual updates covering any substantive changes to implementation plans or compliance schedules for each of the power plants subject to the Water Quality Control Policy on the Use of Coastal and Estuarine Waters for Power Plant Cooling, if appropriate.

6. Directs staff to bring any appropriate modifications to the implementation schedule, including modifications on a unit-by-unit basis, to the State Water Board for its consideration.”
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