STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

ORDER WQ 2014-0018-UST

In the Matter of Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10 and the Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy

BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:1

By this order, the Executive Director directs closure of the underground storage tank (UST) case at the site listed below, pursuant to subdivision (a) of section 25296.10 of the Health and Safety Code.² The name of the responsible party, the site name, the site address, the Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Fund) claim number if applicable, the lead agency, and case number are as follows:

ExxonMobil Refining and Supply (Responsible Party) Signature Cinemas (Responsible Party) Mobil (Former) Ukiah 612 South State Street, Ukiah, Mendocino County North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, Case No. 1TMC579

I. STATUTORY AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Upon review of a UST case, the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) is authorized to close or require closure of a UST case where an unauthorized release has occurred, if the State Water Board determines that corrective action at the site is in compliance with all of the requirements of subdivisions (a) and (b) of section 25296.10. The State Water Board, or in certain cases the State Water Board Executive Director, may close a case or require the closure of a UST case. Closure of a UST case is appropriate where the

¹ State Water Board Resolution No. 2012-0061 delegates to the Executive Director the authority to close or require the closure of any UST case if the case meets the criteria found in the State Water Board's Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy adopted by State Water Board Resolution No. 2012-0016.

² Unless otherwise noted, all references are to the California Health and Safety Code.

corrective action ensures the protection of human health, safety, and the environment and where the corrective action is consistent with: 1) Chapter 6.7 of division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and implementing regulations; 2) Any applicable waste discharge requirements or other orders issued pursuant to division 7 of the Water Code; 3) All applicable state policies for water quality control; and 4) All applicable water quality control plans.

State Water Board staff has completed a review of the UST case identified above, and recommends that this case be closed. The recommendation is based upon the facts and circumstances of this particular UST case. The UST case record that is the basis for determining compliance with the Water Quality Control Policy for Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closures (Low-Threat Closure Policy or Policy) is available on the State Water Board's GeoTracker database.

URL: http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile report.asp?global id=T0604599393

Low-Threat Closure Policy

In State Water Board Resolution No. 2012-0016, the State Water Board adopted the Low-Threat Closure Policy. The Policy became effective on August 17, 2012. The Policy establishes consistent statewide case closure criteria for certain low-threat petroleum UST sites. In the absence of unique attributes or site-specific conditions that demonstrably increase the risk associated with residual petroleum constituents, cases that meet the general and media-specific criteria in the Low-Threat Closure Policy pose a low-threat to human health, safety, and the environment and are appropriate for closure under Health and Safety Code section 25296.10. The Policy provides that if a regulatory agency determines that a case meets the general and media-specific criteria of the Policy, then the regulatory agency shall notify responsible parties and other specified interested persons that the case is eligible for case closure. Unless the regulatory agency revises its determination based on comments received on the proposed case closure, the Policy provides that the agency shall issue a uniform closure letter as specified in Health and Safety Code section 25296.10. The uniform closure letter may only be issued after the expiration of the 60-day comment period, proper destruction or maintenance of monitoring wells or borings, and removal of waste associated with investigation and remediation of the site.

Health and Safety Code section 25299.57, subdivision (I)(1) provides that claims for reimbursement of corrective action costs that are received by the Fund more than 365 days after the date of a uniform closure letter or a letter of commitment, whichever occurs later, shall not be reimbursed unless specified conditions are satisfied.

2

II. FINDINGS

Based upon the facts in the UST record and the hydrogeologic conditions at the site, the State Water Board finds that corrective action taken to address the unauthorized release of petroleum at the UST release site identified as:

ExxonMobil Refining and Supply (Responsible Party) Signature Cinemas (Responsible Party) Mobil (Former) Ukiah 612 South State Street, Ukiah, Mendocino County North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, Case No. 1TMC579

ensures protection of human health, safety, and the environment and is consistent with Chapter 6.7 of division 20 of the Health and Safety Code, and implementing regulations, the Low-Threat Closure Policy and other water quality control policies and applicable water quality control plans.

Pursuant to the Low-Threat Closure Policy, notification has been provided to all entities that are required to receive notice of the proposed case closure, a 60-day comment period has been provided to notified parties, and any comments received have been considered by the State Water Board in determining that the case should be closed.

Pursuant to section 21080.5 of the Public Resources Code, environmental impacts associated with the adoption of this Order were analyzed in the substitute environmental document (SED) the State Water Board approved on May 1, 2012. The SED concludes that all environmental effects of adopting and implementing the Low Threat Closure Policy are less than significant, and environmental impacts as a result of adopting this Order in compliance with the Policy are no different from the impacts that are reasonably foreseen as a result of the Policy itself. A Notice of Decision was filed August 17, 2012. No new environmental impacts or any additional reasonably foreseeable impacts beyond those that were addressed in the SED will result from adopting this Order.

The UST case identified above may be the subject of orders issued by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) pursuant to division 7 of the Water Code. Any orders that have been issued by the Regional Water Board pursuant to division 7 of the Water Code, or directives issued by a Local Oversight Program (LOP) agency for this case should be rescinded to the extent they are inconsistent with this Order.

3

III. ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

A. The UST case identified in Section II of this Order, meeting the general and mediaspecific criteria established in the Low-Threat Closure Policy, be closed in accordance with the following conditions and after the following actions are complete. Prior to the issuance of a uniform closure letter, the responsible party is ordered to:

1. Properly destroy monitoring wells and borings unless the owner of real property on which the well or boring is located certifies that the wells or borings will be maintained in accordance with local or state requirements;

 Properly remove from the site and manage all waste piles, drums, debris, and other investigation and remediation derived materials in accordance with local or state requirements; and

3. Within six months of the date of this Order, submit documentation to the regulatory agency overseeing the UST case identified in Section II of this Order that the tasks in subparagraphs (1) and (2) have been completed.

- B. The tasks in subparagraphs (1) and (2) of Paragraph (A) are ordered pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25296.10 and failure to comply with these requirements may result in the imposition of civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25299, subdivision (d)(1). Penalties may be imposed administratively by the State Water Board or Regional Water Board.
- C. Within 30 days of receipt of proper documentation from the responsible party that requirements in subparagraphs (1) and (2) of Paragraph (A) are complete, the regulatory agency that is responsible for oversight of the UST case identified in Section II of this Order shall notify the State Water Board that the tasks have been satisfactorily completed.
- D. Within 30 days of notification from the regulatory agency that the tasks are complete pursuant to Paragraph (C), the Deputy Director of the Division of Water Quality shall issue a uniform closure letter consistent with Health and Safety Code section 25296.10, subdivision (g) and upload the uniform closure letter to GeoTracker.

- E. Pursuant to section 25299.57, subdivision (I) (1), and except in specified circumstances, all claims for reimbursement of corrective action costs must be received by the Fund within 365 days of issuance of the uniform closure letter in order for the costs to be considered.
- F. Any Regional Water Board or LOP agency directive or order that directs corrective action or other action inconsistent with case closure for the UST case identified in Section II is rescinded, but only to the extent the Regional Water Board order or LOP agency directive is inconsistent with this Order.

Executive Director





State Water Resources Control Board

UST CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY

Agency Information

Agency Name: North Coast Regional Water Quality	-
Control Board (Regional Water Board)	Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Agency Caseworker: Craig Hunt	Case No.: 1TMC579

Case Information

Global ID: T0604599393
Site Address: 612 South State Street
Ukiah, CA 95482 (Site)
Address:
4096 Piedmont Avenue #194
Oakland, CA 94611
999 Fifth Avenue, Suite 340
San Rafael, CA 94901
Number of Years Case Open: 6

URL: http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report.asp?global_id=T0604599393

Summary

The Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy (Policy) contains general and media-specific criteria, and cases that meet those criteria are appropriate for closure pursuant to the Policy. This Case meets all of the required criteria of the Policy.

The release at the Site was discovered in January 2007 during a phase two site investigation. The Site was operated as a service station from 1941 to 1960. The former service station appears to have included underground storage tanks (USTs), station building, dispenser islands, and a service bay. There are sufficient borings located within the UST and service bay location that demonstrate USTs have been removed from the Site. After 1974, the service station parcel was incorporated into the adjacent larger parcel and converted to a parking area for a movie theater. Currently the Site is operated as a parking lot for the Regal Ukiah Stadium 6 Theaters. Remediation at the Site is limited to a December 2009 groundwater extraction event which removed approximately 2,700 gallons of contaminated groundwater. Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel, gasoline, and motor oil are the only constituents of concern in soil and groundwater. Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes are not routinely observed in groundwater samples above water quality objectives.

The petroleum release is limited to the shallow soil and shallow groundwater. The affected groundwater is not currently being used as a source of drinking water or for any other

FELICIA MARCUS, CHAIR | THOMAS HOWARD, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95314 | Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100, Sacramento, Ca 95812-0100 | www.waterboards.ca.gov



designated beneficial use, and it is highly unlikely that the affected groundwater will be used as a source of drinking water or for any other beneficial use in the foreseeable future. A potential receptor survey identified Doolan Creek located approximately 1,300 feet to the southeast of the Site. The closest supply well is City of Ukiah Well No. 7 which is located approximately 2,100 feet east/southeast of the Site. Public supply wells are usually constructed with competent sanitary seals and intake screens that are in deeper more protected aquifers. Remaining petroleum constituents are limited, stable, and declining. Remedial actions have been implemented and further remediation would be unnecessary and costly. Additional assessment/monitoring will not likely change the conceptual model. Any remaining petroleum constituents do not pose significant risk to human health, safety or the environment under current conditions.

Rationale for Closure under the Policy

- General Criteria Site MEETS ALL EIGHT GENERAL CRITERIA under the Policy.
- Groundwater Media-Specific Criteria Site meets the criterion in **CLASS 1**. The plume of petroleum constituents in groundwater is less than 100 feet as defined by shallow monitoring wells MW-1, MW-5S, and MW-9. The total petroleum hydrocarbons in the deeper groundwater zone were recently reported as non-detect.
- Petroleum Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Site meets **CRITERIA (2) b**. State Water Resources Control Board staff evaluated site conditions for the vapor intrusion pathway and demonstrates that human health is protected.
- Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure Site meets CRITERIA (3) a. Maximum concentrations of petroleum constituents in soil are less than or equal to those listed in Table 1 of the Policy. Naphthalene concentrations in the upper 10 feet are below utility worker thresholds. Total soil concentrations for the seven carcinogenic poly-aromatic hydrocarbons are below commercial/industrial thresholds.

Recommendation for Closure

The corrective action performed at this Site ensures the protection of human health, safety, the environment and is consistent with Chapter 6.7 of the Health and Safety Code and implementing regulations, applicable state policies for water quality control and the applicable water quality control plan, and case closure is recommended.

Prepared By: ______ Steve McMasters, PG No. 8054 Engineering Geologist

Senior Engineering Geologist

By: ______Mallate____

10/2/13

Date

Reviewed By: ______Reviewed By: _____Reviewed By: ___

10/2/13

Date