



California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Coast Region



Linda S. Adams
Secretary for
Environmental Protection

895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101, San Luis Obispo, California 93401
(805) 549-3147 • Fax (805) 543-0397
<http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast/>

Arnold Schwarzenegger
Governor

ORDER NO. R3-2010-0008
NPDES NO. CA0048682
WDID No. 3 442004003

**WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
FOR CEMEX USA, INC.
DAVENPORT CEMENT PLANT**

The following Discharger is subject to waste discharge requirements as set forth in this Order.

Table 1. Discharger Information

Discharger	Cemex USA, Inc.
Name of Facility	Davenport Cement Plant
Facility Address	700 Highway 1
	Davenport, CA 95017
	Santa Cruz County
The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the Regional Water Quality Control Board have classified this discharge as a minor discharge.	

Discharges by the **Davenport Cement Plant** from the discharge points identified below are subject to waste discharge requirements as set forth in this Order:

Table 2. Discharge Location

Discharge Point	Effluent Description	Discharge Point Latitude	Discharge Point Longitude	Receiving Water
001	Non-contact cooling water	37° 00' 54" N	122° 12' 02" W	Natural drainage which discharges to Pacific Ocean 200 feet downstream
003	Non-contact cooling water	37° 00' 41" N	122° 12' 43" W	Usually pumped to Discharge Point 001

Table 3. Administrative Information

This Order was adopted by the Regional Water Quality Control Board on:	March 18, 2010
This Order shall become effective on:	March 18, 2010
This Order shall expire on:	March 18, 2015
The Discharger shall file a Report of Waste Discharge in accordance with Title 23, California Code of Regulations (CCR), as application for issuance of new waste discharge requirements no later than:	180 days before the Order's expiration date

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that this Order supersedes Order No. R3-2005-0038 except for enforcement purposes, and, to meet the provisions contained in division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13000) and regulations adopted thereunder, and the provisions of the federal CWA and regulations and guidelines adopted thereunder, the Discharger shall comply with the requirements in this Order.

I, Roger Briggs Executive Officer, do hereby certify that this Order, with all attachments, is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the Regional Water Board on March 18, 2010.

Roger W. Briggs, Executive Officer

Table of Contents

I.	Facility Information	4
II.	Findings.....	4
III.	Discharge Prohibitions.....	9
IV.	Effluent Limitations and Discharge Specifications	9
	A. Effluent Limitations – Discharge Points 001 and 003.....	9
V.	Receiving Water Limitations	13
	B. Groundwater Limitations	14
VI.	Provisions.....	14
	A. Standard Provisions.....	14
	B. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Requirements	14
	C. Special Provisions.....	14
	1. Reopener Provisions.....	14
	2. Special Studies, Technical Reports and Additional Monitoring Requirements.....	15
	3. Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention	16
	4. Construction, Operation and Maintenance Specifications.....	18
	4. Construction, Operation and Maintenance Specifications.....	18
	5. Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTWs Only)	18
	6. Other Special Provisions.....	18
	7. Compliance Schedules	18

List of Tables

Table 1.	Discharger Information	1
Table 2.	Discharge Location.....	1
Table 3.	Administrative Information	1
Table 4.	Facility Information.....	4
Table 5.	Basin Plan Beneficial Uses.....	6
Table 6.	Ocean Plan Beneficial Uses	6
Table 6.	Effluent Limitations for Conventional and Non-Conventional Pollutants	9
Table 7.	Effluent Limitations for Toxic Pollutants – Discharge Points 001 and 003	10
Table 9.	Toxicity Reduction Evaluation—Schedule	16

List of Attachments

Attachment A – Definitions	A-1
Attachment B – Map.....	B-1
Attachment D – Standard Provisions.....	D-1
Attachment E – Monitoring and Reporting Program	E-1
Attachment F – Fact Sheet.....	F-1

I. FACILITY INFORMATION

The following Discharger is subject to waste discharge requirements as set forth in this Order:

Table 4. Facility Information

Discharger	Cemex USA, Inc.
Name of Facility	Davenport Cement Plant
Facility Address	700 Highway 1
	Davenport, California 95017
	Santa Cruz County
Facility Contact, Title, and Phone	Kenny O’Connell (831) 458-5775
Mailing Address	700 Highway 1, Davenport, CA 95017
Type of Facility	Cement production plant
Facility Design Flow	Point 001: 0.8 million gallons per day (MGD)
	Point 003: 0.07 MGD

II. FINDINGS

The Regional Water Board finds:

A. Background. CEMEX USA, Inc. (hereinafter the Discharger) discharges once-through cooling water, stormwater, and dust control water pursuant to Order No. R3-2005-0038 and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CA0048682. The Discharger submitted a Report of Waste Discharge, dated November 2, 2009, and applied to renew its NPDES permit to discharge up to 0.8 MGD (average dry weather flow, 30-day average) of wastewater from the Davenport Cement Plant (Plant). The application was deemed complete on November 15, 2009, by Regional Water Board staff.

For the purposes of this Order, references to the “discharger” or “permittee” in applicable federal and State laws, regulations, plans, or policy are held to be equivalent to references to the Discharger herein.

B. Facility Description. The Plant site includes two ponds: the first (the Pond) captures stormwater for reuse in the Plant and the second captures stormwater runoff.

The Discharger uses the Pond to supply water for the cement manufacturing process. The other stormwater collection pond is not engineered or lined but clarifies the influent stormwater runoff to some extent. During wet weather, this pond sometimes overflows to a nearby storm drain and discharges at Discharge Point 001. The Discharger pumps sanitary waste to the Davenport Sanitation District Wastewater Lagoon for treatment and disposal.

The Discharger controls the volumes of non-contact cooling water (up to 60,000 gallons-per-day (gpd)) and stormwater (estimated at up to 180,000 gpd) with groundwater (up to 180,000 gpd) to minimize the concentration of fluvic acid

substances added by the groundwater. (Fluvic acid substances cause effluent chronic toxicity.) The discharge may also include up to 20,000 gpd of excess dust control water. When needed, the Discharger injects carbon dioxide (CO₂) into Discharge No. 001 (defined below) to control the pH.

Attachment B provides a map of the area around the Plant and disposal ponds.

- C. Legal Authorities.** This Order is issued pursuant to CWA section 402 and implementing regulations adopted by the USEPA and Chapter 5.5, Division 7 of the California Water Code (CWC), commencing with section 13370. It shall serve as an NPDES permit for point source discharges from this facility to surface waters. This Order also serves as waste discharge requirements pursuant to article 4, chapter 4, division 7 of the CWC, commencing with section 13260.
- D. Background and Rationale for Requirements.** The Regional Water Board developed the requirements of this Order based on information submitted as part of the application, through monitoring and reporting programs, and other available information, including regular site inspections. The Fact Sheet (Attachment F), which contains background information and rationale for the Order's waste discharge requirements, is hereby incorporated into this Order and constitutes part of the Findings for this Order. Attachments A through E are also incorporated into this Order.
- E. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).** Pursuant to Water Code section 13389, this action to adopt an NPDES permit is exempt from the provisions of the CEQA, Public Resources Code sections 21100-21177.
- F. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations.** CWA Section 301 (b) and USEPA's NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.44 require that permits include, at a minimum, conditions meeting applicable technology-based requirements and any more stringent effluent limitations necessary to meet applicable water quality standards. Discharges authorized by this Order must meet minimum federal technology-based requirements based on Secondary Treatment Standards established at 40 CFR Part 133 and Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) in accordance with 40 CFR 125.3. The Fact Sheet (Attachment F) provides the basis and rationale for development of technology-based effluent limitations.
- G. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations.** CWA Section 301 (b) and NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.44 (d) require that permits include limitations more stringent than applicable federal technology-based requirements where necessary to achieve applicable water quality standards.

NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.44 (d)(1)(i) mandate that permits include effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at levels with the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard, including numeric and narrative objectives within a standard. Where reasonable potential is established for a pollutant, but there is no numeric criterion or objective for the pollutant, water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) must be established using: (1) USEPA criteria guidance under CWA section 304 (a), supplemented where necessary by other relevant information; (2) an indicator parameter for the pollutant of concern; or (3) a

calculated numeric water quality criterion, such as a proposed State criterion or policy interpreting the State's narrative criterion, supplemented with other relevant information, as provided at 40 CFR 122.44 (d)(1)(vi).

H. Water Quality Control Plans. The Regional Water Board has adopted a *Water Quality Control Plan for the Central Coast Region* (the Basin Plan) that designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and contains implementation programs and policies to achieve those objectives for receiving waters within the Region. In addition, the Basin Plan implements State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Resolution No. 88-63, which establishes State policy that all waters, with certain exceptions, are suitable or potentially suitable municipal or domestic drinking water supplies. Beneficial uses established by the Basin Plan for the Pacific Ocean are presented in Table 5, below.

Table 5. Basin Plan Beneficial Uses

Discharge Point	Receiving Water Name	Beneficial Use(s)
001	Pacific Ocean	a. Contact (REC-1) and Non-contact (REC-2) water recreation; b. Industrial process supply (PRO) c. Navigation (NAV); d. Aquaculture (AQUA) ; e. Spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (SPWN); f. Marine habitat (MAR); g. Shellfish harvesting (SHELL); h. Commercial and sport fishing (COMM); i. Wildlife habitat (WILD); and, j. Migration of aquatic organisms (MIGR).

This Order's waste discharge requirements implement the Basin Plan. The Order should thereby ensure regulated waste discharges do not impair or threaten to impair the beneficial uses of the Pacific Ocean.

I. California Ocean Plan. The State Water Board adopted the *Water Quality Control Plan for the Ocean Waters of California, California Ocean Plan* (Ocean Plan) in 1972 and amended it in 1978, 1983, 1988, 1990, 1997, 2000, and 2005. The State Water Board adopted the latest amendment on April 21, 2005 and it became effective on February 14, 2006. The Ocean Plan applies, in its entirety, to point source discharges to the Pacific Ocean. The Ocean Plan identifies the following beneficial uses of State ocean waters.

Table 6. Ocean Plan Beneficial Uses

Discharge Point	Receiving Water	Beneficial Uses
001	Pacific Ocean	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Industrial Water Supply ● Water Contact and Non-Contact Recreation, including Aesthetic Enjoyment ● Navigation ● Commercial and Sport Fishing

Discharge Point	Receiving Water	Beneficial Uses
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aquaculture • Preservation and Enhancement of Designated Areas of Special Biological Significance (ASBS) • Rare and Endangered Species • Marine Habitat • Fish Migration • Fish Spawning and Shellfish Harvesting

To protect beneficial uses, the Ocean Plan establishes water quality objectives and implementation programs to achieve and maintain those objectives. This Order's requirements implement the Ocean Plan.

J. Alaska Rule. On March 30, 2000, USEPA revised its regulation that specifies when new and revised State and tribal water quality standards (WQS) become effective for CWA purposes [65 Fed. Reg. 24641 (April 27, 2000) (codified at 40 CFR 131.21)]. Under the revised regulation (also known as the Alaska Rule), new and revised standards submitted to USEPA after May 30, 2000 must be approved by USEPA before being used for CWA purposes. The final rule also provides that standards already in effect and submitted to USEPA by May 30, 2000 may be used for CWA purposes, whether or not approved by USEPA.

K. Stringency of Requirements for Individual Pollutants. This Order contains both technology-based and water quality-based effluent limitations for individual pollutants. As discussed in section IV. B of the Fact Sheet, the Order establishes technology-based effluent limitations for total suspended solids (TSS), settleable solids, oil and grease, turbidity, and pH for Discharge Point 001. These technology-based limitations implement the minimum applicable federal technology-based requirements. These limitations are not more stringent than required by the CWA.

WQBELs have been scientifically derived to implement water quality objectives that protect beneficial uses. The water quality objectives and beneficial uses implemented by this Order are contained in the Basin Plan and the 2005 Ocean Plan, which was approved by USEPA on February 14, 2006. These water quality objectives and beneficial uses are the applicable water quality standards pursuant to 40 CFR 131.21 (c)1) and have been approved pursuant to federal law. WQBELs for toxic pollutants are derived using procedures established by the Ocean Plan.

All beneficial uses and water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan and Ocean Plan were approved under State law and submitted to and approved by USEPA prior to May 30, 2000. Any water quality objectives and beneficial uses submitted to USEPA prior to May 30, 2000, but not approved by USEPA before that date, are nonetheless "applicable water quality standards for purposes of the CWA" pursuant to 40 CFR 131.21 (c)1). Collectively, this Order's restrictions on individual pollutants are no more stringent than required to implement the requirements of the CWA.

L. Antidegradation Policy. NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 131.12 require that State water quality standards include an antidegradation policy consistent with the federal policy. The State Water Board established California's antidegradation policy in State Water Board

Resolution No. 68-16, which incorporates the federal antidegradation policy where the federal policy applies under federal law. Resolution No. 68-16 requires that the existing quality of waters be maintained unless degradation is justified based on specific findings. The Basin Plan implements and incorporates by reference both the State and federal antidegradation policies. As discussed in Section III.C.5 of the Fact Sheet, the permitted discharge is consistent with the antidegradation provisions of 40 CFR 131.12 and State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16.

- O. Anti-Backsliding Requirements.** CWA sections 402 (o) (2) and 303 (d) (4) and NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.44 (l) prohibit backsliding in NPDES permits. These anti-backsliding provisions require effluent limitations in a reissued permit to be as stringent as those in the previous permit, with some exceptions where limitations may be relaxed. All effluent limitations in this Order are at least as stringent as the effluent limitations in the previous Order.
- P. Endangered Species Act.** This Order does not authorize any act that results in the taking of a threatened or endangered species or any act that is now prohibited, or becomes prohibited in the future, under either the California Endangered Species Act (Fish and Game Code sections 2050 to 2097) or the federal Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C.A. sections 1531 to 1544). This Order requires compliance with effluent limits, receiving water limits, and other requirements to protect the beneficial uses of waters of the State. The Discharger is responsible for meeting all requirements of State and federal law regarding threatened and endangered species.
- Q. Monitoring and Reporting.** NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.48 require that all NPDES permits specify requirements for recording and reporting monitoring results. Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 also authorize the Regional Water Board to require technical and monitoring reports. The Monitoring and Reporting Program, provided as Attachment E to the Order, establishes monitoring and reporting requirements to implement federal and State requirements.
- R. Standard and Special Provisions.** Standard Provisions, which apply to all NPDES permits in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41, and additional conditions applicable to specified categories of permits in accordance with 40 CFR 122.42, are provided in Attachment D. The Discharger must comply with all standard provisions and with those additional conditions that are applicable pursuant to 40 CFR 122.42. The Regional Water Board has also included in this Order special provisions applicable to the Discharger. A rationale for the special provisions contained in this Order is provided in the attached Fact Sheet.
- S. Notification of Interested Parties.** The Regional Water Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for the discharge and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations. Details of notification are provided in the Fact Sheet of this Order.

T. Consideration of Public Comment. The Regional Water Board, in a public hearing, heard and considered all comments pertaining to the discharge. Details of the public hearing are provided in the Fact Sheet of this Order.

III. DISCHARGE PROHIBITIONS

- A.** Discharge of treated wastewater at a location or in a manner other than as described by this Order at Discharge Point 001 and Discharge Point 003 is prohibited.
- B.** Discharge of any waste in any manner other than as described by this Order, excluding storm water regulated by General Permit No. CAS000001 (Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activities), and excluding the reuse of treated wastewater in accordance with California Water Code sections 13500 – 13577 (Water Reclamation) and California Code of Regulations title 22, sections 60301 – 60357 (Water Recycling Criteria), is prohibited.
- C.** Creation of a condition of pollution, contamination, or nuisance, as defined by Section 13050 of the CWC Water Code, is prohibited.
- D.** The overflow or bypass of wastewater from the Discharger’s collection, treatment, or disposal facilities and the subsequent discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater, except as provided for in Attachment D, Standard Provision I. G (Bypass), is prohibited.
- E.** Discharges of sludge, residues, or any other wastes into surface waters or into any area where they may enter surface water, are prohibited.
- F.** Average dry weather effluent flow from Discharge Point 001 shall not exceed 0.8 MGD and from Discharge Point 003 shall not exceed 0.07 MGD.

IV. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS

A. Effluent Limitations – Discharge Points 001 and 003

1. Final Effluent Limitations

- a. Conventional and Non-Conventional Pollutants.** The Discharger shall maintain compliance with the following effluent limitations at Discharge Points 001 and 003, with compliance measured as described in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP).

Table 7. Effluent Limitations for Conventional and Non-Conventional Pollutants

Constituent	Units	Effluent Limits			
		Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Maximum Daily	12-Month Moving Avg
Grease and Oil	mg/L	30	40	75	---
TSS	mg/L		---	50 ¹	---

Constituent	Units	Effluent Limits			
		Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Maximum Daily	12-Month Moving Avg
	lbs/day			168 ²	
pH	s.u.	6.0 – 9.0 at all times			
Flow, Point 001	MGD	.8	---	---	---
Flow, Point 002	MGD	0.07	---	---	---
Temperature	°F	---	---	74	---
Settleable solids	mL/L	1.0	1.5	3.0	

¹ This limit shall not apply during storms greater than the 10-year, 24-hour duration storm.

² For flows less than 0.4 MGD, mass emission rates shall not exceed the “Maximum Allowable Mass Emission Rate.”

- b. The average monthly percent removal of TSS shall not be less than 85 percent.
- c. **Toxic Pollutants.** The Discharger shall maintain compliance with the following effluent limitations for toxic pollutants at Discharge Point 001, with compliance measured at Monitoring Location EFF-001, as described in the attached MRP.

Table 8. Effluent Limitations for Toxic Pollutants – Discharge Points 001 and 003

	Limiting Concentrations			
	Units of Measurement	6-Month Median	Daily Maximum	Instantaneous Maximum
Arsenic	µg/L	18	90	230
Cadmium	µg/L	3	12	30
Chromium (Hex) ¹	µg/L	6	24	60
Copper	µg/L	5	30	86
Lead	µg/L	6	20	60
Mercury	µg/L	0.12	0.48	1.2
Nickel	µg/L	15	60	150
Selenium	µg/L	45	180	450
Silver	µg/L	1.78	8.08	20.7
Zinc	µg/L	40	220	584
Cyanide ²	µg/L	3	12	30
Total Chlorine Residual ³	µg/L	6	20	180
Ammonia (expressed as N)	µg/L	1,800	7,200	18,000
Acute Toxicity	TUa	-	1.0	-
Chronic Toxicity	TUc	NA	3	NA
Phenolic Compounds (nonchlorinated)	µg/L	90	360	900
Chlorinated Phenolics	µg/L	3	12	30
Endosulfan	µg/L	0.027	0.05	0.08

	Limiting Concentrations			
	Units of Measurement	6-Month Median	Daily Maximum	Instantaneous Maximum
Endrin	µg/L	0.006	0.01	0.018
HCH	µg/L	0.01	0.02	0.036
Radioactivity	Not to exceed limits specified in Title 17, Division 1, Chapter 5, Subchapter 4, Group 3, Article 3, Section 30269 of the California Code of Regulations.			

- Dischargers may at their option meet this limitation as a total chromium limitation.
- If a Discharger can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Regional Board (subject to EPA approval) that an analytical method is available to reliably distinguish between strongly and weakly complexed cyanide, effluent limitations for cyanide may be met by the combined measurement of free cyanide, simple alkali metal cyanides, and weakly complexed organometallic cyanide complexes. In order for the analytical method to be acceptable, the recovery of free cyanide from metal complexes must be comparable to that achieved by Standard Methods 412F, G, and H (Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. Joint Editorial Board, American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Pollution Control Federation. Most recent edition.).
- Water quality objectives for total chlorine residual applying to intermittent discharges not exceeding two hours, shall be determined through the use of the following equation:

$$\log y = -0.43 (\log x) + 1.8$$

where: y = the water quality objective (in µg/L) to apply when chlorine is **being discharged**;
 x = the duration of uninterrupted chlorine discharge in minutes.

OBJECTIVES FOR PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH - NON CARCINOGENS		
Chemical	Units of Measurement	30-day average
Acrolein	µg/L	660
Antimony	µg/L	3.6x 10 ³
Bis (2-chloroethoxy) methane	µg/L	13.2
Bis (2-chloroisopropyl) ether	µg/L	3.6x 10 ³
Chlorobenzene	µg/L	1,710
Chromium (III)	µg/L	5.7 x 10 ⁵
Di-n-butyl phthalate	µg/L	1 x 10 ⁹
Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	1.5 x 10 ⁵
Diethyl phthalate	µg/L	1 x 10 ⁹
Dimethyl phthalate	µg/L	2.4 x 10 ⁶
4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol	µg/L	660
2,4-dinitrophenol	µg/L	10
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	1 x 10 ⁹
Fluoranthene	µg/L	45
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	µg/L	170
Nitrobenzene	µg/L	4.5 x 10 ⁵
Thallium	µg/L	10
Toluene	µg/L	2.5 x 10 ⁵
Tributyltin	µg/L	4.2 x 10 ⁻³
1,1,1-trichloroethane	µg/L	1.62 x 10 ⁶

OBJECTIVES FOR PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH – CARCINOGENS		
Chemical		30-day average
Acrylonitrile	µg/L	0.3

OBJECTIVES FOR PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH – CARCINOGENS		
Chemical		30-day average
Aldrin	µg/L	6.6 x 10 ⁻⁶
Benzene	µg/L	17.7
Benzidine	µg/L	2.1 x 10 ⁻⁴
Beryllium	µg/L	0.01
Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	µg/L	0.135
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	µg/L	10
Carbon tetrachloride	µg/L	2.7
Chlordane	µg/L	6.9 x 10 ⁻⁶
Chlorodibromomethane	µg/L	25.8
Chloroform	µg/L	390
DDT	µg/L	5.1 x 10 ⁻⁴
1,4-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	50
3,3'-dichlorobenzidine	µg/L	0.02
1,2-dichloroethane	µg/L	390
1,1-dichloroethylene	µg/L	0.27
Dichlorobromomethane	µg/L	18.6
Dichloromethane	µg/L	1,350
1,3-dichloropropene	µg/L	26.7
Dieldrin	µg/L	1.2 x 10 ⁻⁴
2,4-dinitrotoluene	µg/L	7.8
1,2-diphenylhydrazine	µg/L	0.48
Halomethanes	µg/L	390
Heptachlor	µg/L	2.2 x 10 ⁻³
Heptachlor epoxide	µg/L	6 x 10 ⁻⁵
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	6.3 x 10 ⁻⁴
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	40
Hexachloroethane	µg/L	7.5
Isophorone	µg/L	2,160
N-nitrosodimethylamine	µg/L	20
N-nitrosodi-N-propylamine	µg/L	0.84
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	µg/L	7.5
PAHs	µg/L	0.0264
PCBs	µg/L	5.7 x 10 ⁻⁵
TCDD equivalents	µg/L	1.2 x 10 ⁻¹⁰
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	µg/L	6.9
Tetrachloroethylene	µg/L	6
Toxaphene	µg/L	6.3 x 10 ⁻⁴
Trichloroethylene	µg/L	80
1,1,2-trichloroethane	µg/L	28.2
2,4,6-trichlorophenol	µg/L	0.87
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	108

Notes: During any 24-hour period, the effluent mass emission rate shall not exceed the "Maximum Allowable Daily Mass Emission Rate." Violation of the "Instantaneous Maximum" or "Maximum Allowable Daily Emission Rate" must be reported to the Regional Water Board within 24 hours. During any six-month period, the effluent mass emission rate shall not exceed the "Maximum Allowable Six-Month Median Mass Emission Rate."

Effluent Limitations are based on California Ocean Plan criteria using a minimum initial dilution of 2:1. If actual dilution is found to be less than or more than this value, it will be recalculated and the Order revised.

2. Interim Effluent Limitations

This section of the standardized permit template is not applicable.

V. RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS

A. Surface Water Limitations

The following receiving water limitations are based on water quality objectives (Water-Contact Standards) contained in the Ocean Plan and are a required part of this Order. Compliance shall be determined from samples collected at stations representative of the area as defined below.

1. Floating particulates and grease and oil shall not be visible.
2. The discharge of waste shall not cause aesthetically undesirable discoloration of the ocean surface.
3. Natural light shall not be significantly reduced at any point outside the initial dilution zone as the result of the discharge of waste.
4. The rate of deposition of inert solids and the characteristics of inert solids in ocean sediments shall not be changed such that benthic communities are degraded.
5. The dissolved oxygen concentration shall not at any time be depressed more than 10 percent from that which occurs naturally as a result of the discharge of oxygen-demanding waste.
6. The pH shall not be changed at any time more than 0.2 units from that which occurs naturally.
7. The dissolved sulfide concentration of waters in and near sediments shall not be significantly increased above that present under natural conditions.
8. The concentration of substances set forth in Chapter IV, Table B of the Ocean Plan in marine sediments shall not be increased to levels that would degrade indigenous biota.
9. The concentration of organic materials in marine sediments shall not be increased to levels that would degrade marine life.
10. Nutrient levels shall not cause objectionable aquatic growths or degrade indigenous biota.
11. Discharges shall not cause exceedances of water quality objectives for ocean waters of the State established in Table B of the Ocean Plan.

12. Marine communities, including vertebrate, invertebrate and plant species, shall not be degraded.
13. The natural taste, odor, and color of fish, shellfish, or other marine resources used for human consumption shall not be altered.
14. The concentration of organic materials in fish, shellfish, or other marine resources used for human consumption shall not bioaccumulate to levels that are harmful to human health.
15. Discharge of radioactive waste shall not degrade marine life.

B. Groundwater Limitations

Activities at and discharges from the treatment facility shall not cause exceedance/deviation from the following water quality objectives for groundwater established by the Basin Plan.

1. Groundwater shall not contain taste or odor producing substances in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.
2. Radionuclides shall not be present in concentrations that are deleterious to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life; or result in the accumulation of radionuclides in the food web to an extent that presents a hazard to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life. To protect the municipal and domestic supply beneficial use, in no circumstance shall receiving waters contain concentrations of radionuclides in excess of the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) for radioactivity presented in Table 4 of Title 22, CCR, Division 4, Chapter 15, Article 5.

VI. PROVISIONS

A. Standard Provisions

The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions included as Attachment D of this Order.

B. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Requirements

The Discharger shall comply with the Monitoring and Reporting Program, and future revisions thereto, in Attachment E of this Order. All monitoring shall be conducted according to 40 CFR Part 136, *Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for Analysis of Pollutants*.

C. Special Provisions

1. Reopener Provisions

- a. This permit may be reopened and modified in accordance with NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122 and 124, as necessary, to include additional

conditions or limitations based on newly available information or to implement any USEPA approved, new, State water quality objective.

2. Special Studies, Technical Reports and Additional Monitoring Requirements

a. Toxicity Reduction Requirements

As indicated in Section V of the MRP, accelerated monitoring for toxicity is required upon the detection of acute toxicity or the chronic toxicity trigger value of one TUC is exceeded. The Discharger shall conduct a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) in accordance with the Discharger's TRE Workplan upon consistent detection of toxicity in the effluent during accelerated testing.

A TRE is a study conducted in a step-wise process designed to identify the causative agents of effluent or ambient toxicity, isolate the sources of toxicity, evaluate the effectiveness of toxicity control options, and then confirm the reduction in toxicity. The first steps of the TRE consist of the collection of data relevant to the toxicity, including additional toxicity testing, and an evaluation of facility operations and maintenance practices, and best management practices. A TOXICITY IDENTIFICATION EVALUATION (TIE) may be required as part of the TRE, if appropriate. A TIE is a set of procedures to identify the specific chemical(s) responsible for toxicity. These procedures are performed in three phases - characterization, identification, and confirmation using aquatic organism toxicity tests. The TRE shall include all reasonable steps to identify the source of toxicity. The Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to reduce toxicity to the required level once the source of toxicity is identified.

The Discharger shall maintain a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Workplan, which describes steps that the Discharger intends to follow in the event that a toxicity effluent limitation established by this Order is exceeded in the discharge. The workplan shall be prepared in accordance with current technical guidance and reference material, including EPA/600/2-88-070 (for industrial discharges) or EPA/600/2-88/062 (for municipal discharges), and shall include, at a minimum:

- i. Actions that will be taken to investigate/identify the causes/sources of toxicity,
- ii. Actions that will be evaluated to mitigate the impact of the discharge, to correct the non-compliance, and/or to prevent the recurrence of acute or chronic toxicity (this list of action steps may be expanded, if a TRE is undertaken), and
- iii. A schedule under which these actions will be implemented.

When monitoring measures toxicity in the effluent above a limitation established by this Order, the Discharger shall resample immediately, if the discharge is continuing, and retest for whole effluent toxicity. Results of an initial failed test and results of subsequent monitoring shall be reported to the Executive Officer (EO) as soon as possible following receipt of monitoring results. The EO will determine whether to initiate enforcement action, whether to require the

Discharger to implement a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation, or to implement other measures. The Discharger shall conduct a TRE giving due consideration to guidance provided by the U.S. EPA’s Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Procedures, Phases 1, 2, and 3 (EPA document Nos. EPA 600/3-88/034, 600/3-88/035, and 600/3-88/036, respectively). A TRE, if necessary, shall be conducted in accordance with the following schedule.

Table 9. Toxicity Reduction Evaluation—Schedule

Action Step	When Required
Take all reasonable measures necessary to immediately reduce toxicity, where the source is known.	Within 24 hours of identification of noncompliance.
Initiate the TRE in accordance to the Workplan.	Within 7 days of notification by the EO
Conduct the TRE following the procedures in the Workplan.	Within the period specified in the Workplan (not to exceed one year, without an approved Workplan)
Submit the results of the TRE, including summary of findings, required corrective action, and all results and data.	Within 60 days of completion of the TRE
Implement corrective actions to meet Permit limits and conditions.	To be determined by the EO

3. Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention

a. Pollutant Minimization Program

The 2005 California Ocean Plan establishes guidelines for the Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP). At the time of the proposed adoption of this Order, no known evidence was available that would require the Discharger to immediately develop and conduct a PMP. The Regional Water Board will notify the Discharger in writing if such a program becomes necessary. The 2005 Ocean Plan PMP language is included herein to provide guidance in the event that a PMP must be developed and implemented by the Discharger.

PMP Goal: The PMP goal is to reduce all potential pollutant sources through pollutant minimization (control) strategies, including pollution prevention measures, to maintain pollutant effluent concentrations at or below the effluent limitation.

Pollution prevention measures may be particularly appropriate for persistent bioaccumulative priority pollutants where there is evidence of impairment of beneficial uses. The completion and implementation of a Pollution Prevention Plan, required in accordance with California Water Code Section 13263.3 (d), will fulfill the PMP requirements.

Determining the Need for a PMP:

1. The Discharger must develop and conduct a PMP if all of the following conditions are true:

- (a) The calculated effluent limitation is less than the reported Minimum Level.
 - (b) The concentration of the pollutant is reported as DNQ.
 - (c) There is evidence showing that the pollutant is present in the effluent above the calculated effluent limitation.
2. Alternatively, the Discharger must develop and conduct a PMP if all of the following conditions are true:
 - (a) The calculated effluent limitation is less than the Method Detection Limit (MDL).
 - (b) The concentration of the pollutant is reported as ND.
 - (c) There is evidence showing that the pollutant is present in the effluent above the calculated effluent limitation.

Special Provision for Evidence of Pollutant Presence

Regional Water Board may include special provisions in the discharge requirements to require the gathering of evidence to determine whether the pollutant is present in the effluent at levels above the calculated effluent limitation. Examples of evidence may include:

1. Health advisories for fish consumption;
2. Presence of whole effluent toxicity;
3. Results of benthic or aquatic organism tissue sampling;
4. Sample results from analytical methods more sensitive than methods included in the permit (in accordance with the 2005 Ocean Plan, Chapter III, Section C.4.b, *Deviations from Minimum Levels in Appendix II*; or
5. The concentration of the pollutant is reported as DNQ and the effluent limitation is less than the MDL.

Elements of a PMP

The Regional Water Board may consider cost-effectiveness when establishing the requirements of a PMP. The program shall include actions and submittals acceptable to the Regional Water Board including, but not limited to, the following:

1. An annual review and semiannual monitoring of potential sources of the reportable pollutant, which may include fish tissue monitoring and other bio-uptake sampling;
2. Quarterly monitoring for the reportable pollutant in the influent to the wastewater treatment system;

3. Submittal of a control strategy designed to proceed toward the goal of maintaining concentrations of the reportable pollutant in the effluent at or below the calculated effluent limitation;
4. Implementation of appropriate cost-effective control measures for the pollutant, consistent with the control strategy; and,
5. An annual status report that shall be sent to the Central Coast Water Board including:
 - (a) All PMP monitoring results for the previous year;
 - (b) A list of potential sources of the reportable pollutant;
 - (c) A summary of all action taken in accordance with the control strategy; and,
 - (d) A description of actions to be taken in the following year.

4. Construction, Operation and Maintenance Specifications

This section of the standardized permit is not applicable to the Discharger

4. Construction, Operation and Maintenance Specifications

This section of the standardized permit template is not applicable.

5. Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTWs Only)

This section of the standardized permit template is not applicable.

6. Other Special Provisions

a. Discharges of Storm Water. For the control of storm water discharged from the site of the wastewater treatment facilities, if applicable, the Discharger shall seek authorization to discharge under and meet the requirements of the State Water Resources Control Board's Water Quality Order 97-03-DWQ, NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001, *Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activities Excluding Construction Activities*.

b. Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems (State Water Board Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ).

This section of the standardized permit template is not applicable

7. Compliance Schedules

This section of the standardized permit template is not applicable.

VII. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

Compliance with the effluent limitations contained in section IV of this Order will be determined as specified below.

A. General

Compliance with effluent limitations for priority pollutants shall be determined using sample reporting protocols defined in the MRP and Attachment A of this Order. For purposes of reporting and administrative enforcement by the Regional and State Water Boards, the Discharger shall be deemed out of compliance with effluent limitations if the concentration of the priority pollutant in the monitoring sample is greater than the effluent limitation and greater than or equal to the reporting level (RL).

B. Multiple Sample Data

When determining compliance with an AMEL, AWEL, or MDEL for priority pollutants and more than one sample result is available, the Discharger shall compute the arithmetic mean unless the data set contains one or more reported determinations of "Detected, but Not Quantified" (DNQ) or "Not Detected" (ND). In those cases, the Discharger shall compute the median in place of the arithmetic mean in accordance with the following procedure:

1. The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.
2. The median value of the data set shall be determined. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an even number of data points, then the median is the average of the two values around the middle unless one or both of the points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two data points where DNQ is lower than a value and ND is lower than DNQ.

ATTACHMENT A – DEFINITIONS

Acute Toxicity:

- a. Acute Toxicity (TUa)

Expressed in Toxic Units Acute (TUa)

$$TUa = \frac{100}{96\text{-hr LC } 50\%}$$

- b. Lethal Concentration 50% (LC 50)

LC 50 (percent waste giving 50% survival of test organisms) shall be determined by static or continuous flow bioassay techniques using standard marine test species as specified in Ocean Plan Appendix III. If specific identifiable substances in wastewater can be demonstrated by the discharger as being rapidly rendered harmless upon discharge to the marine environment, but not as a result of dilution, the LC 50 may be determined after the test samples are adjusted to remove the influence of those substances.

When it is not possible to measure the 96-hour LC 50 due to greater than 50 percent survival of the test species in 100 percent waste, the toxicity concentration shall be calculated by the expression:

$$TUa = \frac{\log(100 - S)}{1.7}$$

where: S = percentage survival in 100% waste. If S > 99, TUa shall be reported as zero.

Areas of Special Biological Significance (ASBS): are those areas designated by the State Water Board as ocean areas requiring protection of species or biological communities to the extent that alteration of natural water quality is undesirable. All Areas of Special Biological Significance are also classified as a subset of STATE WATER QUALITY PROTECTION AREAS.

Average Monthly Effluent Limitation (AMEL): the highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.

Average Weekly Effluent Limitation (AWEL): the highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday), calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar week divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that week.

Chlordane shall mean the sum of chlordane-alpha, chlordane-gamma, chlordene-alpha, chlordene-gamma, nonachlor-alpha, nonachlor-gamma, and oxychlordane.

Chronic Toxicity: This parameter shall be used to measure the acceptability of waters for supporting a healthy marine biota until improved methods are developed to evaluate biological response.

a. Chronic Toxicity (TUc)

Expressed as Toxic Units Chronic (TUc)

$$TUc = \frac{100}{NOEL}$$

b. No Observed Effect Level (NOEL)

The NOEL is expressed as the maximum percent effluent or receiving water that causes no observable effect on a test organism, as determined by the result of a critical life stage toxicity test listed in Ocean Plan Appendix II.

Daily Discharge: Daily Discharge is defined as either: (1) the total mass of the constituent discharged over the calendar day (12:00 am through 11:59 pm) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling (as specified in the permit), for a constituent with limitations expressed in units of mass or; (2) the unweighted arithmetic mean measurement of the constituent over the day for a constituent with limitations expressed in other units of measurement (e.g., concentration).

The daily discharge may be determined by the analytical results of a composite sample taken over the course of one day (a calendar day or other 24-hour period defined as a day) or by the arithmetic mean of analytical results from one or more grab samples taken over the course of the day.

For composite sampling, if 1 day is defined as a 24-hour period other than a calendar day, the analytical result for the 24-hour period will be considered as the result for the calendar day in which the 24-hour period ends.

DDT shall mean the sum of 4,4'DDT, 2,4'DDT, 4,4'DDE, 2,4'DDE, 4,4'DDD, and 2,4'DDD.

Degrade: Degradation shall be determined by comparison of the waste field and reference site(s) for characteristic species diversity, population density, contamination, growth anomalies, debility, or supplanting of normal species by undesirable plant and animal species. Degradation occurs if there are significant differences in any of three major biotic groups, namely, demersal fish, benthic invertebrates, or attached algae. Other groups may be evaluated where benthic species are not affected, or are not the only ones affected.

Detected, but Not Quantified (DNQ) are those sample results less than the reported Minimum Level, but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL.

Dichlorobenzenes shall mean the sum of 1,2- and 1,3-dichlorobenzene.

Downstream Ocean Waters shall mean waters downstream with respect to ocean currents.

Dredged Material: Any material excavated or dredged from the navigable waters of the United States, including material otherwise referred to as “spoil”.

Enclosed Bays are indentations along the coast that enclose an area of oceanic water within distinct headlands or harbor works. Enclosed bays include all bays where the narrowest distance between headlands or outermost harbor works is less than 75 percent of the greatest dimension of the enclosed portion of the bay. This definition includes but is not limited to: Humboldt Bay, Bodega Harbor, Tomales Bay, Drakes Estero, San Francisco Bay, Morro Bay, Los Angeles Harbor, Upper and Lower Newport Bay, Mission Bay, and San Diego Bay.

Endosulfan shall mean the sum of endosulfan-alpha and -beta and endosulfan sulfate.

Estuaries and Coastal Lagoons are waters at the mouths of streams that serve as mixing zones for fresh and ocean waters during a major portion of the year. Mouths of streams that are temporarily separated from the ocean by sandbars shall be considered as estuaries. Estuarine waters will generally be considered to extend from a bay or the open ocean to the upstream limit of tidal action but may be considered to extend seaward if significant mixing of fresh and salt water occurs in the open coastal waters. The waters described by this definition include but are not limited to the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta as defined by Section 12220 of the California Water Code, Suisun Bay, Carquinez Strait downstream to Carquinez Bridge, and appropriate areas of the Smith, Klamath, Mad, Eel, Noyo, and Russian Rivers.

Halomethanes shall mean the sum of bromoform, bromomethane (methyl bromide) and chloromethane (methyl chloride).

HCH shall mean the sum of the alpha, beta, gamma (lindane) and delta isomers of hexachlorocyclohexane.

Initial Dilution is the process that results in the rapid and irreversible turbulent mixing of wastewater with ocean water around the point of discharge.

For a submerged buoyant discharge, characteristic of most municipal and industrial wastes that are released from the submarine outfalls, the momentum of the discharge and its initial buoyancy act together to produce turbulent mixing. Initial dilution in this case is completed when the diluting wastewater ceases to rise in the water column and first begins to spread horizontally.

For shallow water submerged discharges, surface discharges, and non-buoyant discharges, characteristic of cooling water wastes and some individual discharges, turbulent mixing results primarily from the momentum of discharge. Initial dilution, in these cases, is considered to be completed when the momentum induced velocity of the discharge ceases to produce significant mixing of the waste, or the diluting plume reaches a fixed distance from the discharge to be specified by the Regional Board, whichever results in the lower estimate for initial dilution.

Instantaneous Maximum Effluent Limitation: the highest allowable value for any single grab sample or aliquot (i.e., each grab sample or aliquot is independently compared to the instantaneous maximum limitation).

Instantaneous Minimum Effluent Limitation: the lowest allowable value for any single grab sample or aliquot (i.e., each grab sample or aliquot is independently compared to the instantaneous minimum limitation).

Kelp Beds, for purposes of the bacteriological standards of the Ocean Plan, are significant aggregations of marine algae of the genera Macrocystis and Nereocystis. Kelp beds include the total foliage canopy of Macrocystis and Nereocystis plants throughout the water column.

Mariculture is the culture of plants and animals in marine waters independent of any pollution source.

Material: (a) In common usage: (1) the substance or substances of which a thing is made or composed (2) substantial; (b) For purposes of the Ocean Plan relating to waste disposal, dredging and the disposal of dredged material and fill, MATERIAL means matter of any kind or description which is subject to regulation as waste, or any material dredged from the navigable waters of the United States. See also, DREDGED MATERIAL.

Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation (MDEL): the highest allowable daily discharge of a pollutant.

MDL (Method Detection Limit) is the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero, as defined in 40 CFR 136, Appendix B.

Minimum Level (ML) is the concentrations at which the entire analytical system must give a recognizable signal and acceptable calibration point. The ML is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, assuming that all the method-specified sample weights, volumes and processing steps have been followed.

Natural Light: Reduction of natural light may be determined by the Regional Water Board by measurement of light transmissivity or total irradiance, or both, according to the monitoring needs of the Regional Water Board.

Not Detected (ND) are those sample results less than the laboratory's MDL.

Ocean Waters are the territorial marine waters of the state as defined by California law to the extent these waters are outside of enclosed bays, estuaries, and coastal lagoons. If a discharge outside the territorial waters of the state could affect the quality of the waters of the state, the discharge may be regulated to assure no violation of the Ocean Plan will occur in ocean waters.

PAHs (polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons) shall mean the sum of acenaphthylene, anthracene, 1,2-benzanthracene, 3,4-benzofluoranthene, benzo[k]fluoranthene, 1,12-benzoperylene, benzo[a]pyrene, chrysene, dibenzo[ah]anthracene, fluorene, indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene, phenanthrene and pyrene.

PCBs (polychlorinated biphenyls) shall mean the sum of chlorinated biphenyls whose analytical characteristics resemble those of Aroclor-1016, Aroclor-1221, Aroclor-1232, Aroclor-1242, Aroclor-1248, Aroclor-1254 and Aroclor-1260.

Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP) means waste minimization and pollution prevention actions that include, but are not limited to, product substitution, waste stream recycling, alternative waste management methods, and education of the public and businesses. The goal of the PMP shall be to reduce all potential sources of Ocean Plan Table B pollutants through pollutant minimization (control) strategies, including pollution prevention measures as appropriate, to maintain the effluent concentration at or below the water quality-based effluent limitation. Pollution prevention measures may be particularly appropriate for persistent bioaccumulative priority pollutants where there is evidence that beneficial uses are being impacted. The Regional Water Board may consider cost effectiveness when establishing the requirements of a PMP. The completion and implementation of a Pollution Prevention Plan, if required pursuant to Water Code section 13263.3(d), shall be considered to fulfill the PMP requirements.

Reported Minimum Level is the ML (and its associated analytical method) chosen by the Discharger for reporting and compliance determination from the MLs included in this Order. The MLs included in this Order correspond to approved analytical methods for reporting a sample result that are selected by the Regional Water Board either from Appendix II of the Ocean Plan in accordance with section III.C.5.a. of the Ocean Plan or established in accordance with section III.C.5.b. of the Ocean Plan. The ML is based on the proper application of method-based analytical procedures for sample preparation and the absence of any matrix interferences. Other factors may be applied to the ML depending on the specific sample preparation steps employed. For example, the treatment typically applied in cases where there are matrix-effects is to dilute the sample or sample aliquot by a factor of ten. In such cases, this additional factor must be applied to the ML in the computation of the reported ML.

Satellite Collection System is the portion, if any, of a sanitary sewer system owned or operated by a different public agency than the agency that owns and operates the wastewater treatment facility that a sanitary sewer system is tributary to.

Shellfish are organisms identified by the California Department of Health Services as shellfish for public health purposes (i.e., mussels, clams and oysters).

Significant Difference is defined as a statistically significant difference in the means of two distributions of sampling results at the 95 percent confidence level.

Six-month Median Effluent Limitation: the highest allowable moving median of all daily discharges for any 180-day period.

State Water Quality Protection Areas (SWQPAs) are non-terrestrial marine or estuarine areas designated to protect marine species or biological communities from an undesirable alteration in natural water quality. All AREAS OF SPECIAL BIOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE (ASBS) that were previously designated by the State Water Board in Resolution No.s 74-28, 74-32, and 75-61 are now also classified as a subset of State Water Quality Protection Areas and require special protections afforded by the Ocean Plan.

TCDD Equivalents shall mean the sum of the concentrations of chlorinated dibenzodioxins (2,3,7,8-CDDs) and chlorinated dibenzofurans (2,3,7,8-CDFs) multiplied by their respective toxicity factors, as shown in the table below.

Isomer Group	Toxicity Equivalence Factor
2,3,7,8-tetra CDD	1.0
2,3,7,8-penta CDD	0.5
2,3,7,8-hexa CDDs	0.1
2,3,7,8-hepta CDD	0.01
octa CDD	0.001
2,3,7,8 tetra CDF	0.1
1,2,3,7,8 penta CDF	0.05
2,3,4,7,8 penta CDF	0.5
2,3,7,8 hexa CDFs	0.1
2,3,7,8 hepta CDFs	0.01
octa CDF	0.001

Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) is a study conducted in a step-wise process designed to identify the causative agents of effluent or ambient toxicity, isolate the sources of toxicity, evaluate the effectiveness of toxicity control options, and then confirm the reduction in toxicity. The first steps of the TRE consist of the collection of data relevant to the toxicity, including additional toxicity testing, and an evaluation of facility operations and maintenance practices, and best management practices. A TOXICITY IDENTIFICATION EVALUATION (TIE) may be required as part of the TRE, if appropriate. (A TIE is a set of procedures to identify the specific chemical(s) responsible for toxicity. These procedures are performed in three phases (characterization, identification, and confirmation) using aquatic organism toxicity tests.)

Waste: As used in the Ocean Plan, waste includes a Discharger’s total discharge, of whatever origin, i.e., gross, not net, discharge.

Water Reclamation: The treatment of wastewater to render it suitable for reuse, the transportation of treated wastewater to the place of use, and the actual use of treated wastewater for a direct beneficial use or controlled use that would not otherwise occur.

ATTACHMENT B – MAP

ATTACHMENT D – STANDARD PROVISIONS

I. FEDERAL STANDARD PROVISIONS – PERMIT COMPLIANCE

A. Duty to Comply

1. The Discharger must comply with all of the conditions of this Order. Any noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the California Water Code and is grounds for enforcement action, for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application. (40 CFR § 122.41(a).)
2. The Discharger shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the CWA for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under Section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions, even if this Order has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement. (40 CFR § 122.41(a)(1).)

B. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a Discharger in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this Order. (40 CFR § 122.41(c).)

C. Duty to Mitigate

The Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this Order that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment. (40 CFR § 122.41(d).)

D. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The Discharger shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the Discharger to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems that are installed by a Discharger only when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. (40 CFR § 122.41(e).)

E. Property Rights

1. This Order does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privileges. (40 CFR § 122.41(g).)

2. The issuance of this Order does not authorize any injury to persons or property or invasion of other private rights, or any infringement of State or local law or regulations. (40 CFR § 122.5(c).)

F. Inspection and Entry

The Discharger shall allow the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), and/or their authorized representatives (including an authorized contractor acting as their representative), upon the presentation of credentials and other documents, as may be required by law, to (40 CFR § 122.41(i); Wat. Code, § 13383):

1. Enter upon the Discharger's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records are kept under the conditions of this Order (40 CFR § 122.41(i)(1));
2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this Order (40 CFR § 122.41(i)(2));
3. Inspect and photograph, at reasonable times, any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this Order (40 CFR § 122.41(i)(3)); and
4. Sample or monitor, at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring Order compliance or as otherwise authorized by the CWA or the Water Code, any substances or parameters at any location. (40 CFR § 122.41(i)(4).)

G. Bypass

1. Definitions
 - a. "Bypass" means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility. (40 CFR § 122.41(m)(1)(i).)
 - b. "Severe property damage" means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities, which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources that can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production. (40 CFR § 122.41(m)(1)(ii).)
2. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The Discharger may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause exceedances of effluent limitations, but only if it is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions listed in Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.3, I.G.4, and I.G.5 below. (40 CFR § 122.41(m)(2).)

- 3. Prohibition of bypass.** Bypass is prohibited, and the Regional Water Board may take enforcement action against a Discharger for bypass, unless (40 CFR § 122.41(m)(4)(i)):
 - a.** Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage (40 CFR § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A));
 - b.** There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass that occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance (40 CFR § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(B)); and
 - c.** The Discharger submitted notice to the Regional Water Board as required under Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.5 below. (40 CFR § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(C).)
- 4.** The Regional Water Board may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Regional Water Board determines that it will meet the three conditions listed in Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.3 above. (40 CFR § 122.41(m)(4)(ii).)
- 5. Notice**
 - a.** Anticipated bypass. If the Discharger knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit a notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass. (40 CFR § 122.41(m)(3)(i).)
 - b.** Unanticipated bypass. The Discharger shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Standard Provisions - Reporting V.E below (24-hour notice). (40 CFR § 122.41(m)(3)(ii).)

H. Upset

Upset means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Discharger. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation. (40 CFR § 122.41(n)(1).)

- 1.** Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.H.2 below are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review. (40 CFR § 122.41(n)(2).)

2. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A Discharger who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that (40 CFR § 122.41(n)(3)):
 - a. An upset occurred and that the Discharger can identify the cause(s) of the upset (40 CFR § 122.41(n)(3)(i));
 - b. The permitted facility was, at the time, being properly operated (40 CFR § 122.41(n)(3)(ii));
 - c. The Discharger submitted notice of the upset as required in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.E.2.b below (24-hour notice) (40 CFR § 122.41(n)(3)(iii)); and
 - d. The Discharger complied with any remedial measures required under Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.C above. (40 CFR § 122.41(n)(3)(iv).)
3. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the Discharger seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof. (40 CFR § 122.41(n)(4).)

II. FEDERAL STANDARD PROVISIONS – PERMIT ACTION

A. General

This Order may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the Discharger for modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any Order condition. (40 CFR § 122.41(f).)

B. Duty to Reapply

If the Discharger wishes to continue an activity regulated by this Order after the expiration date of this Order, the Discharger must apply for and obtain a new permit. (40 CFR § 122.41(b).)

C. Transfers

This Order is not transferable to any person except after notice to the Regional Water Board. The Regional Water Board may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the Order to change the name of the Discharger and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the CWA and the Water Code. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(3); § 122.61.)

III. FEDERAL STANDARD PROVISIONS – MONITORING

- A. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity. (40 CFR § 122.41(j)(1).)

- B.** Monitoring results must be conducted according to test procedures under Part 136 or, in the case of sludge use or disposal, approved under Part 136 unless otherwise specified in Part 503 unless other test procedures have been specified in this Order. (40 CFR § 122.41(j)(4); § 122.44(i)(1)(iv).)

IV. FEDERAL STANDARD PROVISIONS – RECORDS

- A.** Except for records of monitoring information required by this Order related to the Discharger's sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five years (or longer as required by Part 503), the Discharger shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this Order, and records of all data used to complete the application for this Order, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Regional Water Board Executive Officer at any time. (40 CFR § 122.41(j)(2).)

B. Records of monitoring information shall include:

1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements (40 CFR § 122.41(j)(3)(i));
2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements (40 CFR § 122.41(j)(3)(ii));
3. The date(s) analyses were performed (40 CFR § 122.41(j)(3)(iii));
4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses (40 CFR § 122.41(j)(3)(iv));
5. The analytical techniques or methods used (40 CFR § 122.41(j)(3)(v)); and
6. The results of such analyses. (40 CFR § 122.41(j)(3)(vi).)

C. Claims of confidentiality for the following information will be denied (40 CFR § 122.7(b)):

1. The name and address of any permit applicant or Discharger (40 CFR § 122.7(b)(1)); and
2. Permit applications and attachments, permits and effluent data. (40 CFR § 122.7(b)(2).)

V. FEDERAL STANDARD PROVISIONS – REPORTING

A. Duty to Provide Information

The Discharger shall furnish to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA within a reasonable time, any information which the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this Order or to determine compliance

with this Order. Upon request, the Discharger shall also furnish to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA copies of records required to be kept by this Order. (40 CFR § 122.41(h); Wat. Code, § 13267.)

B. Signatory and Certification Requirements

1. All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, and/or USEPA shall be signed and certified in accordance with Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2, V.B.3, V.B.4, and V.B.5 below. (40 CFR § 122.41(k).)
2. All permit applications shall be signed by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this provision, a principal executive officer of a federal agency includes: (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrators of USEPA). (40 CFR § 122.22(a)(3).)
3. All reports required by this Order and other information requested by the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA shall be signed by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above, or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
 - a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above (40 CFR § 122.22(b)(1));
 - b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.) (40 CFR § 122.22(b)(2)); and
 - c. The written authorization is submitted to the Regional Water Board and State Water Board. (40 CFR § 122.22(b)(3).)
4. If an authorization under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.3 above is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.3 above must be submitted to the Regional Water Board and State Water Board prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications, to be signed by an authorized representative. (40 CFR § 122.22(c).)
5. Any person signing a document under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 or V.B.3 above shall make the following certification:

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure

that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.” (40 CFR § 122.22(d).)

C. Monitoring Reports

1. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E) in this Order. (40 CFR § 122.22(l)(4).)
2. Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form or forms provided or specified by the Regional Water Board or State Water Board for reporting results of monitoring of sludge use or disposal practices. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(4)(i).)
3. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order using test procedures approved under Part 136 or, in the case of sludge use or disposal, approved under Part 136 unless otherwise specified in Part 503, or as specified in this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR or sludge reporting form specified by the Regional Water Board. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(4)(ii).)
4. Calculations for all limitations, which require averaging of measurements, shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in this Order. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(4)(iii).)

D. Compliance Schedules

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this Order, shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(5).)

E. Twenty-Four Hour Reporting

1. The Discharger shall report any noncompliance that may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the Discharger becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) days of the time the Discharger becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(6)(i).)
2. The following shall be included as information that must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)):

- a. Any unanticipated bypass that exceeds any effluent limitation in this Order. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(A).)
- b. Any upset that exceeds any effluent limitation in this Order. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(B).)
3. The Regional Water Board may waive the above-required written report under this provision on a case-by-case basis if an oral report has been received within 24 hours. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(6)(iii).)

F. Planned Changes

The Discharger shall give notice to the Regional Water Board as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required under this provision only when (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(1)):

1. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in section 122.29(b) (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(1)(i)); or
2. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants that are subject neither to effluent limitations in this Order nor to notification requirements under section 122.42(a)(1) (see Additional Provisions—Notification Levels VII.A.1). (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(1)(ii).)
3. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the Discharger's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(1)(iii).)

G. Anticipated Noncompliance

The Discharger shall give advance notice to the Regional Water Board or State Water Board of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity that may result in noncompliance with General Order requirements. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(2).)

H. Other Noncompliance

The Discharger shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.C, V.D, and V.E above at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in Standard Provision – Reporting V.E above. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(7).)

I. Other Information

When the Discharger becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA, the Discharger shall promptly submit such facts or information. (40 CFR § 122.41(l)(8).)

VI. FEDERAL STANDARD PROVISIONS – ENFORCEMENT

- A.** The Regional Water Board is authorized to enforce the terms of this permit under several provisions of the Water Code, including, but not limited to, sections 13385, 13386, and 13387

VII. FEDERAL ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS – NOTIFICATION LEVELS

A. Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)

All POTWs shall provide adequate notice to the Regional Water Board of the following (40 CFR § 122.42(b)):

- 1.** Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger that would be subject to sections 301 or 306 of the CWA if it were directly discharging those pollutants (40 CFR § 122.42(b)(1)); and
- 2.** Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of adoption of the Order. (40 CFR § 122.42(b)(2).)
- 3.** Adequate notice shall include information on the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW as well as any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW. (40 CFR § 122.42(b)(3).)

ATTACHMENT D-1 - CENTRAL COAST WATER BOARD STANDARD PROVISIONS (JANUARY 1985)

I. Central Coast General Permit Conditions

A. Central Coast Standard Provisions – Prohibitions

1. Introduction of "incompatible wastes" to the treatment system is prohibited.
2. Discharge of high-level radiological waste and of radiological, chemical, and biological warfare agents is prohibited.
3. Discharge of "toxic pollutants" in violation of effluent standards and prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act is prohibited.
4. Discharge of sludge, sludge digester or thickener supernatant, and sludge drying bed leachate to drainageways, surface waters, or the ocean is prohibited.
5. Introduction of pollutants into the collection, treatment, or disposal system by an "indirect discharger" that:
 - a. Inhibit or disrupt the treatment process, system operation, or the eventual use or disposal of sludge; or,
 - b. Flow through the system to the receiving water untreated; and,
 - c. Cause or "significantly contribute" to a violation of any requirement of this Order, is prohibited.
6. Introduction of "pollutant free" wastewater to the collection, treatment, and disposal system in amounts that threaten compliance with this order is prohibited.

B. Central Coast Standard Provisions – Provisions

1. Collection, treatment, and discharge of waste shall not create a nuisance or pollution, as defined by Section 13050 of the California Water Code.
2. All facilities used for transport or treatment of wastes shall be adequately protected from inundation and washout as the result of a 100-year frequency flood.
3. Operation of collection, treatment, and disposal systems shall be in a manner that precludes public contact with wastewater.
4. Collected screenings, sludges, and other solids removed from liquid wastes shall be disposed in a manner approved by the Executive Officer.
5. Publicly owned wastewater treatment plants shall be supervised and operated by persons possessing certificates of appropriate grade pursuant to CCR Title 23.

6. After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this order may be terminated for cause, including, but not limited to:
 - a. violation of any term or condition contained in this order;
 - b. obtaining this order by misrepresentation, or by failure to disclose fully all relevant facts;
 - c. a change in any condition or endangerment to human health or environment that requires a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; and,
 - d. a substantial change in character, location, or volume of the discharge.
7. Provisions of this permit are severable. If any provision of the permit is found invalid, the remainder of the permit shall not be affected.
8. After notice and opportunity for hearing, this order may be modified or revoked and reissued for cause, including:
 - a. Promulgation of a new or revised effluent standard or limitation;
 - b. A material change in character, location, or volume of the discharge;
 - c. Access to new information that affects the terms of the permit, including applicable schedules;
 - d. Correction of technical mistakes or mistaken interpretations of law; and,
 - e. Other causes set forth under Sub-part D of 40 CFR Part 122.
9. Safeguards shall be provided to assure maximal compliance with all terms and conditions of this permit. Safeguards shall include preventative and contingency plans and may also include alternative power sources, stand-by generators, retention capacity, operating procedures, or other precautions. Preventative and contingency plans for controlling and minimizing the affect of accidental discharges shall:
 - a. identify possible situations that could cause "upset", "overflow" or "bypass", or other noncompliance. (Loading and storage areas, power outage, waste treatment unit outage, and failure of process equipment, tanks and pipes should be considered.)
 - b. evaluate the effectiveness of present facilities and procedures and describe procedures and steps to minimize or correct any adverse environmental impact resulting from noncompliance with the permit.
10. Physical Facilities shall be designed and constructed according to accepted engineering practice and shall be capable of full compliance with this order when properly operated and maintained. Proper operation and maintenance shall be

described in an Operation and Maintenance Manual. Facilities shall be accessible during the wet-weather season.

11. Production and use of reclaimed water is subject to the approval of the Board. Production and use of reclaimed water shall be in conformance with reclamation criteria established in Chapter 3, Title 22, of the CCR and Chapter 7, Division 7, of the California Water Code. An engineering report pursuant to section 60323, Title 22, CCR is required and a waiver or water reclamation requirements from the Board is required before reclaimed water is supplied for any use, or to any user, not specifically identified and approved either in this Order or another order issued by this Board.

C. Central Coast Standard Provisions – General Monitoring Requirements

1. If results of monitoring a pollutant appear to violate effluent limitations based on a weekly, monthly, 30-day, or six-month period, but compliance or non-compliance cannot be validated because sampling is too infrequent, the frequency of sampling shall be increased to validate the test within the next monitoring period. The increased frequency shall be maintained until the Executive Officer agrees the original monitoring frequency may be resumed.

For example, if copper is monitored annually and results exceed the six-month median numerical effluent limitation in the permit, monitoring of copper must be increased to a frequency of at least once every two months (Central Coast Standard Provisions – Definitions I.G.13.). If suspended solids are monitored weekly and results exceed the weekly average numerical limit in the permit, monitoring of suspended solids must be increased to at least four (4) samples every week (Central Coast Standard Provisions – Definitions I.G.14.).

2. Water quality analyses performed in order to monitor compliance with this permit shall be by a laboratory certified by the State Department of Health Services for the constituent(s) being analyzed. Bioassay(s) performed in order to monitor compliance with this permit shall be in accord with guidelines approved by the State Water Resources Control Board and the State Department of Fish and Game. If the laboratory used or proposed for use by the discharger is not certified by the California Department of Health Services or, where appropriate, the Department of Fish and Game due to restrictions in the State's laboratory certification program, the discharger shall be considered in compliance with this provision provided:
 - a. Data results remain consistent with results of samples analyzed by the Regional Water Board;
 - b. A quality assurance program is used at the laboratory, including a manual containing steps followed in this program that is available for inspections by the staff of the Regional Water Board; and,
 - c. Certification is pursued in good faith and obtained as soon as possible after the program is reinstated.

3. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity. Samples shall be taken during periods of peak loading conditions. Influent samples shall be samples collected from the combined flows of all incoming wastes, excluding recycled wastes. Effluent samples shall be samples collected downstream of the last treatment unit and tributary flow and upstream of any mixing with receiving waters.
4. All monitoring instruments and devices used by the discharger to fulfill the prescribed monitoring program shall be properly maintained and calibrated as necessary to ensure their continued accuracy.

E. Central Coast Standard Provisions – General Reporting Requirements

1. Reports of marine monitoring surveys conducted to meet receiving water monitoring requirements of the Monitoring and Reporting Program shall include at least the following information:
 - a. A description of climatic and receiving water characteristics at the time of sampling (weather observations, floating debris, discoloration, wind speed and direction, swell or wave action, time of sampling, tide height, etc.).
 - b. A description of sampling stations, including differences unique to each station (e.g., station location, grain size, rocks, shell litter, calcareous worm tubes, evident life, etc.).
 - c. A description of the sampling procedures and preservation sequence used in the survey.
 - d. A description of the exact method used for laboratory analysis. In general, analysis shall be conducted according to Central Coast Standard Provisions – C.1 above, and Federal Standard Provision – Monitoring III.B. However, variations in procedure are acceptable to accommodate the special requirements of sediment analysis. All such variations must be reported with the test results.
 - e. A brief discussion of the results of the survey. The discussion shall compare data from the control station with data from the outfall stations. All tabulations and computations shall be explained.
2. Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule shall be submitted within 14 days following each scheduled date unless otherwise specified within the permit. If reporting noncompliance, the report shall include a description of the reason, a description and schedule of tasks necessary to achieve compliance, and an estimated date for achieving full compliance. A second report shall be submitted within 14 days of full compliance.
3. The “Discharger” shall file a report of waste discharge or secure a waiver from the Executive Officer at least 180 days before making any material change or proposed change in the character, location, or plume of the discharge.

4. Within 120 days after the discharger discovers, or is notified by the Regional Water Board, that monthly average daily flow will or may reach design capacity of waste treatment and/or disposal facilities within four (4) years, the discharger shall file a written report with the Regional Water Board. The report shall include:
 - a. the best estimate of when the monthly average daily dry weather flow rate will equal or exceed design capacity; and,
 - b. a schedule for studies, design, and other steps needed to provide additional capacity for waste treatment and/or disposal facilities before the waste flow rate equals the capacity of present units.

In addition to complying with Federal Standard Provision – Reporting V.B., the required technical report shall be prepared with public participation and reviewed, approved and jointly submitted by all planning and building departments having jurisdiction in the area served by the waste collection, treatment, or disposal facilities.

5. All “Dischargers” shall submit reports to the:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board
Central Coast Region
895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-7906

In addition, "Dischargers" with designated major discharges shall submit a copy of each document to:

Regional Administrator
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
Attention: CWA Standards and Permits Office (WTR-5)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, California 94105

6. Transfer of control or ownership of a waste discharge facility must be preceded by a notice to the Regional Water Board at least 30 days in advance of the proposed transfer date. The notice must include a written agreement between the existing “Discharger” and proposed “Discharger” containing specific date for transfer of responsibility, coverage, and liability between them. Whether a permit may be transferred without modification or revocation and reissuance is at the discretion of the Board. If permit modification or revocation and reissuance is necessary, transfer may be delayed 180 days after the Regional Water Board's receipt of a complete permit application. Please also see Federal Standard Provision – Permit Action II.C.
7. Except for data determined to be confidential under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act (excludes effluent data and permit applications), all reports prepared in accordance with this permit shall be available for public inspection at the office of the Regional Water Board or Regional Administrator of EPA. Please also see Federal Standard Provision – Records IV.C.

8. By January 30th of each year, the discharger shall submit an annual report to the Regional Water Board. The report shall contain both tabular and graphical summaries of the monitoring data obtained during the previous year. The discharger shall discuss the compliance record and corrective actions taken, or which may be needed, to bring the discharge into full compliance. The report shall address operator certification and provide a list of current operating personnel and their grade of certification. The report shall inform the Board of the date of the Facility's Operation and Maintenance Manual (including contingency plans as described Central Coast Standard Provision – Provision B.9., above), of the date the manual was last reviewed, and whether the manual is complete and valid for the current facility. The report shall restate, for the record, the laboratories used by the discharger to monitor compliance with effluent limits and provide a summary of performance relative to Section C above, General Monitoring Requirements.

If the facility treats industrial or domestic wastewater and there is no provision for periodic sludge monitoring in the Monitoring and Reporting Program, the report shall include a summary of sludge quantities, analyses of its chemical and moisture content, and its ultimate destination.

If applicable, the report shall also evaluate the effectiveness of the local source control or pretreatment program using the State Water Resources Control Board's "Guidelines for Determining the Effectiveness of Local Pretreatment Programs."

F. Central Coast Standard Provisions – General Pretreatment Provisions

1. Discharge of pollutants by "indirect dischargers" in specific industrial sub-categories (appendix C, 40 CFR Part 403), where categorical pretreatment standards have been established, or are to be established, (according to 40 CFR Chapter 1, Subchapter N), shall comply with the appropriate pretreatment standards:
 - a. By the date specified therein;
 - b. Within three (3) years of the effective date specified therein, but in no case later than July 1, 1984; or,
 - c. If a new indirect discharger, upon commencement of discharge.

G. Central Coast Standard Provisions – Enforcement

1. Any person failing to file a report of waste discharge or other report as required by this permit shall be subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$5,000 per day.
2. Upon reduction, loss, or failure of the treatment facility, the "Discharger" shall, to the extent necessary to maintain compliance with this permit, control production or all discharges, or both, until the facility is restored or an alternative method of treatment is provided.

H. Central Coast Standard Provisions – Definitions

(Not otherwise included in Attachment A to this Order)

1. A "composite sample" is a combination of no fewer than eight (8) individual samples obtained at equal time intervals (usually hourly) over the specified sampling (composite) period. The volume of each individual sample is proportional to the flow rate at the time of sampling. The period shall be specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program ordered by the Executive Officer.
2. "Daily Maximum" limit means the maximum acceptable concentration or mass emission rate of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or during any 24-hour period reasonably representative of the calendar day for purposes of sampling. It is normally compared with results based on "composite samples" except for ammonia, total chlorine, phenolic compounds, and toxicity concentration. For all exceptions, comparisons will be made with results from a "grab sample".
3. "Discharger", as used herein, means, as appropriate: (1) the Discharger, (2) the local sewerage entity (when the collection system is not owned and operated by the Discharger), or (3) "indirect discharger" (where "Discharger" appears in the same paragraph as "indirect discharger", it refers to the discharger.)
4. "Duly Authorized Representative" is one where:
 - a. the authorization is made in writing by a person described in the signatory paragraph of Federal Standard Provision V.B.;
 - b. the authorization specifies either an individual or the occupant of a position having either responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility, such as the plant manager, or overall responsibility for environmental matters of the company; and,
 - c. the written authorization was submitted to the Regional Water Board.
5. A "grab sample" is defined as any individual sample collected in less than 15 minutes. "Grab samples" shall be collected during peak loading conditions, which may or may not be during hydraulic peaks. It is used primarily in determining compliance with the daily maximum limits identified in Central Coast Standard Provision – Provision G.2. and instantaneous maximum limits.
6. "Hazardous substance" means any substance designated under 40 CFR Part 116 pursuant to Section 311 of the Clean Water Act.
7. "Incompatible wastes" are:
 - a. Wastes which create a fire or explosion hazard in the treatment works;

- b. Wastes which will cause corrosive structural damage to treatment works, but in no case wastes with a pH lower than 5.0 unless the works is specifically designed to accommodate such wastes;
 - c. Solid or viscous wastes in amounts which cause obstruction to flow in sewers, or which cause other interference with proper operation of treatment works;
 - d. Any waste, including oxygen demanding pollutants (BOD, etc), released in such volume or strength as to cause inhibition or disruption in the treatment works and subsequent treatment process upset and loss of treatment efficiency; and,
 - e. Heat in amounts that inhibit or disrupt biological activity in the treatment works or that raise influent temperatures above 40°C (104°F) unless the treatment works is designed to accommodate such heat.
8. "Indirect Discharger" means a non-domestic discharger introducing pollutants into a publicly owned treatment and disposal system.
9. "Log Mean" is the geometric mean. Used for determining compliance of fecal or total coliform populations, it is calculated with the following equation:

$$\text{Log Mean} = (C1 \times C2 \times \dots \times Cn)^{1/n},$$

in which "n" is the number of days samples were analyzed during the period and any "C" is the concentration of bacteria (MPN/100 ml) found on each day of sampling. "n" should be five or more.

10. "Mass emission rate" is a daily rate defined by the following equations:

$$\text{mass emission rate (lbs/day)} = 8.34 \times Q \times C; \text{ and,}$$

$$\text{mass emission rate (kg/day)} = 3.79 \times Q \times C,$$

where "C" (in mg/L) is the measured daily constituent concentration or the average of measured daily constituent concentrations and "Q" (in MGD) is the measured daily flow rate or the average of measured daily flow rates over the period of interest.

11. The "Maximum Allowable Mass Emission Rate," whether for a month, week, day, or six-month period, is a daily rate determined with the formulas in paragraph G.10, above, using the effluent concentration limit specified in the permit for the period and the average of measured daily flows (up to the allowable flow) over the period.
12. "Maximum Allowable Six-Month Median Mass Emission Rate" is a daily rate determined with the formulas in Central Coast Standard Provision – Provision G.10, above, using the "six-month Median" effluent limit specified in the permit, and the average of measured daily flows (up to the allowable flow) over a 180-day period.
13. "Median" is the value below which half the samples (ranked progressively by increasing value) fall. It may be considered the middle value, or the average of two middle values.

14. "Monthly Average" (or "Weekly Average", as the case may be) is the arithmetic mean of daily concentrations or of daily mass emission rates over the specified 30-day (or 7-day) period.

$$\text{Average} = (X1 + X2 + \dots + Xn) / n$$

in which "n" is the number of days samples were analyzed during the period and "X" is either the constituent concentration (mg/l) or mass emission rate (kg/day or lbs/day) for each sampled day. "n" should be four or greater.

15. "Municipality" means a city, town, borough, county, district, association, or other public body created by or under State law and having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial waste, or other waste.
16. "Overflow" means the intentional or unintentional diversion of flow from the collection and transport systems, including pumping facilities.
17. "Pollutant-free wastewater" means inflow and infiltration, storm waters, and cooling waters and condensates which are essentially free of pollutants.
18. "Primary Industry Category" means any industry category listed in 40 CFR Part 122, Appendix A.
19. "Removal Efficiency" is the ratio of pollutants removed by the treatment unit to pollutants entering the treatment unit. Removal efficiencies of a treatment plant shall be determined using "Monthly averages" of pollutant concentrations (C, in mg/l) of influent and effluent samples collected about the same time and the following equation (or its equivalent):

$$C_{\text{Effluent}} \text{ Removal Efficiency (\%)} = 100 \times (1 - C_{\text{effluent}} / C_{\text{influent}})$$

20. "Severe property damage" means substantial physical damage to property, damage to treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss to natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a "bypass". It does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
21. "Sludge" means the solids, residues, and precipitates separated from, or created in, wastewater by the unit processes of a treatment system.
22. To "significantly contribute" to a permit violation means an "indirect discharger" must:
- Discharge a daily pollutant loading in excess of that allowed by contract with the "Discharger" or by Federal, State, or Local law;
 - Discharge wastewater which substantially differs in nature or constituents from its average discharge;

- c. Discharge pollutants, either alone or in conjunction with discharges from other sources, which results in a permit violation or prevents sewage sludge use or disposal; or
 - d. Discharge pollutants, either alone or in conjunction with pollutants from other sources that increase the magnitude or duration of permit violations.
23. "Toxic Pollutant" means any pollutant listed as toxic under Section 307 (a) (1) of the Clean Water Act or under 40 CFR Part 122, Appendix D. Violation of maximum daily discharge limitations are subject to 24-hour reporting (Federal Standard Provisions V.E.).
24. "Zone of Initial Dilution" means the region surrounding or adjacent to the end of an outfall pipe or diffuser ports whose boundaries are defined through calculation of a plume model verified by the State Water Resources Control Board.

ATTACHMENT E – MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Table of Contents

I.	General Monitoring Provisions.....	E-2
II.	Monitoring Locations	E-3
III.	Influent Monitoring Requirements.....	E-3
IV.	Effluent Monitoring Requirements	E-3
V.	Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements	E-6
	A. Whole Effluent Acute Toxicity	E-6
	B. Whole Effluent Chronic Toxicity	E-7
VI.	Land Discharge Monitoring Requirements	E-9
VII.	Reclamation Monitoring Requirements.....	E-9
VIII.	Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements	E-9
X.	Reporting Requirements.....	E-10
	A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements.....	E-10
	B. Self Monitoring Reports (SMRs)	E-10
	C. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)	E-13
	D. Other Reports	E-13

List of Tables

Table E-1.	Monitoring Station Locations.....	E-3
Table E-2.	Effluent Monitoring	E-4
Table E-3.	Approved Test - Acute Toxicity (TUa)	E-6
Table E-4.	Approved Tests—Chronic Toxicity	E-8
Table E-5.	Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule.....	E-10

ATTACHMENT E – MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (MRP)

NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.48 require that all NPDES permits specify monitoring and reporting requirements. Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 also authorize the Regional Water Board to require technical and monitoring reports. This MRP establishes monitoring and reporting requirements, which implement the federal and California regulations.

I. GENERAL MONITORING PROVISIONS

- A. Laboratories analyzing monitoring samples shall be certified by the Department of Public Health, in accordance with Water Code section 13176, and must include quality assurance/quality control data with their reports.
- B. Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge. All samples shall be taken at the monitoring locations specified below and, unless otherwise specified, before the monitored flow joins or is diluted by any other waste stream, body of water, or substance. Monitoring locations shall not be changed without notification to and approval of the Regional Board.
- C. Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. The devices shall be installed, calibrated, and maintained to ensure that the accuracy of the measurements is consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. Devices selected shall be capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than ± 10 percent from true discharge rates throughout the range of expected discharge volumes. Guidance in selection, installation, calibration, and operation of acceptable flow measurement devices can be obtained from the following references.
 1. A Guide to Methods and Standards for the Measurement of Water Flow, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBS Special Publication 421, May 1975, 96 pp. (Available from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Order by SD Catalog No. C13.10:421.)
 2. Water Measurement Manual, U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Second Edition, Revised Reprint, 1974, 327 pp. (Available from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C. 20402. Order by Catalog No. 172.19/2:W29/2, Stock No. S/N 24003-0027.)
 3. Flow Measurement in Open Channels and Closed Conduits, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBS Special Publication 484, October 1977, 982 pp. (Available in paper copy or microfiche from National Technical Information Services (NTIS) Springfield, VA 22151. Order by NTIS No. PB-273 535/5ST.)
 4. NPDES Compliance Sampling Manual, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Enforcement, Publication MCD-51, 1977, 140 pp. (Available from the General Services Administration (8FFS), Centralized Mailing Lists Services, Building 41, Denver Federal Center, CO 80225.)

- D. All monitoring instruments and devices used by the Discharger to fulfill the prescribed monitoring program shall be properly maintained and calibrated as necessary to ensure their continued accuracy. All flow measurement devices shall be calibrated at least once per year to ensure continued accuracy of the devices.
- E. Monitoring results, including noncompliance, shall be reported at intervals and in a manner specified in this MRP.
- F. Unless otherwise specified by this MRP, all monitoring shall be conducted according to test procedures established at 40 CFR 136, *Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for Analysis of Pollutants*. All analyses shall be conducted using the lowest practical quantitation limit achievable using the specified methodology. Where effluent limitations are set below the lowest achievable quantitation limits, pollutants not detected at the lowest practical quantitation limits will be considered in compliance with effluent limitations. Analysis for toxics listed by the California Toxics Rule shall also adhere to guidance and requirements contained in the *Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California* (2005).

II. MONITORING LOCATIONS

The Discharger shall establish the following monitoring locations to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitations, discharge specifications, and other requirements in this Order.

Table E-1. Monitoring Station Locations

Discharge Point Name	Monitoring Location Name	Monitoring Location Description
001	EFF-001	At point of entry into irrigation pond shown on Attachment B
001	EFF-001a	At point of exit from irrigation pond shown on Attachment B
001	EFF-001b	At drainage ditch along Highway 1 before entry into Discharge Point 001
003	EFF-003	At end of pipe discharging into Pacific Ocean shown on Attachment B

III. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The plant receives no influent of wastewater.

IV. EFFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The Discharger shall collect representative effluent grab samples from the designated station and shall analyze the samples according to the following schedule:

Table E-2. Effluent Monitoring

Constituent	Units	Discharge No.	Minimum Analysis Frequency
Flow	gpd	001,003	Estimated
PH ¹	pH units	001,003	Daily
Electric Conductivity	uhmos/c	001	Daily
Total suspended solids	mg/L	001, 001 b, 003	Monthly (1st week) ²
Temperature ³	°F	001,003	Monthly (1st week) ²
Oil & Grease	mg/L	001,003	Quarterly
Settleable Solids	ML/L	001,003	Quarterly
Turbidity	NTU	001, 001a, 001b, 003	Quarterly
Acute Toxicity	TUa	001,003	Every 13 months
Chronic Toxicity	TUc	001,003	Every 13 months
Arsenic	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Cadmium	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Chromium (Hex)	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Copper	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Lead	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Mercury	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Nickel	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Selenium	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Silver	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Zinc	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Cyanide	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Total Chlorine Residual	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Ammonia (as N)	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Phenolic Compounds (non-chlorinated)	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Chlorinated Phenolics	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Endosulfan	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Endrin	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
HCH	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Radioactivity		001,003	August 2014
Acrolein	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Antimony	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Bis (2-Chloroethoxy)	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Bis (2-chloroisopropyl) Ether	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Chromium (III)	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Di-n-butyl Phthalate	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
1, 1 -dichloroethylene	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Diethyl Phthalate	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Dimethyl Phthalate	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
4,6-dinitro-2-methyl phenol	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
2,4-dinitro-2-methyl phenol	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
2,4-dinitrophenol	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Flouranthene	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Hexachlorocyclo pentadiene	ug/L	001,003	August 2014
Isophorone	ug/L	001,003	August 2014

Constituent	Units	Discharge No.	Minimum Analysis Frequency
Nitrobenzene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Thallium	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Toluene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Tributyltin	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
1,1,1-trichloroethane	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
1,1,2-trichloroethane	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Aldrin	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Benzene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Benzidine	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Beryllium	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Carbon Tetrachloride	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Chlordance	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Chloroform	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
DDT	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
1,4-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
3,3-dichlorobenzidine	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
1,2-dichloroethane	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Dichloromethane	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
1,3-dichloropropene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Dieldrin	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
2,4-dinitrotoluene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
1,2-diphenylhydrazine	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Halomethanes	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Heptachlor	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Hexachlorobenzene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Hexachloroethane	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
N-nitrosodimethylamine	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
N-nitrosphenylamine	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
PAHs	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
PCBs	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
TCDD equivalents	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Tetrachlorethylene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Toxaphene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Trichloroethylene	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
2,4,6-trichlorophenol	µg/L	001,003	August 2014
Vinyl Chloride	µg/L	001,003	August 2014

¹ The Discharger shall record and report the daily reading of the CO₂ neutralization system's pH probe and the reading from a monthly grab sample. If there is a significant difference, the Discharger shall investigate and correct the source of the pH difference.

- ² Monthly samples shall be collected during the first week of the month, on alternating weekdays.
³ If the temperature reading at Station 001 exceeds 74°F, the Discharger shall immediately monitor temperature at Station 001a and report the result.

V. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING REQUIREMENTS

A. Acute Toxicity

Compliance with acute toxicity objective shall be determined using a U.S. EPA-approved protocol as provided in 40 CFR 136 (*Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms*, Fifth Edition, October 2002, U.S. EPA Office of Water, EPA-821-R-02-012 or the latest edition).

Acute Toxicity (TUa) = 100/96-hr LC 50.

LC 50 (percent waste giving 50% survival of test organisms) shall be determined by 96-hour static or continuous flow bioassay techniques using standard marine test species as specified in EPA-821-R-02-012 and as noted in the following table.

Table E-3. Approved Test - Acute Toxicity (TUa)

Species	Scientific Name	Effect	Test Duration
shrimp	<i>Holmesimysis costata</i>	survival	48 or 96 hours
shrimp	<i>Mysidopsis bahia</i>	survival	48 or 96 hours
silversides	<i>Menidia beryllina</i>	survival	48 or 96 hours
sheepshead minnow	<i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i>	survival	48 or 96 hours

If the effluent is to be discharged to a marine or estuarine system (e.g., salinity values in excess of 1,000 mg/L) and originates from a freshwater supply, salinity of the effluent must be increased with dry ocean salts (e.g., FORTY FATHOMS®) to match salinity of the receiving water. This modified effluent shall then be tested using marine species.

Reference toxicant test results shall be submitted with the effluent sample test results. Both tests must satisfy the test acceptability criteria specified in EPA-821-R-02-012. If the test acceptability criteria are not achieved or if toxicity is detected, the sample shall be retaken and retested within 5 days of the failed sampling event. The retest results shall be reported in accordance with EPA-821-R-02-012 (chapter on report preparation) and the results shall be attached to the next monitoring report.

When it is not possible to measure the 96-hour LC 50 due to greater than 50 percent survival of the test species in 100 percent waste, the toxicity concentration shall be calculated by the expression:

$$TUa = [\log(100 - S)]/1.7$$

where S = percentage survival in 100% waste. If S > 99, TUa shall be reported as zero.

When toxicity monitoring finds acute toxicity in the effluent above the effluent limitation established by the Order, the Discharger shall immediately resample the effluent, if the discharge is continuing, and retest for acute toxicity. Results of the initial failed test and any toxicity monitoring results subsequent to the failed test shall be reported as soon as reasonable to the Executive Officer (EO). The EO will determine whether to initiate enforcement action, whether to require the Discharger to implement toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) requirements (section VI.C.2.a of the Order), or to implement other measures.

B. Whole Effluent Chronic Toxicity

The presence of chronic toxicity shall be estimated as specified in *Short Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to West Coast Marine and Estuarine Organisms*, EPA-821/600/R-95/136; *Short Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Marine and Estuarine Organisms*, EPA-600-4-91-003; *Procedures Manual for Conducting Toxicity Tests developed by the Marine Bioassay Project*, SWRCB 1996, 96-1WQ; and/or *Short Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Marine and Estuarine Organisms*, EPA/600/4-87-028 or subsequent editions.

Chronic toxicity measures a sub lethal effect (e.g., reduced growth or reproduction) to experimental test organisms exposed to an effluent compared to that of the control organisms.

Chronic Toxicity (TU_c) = 100/NOEL.

The no observed effect level (NOEL) is the maximum tested concentration in a medium which does not cause known adverse effects upon chronic exposure in the species in question (i.e., the highest effluent concentration to which organisms are exposed in a chronic test that causes no observable adverse effects on the test organisms; e.g., the highest concentration of a toxicant to which the values for the observed responses are not statistically significantly different from the controls). Examples of chronic toxicity include but are not limited to measurements of toxicant effects on reproduction, growth, and sublethal effects that can include behavioral, physiological, and biochemical effects.

In accordance with the 2005 Ocean Plan, Appendix III, *Standard Monitoring Procedures*, the Discharger shall use the critical life stage toxicity tests specified in the table below to measure TU_c. Other species or protocols will be added to the list after State Water Resources Control Board review and approval.

A minimum of three test species with approved test protocols shall be used to measure compliance with the toxicity limitation. If possible, the test species shall include a fish, an invertebrate, and an aquatic plant. After a screening period of no fewer than three tests, monitoring can be reduced to the most sensitive species. Dilution and control water should be obtained from an unaffected area of the receiving waters. The sensitivity of the test organisms to a reference toxicant shall be determined concurrently with each bioassay test and reported with the test results.

Table E-4. Approved Tests—Chronic Toxicity

Species	Test	Tier ^[1]	Reference ^[2]
Giant kelp, <i>Macrocystis pyrifera</i>	percent germination; germ tube length	1	a, c
Red abalone, <i>Haliotis rufescens</i>	abnormal shell development	1	a, c
Oyster, <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> ; mussels, <i>Mytilus spp.</i>	abnormal shell development; percent survival	1	a, c
Urchin, <i>Strongylocentrotus purpuratus</i> ; sand dollar, <i>Dendraster excentricus</i>	percent normal development	1	a, c
Urchin, <i>Strongylocentrotus purpuratus</i> ; sand dollar, <i>Dendraster excentricus</i>	percent fertilization	1	a, c
Shrimp, <i>Homesimysis costata</i>	percent survival; growth	1	a, c
Shrimp, <i>Mysidopsis bahia</i>	percent survival; fecundity	2	b, d
Topsmelt, <i>Atherinops affinis</i>	larval growth rate; percent survival	1	a, c
Silverside, <i>Menidia beryllina</i>	larval growth rate; percent survival	2	b, d

^[1] First tier methods are preferred for compliance monitoring. If first tier organisms are not available, the Discharger can use a second tier test method following approval by the Regional Water Board.

^[2] Protocol References:

- a. Chapman, G.A., D.L. Denton, and J.M. Lazorchak. 1995. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to West Coast Marine and Estuarine Organisms. U.S. EPA Report No. EPA/600/R-95/136.
- b. Klemm, D.J., G.E. Morrison, T.J. Norberg-King, W.J. Peltier, and M.A. Heber. 1994. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Water to Marine and Estuarine Organisms. U.S. EPA Report No. EPA-600-4-91-003.
- c. SWRCB 1996. Procedures Manual for Conducting Toxicity Tests Developed by the Marine Bioassay Project. 96-1WQ.
- d. Weber, C.I., W.B. Horning, I.I., D.J. Klemm, T.W. Nieheisel, P.A. Lewis, E.L. Robinson, J. Menkedick and F. Kessler (eds). 1998. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Marine and Estuarine Organisms. EPA/600/4-87/028. National Information Service, Springfield, VA.

Dilution and control waters shall be obtained from an area of the receiving waters, typically upstream, which is unaffected by the discharge. Standard dilution water can be used, if the receiving water itself exhibits toxicity or if approved by the Water Board. If the dilution water used in testing is different from the water in which the test organisms were cultured, a second control sample using culture water shall be tested.

If the effluent is to be discharged to a marine or estuarine system (e.g., salinity values in excess of 1,000 mg/L) originates from a freshwater supply, salinity of the effluent must be increased with dry ocean salts (e.g., FORTY FATHOMS[®]) to match salinity of the receiving water. This modified effluent shall then be tested using marine species.

For this discharge, the presence of chronic toxicity at more than 85 TUC shall trigger the Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) requirements of the Order (Section VI.C.2.a).

C. Toxicity Reporting

1. The Discharger shall include a full report of toxicity test results with the regular monthly monitoring report and include the following information.
 - a. toxicity test results,
 - b. dates of sample collection and initiation of each toxicity test, and
 - c. acute and/or chronic toxicity discharge limitations (or value).
2. Toxicity test results shall be reported according to the appropriate guidance - Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, Fifth Edition, U.S. EPA Office of Water, EPA-821-R-02-012 (2002) or the latest edition, or Short Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, EPA-821-R-02-012 (2002) or subsequent editions.
3. If the initial investigation TRE workplan is used to determine that additional (accelerated) toxicity testing is unnecessary, these results shall be submitted with the monitoring report for the month in which investigations conducted under the TRE workplan occurred.
4. Within 30 days of receipt of test results exceeding an acute or chronic toxicity discharge limitation, the Discharger shall provide written notification to the Executive Officer of:
 - a. Findings of the TRE or other investigation to identify the cause(s) of toxicity,
 - b. Actions the Discharger has taken/will take, to mitigate the impact of the discharge and to prevent the recurrence of toxicity.

When corrective actions, including a TRE, have not been completed, a schedule under which corrective actions will be implemented, or the reason for not taking corrective action, if no action has been taken.

VI. LAND DISCHARGE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

This section of the standardized MRP is not applicable to the Discharger.

VII. RECLAMATION MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

This section of the standardized MRP is not applicable to the Discharger.

VIII. RECEIVING WATER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS – SURFACE WATER

At the time of effluent sampling of 001 and 003, a log should be kept of receiving water conditions. From an easily accessible point, the presence or absence of the following conditions should be noted in the log:

1. Floating Matter
2. Discoloration

- 3. Foaming
- 4. Turbidity

If any of these conditions are noted, the receiving waters shall be observed from a closer vantage point for the presence or absence of suspended matter or aquatic life. The Discharger shall summarize the receiving water conditions in each monitoring report.

IX. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements

- 1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions (Attachment D) related to monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping.

B. Self Monitoring Reports (SMRs)

- 1. At any time during the term of this permit, the State or Regional Water Board may notify the Discharger to electronically submit Self-Monitoring Reports (SMRs) using the State Water Board’s California Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program Web site (<http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ciwqs/index.html>). Until such notification is given, the Discharger shall submit hard copy SMRs. The CIWQS web site will provide additional directions for SMR submittal in the event there will be service interruption for electronic submittal.
- 2. The Discharger shall report in the SMR the results for all monitoring specified in this MRP under sections III through IX. The Discharger shall submit monthly, quarterly, and annual SMRs including the results of all required monitoring using USEPA-approved test methods or other test methods specified in this Order. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculations and reporting of the data submitted in the SMR.
- 3. Monitoring periods and reporting for all required monitoring shall be completed according to the following schedule.

Table E-5. Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule

Sampling Frequency	Monitoring Period Begins On...	Monitoring Period	SMR Due Date
Continuous	Permit effective date	All	30 th day of the month following the reporting period
Daily	Permit effective date	(Midnight through 11:59 PM) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling.	30 th day of the month following the reporting period
Weekly	Sunday following permit effective date or on permit effective date if on a	Sunday through Saturday	30 th day of the month following the

Sampling Frequency	Monitoring Period Begins On...	Monitoring Period	SMR Due Date
	Sunday		reporting period
Every 8 th Day	Sunday following permit effective date or on permit effective date if on a Sunday	14 day consecutive period	30 th day of the month following the reporting period
Monthly	First day of calendar month following permit effective date or on permit effective date if that date is first day of the month	1 st day of calendar month through last day of calendar month	30 th day of the month following the reporting period
Quarterly	Closest of January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1 following (or on) permit effective date	January 1 through March 31 April 1 through June 30 July 1 through September 30 October 1 through December 31	30 th day of the month following the reporting period
Annually	January 1 following (or on) permit effective date	January 1 through December 31	January 30
1x/permit term	January 1 following (or on) permit effective date	Permit term	180 days prior to permit expiration

4. Reporting Protocols. The Discharger shall report with each sample result the applicable reported Minimum Level (ML) and the current Method Detection Limit (MDL), as determined by the procedure in Part 136.

The Discharger shall report the results of analytical determinations for the presence of chemical constituents in a sample using the following reporting protocols:

- a. Sample results greater than or equal to the reported ML shall be reported as measured by the laboratory (i.e., the measured chemical concentration in the sample).
- b. Sample results less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL, shall be reported as "Detected, but Not Quantified," or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.

For the purposes of data collection, the laboratory shall write the estimated chemical concentration next to DNQ as well as the words "Estimated Concentration" (may be shortened to "Est. Conc."). The laboratory may, if such information is available, include numerical estimates of the data quality for the reported result. Numerical estimates of data quality may be percent accuracy (+ a percentage of the reported value), numerical ranges (low to high), or any other means considered appropriate by the laboratory.

- c. Sample results less than the laboratory's MDL shall be reported as "Not Detected," or ND.
- d. Dischargers are to instruct laboratories to establish calibration standards so that the ML value (or its equivalent if there is differential treatment of samples relative to calibration standards) is the lowest calibration standard. At no time is the

Discharger to use analytical data derived from extrapolation beyond the lowest point of the calibration curve.

5. Compliance Determination. Compliance with effluent limitations for priority pollutants shall be determined using sample reporting protocols defined above and Attachment A of this Order. For purposes of reporting and administrative enforcement by the Regional and State Water Boards, the Discharger shall be deemed out of compliance with effluent limitations if the concentration of the priority pollutant in the monitoring sample is greater than the effluent limitation and greater than or equal to the reporting level (RL).
6. Multiple Sample Data. When determining compliance with an AMEL, AWEL, or MDEL for priority pollutants and more than one sample result is available, the Discharger shall compute the arithmetic mean unless the data set contains one or more reported determinations of "Detected, but Not Quantified" (DNQ) or "Not Detected" (ND). In those cases, the Discharger shall compute the median in place of the arithmetic mean in accordance with the following procedure:
 - a. The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.
 - b. The median value of the data set shall be determined. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an even number of data points, then the median is the average of the two values around the middle unless one or both of the points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two data points where DNQ is lower than a value and ND is lower than DNQ.
7. The Discharger shall submit SMRs in accordance with the following requirements:
 - a. The Discharger shall arrange all reported data in a tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with interim and/or final effluent limitations. The Discharger is not required to duplicate the submittal of data that is entered in a tabular format within CIWQS. When electronic submittal of data is required and CIWQS does not provide for entry into a tabular format within the system, the Discharger shall electronically submit the data in a tabular format as an attachment.
 - b. The Discharger shall attach a cover letter to the SMR. The information contained in the cover letter shall clearly identify violations of the WDRs; discuss corrective actions taken or planned; and the proposed time schedule for corrective actions. Identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation.
 - c. SMRs must be submitted to the Regional Water Board, signed and certified as required by the Standard Provisions (Attachment D), to the address listed below:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board

Central Coast Region
895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

C. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)

1. As described in Section X.B.1 above, at any time during the term of this permit, the State Water Board or Regional Water Board may notify the Discharger to electronically submit SMRs that will satisfy federal requirements for submittal of Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs). Until such notification is given, the Discharger shall submit DMRs in accordance with the requirements described below.
2. DMRs must be signed and certified as required by the standard provisions (Attachment D). The Discharger shall submit the original DMR and one copy of the DMR to the address listed below:

STANDARD MAIL	FEDEX/UPS/ OTHER PRIVATE CARRIERS
State Water Resources Control Board Division of Water Quality c/o DMR Processing Center PO Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-1000	State Water Resources Control Board Division of Water Quality c/o DMR Processing Center 1001 I Street, 15 th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

3. All discharge monitoring results must be reported on the official USEPA pre-printed DMR forms (EPA Form 3320-1). Forms that are self-generated will not be accepted unless they follow the exact same format of EPA Form 3320-1.

D. Other Reports

1. The Discharger shall report the results of any special monitoring, TREs, or other data or information that results from the Special Provisions, section VI. C, of the Order. The Discharger shall submit such reports with the first monthly SMR scheduled to be submitted on or immediately following the report due date.

ATTACHMENT F – FACT SHEET

Table of Contents

I.	Permit Information	F-3
II.	Facility Description	F-4
	A. Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment.....	F-4
	B. Discharge Points and Receiving Waters.....	F-4
	C. Summary of Existing Requirements and Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) Data	F-4
	D. Compliance Summary.....	F-4
	E. Planned Changes	F-6
III.	Applicable Plans, Policies, and Regulations	F-6
	A. Legal Authorities	F-6
	B. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)	F-7
	C. State and Federal Regulations, Policies, and Plans	F-7
	D. Impaired Water Bodies on CWA 303(d) List	F-8
	E. Other Plans, Policies and Regulations.....	F-8
IV.	Rationale For Effluent Limitations and Discharge Specifications.....	F-9
	A. Discharge Prohibitions	F-9
	B. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations.....	F-10
	1. Scope and Authority.....	F-10
	2. Applicable Technology-Based Effluent Limitations	F-10
	C. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs).....	F-11
	1. Scope and Authority.....	F-11
	2. Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives	F-11
	3. Determining the Need for WQBELs	F-12
	4. WQBEL Calculations	F-14
	5. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)	F-14
	D. Final Effluent Limitations.....	F-15
	1. Satisfaction of Anti-Backsliding Requirements.....	F-15
	2. Satisfaction of Antidegradation Policy.....	F-15
	3. Stringency of Requirements for Individual Pollutants.....	F-15
	E. Interim Effluent Limitations.....	F-15
	F. Land Discharge Specifications.....	F-15
	G. Reclamation Specifications	F-15
V.	Rationale for Receiving Water Limitations.....	F-16
	A. Surface Water	F-16
	B. Groundwater	F-16
VI.	Rationale for Monitoring and Reporting Requirements.....	F-16
	A. Influent Monitoring	F-16
	B. Effluent Monitoring.....	F-16
	C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements	F-16
	D. Receiving Water Monitoring	F-17
	1. Surface Water	F-17
	2. Groundwater	F-17
	E. Other Monitoring Requirements.....	F-17
VII.	Rationale for Provisions.....	F-17

- A. Standard Provisions..... F-17
- B. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Requirements F-17
- C. Special Provisions..... F-17
 - 1. Reopener Provisions..... F-17
 - 2. Special Studies and Additional Monitoring Requirements..... F-18
 - 3. Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention F-18
 - 4. Construction, Operation, and Maintenance Specifications..... F-18
 - 5. Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTWs Only) F-18
 - 6. Other Special Provisions..... F-18
 - 7. Compliance Schedules F-18
- VIII. Public Participation F-18
 - A. Notification of Interested Parties F-18
 - B. Written Comments F-19
 - C. Public Hearing F-19
 - D. Waste Discharge Requirements Petitions..... F-20
 - E. Information and Copying..... F-20
 - F. Register of Interested Persons F-20
 - G. Additional Information F-20

List of Tables

- Table F-1. Facility Information F-3
- Table F-2. Secondary Treatment Requirements..... F-10
- Table F-3. Summary of Technology-Based Effluent Limitations – Discharge Point 002..... F-10

ATTACHMENT F – FACT SHEET

As described in section II of this Order, this Fact Sheet includes the legal requirements and technical rationale that serve as the basis for the requirements of this Order.

This Order has been prepared under a standardized format to accommodate a broad range of discharge requirements for Dischargers in California. Only those sections or subsections of this Order that are specifically identified as “not applicable” have been determined not to apply to this Discharger. Sections or subsections of this Order not specifically identified as “not applicable” are fully applicable to this Discharger.

I. PERMIT INFORMATION

The following table summarizes administrative information related to the facility.

Table F-1. Facility Information

WDID	3 442004003
Discharger	CEMEX USA, Inc
Name of Facility	Davenport Cement Plant
Facility Address	700 Highway 1
	Davenport, California 95017
	Santa Cruz County
Facility Contact, Title and Phone	Kenny O’Connell (831) 458-5775
Authorized Person to Sign and Submit Reports	Kenny O’Connell (831) 458-5775
Mailing Address	700 Highway 1, Davenport, CA 95017
Billing Address	700 Highway 1, Davenport, CA 95017
Type of Facility	Cement manufacturing plant
Major or Minor Facility	Minor
Threat to Water Quality	1
Complexity	B
Pretreatment Program	N
Reclamation Requirements	None
Facility Permitted Flow	0.87 million gallons per day (MGD) (average dry weather flow)
Facility Design Flow	0.87 MGD
Receiving Waters	Pacific Ocean
Receiving Water Type	Marine

Cemex USA, Inc. is the owner of the Davenport Cement Plant.

- A.** For the purposes of this Order, references to the “discharger” or “permittee” in applicable federal and State laws, regulations, plans, or policy are held to be equivalent to references to the Discharger herein.
- B.** This Order authorizes the discharge of treated wastewater to the Pacific Ocean, waters of the United States. If necessary, the terms and conditions of the current Order (Order

No. R3-2005-0038) will be automatically continued past its expiration date and remain in effect until new waste discharge requirements are adopted pursuant to this Order.

- C. The Discharger filed a Report of Waste Discharge and submitted an application for renewal of its Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit on November 2, 2009. The application was deemed complete on November 15, 2009, by Regional Water Board staff.

II. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

- A. The Plant site includes two ponds: the first (the Pond) captures stormwater and the second captures stormwater runoff.

The Discharger uses the Pond to supply water for the cement manufacturing process. The other stormwater collection pond is not engineered or lined but clarifies the influent stormwater runoff to some extent. During wet weather, this pond sometimes overflows to a nearby storm drain and discharges at 001. The Discharger pumps sanitary waste to the Davenport Sanitation District Wastewater Lagoon for treatment and disposal.

The Discharger controls the volumes of non-contact cooling water (up to 60,000 gpd) and stormwater (estimated at up to 180,000 gpd) with groundwater (up to 180,000 gpd) to minimize the concentration of fluvic acid substances added by the groundwater. (Fluvic acid substances cause effluent chronic toxicity.) The discharge may also include up to 20,000 gpd of excess dust control water. When needed, the Discharger injects carbon dioxide (CO₂) into Discharge No. 001 (defined below) to control the pH.

B. Discharge Points and Receiving Waters

Treated wastewater discharges to the Pacific Ocean at Discharge Point 001 (37° 00' 54" N, 122° 12' 02" W).

C. Summary of Existing Requirements and Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) Data

Waste discharge requirements in the proposed Order are identical to those in the existing Order.

D. Compliance Summary

Violation No.	Violation Type	Violation Date	Violation Description	Staff comment	Enforcement action
365896	Reporting -> Late Report	22-Aug-05	Late quarterly report violation; quarterly report shall be submitted no later than 08/01/2005; report not received by 08/22/2005.	Subsequent reports have been timely.	Failure-to- submit letter sent 8/22/2005
369017	BMP	13-Jan-06	Inspector found no evidence of BMPs per SWPPP	In response to NOV, Discharger effected comprehensive management plan including major site cleanup, extensive paving and	NOV sent March 7, 2006

Violation No.	Violation Type	Violation Date	Violation Description	Staff comment	Enforcement action
427285	Deficient Monitoring	30-Jun-06	Failure to report flow, pH, total suspended solids, temperature, oil & grease, settleable solids and turbidity for discharge 003 and receiving water monitoring.	gravelling of exposed areas and roadways, frequent mechanical sweeping, roofing over stored raw materials. Staff found BMPs were adequate. No discharge usually occurs at Point 003.	Staff spoke with discharger, who will include required receiving water monitoring results in future monitoring reports
442761	Deficient Monitoring	30-Sep-06	Failure to submit turbidity for discharge locations 001a and 001b and receiving water monitoring including: floating matter, discoloration, foaming and turbidity per the required frequency.		Per phone discussion, discharger will submit information, which was omitted from report inadvertently.
463344	Deficient Monitoring	31-Dec-06	Failure to submit flow, pH, total suspended solids, temperature, oil and grease, settleable solids and turbidity for discharge location 003, turbidity for discharge location 001a, ph and electric conductivity for discharge location 001, receiving water monitoring including: floating matter, discoloration, foaming and turbidity and site observations including observations of all drainage system features (including free board in all pond), observations of all raw materials storage areas, and all erodible areas in the vicinity of the Plant per the required frequency.	No discharge at 003 so no sampling. pH and EC at Point 001 were sampled.	Called discharger to remind of required receiving water sampling.
640937	Deficient Monitoring	30-Jun-07	Failure to submit flow, pH, total suspended solids, temperature, oil and grease, settleable solids and turbidity for discharge location 003, turbidity for discharge location 001a, receiving water monitoring including: floating matter, discoloration, foaming and turbidity and site observations including	Only Point 001 discharges. Therefore, no samples taken from other discharge points. Mechanical problem reducing pH to less than allowed was fixed.	None

Violation No.	Violation Type	Violation Date	Violation Description	Staff comment	Enforcement action
640938	Water Quality -> Effluent -> OEV	30-Jun-07	observations of all drainage system features (including free board in all pond), observations of all raw materials storage areas, and all erodible areas in the vicinity of the Plant per the required frequency. pH violation; permit limit of 6.0 SU; reported value of 5.63 SU.	Problem addressed by equipment repair	None
726339	Deficient Monitoring	31-Dec-07	Failure to submit oil and grease and settleable solids for discharge location 003, turbidity for discharge location 001a, 001b and 003; receiving water monitoring including: floating matter, discoloration, foaming and turbidity and site observations including observations of all drainage system features (including free board in all pond), observations of all raw materials storage areas, and all erodible areas in the vicinity of the Plant per the required frequency.	Discharge only occurs from point 001. Therefore, no monitoring required from Point 003.	None

E. Planned Changes

The Discharger plans no changes to the existing wastewater treatment and disposal system.

III. APPLICABLE PLANS, POLICIES, AND REGULATIONS

The requirements contained in the proposed Order are based on the requirements and authorities described in this section.

A. Legal Authorities

This Order is issued pursuant to section 402 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and implementing regulations adopted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and chapter 5.5, division 7 of the California Water Code (CWC) (commencing with section 13370). It shall serve as a NPDES permit for point source discharges from this facility to surface waters. This Order also serves as Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) pursuant to article 4, chapter 4, division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13260).

B. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

Pursuant to Water Code section 13389, this action to adopt an NPDES permit is exempt from the provisions of CEQA, Public Resources Code sections 21100 - through 21177.

C. State and Federal Regulations, Policies, and Plans

- 1. Water Quality Control Plans.** The Regional Water Board has adopted a *Water Quality Control Plan for the Central Coast Region* (the Basin Plan) that designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and contains implementation programs and policies to achieve those objectives for receiving waters within the Region. To address ocean waters, the Basin Plan incorporates by reference the *Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California* (the Ocean Plan), which was adopted in 1972 and amended in 1978, 1983, 1988, 1990, 1997, 2000, and 2005. The most recent amendment to the Ocean Plan was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board (the State Water Board) on April 21, 2005, and became effective on February 14, 2006.

The Basin Plan implements State Water Board Resolution No. 88-63, which establishes State policy that all waters, with certain exceptions, should be considered suitable or potentially suitable for municipal or domestic supply (MUN). Because of very high levels of total dissolved solids (TDS) in the Pacific Ocean, including Monterey Bay, the receiving waters for discharges from the Watsonville Wastewater Treatment Facility meet an exception to Resolution No. 88-63, which precludes waters with TDS levels greater than 3,000 mg/L from the MUN designation. Beneficial uses established by the Basin Plan and the Ocean Plan for the Pacific Ocean, including Monterey Bay, are described in section II. H and I of the Order.

Requirements of this Order implement the Basin Plan and Ocean Plan.

- 2. Thermal Plan.** The State Water Board adopted a *Water Quality Control Plan for Control of Temperature in the Coastal and Interstate Water and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California* (Thermal Plan) on May 18, 1972, and amended this plan on September 18, 1975. This plan contains the following temperature objective for existing discharges to enclosed bays and coastal waters of California.

Elevated temperature waste discharges shall comply with limitations necessary to assure protection of beneficial uses.

The Ocean Plan defines elevated temperature wastes as:

Liquid, solid, or gaseous material discharged at a temperature higher than the natural temperature of receiving water.

- 3. California Ocean Plan.** The State Water Board adopted the *Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California, California Ocean Plan* (Ocean Plan) in 1972 and amended it in 1978, 1983, 1988, 1990, 1997, 2000, and 2005. The State Water Board adopted the latest amendment on April 21, 2005 and it became effective on February

14, 2006. The Ocean Plan is applicable, in its entirety, to point source discharges to the Pacific Ocean.

- 4. Alaska Rule.** On March 30, 2000, USEPA revised its regulation that specifies when new and revised state and tribal water quality standards become effective for CWA purposes [65 Fed. Reg. 24641 (April 27, 2000) (codified at 40 CFR 131.21,)]. Under the revised regulation (also known as the Alaska Rule), new and revised standards submitted to USEPA after May 30, 2000, must be approved by USEPA before being used for CWA purposes. The final rule also provides that standards already in effect and submitted to USEPA by May 30, 2000, may be used for CWA purposes, whether or not approved by USEPA.
- 5. Antidegradation Policy.** NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 131.12 require that State water quality standards include an antidegradation policy consistent with the federal policy. The State Water Board established California's antidegradation policy in State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16, which incorporates the federal antidegradation policy where the federal policy applies under federal law. Resolution No. 68-16 requires that the existing quality of waters be maintained unless degradation is justified based on specific findings. The Water Board's Basin Plan implements and incorporates by reference both the State and federal antidegradation policies. As discussed in section IV.D.2 of this Fact Sheet, the permitted discharge is consistent with the antidegradation provisions of 40 CFR 131.12 and State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16.
- 6. Anti-Backsliding Requirements.** CWA Sections 402 (o) (2) and 303 (d) (4) and NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.44 (l) prohibit backsliding in NPDES permits. These anti-backsliding provisions require effluent limitations in a reissued permit to be as stringent as those in the previous permit, with some exceptions where limitations may be relaxed. As discussed in section IV.D.1 of this Fact Sheet, effluent limitations and other requirements established by this Order satisfy applicable anti-backsliding provisions of the CWA and NPDES regulations.

D. Impaired Water Bodies on CWA 303(d) List

CWA section 303 (d) requires states to identify specific water bodies where water quality standards are not expected to be met after implementation of technology based limitations on point sources. For all 303 (d) listed water bodies, the Water Board must develop and implement TMDLs (total maximum daily loads) that specify WLAs (waste load allocations) for point sources and load allocations for non-point sources.

The Water Board has not proposed to list the Pacific Ocean in the vicinity of the discharge on the 303(d) list.

E. Other Plans, Policies and Regulations

- 1. Discharges of Storm Water.** For the control of storm water discharged from the site, if applicable, the Order requires the Discharger to seek authorization to discharge under and meet the requirements of the State Water Resources Control Board's Water Quality Order 97-03-DWQ, NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001,

Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activities Excluding Construction Activities.

IV. RATIONALE FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS

The CWA requires point source dischargers to control the amount of conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants that are discharged into the waters of the United States. The control of pollutants discharged is established through effluent limitations and other requirements in NPDES permits. NPDES regulations establish two principal bases for effluent limitations. At 40 CFR 122.44 (a) permits are required to include applicable technology-based limitations and standards; and at 40 CFR 122.44 (d) permits are required to include water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) to attain and maintain applicable numeric and narrative water quality criteria (WQC) to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving water. When numeric water quality objectives (WQOs) have not been established, but a discharge has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above a narrative criterion, WQBELs may be established using one or more of three methods described at 40 CFR 122.44 (d) - 1) WQBELs may be established using a calculated water quality criterion derived from a proposed State criterion or an explicit State policy or regulation interpreting its narrative criterion; 2) WQBELs may be established on a case-by-case basis using USEPA criteria guidance published under CWA Section 304 (a); or 3) WQBELs may be established using an indicator parameter for the pollutant of concern.

A. Discharge Prohibitions

- 1. Discharge Prohibition III. A** (No discharge at a location or in a manner except as described by the Order). The Order authorizes a single point of discharge of wastewater to the Pacific Ocean. This prohibition reflects CWA section 402's prohibition against discharges of pollutants except in compliance with the Act's permit requirements, effluent limitations, and other enumerated provisions. This prohibition is also retained from the previous permit.
- 2. Discharge Prohibition III. B** (The discharge of any waste not specifically regulated by this permit is prohibited.) Because limitations and conditions of the Order have been prepared based on specific information provided by the Discharger and specific wastes described by the Discharger, the limitations and conditions of the Order do not adequately address waste streams not contemplated during drafting of the Order. To prevent the discharge of such waste streams that may be inadequately regulated, the Order prohibits the discharge of any waste that was not described to the Water Board during the process of permit reissuance. This prohibition is retained from the previous permit.
- 3. Discharge Prohibition III. C** (Creation of a condition of pollution, contamination, or nuisance, as defined by Section 13050 of the CWC, is prohibited). This prohibition is retained from the previous permit.
- 4. Discharge Prohibition III. D** (Overflows and bypasses prohibited). The discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater from the Discharger's collection, treatment, or disposal facilities represents an unauthorized bypass pursuant to 40 CFR 122.41

(m) or an unauthorized discharge, which poses a threat to human health and/or aquatic life, and therefore, is explicitly prohibited by the Order.

- 5. **Discharge Prohibition III. E** (Discharges of sludge to surface waters prohibited). This prohibition is retained from the previous permit, and is based on the solid waste discharge prohibition against the discharge of solids to surface waters contained in the Basin Plan at section VI.D.1 of Chapter 4.
- 6. **Discharge Prohibition III. F** (Average dry weather daily flow from Discharge 001 shall not exceed 0.8 MGD and average dry weather daily flow shall not exceed 0.07 MGD from Discharge 002.) This prohibition is retained from the previous permit, where it was expressed as an effluent limitation.

B. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

1. Scope and Authority

NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.44 (a) require that permits include applicable technology-based limitations and standards. Where the USEPA has not yet developed technology based standards for a particular industry or a particular pollutant, CWA Section 402 (a) (1) and USEPA regulations at 40 CFR 125.3 authorize the use of best professional judgment (BPJ) to derive technology-based effluent limitations on a case-by-case basis. When BPJ is used, the permit writer must consider specific factors outlined at 40 CFR 125.3.

At 40 CFR 133 in the Secondary Treatment Regulations, USEPA has established the following minimum required level of effluent quality attainable by secondary treatment.

Table F-2. Secondary Treatment Requirements

Parameter	30-Day Average	7-Day Average
BOD ^[1]	30 mg/L	45 mg/L
TSS ^[1]	30 mg/L	45 mg/L
pH	6.0 – 9.0 s.u.	

^[1] The 30-day average percent removal shall not be less than 85 percent.

2. Applicable Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

The following table summarizes technology-based effluent limitations established by the Order for the discharge to the Pacific Ocean at Discharge Point 001 and Point 003.

Table F-3. Summary of Technology-Based Effluent Limitations – Discharge Point 001 and Point 003

Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitations - Discharge Point 001 and Point 003		
		Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Maximum daily

Grease and oil	mg/L	30	40	75
TSS ^[1]	mg/L			50
	lbs/day			168
Settleable Solids	mL/L	1.0	1.5	3.0
Turbidity	NTUs	75	100	
pH	pH units	6.0 – 9.0 at all times		

[1] The average monthly percent removal of TSS, as measured at Monitoring Location EFF-001, shall not be less than 85 percent.

C. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)

1. Scope and Authority

NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.44 (d) require that permits include limitations more stringent than applicable federal technology-based requirements where necessary to achieve applicable water quality standards, including numeric and narrative objectives within a standard.

The process for determining “reasonable potential” and calculating WQBELs, when necessary, is intended to protect the designated uses of receiving waters as specified in the Basin Plan, and achieve applicable water quality objectives and criteria that are contained in the Basin Plan and in other applicable State and federal rules, plans, and policies, including applicable water quality criteria from the CTR and the NTR.

Where reasonable potential has been established for a pollutant, but there is no numeric criterion or objective for the pollutant, WQBELs must be established in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 122.44 (d) (1) (vi), using (1) USEPA criteria guidance under CWA section 304 (a), supplemented where necessary by other relevant information; (2) an indicator parameter for the pollutant of concern; or (3) a calculated numeric water quality criterion, such as a proposed State criterion or policy interpreting the State’s narrative criterion, supplemented with other relevant information.

2. Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives

Beneficial uses for ocean waters of the Central Coast Region are established by the Basin Plan and Ocean Plan and are described by Findings H and I, respectively, of Section II of the Order.

Water quality criteria applicable to ocean waters of the Region are established by the Ocean Plan, which includes water quality objectives for bacterial characteristics, physical characteristics, chemical characteristics, biological characteristics, and radioactivity. The water quality objectives from the Ocean Plan are incorporated as receiving water limitations into this Order. In addition, Table B of the Ocean Plan

contains numeric water quality objectives for 83 toxic pollutants for the protection of marine aquatic life and human health. Pursuant to NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.44 (d) (1), and in accordance with procedures established by the Ocean Plan (2005), the Regional Water Board has performed a reasonable potential analysis (RPA) to determine the need for effluent limitations for the Table B toxic pollutants.

3. Determining the Need for WQBELs

Procedures for performing a Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA) for ocean dischargers are described in Section III. C. and Appendix VI of the Ocean Plan. In general, the procedure is a statistical method that projects an effluent data set while taking into account the averaging period of water quality objectives, the long term variability of pollutants in the effluent, limitations associated with sparse data sets, and uncertainty associated with censored data sets. The procedure assumes a lognormal distribution of the effluent data set, and compares the 95th percentile concentration at 95 percent confidence of each Table B pollutant, accounting for dilution, to the applicable water quality criterion. The RPA results in one of three following endpoints.

- Endpoint 1 – There is “reasonable potential.” An effluent limitation must be developed for the pollutant. Effluent monitoring for the pollutant, consistent with the monitoring frequency in Appendix III (Ocean Plan), is required.
- Endpoint 2 - There is no “reasonable potential.” An effluent limitation is not required for the pollutant. Appendix III (Ocean Plan) effluent monitoring is not required for the pollutant; the Regional Board, however, may require occasional monitoring for the pollutant or for whole effluent toxicity as appropriate.
- Endpoint 3 - The RPA is inconclusive. Monitoring for the pollutant or whole effluent toxicity testing, consistent with the monitoring frequency in Appendix III (Ocean Plan), is required. An existing effluent limitation for the pollutant shall remain in the permit, otherwise the permit shall include a reopener clause to allow for subsequent modification of the permit to include an effluent limitation if the monitoring establishes that the discharge causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above a Table B water quality objective.

The State Water Resources Control Board has developed a reasonable potential calculator, which is available at:

<http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/plnspols/docs/oplans/rpcalc.zip>.

The calculator (RPcalc 2.0) was used in the development of this Order and considers several pathways in the determination of reasonable potential.

- a. First Path

If available information about the receiving water or the discharge supports a finding of reasonable potential without analysis of effluent data, the Regional Water Board may decide that WQBELs are necessary after a review of such information. Such information may include: the facility or discharge type, solids loading, lack of dilution, history of compliance problems, potential toxic effects, fish tissue data, 303 (d) status of the receiving water, or the presence of threatened or endangered species or their critical habitat, or other information.

b. Second Path

If any pollutant concentration, adjusted to account for dilution, is greater than the most stringent applicable water quality objective, there is reasonable potential for that pollutant.

c. Third Path

If the effluent data contains three or more detected and quantified values (i.e., values that are at or above the ML), and all values in the data set are at or above the ML, a parametric RPA is conducted to project the range of possible effluent values. The 95th percentile concentration is determined at 95 percent confidence for each pollutant, and compared to the most stringent applicable water quality objective to determine reasonable potential. A parametric analysis assumes that the range of possible effluent values is distributed lognormally. If the 95th percentile value is greater than the most stringent applicable water quality objective, there is reasonable potential for that pollutant.

d. Fourth Path

If the effluent data contains three or more detected and quantified values (i.e., values that are at or above the ML), but at least one value in the data set is less than the ML, a parametric RPA is conducted according to the following steps.

- (1) If the number of censored values (those expressed as a “less than” value) account for less than 80 percent of the total number of effluent values, calculate the M_L (the mean of the natural log of transformed data) and S_L (the standard deviation of the natural log of transformed data) and conduct a parametric RPA, as described above for the Third Path.
- (2) If the number of censored values account for 80 percent or more of the total number of effluent values, conduct a non-parametric RPA, as described below for the Fifth Path. (A non-parametric analysis becomes necessary when the effluent data is limited, and no assumptions can be made regarding its possible distribution.)

e. Fifth Path

A non-parametric RPA is conducted when the effluent data set contains less than three detected and quantified values, or when the effluent data set contains three or more detected and quantified values but the number of censored values accounts for 80 percent or more of the total number of effluent values. A non-parametric analysis is conducted by ordering the data, comparing each result to the applicable water quality objective, and accounting for ties. The sample number is reduced by one for each tie, when the dilution-adjusted method detection limit (MDL) is greater than the water quality objective. If the adjusted sample number, after accounting for ties, is greater than 15, the pollutant has no reasonable potential to exceed the water quality objective. If the sample number is 15 or less, the RPA is inconclusive, monitoring is required, and any existing effluent limits in the expiring permit are retained.

In this case, Regional Water Board staff required no RPA from the Discharger because the pollutant load in the discharge to the Pacific Ocean is slight. That is, the discharge comprises once-through cooling water, settled stormwater, and settled dust control water. These discharges are free of significant pollutants. Nonetheless, the proposed MRP requires the Discharger to monitor for toxic pollutants once during the life of the permit.

4. WQBEL Calculations

Were the discharge to contain pollutants at concentrations with a reasonable potential to exceed a water quality objective, final WQBELs would be determined using the methods described in the Ocean Plan.

5. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)

Whole effluent toxicity (WET) limitations protect receiving water quality from the aggregate toxic effect of a mixture of pollutants in the effluent. WET tests measure the degree of response of exposed aquatic test organisms to an effluent. The WET approach allows dischargers to protect the narrative “no toxics in toxic amounts” criterion while implementing numeric criteria for toxicity. There are two types of WET tests - acute and chronic. An acute toxicity test is conducted over a short time period and measures mortality. A chronic toxicity test is conducted over a longer period of time and may measure mortality, reproduction, and growth.

The Basin Plan specifies a narrative objective for toxicity, requiring that all waters be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or which produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life. Survival of aquatic organisms in surface waters subjected to a waste discharge or other controllable water quality conditions shall not be less than that for the same water body in areas unaffected by the waste discharge or for control water.

This Order requires the Discharger monitor the discharge for acute and chronic toxicity every 13 months.

D. Final Effluent Limitations

Final, technology-based and water quality-based effluent limitations established by the Order are discussed in the preceding sections of the Fact Sheet.

1. Satisfaction of Anti-Backsliding Requirements

The Order satisfies applicable anti-backsliding provisions of the Clean Water Act, as all limitations and requirements of the Order are at least as stringent as those of the previous permit.

All effluent limitations from the existing Order Are retained in this Order.

2. Satisfaction of Antidegradation Policy

Provisions of the Order are consistent with applicable anti-degradation policy expressed by NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 131.12 and by State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16. Limitations and conditions of the Order assure maintenance of the existing quality of receiving waters and do not authorize increased rates of discharge or increased pollutant loadings to the receiving water above that authorized by the previous Order.

3. Stringency of Requirements for Individual Pollutants

This Order contains both technology-based and water quality-based effluent limitations for individual pollutants. The technology-based effluent limitations consist of restrictions on TSS and BOD₅. Restrictions on these pollutants are discussed in Section IV.B of the Fact Sheet. This Order's technology-based pollutant restrictions implement the minimum, applicable federal technology-based requirements. In addition, this Order contains effluent limitations more stringent than the minimum federal technology-based requirements that are necessary to meet water quality standards. These limitations are not more stringent than required by the CWA.

Final, technology and water quality based effluent limitations are summarized in sections IV.B and C of this Fact Sheet.

E. Interim Effluent Limitations

The Order does not establish interim effluent limitations and schedules for compliance with final effluent limitations.

F. Land Discharge Specifications

This section of the standardized permit is not applicable to the Discharger.

G. Reclamation Specifications

This section of the standardized permit is not applicable to the Discharger.

V. RATIONALE FOR RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS

A. Surface Water

Receiving water quality is a result of many factors, some unrelated to the discharge. This Order considers these factors and is designed to minimize the influence of the discharge on the receiving water. Receiving water limitations within the proposed Order generally include the receiving water limitations of the previous Order; however these limitations have been modified to reflect all applicable, general water quality objectives of the Ocean Plan (2005). Regional Water Board staff view receiving water limits in the new Order to be more comprehensive and equivalent to the receiving water limitations of the previous permit.

B. Groundwater

Groundwater limitations established by the Order include general objectives for groundwater established by the Basin Plan.

VI. RATIONALE FOR MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.48 require that all NPDES permits specify requirements for recording and reporting monitoring results. Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 also authorize the Water Board to require technical and monitoring reports. Rationale for the monitoring and reporting requirements contained in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP), which is presented in Attachment E of this Order, is presented below.

A. Influent Monitoring

This section of the standardized permit is not applicable to the Discharger.

B. Effluent Monitoring

At Discharge Point 001 and Point 003, all monitoring requirements are unchanged and are retained from the previous Order.

C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements

Whole effluent toxicity (WET) limitations protect receiving water quality from the aggregate toxic effect of a mixture of pollutants in the effluent. Acute toxicity testing measures mortality in 100 percent effluent over a short test period and chronic toxicity testing is conducted over a longer period of time and may measure mortality, reproduction, and or growth. This Order retains acute and chronic toxicity monitoring requirements for Discharge Point 001 and Point 003 from the previous permit.

D. Receiving Water Monitoring

1. Surface Water

Most receiving water and surface water monitoring requirements are unchanged and are retained from the previous Order.

2. Groundwater

Groundwater monitoring requirements are unchanged and are retained from the previous Order.

E. Other Monitoring Requirements

This section of the standardized permit is not applicable to the Discharger.

VII. RATIONALE FOR PROVISIONS

A. Standard Provisions

Standard Provisions, which apply to all NPDES permits in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41, and additional conditions applicable to specified categories of permits in accordance with 40 CFR 122.42, are provided in Attachment D to the Order.

NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.41 (a) (1) and (b - n) establish conditions that apply to all state-issued NPDES permits. These conditions must be incorporated into the permits either expressly or by reference. If incorporated by reference, a specific citation to the regulations must be included in the Order. 40 CFR 123.25 (a) (12) allows the State to omit or modify conditions to impose more stringent requirements. In accordance with 40 CFR 123.25, this Order omits federal conditions that address enforcement authority specified in 40 CFR 122.41 (j) (5) and (k) (2), because the enforcement authority under the Water Code is more stringent. In lieu of these conditions, this Order incorporates by reference Water Code section 13387 (e).

B. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Requirements

The Discharger shall comply with the MRP, and future revisions thereto, in Attachment E of this Order.

C. Special Provisions

1. Reopener Provisions

The Order may be modified in accordance with the requirements set forth at 40 CFR 122 and 124, to include appropriate conditions or limits based on newly available information, or to implement any, new State water quality objectives that are approved by the USEPA. As effluent is further characterized through additional monitoring, and if a need for additional effluent limitations becomes apparent after

additional effluent characterization, the Order will be reopened to incorporate such limitations.

2. Special Studies and Additional Monitoring Requirements

The Order includes the requirement to conduct accelerated whole effluent toxicity monitoring upon the detection of acute toxicity in the effluent, or upon the exceedance of the chronic toxicity effluent limitation.

3. Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention

The Order does not establish requirements regarding best management practices and pollution prevention.

4. Construction, Operation, and Maintenance Specifications

The Order does not establish construction, operation, or maintenance specifications.

5. Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTWs Only)

6. Other Special Provisions

This section of the standardized permit is not applicable to the Discharger.

7. Compliance Schedules

The Order does not establish interim effluent limitations and schedules for compliance with final effluent limitations.

VIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The Regional Water Board (Water Board) is considering the issuance of waste discharge requirements (WDRs) that will serve as a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the Discharger. As a step in the WDR adoption process, the Water Board staff has developed tentative WDRs. The Water Board encourages public participation in the WDR adoption process.

A. Notification of Interested Parties

The Water Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for the discharge and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations. In a December 7, 2009, Regional Water Board letter, staff informed the Discharger of our intent for the Regional Board to hear this item at its March 18, 2010 meeting. The letter also transmitted instructions and a Public Notice for the Discharger to publish in a local newspaper. The Discharger published the Public Notice on December 18, 2009 in the Santa Cruz Sentinel. The Public Notice stated that comments were due on January 21, 2010.

B. Written Comments

No comments were received by January 21, 2010; however, Mr. Fred Yukie submitted the following comment on February 6, 2010:

Comment.

Hexavalent chromium is on the list of compounds to be analyzed as part

of the monitoring program for in the above-subject WDR. This facility was identified as storing, processing, loading, transporting, and emitting into the air materials that contain hexavalent chromium, a toxic chemical. Thus, there is the possibility that hexavalent chromium is being released from the site into the waters of the state.

However, the monitoring frequency is inadequate to assess concentrations of hexavalent chromium in dust control and stormwater runoff. How can a

stormwater runoff sample be collected in August 2014? Please include quarterly sampling and analysis for hexavalent chromium in the above-subject WDR for this facility.

Staff Response. The County Air Pollution Control District (APCD) found hexavalent chrome in an air sampler at the local school. The source was identified as the coke Cemex used to fuel the rotary kiln that is central to their operations. In response to APCD's finding, Cemex said they'd switch to anthracite, which is chromium-free and does not generate hexavalent chromium at toxic concentrations when combusted. However, Cemex stopped plant operations before they could effect the change. In early February 2010, staff learned from Cemex staff they plan never to reactivate the plant.

Stormwater from the plant discharges into the Pacific Ocean through Discharge Point 001. Hexavalent chromium is unstable and rapidly becomes the more stable, less toxic chromium form when exposed to the environment. After the plant ceased operations, it generated no more hexavalent chromium and will not do so in the future, either because the plant will remain closed or because the reopened plant will use anthracite coal as rotary kiln fuel. The plant site is likely free of hexavalent chromium because the site has been closed for months, and sunlight, oxygen, and water have converted it to the stable form. The site has been exposed to substantial rainfall while closed. Therefore, routine monitoring for the Ocean Plan's toxic pollutants, including hexavalent chromium, once during the permit's life in 2014, adequately monitors the discharge for this pollutant and staff does not recommend any changes to the monitoring program.

C. Public Hearing

The Water Board held a public hearing on the tentative WDRs during its regular Board meeting on the following date and time and at the following location:

Date: **March 18, 2010**
Time: **8:30 a.m.**
Location: **Watsonville City Council Chambers**
275 Main Street, 4th Floor
Watsonville, CA 95076

Interested persons were invited to attend. At the public hearing, the Water Board heard testimony, if any, pertinent to the discharge, WDRs, and permit.

D. Waste Discharge Requirements Petitions

Any aggrieved person may petition the State Water Resources Control Board to review the decision of the Water Board regarding the final WDRs. The petition must be submitted within 30 days of the Water Board's action to the following address:

State Water Resources Control Board
Office of Chief Counsel
P.O. Box 100, 1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

E. Information and Copying

The Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD), related documents, tentative effluent limitations and special provisions, comments received, and other information are on file and may be inspected at the address above at any time between 8:30 a.m. and 4:45 p.m., Monday through Friday. Copying of documents may be arranged through the Water Board by calling **805-549-3147**.

F. Register of Interested Persons

Any person interested in being placed on the mailing list for information regarding the WDRs and NPDES permit should contact the Water Board, reference this facility, and provide a name, address, and phone number.

G. Additional Information

Requests for additional information or questions regarding this order should be directed to **Michael Higgins** at **(805)542-4649** or MHiggins@waterboards.ca.gov.

S:\NPDES\NPDES Facilities\Santa Cruz Co\Cemex Cement\R3-2010-0008\Adopted Order No. R3-2010-0008.doc