# STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD BOARD MEETING SESSION – OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL JUNE 7, 2006

## **ITEM 11**

#### SUBJECT

PETITION OF CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY (TECHNICAL REPORT ORDER FOR 4000 PORTOLA DRIVE, SANTA CRUZ), CENTRAL COAST REGION. SWRCB/OCC FILE A-1343

#### DISCUSSION

On May 20, 2004, the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) adopted Order No. WQO 2004-0005, a non-precedential order vacating a technical report order issued by the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Coast Water Board) on November 9, 2000. The technical report order required Chevron Products Company (Chevron) to take investigative and other actions at a site on which Chevron previously operated a service station. Order No. WQO 2004-0005 concluded that evidence in the record did not support the requirements in the technical report order. After the State Water Board vacated the technical report order, Bob Rudolph Enterprises filed a petition for writ of administrative mandamus with the California Superior Court, County of Santa Cruz (Court).

On March 21, 2006, the Court issued an order granting petition for writ of administrative mandamus. The Court found that the Central Coast Water Board's technical report order is unclear as to actions required of Chevron and the statutory authority under which the Central Coast Water Board ordered those actions. The Court order directs the State Water Board to remand the matter to the Central Coast Water Board for clarification on these points. The draft order now under consideration would remand the matter in compliance with the Court order.

#### POLICY ISSUE

Should the State Water Board adopt the proposed order remanding the technical report order to the Central Coast Water Board?

**FISCAL IMPACT** 

None.

**REGIONAL BOARD IMPACT** 

Central Coast Water Board

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Adopt the draft order as proposed.

### DRAFT

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

### **ORDER WQ 2006-**

In the Matter of the Petition of

#### CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY

For Review of
Technical Report Order For
4000 Portola Drive, Santa Cruz
Issued by the
California Regional Water Quality Control Board,
Central Coast Region

## **SWRCB/OCC FILE A-1343**

#### BY THE BOARD:

On March 21, 2006, the Superior Court for the County of Santa Cruz (Court) issued an order granting petition for writ of administrative mandamus in the matter of *Bob Rudolph Enterprises, Inc. v. State Water Resources Control Board*, Case No. CV 149342 (Attached). By order of the Court, State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Order No. WQO 2004-0005 is hereby withdrawn. The matter of the petition of Chevron Products Company for review of a technical report order for 4000 Portola Drive, Santa Cruz is remanded to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region (Central Coast Water Board).

The Central Coast Water Board is directed to comply with the Court's order by revising its technical report order of November 9, 2000, specifically stating what actions are being ordered and stating the statutory authority to order each required action. By order of the Court, the Central Coast Water Board's revised order shall be based upon the record before it as of November 9, 2000.

This Order is not precedential.

## ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that WQO 2004-0005 is set aside for further proceedings consistent with this Order.

## **CERTIFICATION**

The undersigned, Clerk to the Board, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of an order duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the State Water Resources Control Board held on June 7, 2006.

AYE:		
NO:		
ABSENT:		
ABSTAIN:		
	DRAFT	
	Song Her Clerk to the Board	

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

1.7

18 19

20

27

28

GLYNN & FINLBY, LLP PATRICK L. FINLEY, Bar No. 70827 ANDREW T. MORTL, Bar No. 177876 JEFFERY L. PODAWILTZ, Bar No. 191369 One Walnut Creek Center 3 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 210-2800 5 Facsimile: (925) 945-1975

Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Chevron U.S.A., Inc.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

LWV MA' #INIONOO

FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

BOB RUDOLPH ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Petitioner.

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL 15 BOARD, et al.,

Respondents,

Case No. CV 149342 FACSIMILE

ORDER GRANTING PETITION FOR WRIT OF ADMINISTRATIVE

MANDAMUS

Hearing: February 1, 2006

Time; 8:30 a.m.

21 This matter came regularly before this court on February 1, 2006, for hearing in 22 Department 5 of the Superior Court, the Honorable Samuel Stevens presiding. John Gallagher of

23 Bosso Williams appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Anita E. Rund, Deputy Attorney General,

appeared on behalf of respondent State Water Resources Control Board, and Jeffery L. Podawiltz 24

appeared on behalf of real party in interest Chevron U.S.A., Inc. 25

26 The record of the administrative proceedings having been received into evidence and examined by the court, judicial notice having been given to the materials submitted by the parties and no additional evidence having been received by the court, arguments having been

NO. 819

presented, the court made the following determinations:

2 1. The Court finds that the order of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, 3 Central Coast Region dated November 9, 2000 ("the Order") is unclear as to whether the Order requires Chevron U.S.A., Inc. to conduct further investigation at the site only, cleanup only, or both. Further, the referenced statutory authority 5 in the Order is not consistent with the language of the Order. Accordingly, the б Court finds that the Order requires clarification and directs State Water Resources 7 Control Board to set aside its Order of May 20,2004 and remand the matter to the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region, for clarification 9 and a revised Order based on the record before the Regional Board on November 10 9, 2000. The revised order from the Regional Board should indicate specifically 11 what is being ordered of the interested parties with reference to the statutory 12 13 authority for such an order,

- Because of the ambiguities of the Regional Board's Order of November 9, 2000, 14 2. the decision of the State Water Resources Control Board of May 20, 2004 does 15 not adequately set forth the applicable law supporting its decision. Accordingly, 16 the State Water Resources Control Board is directed to review its May 20, 2004 17 decision in light of the revised order that the Regional Board has been directed to 18 issue (see above). The State Water Resources Control Board's revised order shall 19 be based on the record before it at the time the original order of May 20, 2004 20 . was issued as supplemented only by the revised order issued by the Regional 21 22 Board as discussed above.
- This Court shall retain jurisdiction for further review of this matter. 23 3. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED that:
- A peremptory writ of mandate shall issue commanding the State Water Resources 25 1. Control Board, and through it the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region, 26 to review and revise their respective orders as set forth herein. 27

28 ///

1	. 2. The issue of the puries costs shall await the further review by this Court of the	
2	revised Orders-fiden the administrative agencies.	
3 4	Date: March 21, 2006	A
5	Ā.	udge of the Superior Court
6	v	samuels stetens
7	Approved es to form;	
200	State of Callifornia, State Water Resources Control B	bard
8	By: Down	
10	John Davidson, Deputy Afformer General	
1,1	Attorneys for the State Water Resources Control Bos	atd.
12		9) 5/4 NSA
19		The A Martin
14	Glynnek-Finley, LLP	يت الكوي
15	By. John Pollman	ال الإسوال . الله الإسوال .
16	Jelle Pottawiltz, Eso	
1.7	To Chevion U.S.A., Inc.	·
18	. Kira	or 4 M
1,9	Bosso, Willistins, Saghs, Atack; Gallagher & Sanford	i)
20	Constitution of the contract o	· ·
27.	By: Gow for	,
12	John, M. Gallagder, Esq. Automeys for Bob Rudolph Esterprises, Inc.	1 th 2017
23		
对.		<i>₹</i> "?_
25		A
25		
27		
		**.
28		