BEFORE THE CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Public	Hearing	in	the	Matter	of:
	COAST QUALITY			_	

PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Watsonville, California
Thursday, July 13, 2017

Reported by:

LUIS R. HERNANDEZ Hearing Reporter

Job No.: 16154WQ-SLO(P)

1	BEFORE THE CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL
2	WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	Public Hearing in the Matter of:)
7	CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL) WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD)
9	·
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
17	275 Main Street, Fourth Floor, Watsonville,
18	California, commencing on Thursday,
19	July 13, 2017, heard before the WATER QUALITY
20	CONTROL BOARD, reported by LUIS R. HERNANDEZ,
21	Hearing Reporter.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:		
2	APPEARANCES.	Presenters:	DR. JEAN-PIERRE WOLFF
3		riesenceis.	Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control
4			Board
5			MICHAEL JOHNSTON
6			BRUCE DELGADO Mayor of Marina
7			JANE GRAY
8			JEFF YOUNG
9			KARINA CERVANTEZ
10			JOHN ROBERTSON Executive Officer
11			JESSICA JAHR
12			Staff Counsel
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	INDEX	
2	PUBLIC FORUM:	PAGE
3	JON ROKKE	7
4	THEA TRYON	12
5	PAUL CICCARELLI	27
6	TINA DICKASON	29
7	JERRY GRUBER	31
8	JESSICA JAHR	83
9	HARVEY PACKARD	84
10	TIMOTHY CARMEL	86
11	CAROLYN WINFREY	90
12	CLIVE METTRICK	108
13	STEVE SHIMEK	110
14	CONSTANCE GANNON	113
15	MARY WEBB	116
16	CHRISTINE HEINRICHS	118
17	MICHAEL THOMAS	121
18	ROBIN MCDONNELL	121
19	TOM GRAY	123
20	BARBARA GRAY	125
21	CINDY STEIDEL	128
22	TED KEY	130
23	DEWAYNE LEE	132
24	KAREN DEAN	134
25	LESLIE RICHARDS	136

1	I N D E X (Continued)				
2	ITEM NUMBER:	PAGE			
3	ENFORCEMENT ITEMS				
4	9 - Informational Item on Cambria Community Services District, Emergency Water Supply	6			
5	Project, Cambria, San Luis Obispo County, Settled Administrative Civil Liability				
6	Complaint Order No. R3-2017-0015				
7	10- Cambria Community Services District, Emergency Water Supply Project, Cambria, San Luis Obispo County, Consideration of	47			
9	Cease and Desist Order No. R3-2017-0016				
LO					
11					
12					
L3					
L4					
15					
L6					
L7					
18					
L9					
20 21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

Watsonville, California, Thursday, July 13, 2017

(Partial Transcript)

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: So then we move to the next item which is an enforcement item and, Mr. Robertson, please introduce item nine.

MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Chair Wolff. As a general comment, items nine and 10 are both associated with the Cambria Community Services District Emergency Water Supply Project. To provide some clarity and foundational understanding, Water Board staff member Jon Rokke will provide a brief overview of the emergency water supply project and the different components and orders associated with the operation of the system.

Item 9 is an information item not -- not needing a Board action. Following Mr. Rokke's overview, we'll proceed with item nine and provide -- Mr. Rokke will provide details on the settled Administrative Civil Liability Complaint of six late reporting violations for late submittal of monthly self-monitoring reports. These late reports were required by Cambria CSD's. Waste Discharge Requirements for injection of treated water into the San Simeon aquifer.

The Cambria CSD submitted full payment of the

liability amount and waived their right to a hearing thereby resolving the violations alleged in the ACL complaint. Although there is no action or decision required by the Board on this item, Thea Tryon, this region's Enforcement Coordinator, will provide a brief summary of this settled item and then following that, we -- we may here from the CSD as well and members of the public, and of course, the Board can ask questions at any point along the way. And with that I'll -- I'll move it over to Jon Rokke.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: And as we move to staff comments, the speaker cards for this item nine are now closed. But we have one late arrival and -- but that's it. Oh, you're excused. Please proceed.

MR. ROKKE: Good morning, Chair Wolff and members of the Board. My name is Jon Rokke. I'm the staff person assigned to oversee most of Cambria Community Services District's permits for the Water Board. At this time, I'd like to introduce Paul Ciccarelli. He's an attorney with the Office of Enforcement and is helping the Prosecution Team on these two cases.

This morning, we're going to present two uncontested enforcement items related to the emergency water supply project in Cambria and the associated class II surface impoundment. Thea Tryon and I will be

presenting these items today in three stages.

First, I'll set the stage with a brief refresher about the facilities and then Thea will provide an informational overview of the resolved ACLC administrative civil liability complaint Item number 9. After Item 9 has concluded, I'll present Item 10, proposed Ceased and Desist Order for the surface impoundment.

As we will explain, the administrative civil liability complaint is resolved and no further action is needed by the Board. The proposed Cease and Desist Order is uncontested. Cambria CSD has agreed to the proposed terms which are before you today. Both parties are recommending that the Board adopt the proposed Cease and Desist Order.

So to begin, this slide shows Cambria's approximate location along California's Central Coast. This aerial view zoomed in a little closer shows the projects location relative to the town and the town's waste water treatment plan. The project is located approximately three miles north-northwest of the municipal waste water plant and the Hearst San Simeon State park located just to the west of the advanced plant site.

This aerial view zoomed in a little bit closer shows -- oh, sorry, this emergency water supply facility was designed to treat impaired groundwater to Title 22

standards and then reinject the treated water into the aquifer to augment the district San Simeon well field.

It was designed to produce 700,000 gallons a day of reverse osmosis treated water and 65,000 gallons a day of brine. This view is looking directly north of the facility and as you can see it's a relatively compact modular operation.

Okay. Now, zooming in a little more, this graphic shows where the project sits in relation to the state park camp grounds over here to the left. And to the lower right. There's actually two camping facilities on either side. And the San Simeon well field up here on the upper right. Emergency water supply facility is this hatched gray rectangle right here and it's located in between Van Gordon Creeks which are unfortunately a shade of brown and the San Simeon Creek.

The whole project is adjacent to environmentally sensitive areas. I'd like to point out that this project was permitted under the umbrella of the governor's drought emergency executive orders. Meaning that the project was fast tracked without the normal environmental reviews.

Cambria Community Services District now has a supplemental environmental impact report and an adaptive management plan is currently being reviewed by the public but their Board has yet to certify those documents.

Zooming in still further, this map shows where the surface impoundment is in green, the big green blob here and again the gray hatched rectangle is the emergency water supply plant itself. The blue pipe extending from -- rectangle. Sorry. Here we go. This carries treated water to the injection well up here in the upper right corner. The green pipe down here carries brine and waste from the emergency plant to the brine pond. The yellow line coming down here carries mitigation water to the San Simeon Creek lagoon.

2.4

The short purple pipe coming off the side of the facility takes membrane filtrate water and puts it into percolation pond. This orange pipe next to the purple pipe takes water from well 9P7, and this is the source water for the plant, extracts it out of the ground, sends it into the plant, and then along the blue pipe for injection. The red pipe along the top and going down, that's the distribution pipe for the city's water supply.

In November 2014, the Regional Water Quality
Control Board adopted permits to regulate the Emergency
Water Supply Project and the associated surface
impoundment under the umbrella of the governor's executive
orders allowing projects to proceed without the normal
environmental review.

Overall, Emergency Water Supply Project currently

has three permits from the regional Board, four if you want to count the waste water treatment plant. It's important to understand that the administrative civil liability complaint is regarding the Title 22 order and the cease and desist orders regarding a Title 27 order which regulates a surface impoundment.

2.4

Further to set the stage, it's important to get the flavor of the compliance challenges the staff has experienced with this project. Water Board Staff's focus is always on protecting water quality and thereby protecting the environment and human health. This slide is intended to illustrate events that have preceded our meeting here today. Okay.

Now, in order to put the actions before you today into context, I want to show the enforcement actions that have been undertaken to date. Again, our focus is always on water quality. The blue bubbles are considered to be informal enforcement actions and the light purple bubbles are considered to be formal enforcement actions. All of these were taken with the goal of achieving the protection of water quality.

Water Board Staff uses what's deemed a progressive enforcement strategy. I guess to say our enforcement actions become more strident over time if compliance is not achieved. Today's items are the result

of this progression. So that's some background on this project. And at this point, I'll hand off to Thea who will tell you about Item 9.

2.4

MR. DELGADO: Can I ask a brief question? On that very last -- I'm colorblind so, are the -- which are the three purple?

MR. ROKKE: Oh, I'm sorry. Up here at the top, this ACLC for discharges to the creek. Down here about 9:00 o'clock, the complaint that's before you today, Thea's about to speak about. And then about 10:00 o'clock here is the Cease and Desist Order, that's Item 10.

MR. DELGADO: Got it. Thank you very much.

MS. TRYON: Good morning, chair Young -- I mean, Chair Wolff, members of the Board. My name is Thea Tryon and I'm the Enforcement Coordinator for the Water Board, and I'm here today to provide you an overview of the resolved Administrative Civil Liability Complaint that was issued to the Cambria Community Services District, or Cambria CSD as we'll refer to it for late reporting violations.

As Jon showed in the previous slides, the Complaint only addresses a small portion of the violations for the overall Emergency Water Supply Project and it's focused on late reporting violations for the WDR that authorizes Cambria to treat and reinject treated groundwater into the drinking water aquifer.

Since start up of the Cambria CSD's Emergency
Water Supply Project in January 2015, the CSD has been
consistently failing to submit reports on time. The
groundwater that is treated is essentially recycled water,
and monitoring and timely recording in accordance with the
WDR is very important because after that treated water is
injected, it takes about two months before that water
travels to the wells that pull water out of the ground for
serving potable water to the community of Cambria.

The WDR that authorized Cambria to reinject the treated recycled water was adopted by the Board in November 2014. Among other reporting requirements, the WDR requires regular reporting and testing of the treated water and treatment system on a monthly, quarterly, and annual basis.

For just these regular monitoring reports, the CSD reported 70 percent of these required reports. I have shown them in the next slide. So just focusing on these late reports that are required regularly between the time period of January 2015, when the treatment system started, and to the end of last month, June 2017.

The first column shows the report monthly, quarterly, and annual. The second column are the number of reports that have been due during this timeframe, and then the next column shows the number of reports that were

late, and then the last column shows the cumulative number of days -- of late days or late violations. There are many other additional late reporting violations associated with the Emergency Water Supply Project permits but they're not summarized here. These focus on the regular monitoring for just the reinjection of the WDR.

2.4

So how did permitting staff work with Cambria CSD to try to get them into compliance with their permits? To start, permitting staff did a detailed walkthrough of all the requirements that Cambria CSD staff needed to comply with for all their permits to properly manage and operate their Emergency Water Supply Project shortly after the WDRs were adopted in November 2014.

The systems started operating on January 20th, 2015, and from the beginning, reports were not submitted on time. After enough data was received to warrant a reduction in sampling, permitting staff revised the MRP in October 2015 to reduce -- reduce the amount of sampling. Cambria CSD continued to submit late self-monitoring reports, and throughout this time, permitting staff would email, send reminders, and even to the point in October 2016, where they developed a spreadsheet for the CSD to help them manage their due dates, but late reporting continued.

So then in November 2017, we issued three notices

of violation that documented many violations, including the late reports. The Enforcement Team also issued this Administrative Civil Liability that we're discussing today in April 2017 to address a limited portion of the lating [sic] -- late reporting requirements.

The enforcement team selected six late monitoring reports for this complaint as they were the most important reports to submit on time. The monthly reports are essential in determining whether the treated water that was reinjected into the drinking water aquifer will meet drinking water standards at the location where the potable water is extracted for direct use by the community.

The six late reports selected were due after the October 2015 monitoring program revisions and only for those periods of time when the system was in operation. These six late reports represent 77 days of late reporting violations. The enforcement team's limited -- limited the enforcement to the six identified with the goal of having a large enough deterrent for the -- so that Cambria CSD will achieve compliance with their permits, especially late reporting.

The Enforcement Team issued this complaint for a penalty amount of \$53,596, which was derived using the penalty methodology outlined in the State Water Resources Control Board's Water Quality Enforcement Policy. Cambria

CSD agreed not to contest the ACL complaint, waived the right to a hearing before the Water Board, and submitted a check for the full liability amount on May 3rd, 2017. The CSD's submission of the full payment of the liability amount and waiver of the right to a hearing is considered the Final Settlement Agreement that resolves the violations alleged in this complaint.

2.4

The Enforcement Team's intent with this initial limited enforcement action was to achieve compliance while not relieving the CSD of potential liability for past violations not addressed by this complaint; therefore, going forward, if Cambria CSD does not comply with Water Board orders, the Enforcement Team will consider other outstanding violations in a progressive enforcement approach, unless directed otherwise by the Board or the executive officer.

CHAIR WOLFF: And just as a note, we do have a reporter who is taking notes of this meeting, and so I'd like to remind everyone when we ask questions to speak relatively slowly so we're not causing cramping. Thank you. Yes.

MR. YOUNG: You want us to hold questions until they have given the presentations or how do you want to handle it?

CHAIR WOLFF: Do you have more to add in your

1 presentation. 2 MS. TRYON: I do not have more to add for Item 9. 3 Okay. So at this time, certainly, we CHAIR WOLFF: 4 can ask questions and then I have a couple of speaker 5 cards, which I will have -- if a couple folks come to the podium a little bit later, so I'll start with Mr. Young. 6 7 MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thea, what -- what 8 is, of the six dates, the most recent is what? 9 MS. TRYON: The latest late monitoring report? 10 MR. YOUNG: Yeah. MS. TRYON: The latest monitoring report that was 11 submitted was mid-June of 2017. 12 13 MR. YOUNG: And had there -- you did make the comment 14 that they're continuing to be late. What --15 MS. TRYON: We issued the Notice of Violations in 16 February 2017 after -- that incorporated the January 17 monthly monitoring report. After that, they did submit 18 their reports on time, but the system was not in operation 19 so the -- the amount of information included in the 20 monthly monitoring reports is not as onerous. What are they -- are they currently late? 21 MR. YOUNG: 22 MS. TRYON: They're not currently late. 23 MR. YOUNG: With any reports. 2.4 MS. TRYON: Right.

25

MR. YOUNG:

Okay.

1 But we did issue a Notice of Violation for MS. TRYON: 2 the latest one that was submitted in May 2017 because 3 there were missing groundwater quality information, 67 4 missing data points. 5 MR. YOUNG: And that's what the -- the mid-June --6 MS. TRYON: That was the ---- NOV was add --7 MR. YOUNG: 8 MS. TRYON: -- May monthly report, I believe. Thank you. 9 MR. YOUNG: 10 CHAIR WOLFF: Ms. Cervantez. 11 I was wondering if you could just MS. CERVANTEZ: update me on the Government Executive Orders that allow 12 13 for the expedited permitting processes, because I'm also 14 wondering if their intent to repurpose the impoundment is 15 also going to come under that expedited process. Isn't that really Item 10? 16 CHAIR WOLFF: 17 MS. CERVANTEZ: Oh, it is? Okay. 18 MR. ROBERTSON: Yeah. I think --19 CHAIR WOLFF: So I would like to --20 MS. CERVANTEZ: So I'll hold. 21 CHAIR WOLFF: -- if you don't mind, hold that for Item 22 Thank you. 10. Ms. Gray. 23 MS. GRAY: Yes. I just have one question:

opinion, is this a particularly sensitive or difficult

2.4

25

system to operate?

MR. ROKKE: I guess I'll take that question. These types of advanced treatment systems are more difficult than the standard waste water treatment plant.

MS. GRAY: And so what type of operator would -- would you need?

MR. ROKKE: I think it's a Grade III at least, or maybe even a Grade IV. It -- it's -- Jerry, do you know the -- the grade? It's a Grade III.

MS. GRAY: It's a Grade III. Okay. And is there a Grade III operator?

MR. ROKKE: Yes.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: Yes, Mr. Robertson.

MR. ROBERTSON: One part -- I'd like to respond to that, too. You touched on a great topic, Ms. Gray. With respect to living in a region that has a lot of small CSDs and communities, this is a challenge. Sort of the -- the technical component, the managerial component, and to a lesser degree, the financial component of owning and operating these types of systems is super challenging, and that probably doesn't give it its due. And so on some level, I was actually going to hold my comments on this to 10, but I think you opened this issue and it deserves a little bit of a response.

There is a larger picture issue here with respect to small communities operating technically advanced

projects, and having the capacity, and I mean that from a technical aspect, and really to own and operate that system such that it stays in compliance. That's a real challenge in this region, and I don't think we should see necessarily Cambria in isolation.

I think we -- we have to figure out collectively -- us included -- strategies for helping them stay in compliance, and not just see ourselves as a permitter here, but a little more strategically, you know.

Understanding that we were under -- all of us collectively, Cambria, this Board -- we're under the gun to get something done. This -- this community was running out of water in this circumstance, but we can probably be more prudent about how we do that such that we don't set them up for these types of issues, and I'm not speaking singularly of this community. I'm speaking on a larger context here.

MS. GRAY: Thank you. I appreciate that. I've been borne witness to lots of small entities in our region that just do not have the capacity to operate higher level types of waste water treatment systems and water treatment systems, and so I think if consolidation isn't a fix for us on the -- on the Central Coast level in terms of getting communities into compliance, we do need to come up with something else, so I appreciate you recognizing that.

1 There's -- there's a parallel here, MR. ROBERTSON: 2 too, and you heard us talk about this on the water supply 3 The same condition exists of -- of the ability or side. 4 inability to operate these systems. For instance, a 5 nitrate treatment system or some sort of treatment system for the water supply side to -- we run into this on both 6 7 sides; waste water and water supply. 8 MS. GRAY: Thank you. 9 CHAIR WOLFF: Mayor Delgado? 10 MR. DELGADO: Is there any controversy or Yes. disagreement on the resolution of this item? 11 12 CHAIR WOLFF: It's an informational item, but please 13 respond. 14 MR. DELGADO: Have you had any objections to the staff 15 recommendation? 16 MR. ROBERTSON: So there -- there are public comments 17 on both sides of this issue with respect to this being too 18 harsh an action and with respect to this being spot on or 19 perhaps not harsh enough an action, and you will see that 20 in the supplemental -- actually, that's the supplemental 21 for Item 10; right? 22 MR. DELGADO: So as far as the 53,000, that's really 2.3 the issue here; correct? 2.4 MR. ROBERTSON: Well, this actually is a resolved

25

issue.

1 MR. DELGADO: Right. 2 MR. ROBERTSON: That the CSD has agreed to pay -- has 3 already paid and waived the right to a hearing, so that --4 and the CSD can actually speak for themselves on -- on 5 whether this is -- they're comfortable with this issue. So the objection's that -- that 6 MR. DELGADO: Okay. we have in our -- our -- is for the next item? 7 MR. ROBERTSON: Correct. It's related to the Cease 8 9 and Desist Order --10 MR. DELGADO: I just want to be sure. I want to be 11 clear, too. Okay. So there's really no oppositions 12 publicly submitted yet to this item; correct? 13 MS. TRYON: This item is already resolved, so we can 14 take public comment, but it's already done. 15 MR. DELGADO: Right. But it's been on the agenda and there hasn't been any public comment in objection to being 16 17 resolved. 18 MS. TRYON: Yes. We post -- this was all posted 19 publicly. 20 CHAIR WOLFF: Yeah. And we -- and I have a couple of 21 speaker cards for Item 9. 22 MR. DELGADO: Okay. And then my last item is a 23 question. I -- I understand from your presentation the

importance of timely reports in this regard -- in this

matter. In hindsight, now you have the reports that were

24

25

late -- lately submitted, was there any harm done to the environment from the operation of this facility during these months of late reports?

2.4

MR. ROKKE: There were two instances: One where nitrogen exceeded the limits. It was injected back into the groundwater and once the lab results came back from that and it was discovered that the levels were too high, they immediately shut down the system. There was a second incident where the chlorination system went down and wasn't caught so -- what's called off-spec water or not properly disinfected water was injected into the aquifer.

That occurred in December during a rainy period, unfortunately, so DDW considered that injected water was probably diluted sufficiently so as to not raise alarm. So those were the -- the two instances other than early on when chlorinated water was discharged to Van Gordon Creek, so I guess three instances in all.

MR. DELGADO: Okay. And those are the kinds of incidents that are better addressed sooner than later, so you want the timely reports.

MR. ROKKE: That's correct.

MR. DELGADO: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR WOLFF: Mr. Johnston?

MR. JOHNSTON: Just a comment and a question. My comment is I recall shortly after the division of drinking

water was merged into the water Board and we had a presentation from the -- the Regional Director of Drinking Water -- I'm not sure the title -- and he was talking about -- about direct connect of -- of potable recycled water and he said his -- he said, "My concern isn't, you know, a big system like is being put together in -- in -- down in Orange County with huge amounts of money behind it and huge resources behind it.

2.4

My -- my concern is, you know, small communities putting together systems and how those systems are going to be functioning 20 years down the road, and so I think Mr. Robertson's point is, and my Ms. Gray's point is well taken.

My question is, you know, there's a huge number of late reports. How much of the time since permitting was this system in operation, out of operation? How many of these violations were when the system was out of operation? And what are the negative ramifications of late reporting when the system is out of operation?

MR. ROKKE: Well, the -- the system -- the first question, to answer that, the system has been, basically, operational for three periods since permitting; from January through April of 2015, from September to December of 2015, and then from September through December of 2016.

So there's a couple of big blocks in between

those periods. The system is not yet been operational this year in 2017 and my understanding is it may not become operational this year due to the large amount of rainfall that we had. So when the -- the system is operating and treated water is being injected into the aquifer, the concern is that timely reports are needed because we need to know if something is going amiss so we can immediately address it, rectify it, before the -- the public's health is endangered.

For the periods when the system is not operational, the monitoring mainly involves groundwater monitoring to keep track of what's going on in the aquifer beneath that. So timeliness is not such a big issue there.

But still, in general, you know, we want to see reports submitted when they're due, and we've done our utmost to try to help them do that and we recognize that it's a big challenge for them because it's a -- it's a massive amount of monitoring. We've even reduced some of that monitoring as Thea described. But you can only reduce it so much. We -- we really need to keep track of what's going on there to protect the public.

MR. JOHNSTON: Of those -- what? Seventy-some later reports -- I don't have the number in my head, exactly -- approximately, how many of them were when the system was

in operation and how many were when it was out of operation?

MS. TRYON: I looked at the monthly reports and six of those while in operation were late. The quart -- I didn't look at the quarterly and annual because the monthly are the more important ones to catch things before the water reaches the supply wells.

MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR WOLFF: All right. And I would -- yes,

Mr. Robertson.

2.4

MR. ROBERTSON: First, I want to explain -- and this will become more apparent with the next item -- by virtue of separation of functions, I'm not included in the -- because I'm the Advisor to the Board -- I'm not included in much of this administrative civil liability process. So some of the questions are -- are my own opportunity to look into this issue, too, to lay it out for -- for you such that you have more information, and -- and as I said, that will become more apparent in the next item.

On this slide, Thea, the total value of the ACL is \$53,500. Did you calculate the total value of all of those liabilities that we see on this table and what is that number?

MS. TRYON: So I did not include another late report, which is the start-up report, which was 71 days late. So

what -- if you calculate the total number of days -- because our violations are based on a thousand dollars per day that the report is late, so the original count of how many late days times a thousand was 46 -- 4,000 -- \$466,000. So 466 late days.

MR. JOHNSTON: And -- and just to reiterate, so the value of the ACL is 50 -- 53,500, approximately, and that was 466,000 was the total liability.

MS. TRYON: Yes. So if you just look at this figure right here, if you calculate the total number of days late, which is 395, our maximum allowable penalty would have been \$395,000.

MR. CICCARELLI: Paul Ciccarelli, Staff Counsel. I would just to like clarify that the maximum penalty for the alleged violations is \$77,000 because those are 77 days late in total over those six reports. The -- the total count in that large \$466,000 maximum penalty would be for the total reporting violations and not -- which include, but are also excluded from that \$77,000 that are alleged in the complaint.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. So before -- I'm having a couple of speaker cards -- could you put the slide that listed all of the different violations? You -- you had a slide that had, you know, 360. Here we go. Yeah. That one.

You know, there were comments made by, you know,

some of my colleagues about, you know, small -- small systems and the challenges and the limitations. I think we need to reflect on that and realize, yes, on one hand it is correct that smaller systems, you know, have more limited human resources, but on the other hand, within our region, we do have well over a hundred systems.

2.4

And in fact, to answer the question a little bit earlier to Michael, how many systems we have, he said maybe a hundred fifty. And I -- I think, in any system that we operate -- maybe a water treatment plant, maybe oil extraction facility, a landfill -- we all need to know our limits and our -- our resources. And we all need to understand risk management.

So I'm not going to go through each and every one of these, but I would submit to you that many of these violations do not require Level III in order to resolve these. Some of these are just not doing the daily job and letting things slide.

So I -- I do sympathize with -- with, you know, resource limitations, but the fact is, you know, it's licensed to operate a plant and there's some expectations and that is probably why also Staff has, I think, exercised, you know, fair amount of patience here, meaning they didn't come with the hammer down.

So I think we took into account the fact that,

you know, there -- there are limitations, and in terms of operator staff to provide us the -- the proper timely reports accuracy, et cetera, but there are certain things in there that I would say -- there's not a whole lot of excuse for it. So that's my take, and I'm speaking more in this area from an engineering management aspect of the project.

So having said that, I would like to ask

Tina Dickason. You have three minutes. Thank you.

2.4

MS. DICKASON: Tina Dickason, Cambria. Thank you, Chair and members of the Board and Staff, and thank you for this opportunity to speak on this item. In addressing the accumulated NOV fines, which I had assumed were 597,000, so the 124,000 I didn't hear mentioned in the presentation, maybe was not included in this particular item; however, even if it's 466,000, I believe the Regional Board's decision to reduce that amount to a total of \$53,596 shows a significant amount of leniency toward the District.

The CCSD should consider itself fortunate and I would think extremely grateful. As a member of this community of Cambria and a ratepayer, I wish to express my gratitude the Regional Board for this huge savings to the District.

When I read comments from Cambria residents

complaining about the fines and the costs to -- which is number 10, the cost to remove the brine waste from the impound -- the surface impoundment -- I was reminded that the District set aside a fund of one million dollars to issue -- for issues related to the Emergency Water Facility, or as they now refer to it, the Sustainable Water Facility.

The District then has that -- those funds set aside. The District -- in its rushed to judgment, as in this case -- and since you brought other items up, I'm going to address it -- the rush to judgment, within six months, this plant was built, a sophisticated plant.

And they have not been able to manage it. The costs are way over the projected initial funding for this and it is frustrating for -- as -- for me and others in the District to see what has happened as a result. But the District is now looking at spending almost a million dollars on an office space for themselves, so there's no -- the District is in way over its head while the ratepayers continue to foot the bill for spending that is out of control. They simply are not up to the job. Thank you very much.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you for your comment. And the next speaker card is listing Item 9 and 10, and we need to split them, so I don't have to have this item co-mingled

with 10. So having saying that, I would like Mr. Jerry Gruber to come and specifically limit yourself to Item 9. Thank you.

2.4

And you're welcome, you know, on Item 10 to come back.

MR. GRUBER: Thank you, Chairman Wolff and Board members and Regional Water Quality Control Board Staff.

I'm Jerry Gruber. I'm the General Manager for the Cambria Community Services District, and it's very difficult to sit here and -- and to review these violations and, on the flip side, very humbling. I was sat before you a couple years ago in -- in San Luis Obispo with the community, and you were gracious enough to -- to give us a Title 22 and Title 27 from -- a permit for this sustainable water facility.

We've made significant improvements regarding the administrative portion of these violations. The complexity associated with the reporting is something that we recognized after we met with the Regional Water Quality Control Staff on February 1st, and the -- the violations were discussed, and so we hired a full-time person, specifically, to address the reporting components of -- of both the Title 22 and Title 27.

That person will later on be giving a brief PowerPoint presentation with me. She's highly qualified.

She has a master's degree and -- and we've -- we've spoken briefly about limits on our resources, and I think that's one thing that we've learned from this, is that we do have limits, but as Chairman Wolff said, that's no excuse.

So with that being said, we do have a full-time person who is handling -- handling the administrative portions of these. The operational component is the Board authorized as part of the 2017-2018 budget a full-time CPO, a chief plant operator, to run the facility.

Again, recognizing the complexity associated with it, and I think your Executive Director articulated very well, along with Board Member Gray on -- on the challenges associated with complex systems and limited resources with small districts, or small cities, for those matters.

I think this type of system is going to be a system that you're going to see more frequently throughout California, especially some of the rural areas, like -- like Cambria. And so as -- as Mr. Rokke indicated that their goal as staff is water quality, and I think as we look back at the water quality results for -- for this particular project, especially since it's indirect reuse, we see that the water quality being produced from that facility is -- is meeting all the necessary requirements.

With that being said, I'm hoping at some point in time, after maybe a year of -- of operation, collectively,

we can sit down and we can reduce some of those sampling requirements that would thus reduce some of the -- the reporting requirements. Thank you very much for your time. I appreciate it.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you. And I just received another speaker card which was for Item 9 and 10. I have closed Item 9, so I will then include the speaker card for Item 10, but thank you very much.

So at this time, I do not have any more cards for this particular item, so any further comment from my fellow Board members? Mr. Young.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you. So, Thea, I see some comments in the letters that we have from some community members requesting that the Regional Board better supervise the District going forward, and I'm just wondering what could be done in that respect in kind of a novel way. I mean, I don't think we're there to hold anyone's hand. They're supposed to hire professionals that know what they're doing.

It's their responsibility to adhere to the terms of the permits. But is there anything that can be done in terms of require -- requiring them to possibly report back to us certain events that take place that might help to keep their feet on schedule?

MS. TRYON: So I think I will hand that off to Jon,

who's the permitting staff and handles the day-and-day. I kind of deal with things as they violate -- when the permitting staff want to take enforcement. I'd like this -- from an enforcement perspective, I would like to see how the reporting goes once the operation of the treatment system starts up again.

When it's not in operation, the monitoring requirements are not as important and not as tedious, so I'd like to see how they do moving forward, but that is the biggest question is, can they handle this system and can they operate in a manner that is compliant with our permits and --

MR. YOUNG: Is it a matter of just not having enough man-hours to do this or is -- are there complexities presented by operating a system like this that requires a lot of continual fine-tuning to get it to operate the way it should operate?

MR. ROKKE: It is a complicated system. When it's running, there's a number of monitoring points throughout the system that -- that get monitored and reported to us in addition the water going into the ground, the water coming out of the ground, all that stuff. And as a -- as a staff person who's been working on this for a couple of years now, the challenge for me is, I don't want to layer more requirements on them when they're struggling to

already meet the requirements they have.

So, you know, we thought about that and we pondered in various ways to try help to them do a better job. I think that because this is an indirect potable reuse system, there -- there is some minimum level of monitoring that's always going to be required. I know the District's, you know, is eager to sort of reduce that as an answer to some of the reporting problems, but I -- I'm less convinced that really the answer to doing this.

So it's a difficult question. How to better facilitate them. And I will say that in hindsight, I don't think that they were served very well by me trying to sort of understand and -- and help them along initially. I think it probably would have been better if I sort of drawn a hard line and said, you know, every time you are late or every time you miss a monitoring data point, or you know, whatever it is, you're going to get a Notice of Violation, just so that the tone is set right in the beginning that the expectations are high for these kinds of facilities as they should be.

So you know, going forward with other facilities that are going come on-line that are similar to this in the future, that's going to be my approach is, you know, telling them right up front, this is -- there's sort of a no-tolerance situation for being late. Yeah. We know

it's a -- a big burden on you, but this is part of, you know, what you've taken on here with this project and you need to live up to the permit's requirements.

MR. YOUNG: So I don't think I really had my question answered, and that is, it sounds like you're telling me it's more of a function of lack of resource to collect all the monitoring and get it collected into the reporting. I didn't really hear you say this is so sophisticated, the system, that requires fine-tuning continually and so there's a lot of moving parts.

MR. ROKKE: Well, in terms of the fine-tuning, now, there's a lot of monitoring points, but once they, you know, have the system up and running, it runs. Somebody needs to be there to sort of make sure that nothing goes awry as the day, the week, and the month, you know, moves on and the system is operational, but there's not a lot of tweaking and fine-tuning that I'm aware of.

MR. YOUNG: So then, it's a function of needing more resources to -- to do and collect the monitoring. Is that what it is?

MR. ROKKE: I -- I think that's right. And I -- I -- I've kind of come to the conclusion that a district like Cambria has so many diverse things that they're trying to manage -- in addition to the Advanced Treatment Plant -- that is really tough for them. I mean, they're doing

ambulances and fire and water and waste water treatment systems and collection systems.

All this stuff and the emergency water water supply system and it's -- it's tough to keep all that stuff going, because, you know, you'll develop a water leak in town, and naturally, your focus goes on, you know, finding that leak, but everything else is not clearly in focus during those times.

So maybe the answer is some kind of a special District situation or something that's dedicated just to this facility and has the resources that are dedicated exclusively to that facility and are not performing multiple duties.

MR. YOUNG: Is the monitoring being done timely?

MR. ROKKE: Since our meeting in February of this month, all the reports have been on time.

MR. YOUNG: No. My -- my questions was not are the reports getting filed timely. Is the monitoring being done when it's supposed to be done?

MR. ROKKE: We did just recently issue another Notice of Violation for a missed monitoring for the groundwater. There was, I believe, 67 data points that were missed in a -- in a recent submittal.

MR. YOUNG: Is that the first time this has happened?

MR. ROKKE: No.

2.3

2.4

1 Why isn't that something that's been 2 brought to our attention? 3 Well, it is being brought to your MR. ROKKE: 4 attention in -- in the presentation as it unfolds today. 5 MR. YOUNG: Okay. And in -- this latest one was very recent, 6 MR. ROKKE: and these Notices of Violations -- as I explained in that 7 earlier slide -- those are considered to be informal 8 9 actions that don't necessarily come before the Board. MR. ROBERTSON: Mr. Young, as -- as you know, we issue 10 11 Notices of Violation all the time, and it's part of the process of -- of escalating enforcement to compliance. 12 13 That -- that's the earliest -- Jon referenced as 14 informal -- that's the earliest version and lightest touch 15 of the -- sort of progressive steps into enforcement. So Mr. Gruber spoke of hiring somebody to 16 MR. YOUNG: 17 help with the report filing. We're going to be hearing a 18 presentation. Did that help them become more timely and 19 what is your experience with interacting with this person? 20 I've had a very good experience MR. ROKKE: 21 interacting with her, and -- and that was a positive step 22 forward on the District's part to hire her to help make 23 sure these reports are in on time, and all the data was 24 properly collected.

He also mentioned hiring a full-time operator for

25

the plant, and in my mind, I'm wondering how that's going to work for their organization since there are large chunks of time when this plant isn't operating, and I'm not sure, you know, what that person is going to do during all that time, so it's, you know, just another of the challenges the District has.

MR. YOUNG: Dr. Wolff spoke of what? Over a hundred similar systems just in our region?

CHAIR WOLFF: Michael?

MR. THOMAS: I don't know the exact number, but yes there are many of these types of systems.

CHAIR WOLFF: And, you know, one thing, too, you know, kind of a bigger picture here, you know, Mr. Young asked, you know, if we provided quite a bit of help, and I was Chair when this whole plant permitting took place, and this was when your predecessor, Mr. Ken Harris, was the EO. We brought the Office of Emergency in order to get things moving.

You know, there was about 90 days of potable water reserved in that facility. At one time, we had on and off, 12 staff working to help Cambria. Twelve. We actually stopped other projects to provide quite a bit of help, you know, this is -- this was a disproportionately very large amount of resource that we put in. We also realized that the District really had -- was struggling

with the permittings [sic] of the various permits that were involved, so we said, "We're going to help you."

So actually, we went beyond our roles as regulators by -- by being the facilitators and helping them with their permits, including some of the consultants they had say, "Hey, you need to turn right or you need to turn left." So I'm, you know, I'm kind of looking back also at the history here because right now we have a snapshot of the present, but, you know, our Board went above and beyond the call of duty -- and I want to make sure that's understood -- to help this project get off the ground.

So, you know, having said that, that -- that's why also I have a little bit of a residual expectation that, you know, we -- we disproportionately helped this particular facility, I mean, we could -- we cannot do this with everybody else, you know, there's just not enough of staff -- ours -- to do it, but I just want to share this, you know, for general background -- call it a little bit of a wallpaper here in the room as -- as we discussed this item, so -- sorry. Any other input or comment?

MS. GRAY: I guess I just have a comment since this, you know, this project pre-dated my involvement on the Board and I appreciate all of that. I -- I guess I'm concerned that -- and I'm happy that we facilitated.

I think it's -- it's good that in our role as quote/unquote, "regulators," are also helping people on the way. We're humans, not automatons, so I think it's appropriate that we engage, but I certainly am concerned that we're enabling something that potentially wasn't right, but I understand that it was, you know, an emergency situation and there's lots of complexities.

2.4

And I live in the realm of gray, that's my name, but black and white is can you operate the system or not? And that's what we're really concerned about. And so there really needs to be some rubber meeting the road, not only in the monitoring, but is there the ability to -- to operate the system and if there are similar systems, perhaps there's -- there are operators that can, you know, come in on a rotating basis.

There's got to be the ability to have some, you know, creative solutions if -- if -- if this system is to move forward, so that's just my general comment.

CHAIR WOLFF: I would say, Ms. Gray, that, you know, this plant now is beyond the, you know, characterizes for shake-down crews. I think this system is in a steady-state operation. All the -- pretty much, you know, so it's not that's every day, "Whoops, you know, we're going to have to change this."

It -- it's -- it's a system that is in balance,

you know, in terms of its mechanical functions and operating functions and monitoring functions, so the, you know, and I think you alluded to that a little bit when you used the term, "there's not a whole lot of tweaking that has to take place." And, I mean, even if you take a conventional plant, I mean, there are certain minor changes and adjustments you have to make.

Even in this conventional plant, if you winter months versus summer months with sewer discharge. If you take facilities that have a high amount of tourism industry, you know, you all of a sudden have all the hotels which have full occupancy, that impacts the burden of the plants. So, I mean, everybody has those constraints; right?

MR. ROKKE: Yes. And -- and I would like to clarify that the District has made changes to the system along the way, so for instance, initially when they had this Enforcement Discharge of chlorinated water to Van Gordon Creek, they installed a dechlorination system so that that wouldn't happen again.

In response to the disinfection problems they had in December that I mentioned, the District, I believe is either installing or is in the process of installing an automatic shutdown system so that when that occurs in the future, water doesn't get injected into the well.

So I don't really think of those as tweaks. Those are sort of process changes that they've installed as they went along and encountered problems. But you're correct, there are, you know, little turning -- turnings of valves here and there, tweaking. That's what I consider tweaking as the system is operational.

2.4

So now there's been three big chunks of time that this system has been operated for and I -- I think I would agree with your characterization that it's in a steady state now. Aside from these, you know, the automatic shutoff that they've installed. So they, I mean, they should be running along as good as it's going to go now.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Thank you. So I'd like to bring this item to conclusion. Any further comment or input from my fellow Board members? Okay. I see none. So this was an informational item, and you have one more comment to make, Mr. Robertson.

MR. ROBERTSON: No. Just on the -- on the overall item itself. So -- so the role of enforcement is to achieve compliance and protect water quality, not to find financial restitution, and I -- I think the -- the evaluation of the potential liability relative to the assessed liability of what ultimately was paid by the District reflects the staff as measured in their assessment and approach here, balancing all of those

things.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Thank you. So this closed this item, and what I'd like to suggest before we move to Item 10, which is going to take -- take a while, is -- it's 10 -- five to 10:00 and take a little 15-minute break before the next item and reconvene at 10 past 10:00. Thank you.

(Recess)

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay, everyone. We'll now resume here and cover Item 10. And before starting this item, I -- I have an opening statement that I would like to make.

At this time and place for a Hearing of a Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board to consider adoption of a Cease and Desist Order for the Cambria Community Service District. This hearing will be conducted in accordance with the hearing procedures that were provided to the parties. Because the Cambria Community Service District is not contesting the proposed Cease and Desist Order, the hearing has been abbreviated and will be less formal.

Designated parties are as follows: The Regional Board prosecution team and the Cambria CSD. Each designated party may make a presentation if they choose of up to 30 minutes. Board members, Advisory Team, and Staff Counsel may ask questions at any time to either party and

all interested person will be allowed up to three minutes to provide a public comment.

2.4

As Chair, I may provide additional time at my discretion and a timer will be used. For the purpose of this hearing, the functions of Staff and Counsel are separate. Prosecution Staff who are proposing this action, have had no communication with the Board members or the Board's advisors, other than for non-controversial procedural matters.

The Board Counsel has not advised the Prosecution
Team in this Matter. And for this hearing, the
Prosecution Team consists of Mr. Michael Thomas, Assistant
Executive Officer; Thea Tryon, Todd Stanley, and Jon
Rokke. They are advised by Paul Ciccarelli, Counsel from
the State Water Resource Control Board, Office of
Enforcement.

And for this hearing, the Board Advisory Team consists of Jessica Jahr, Counsel for the State Water Resource Control Board of Chief Counsel; Mr. John Robertson, Executive Officer; Ms. Angela Schroeter, and Martin Fletcher.

Each person who testifies at this hearing shall begin by stating his or her name and address, and by the way, address is optional. And all person who may testify at this hearing, please stand, and if you do not plan to

testify but are involved in this Matter, I would like you to stand up and raise your right hand and take the following oath.

Okay. Do you solemnly swear that the testimony which will be given in this Matter is the truth? Answer I do.

PUBLIC: I do.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you very much. At the close of the hearing, the Board members and Advisory Team may adjourn to closed session to deliberate on the evidence as authorized by Government Code Section 11126. After the conclusion of the deliberation, the Board will resume open session and provide its ruling.

And then please state your name, address as an option, affiliation and where you have taken the oath before testifying. And at this time, evidence shall be introduced on the following issue: Whether the Regional Water Quality Control Board should issue, reject, or modify the proposed CDO order. I will now begin the hearing. Mr. Robertson?

MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Chair Wolff. My name is John Robertson, and I'm the Executive Officer of the Water Board. I do that because we have a court reporter here and that's the expectation of all who speak, and don't speak too rapidly.

Item 10 is an enforcement hearing for the tentative Cease and Desist Order for Cambria Community Services District Surface Impoundment, which contains reverse osmosis brine waste produced by Cambria's Emergency Water Supply Project and we had an overview provided by Jon Rokke about the totality of the water supply system at the start of Item 9.

2.4

Prosecution Team has developed a Cease -- a tentative Cease and Desist Order in response to alleged Violations of Waste Discharge Requirements for that impoundment. The Cease and Desist Order provides Cambria CSD the option to either rehabilitate the surface impoundment and demonstrate its compliance with containment and siting requirements prior to recommencing waste storage operations or discontinue using, decommission the surface impoundment for waste storage.

The order of the proceedings were referenced by Dr. Wolff, but essentially the Prosecution Team will present, followed by the Cambria CSD and then public comment. And then, of course, Board members can ask questions into that, as can Advisory Team Members.

Following the conclusion of the process, the

Board Advisory Team will provide the Board a

recommendation on tentatives -- on the tentative Cease and

Desist Order and the Board can deliberate its decision as

discussed in the Chair's statement, and I believe we 1 2 stated we had 30 minutes for both parties. 3 CHAIR WOLFF: For each party, yeah. MR. ROBERTSON: 4 And are we okay with the tracking of 5 that time? CHAIR WOLFF: Yes. Our Clerk of the Board will keep 6 7 track of the time, and as a reminder, I closed public comments speaker cards at this time. 8 9 If you can just let us know when to start MS. OLSON: 10 and stop. Do we stop when people ask questions as part of 11 the 30 minutes? 12 CHAIR WOLFF: Yes. 13 MR. ROBERTSON: Questions are not part of the time. 14 MS. OLSON: Okay. 15 Right. And, you know, being informal, I CHAIR WOLFF: would suggest that if some of my colleagues have 16 17 questions, you know, we can stop and you can ask a 18 question and that way we're not waiting for 30 minutes and 19 then perhaps ask some question out of sequence. I think 20 it will make the process a little more fluid. 21 MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. And just to be clear for the 22 timekeeper, the question and the response will not draw 23 from the party's time. 2.4 MS. OLSON: Okay. So the 30 minutes is only the

presentation; is that correct?

25

1 MR. ROBERTSON: Correct.

2.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: Yes. I will help you along.

MS. OLSON: Thanks. There's two of us. Hopefully we'll get it.

CHAIR WOLFF: Oh, we'll get this figured out.

MR. ROBERTSON: With that said, we're ready to proceed.

MR. ROKKE: Good morning, again, Chair Wolff and members of the Board. My name is Jon Rokke. I'm a Water Resources Control Engineer at the Regional Board. I'd just like to say up front that I'm -- it's going to be tough for me to struggle between the 30-minute limit and talking slowly for the court reporter. I have about 20-some slides, so just forewarned.

With that, I'm here to present Item 10 for the Prosecution Team, a Ceased and Desist Order regarding the Title 27 permit for the surface impoundment. As noted earlier, the surface impoundment was designed to accept reverse osmosis brine and other waste generated from the emergency water supply facility and evaporate that liquid in a pond.

Again, it's important to note that the proposed Ceased and Desist Order relates to the surface impoundment only. We've heard from some members of the public that seem to believe we're trying shut down the larger

emergency water supply system which is not the case. This is about the brine pond only.

2.4

The Ceased and Desist Order is necessitated by problems that were discovered as a result of flooding of the facility in January of this year. Staff became aware of the flooding at the impoundment when a local citizen reported it on January 9th. The District Staff never acknowledged that the impoundment was filling up with storm water until Water Board staff conducted an inspection on January 11th.

This timeline illustrates some of the communication problems we've had and some aspects continue to have with the District. In -- in fact, when Water Board Staff called to set up the inspection on January 11th, District -- CCSD District Staff -- made no mention of the ponds being flooded and it wasn't until we were actually on the site that the extent of the flooding was finally acknowledged to us.

This photo shows the pond level on January 11th. Barely at the minimum free Board level, which I'll explain a few slides from now, but for right now, please note that the impoundment is required to maintain just under three feet of free Board. This photo shows -- and I hope you can see it -- there's a lot of standing water right here and saturated ground right next to the impoundment. This

was also taken January 11th.

2.4

This photo shows sandbags and some additional flooding that was going on. This photo was from February 17th when I was out there doing it, an inspection of the site. And this is from March 20th. You can see how full the pond is there. There, at this point, they're exceeding their allowable free Board limits.

Oh, I should -- I should say that from the first date that we noted that the free Board requirements were not being met, which was in January through March 20th, when they got back into compliance -- no, not March 20, June 24th, excuse me -- there was a total of a hundred and forty-one days where they were out of compliance with the free Board limit.

CHAIR WOLFF: And can we stop the timer for a moment.

Thank you. And, you know, let's make sure we make eye contact so we keep track of that.

Could you go back a couple of slides. Here we go. Stop here. Where there looks like on the right of the picture there's a ladder.

MR. ROKKE: Yes.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Is that a trench dug and do we know where that's going?

MR. ROKKE: Yeah. That was a trench dug by the District to help divert water. It was actually going to a

- drainage to the south. This is kind of looking roughly
 west, and I believe the trench extended all the way to the
 far of the pond into another drainage on the other side
 there, so it was trying to get rid of the water in two
 directions.
- 6 DR. WOLFF: Okay. Thank you. Can -- Mr. Young.
- 7 MR. YOUNG: So, Jon, in that photograph, that's just 8 rainwater that's flooding; right?
- 9 MR. ROKKE: It's storm water is how we --
- 10 MR. YOUNG: Okay. Storm water.
- 11 MR. ROKKE: Yeah. It's just -- yeah. It's basically
 12 rain water that's accumulated in the property of the
 13 north, came over to the road, and is inundating the
 14 facility.
- 15 MR. YOUNG: So how is that accumulation that we're 16 seeing a violation of a permit?
- 17 MR. ROKKE: It's not a violation until they exceed
 18 their minimum free Board levels.
- 19 MR. YOUNG: Which is different than just the ponding 20 of storm water.
- 21 MR. ROKKE: Right. This -- this water standing here 22 behind the sand bags, that's not a violation.
- MR. YOUNG: Right. It's just the amount of water within the impoundment.
- 25 MR. ROKKE: That's correct.

MR. ROBERTSON: So, Jon, what's the purpose of free Board? Explain the purpose of free Board.

MR. ROKKE: The purpose of free Board is to make sure that there's no danger of overtopping the facility. If it overtops, then you have spillage of that water, obviously, out of the pond and potentially endangers the berms via erosion, and if the berms get substantially eroded, then you can have a catastrophic -- catastrophic situation potentially, with a big release.

MR. ROBERTSON: And -- and Jon -- thank you. In that picture, prior to the installation of the sandbags, was water flowing from that location into the containment structure?

MR. ROKKE: Yes, it was. That -- that's how the impoundment got filled up, basically, it was from the flood waters.

MR. YOUNG: And so that's one of the design flaws in their system?

MR. ROKKE: Yes.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Thank you. Please resume.

MR. ROKKE: So as Mr. Young noted, we consider that this facility has a couple of design flaws that were illuminated by the flooding. The first one that I want to touch on is liquid in the vadose zone.

Title 27 requirements are that a five-foot of

separation be maintained between the bottom of the liner system or at the leachate collection and recovery system -- that's what we call the bottom of the system -- and the top of the -- the groundwater level.

2.4

That separation needs to be maintained so that there's time to react if there is a leak in the liner before the pollutants reach the groundwater level. So monitoring was first detected -- well, let me -- let me explain this first. I'll back up to that slide.

At this point, I want to show you a drawing of the liner system to explain the leak detection system and how that works. This -- this drawing is not to scale. On the top there, you see the blue -- blue colored area. That represents the waste that are in the pound. This first green line on the top here represents the first liner. This is -- this facility has multi-liners. The second green line is the second HDPE liner.

This purple line represents the clay liner that underlies both the top two plastic liners. This red area here is the leachate and collection and recovery system which is encapsulated in both the plastic liners, and underneath there, that yellow, is the vadose zone monitoring system.

That's basically a pan lysimeter that has HDPE on the bottom, and as I said, there's required to be five

foot of separation between the bottom of the leachate and collection and recovery system and the top of the groundwater surface.

2.4

So now -- now, excuse me. Let me back up to this earlier side. So on -- as a result of the flooding, Staff requested the monitoring logs from the discharger regarding the daily inspections they do at the facility.

We when read those logs, we discovered that on multiple occasions, water had appeared in the vadose zone monitoring system -- that was that yellow system at the bottom of the pan lysimeter -- and it was noted in the log books, but what did not happen was the requisite alarm bells going off stating that something's going wrong here; you may have a potential leak. That was our first concern when we noted the water in the system was that there may be a leak in the liner.

Our second major concern about that was that the District did not recognize that that's what was going on. After we reviewed further records, we discovered that there was water in that vadose zone monitoring system from a period between January 24th and March 7th.

Okay. So on March 14th, we requested from the District that they report the levels in the monitoring wells surrounding the impoundment and when they e-mailed us the result of that, those measurements, we realized

that two of the three wells that surround the impoundment showed levels indicating that the five-foot of separation had been violated, and in fact, one of those wells indicated that the water level had risen to the point where it was in contact with the liner.

That's that on the bottom. That negative point five, one feet means you have negative distance. This shows the location of the monitoring wells with respect to the -- to the liner. At this point, I'd like to point out that the surface impoundment was built on the site of an old percolation pond, which was insufficient in size to contain all the waste that was projected to come from the emergency water supply system.

So to compensate for the under-sizing, the Cambria Community Services District installed five blowers alongside the impoundment with the intent to aerosolize the brine and enhance the evaporation rate. This was advised against by Water Board Staff, but the District chose to install these blowers anyway. They ended up shutting them down because it was just unworkable. The brine was drifting too far. It was going outside the impoundment.

So once the blowers were shut down, we were just relying on purely the natural evaporation rate to get rid of the liquids there. I'd also like to point out that

when they made that choice, it was in the context of -that Porter-Cologne precludes us from dictating the manner
of compliance with our requirements, so that was their
choice to make, and we rely on the dischargers to make
informed choices in determining which technologies to
employ when they build their facilities.

2.4

This illustrates again how the groundwater level rose up to where it was actually in contact with the liner, the bottom of the leachate and collection recovery system. It now appears that the liquids that appeared in the vadose zone monitoring system were most likely groundwater, not a leak from the liner; although, there's a statistical procedure for evaluating whether or not the water in that vadose zone monitoring system is similar enough to what's in the leachate collector -- the leachate collection system to make the definitive statement that a leak has occurred, and that statistical analysis has not been yet produced by the District.

MR. ROBERTSON: Can you stop the time. Jon, is there water in the -- I think I heard you say that the vadose zone monitoring system had fluid from the 24th of January through the 7th of March, so it does not have fluid in it right now.

MR. ROKKE: It -- it currently does not have fluid.

MR. ROBERTSON: But they're still a head in the pond

1 right now? 2. MR. ROKKE: That's correct. 3 MR. ROBERTSON: So what's the -- is that where you're 4 headed right here? 5 MR. ROKKE: Yeah. That -- that's why we think it was 6 most likely groundwater. 7 MR. ROBERTSON: That there's likely not a leak. CHAIR WOLFF: And then to follow up on that question, 8 9 is there a water quality test that can be reasonably 10 accomplished to compare the -- at the time to compare the sample from the water in the pond versus the water that 11 was leaching out and basically using a fingerprint 12 13 comparison to see if there was cross contamination. 14 MR. ROKKE: Title 27 actually specifies the 15 statistical methodology that's supposed to be employed to make that determination. 16 17 CHAIR WOLFF: So when you say "statistical," I mean, 18 this includes water analysis. MR. ROKKE: That's correct, yeah. They collect data 19 20 from both systems -- the concentration in each system and 21 then compare those. 2.2 CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you. Okay. So the answer is yes,

You do perform water quality measurements to look for the constituents and then make a comparison and see if you have a certain dilution rate, which would indicate that

23

2.4

25

some of it was leaks from the membrane or some of it -most of it was from groundwater rising. Okay. Got it.

MR. ROBERTSON: To be clear, so what you're going to compare is -- or what the CSD will compare is what was in the vadose zone system they sampled down, and compare that to the pond?

MR. ROKKE: No. They compare it to what's -- the water in the leachate and recovery system.

MR. ROBERTSON: Okay.

2.4

MR. ROKKE: The red box versus the yellow area there.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Thank you. Timer.

MR. ROKKE: Okay. So now, I want to talk a little bit about what we refer to as Design Flaw Number Two. The second flaw in the impoundment was exposed during the January storms and it began with a hydrological assessments produced by the dischargers consultants to support the design permitting and construction of this impoundment.

Waste Discharge Requirements at the surface impoundment have the capacity to absorb a one thousand year, 24-hour storm event, which is defined in the permit as 10.2 inches of rain fall within a 24-hour period, as opposed to take that much water and still have two feet of free Board after that.

The largest 24-hour rain event that occurred

early this year deposited approximately 2.7 inches of water on January 3rd. The discharge's consultant produced a series of design reports to support the design construction, a curating of the surface impoundment and one of those reports submitted evaluated the physical setting and the potential for runoff from neighboring properties, and concluded, quote, "There's no anticipated storm water flow into the evaporation pond," unquote.

Another designed report detailed the results of hydrologic modeling used to construct the facility and determine that groundwater would not come within five feet of the bottom of the surface impoundment.

This is a diagram submitted by the discharger showing where San Simeon Creek Road flooded in relation to culvert. It's hard to see on this one, but there's a red line along the roadway here. Water flowed from up above, came across the road here, and entered the pond. There is another red line, hopefully you can see it on your screen. It's better than this big one, but the culvert that was supposed to handle that storm water flow is located up here to the left on San Simeon Creek Road.

This is a plot of daily rainfall data from the Santa Rosa at main rain gauge for the month long period beginning December 24th through January 24th, 2016, into 2017. The dates along the horizontal access are at the

bottom and the columns represent 24-hour rainfall totals.

The nearest other rain gauge is at San Simeon which showed similar rainfall totals.

2.4

The cumulative rainfall from December 29th through January 13th, a 15-day period, totaled 8.32 inches. So this was more than two weeks and cumulatively it was still less than the 24-hour thousand year event. The fact that the impoundment is subject to flooding during heavy rains represents, in our estimation, a serious design flaw.

This is a photo of the flooding as I saw it in February -- on February 17th. You could see the flooding coming across the road and then up above up here you can see where the culvert is located that was supposed to handle that flood water.

This is a photo of day laborers hired by the district that were filling sandbags on February 17th when I visited -- this was during another fairly heavy rain event and I found that the sandbags were still being filled in the impoundment to keep it from flooding and this was a month after the initial flooding occurred.

CHAIR WOLFF: Stop the timer, please. So when you came, had you received any communication from the District of still the challenges that were taking place?

MR. ROKKE: I don't recall that I did, but I knew it

was raining heavily up there, and I wanted to go up there and take a look and see how it was being handled.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Timer.

2.4

MR. ROKKE: This is another photo from February 17th. Either there's a pump on the pond side of the sandbags which is pumping water over to the right to the other side of the sandbags trying to keep more water from entering the pond. This is District Staff on that same day, February 17th, working to fight back the flood water.

So as an overview of the Ceased and Desist Order, it really presents the District with two options: Either rehabilitate the pond and return it to service as a Title 27 impoundment for waste or discontinue the use -- it's function in that capacity.

So the District is not contesting the Ceased and Desist Order as it's proposed and they've informed us that they plan to discontinue the use they actually plan to repurpose that pond into a raw potable water storage pond.

The Cease and Desist order also requires they submit a work plan to us within 30 days of adoption that outlines the plan timeline and milestones for accomplishing the task of getting the waste out of there at the earliest possible date. One of the options that we became aware of that the District is contemplating is just letting the waste just sit there and evaporate.

The evaporation rates used by the consultants in the construction of this facility -- led to the installation of those blowers that didn't work -- was an annual evaporation rate of 600,000 gallons per year.

That's assuming just average rainfall. So at that rate, it would take close to eleven years to evaporate what's in there already.

If they were allowed just simply evaporate, the liquids in there, the wastes that are contained in the pond don't go anywhere. They just become more and more concentrated over time. And that pond has been an ongoing — basically, an attractive nuisance for wildlife. The birds seem to flock there.

There's all kinds of animal issues surrounding that, and I would like to point out that if they were allowed to evaporate -- simply evaporate the liquids, the pond would be continuously not in compliance with Title 27 during that time because it can no longer be said that we don't anticipate the groundwater level rising. It's now shown that the groundwater level does rise.

This slide illustrates the attractive nuisance feature that I talked about. I just really would like to point out what the bottom of this thing looks like. This photo was taken on January 29th of 2016 and the water level was fairly low, and you could see accumulated salt

and other pollutants on the bottom.

CHAIR WOLFF: And hold that slide, please. When we talk about attractive nuisance, my recollection was that there had been also a history of breach of access through the fencing area.

MR. ROKKE: There was one occasion I viewed a photograph of deer inside the impoundment there and that was a big concern because if they decided they were going to down to the liquid and try to refresh themselves, their hooves could potentially damage the liner, so there had been deer inside the facility.

They discovered a small hole in the fence where they were squeezing through and the birds flocking regularly and then they've had an ongoing problem with burrowing animals that are undermining the integrity of the berms around this thing.

CHAIR WOLFF: Was it a ground squirrel that -- MR. ROKKE: Yeah. And gophers.

CHAIR WOLFF: And as part of the design, wasn't there supposed to be a protection system below ground to prevent burrowing animals.

MR. ROKKE: There was. A -- a gopher fence that was installed. I believe it goes 50 -- or four feet below the ground surface. The problem was is that it didn't come up sufficiently above the ground surface and so it looked

like they were just going over the thing. The District has then fixed that.

Their Consultant came in and -- and added more height to the fence so precludes any more animals from entering in there; the burrowing variety, but there's a bunch in there and getting rid of them is a challenge and then, you know, filling in the -- the burrows and dens that they've made is another issue, too.

CHAIR WOLFF: So that was part of the design flaw, also.

MR. ROKKE: That wasn't -- I would turn that more of an implementation flaw. They recognized that they needed this fence, it just wasn't installed high enough.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, please resume.

MR. ROKKE: So at this point, I'd to like address some of the comments that we received about the Cease and Desist Order. Main point that seems to come through is that people in the area believe that it's going to be prohibitively expensive to do anything other than just let the waste evaporate there. What I'd like to point out that the District has not submitted a work plan to us yet detailing, you know, how they plan to get rid of the waste there.

We expect to work with them. When they do submit a work plan to come up with an effective methodology. The

time range of options for removing waste seems to be defined as about 50 days that the District's consultant initially said it would take to empty a full pond and truck it all to Kettleman for disposal versus the 10-plus, almost 11 years that it would take if we just agreed to let it evaporate.

2.4

Kettleman is about an hour and 35 minutes away by truck and the District recently entered into a contract with South San Luis Obispo County Sanitary District to send brine down there, which is a little bit closer. It's just over an hour to truck brine that direction.

Commenters also seem to think that this facility presents no threat to the environment or public health. Prior to flooding, concentrations levels measured in the pond water exceeded the maximum contaminant limits for multiple constituents, including boron. Selenium was three times the maximum contaminant limit. Arsenic was double the maximum contaminant limit. And as I mentioned, boron was actually a hundred times the maximum contaminant limit.

Even after flooding, in the top foot of the water that's there, a lot of the rain water -- they still -- whoops. Excuse me -- they still exceed the basin plan limit for boron, so I contend that this water does represent a threat to the environment if it gets loose.

Again, birds are naturally drawn to this facility and dead birds have been removed from the pond in the past. Some of the commenters stated that it seemed that the Regional Board was punishing the District and that their previous violations were only for late reporting. To that, I can only reply with this slide and showing that, you know, we've had multiple challenges, and it's just not late reporting.

2.4

This is, again, just for perspective here. And I'd like to touch on the time we spent. Chairman Wolff mentioned the time spent in developing the permits. For myself personally, I wasn't here when the permits were developed, but since I've been here, I estimate that somewhere between 25 and 30 percent of my entire time here has been spent dealing with the District's permits and compliance issues.

I worked that out to be about 255 hours a year, and when I compared to other facilities that I have, and I have over 300 facilities in total, typically, they take me about eight hours a year to review the permits, maybe talk to them on the phone once or twice, so, you know, the resources consumed are -- continued to be very disproportionate to other facilities.

So to conclude, it's now undeniable that groundwater can and did rise in violation of the five-foot

separation requirement of Title 27, and continued operation of the facility is really not an option. Title 27 is specific about that five-foot requirement.

2.4

The Ceased and Desist Order requires that Discharger, again, propose a plan to remove waste from the impoundment at the earliest possible date. Again, we'll work with them towards figuring out what that is. And once again, the District is not contesting this action.

So this concludes the Prosecution Team's presentation. We hope you found it to be persuasive and informative and we recommend that you adopt the Ceased and Desist Order. So at this time, I'd to like entertain any questions you might have and be happy to announce that I made it in under 30 minutes.

CHAIR WOLFF: Yes. And nine minutes and six seconds to spare. Very good. Mr. Robertson.

MR. ROBERTSON: Mr. Rokke, I'd like to ask a few questions here. So with respect to the run-on slash inundation issue, have -- was there any prior evidence suggesting this might become a problem in previous -- I believe the impoundment has been in place for -- you know, prior to the events of earlier this year about two years -- was there any indication or evidence that suggests there might be a problem in that area?

MR. ROKKE: As I said, I wasn't here for the

permitting, but -- so, I believe, that Staff relied extensively upon the Discharger Consultant's hydraulic modeling and their technical memos which -- which analyze the situation.

2.4

In hindsight, I would say that locals living in the area have reported to me that that thing floods every time it rains real hard.

MR. ROBERTSON: The road there in that area?

MR. ROKKE: Yeah. So that's -- that's, you know, in the anecdotal observations.

CHAIR WOLFF: Yeah. To supplement that, and I know Mr. Young has a question, but you had a slide that was showing the water running across the road. Here we go. Stop.

Now, there is a culvert, but if the arrow shows the location, that's above, and water doesn't run uphill, so kind of -- makes question, just looking at the topography of the soil here, the wisdom of relying on a culvert, which is in much higher elevation but doesn't require a lot of engineering to see that.

MR. ROKKE: And I think that this photo is a little bit deceptive because where that arrow was pointing is an extremely brush area and there's, in fact, I think, a drop off over there. The county is responsible for maintaining the drainage there. That's really their culvert, and

since this flooding, my understanding is they've been out there and regraded that in an attempt to get water to flow to the culvert. But you're right, just looking at it in this photo, it looks like they were asking the water to run uphill.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Mr. Young.

2.4

MR. YOUNG: Thank you. So of these two design flaws, one of them appears to be correctable to me; the other one does not appear to be correctable. The flooding could be corrected simply by regrading or putting in some type of a surface conduit to take water away so it doesn't enter into the pond. Is that a fair --

MR. ROKKE: I think that is very fair.

MR. YOUNG: Okay. The second one is groundwater levels. And I think no matter how much you remove the surface flow and heavy rain, you're still going to have water penetrating into the ground and moving down slope.

MR. ROKKE: That's our concern as well.

MR. YOUNG: And -- now, didn't we know that this area had water table levels high enough that that was a possibility?

MR. ROKKE: The analysis that was done by the District's Consultant concluded, in hindsight erroneously, that the main threat to the rising groundwater level came from the rising creeks -- the neighboring creeks there,

and they looked at the -- at the well logs from the area and determined that there was an impervious layer down that was going to keep the water from rising up in the event of a rising creek, never really fully contemplating the possibility of water coming down from above, hitting that same confining layer and filling up. So it was a serious flaw in the analysis.

MR. YOUNG: So then, tell me, when you have water reaching into the red box on your screen, that's the vadose zone monitoring system?

MR. ROKKE: Actually, I think it was the yellow box.

MR. YOUNG: Yellow box. Okay.

MR. ROKKE: Yeah. The red box is what we call the leachate and collection system and that one -- that collection area or that sump -- has water in it routinely.

MR. YOUNG: Right.

MR. ROKKE: Because when the pond gets full, there are these little microscopic holes in the liner that can't be detected that they allow a certain amount of leakage through that top green layer, it gets collected in, and the red area and then pumped back into the impoundment. The yellow area, the vadose zone monitoring system, is supposed to signal when there's a problem with both the plastic liners and the clay liner and water escapes and gets into that yellow box there, and that's supposed to be

1 the trigger that, "Whoa. Something is going wrong here. 2 We need to take a good close look at it." MR. YOUNG: 3 Well, so that's the in-correctable design 4 flaw -- is the water table level ability to rise and 5 compromise the vadose zone monitoring system. So these -- these are actually 6 MR. ROBERTSON: 7 correctable either by groundwater break prior to the installation of a system or potentially an ongoing pump 8 9 system to dewater beneath the impoundment. I mean, 10 there -- there's a -- there's a reactionary and a proactive strategy available to -- to maintain a five-foot 11 12 separation. Now we're only in the reactive stage and 13 facilities are already in place, but you could pump and 14 dewater beneath that and -- and some landfills actually 15 have to do that. MR. ROKKE: Yeah. Mr. Robertson is correct. 16 17 wouldn't say it's -- if money were no object, it -- it's 18 not uncorrectable. 19 MR. ROBERTSON: It's expensive. 20 Okay. How many cubic yards of material MR. YOUNG: 21 need to be removed? Do we know? 2.2 MR. ROKKE: I believe there's currently just over six 23 million gallons in the pond.

MR. ROBERTSON: Mr. Rokke, can you tell us if there

has been any subsequent grading in the area to remedy the

2.4

25

run-on/inundation issue.

2.4

MR. ROKKE: Yeah. Yeah. I believe I mentioned that the County has been out there since and regraded that drainage on the other side of San Simeon Creek Road in an attempt to get the water to go --

MR. ROBERTSON: Promote flow of the culvert.

MR. ROKKE: Right.

MR. ROBERTSON: Right. Okay. In the Prosecution Team's opinion, is that adequate to prevent inundation?

MR. ROKKE: I mean, short of us going out there and -- and surveying it, I -- I have to rely on the County's expertise, but the reality is we won't know until we get a heavy rain event.

MR. ROBERTSON: Were there any indications of groundwater separation intrusion, you know, the five-foot separation in prior wet weather seasons? Acknowledging that we were at the back end of a drought.

MR. ROKKE: Yeah. Not -- not that I'm aware of, no. And I pointed out that those three monitoring wells that we're measuring were installed as part of this facility, so we don't have a lot of historical data there.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Mr. Johnston.

MR. JOHNSTON: Mr. Rokke, you stated at the outset that contrary to the perception of some of the commenters, that the point of this action was not to shut down the

system as a whole but the -- the -- the operation of the pond. How does the system operate without the pond?

2.4

MR. ROKKE: Well, the District's current plan, as I stated, was to repurpose this pond into a raw portable water storage area. But in terms of dealing with the brine, they would install, I believe, they're calling for four baker tanks to be installed at the facility which would be filled with brine and then trucked off periodically as the brine accumulated.

MR. JOHNSTON: So in terms of the question of the -and how much of that -- do we have any sense of how much
of that six million gallons that's in that pond right now
is rain water as opposed to brine? I mean, there must be
-- there must be a sense because I'm assuming they're
logging how much brine goes into it.

MR. ROKKE: Yeah. I would say just very rough ballpark is probably at least half of it is flood water. I showed pictures of, you know, what it looked like. I think it was back in November or August of last year, so it was perhaps half full but the -- the geometry of the pond is such that as you go up every foot of rise accumulates more water than the previous foot. So I would say at least -- my estimation is at least half of it is flood water.

MR. JOHNSTON: So if they get these baker tanks and

truck it off then the cost that commenters are talking about, about trucking out the current contents of the pond -- would continue to accrue as a -- as they store brine in the future. They wouldn't be trucking away flood waters but they would be trucking away the brine.

MR. ROKKE: That's correct.

2.4

MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. And was there an alternate of piping to a -- to a nearby outfall? Wasn't there a San Simeon outfall? I'm trying to remember back to when we permitted this.

MR. ROKKE: San Simeon does have an outfall, and we've had decisions with the District about potentially sending the brine up there but San Simeon has some challenges with the permitting of its facility as it sits. So at the moment, I think that connecting up there with a -- either a pipeline or trucking it up there is not really an option due to the permitting issues they have. Not to say they can't be rectified in the future, but at this moment, that's not an option.

MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Any further question at this time? Yes, Mr. Robinson.

MR. JOHNSTON: This is just kind of off the fly. So,
I would like to hear the Prosecution Team's thoughts
around transitioning out of the pond and the timing of

that and -- and what's your expectations there, acknowledging the supplemental that has the response -- the comments in response to comments.

2.4

Because we're in this tricky balance of they have to have the pond until they can move all the water out of the pond, so, in effect, the pond is still in place and under regulation by us in the transition period out of the pond. What are your thoughts about timing of that? And, you know, just to explore that.

MR. ROKKE: Well, as the Ceased and Desist Order states, we would like it to be done at the earliest possible date. Now, that includes a lot of realities about --

MR. ROBERTSON: Right. And I'm teasing this out. What is the earliest possible date mean?

MR. ROKKE: Yeah. So that's something that we intend to work out with the District. They propose something and we say, "Have you thought about this or that?" One of the things that I've -- I'm considering that hasn't been brought up yet is the possibility of treating that water. You can actually recover water from that facility, concentrate the waste, and thereby reducing the amount of truck trips it takes to get rid of it. So far, the discussion has been framed of either we truck or we evaporate it, but I think there are other treatment --

possibilities that should be explored there.

CHAIR WOLFF: You -- I mean, this facility has an RO system and it's not constantly in operation as discussed earlier, so when it's off operation for, you know, potable water use, there would we an opportunity to operate the system to actually extract, you know, the water and then capture the brine. Is that where you're going?

MR. ROKKE: Well, that seems the obvious solution -- you do have a treatment facility right there.

CHAIR WOLFF: Exactly.

2.4

MR. ROKKE: And other facilities, I mean, I kind of keep track of the literature of what's going on in other parts of the state and around the world, and the trend now seems to be to do multiple passes because water is such a valuable commodity.

They don't want to waste a high percentage of it discharging it as brine, so they'll run it through twice, sometimes even three times to get as much usable water out of it as they can.

CHAIR WOLFF: So based on your previous comment of, you know, since -- as you indicated, the trapezoidal design is such that, you know, we do have a large surface area that capture rainwater and about 50 percent is rainwater, 50 is brine. Right off the bat, 50 percent can be, you know, fresh water and then in the remaining 50

percent of the brine, you know, often you can further reduce that by up to 50 percent, so now we're getting into a very, very small amount compared to the initial six million gallons; correct.

2.4

MR. ROKKE: That's -- I think that's a very -- it's a doable scenario.

CHAIR WOLFF: So I'm going to reserve more questions, you know, after hearing the CSD, but you know, one question mark that I'll leave out there is with all the previous issues that we have had, you know, what's our level of confidence of turning this into a potable water holding facility, you know. So that -- that's something to discuss when we -- we'll get into the debate. So to -- one more question?

MR. ROBERTSON: One more question, yeah. So -- so acknowledging that there is water to be harvested potentially in the pond or that's a real technically doable thing, in terms of time, I -- I'm guessing the prosecution is -- is hoping to get the CSD out of that as soon as possible -- that being the impoundment -- potentially even before the next rainy season or that's coming into consideration for -- going back to my earlier question -- about what does "as soon as possible" mean to the Prosecution Team. Have you had any discussions with the CSD about the harvesting of water, using their RO

system and how long that might take to reduce it sufficient that they could be out by -- prior to the rainy season?

MR. ROKKE: We have not had those discussions with the District yet. You know, we anticipate waiting for their proposal to us and then working that all out. But I would note that the emergency water facility is capable of -- of treating up to 700,000 gallons, I believe. So it -- per day which is a lot of water, so they could, you know, handle this fairly quickly, I think.

You know, there's a lot of engineering that would have to go into it and piping and, you know, Baker Tank installation, a bunch of stuff would have to be worked out, but once all that's in place, I think it could happen fairly quickly.

MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. That helps, you know, that -- that flashlight on the capacity of the system actually helps, thanks.

MR. CICCARELLI: I would just like to add furtherance to that, I may. I think the as-soon-as-possible date is dependent upon the work plan as proposed by the Discharger. And my communications with Cambria's Counsel, I believe we're going to hear four options today and will be putting before the Board as potential work plan to resolve this matter.

It's just a remainder that this is an uncontested item and they have agreed to the terms of this order. I know that their preference is evaporation; however, it is the prosecution's team's position that evaporation would not be in compliance with the terms of the CDO because that is not the earliest possible date, but that is yet to be determined because we have to understand the work plan in order to develop the timeline.

CHAIR WOLFF: But to supplement Mr. Robertson's comment about what is "as soon as possible," as soon as possible, you have four months left before the next rainy season, okay. And we need to keep that in mind, assuming that we will get rain in November because as we leave this room it's not like there's going to be a work plan. So if the idea as it was discussed to do this before for next rainy season, and we're in July, so putting things in perspective.

MR. ROKKE: I believe the verbiage in the Cease and Desist Order is: "At the earliest possible day," which seems very close to as soon as possible, but, you know, when you consider the possibilities there, you know, we're not advocating that a continuous line of trucks line up there and hall water off until it's done. That's not really a feasible, workable solution, so somewhere in between that 50 days and the 10 plus years, taking into

account all the -- all the feasible options is what we're looking for. The best methodology there.

CHAIR WOLFF: Well, okay. Well, I think I have three years left in my appointment from the governor. I sure would love to see this done before, but moving along, I think, we'd like to now to hear from the CSD and -- oh, yes. Please go ahead.

MR. JOHNSTON: Just on the topic we were just discussing of the timeline for emptying the pond. So as I understand it, it would be in violation -- in continuing violation, as long as it's got water in it or waste in it -- I guess is more appropriate -- and how does that work? They continue to be in violation of -- of -- is there enforcement potential? Have we waived the enforcement potential with this Cease and Desist Order? I don't guite understand how that works.

MR. CICCARELLI: The -- the purpose of the Cease and Desist Order will amend the provisions of the permit for a period of time, so as long as they're in compliance with the tentative Cease and Desist Order, they're in compliance with the permit, so the importance of the Ceased and Desist Order is to give them an appropriate amount of time to return to compliance with their permit.

The option that they selected to return to compliance is to shut the pond down. So the tentative

Ceased and Desist Order will set the schedule by which they remove that waste from the pond to be in compliance with Title 27 and the WDR.

2.4

MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. But at this point, the schedule in the tentative Ceased and Desist Order is pretty vague, so if we pass -- if we issue that order today, does it then come back to us to approve the schedule? How does this work? Because obviously, what we don't want to do is -- we don't want to, you know, go through a whole decision that involves a lot of angst on both sides and then down the road have people disagreeing on what that decision means.

MR. CICCARELLI: Yes. So the work plan is due within the 30 days of adoption this Ceased and Desist Order for the Executive Officer's approval. Upon the Executive Officer's approval, that timeline will be enforceable.

MS. GRAY: So I have a question. So what precludes Board Staff from providing a little bit more clarity and contours on the -- the timing of that as opposed to turning it over to the District to provide that information?

MR. ROKKE: Because of the -- the array of options there and because --

MS. GRAY: And I'm sure you can outline some options and a timeline in a reactionary manner and -- and the

District can weigh those as well. Is there an opportunity for both parties to outline options?

MR. ROKKE: Absolutely. Yeah. That's what we're proposing they submit to us in their work plan and then we anticipate there'll be a give-and-take back and forth before a final work plan is agreed upon.

MS. GRAY: No. I guess my question is: Isn't that the opportunity for Staff to come up with some options, as well as District Staff to come up with some options, in terms of what's feasible in a timeline? Simultaneously.

MR. ROKKE: Yeah. Absolutely. Yeah. That's right and that's why I brought up the possibility for the treating of water. That's -- that's one of my ideas. In terms of the time it's going to take, I mean, I guess we can invest the time to try to do, like, feasibility studies for different options, but usually the way we deal with these situations is we put the onus on the Discharger to -- to come up with those analysis. If the Board wanted to direct us to do that, we can certainly do that.

MS. JAHR: Let me speak on it. We can certainly have discussions with them after the CDO is passed to work with them on options that we believe might be feasible for them, but the ultimate choice on what to do is theirs. We can't dictate the manner of their compliance, and so we can't tell them what to do. We can tell them what would

not get approved if they chose to move forward with that, so we can certainly work with them on what options we would find feasible and then they can, kind of, decide what they would prefer to do and then work out a work plan from there.

2.4

MS. GRAY: Thanks. That's the clarity I was looking for.

CHAIR WOLFF: And just -- also, we are well into Item 10, so I'm not going to accept any more speakers cards, okay. One more clarification because this -- basically, we're -- we're discussing a couple of phase. Phase one is for Cease and Desist and what, you know, and basically, no longer using the pond for brine storage and then all the questions of how long is soon enough, you know, but the other portion is the next phase is using this pond for potable use.

So my question is: Is that use part of the discussions that we can have today or that's going to be for another day? So I'd like to get the clarification, if that's going to be part of what the Board here's going to be debating on as well, is the future of the pond. That's going to be -- or is that something separate? So can I have clarification?

MR. PACKARD: This is Harvey Packard. I can try and answer that. I would think that's a discussion for

another day. The CDO -- the draft CDO requires that they take the impoundment out of service. It's silent on what is to be done with it in the future. It appears the District does have some ideas about what to do, but how we permit that, if it's needed, that, I think, we can discuss it another time.

MR. ROBERTSON: And I'll just tag onto that -- that we, you know, we regulate the discharge of waste. The Division of Drinking Water would potentially regulate a raw water source or something of that manner. It would depend on what the District was proposing for the -- for the -- the repurposing, as to whether we would permit it at all, so it may not ever come back to us, depending on what --

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. But then you do have a period where, basically, this pond is empty and only collecting rainwater until we figure out what to do next, but that is outside of our regulatory purview; correct.

MR. PACKARD: As long as there's no waste in it.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Just want to, you know, I wanted to frame this that way we stay grounded with -- with, you know, the phase one future of this present, I should, of this -- this pond. So thank you.

So next, I would like to provide the 30 minutes to the CSD.

MR. CARMEL: Tim Carmel, I serve as District Counsel for the Cambria Community Services District. That's a wonderful discussion that you're having, and it's sort of the end of what I was going to say. This is really what we were hoping for. We're not contesting the Order.

2.4

What's really at issue now is the closure plan. We have four options at this point, but obviously, we'd like to make this collaborative. We'd like to work with Staff to identify what really is the most effective, quickest way to empty this pond within feasible measures, looking at sort of cost and timing and safety. But that's really what we're looking -- looking to you for, some direction.

Obviously we're going to rely to a certain extent on staff and our consultants. We have four current possibilities but they -- they could be analysts what I'm hearing today aren't amongst the four so we can probably move that up to six or seven at this point.

And you'll hear a presentation from Jerry Gruber, the General Manager, in a minute, that will at least give you anything overview as to what we are thinking about at this point in time. And I did want to thank your staff. They've put in an enormous amount of work into this matter.

It's appreciated. We all recognize there were

severe problems with the impoundment basin and I wanted to thank Paul Ciccarelli particularly because he's been very good to work with. I'd be glad to answer any questions if they come up.

MS. OLSON: Should we pause the timer?

CHAIR WOLFF: Yes.

MR. GRUBER: Good morning, Chairman Wolff and Board members. Again, thank you. I don't think we're going to take the 30 minutes unless there's some questions also. I also have Carolyn Winfrey and during my earlier comment when I referenced that individual who's taken over the reports, it's hers. It's -- it's -- she's the one that's doing it.

And I have her because I kind of had a conceptual idea of the PowerPoint presentation but she was actually the one that did it. So I think when giving credit to somebody, they deserve to be part process, so we're going to tag team on this.

I'm going go ahead and discuss some of the items and just clarify a few things with regards to some of the comments that Mr. Rokke had said, but I think more than --more importantly than anything that whatever is determined as a feasible option, it is a collaborative effort and that we come to any agreement on on what that represents from an environmental standpoint also from an economic

standpoint from the -- for community of Cambria.

2.4

With regards to the pond, we have made improvements, significant improvements regarding the concerns relating to animals getting into the pond. We no longer have that; we've repaired the fence and what we did is we have a gopher barrier fence and we have a frog fence. That gopher barrier fence has been re-excavated and extended beneath the ground deeper so we no longer have the issue of burrowing animals, and so we repurposed that fence and it doesn't seem to be a problem anymore.

The other thing is we've went ahead and hired an exterminator and when I use that word I use it loosely.

He's -- he's using a product that's been approved by Fish and Wildlife that is safe for the environment to address some of the rodents that were currently or previously burrowing. Have we completely eradicated them? Probably not, but we're working aggressively to address those issues.

And then thirdly, with regards to the birds, since that pond has been into -- since that pond's been activated, that impoundment basin, there's been two incidents with birds. One we -- in both instance, we contacted our biologist and in one of the instances, it had a broken beak and so what we figured happened was there was a wire that was suspending the pumps. We

removed that wire, and so we haven't had a problem with any more birds or wildlife getting into that pond.

2.4

And then finally as our EIR -- our SCIR states, we do plan on incorporating a bird net so if evaporation or a combination of evaporation or repurposing that water was an option, we -- we have things in place that would address some of the concerns that the Regional Water Quality Control Board Staff has brought up.

So with that, I'll go ahead and start the presentation. Of three consecutive winter storm events, Governor Brown declared three separate major disasters due to winter storms and flooding in January and February of 2017. Mr. Rokke touched on this. The first was FEMA 30431-DR. incident period was January 3, 2017, to January 12th of 2017.

The second one was FEMA Order 2305-DR. incident period was January 18 through 2017 to January 23rd of 2017. And the fourth was FEMA 4008, incident period February 1, 2017, to February 23rd of 2017. So with that being said, we are very thankful for the rain. So we needed it. Everybody needed it. It ended a lot of drought periods, but, again, it was an unprecedented amount of precipitation that we received in that area.

This is the CCSD's response to the storm events in January and February. As -- as Mr. Rokke indicated,

there's our culvert that he referenced that was clogged.

The other spot is the -- approximate lowest spot in the
San Simeon Creek Road.

2.4

The -- the county has came out and graded that area and they -- they've cleaned out that area, and we feel that that's not going to be a problem anymore. I agree with Mr. Rokke, you know, time will tell. We won't know until finally -- until the rains come again. And then we've done a trenched area. This is a trench -- a temporary trenching area that we put in under on Emergency Coastal Development Permit with the County.

We're in the process of designing a permit trenching that would do away with the temporary trenching, and thus, hopefully, eliminate any future flooding that would happen. And then again, the sandbags as was indicated by the photograph.

As you can see, this photograph was taken prior to the rains and you can see some brine concentration in there along with the -- the deposits that are left in there. If you look closely on the left-hand side, you'll see those blowers that Mr. Rokke referred to and those will eventually be coming out of the pond.

The next slide. You want to go ahead and touch on this one?

MS. WINFREY: Cambria CSD. So this is an -- the

estimated volume in gallons of the impoundment basin going from January 1st through July 12th of this year. As you can see, the impoundment basin was out of compliance due to the storm events and gained compliance -- as far as Free Board is concerned -- in June. Approximately, June 24th.

MR. GRUBER: Four options for the impoundment basin closures, and again, the purpose of today's meeting is for the closure of the -- but not necessarily to agree on the terms and conditions associated with them, and Mr. Rokke indicated there -- there may be some other options. We have hired a consultant and -- to help us on that work plant. We talked about limited resources in the past, and so we -- we understand that we're going to need some help on this.

But here's some of the options that we're looking at -- at least -- at least today. Evaporation allowed the contents of the basin to remain in place and evaporate naturally over the course for approximately one to five years.

Based on our calculations that we did on -- on Cambria weather, we're looking at February of 2022. It was indicated earlier that it was a 20-year period or significant amount of time more than that, so our calculations -- and we -- and we can discuss that with the

Regional Water Quality Control Staff, so we're looking at February of '22.

2.4

Also, well, that's just -- that's based on average rain for Cambria and average temperature. One of the things that was discussed is repurposing of the water through the existing -- existing facility. We'd have to work with our consultants to see if that is a viable option, but one of the things we are looking at is -- is a portable ion exchange unit to remove the boron so the pound [sic] water can be discharged over CCSD's waste water percolation ponds. These are just ideas at this point in time.

DOW does make a product. An ion-exchange unit, a resin-exchange unit that you would pump the water from the pond through this unit and you would capture the concentrates and the membrane and then dispose of that membrane. It might be easier option than trying to repurpose, permit, monitor, sample the existing facility and -- and do the capital improvements -- would probably be necessary to put that system in -- into place.

So that's one of the options we're -- we're looking at, and it's been mentioned about capturing that water and repurposing it, as opposed to hauling it away to some other jurisdiction. What we would gain by doing this as a viable option is that water will go directly down

back into the aquifer and eventually we'll be using that water again, extracting it from the 9P7 well and using it for the -- the sustainable water facility.

2.4

The reverse flow from the CCSD's Waste Water

Treatment Plant, this will allow pumping of the pond water

from the impoundment base into the waste water treatment

plant via the plant -- plant's influent line.

What this proposal is suggesting is that we would take the existing line from the waste water treatment plant that goes to our perc ponds and we'll tap into it and we would take the pond -- the impoundment basin water and pump it reverse back through the existing force main.

There's some operational challenges associated with this, but it is an option, and we would have to do it during the night hours and we would have to have it accomplished before the wet season came into play. And then trucking -- trucking the pond water to CCSD waste water treatment plant and blending the pond water with influent -- the plant influent. If we were to --

CHAIR WOLFF: Could you hold off.

MR. GRUBER: Oh, yes, sir. Sorry.

MR. ROBERTSON: Mr. Gruber. Over here. Can you stop the time. Can you put times? Anticipated or estimated times to how long it would take for each of those options.

MR. GRUBER: Sure. The evaporation is -- is based in

our calculations in a difference from Regional Water Quality Control Board's Staff estimation, we're looking at February 2022 for evaporation. The ion-exchange, we would have to work again with our consultants but -- but mobilizing that system into place probably wouldn't take that much time.

I don't -- I haven't gotten into the specifics relating to this. The waste water flow to the treatment -- back to the treatment plant would be -- would be fairly time-consuming because it would have to be an amount that would -- that would have to be -- a certain amount would have to be sent to the waste water treatment plant every day and we'd have to monitor the concentrations in there.

And then the trucking, the -- so the trucking, if we did -- we estimate 1,600 loads of -- in -- and we can work with Regional Staff on this -- if we were to haul five loads a day for seven days a week, which I don't know if we could, and we're referring to the South County Sanitation District Site, not the Kettleman Site. That would take roughly 320 days.

If we were to take five trip-loads a day at -- at -- for five days, and not seven days, and not have the weekends, that would take roughly 64 weeks. I didn't break that down today, so as you look at -- as you look at

trucking, that's a -- that's a pretty -- has a significant environmental impact, but it would also take quite a bit of time.

2.4

The ion-exchange would probably be a relatively short period of time if there would be a willingness on the Regional Water Quality's Control Boards to allow us to do that, and then the evaporation would be until up to February of 2022.

CHAIR WOLFF: And if I may piggyback a little bit on the question here. We live in an era of climate change, and if you can predict average rainfall and temperature in Cambria for the next five years, congratulations. I'm not trying to be facetious, but the fact is, you know, these are big assumptions being made here.

MR. GRUBER: They are. Absolutely. I couldn't agree with you more. They are assumptions. And the plan -- although the plan will consist of a lot of technical information, there's going to have to be some assumptions made on -- on weather patterns and things like that. You're absolutely right.

CHAIR WOLFF: And lastly, you know, I'm -- I don't want to become prescriptive here, but wouldn't there be Item 5, which is a combination of some of these other items? In other words, not all or nothing.

MR. GRUBER: Yes. We -- we came -- we just came with a

brief outline, and I think -- I think working together with our consultants and working collaboratively with the Regional Water Quality Control Board Staff, we'll probably yield more and maybe even a combination of more, so we're receptive to that.

2.4

What we want to be able to do is -- is get that pond out, empty it in a reasonable amount of time that's economically feasible to the community, environmentally friendly, and -- but also, that -- that meets the criteria of a reasonable timeline, whatever that may be.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. And then earlier, you were talking about rodent and control but you mentioned frogs also. Do you have red-legged frogs in that area?

MR. GRUBER: Yes, Chairman Wolff. We have -biologists have identified red-legged frogs and there's a
separate fence for that that does not allow them to go
through or jump over, and then the gopher barrier fence is
something separate altogether.

It wasn't installed originally correctly, so we had the contractors come out and install that at no extra charge. So both those, we feel now as part of our biological monitoring is done properly, in addition to the fence being repaired that the -- that the deer got into.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GRUBER: You're welcome.

CHAIR WOLFF: Mr. Johnston.

2.4

MR. JOHNSTON: I'm a little confused about your option four on this slide. You were talking about trucking it to the South County Waste Water Treatment Plant. The slide seems to refer to trucking it to your Waste Water Treatment Plant.

MR. GRUBER: That's correct. The calculation that I used on the 320 days -- five days per trip -- was the assumptions of -- of -- it's inconsistent with this and I apologize -- that's referencing the South County Sanitation District. We do have a permit, and we do have an agreement with them, the South County Sanitation District in anticipation of closing this facility to haul brine down there.

That agreement is a first-come-first-served type of arrangement -- as with all their clients -- so we can't necessarily assume that we would be able to haul all of the contents of this pond to South County Sanitation.

They have capacity limits for their facilities. They can only take so many gallons of brine.

This -- this, we're going to have to do some more analysis on, to be honest with you, because if we haul it to our Waste Water Treatment Plant, there are -- we need to make sure that we don't impact the effluent requirements for boron.

In other words, if we truck the contents of that pond to our Waste Water Treatment Plant and introduce it into the influent of the plant gradually, we need to make sure that it doesn't impact the effluent requirements outlined by our WDR with our Waste Water Treatment Plant.

We don't want to be recycling it, is what we don't want to do, so -- but it is an option here today.

And we -- I think it would be an option that we should look at, but it may take a little bit longer than -- but it is more cost-effective, also.

So these are just some ideas that we wanted -- we wanted -- we wanted -- we wanted to show the Regional Water Quality

Control Board that we're committed to coming up with some solutions. We narrowed this down. We had several more, but we narrowed it down to just these right now; so --

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Please proceed.

MR. GRUBER: Thank you.

MS. WINFREY: So when Jerry was mentioning the 2022 estimate for evaporation on the pond, that's assuming average rainfall, average wind speeds, temperature, and our current evaporation rate of about -- we're losing about 0 -- 0.15 inches since April on average, so that is what this slide is demonstrating. Again, this is just a rough estimate and obviously different than the Water Board's estimates.

MR. GRUBER: This is a mirror of what Mr. Rokke showed and so I won't go into a lot of detail, but this is -- and he covered it quite well in his slide. I think you already know what the -- what the components of the surface water impoundment basin are -- that you have slides that you've already seen regarding this. Anything you want to add to this in particular? I can't see the language; so --

2.4

MS. WINFREY: No, I believe Jon Rokke covered this pretty accurately in his presentation. So this is the average -- the green bars represent the average groundwater elevation in relation to the pond bottom, and we used the monitoring wells -- one, two, and three -- their water levels to calculate this, so you can see that the groundwater table around January when storms happened, that rose significantly, and has been slowly dropping off since.

MR. GRUBER: And this collaborates with what Mr. Rokke said that he believes the infiltration and -- and the elevation rise -- significant elevation rise and the three monitoring wells that perimeter the -- the impoundment basis -- were directly proportional to the storm events and -- and not an issue as it relates to the integrity of the pond itself.

MS. WINFREY: This is the volume of water pumped per

week from the LCRS and VZMS. As you can see, just as Jon Rokke said, there has been no water pumped from the VZMS or the four-inch pipe since March 7th. We continue to get a very small amount, usually about three to four gallons from the LCRS pipe.

2.4

MR. ROBERTSON: Can you stop the time. So when the Prosecution Team was sitting there, we talked to them about the concept of fingerprinting the two waters. That which is in the vadose zone monitoring system and that which is in the leachate collection system. Where is the District at in -- with respect to the evaluating the -- to determine if there's a leak? This is to determine whether the system is leaking.

MS. WINFREY: So any time water is pumped out of either of those pipes, that water is sent for analysis. We have done some preliminary comparison of that water to the surface water impoundment basin, as well as the monitoring well any time the monitoring wells are sampled.

And my next slide, this is just a boron concentration comparison. In September 8th -- when the pond was fairly empty -- the surface water impoundment basin had quite a bit of boron that was from the preserve-all solution that's used to treat the -- micro filtrate membranes during recirculation. That water got put into the surface water impoundment basin. It was not

discharged anywhere else.

2.4

There, with the mixture of storm water that entered the impoundment basis, that concentration is about 4.4 milligrams per liter; however, in our -- in the VZMS on March 7th, that was 0.54 milligrams per liter, and the LCRS, also in March 7th, was 0.75. The average concentration of boron for monitoring wells 1, 2, and 3 was 0.24 on March 16th.

MR. GRUBER: And a little elaboration, if I may, on the boron concentration. What Carolyn was saying is -- is -- there is a product that is used to preserve the membrane filters and that's -- that's where the boron concentration has come from, and so there's -- there's a direct correlation, so it's not naturally occurring or we don't -- we don't have a -- we don't have a problem with boron being discharged from the brine itself into the pond. And so that's -- we've tracked that down, and that's where we believe the constituent is coming from.

MR. ROBERTSON: Did you analyze for any other constituents other than boron?

MS. WINFREY: Yes. We have analyzed for quite a number of different constituents. I just don't have that data with me.

MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. And I didn't phrase that quite right. Did you do a statistical analysis? I know you did

the chemical analysis. Did you do the statistical analysis for --

MS. WINFREY: I am currently working on that.

CHAIR WOLFF: Please proceed.

MR. GRUBER: Thank you. Progress made to date. All self-monitoring reports have been submitted on time since 2/15/2017. Daily, weekly, and monthly monitoring requirements are being met. The CCSD has attended a bottle-sampling training class on 6/22/2017 in Abalone Coast Analysis.

If -- if I may, just for a minute, so when we do get our sample bottles delivered, even when the facility's not running, there's eight -- eight ice -- eight large ice chests full of sample bottles, so there's quite a bit of sampling, but, again, we -- we completely understand why that's required. It's an indirect reuse.

And then the fourth one is CCSD Staff continues to improve internal procedures, including regular training, database management, and communication amongst ourselves. That's the last slide. If there's any questions that we can answer, we'd be more than happy to at this time. And thank you for your time.

CHAIR WOLFF: Looking at my left. Looking at my right. You know, the -- just a comment here is that you started your presentation quoting the Governor's

1 declaration of an emergency and quoted three or four FEMA 2 events, but as a reminder, the design of a pump was for 10 3 inches of rain in one day, and we were way, way below 4 that. We were at 2.75. So I -- I would tend to dilute a 5 little bit. That's -- argument that you made. 6 MR. GRUBER: Understand. 7 CHAIR WOLFF: Mr. Robertson.

MR. ROBERTSON: Yeah. In the interpretation of the order, you -- you are not at the 24-hour, thousand-year storm.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. GRUBER: Understood. We -- Staff understands it.

MR. ROBERTSON: So I -- I asked this to the Prosecution Team because they have proposed a tentative order -- Ceased and Desist Order -- I'll ask this of you: What is the earliest possible for the Cambria CSD to get out of that impoundment?

MR. GRUBER: I think -- I think to, you know, we have financial constraints and I -- I know that's something that needs to play into it. We are a relatively small District.

There was a statement made earlier that we set a million dollars aside and that million dollars is for mitigation measures, such as lawsuits in the future and things like that and unforeseen events and changes to the system that may need to be made, and that's down to about

\$840 -- \$840,000 right now.

2.4

So I -- I don't really have an answer to that.

I'm hoping that we can come to that answer at some -- at some point in time. I think, you know, on paper, or in conversation, at least, it seems -- it seems doable to -- to pump that water out, run it through the system, you know, from -- from an engineering standpoint, an operational standpoint.

But from an administrative standpoint and knowing some of the challenges that we -- that we've had related to monitoring and sampling and operations, I don't want to set us up for failure. I -- I can appreciate the Regional Water Quality's Control Board's Staff recommendation regarding the evaporation.

I don't necessarily agree with everything in that

-- in there -- in its entirety, but I think from my

standpoint, it -- it -- it makes at least the most

economic and environmentally sense to me.

So we're going to have work through these things. I think -- I think what I don't want is for us to not come to a mutually acceptable agreement and -- and come to a -- come to a place where we can address your concerns and get that pond emptied out as soon as we possibly can.

You know, I have to work with our consultants.

Ion Exchange could happen in a relatively short period of

time. If that portable system was set up on the banks and we were allowed to pump that water into our percolation ponds with the assurances that we weren't degrading the -- the water quality in the existing basin, then I think that could be a relatively simple solution, as opposed to trying to take our existing facility and run water through it that maybe it wasn't necessarily designed to do.

But I do like the concept of repurposing that water and not just trucking it away. I like the concept and reintroducing it at some level back into our basin so we can use it at a future point in time.

MS. RICE: Mr. Chair, Amanda Rice, President of the Cambria Community Services District Board, and I was sworn in at the beginning of this hearing.

I just wanted to provide some additional clarity. Our Board is a hundred percent behind solving the issues relating to the Notices of Violation. It's one of the things we've committed to in approving a position to run the plant when it needs to be run, and in ensuring that Carolyn is available to do the reporting so that we don't have more late reports.

And we took bold action to get this plant in place and we are -- we remain committed to making sure that it's running in a way that's responsible, both to provide sufficient water for the community and

environmentally. And I just want to make sure that you also know that the EIR is scheduled on our July 27th Board meeting for certification, and we've been working through the certification process on it, so I didn't want you to think that it's just being, kind of, wishy-washy around. We're we are on track to do that and in the middle of the Coastal Development Permitting process process.

I'm here for questions if you have any, but I just wanted to -- I mean, we want to solve this as much as -- as the Regional Board Staff and -- and yourselves. I'm sure.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you.

2.4

MS. OLSON: Excuse me. Can you please state your name and spell it for the transcriptions.

MS. RICE: Amanda, A-M-A-N-D-A, Rice, R-I-C-E.

MS. OLSON: Thank you.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you. And before we -- and thank you for your, you know, your input. Before we move to the next step, I have a question which I think can be answered by our Executive Officer rather than the Prosecution Team because it's more an umbrella question.

This facility and the pond, isn't there a Storm Water Industrial Permit in the facility? Somebody can answer?

MR. ROBERTSON: So -- so the Waste Water Treatment

Plant likely is covered, but it -- we do not think that the Water Supply plant is.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. So -- and, you know, that would be strange; just personal view. But because, you know, our facilities have to have an Industrial Storm Water Permit and I was thinking back at the earlier slide, you know, showing, obviously, a significant amount of storm water impacting this facility and I would think that the footprint of this pond is part of the bigger picture of a whole facility and not parceled out.

The same as if I take Industrial Storm Water

Permits with food processing facility. The -- the ponds

are part of a Storm Water Permit, so my question is: Are

we overlooking the Storm Water Permit compliance in, you

know, this particular -- in this discussion? So that's

something for Staff to think about and respond.

MR. ROBERTSON: Okay. So I think we have some homework to do on -- on your question to find out the obligation here.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. All right. We got all day. So at this time, what I'd like to do is to start, perhaps with, you know, some of the speaker cards that we have. I have about 18 speaker cards, so we're not going to cover them all continuously but in -- in efficiency of time, you know, we'll go a little bit past noon at sometime in point

and then we'll reconvene, but I'd like to make a global comment in regard to the speaker cards.

My global comment is that there is a significant amount of speakers from Cambria and residents, so I'd like to express our appreciation for all of you to come from Cambria to be here today, and, you know, being patient with us, you know, spending a better part of your day at these hearings, so I just wanted to share that with all of you before we actually bring you to the podium. So thank you.

So I would like to start, and this will be three minutes. I would like to start with Clive or Cleve Metric, please.

MS. OLSON: And please remember to state your name clearly when you begin to speak and to spell it for our transcriptionist. Thank you. And speak slowly.

MR. METTRICK: Hi. My name is Clive Mettrick. That's C-L-I-V-E, M-E-T-T-R-I-C-K. I live at 1601 Berwick in Cambria.

Thanks for the opportunity to speak today. I'll be brief. I just wanted to let you know that you're going to hear a lot of comments from Cambria residents and, you know, we all believe in our community and we're all concerned, and I just wanted frame this a little bit. I understand there's a large silent majority that you

usually won't hear from. They support the water plant.

They wanted access. They want the water plant to be able to run in the future.

2.4

And there's another group that's not so silent that you'll hear from occasionally -- probably today -- that has -- really has been against the water plant from day one, and they will do and say anything they can to get it stopped.

So as you're listening to comments, kind of keep that in my mind. That's what's been going on in Cambria. I don't know if you, you know, keep apprised of that sort of thing, read the local papers. The main point I wanted to make today is to encourage you to work with the CCSD to come up with the most cost-effective solution to emptying the pond.

We talked about a number of things today.

Evaporation certainly is one of the things that should be considered. I understand some of the issues with that, but, you know, the average age Cambrians is just shy of 60 years old. We have a lot of people here in the community on fixed incomes and if the solution you choose is a very costly one, it's not the CCSD who bears the cost; ultimately, it's the people who live there.

So anytime you're thinking about solutions or fines, please keep that in mind, and again, I want to

thank you for the opportunity to speak.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you for your comment. And I will announce, then, the next three speaker cards, that way we have an easy-flow of this process. The first one will be Mr. Shimek and then after will be Tina Dickason and then we'll have Constance Gannon.

MR. SHIMEK: Good morning, for the next 10 minutes.

Steve Shimek, S-T-E-V-E, S-H-I-M-E-K. I'm with Monterey

Coast Keeper and the Otter Project.

And first of all, I -- I want to what is that I'm very familiar with the challenges in Cambria over water.

My father lived there for many years, and -- and I've in and out of that community a lot. Let's not lose sight of the fact that this system was first conceived as an emergency system and it was fast-tracked, as far as the permitting process.

So by making the CDO permanent and accepting the Staff's recommendation, you essentially will be bringing, in my mind, this back into the normal design and permitting system so that they have to follow the normal process, which I think would serve this system well. So I encourage you to support the Staff recommendation. Thank you.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you, Mr. Shimek. And Tina next and then Constance after.

MS. DICKASON: Thank you, Board Chair.

Tina Dickason. I'm changing my comments after what I heard CCSD --

CHAIR WOLFF: Could you spell -- could you spell -- MS. DICKASON: Oh, the name? D-I-C-K-A-S-O-N. So after listening to CCSD's Staff's report and the Board's report, I have a couple of -- I've changed my comments and I have some different questions or thoughts.

First of all, the District failed to pay attention to the Regional Board's September 21th, 2016, Wet Weather Preparedness Notification, which served as a reminder to agencies in preparing for the upcoming rainy season by October 1st, 2016. The District responded to the notice February 2nd, 2017, four months after receiving it.

By then, serious flooding, as we all know, had occurred in early January at the surface impoundment. The District's modus operandi appears to be reactive rather than proactive in almost every infrastructure issue it encounters. The band-aid approach is often the solution to any of the town's infrastructure issues that seem to surface on an almost daily basis.

The District also, as you've mentioned, I do -- I have the -- the permit from the South Sanitation District to truck 21,061 gallons per day, but the permit limit for

South San is 50,000 gallons, so as -- as Mr. Gruber mentioned, maybe they can't get everything trucked that needs to be trucked there.

But I'm -- I'm curious about the testing of the brine waste in the lower part of the pond. We don't really know what's there. I don't think tests are available -- data, from that part of the pond. And so I also do not believe that they should be allowed to dry out over several seasons. I just -- as I discovered in the errata portion of the FSEIR, not in the main body of the errata, but in the notes after. That should not be allowed.

I also have a question on what Mr. Rokke said about the boron level. So if the boron level, he mentioned 80, now, I know it was up to five in the evaporation pond and that was why they could not take -- pipe the water from the impoundment to the percolation ponds because of the boron content being so high.

So I see Mr. Gruber is still advocating that as an option. I -- I personally I -- I think if the boron level has gone up to 80 or a hundred, I don't see how that's entirely possible or even taking it to the Waste Water Treatment Plant.

I firmly believe that -- I just want to say this:
The District continues to be in violation of its reporting

requirements and the District must be held accountable for its negligence and irresponsibility; unreported and unattended issues pertaining to the surface impoundment's Title 27 permit can no longer be tolerated.

2.4

As Board members -- Board members, as you deliberate this Matter, I ask that careful consideration be given to the substance of Staff's findings and recommendation and that the Board take decisive and meaningful action by issuing a permanent Cease and Desist Order. Thank you very much.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you. And as a reminder, we need to speak slowly because I think we're going to lose our court reporter here, otherwise. So thank you. So next, Constance Gannon, please, and then Mary Webb and then Christine Heinrichs.

MS. GANNON: Good morning, Board members. My name is Constance Higdon Gannon. And I am the Executive Director of Green Space, the Cambria Land Trust -- yes. You want me to spell it? Okay. C-O-N-S-T-A-N-C-E, H-I-G-D-O-N, G-A-N-N-O-N. Did you get it?

I am here to speak for Green Space today in the Matter of the Cease and Desist Order to the Cambria Community Services District regarding the brine waste impoundment at their Emergency Water Supply Facility on San Simeon Creek Road, north of Cambria.

Green Space has -- as one of its key missions -the protection and restoration of local natural resources,
including our unique Monterey Pine Forest and our two
crucial watersheds: Santa Rosa and San Simeon Creeks.
Both are critical steelhead habitat and home to the
endangered and threatened red-legged frog and tidewater
goby.

2.4

I am here today for two reasons. First, to heartedly thank the RWQCB Staff for their hard work in, A, trying to help the CCSD comply with environmental and project reporting requirements and, B, for reviewing the CCSD several compliance failures carefully before imposing the current temporary Ceased and Desist Order.

As was noted in responses to comments on the Order, your Staff can no longer spend such an inordinate amount of time assisting the District with fundamental compliance requirements.

Second, we are here to request that the Board vote to make the brine impoundment Cease and Desist Order permanent, to extend it -- and/or to extend it until such time as CCSD can, A, carry out a rapid removal of the toxic contents of brine waste impoundment, and, B, provide a viable long-term solution to the brine waste generated by the reverse osmosis and membrane filtration systems.

By viable, we mean one that does not endanger

creek or lagoon health or allow percolation of toxic chemicals. Incidentally, removal of boron doesn't remove selenium and some of the other chemical compounds that were mentioned by Mr. Rokke. We do not consider evaporation in situ, which staff reports could take up to ten years to be a viable solution, nor do we find ocean outfall into the offshore marine park or marine sanctuary acceptable given the presence of chlorine, boron, and other wildlife toxins in the waste water.

2.4

To leave the impounded waste water to evaporate in place creates an immediate and ongoing threat to wildlife, exacerbating the attractive nuisance issue. Activities connected with the construction and operation of the plant have already resulted in the deaths, at least, of water foul sea birds, native riparian birds, and possibly the last steelhead to be seen in the lower San Simeon water shed.

CCSD can do better than this. They can create an EIR that does not refer simultaneously and disingenuously to multiple projects. They can create an EIR which provided consistent and verifiable basin and flow data and which offers mitigation that addresses directly the damage done to San Simeon Creek -- Creek and Lagoon by construction and operation of the plant. Thank you from the large Cambria silent majority who have major concerns

about the functionality of this plant.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you. And next, Mary Webb. And then Christine Heinrichs and Becky Steinbruner if I'm not misspelling. And so please spell your name and then after the names are spelled then we'll activate the timer.

MS. WEBB: Mary Webb, President of Green Space.

M-A-R-Y, W-E-B-B. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

I'll be brief.

What the problem -- one of the problems I think we're having right now, like Mr. Shimek said, is that this was fast-tracked, so all agencies have not weighed in on this project.

There are multiple problems with the project, not just the water quality problem, and these are the problems that you're not addressing and you're not necessarily seeing. There was flooding in the other part of the well field, not just the part where the impoundment basin is, and the flooding in the rest of the well field caused problems.

People smell chlorine gas out there. All kinds of issues are happening in our town, and now we have issues that are happening with our regular water supply. Pipes are breaking; infrastructure is breaking. Our water department staff -- great staff employees -- are running around putting out emergencies kind of all over town now.

So I want you to keep that in my mind when you're making your deliberations.

2.2

There's a lot to look at here. The EIR has had multiple comments from agencies and citizens and Green Space that were never responded to for years and now this final EIR has not been certified yet. We don't have answers to a lot of the questions similar to the ones you were asking today about the storm water management plan.

I don't know myself was there ever an SWPP by the county. I really don't know the answer to that question. These are questions that must be answered. Last time I did a field trip on the San Simeon well field there was a dead cow laying near the reinjection well because the flood had just occurred. The District engineer didn't seem concerned that was laying there.

When I went into the RO container units, there is rust on the units because the expensive equipment was never covered properly because they didn't have enough money to cover it and inside the RO units there was liquid and that's inside the C train containers themselves. What liquid was there? We don't really know the answer to that.

We learned way more at these meetings, agency meetings, than we ever learned at a CSD meeting and that's why it's so important for our community to come forward

and get the rest of the agencies weighing in on this project.

2.4

I don't think the -- the brine pond is -- is -- at -- it's in -- it's wrong -- it's wrong in the wrong location. It's a wetland location. The brine waste needs to be -- gotten out of there as soon as possible. We support the Staff's Cease and Desist and want to make it permanent and we need a cost analysis of all the proposals that were just put up there today.

It may be possible that the brine waste trucking to San -- South San -- San -- San Luis Obispo Sanitation District is -- is the cheapest option. We really don't have any idea. Thank you.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you for your comment. And next will be Becky Steinbruner.

MS. OLSON: Oh. Is that the one that -- never mind.

MS. HEINRICHS: This is Christine Heinrichs.

C-H-R-I-S-T-I-N-E, H-E-I-N-R-I-C-H-S, from Cambria.

The brine pond situation illustrates the failure the previous CSD to plan, construct, or manage this emergency water project. The only reason we are discussing the repurposing of the pond is that it never should have been built. We are now pressed to find some use for it after spending a substantial sum to create what is now an expensive problem.

The blower fans that were part of the original plan, which were going to blow the water into mist, are now just expensive pieces of scrap. The brine pond situation effectively examined by the Water Board illustrates the problems this project has caused.

2.4

Initially proposed and given an emergency, never a permanent, permit as temporary relief from drought under the Governor's Emergency Declaration, was a pretext to construct a project to fuel growth that could never been constructed under the usual standards.

Other projects constructed under the Emergency Declaration are small-scaled well replacements and upgrades, the kind of emergency project that addresses a temporary emergency situation. Instead, the District rushed forward with poorly thought out plans from a contractor who is not required to compete with other contractors for the project.

Mr. Gruber's comments on limited resources should be heard in light of the District's cost overruns that have increased costs beyond the original 1.5 million to 4 million dollars to now over 13 million dollars and more for a community of 6,000 people. Other problems in the community have not been addressed. The fire hydrants have not been tested in an area that's been subject to drought.

The fan -- this fanciful hi-tech dream was never

needed for existing residents. Cambrians came through the drought without any water from this plant at all. Aging infrastructure has been leaking all along and replacing the old water pipes would have done more to use our limited water efficiently than this project can ever do.

They spent money on this project instead. The CSD has re-branded the project as sustainable, although they have nothing to justify that name. At this time, without Staff that are able to manage it and their continuing inability to meet reporting requirements, it is anything but sustainable.

The CCSD's demonstrated inability to operate and manage the plant, even as an emergency facility, dictates taking a cautious approach to extending its use to permanent status as a supplemental water source to serve additional users.

I am grateful to the Water Board for the support they have extended to the CCSD Staff to bring this project into compliance. I appreciate the Board's understanding of the reasons for the District failing to cope but the the entire plant was built under deceptive rationale. Its original emergency permit limited the project to serve existing residents during emergencies, not for permanent operation for new additional residents that exceed the area's carrying capacity. Thank you.

1 Thank you, Ms. Heinrichs. And Becky is CHAIR WOLFF: 2 next, and then Robin McDonnell and then Tom Gray. She had 3 to leave? So Robin McDonald, please. Thank you. 4 MR. THOMAS: Mr. Chairman. 5 CHAIR WOLFF: Yes. MR. THOMAS: Michael Thomas, Assisting Executive 6 7 Officer. CHAIR WOLFF: Oh, sorry. I got distracted. 8 9 MR. THOMAS: You called Ms. Sebastian, I believe, a 10 moment ago. She had to leave and she mentioned to me on her way out that she was hoping her questions or comments 11 that she turned in could be read into the record. 12 13 CHAIR WOLFF: Which I -- I have put it in the back and 14 then I'll -- I'll cover that, yeah. But thanks for the 15 reminder. 16 MS. MCDONNELL: Robin McDonnell, R-O-B-I-N, McDonnell, 17 M-C, capital D-O-N-N-E-L-L. 18 As a resident of Cambria, I'm hoping that the 19 Board take into consideration that we are a very small 20 town, and we suffered greatly over the years from water 21 supply issues. 22 Those issues were exasperated by our very long 23 drought. Our community has reduced our water use

significantly, and we support the sustainable water

project. We're finally moving forward by taking a big

2.4

25

step in solving our water supply problems. Please consider that the funding that the -- the flooding occurred in the brine pond was out of the control of our community and the District.

We are hoping that you work with our District to find a solution to emptying the pond that will protect the environment, as well as not placing an undue burden on the ratepayers. Thank you.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you for your comment. And I found the comments from Becky Steinbruner, who had to leave, so I will read these comments and then take Tom Gray next.

"I cannot stay for the public comment but would like to make sure that the lag time between tests indicating system failure problems and when the contaminated water injection was shut down. What records were kept of these constituents and the lab monitoring results?" Question mark. "Are customers immediately given this information when problems have occurred?" Question mark. And that's -- was the comment. You try. I -- I will -- I will ask Clerk of the Board to, you know, spell the name. Do you have it?

MS. OLSON: B-E-C-K-Y, last name,

S-T-E-I-N-B-R-U-N-E-R.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: Perfect.

MS. OLSON: Thank you.

CHAIR WOLFF: I just didn't want to misspell myself -the name -- so, Mr. Gray, thank you for your patience.

MR. GRAY: Thank you. My name is Tom Gray, T-O-M, G-R-A-Y and I'm a resident of Cambria, and I'd like to thank the -- Chairman Wolff, the Board and the Staff for allowing me this -- us to -- opportunity to speak, and also, someone who witnessed the process of the system EWS being permitted, built and approved by the Water Board, among others, in 2014.

I do appreciate how deeply much work went into it and how much effort, extra effort the Staff went into and how helpful the Board was. And I think -- what I hope now is and I see now, actually, in your -- many of your questions, your comments, that you are still wanting to help, help the community of Cambria deal with this water situation -- and -- and get the project so that it's going forward smoothly and without violation.

So in that spirit, I wanted to talk a little bit about the issue that's really before us now. It has to do with the decommissioning of the pond. What -- how -- what the best way to do that is. What the most cost-effective way to do that is, and in particular, as a ratepayer in Cambria, what way is the most -- the fairest to the ratepayers, and costs the least for the benefit.

After hearing all the comments and the, you know,

the cases made on both sides, and the background, I -- I still think that the -- what the CCSD proposes in the way of evaporation -- letting evaporation takes its course, generally, maybe with some clean up at the end, is still a very viable option. The alternatives that are suggested, some seem to require some very, you know, untried engineering and some repurposing of the -- of the water of the treatment facility.

I also know and I did some research based on figures that are -- are available to the District as well about the trucking option. Trucking to South County, which is what -- they wouldn't have to do Kettleman -- but trucking to South County would also be extremely expensive because South County charges a fee -- 11 cents a gallon.

There is -- if they had 4,000-gallon trucks going -- filling, you know, emptying out that 6 million gallons that's there now, it would be about 1,600-1,500 truck trips, overall cost of more than a million dollars and -- and the District does not have that money. More to the point, people of Cambria, ratepayers here in Cambria, do not have that money at hand. They'd have to pay about \$250 per customer.

So going back to the evaporation, some of the things that were -- some of the points that were brought out -- some of the facts that were brought out about the

water, one is that -- one, I think, is kind of crucial is that the water that's in the pond now is not the pure brine that came out of the treatment facility. It is actually a mix of rain water -- fresh water with the brine.

So that the idea that it would concentrate to some dangerous level if you let it go for a couple of years down to a -- a much lower level seems to be counteracted by the fact that the water there now is, in fact, a much fresher water than is normally in that pond.

Another point that was made, I think, pretty well is that the -- the flood control steps that were taken have been probably successful in that the threat of flooding in the pond -- wells, evaporating is perhaps not that much. So I'll just say in conclusion, give evaporation consideration for the sake of the -- of the ratepayers and the sake of the environment. Thank you.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you. So next we have Barbara Bronson Gray, and then Cindy Steidel and then Ted Key.

MS. GRAY: That's two Grays in a row and another Gray up there, so you got a lot of Grays.

CHAIR WOLFF: Yes. You're taking over this meeting.

MS. GRAY: My name is Barbara Bronson Gray,

B-A-R-B-A-R-A, B-R-O-N-S-O-N, Gray, G-R-A-Y. No hyphen.

MS. OLSON: Thank you.

2.4

MS. GRAY: Good afternoon. I'd like to put our discussion into some greater community context. We are the people who would be picking up the tab for remedial actions prescribed in the evaporation pond. I should have told you, by the way, I'm a Director at the Cambria Community Health Care District, and by the way, that Board, which doesn't do very much, unanimously passed a resolution to support the community's water project, both for the health and for the safety of our community.

This -- if the Staff's preferred plan would be approved it would cost about \$250 per ratepayer. Let me tell you about Cambrian's. Many are widows and widowers living alone and on fixed incomes. Some are new to the county, trying to work two or three jobs to just put food on the table. Many are struggling to find accessible health care, and most specifically for their kids, even basic dental care for their children near enough that they can get it.

As you may know, Cambria got a pretty big hit from the storm and I wanted to give you a sense of what that looks like. We've had to evacuate our ambulance quarters -- by the way, the health District does ambulance not the not the services District -- because of a slope failure that happened at the same time of the big storm

that's affecting the pond we're talking about.

We're working with FEMA to try to get help to fix that problem but right now our ambulance crews are staying in private homes in residential areas, one home. The major landslide and bridge failure in Big Sur has really affected our shop owners, our motel owners, restaurants and it has greatly reduced coastal access along the whole north coast. There's a big economic challenge happening in Cambria right now.

Santa Rosa Creek Road is still closed to through traffic. Very difficult for the neighbors and the people who live there to access, and a true emergency challenge there. And we're still conserving water. Most of us are still not flushing our home toilets after every visit. When our neighbors come, we run into our toilet rooms and flush real guickly.

The evaporation pond did not overflow, the water did not go anywhere. I toured it Tuesday morning and it's gradually evaporating. It's not threatening anything or anybody. Cambrian's feel pretty beat up these days. To face a \$1,000,000 clean-up tab after all this would feel a little bit like punishment. But for what?

None of the Cambrian's and the District did not do anything wrong. We want to put our money towards fixing our real problems that occurred during the storm.

Please consider the people who live here and the people who actually pay the bills. You can't stick it to the man. We're the man. Thank you.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you. Cindy Steidel, Ted Key, and then (inaudible) --

MS. STEIDEL: Good afternoon. Name is Cindy Steidel, C-I-N-D-Y, S-T-E-I-D-E-L. Chairman and members of the Board, thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today. I'm a 20-year, full-time resident of Cambria. I've supported the Cambrian Community Services District's emergency water supply project and now the sustainable water facility since the inception of the project. I would like to briefly discuss the project's history that bears directly on the issues before you, the nature of the compliance with the proposed Cease and Desist Order, and the amount of penalties levied against the CCSD. In 2014, Cambria faced a prolonged drought which threatened the very existence of our community. We had to create a supplemental water supply, and we had to do it fast. Thankfully, the CCSD acted responsibly and decisively.

The District engaged a world-renowned engineering firm, CDM Smith, having extensive experience designing reverse osmosis plants like ours, while the residents of our small town committed the resources necessary to permit design and construct the EWS. The project became

operational in less than a year.

Significantly, we solved our crisis in large part because of the skill and cooperation of this Board and it's staff and for that, we in Cambria are very grateful. The project supplements our natural water supplies with clean, safe water while preserving our natural environment. As it might be expected from any plant, let alone one that was fast-tracked, DWS has some bumps in the road including the events leading to this hearing. The evaporation pond successfully contained the plant's brine waste, but during the very heavy rains last winter, and with the further impact of adjacent property flooding, the pond did not maintain specified margins for a period of time.

In response, the District has elected to close the pond and use alternate means of waste disposal. The CCSD is also budgeted a new, full-time position dedicated to plant operations and compliance. These are expensive fixes but they are necessary, and the community supports them.

In point of reference, the pond itself has returned to the established free board constraints and offers no immediate threat. To add additional cost for rapid brine waste disposal on top of the steps the District's already taken would be excessive and

unnecessary. Although actions of this Board may be taken or directed to CCSD, please keep in my mind that they are in result imposed on the people of our community. Thank you again for the opportunity to speak.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you. Ted Key.

2.4

MR. KEY: Good morning. My name is Ted Key. Spelling T-E-D, K-E-Y.

I am a resident of Cambria. I would like to -good morning, Water Board members. I thank the Board for
all the diligence and hard work it has required to deal
with this project. I am completely in agreement with your
Staff commentary and strongly support making the Cease and
Desist Order permanent. This is a very high-tech project.
It's very likely beyond the capacity of the CCSD based on
the many late reports, your April 13th letter adding 162
additional violations, an incredibly delinquent EIR, and a
failed discharge system. The Webb petition has close to
200 signatures supporting the CDO becoming final.

Some have used a small but vocal characterization towards those critical of the CCSD. Small is simply an accurate characterization given these responses and noting that the last CCSD election where the incumbent president was unseated. Your Staff response to the brine waste impoundment was very thorough.

Since evaporation is not a reasonable solution,

we must not allow this toxic stew to concentrate in the open impoundment. The effluent must be trucked out as soon as possible. There are ongoing questions regarding intrusion and environmental impact as an open water source for animals. The leak detection system has not been properly monitored. This only amplifies the reporting issues with the CCSD, which have resulted in \$53,000 in fines.

2.4

We deserve complete verification that zero intrusion is occurring. I'm concerned that even if low-level boron contaminant is included in the regular CCSD waste stream, the waste will eventually go to the same location inside the sanctuary. Dilution is not a solution to pollution and we are not sure what this waste actually consists of when you add animal feces and remains, et cetera.

I also question the repurposing of the impoundment pit for firefighting since use -- since access would present a whole new set of inefficiencies for the emergency agencies. Given the impoundment location, the best solution would be to return to its original state.

While costs are not central to this discussion, they have been addressed in the supplemental comments.

Cambrians need to know how much this ongoing trucking is going to cost so that we can have input on how this plant

is used. The trucking of this waste should have been done during the test phase.

2.4

The CCSD needs to recognize that this plant is only an emergency water source and should not be used as a project for growth. The SWF was never authorized by public vote.

Additionally, I cannot agree more that it is simply outrageous for your Staff to devote over 25 percent of its time to hand-hold the CCSD any further. Please make the order permanent and require a timeline plan to resolve this out-of-compliance impoundment. Thank you.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you. Next is Lee, then Karen Dean, and then Leslie Richard. And we're almost at the end here. So just want to go through all the speaker cards.

MR. LEE: Hello, Board. My name is Dewayne Lee. D-E-W-A-Y-N-E, L-E-E.

I'm a full-time resident of Cambria, and I've lived there almost five years. I've come to this meeting today to thank you and your Staff for issuing the tentative Cease and Desist Order requiring the Class II surface impoundment to be discontinued and urge the Board to make this a permanent order.

This action helps to ensure that our environment is not damaged further and in so doing protects the health

of the citizens of Cambria. The result of the existing impoundment is an excellent example of why projects should have an environmental impact report before such projects are started rather than after they're completed.

2.4

This surface impoundment clearly was not engineered properly and was constructed in an area subject to flooding adjacent to the San Simeon State Park in Washburn Campground. The blowers installed to increase the evaporation of this impoundment created an intolerable noise for the guests and nearby residents of the state park and have -- were deemed unworkable.

You have all the evidence showing how this impoundment has failed and triggered massive fines to the CCSD. The CCSD has acknowledged that the impoundment design was a failure.

I urge the Board to issue a permanent Cease and Desist Order to protect our environment and require that the CCSD submit a final closure plan and time for closing the impoundment because concentrations of multiple pollutants have clearly exceeded MCLs. Any Discharge of the impoundment content would result in groundwater being degraded, a risk Cambria cannot afford.

I support a final closure to be implemented as soon as possible to help ensure no further environmental damage is done to this beautiful and special area of our

California coast. Thank you very much.

2.4

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you for your comment. And Karen Dean and then Leslie Richards.

MS. DEAN: Good afternoon. I'm Karen Dean; K-A-R-E-N, D-E-A-N. And I'm a full-time resident of Cambria.

First of all, I'd like to thank all of you to your attention to this matter and I strongly support the Cease and disorder -- Cease and -- yeah, this word. And the emptying of the brine pond. I have some concerns about the method of evaporation.

The District is presently paying almost \$72,000 for performance a bond on this impoundment basin. This will continue until the basin is empty and no longer in use. The monitoring, testing, and reporting will continue to be required as well, and with this, brings the possibility of more violations and fines.

The impoundment basin liner has only a five-year warranty. We're already three years into that warranty period. It will take longer than the remaining two years of that warranty to empty the basis by evaporation. The longer this basin is allowed to sit with any brine waste effluent, the more we are at risk of a liner failure or leak or possible threat to our water supply and our environment.

When CDM Smith presented the plans for this

surface impoundment basin in 2014, it was noted that because of our cool, foggy climate, sufficient evaporation would not occur to allow use of this basin for longer than approximately two consecutive dry seasons of use of the facility, hence the need for the evaporation blowers which can no longer be used.

Cambria is not like the San Joaquin Valley where they have long 90 to a hundred plus degree summers that would aid in evaporation. The basin has evaporated a foot or so since the rain stopped in April, so it is now in compliance with the free board level.

It may very well evaporate another foot or so before the rainy season begins, but if we get even a normal rainfall of 22 inches this coming season -- let alone the over 40 inches of this past winter -- we will be right back above the Free Board level again and this can go -- be yo-yo effect that can go on for years.

In the meantime, the elephant -- elements in the brine waste effluent concentrate -- and there's more than just boron -- they have not been fully analyzed. Birds land and feed there. Other wildlife are attracted to the water as well as possible damage to that liner from gophers, et cetera.

And I would like to say that I drive past the impoundment basin about once a week and I have never

driven past it when there have not been birds in the water.

2.

2.4

I support the Cease and Desist Order and I strongly support requiring the RO Brine Waste Impoundment Basin be cleaned out ASAP, rather than allowing it two years to evaporate on its own.

I thank you for your diligent oversight of this project and your concern for the health and safety in our -- our environment. I would also like to say to those who say that the cost of trucking would be a hardship, it wasn't a hardship when CCSD decided that they -- they could spend \$800,000 for a library building for a new office or to buy a fire truck or any a number of other things that they've decided to spend our ratepayer monies on. Thank you.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you very much. Next is Leslie Richard.

MS. RICHARDS: I have some additional on here (inaudible), so I'm going to try to read that to you. Leslie Richards. L-E-S-L-I-E, R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S.

Good afternoon. CCSD's request to allow the impoundment pond to naturally evaporate over several seasons is unacceptable for two reasons: One, high levels of boron have been detected and samples taken from the waste product are currently stored in the impoundment.

CCSD Staff have stated the source of this elevated boron is due to the pickling agent used to preserve RO and MF filters when the AWTP is non-operational.

2.4

One chemical mentioned by the district is the preservative cocktail -- in this preservative cocktail is sodium bisulfate; high concentration solution of sodium bisulfite was banned in 1986 by the EPA for human consumption due to multiple fatalities associated with ingestion of treated salad greens at a number of restaurants.

This chemical may have been sprayed from the evaporation blowers in the impoundment basin during a 96-hour stress test run by CDM Smith for the District in February of 2015. During this event, three individuals living and working within 500 yards of the overspray coming from the impoundment blowers experienced symptoms of adverse side-effects as a direct result of inhalation.

These included difficulty breathing, asthma attacks, rash, coughs, loss of voice, nosebleed, and sudden onset of bronchitis. Once impoundment blowers were permanently shut off by the District, all symptoms subsided. The chemical constituents of the antiscalants and RO preservatives, including sodium bisulfite, were not documented by the District and the reports submitted to RWQCB during the original 2000 -- November 2014

permitting process.

2.4

These dangerous chemical cocktails are legally deemed proprietary properties and thus are kept secret by CDM Smith, which is allowed by regulatory agencies like the EPA. To date, we do not know exactly what chemical compounds have been dumped into the impoundment waste pond by the District or by their subcontractors, CDM Smith.

Two, currently, the impoundment pond is an attractive nuisance for wildlife and has issues arising from the District's lack of vector controls in its management protocols. Flocks of swallows, ducks, and shorebirds have been witnessed feeding on a large population of insects in the impoundment pond. Avian fecal matter has accumulated combined with other waste products produced by AWTP operations to create a smelly, putrid mess.

This smell will only grow worse over time, adversely impacting the surrounding community, including San Simeon State Park Campground.

In conclusion, to delay removal of the brine currently stored in the impoundment could be dangerous to humans and the environment. Please vote yes for the Cease and Desist Order with no modifications.

I'd to like add on two statements made here by comments. I like the idea of possibly using the RO system

to repurpose the water. The only problem is it's only operational during Emergency Stage III and we're no longer in Emergency Stage III, so we are not allowed to use the actual plant unless -- that point. Thank you so much.

CHAIR WOLFF: Thank you very much. And, you know, the last speaker card I had was also from Mr. Jerry Gruber where he had Item 9 and 10, but I question having you speak now because, you know, you had your 30 minutes period and I don't think that would be fair. Okay. Just wanted to clarify and acknowledge that.

So we have now covered all the speaker cards and this is due time for a recess and -- one hour? And do we have close session item?

MS. JAHR: We do have close session. We're going to be discussing Item 10. So the other we can do -- if this Board would like -- is during lunch, we could do Board deliberations on this item as well during close session.

CHAIR WOLFF: Well --

2.4

MS. JAHR: Or we can do it afterwards.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. I -- I think -- and speaking for myself and I've noticed one of my colleagues taking a lot of notes -- that we probably have some additional questions that we're going to have to staff which have been the Prosecution Team and CSD that are prompted by the public comments, so I don't think this would be --

1 MS. JAHR: Not ready for deliberations yet. 2. CHAIR WOLFF: No. 3 MS. JAHR: Okay. 4 MR. YOUNG: How many more speakers do we have? 5 CHAIR WOLFF: This is it. 6 MR. YOUNG: Okay. Because you said 18 (inaudible) 7 something. CHAIR WOLFF: I -- guessed. So we are in agreement? 8 9 I -- yes. So we are in agreement? I -- I have more 10 questions. Now, what we can do, I -- do you have more 11 questions. 12 MS. GRAY: I'm ready. 13 MR. YOUNG: I'm ready also. 14 CHAIR WOLFF: So then I'll just have my questions now 15 quickly and that way we can deliberate. How's that? Fair 16 enough? So my -- my question goes -- goes back to the 17 storm water permit, you know, there had been a little bit 18 of time here. Do we have any answer on that? 19 MR. ROBERTSON: Working on it. I got the one-minute 20 sign. 21 MR. THOMAS: The Cambria -- Michael Thomas, Assistant 22 Executive Officer. The Cambria Waste Water Treatment 23 Facility is -- is enrolled under the Storm Water Permit; 2.4 the Potable Water System is not. Potable water systems 25 are generally -- those -- those potable water systems that

1 are enrolled are generally larger systems; quite a bit 2 large than the Cambria system. 3 CHAIR WOLFF: And so potable water systems are exempt 4 from storm water permits. 5 MR. THOMAS: There is a size limit that has to do with the number of connections. I'm not sure if Cambria is 6 7 exempt. I would have to check into that. 8 CHAIR WOLFF: So --9 MR. THOMAS: I know -- I do know that we got -- I got 10 a response back from our Storm Water Staff that the potable water system in Cambria is not enrolled. 11 12 CHAIR WOLFF: Well, I mean, you do have quite a few 13 connections. You have 6,000 residents, so that's not, you know, a small number. So I think -- I think this still 14 15 requires --MR. THOMAS: Definitely more follow-up. 16 17 CHAIR WOLFF: Yeah. 18 MR. THOMAS: This is the initial response that I have. 19 CHAIR WOLFF: And what I wanted to, basically, set 20 here is that there may be some unfinished business 21 associated with the storm water impact to the footprint of 22 the water reclamation facility. I mean, we saw the 23 pictures. I mean, that's pretty evident, so this may need

to be addressed at some other time, you know, but I -- I

don't want it to go away.

2.4

25

I mean, personally, because we don't have a decisive answer here.

2.4

MR. THOMAS: Right. We'll follow-up and determine if the facility should be enrolled by law and facilities like -- like this one. This one and others.

CHAIR WOLFF: Correct. And then if it is found that that's the case, we can address it some other time; what took place, or you're saying, "No, it was not permitted." So we cannot make this retroactive. Is that what you're saying.

MR. ROBERTSON: I think there's an unresolved issue there of whether they should be covered by a permit. They're not presently covered. Whether they should be is an unanswered question right now and then we can follow that once we know.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. All right. So that rests that.

And then my last quick question is, you know, in -- in the deliberation, you know, certainly there's going to be discussions about, you know, how much time, et cetera, but is Staff ready to also set some additional compliance conditions, you know, hypothetically. In deliberation, it is agreed, "Okay. We're -- we're going to extend for, you know, one year up to get rid to -- drain the pond."

Since most likely whatever measure is going to take will overlap the next rainy season because it's going

at least a month just to get a report and, you know, you're not going to get this accomplished in three months. I can't see that. So is Staff ready to have some additional measures that would, you know, set some parameters to prevent ourself going -- coming back next year and say, "Whoops, you know, we had another incident. We need more hearings."

And, you know, basically, having more -- more constraints -- regulatory constraints saying, "Well, if you're going to have another event like this, you know, there's going to be subject to fines" and -- and built -- build a more robust set of requirements beyond allowing a Ceased and Desist associated with a timeline.

MR. ROBERTSON: So I'm -- I'm going to maybe think this out loud a little bit. So -- so what we could -- one option that the Board could create is the expectation that within the decommissioning plan for the impoundment, there also be consideration for -- given that the timeline might span one or multiple winter seasons -- counter measures be also written into that plan that address some of the critical failures that are observed that brought us to the Cease and Desist order. So that -- that could be a recommendation or an expectation that the Board directs of Staff in the presence of Cambria CSD.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay.

2.4

1 As part of the decision on this CDO. MR. ROBERTSON: 2 CHAIR WOLFF: And then at that time, you know, Staff 3 will work in further refining these requirements. 4 MR. ROBERTSON: Right, right. 5 CHAIR WOLFF: All right. I just wanted to --6 MR. ROBERTSON: As -- as the plan comes forward. 7 CHAIR WOLFF: Right. MR. ROBERTSON: -- you know, in the discussion about 8 9 the plan. 10 CHAIR WOLFF: Right. Because since, you know, we're going to be debating among ourselves without the benefit 11 12 of Staff, you know, I want it to be a little bit clearer 13 on -- on what our options are. MR. YOUNG: Can't we deliberate when we come out 14 15 and -- all we're being asked for are recommendations; 16 right? Can't we come back out and have questions for Staff? 17 18 MS. JAHR: Certainly. 19 Okay. So why don't we just do that. MR. YOUNG: 20 Let's sit and talk, and we'll come out and get more 21 questions answered. 22 CHAIR WOLFF: I wanted to -- I'm satisfied because now 23 I can deliberate having a little more background --2.4 MR. YOUNG: Okay. 25 CHAIR WOLFF -- on what I want to deliberate.

1 MR. YOUNG: Okay. 2 CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. So one hour and we'll reconvene 3 in one hour, so it will be a quarter to 2:00. 4 MS. JAHR: I would just say that if the Board is 5 deliberating, we will come back no earlier than one hour but it could possibly take longer for deliberations. 6 7 (Lunch recess.) CHAIR WOLFF: Okay, folks. I'd like to -- everyone to 8 9 sit down, please. Thank you and -- okay, back of the 10 room, no more hugging. Thank you. So we are reopening this Item 10, and at this time, I'd like to open my 11 12 colleagues here for any further comments and questions you 13 may have. We had deliberation, but this is another 14 15 opportunity to, you know, further discuss this matter. I don't have anything else to discuss. 16 MR. YOUNG: CHAIR WOLFF: 17 Okay. Ms. Gray? 18 MS. GRAY: No. I have nothing else. 19 CHAIR WOLFF: Mr. Johnston. 20 MR. JOHNSTON: Nope. 21 CHAIR WOLFF: All right. And I do not either and --22 and Mayor Delgado is back, but because he did not 23 participate with the earlier session he will --2.4 MR. DELGADO: Abstain. 25 CHAIR WOLFF: He will abstain.

MS. JAHR: So based on the Board's discussion during deliberations, I have the following proposal and one addition change to the CDO and then also Board direction for this Board to give to the Executive Officer, and the change in the language is on page four.

2.4

The -- in the third paragraph after, "It is hereby ordered: The work plan should include a timeline for removing all wastes from the surface impoundment at the earliest possible date, but not to exceed five (5) years, regardless of whether option one or two is -- below is chosen." So that would make -- add a time limit to the CDO.

The other is for Board direction to the Executive Officer to the effect of, "Any work plan approval must be of sufficient detail to ensure that all current permit terms will be met at all times. If the pond continues into the reason -- the rainy season, the work plan must also incorporate the technical requirements of option one listed in the CDO."

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Very good.

MR. YOUNG: To be delivered, though. I thought to be delivered to the EO. It -- it sounds --

MS. JAHR: It should be in the work plan that is submitted to the EO.

MR. YOUNG: Okay. Did you state "in sufficient

1 detail, " or the EO --2 MS. JAHR: You want me to resaid -- restate it? 3 Could you restate it. MR. YOUNG: Yeah. 4 MS. JAHR: Yeah. "Any work plan approval must be of 5 sufficient detail to ensure that all current permit terms will be met at all times. If the pond continues into the 6 7 rainy season, the work plan must also incorporate the technical requirements of Option 1 listed in the CDO." 8 9 CHAIR WOLFF: All right. So at this time, I didn't 10 hear any more comment. I'd like to see if we have a 11 motion. 12 MR. JOHNSTON: So moved. 13 MS. GRAY: I'll second. 14 CHAIR WOLFF: And any further discussion. 15 MR. JOHNSTON: And my motion incorporates both the Amendment and the Board direction. 16 17 CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Any further comment? I'm looking 18 on my right and my left. 19 Why don't we hear from their attorney, MR. YOUNG: 20 just in case there's something that maybe we should just 21 consider at the last minute, Mr. Chair. 22 CHAIR WOLFF: Yes, Mr. Young. 23 I'm Tim Carmel, District Counsel. MR. CARMEL: 2.4 Board authorized -- me and the General Manager -- to not 25 contest the Order that's in the packet, and I'm not sure I

even heard the changes that you're proposing and how they relate to the order themselves -- itself, but those are material changes to the Order and I don't have the authority to not contest the Order in that state, so I believe we need to start the process over again if that's the case.

2.4

Again, we didn't contest the Order that's in front of you. That's not the Order that you're talking about and as a result, we certainly object, and I'd love you to hear from Prosecution Counsel and your Counsel about this because we don't -- we don't consent to this particular Order that you're talking about, and certainly don't without it sitting in front of me. We have an opportunity to read it and understand it.

CHAIR WOLFF: All right. So at this time, I'm going to ask our Counsel to comment.

MS. JAHR: The Board has two options: The first is to approve the CDO as discussed. The Board has the ability during any hearing to make any changes it desires, regardless of whether the item is contested or not. The second option would be to delay it and allow the parties to provide comments on the proposed direction if the Board would like. But as I stated, the Board has the ability to move forward today.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. Mr. Johnston.

MR. JOHNSTON: Question for Counsel. My question is:

If the -- if there was an agreement not to contest and we amended the Order, does that give the District a leg up to appeal the Order that they did not otherwise have? Second part of the question is: Do we walk around that by simply moving the five years to Board direction?

MS. JAHR: Well, if you moved it to Board direction, it would not be -- it would not amend the language of the CDO, so technically, then, they may not contest it, but they may feel that Board direction is of sufficient nature that they still would need to appeal it, but the language of the CDO would be the same; so --

MR. JOHNSTON: And -- I would to like amend my motion, and simply take that five years, not amend the CDO with the five year language, and simply include in the Board's direction to Staff that our expectation of what we, as a Board, think that is the maximum of a reasonable time is five years.

CHAIR WOLFF: So any other comment, Mr. Young.

MR. YOUNG: Mr. Carmel, if you could please come back up to the podium. Is that the issue? The five-year limit of compliance?

MR. CARMEL: Candidly, I -- we didn't have a chance to read it. We heard it in a muffled way, and I'm not sure I really fully understood. The five years, I don't think we

1 had a great deal of problem with. 2 MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. CARMEL: I understood it it was the four --3 4 MR. YOUNG: Hang on. MR. CARMEL: And again, I don't have all this material 5 in front of me -- it was the four prongs that were a part 6 7 of keeping the facility open, which meant hiring a geologist, hiring an engineer; is that what we're talking 8 9 about. 10 MR. ROBERTSON: So that was direction from the Board to the Executive Officer about the Board's expectations 11 12 with what a plan -- that I would approve would contain. 13 MR. CARMEL: Right. It's not contained in the Cease and 14 MR. ROBERTSON: 15 Desist Order. That's direction from --16 MR. CARMEL: Right. You mean at the last round that 17 we just heard. 18 MR. ROBERTSON: Right. And what you just enumerated; 19 the -- the four aspects of the -- the four aspects of the 20 ongoing operation of the facility. That was direction to 21 me. 22 Well, we do have problems with, I think, MR. CARMEL: 23 two of those components because it requires us to install

a drain -- a very expensive drain, redo our lysimeter --

whatever it's called. I'm pretty much an idiot on this

2.4

25

stuff. Those are very expensive items. They're truly unnecessary under these circumstances and it's a pretty -- pretty big burden. The five years is not an issue. I mean, that's a very -- although I'm talking without any authority. The five --

MR. ROBERTSON: If I could interrupt. The five years is consistent with the evidence you put in front of the Board about that timeline to evaporate.

MR. CARMEL: That's not an issue. I mean -- and I talked about it with the General Manager. It's -- it's really the cost associated with unnecessary components of those four, and I haven't had a chance to review them.

You have them in front of you, don't you? Oh.

CHAIR WOLFF: Do we need to put --

2.4

MS. JAHR: Yes. Which has the four requirements.

MR. CARMEL: Right. We just need -- if maybe we could take a -- a five-minute break or two-minute break so that we can distill this a little better and understand what its impact is on us.

CHAIR WOLFF: Yeah. That's fine.

MR. CARMEL: Is that okay?

22 CHAIR WOLFF: I'll give you, you know, five minutes.

23 | If you need 10 minutes, I mean, we spent a lot of time so

far so I would like to still be able to -- hopefully, you

know, bring this to a conclusion today.

1	MR. CARMEL: And we appreciate the Board's				
2	consideration. I mean, this is an important issue.				
3	CHAIR WOLFF: Do you need 10 minutes.				
4	DR. CARMEL: We could do it in five. I'm pretty sure.				
5	MR. JOHNSTON: Mr. Chair, I just want to make clear				
6	that this is only if the if the option selected to				
7	empty the pond goes into the rainy season.				
8	MR. CARMEL: Right.				
9	MR. JOHNSTON: That's because in the last rainy				
10	season well, we all heard and saw what happened in the				
11	last rainy season.				
12	MR. CARMEL: Right. But there's been a lot of				
13	subsequent measures taken to make sure that that's not				
14	going to happen again, and I do understand that.				
15	CHAIR WOLFF: Go ahead and				
16	MR. CARMEL: Thank you.				
17	MR. JOHNSTON: Jessica, are you able to print out the				
18	added language to the CDO so that they could they could				
19	read it?				
20	MS. JAHR: I can type it and see if I can get it				
21	printed.				
22	CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. So we're on a 10-minute recess.				
23	(Recess).				
24	CHAIR WOLFF: And I was just going to do public				
25	comments and they have two simultaneous sessions, and I				

was just being told that everybody is ready to finish this item, so a little more patience I will appreciate from the folks who had speaker cards for public comments and I appreciate your indulgence.

2.4

MS. JAHR: So if you can throw that up on the screen. This is the Board direction that I -- we are recommending that you provide to the Executive Officer for this item. We have changed the language a little bit to potentially make it less onerous on them, provided we are satisfied with what they've already done to ensure that they meet all the the permit requirements, including the thousand-foot -- the thousand-year storm and the five-foot separation.

So what it would be now is, they still have to meet, kind of, those technical requirements for option one if it goes into the rainy season, but they can do that for the second and the fourth requirement of those four requirements by a third party demonstrating that they will meet the thousand-year storm and the five-foot separation, instead of having to actually either do it -- the work plan or to construct something. If they can demonstrate that they already met that requirement, they're good.

The third requirement may be satisfied by demonstration that the VZMS has not leaked, which negates needing to fix it because there's no leak, and they can do

1 that through their statistical analysis, and then it also 2 adds the five-year limitation on there. 3 MR. ROBERTSON: One thing I'll ask --4 MR. PACKARD: Can I make one technical point here? 5 There's an allegation that these -- this is 6 Harvey Packard speaking -- there's no allegation that VZMS 7 is leaking. That's the monitoring system. It's the LCRS, I think. 8 9 Okay. Let me change it. MS. JAHR: LCRS? 10 MR. PACKARD: Yes. 11 MS. JAHR: Okay. Thank you. 12 That's why Harvey gets the big bucks. CHAIR WOLFF: 13 Okay. He gets partial credit. 14 MR. ROBERTSON: And I was going to add to that that 15 the third party receives prior approval by the Executive Officer. The third party who would be doing the 16 17 evaluations. 18 CHAIR WOLFF: So I'd like to ask, then, simply what is 19 changed from our original recommendation? What is 20 different than this? MR. ROBERTSON: One element that's different is the 21 22 addition of the fourth requirement, so if you go to the 23 draft Cease and Desist Order, and it's on page four of the 2.4 -- of the tentative Cease and Desist Order, the Roman

numeral one task to be completed before recommencing

25

1 surface impoundment operations. There are four sub-tasks. 2 The fourth one has been added to the direction, so it's 3 right -- actually, both the second and the fourth, 4 where -- so a third party evaluation can suffice there. 5 MS. JAHR: If the third party can demonstrate that the changes they've already made are sufficient to protect the 6 7 pond from the thousand-year storm and maintain the five-foot separation, then no further changes need to be 8 made. 9 10 CHAIR WOLFF: And those are -- will be recommendation 11 from a third party based on what has already been done? MR. ROBERTSON: Correct. An evaluation of what has 12 already been done in -- in the case of the grading 13 14 improvements. 15 So it would be a peer review of the items MS. GRAY: that are currently in place? 16 It could -- correct. It could be a --17 MR. ROBERTSON: 18 it could be a field evaluation of what's been done, too. 19 I mean, not just like what I heard in my brain is that it 20 wouldn't -- I wouldn't constrain it to just be a 21 literature review or calculation review simply, but also a 22 field evaluation of grading improvements that have been 2.3 done. 2.4 MS. GRAY: So the grading improvements that were

conducted by the county and then the trenching that

25

occurred?

2.4

MR. ROBERTSON: Correct.

CHAIR WOLFF: And the sandbags.

MR. CARMEL: If I can just interject, we will be doing significantly more drainage improvements. They're not just what's done. We will complete that process so that we have, you know, a fully-engineered drainage system that works and that has to be demonstrated to the satisfaction of this third party that your Executive Officer chooses.

CHAIR WOLFF: Yeah. Because one of the things that's kind of following up on a thing on the train of thought that Ms. Gray has, is that we're not talking about, you know, it's hard to put it in simple terms -- hiring a consultant to see what had been done in the past and say, "Yeah. This year it's going to work." I guess that's what I'm asking.

It's going to include additional measures, you know, that are going to be taken to prevent surface water inflow.

MR. ROBERTSON: So -- so part of the discussion that occurred during the break was, we already have engineered opinion -- opinions of -- by engineers regarding the sufficiency of prior grading and -- and design elements and they have proven not to be sufficient to comply with the five-foot separation and the inundation requirements

in Title 27. We don't want to just have another paper saying, "Yeah. We're good." We'd like to have a higher level of confidence.

CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. And I saw -- Mr. Johnston, you had raised your hand.

MR. JOHNSTON: Yeah. So I assume that then -- the -- kind of the presumption here is that the failure to maintain a five-foot distance between the bottom of the pond and the groundwater was a function of the -- was -- was quite possibly a function of the inundation by surface water and that we're open to a demonstration that the changes in handling of storm water on the surface will prevent that from happening in the future, as opposed to requiring the drilling of -- of, you know, if that can be demonstrated, as opposed to requiring the drilling of dewatering wells underneath the pond; is that correct?

MR. ROBERTSON: Correct. And that -- that's the gist of the discussion that went on around that element, right. The one acknowledge -- I believe it's the Staff report -- a component of the Staff report developed by the Prosecution Team acknowledges that there's connectivity between the pond -- the historic pond and the surface water features in the vicinity suggesting that there's pretty high permeability out there, so, you know, in a kind of a round-about way of saying yeah.

1 I think if you take -- the likelihood is if you 2 adequately give the water a place to go, it won't 3 necessarily consume the five-foot separation. 4 CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. So I'd like to look at the rest 5 of our -- do you have any more questions? I'm sorry. 6 Ms. Gray? 7 MS. GRAY: No. I'm satisfied, thank you. 8 CHAIR WOLFF: Mr. Young. 9 MR. YOUNG: Well, I just wanted to hear from 10 Mr. Carmel that his prior objection has been taken off the 11 table to our proceeding. We're very comfortable with -- with the 12 MR. CARMEL: 13 language that's there -- comfortable with the process. 14 MR YOUNG: Okay. Thank you. 15 And we really do thank you for your MR. CARMEL: consideration of allowing us to do that. It saves a lot 16 17 of time and energy. 18 CHAIR WOLFF: His body language was showing that he 19 was smiling, so any -- okay. So at this time, we had a 20 motion. We had a second, and we had discussions, and so 21 we have an amendment that's being proposed. Mr. Johnston. 22 MR. JOHNSTON: I will accept the amendment as the 23 maker of the motion. 2.4 CHAIR WOLFF: Okay. 25

MS. GRAY: And I'll second it again.

```
1
                       All right. Any further discussion?
         CHAIR WOLFF:
 2
     Okay. So now we can bring this to a vote, so I would like
     to ask for roll call.
 3
 4
         MS. OLSON: Dr. Wolff?
5
         CHAIR WOLFF: Yes.
6
         MS. OLSON:
                     Doctor Hunter? Ms. Cervantez?
7
                         Yes.
         MS. CERVANTEZ:
         MS. OLSON: Mr. Delgado?
8
9
         MR. DELGADO:
                       Same.
10
         MS. OLSON:
                     Ms. Gray?
11
         MS. GRAY:
                    Aye.
12
         MS. OLSON: Mr. Johnston?
13
         MR. JOHNSTON: Yes.
14
         MS. OLSON:
                     Mr. Young?
15
         MR. YOUNG:
                     Yes.
16
         MS. OLSON:
                     Thank you.
17
         CHAIR WOLFF:
                       All right. So thank you very much.
                                                              So
18
     this concludes Item 10, and then we will promptly move to
19
    public comment. I appreciate the patience of everyone.
20
              (Items 9 and 10 concluded at 3:27 p.m.)
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand, which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given.

Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a federal case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [] was [X] was not requested.

I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party to this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name.

Dated: July 28, 2017

Luis R. Hernandez, Hearing Reporter

i1

Index: \$1,000,000..360

\$	21:21 30:2,24 31:1,4 33:6,8	1601 108:18	20th 14:14 51:5,10
<u> </u>	44:4,5,6,10 47:1	162 130:15	21,061 111:25
\$1,000,000	49:15 80:25 84:9 103:2 110:7	16th 101:8	21th 111:10
127:21 \$250 124:22	139:7,15 145:11 151:23 152:3	17th 51:4 61:12,17 62:4,9	22 8:25 11:4 31:13,23 92:2
126:12	10- 5:7	18 89:17 107:23	135:14
\$395,000 27:12	10-minute 152:22	140:6	2305-DR 89:16
\$466,000 27:5,17	10-plus 66:4	1986 137:7	23rd 89:17,19
\$53,000 131:7	10.2 59:22	1st 31:20 91:2 111:13	24-hour 59:21,22 25 61:1,7 103:9
\$53,500 26:21	108 4:12		24th 51:12 55:21
\$53,596 15:23 29:18	10:00 12:10 44:5,6	2	57:21 60:24 91:6
\$72,000 134:11	11 66:5 124:14	2 101:7	25 67:14 132:8
\$77,000 27:15,19	110 4:13	2.7 60:1	255 67:17
\$800,000 136:12	11126 46:11	2.75 103:4	27 4:5 11:5 31:14, 23 49:17 53:25
\$840 104:1	113 4:14	2/15/2017 102:7	58:14 62:13 63:17
\$840,000 104:1	116 4:15	20 24:11 51:11	68:1,3 82:3 113:4 275 2:17
	118 4:16	20-some 49:14	
(11th 50:10,15,19 51:1	20-year 91:23 128:9	27th 106:2 29 4:6
(5) 146:9	12 4:4 39:21	200 130:18	29th 61:4 63:24
0	121 4:17,18	2000 137:25	2:00 145:3
	123 4:19	2014 10:19 13:12	2nd 111:14
0 98:22	124,000 29:14	14:13 123:9 128:16 135:1 137:25	
0.15 98:22	125 4:20		3
0.24 101:8	128 4:21	2015 13:2,20	3 89:14 101:7
0.54 101:5	12th 89:15 91:2	14:15,18 15:14	30 44:24 48:2,11,
0.75 101:6	13 2:19 6:1 119:21	24:23,24 137:14	18,24 62:20 67:14
1	130 4:22	2016 14:22 24:24 60:24 63:24 111:10,13	68:14 82:14 85:24 87:9 139:8
	132 4:23		30-minute 49:12
1 89:19 101:7	134 4:24	2017 2:19 6:1 13:21 14:25 15:4	300 67:19
147:8	136 4:25	16:3 17:12,16 18:2 25:2 60:25 89:13,14,15,17, 18,19 111:14	30431-DR 89:14
1,600 94:16	13th 61:5 130:15		31 4:7
1,600-1,500 124:17	14th 55:22		320 94:21 97:8
1.5 119:20	15-day 61:5	2017-2018 32:8	35 66:7
10 6:9 8:6 12:11 18:16,22 19:22	15-minute 44:5	2022 91:22 94:3 95:8 98:18	360 27:24

Index: 395.. Additionally

395 27:11 achieving 11:20 **65,000** 9:4 abbreviated 44:19 **67** 18:3 37:22 acknowledge **3rd** 16:3 60:2 **ability** 21:3 41:12, 139:10 16 72:4 148:18,23 4 7 acknowledged absolutely 83:3, 50:8,18 133:14 11 95:15,20 4 119:20 7 4:3 acknowledging absorb 59:20 73:16 76:2 78:16 70 13:17 **4,000** 27:4 **ACL** 7:2 16:1 abstain 145:24,25 4,000-gallon 700,000 9:3 79:8 26:20 27:7 124:15 accept 49:18 84:9 71 26:25 **ACLC** 8:4 12:8 4.4 101:4 acceptable **77** 15:16 27:15 104:21 115:8 acted 128:20 **40** 135:15 7th 55:21 57:22 action 6:17 7:3 accepting 110:17 4008 89:18 100:3 101:5,6 8:9 16:9 21:18,19 access 60:25 46 27:4 45:7 68:8 73:25 64:4 109:2 127:7, 8 105:22 113:9 **466** 27:5 12 131:18 132:24 466,000 27:8 8.32 61:5 accessible actions 11:14,15, 29:16 126:16 80 112:15,21 18,19,24 38:9 **47** 5:7 126:5 130:1 accomplished 83 4:8 58:10 93:16 143:2 activate 116:5 **84** 4:9 5 accomplishing activated 88:21 **86** 4:10 62:22 Activities 115:13 **5** 95:23 8th 100:20 accordance 13:5 **50** 27:7 64:23 66:2 44:16 actual 139:4 77:23,24,25 78:2 9 account 28:25 adaptive 9:23 80:25 81:1 add 16:25 17:2 **50,000** 112:1 **9** 5:4 6:16 8:5 12:3 accountable 18:7 79:19 99:7 17:2 22:21 30:24 **500** 137:15 113:1 129:23 131:15 31:2 33:6,7 47:7 138:24 146:11 53,000 21:22 accrue 75:3 139:7 154:14 **53,500** 27:7 accumulated 90 4:11 39:19 added 65:3 29:13 52:12 63:25 135:8 **597,000** 29:14 152:18 74:9 138:14 96-hour 137:13 **adding** 130:15 accumulates 6 9:00 12:8 74:22 addition 34:21 36:24 96:22 146:3 **9P7** 10:14 93:2 accumulation **6** 5:4 124:16 154:22 52:15 9th 50:7 6,000 119:22 additional 14:3 accuracy 29:3 141:13 45:3 51:2 105:15 Α 120:16,24 129:23 accurate 130:21 6/22/2017 102:9 130:16 136:18 accurately 99:10 **60** 109:19 A-M-A-N-D-A 139:22 142:20 106:15 143:4 achieve 15:20 600,000 63:4 16:9 43:20 Abalone 102:9 Additionally 64 94:24 132:7 achieved 11:25

Index: address..appeared

address 15:4 advocating 80:22 allowable 27:11 100:15 101:25 25:8 30:11 31:22 112:19 51:7 102:1,2,10 118:8 45:23,24 46:14 154:1 aerial 8:17.23 allowed 45:1 65:15 88:14,17 analysts 86:16 63:8.16 91:17 89:7 104:22 142:7 aerosolize 56:16 105:2 112:8,12 143:20 analyze 69:3 affected 127:6 134:21 138:4 101:19 addressed 16:11 139:3 affecting 127:1 23:19 119:23 analyzed 101:21 allowing 10:23 affiliation 46:15 131:23 141:24 135:20 123:6 136:5 addresses 12:21 143:12 afford 133:22 and/or 114:20 115:22 119:13 afternoon 126:2 alluded 42:3 anecdotal 69:10 addressing 128:6 134:4 alongside 56:16 Angela 45:20 29:12 116:15 136:21 alternate 75:7 angst 82:10 **adds** 154:2 age 109:19 129:16 animal 63:14 adequate 73:9 agencies 111:12 alternatives 131:15 116:11 117:4 adhere 33:20 124:5 118:1 131:20 animals 64:15,21 adjacent 9:17 altogether 96:18 138:4 65:4 88:4,9 131:5 129:12 133:7 **Amanda** 105:12 agency 117:23 announce 68:13 adjourn 46:10 106:15 110:3 agenda 22:15 adjustments ambulance **annual** 13:15,23 agent 137:2 42:7 126:22,23 127:3 26:5 63:4 aggressively administrative ambulances 37:1 answers 117:7 88:17 5:5 6:19 8:4,8 amend 81:18 anticipate 63:19 11:3 12:17 15:3 **Aging** 120:2 149:8,13,14 79:5 83:5 26:15 31:17 32:6 agree 43:9 90:7 104:9 amended 149:3 anticipated 60:7 91:9 95:15 104:15 93:23 adopt 8:13 68:11 Amendment 132:7 147:16 anticipation adopted 10:20 agreed 8:11 16:1 97:13 13:11 14:13 amiss 25:7 22:2 66:5 80:2 antiscalants 83:6 142:22 adoption 44:14 amount 7:1 14:18 137:22 62:20 82:14 agreement 16:6 15:23 16:3,5 87:24 97:12,15 17:19 25:3,19 anymore 88:10 advanced 8:22 28:23 29:17,18 104:21 130:11 90:6 19:2,25 36:24 140:8,9 149:2 39:24 42:10 52:23 **anyone's** 33:17 **adverse** 137:17 71:19 76:22 78:3 ahead 81:7 87:19 81:23 86:23 89:23 **anytime** 109:24 adversely 138:18 88:11 89:9 90:23 91:24 94:11,12 152:15 apologize 97:10 advised 45:10,14 96:7 100:4 107:7 108:4 114:16 56:18 aid 135:9 apparent 26:12, 128:16 19 Advisor 26:14 alarm 23:14 55:12 amounts 24:7 **appeal** 149:4,11 advisors 45:8 allegation 154:5, amplifies 131:6 **APPEARANCES** Advisory 44:24 3:1 45:17 46:9 47:21, analysis 57:17 **alleged** 7:2 16:7 58:18 70:22 71:7 23 27:15,20 47:9 appeared 55:9 83:18 97:22 57:10

110:19 121:13

124:23 135:16

appears 57:10 70:8 85:3 111:18 appointment 81:4 appreciated 86:25 appreciation 108:5 apprised 109:11 approach 16:15 35:23 43:25 111:20 120:14 approval 82:15, 16 146:14 147:4 154:15 approve 82:7 148:18 150:12 approved 84:1 88:13 123:8 126:12 approving 105:18 approximate 8:16 90:2 approximately 8:20 25:25 27:7 60:1 91:5,19 135:4 **April** 15:4 24:23 98:22 130:15 135:10 **aquifer** 6:24 9:2 12:25 15:10 23:11 25:6,12 93:1 area 29:6 54:13,19 59:10 64:5 65:18 68:24 69:6,8,23 70:19 71:1,15,21, 22 72:25 74:5 77:23 89:23 90:5, 9,10 96:13 119:24 133:6,25 area's 120:25

areas 9:18 32:17

127:4

argument 103:5 **arising** 138:9 arrangement 97:16 array 82:22 arrival 7:13 arrow 69:15,22 Arsenic 66:17 articulated 32:11 as-soon-aspossible 79:20 **ASAP** 136:5 aspect 20:2 29:6 aspects 50:12 150:19 assessed 43:23 assessment 43:25 assessments 59:16 assigned 7:17 Assistant 45:12 140:21 assisting 114:16 121:6 **assume** 97:17 **assumed** 29:13 assuming 63:5 74:14 80:12 98:19 assumptions 95:14,16,18 97:9 assurances

105:3

asthma 137:18

attacks 137:19

attended 102:8

attention 38:2,4

111:10 134:7

attempt 70:2 73:5

attorney 7:19 147:19 attracted 135:21 attractive 63:12, 21 64:3 115:12 138:9 augment 9:2 **August** 74:19 authority 148:4 151:5 authorized 13:10 32:8 46:11 132:5 147:24 authorizes 12:24 automatic 42:24 43:10 automatons 41:3 average 63:5 92:4 95:11 98:20,22 99:11 101:6 109:19 **Avian** 138:13 aware 36:17 50:5 62:24 73:18 awry 36:15 **AWTP** 137:3 138:15 В B-A-R-B-A-R-A 125:25 B-E-C-K-Y 122:22 B-R-O-N-S-O-N

aware 36.17 50.5
62:24 73:18

awry 36:15

AWTP 137:3
138:15

B

B-A-R-B-A-R-A
125:25

B-E-C-K-Y
122:22

B-R-O-N-S-O-N
125:25

basin 66
88:21 91
32:20 33:22 40:7
51:11,18 54:9
55:4 62:9 71:21
73:17 74:19 75:9
78:22 82:7 83:5
85:13 93:1,12
94:9 105:10 107:6

basis 13:15 41:15 biologists 96:15 51:7,9,14 52:18 64:1 99:12 99:22 101:3 53:2,3 56:18 bird 89:4 **box** 59:10 71:9,11, 111:22 134:20 59:24 67:4 79:24 12,13,25 **birds** 63:13 64:13 82:18 83:18 84:20 **bat** 77:24 67:1,2 88:19,22 87:7 89:8 91:5 breach 64:4 beak 88:24 89:2 115:15 96:3 98:13 break 44:5 72:7 135:20 136:1 105:13,16 106:2, bears 109:22 94:25 151:17 10 111:1 113:5,8, 128:14 bisulfate 137:6 16 114:18 119:4 breaking 116:23 **bisulfite** 137:7,23 120:17 121:19 **beat** 127:20 breathing 137:18 122:20 123:5,8,12 beautiful 133:25 bit 8:23 17:6 19:23 126:8 128:8 **bridge** 127:5 28:7 39:14,22 129:3,22 130:1,9 **Becky** 116:3 40:14,19 42:3 briefly 32:2 132:16,22 133:16 118:15 121:1 59:12 66:10 69:22 128:13 122:10 135:11,16 139:16 82:18 95:2,9 98:9 143:16,23 145:4 **brine** 9:5 10:7,8 **began** 59:15 100:22 102:14 146:3,4,13 30:2 47:4 49:19 103:5 107:25 147:16,24 148:17, begin 8:15 45:23 50:2 56:17,21 108:24 123:18 18,22,23 149:6,7, 46:19 108:15 66:10,11 74:6,8,9, 127:22 140:17 10,17 150:10 13,15 75:3,5,13 141:1 143:15 beginning 14:15 151:8 153:6 77:7,17,24 78:1 144:12 153:8 35:19 60:24 84:13 90:18 **Board's** 15:25 105:14 **black** 41:9 97:14,20 101:16 29:17 45:8 94:2 begins 135:13 112:5 113:23 98:25 104:13 blending 93:18 114:19,22,23 111:6,10 120:19 believes 99:19 **blob** 10:2 118:3.5.10.19 146:1 149:15 **bells** 55:13 119:3 122:3 150:11 152:1 **blocks** 24:25 125:3,5 129:10,24 beneath 25:13 Boards 95:6 blow 119:2 130:23 134:9,21 72:9,14 88:8 135:19 136:4 **body** 112:10 **blower** 119:1 benefit 123:24 138:20 **bold** 105:22 blowers 56:15,19, 144:11 **bring** 43:13 108:9 23 63:3 90:21 **bond** 134:12 120:18 151:25 berms 53:6.7 133:8 135:5 64:16 **books** 55:12 bringing 110:18 137:12,16,20 **Berwick** 108:18 **borne** 20:19 **brings** 134:15 **blue** 10:4,16 11:17 **big** 10:2 24:6,25 54:13 **boron** 66:16.19. **broken** 88:24 25:13,18 36:1 24 92:9 97:25 **board** 2:2,7,20 3:3 bronchitis 43:7 53:9 60:19 100:19,22 101:7, 6:12,17 7:4,8,16, 137:20 64:8 95:14 121:25 10,12,16,20 18 8:10,13 9:25 126:20,25 127:5,8 112:14,18,20 10:20 11:1,9,22 **Bronson** 125:19, 151:3 154:12 115:2,8 131:11 12:14,15 13:11 24 135:20 136:24 16:2,13,16 20:11 **bigger** 39:13 brought 30:10 137:1 24:1 26:14 29:11, 107:9 38:2,3 39:17 23 31:6,7 32:7,12 bottle-sampling 76:20 83:12 89:8 biggest 34:10 33:11,14 38:9 102:9 124:24,25 143:21 40:9,24 43:15 **bill** 30:20 bottles 102:12,14 44:13,22,24 45:7, brown 9:16 89:11 bills 128:2 10,15,17,19 46:9, **bottom** 54:1,3,25 BRUCE 3:5 12,18,23 47:20, biological 96:22 55:1,11 56:6 57:9 23,25 48:6 49:9, **brush** 69:23 60:12 61:1 63:23 biologist 88:23 10 50:9,14,20,23

Index: bubbles..cetera

bubbles 11:17,18 calling 74:6 **capture** 77:7,23 128:16,20 129:17 92:15 130:2,14,20,22 **bucks** 154:12 **Cambria** 5:4,5,7 131:7,12 132:3,9 6:10,22,25 7:17, capturing 92:22 budget 32:8 133:14,18 136:11 24 8:11 9:22 card 30:24 33:6,7 137:1 budgeted 129:17 12:18,24 13:1,9, 139:6 CCSD's 89:24 10 14:7,10,19 **build** 57:6 143:12 15:19,25 16:12 cards 7:12 17:5 92:10 93:4 111:6 120:12 136:21 20:5,11 29:10,22, **building** 136:12 22:21 27:22 33:9 25 31:8 32:18 48:8 84:9 107:22, **CDM** 128:22 built 30:12 56:10 36:23 39:21 23 108:2 110:3 134:25 137:13 118:23 120:21 44:14,17,22 47:2, 132:15 139:11 138:4,7 123:8 143:11 11,19 56:15 86:2 153:3 88:1 90:25 91:22 **CDO** 46:19 80:5 **bumps** 129:8 care 126:7,17,18 92:4 95:12 103:15 83:21 85:1 110:17 bunch 65:6 79:13 105:13 108:4,6, careful 113:6 130:18 144:1 19,22 109:10 146:3,12,19 147:8 burden 36:1 carefully 114:12 110:11 113:18,22, 148:18 149:9,12, 42:12 122:7 151:3 25 115:25 118:18 14 152:18 Carmel 4:10 86:1 burrowing 64:15, 121:18 123:4,15, 147:23 149:20,23 **cease** 5:8 8:10,13 21 65:5 88:9,16 23 124:20 126:6, 150:3,5,13,16,22 11:5 12:11 22:8 20 127:9 128:9,17 burrows 65:7 151:9,16,21 44:14,19 47:2,8,9, 129:4 130:8 152:1,4,8,12,16 11,24 62:19 65:16 **business** 141:20 132:18 133:1,22 80:18 81:15.17.20 Carolyn 4:11 134:5 135:7 **buy** 136:13 84:12 113:9,22 87:10 101:10 140:21,22 141:2, 105:20 114:19 118:7 6,11 143:24 128:15 130:12 C **carries** 10:5,7,9 Cambria's 8:15 132:21 133:16 47:4 79:22 134:8 136:3 carry 114:21 C-H-R-I-S-T-I-N-E 138:22 143:22 Cambrian 128:10 118:18 carrying 120:25 150:14 154:23,24 **C-I-N-D-Y** 128:7 Cambrian's case 30:10 50:1 Ceased 8:6 49:16, 126:13 127:20,23 142:7 147:20 C-L-I-V-E 108:18 23 50:3 62:10,15 148:6 Cambrians 68:4,11 76:10 C-O-N-S-T-A-N-109:19 120:1 81:22 82:1.5.14 cases 7:21 124:1 **C-E** 113:19 131:24 103:14 114:13 catastrophic calculate 26:21 143:13 **camp** 9:10 53:8 27:1,10 99:14 central 2:1,7 3:2 Campground catch 26:6 8:16 20:23 44:12 calculation 97:7 133:8 138:19 131:22 caught 23:10 calculations camping 9:11 cents 124:14 91:21,25 94:1 caused 116:18 **Candidly** 149:23 119:5 certification California 2:3,18 capable 79:7 106:3,4 6:1 32:17 134:1 causing 16:20 certified 117:6 capacity 20:1,20 California's 8:16 cautious 120:14 59:20 62:14 79:17 call 40:10,19 54:3 certify 9:25 **CCSD** 29:20 97:19 120:25 71:13 50:15 93:17 130:14 Cervantez 3:9 102:8,17 109:13, 18:10,11,17,20 **called** 23:10 capital 92:19 22 111:3 114:10, 50:14 121:9 121:17 cetera 29:3 12.21 115:18 150:25 131:16 135:23

120:18 124:2

Clerk 48:6 122:20 142:19 65:6 127:8,12 chose 56:19 84:1 **chair** 6:5,8 7:11, chosen 146:11 **Cleve** 108:12 challenges 11:8 15 12:13 16:17,25 28:2 32:12 39:6 Christine 4:16 **clients** 97:16 17:3,7 18:10,16, 61:24 67:7 75:13 113:15 116:3 19,21 19:12 21:9, 93:13 104:10 climate 95:10 118:17 12 22:20 23:23 110:11 135:2 26:9 27:21 29:11 chunks 39:3 43:7 challenging Clive 4:12 108:12, 30:23 33:5 39:9, 19:19 Ciccarelli 4:5 17 12,15 41:19 43:13 7:19 27:13 45:14 44:2,9 45:3 46:8, **chance** 149:23 clogged 90:1 79:19 81:17 82:13 21 48:3,6,12,15 151:12 87:2 close 46:8 63:6 49:2,5,8 51:15,22 change 41:24 72:2 80:20 129:15 53:20 58:8,17,22 Cindy 4:21 125:19 95:10 146:3,5 130:17 139:13,14, 59:11 61:22 62:3 128:4,6 154:9 64:2,17,19 65:9 circumstance 68:15 69:11 70:6 changed 111:7 closed 7:12 33:6 20:13 73:22 77:2,10,20 153:8 154:19 44:2 46:10 48:7 78:7 80:9 81:3 circumstances 127:10 changing 111:2 84:8 85:15,20 151:2 closely 90:20 87:6 93:20 95:9, characterization cities 32:14 21 96:11,24 97:1 43:9 130:19.21 **closer** 8:17,23 98:16 102:4,23 citizen 50:6 66:10 characterizes 103:7 105:12 41:20 citizens 117:4 **closing** 97:13 106:12,17 107:3, 133:1 133:18 20 110:2,24 **charge** 96:21 111:1,4 113:11 city's 10:18 closure 86:6 91:9 **charges** 124:14 116:2 118:14 133:18,23 civil 5:5 6:19 8:5,8 121:1,5,8,13 cheapest 118:12 11:3 12:17 15:3 closures 91:8 122:9,24 123:1 **check** 16:3 141:7 26:15 125:18,23 128:4 co-mingled 130:5 132:12 chemical 102:1 clarification 30:25 134:2 136:16 115:3 137:4,11,22 84:10,19,23 coast 2:1.7 3:2 139:5,18,20 138:2.5 8:16 20:23 44:13 clarify 27:14 140:2,5,8,14 chemicals 115:2 42:15 87:20 102:10 110:9 141:3,8,12,17,19 139:10 127:8 134:1 142:6,16 143:25 chests 102:14 144:2,5,7,10,22, **clarity** 6:11 82:18 coastal 90:11 chief 32:9 45:19 25 145:2,8,17,19, 84:6 105:15 106:7 127:7 21,25 146:20 children 126:18 **class** 7:24 102:9 cocktail 137:5 147:9,14,17,21,22 chlorinated 132:21 148:15,25 149:19 cocktails 138:2 23:16 42:18 151:14,20,22 **clay** 54:18 71:24 Code 46:11 152:3,5,15,22,24 chlorination 23:9 clean 124:4 129:6 154:12,18 collaborates chlorine 115:8 Chair's 48:1 clean-up 127:21 99:18 116:20 cleaned 90:5 collaborative Chairman 31:6 **choice** 57:1,4 136:5 86:8 87:23 32:4 67:10 87:7 83:23 96:14 121:4 123:5 clear 22:11 48:21 collaboratively 128:7 choices 57:5 59:3 152:5 96:2 **choose** 44:23 challenge 19:16 **clearer** 144:12 colleagues 28:1 109:21 20:4 25:18 34:24 48:16 139:21

Index: collect..conducted

145:12 65:16 76:3 87:21 compensate compromise 108:22 109:9 56:14 72:5 **collect** 36:6,19 111:2,7 114:14 58:19 **compete** 119:16 conceived 117:4 119:18 110:14 collected 36:7 121:11 122:10,11 complaining 38:24 71:20 123:14,25 131:23 30:1 concentrate 138:25 139:25 76:22 125:6 131:1 collecting 85:16 complaint 5:6 145:12 148:22 135:19 6:20 7:3 8:5,9 152:25 153:3 collection 37:2 11:4 12:9,17,21 concentrated 54:2,20 55:2 57:9, committed 98:13 15:7,22 16:1,7,11 63:11 16 71:14,15 105:18,23 128:24 27:20 100:10 concentrates commodity complete 131:9 92:16 collectively 20:7, 77:15 11 32:25 completed 133:4 concentration communication 154:25 58:20 90:18 collector 57:15 45:7 50:12 61:23 100:20 101:3,7, completely 88:16 colorblind 12:5 102:19 10,13 137:6 102:15 130:11 communications colored 54:13 concentrations complex 32:13 79:22 66:14 94:14 column 13:22,23, complexities 133:19 communities 25 14:1 34:14 41:7 19:16,25 20:24 concept 100:8 columns 61:1 24:9 complexity 31:18 105:8,9 combination 32:10 community 5:4,7 conceptual 87:14 89:5 95:23 96:4 6:10 7:17 9:22 compliance 11:8, concern 24:5,9 12:18 13:9 15:12 combined 138:14 25 14:8 15:20 25:6 55:14,17 20:12,16 29:22 16:9 20:3,8,24 64:8 70:18 136:8 comfortable 22:5 31:9,12 33:13 38:12 43:20 47:13 44:15,18 47:2 commencina 51:11,13 57:3 concerned 40:25 56:15 86:2 88:1 63:17 67:16 80:5 41:4,10 91:5 2:18 96:8 105:13,25 81:19,21,23,25 108:24 117:15 comment 6:9 108:23 109:20 82:2 83:24 91:3,4 131:10 17:13 22:14,16 110:13 113:23 107:14 114:12,17 concerns 88:4 23:24,25 30:23 117:25 119:22,23 120:19 128:15 33:10 40:21,22 89:7 104:22 121:23 122:4 129:18 135:11 115:25 134:9 41:18 43:14,16 123:15 126:3,7,10 142:20 149:22 45:2 47:20 77:20 128:10,18 129:19 conclude 67:24 compliant 34:11 80:10 87:10 130:3 138:18 concluded 8:6 102:24 108:2,3 complicated community's 60:7 70:23 110:2 118:14 34:18 126:9 122:9.12.19 134:2 concludes 68:9 comply 14:10 147:10,17 148:16 compact 9:6 16:12 114:10 conclusion 36:22 149:19 compare 58:10, 43:14 46:12 47:22 commentary component 21 59:4,5,7 125:15 138:20 130:12 19:17,18 32:7 151:25 compared 67:18 components commenters 78:3 condition 21:3 6:14 31:22 99:4 66:12 67:3 73:24 comparison 150:23 151:11 75:1 conditions 91:10 58:13,24 100:16, 142:21 compounds comments 7:11 20 115:3 138:6 19:21 21:16 27:25 conducted 44:16 29:25 33:12 48:8 50:9

conduit 70:11 101:20,22 122:16 62:24 71:4 44:13 45:15,19 137:22 46:18 49:10 89:8 confidence 78:11 contend 66:24 92:1 94:2 95:6 constraints confining 71:6 **content** 112:18 96:3,12 98:13 42:14 103:18 133:21 104:13 122:3 confused 97:2 129:22 143:9 125:12 contents 75:2 congratulations construct 60:10 **controls** 138:10 91:18 97:18 98:1 118:20 119:9 95:12 114:22 controversy 128:25 153:21 connect 24:4 contest 16:1 21:10 constructed 147:25 148:4,7 connected conventional 119:10,11 133:6 149:2,9 115:13 42:6.8 construction connecting contested 148:20 conversation 59:17 60:4 63:2 75:15 115:13,24 contesting 44:18 104:5 connections 62:15 68:8 86:5 convinced 35:9 consultant 60:2 141:6,13 65:3 66:2 70:23 context 11:15 cool 135:2 91:12 20:17 57:1 126:3 consecutive 89:10 135:4 cooperation Consultant's continual 34:16 129:3 69:2 consent 148:11 continually 36:9 Coordinator 7:5 conserving consultants 40:5 continue 30:20 12:15 127:13 59:16 63:1 86:15 50:12 75:3 81:13 92:7 94:4 96:2 cope 120:20 consideration 100:3 134:13,14 104:24 corner 10:7 5:8 78:22 113:6 continued 5:1 consumed 67:22 121:19 125:16 14:19,24 67:22 correct 21:23 143:18 152:2 consumption 68:1 22:8,12 23:21 137:8 considered 28:4 43:4 48:25 continues 102:17 49:1 52:25 58:2, 11:17.19 16:5 contact 51:17 112:25 146:16 19 72:16 75:6 23:13 38:8 109:18 56:5 57:8 147:6 78:4 85:18 97:7 consist 95:17 contacted 88:23 continuing 17:14 142:6 81:10 120:10 consistent contained 63:9 correctable 70:8, 115:21 151:7 129:10 150:14 continuous 9 72:7 80:22 consistently container 117:16 corrected 70:10 13:3 continuously containers correctly 96:19 63:17 107:24 consists 45:12,18 117:20 131:15 correlation contours 82:19 containment 101:14 consolidation 47:14 53:12 contract 66:8 cost 30:2 75:1 20:22 contaminant 86:11 109:22 contractor Constance 4:14 66:15,17,18,19 118:8 119:19 119:16 110:6,25 113:14, 131:11 124:18 126:12 contractors 129:23 131:25 contaminated 96:20 119:17 136:10 151:11 constantly 77:3 122:15 contrary 73:24 constituent cost-effective contamination 98:10 109:14 control 2:2,7,20 101:18 58:13 123:21 3:3 10:20 15:25 constituents contemplating 30:21 31:7,20 58:24 66:16

Index: costly..declaration

costly 109:22 **creates** 115:11 curious 112:4 18,22 103:3 107:20 108:7 creative 41:17 costs 30:1,14 current 74:3 75:2 109:7 111:25 119:20 123:24 86:15 98:21 **credit** 87:16 day-and-day 131:22 114:13 146:15 154:13 147:5 34:1 coughs 137:19 creek 9:16 10:10 customer 124:22 days 14:2 15:16 Counsel 3:12 12:8 23:16 42:19 26:25 27:1,4,5,10, customers 27:13 44:25 45:5, 60:14,21 71:4 16 39:19 51:13 10,14,18,19 79:22 73:4 90:3 113:25 122:17 62:20 66:2 80:25 86:1 147:23 115:1,23 127:10 82:14 94:18,21,23 148:10,16 149:1 creeks 9:15 70:25 D 97:8 127:20 114:4 count 11:2 27:3, **DDW** 23:13 17 **D-E-A-N** 134:5 crews 41:21 dead 67:2 117:12 **counter** 143:19 127:3 D-E-W-A-Y-N-E deal 34:2 83:16 132:17 **crisis** 129:2 counteracted 123:15 130:10 125:9 D-I-C-K-A-S-O-N criteria 96:9 150:1 111:5 **county** 5:5,8 24:7 critical 114:5 dealing 67:15 66:9 69:24 73:3 D-O-N-N-E-L-L 130:20 143:21 74:5 90:4,11 94:19 121:17 **Dean** 4:24 132:13 97:4,10,12,18 cross 58:13 daily 28:17 55:7 117:10 124:11,13, 134:3.4 crucial 114:4 60:22 102:7 14 126:15 deaths 115:14 125:1 111:22 County's 73:11 **CSD** 6:25 7:7 8:11 **debate** 78:13 **damage** 64:10 couple 17:4,5 12:18 13:2,17 115:22 133:25 debating 84:21 22:20 24:25 27:21 14:7,10,19,22 135:22 144:11 31:11 34:23 51:18 15:19 16:1,10,12 damaged 132:25 December 23:12 53:22 84:11 111:7 22:2,4 44:22 125:7 47:12,19 59:4 24:23.24 42:22 danger 53:4 60:24 61:4 78:8,19,25 81:6 **court** 46:23 49:13 dangerous 125:7 85:25 90:25 65:14 75:21 deceptive 69:22 138:2,21 103:15 117:24 113:13 120:21 118:20 120:7 data 14:16 18:4 **cover** 44:10 dechlorination 139:24 143:24 35:16 37:22 38:23 107:23 117:19 42:19 58:19 60:22 73:21 CSD's 6:22 13:1 121:14 101:23 112:7 16:4 decide 84:3 115:21 **covered** 99:3,9 **CSDS** 19:15 decided 64:8 107:1 117:18 database 102:19 136:11.14 139:11 142:12,13 **cubic** 72:20 date 11:16 51:9 decision 7:3 **cow** 117:13 **culvert** 60:15,19 62:23 68:6 76:12, 29:17 47:25 61:14 69:15.19.25 15 79:20 80:6 **CPO** 32:9 82:10,12 144:1 70:3 73:6 90:1 102:5 138:5 146:9 cramping 16:20 decisions 75:12 cumulative 14:1 dates 14:23 17:8 create 115:18,20 61:4 decisive 113:8 60:25 118:24 128:18 142:2 cumulatively day 9:3,4 27:3 138:15 143:16 61:6 decisively 128:20 36:15 41:23 61:16 created 133:9 62:8 79:9 80:19 curating 60:4 declaration 84:19 85:1 94:13, 103:1 119:8,12

declared 89:11 demonstrate 20,22 82:1,5,14 **dictates** 120:13 47:13 153:21 84:12 103:14 decommission dictating 57:2 113:9,22 114:13, demonstrated 47:16 19 118:7 128:15 difference 94:1 120:12 decommissionin 130:13 132:21 difficult 18:24 demonstrating 133:17 136:3 g 123:20 143:17 19:2 31:9 35:10 98:23 153:18 138:23 143:13,22 127:11 dedicated 37:10, 150:15 154:23,24 demonstration 11 129:17 difficulty 137:18 153:24 **detail** 99:2 146:15 **deemed** 11:22 147:1,5 diligence 130:10 dens 65:7 133:11 138:3 detailed 14:9 60:9 diligent 136:7 dental 126:18 deeper 88:8 detailing 65:22 **dilute** 103:4 deeply 123:10 department 116:24 details 6:19 diluted 23:14 deer 64:7,11 detected 54:8 **depend** 85:11 dilution 58:25 96:23 71:19 136:24 131:13 dependent 79:21 defined 59:21 detection 54:11 direct 15:12 24:4 66:2 depending 85:13 131:5 83:19 101:14 definitive 57:16 137:17 deposited 60:1 determination degraded 133:22 deposits 90:19 58:16 directed 16:15 130:2 degrading 105:3 determine 60:11 derived 15:23 100:12 142:3 direction 66:11 degree 19:18 32:1 deserve 87:17 135:8 86:13 146:3,13 determined 71:2 131:9 147:16 148:22 80:7 87:22 delay 138:20 deserves 19:23 149:6,7,10,16 148:21 determining 15:9 150:10,15,20 **design** 53:17.22 57:5 153:6 **Delgado** 3:5 12:4, 59:13,17 60:3 12 21:9,10,14,22 deterrent 15:19 directions 52:5 61:10 64:19 65:9 22:1.6.10.15.22 70:7 72:3 77:22 develop 37:5 80:8 directly 9:5 92:25 23:18,22 145:22, 103:2 110:19 99:22 115:22 24 128:25 133:15 developed 14:22 128:14 deliberate 46:10 47:8 67:13 designated Director 24:2 47:25 113:6 developing 67:11 44:21,23 32:11 113:17 140:15 144:14,23, **Development** designed 8:25 126:6 25 90:11 106:7 9:3 49:18 60:9 directs 143:23 deliberating 105:7 145:5 **devote** 132:8 disagreeing designing 90:12 dewater 72:9,14 82:11 deliberation 128:22 46:12 142:18,21 Dewayne 4:23 disagreement **desires** 148:19 145:14 21:11 132:16 deliberations desist 5:8 8:7,10, disasters 89:11 diagram 60:13 117:2 139:17 14 11:5 12:11 Dickason 4:6 discharge 6:23 140:1 145:6 146:2 22:9 44:14,19 47:2,9,11,25 29:9,10 110:5 42:9,18 47:10 delinguent 59:19 85:8 130:17 111:1,2,5 49:16,23 50:3 130:16 62:10,16,19 65:17 133:20 dictate 83:24 delivered 102:12 68:4.12 76:10 discharge's 60:2 146:21,22 80:19 81:15,18,

discharged **dispose** 92:16 divert 51:25 dug 51:22,24 23:16 92:10 disproportionate division 23:25 **dumped** 138:6 101:1,16 67:23 85:9 **duties** 37:13 discharger 55:6 disproportionate doable 78:6,18 60:13 68:5 69:2 **duty** 40:10 ly 39:23 40:15 104:5 79:22 83:17 **DWS** 129:8 documented distance 56:7 dischargers 57:4 15:1 137:24 59:16 distill 151:18 Ε documents 9:25 discharges 12:8 distracted 121:8 dollars 27:2 30:4, e-mailed 55:24 discharging distribution 18 103:22 119:21 77:17 10:18 eager 35:7 124:18 discontinue district 5:4,7 6:10 earlier 28:8 38:8 double 66:18 47:15 62:13,17 9:2,22 12:18 49:18 55:5 68:22 **DOW** 92:13 29:19,24 30:4,8,9, 77:4 78:22 87:10 discontinued 16,17,19 31:9 91:23 96:11 132:22 draft 85:1 154:23 33:15 36:22 37:10 103:21 107:6 discovered 23:7 drain 142:23 39:6,25 42:16,22 145:5,23 50:4 55:8,19 150:24 43:24 44:15,18 earliest 38:13,14 64:12 112:9 47:3 50:7,13,15 drainage 52:1,3 62:23 68:6 76:11, 51:25 55:18,23 discretion 45:4 69:25 73:4 15 80:6,19 103:15 56:15,18 57:18 146:9 **discuss** 78:13 61:17,23 62:8,11, draw 48:22 85:5 87:19 91:25 15,24 65:1,21 early 23:15 60:1 drawing 54:10,12 128:13 145:15,16 66:8,9 67:4 68:8 111:17 75:12 76:17 79:5 drawn 35:15 67:1 discussed 31:21 **easier** 92:17 82:20 83:1,9 85:4, 40:20 48:1 77:3 dream 119:25 11 86:1,2 94:20 easy-flow 110:4 80:15 92:5 148:18 97:11,13 100:11 drifting 56:21 economic 87:25 discussing 15:3 103:20 105:13 drinking 12:25 104:18 127:8 81:9 84:11 118:22 111:9,13,23,24 15:10,11 23:25 139:15 112:25 113:1,23 economically 24:2 85:9 114:16 117:14 96:8 discussion 76:24 118:12 119:14 drive 135:24 84:25 86:3 107:15 effect 76:6 135:17 120:20 122:4,5 126:3 131:22 driven 136:1 146:14 124:10,19 126:7, 144:8 146:1 23,24 127:23 drop 69:23 effective 65:25 147:14 128:21 129:15 86:9 dropping 99:16 discussions 134:11 137:4,13, effectively 119:4 78:24 79:4 83:21 21,24 138:7 drought 9:19 147:23 149:3 84:18 142:19 73:17 89:22 efficiency 107:24 119:7,24 120:2 District's 7:18 disinfected 23:11 efficiently 120:5 121:23 128:17 35:7 38:22 66:2 disinfection effluent 97:24 67:15 70:23 74:3 dry 112:8 135:4 42:21 98:4 131:2 134:22 111:18 119:19 ducks 138:11 135:19 disingenuously 128:10 129:25 138:10 115:19 due 13:24 14:23 **effort** 87:23 15:13 19:20 25:3, 123:11 districts 32:14 disorder 134:8 16 75:17 82:13 diverse 36:23 EIR 89:3 106:2 disposal 66:4 89:11 91:3 137:2, 115:19,20 117:3,6 129:16,24 8 139:12

Index: elaboration..event

essential 15:9 130:16 encapsulated **ensuring** 105:19 54:21 elaboration enter 70:11 essentially 13:4 47:18 110:18 101:9 encountered entered 60:17 43:3 **elected** 129:15 66:8 101:3 established encounters 129:22 election 130:22 entering 62:7 111:20 estimate 67:13 65:5 **element** 154:21 94:16 98:19,24 encourage entertain 68:12 109:13 110:22 **elements** 135:18 estimated 91:1 **entire** 67:14 end 13:21 73:17 elephant 135:18 93:23 120:21 86:4 124:4 132:14 elevated 137:1 estimates 98:25 entirety 104:16 endanger 114:25 elevation 69:19 estimation 61:9 entities 20:19 99:12,20 endangered 25:9 74:23 94:2 114:6 enumerated eleven 63:6 evacuate 126:22 150:18 endangers 53:6 eliminate 90:14 evaluated 60:5 environment **ended** 56:19 email 14:21 evaluating 57:13 11:11 23:2 66:13, 89:21 25 88:14 122:7 100:11 emergencies enforceable 125:17 129:7 evaluation 43:22 116:25 120:23 82:16 132:24 133:17 134:24 136:9 evaluations emergency 5:4,7 enforcement 5:3 138:22 154:17 6:10,13 7:23 8:24 6:6 7:5,20,23 9:13,20 10:3,8,20, environmental 11:15,18,19,23,24 evaporate 49:20 25 12:22 13:1 12:15 15:2,6,17, 9:21,23 10:24 62:25 63:6,8,16 14:4,12 30:5 37:3 87:25 95:2 114:10 18,22,25 16:8,9, 65:20 66:6 76:25 39:17 41:7 47:5 13,15 34:3,4 131:4 133:3,24 91:18 115:10 49:20 50:1 56:13 38:12,15 42:18 135:12 136:6,22 environmentally 79:7 90:10 103:1 43:19 45:16 47:1 151:8 9:17 96:8 104:18 110:15 113:24 81:14,15 106:1 118:21 119:6,8, evaporated engage 41:4 135:9 11,13,14 120:13, **EO** 39:17 146:22, 22 127:12 128:11 **engaged** 128:21 24 147:1 evaporating 131:20 132:4 125:14 127:19 engineer 49:10 **EPA** 137:7 138:5 139:2,3 117:14 150:8 evaporation employ 57:6 equipment 56:17,24 60:8 engineered 117:17 employed 58:15 63:1,4 80:3,4 133:6 era 95:10 89:4,5 91:17 emplovees engineering 29:6 93:25 94:3 95:7 116:24 eradicated 88:16 69:20 79:11 104:7 98:19,21 104:14 124:7 128:21 **emptied** 104:23 eroded 53:7 109:17 112:16 115:5 124:3.23 enhance 56:17 erosion 53:7 empty 66:3 85:16 125:16 126:5 86:10 96:7 100:21 enormous 86:23 127:17 129:10 errata 112:10,11 134:13,20 152:7 130:25 133:9 enrolled 140:23 erroneously 134:10,20 135:2, emptying 81:9 141:1,11 142:4 70:23 109:14 122:6 5,9 137:12 124:16 134:9 ensure 132:24 escalating 38:12 event 59:21,25 133:24 146:15 enabling 41:5 61:7,19 71:4 escapes 71:24 147:5 153:10 73:13 137:14

143:10 150:11 153:7 extending 10:4 74:7 75:14 76:21 120:14 154:15 77:2,9 78:12 79:7 **events** 11:12 92:6,18 93:3 33:23 68:22 **exempt** 141:3,7 extensive 128:22 97:13 105:6 89:10.24 91:4 106:22,23 107:8, exercised 28:23 extensively 69:2 99:22 103:2,24 10,12 113:24 129:9 existence 128:18 **extent** 50:17 120:13 124:8 86:14 eventually 90:22 125:3 128:12 **existing** 92:6,18 93:1 131:12 93:9,12 105:4,6 exterminator 135:5 140:23 141:22 142:4 120:1,23 133:1 88:12 evidence 46:10, 150:7,20 16 68:19,23 exists 21:3 extra 96:20 133:12 151:7 123:11 **facility's** 102:12 **expect** 65:24 fact 28:7,20,25 **evident** 141:23 extract 77:6 expectation 50:13 56:3 61:8 **EWS** 123:7 128:25 extracted 15:12 40:14 46:24 69:23 95:13 143:16,23 149:16 110:14 125:9,10 exacerbating extracting 93:2 115:12 expectations facts 124:25 extraction 28:11 28:21 35:19 76:1 **exact** 39:10 150:11 extracts 10:15 **failed** 111:9 examined 119:4 130:17 133:13 expected 129:7 extremely 29:21 failing 13:3 exasperated 69:23 124:13 expedited 18:13, 120:20 121:22 15 **eye** 51:16 **failure** 104:12 **exceed** 52:17 expensive 65:19 66:23 120:24 118:19 122:14 F 72:19 117:17 126:25 127:5 146:9 118:25 119:3 133:15 134:22 exceeded 23:5 124:13 129:18 face 127:21 **failures** 114:12 66:15 133:20 150:24 151:1 faced 128:17 143:21 exceeding 51:7 experience facetious 95:13 fair 28:23 70:12,13 38:19,20 128:22 excellent 133:2 139:9 140:15 facilitate 35:11 experienced excessive 129:25 fairest 123:23 11:9 137:16 facilitated 40:25 exchange 92:9 expertise 73:12 fairly 61:18 63:25 facilitators 40:4 104:25 79:10,15 94:10 explain 8:8 26:11 facilities 8:3 9:11 100:21 excluded 27:19 50:20 53:2 54:9, 35:20,21 42:10 fall 59:22 11 exclusively 57:6 67:18,19,23 37:12 72:13 77:11 97:19 familiar 110:11 explained 38:7 107:5 142:4 excuse 29:5 32:4 fan 119:25 explore 76:9 51:12 55:4 66:23 facility 8:24 9:6, fanciful 119:25 106:13 explored 77:1 13 10:12 23:2 28:11 30:6,7 fans 119:1 excused 7:14 exposed 59:14 31:15 32:9,23 fast 9:21 128:19 executive 3:10 express 29:22 37:11,12 39:20 9:20 10:22 16:16 108:5 40:16 49:20 50:5 fast-tracked 18:12 32:11 52:14 53:4.22 **extend** 114:20 110:15 116:11 45:13,20 46:22 54:16 55:7 60:10 129:8 142:22 82:15 106:20 63:2 64:11 66:12 fatalities 137:8 113:17 121:6 extended 52:2 67:1 68:2 73:20 140:22 146:4,13 88:8 120:18

Index: father..forty-one

father 110:12 filed 37:18 first-come-first-129:12 133:7 **served** 97:15 feasibility 83:15 filing 38:17 **floods** 69:6 Fish 88:13 feasible 80:24 filled 53:15 61:20 **Floor** 2:17 81:1 83:10.22 74:8 five-foot 53:25 flow 60:8,20 70:2, 84:3 86:10 87:23 56:2 67:25 68:3 filling 50:8 61:17 16 73:6 93:4 94:8 96:8 72:11 73:15 65:7 71:6 124:16 115:21 153:12,19 feature 63:22 **flowed** 60:16 **filters** 101:12 five-minute February 17:16 137:3 flowing 53:12 151:17 31:20 37:15 51:3 filtrate 10:12 61:12,17 62:4,9 fluid 48:20 57:21, five-year 134:17 100:24 89:12,19,25 91:22 22,24 149:21 154:2 filtration 114:24 92:2 94:3 95:8 fix 20:22 127:2 flush 127:16 111:14 137:14 final 16:6 83:6 153:25 flushing 127:14 fecal 138:14 117:6 130:18 fixed 65:2 109:21 133:18,23 fly 75:23 feces 131:15 126:14 **finally** 50:18 89:3 focus 11:9,16 fee 124:14 fixes 129:19 90:8 121:25 14:5 37:6.8 feed 135:21 fixing 127:25 financial 19:18 focused 12:23 feeding 138:12 43:21 103:18 flashlight 79:17 focusing 13:18 feel 90:6 96:21 find 43:20 84:3 flavor 11:8 foggy 135:2 127:20,21 149:10 107:18 115:6 flaw 59:13,14 118:23 122:6 folks 17:5 145:8 feet 33:24 50:23 61:10 65:9,12 126:16 153:3 56:7 59:23 60:11 71:7 72:4 64:23 finding 37:7 **follow** 58:8 **flaws** 53:17,22 110:20 142:14 fellow 33:11 **findings** 113:7 70:7 43:15 follow-up 141:16 fine 151:20 Fletcher 45:21 142:3 **FEMA** 89:13.16. fine-tuning 34:16 flip 31:11 18 103:1 127:2 food 107:12 36:9,11,17 flock 63:13 126:15 fence 64:12,22 fines 29:13 30:1 65:4,13 88:5,6,7, foot 30:20 55:1 flocking 64:13 109:25 131:8 10 96:16,17,23 66:21 74:21,22 133:13 134:16 Flocks 138:11 135:9,12 fencing 64:5 143:11 flood 53:16 61:15 footprint 107:9 **field** 9:2,12 fingerprint 58:12 62:9 74:17,24 141:21 116:17.18 117:12 75:4 117:13 fingerprinting 125:12 force 93:12 fifty 28:9 100:8 Forest 114:3 flooded 50:16 finish 153:1 fight 62:9 60:14 forewarned fire 37:1 119:23 **figure** 20:6 27:9 flooding 50:4,6, 49:14 85:17 136:13 17 51:3 52:8 formal 11:19 figured 49:5 firefighting 53:23 55:5 61:8, 44:20 88:24 131:18 11,12,20,21 66:14,21 70:1,9 fortunate 29:20 firm 128:22 **figures** 124:10 89:12 90:14 forty-one 51:13 figuring 68:7 **firmly** 112:24 111:16 116:16,18 122:2 125:14

FORUM 4:2 **forward** 16:12 33:15 34:9 35:21 38:22 41:18 84:1 117:25 119:15 121:25 123:17 144:6 148:24 **foul** 115:15

found 61:19 68:10 122:9 142:6

foundational 6:11

four-inch 100:3

fourth 2:17 89:18 102:17 153:17 154:22

frame 85:21 108:24

framed 76:24

free 50:20,23 51:7,9,14 52:18 53:1,2,3 59:24 91:5 129:22 135:11,16

frequently 32:16

fresh 77:25 125:4

fresher 125:10

friendly 96:9

frog 88:6 114:6

frogs 96:12,13,15

front 35:24 49:11 148:8,13 150:6 151:7,13

frustrating 30:15

FSEIR 112:10

fuel 119:9

full 6:25 16:3,4 42:12 51:5 66:3 71:17 74:20 102:14

full-time 31:21 32:5,8 38:25

128:9 129:17 132:18 134:5

fully 71:4 135:20 149:25

function 36:6,18 62:14

functionality 116:1

functioning 24:11

functions 26:13 42:1,2 45:5

fund 30:4

fundamental 114:16

funding 30:14 122:2

funds 30:8

furtherance 79:19

future 35:23 42:25 75:4,18 84:21 85:3,22 90:14 103:23 105:11 109:3

G

G-A-N-N-O-N 113:20

G-R-A-Y 123:4 125:25

gain 92:24

gained 91:4

gallon 124:14

gallons 9:3,4 63:4 72:23 74:12 78:4 79:8 91:1 97:20 100:4 111:25 112:1 124:17

Gannon 4:14 110:6 113:14,16, 17 gas 116:20

gauge 60:23 61:2

general 6:8 25:15 31:8 40:19 41:18 86:20 147:24 151:10

generally 124:4 140:25 141:1

generated 49:19 114:23

geologist 150:8

geometry 74:20

give 19:20 31:13 81:22 86:20 125:15 126:21 146:4 149:3 151:22

give-and-take 83:5

giving 31:24 87:16

glad 87:3

global 108:1,3 **goal** 11:20 15:18

goby 114:7

32:19

good 7:15 12:13 38:20 41:1 43:12 49:8 68:16 72:2 87:3,7 110:7 113:16 126:2 128:6 130:6,9 134:4 136:21 146:20 153:22

gopher 64:22 88:6,7 96:17

gophers 64:18 135:23

Gordon 9:15 23:16 42:18

Government 18:12 46:11

governor 81:4

89:11

governor's 9:19 10:22 102:25 119:8

gracious 31:13 grade 19:6,7,8,9, 10

graded 90:4

grading 72:25

gradually 98:3 127:19

graphic 9:9

grateful 29:21 120:17 129:4

gratitude 29:23

gray 3:7 4:19,20 9:14 10:3 18:22, 23 19:4,9,14 20:18 21:8 32:12 40:22 41:8,19 82:17,24 83:7 84:6 121:2 122:11 123:2,3 125:19, 21,24,25 126:2 140:12 145:17,18 147:13

Gray's 24:12

Grays 125:21,22

great 19:14 116:24 150:1

greater 126:3

greatly 121:20 127:7

green 10:2,7 54:15,17 71:20 99:11 113:18,21 114:1 116:6 117:4

greens 137:9

ground 10:15 13:8 34:21,22 40:12 50:25 64:17,20,24,25 70:17 88:8

Index: grounded..hoping

grounded 85:21 grounds 9:10 groundwater 8:25 12:25 13:4 18:3 23:6 25:11 37:21 54:4,7 55:3 57:7,12 58:6 59:2 60:11 63:19,20 67:25 70:14,24 72:7 73:15 99:12, 15 133:21 group 109:4 grow 138:17

132:5 **Gruber** 4:7 31:2, 6,8 38:16 86:19 87:7 91:7 93:21, 22,25 95:15,25 96:14,25 97:7 98:17 99:1,18 101:9 102:5 103:6,11,17

growth 119:9

112:1,19 139:6 **Gruber's** 119:18

guess 11:23 19:1 23:17 40:22,24 81:12 83:7,14

guessed 140:8

guessing 78:18

guests 133:10

gun 20:11

Н

H-E-I-N-R-I-C-H- S 118:18

H-I-G-D-O-N 113:19

habitat 114:5

half 74:17.20.23

hall 80:23

hammer 28:24

hand 12:2 28:3,5 33:17,25 46:2 124:21

hand-hold 132:9

handle 16:23 34:10 60:20 61:15 79:10

handled 62:2

handles 34:1

handling 32:6

Hang 150:4

happen 42:20 55:12 79:15 90:15 104:25 152:14

happened 30:16 37:24 88:24 99:15 126:25 152:10

happening 116:21,22 127:8

happy 40:25 68:13 102:21

hard 35:15 60:15 69:7 114:9 130:10

hardship 136:10,

harm 23:1

Harris 39:16

harsh 21:18,19

harvested 78:16

harvesting 78:25

Harvey 4:9 84:24

154:6,12

hatched 9:14 10:3

haul 94:17 97:13, 17,22

hauling 92:23

HDPE 54:17,24

head 25:24 30:19 57:25

headed 58:4

health 11:11 25:9 66:13 115:1 126:7,10,17,23 132:25 136:8

hear 29:14 36:8 75:24 79:23 81:6 86:19 108:22 109:1,5 147:10,19 148:10

heard 2:19 21:2 49:24 57:20 111:3 119:19 148:1 149:24 150:17 152:10

hearing 2:6,21 7:1 16:2,5 22:3 38:17 44:12,15, 16,19 45:5,11,17, 22,25 46:9,20 47:1 78:8 86:17 105:14 123:25 129:9 148:19

hearings 108:8 143:7

Hearst 8:21

heartedly 114:9

heavily 62:1

heavy 61:9,18 70:16 73:13 129:11

height 65:4

Heinrichs 4:16 113:15 116:3 118:17 121:1

held 113:1

helped 40:15

helpful 123:12

helping 7:20 20:7 40:4 41:2

helps 79:16,18 132:24

HERNANDEZ

2:20

Hey 40:6

hi-tech 119:25

Higdon 113:17

high 23:7 35:19 42:10 65:13 70:20 77:16 112:18 136:23 137:6

high-tech 130:13

higher 20:20 69:19

highly 31:25

hindsight 22:25 35:11 69:5 70:23

hire 33:18 38:22

hired 31:21 61:16 88:11 91:12

hiring 38:16,25 150:7,8

historical 73:21

history 40:8 64:4 128:13

hit 126:20

hitting 71:5

hold 16:22 18:20, 21 19:21 33:17 64:2 93:20

holding 78:12

hole 64:12

holes 71:18

home 114:5 127:4.14

homes 127:4

homework 107:18

honest 97:22

hooves 64:10

hope 50:23 68:10 123:12

hoping 32:24 78:19 86:5 104:3

Index: horizontal..industry

121:11,18 122:5 idiot 150:25 8,22,25 52:24 included 17:19 53:15 55:24 56:1, 20:7 26:13,14 horizontal 60:25 **II** 7:25 132:21 10,16,22 59:14, 29:15 131:11 **hotels** 42:12 **III** 19:6.8.9.10 18,20 60:4,12 137:18 28:16 139:2.3 61:8,20 62:13 includes 58:18 hour 66:7,11 64:7 68:6,21 illuminated 53:23 76:12 139:12 145:2,3,5 71:21 72:9 78:20 hours 67:17,20 illustrate 11:12 85:2 87:1 88:21 including 15:1 40:5 66:16 102:18 93:15 91:1,3,7 93:6,11 illustrates 50:11 99:5,21 100:17, 114:3 129:9 How's 140:15 57:7 63:21 118:19 21,25 101:3 137:23 138:18 119:5 103:16 111:17 153:11 huge 24:7,8,14 112:17 113:24 immediately 23:8 29:23 incomes 109:21 114:19,22 116:17 25:8 122:17 hugging 145:10 126:14 130:24 131:2,18, impact 9:23 95:2 20 132:11,22 inconsistent human 11:11 28:5 97:24 98:4 129:12 133:2,5,9,13,14, 97:9 137:7 131:4 133:3 19,21 134:12,17 141:21 151:19 incorporate **humans** 41:3 135:1,25 136:4, 146:18 147:7 138:22 22,25 137:12,16, impacting 107:8 138:18 20 138:6,8,13,21 incorporated humbling 31:11 143:17 146:8 17:16 **hundred** 28:6,9 **impacts** 42:12 impoundment's 39:7 51:12 66:19 incorporates impaired 8:25 113:3 147:15 105:16 112:21 135:8 impervious 71:2 **improve** 102:18 incorporating 89:4 implementation **hydrants** 119:23 improvements 65:12 31:16 88:3 92:19 increase 133:8 hydraulic 69:2 implemented in-correctable increased 119:20 hydrologic 60:10 133:23 72:3 incredibly 130:16 hydrological importance inability 21:4 59:15 incumbent 22:24 81:21 120:10,12 130:22 hyphen 125:25 important 11:3,7 inaudible 128:5 indicating 56:2 hypothetically 13:6 15:7 26:6 136:19 140:6 34:8 49:22 117:25 122:14 142:21 inception 128:12 152:2 indication 68:23 inches 59:22 60:1 ı importantly indications 73:14 61:6 98:22 103:3 87:22 135:14,15 indirect 32:21 ice 102:13 imposed 130:3 35:4 102:16 incident 23:9 idea 80:15 87:15 **imposing** 114:12 89:14,16,18 143:6 individual 87:11 118:13 125:6 impound 30:3 138:25 Incidentally individuals 115:2 impounded 137:14 ideas 83:13 85:4 115:10 92:11 98:11 incidents 23:19 indulgence 153:4 88:22 impoundment identified 15:18 Industrial 106:23 7:25 8:7 10:2,22 96:15 include 26:24 107:5,11 11:6 18:14 30:3 27:19 33:7 146:7 identify 86:9 industry 42:11 47:3.11.13.16 149:15 49:17,18,23 50:6,

Index: inefficiencies..Johnston

inefficiencies items 5:3 6:9 7:23 install 56:19 74:6 involvement 96:20 150:23 131:19 40:23 8:1 11:25 30:10 87:19 95:24 151:1 infiltration 99:19 installation 53:11 involves 25:11 63:3 72:8 79:13 **IV** 19:7 82:10 influent 93:7,19 98:3 installed 42:19 ion 92:9 104:25 43:2,11 56:15 J informal 11:18 ion-exchange 64:23 65:13 73:20 38:8,14 48:15 92:13 94:3 95:4 74:7 96:19 133:8 Jahr 3:11 4:8 information 6:16 irresponsibility 45:18 83:20 installing 42:23 17:19 18:3 26:18 113:2 139:14,19 140:1,3 82:21 95:18 instance 21:4 144:18 145:4 isolation 20:5 122:18 42:17 88:22 146:1,23 147:2,4 issue 18:1 19:22, 148:17 149:7 informational instances 23:4, 24 21:17,23,25 151:15 152:20 5:4 8:4 21:12 15,17 88:23 22:5 25:13 26:17 153:5 154:9,11 43:16 insufficient 30:5 37:20 38:10 **JANE** 3:7 informative 56:11 46:17,18 65:8 68:11 68:19 73:1 82:6 **January** 13:2,20 integrity 64:15 86:6 88:9 99:23 14:14 17:16 24:23 informed 57:5 99:23 111:19 115:12 50:5,7,10,14,19 62:16 **intend** 76:16 123:19 133:16 51:1,10 55:21 infrastructure 142:11 149:21 57:21 59:15 60:2, intended 11:12 151:3,9 152:2 111:19,21 116:23 24 61:5 63:24 120:3 intent 16:8 18:14 89:12,14,17,25 **issued** 12:17 56:16 91:2 99:15 111:17 ingestion 137:9 14:25 15:2.22 17:15 interacting **JEAN-PIERRE** inhalation 137:17 38:19,21 3:2 issues 20:15 30:5 initial 16:8 30:14 63:14 67:16 75:17 interested 45:1 **JEFF** 3:8 61:21 78:3 141:18 78:10 88:18 internal 102:18 **Jerry** 4:7 19:7 initially 35:14 105:16 109:18 31:1,8 86:19 111:21 113:3 42:17 66:3 119:6 interpretation 98:18 139:6 116:21,22 121:21, 103:8 injected 13:7 22 128:14 131:7 Jessica 3:11 4:8 23:5,11,13 25:5 interrupt 151:6 138:9 45:18 152:17 42:25 intolerable 133:9 **issuing** 113:9 Joaquin 135:7 injection 6:23 132:20 introduce 6:6 10:6,17 122:15 iob 28:17 30:21 7:19 98:2 item 5:2,4 6:5,6,7, 35:4 inordinate 16,18 7:4,6,12 introduced 46:17 114:15 **jobs** 126:15 8:5,6 12:3,11 17:2 intrusion 73:15 18:16,21 21:11, **input** 40:21 43:14 John 3:10 45:19 131:4.10 12,21 22:7,12,13, 106:18 131:25 46:22 21,22 26:12,19 inundating 52:13 insects 138:13 Johnston 3:4 29:12.16 30:24.25 inundation 68:19 23:23,24 25:23 inside 64:7.11 31:2,4 33:6,7,10 73:9 26:8 27:6 73:22, 40:21 43:14,16,19 117:19,20 131:13 23 74:10,25 75:7, 44:3,6,10 47:1,7 **invest** 83:15 inspection 50:10, 20,23 81:8 82:4 49:15 80:2 84:8 14 51:4 involved 40:2 97:1,2 145:19,20 95:23 139:7,13, 147:12,15 148:25 46:1 15,17 145:11 inspections 55:7 149:1,13 152:5,9, 148:20 153:2,7

17 **knowing** 104:9 18:2 38:6 leniency 29:18 Leslie 4:25 lating 15:5 **Jon** 4:3 6:12 7:10, L 16 12:20 33:25 132:13 134:3 law 142:4 38:13 45:13 47:6 136:16.20 49:9 52:7 53:1,10 lawsuits 103:23 L-E-E 132:17 **lesser** 19:18 57:19 99:9 100:1 lay 26:17 L-E-S-L-I-E letter 130:15 judgment 30:9,11 136:20 layer 34:24 71:2, **letters** 33:13 July 2:19 6:1 6,20 lab 23:6 122:16 80:16 91:2 106:2 **letting** 28:18 laying 117:13,15 laborers 61:16 62:25 124:3 **jump** 96:17 **LCRS** 100:1,5 lack 36:6 138:10 level 19:21 20:20, **June** 13:21 51:12 101:6 154:7,9 23 28:16 35:5 ladder 51:20 91:5 leachate 54:2,20 50:19,20 54:4,7 lag 122:13 jurisdiction 55:1 57:9,15 59:8 56:4 57:7 63:19, 92:24 71:14 100:10 20,25 70:24 72:4 lagoon 10:10 78:11 105:10 115:1,23 justify 120:8 leaching 58:12 112:14,21 125:7,8 land 113:18 135:11,16 leading 129:9 135:21 Κ levels 23:7 52:18 **leak** 37:6,7 54:6, landfill 28:11 11 55:14,16 55:23 56:2 66:14 K-A-R-E-N 134:4 57:12,17 58:7 70:15,20 99:14 landfills 72:14 100:12 131:5 136:23 K-E-Y 130:7 landslide 127:5 134:23 153:25 levied 128:16 Karen 4:24 language 99:8 leakage 71:19 132:12 134:2,4 liabilities 26:22 146:5 149:8,11,15 leaked 153:24 KARINA 3:9 152:18 153:8 **liability** 5:5 6:20 **leaking** 100:13 7:1 8:5,9 11:4 **Keeper** 110:9 large 15:19 25:3 12:17 15:3 16:3,4, 120:3 154:7 27:17 39:2,24 keeping 150:7 10 26:15 27:8 77:22 102:13 **leaks** 59:1 43:22,23 **Ken** 39:16 108:25 115:25 learned 32:3 129:2 138:12 **library** 136:12 Kettleman 66:4.7 117:23,24 141:2 94:20 124:12 licensed 28:21 leave 78:9 80:13 larger 19:24 20:16 key 4:22 114:1 light 11:18 119:19 115:10 121:3,10 49:25 141:1 125:20 128:4 122:10 lightest 38:14 130:5,6 largest 59:25 led 63:2 **limit** 31:2 49:12 kids 126:17 lastly 95:21 51:14 66:17.18. **Lee** 4:23 132:12, kind 33:16 34:2 late 6:20,21,22 20,24 111:25 16 36:22 37:9 39:13 7:13 12:19,23 141:5 146:11 **left** 9:10 40:7 40:7 52:1 69:17 13:19 14:1,2,3,19, 149:21 60:21 80:11 81:4 75:23 77:11 84:3 23 15:2,5,6,13,16, limitation 154:2 90:19 102:23 87:14 106:5 109:9 21 17:9,14,21,22 147:18 116:25 119:13 23:1,3 24:15,19 limitations 28:2. 125:1 153:15 26:4,24,25 27:3,4, left-hand 90:20 20 29:1 5,11,16 35:16,25 kinds 23:18 35:20 **limited** 15:4,17 **leg** 149:3 67:5,8 105:21 63:14 116:20 16:9 28:5 32:13 130:15 legally 138:2 91:13 119:18 knew 61:25 latest 17:9,11 120:5,22

Index: limits..maximum

limits 23:5 28:12 32:2,4 51:7 66:15 97:19 **liner** 54:1,6,11,16, 17,18 55:16 56:5, 9 57:9,12 64:10 71:18,24 134:17, 22 135:22 liners 54:19,21 71:24 **liquid** 49:20 53:24 64:9 117:19,21 **liquids** 56:25 57:10 63:9,16 listed 27:22 146:19 147:8 listening 109:9 111:6 **listing** 30:24 **liter** 101:4,5 literature 77:12 live 36:3 41:8 95:10 108:18 109:23 127:12

128:1

lived 110:12 132:19

living 19:15 69:5 126:14 137:15

loads 94:16.18

local 50:6 109:12 114:2

locals 69:5

located 8:19.22 9:14 60:20 61:14

location 8:16,18 15:11 53:12 56:8 69:16 118:5 131:13,20

log 55:11

logging 74:15

logs 55:6,8 71:1

long 60:23 79:1 81:11,19 84:14 85:19 93:24 121:22 135:8

long-term 114:23

longer 63:18 84:13 88:5,8 98:9 113:4 114:15 134:13,19,21 135:3,6 139:2 145:6

looked 26:3 64:25 71:1 74:18

loose 66:25

loosely 88:12

lose 110:13 113:12

losing 98:21

loss 137:19

lot 19:15 29:4 34:16 36:10,12,16 42:4 50:24 66:22 69:20 73:21 76:12 79:9,11 82:10 89:21 95:17 99:2 108:22 109:20 110:13 117:3,7 125:22 139:21 151:23 152:12

lots 20:19 41:7

loud 143:15

love 81:5 148:9

low 63:25

low-level 131:11

lower 9:11 112:5 115:16 125:8

lowest 90:2

Luis 2:20 5:5.8 31:12 66:9 118:11

lunch 139:16 145:7

lysimeter 54:24 55:11 150:24

M

M-A-R-Y 116:7

M-C 121:17

M-E-T-T-R-I-C-K 108:18

made 27:25 31:16 42:16 50:15 57:1 65:8 68:14 88:2 95:14,19 102:5 103:5,21,25 124:1 125:11 138:24

main 2:17 60:23 65:17 70:24 93:12 109:12 112:10

maintain 50:22 72:11 129:13

maintained 54:1, 5

maintaining 69:24

major 55:17 89:11 115:25 127:5

majority 108:25 115:25

make 17:13 36:14 38:22 40:10 42:7 43:17 44:11,23 48:20 51:16 53:3 57:4,16 58:16,24 86:8 92:13 97:24 98:3 106:1 108:1 109:13 114:19 118:7 122:13 132:10,23 142:9 146:11 148:19 152:5,13 153:9 154:4

makes 69:17 104:17

making 105:23 110:17 117:1 130:12

man 128:3

man-hours 34:14

manage 14:11,23 30:13 36:24 118:20 120:9,13

management 9:23 28:13 29:6 102:19 117:8 138:11

Manager 31:8 86:20 147:24 151:10

managerial 19:17

manner 34:11 57:2 82:25 83:24 85:10

map 10:1

March 51:5,10,11 55:21,22 57:22 100:3 101:5,6,8

margins 129:13

Marina 3:6

marine 115:7

mark 78:9 122:17, 19

Martin 45:21

Mary 4:15 113:14 116:2.6

massive 25:19 133:13

master's 32:1

material 72:20 148:3 150:5

matter 2:6 22:25 34:13 45:11 46:1, 5 70:15 79:25 86:24 113:6,22 134:7 138:14 145:15

matters 32:14 45:9

maximum 27:11, 14,17 66:15,17, 18,19 149:17

Index: Mayor..move

Mayor 3:6 21:9 128:7 130:9 million 30:4,17 money 24:7 72:17 145:22 72:23 74:12 78:4 117:19 120:6 membrane 10:12 124:19,21 127:24 103:22 119:20,21 Mcdonald 121:3 59:1 92:16.17 124:16,18 101:12 114:24 monies 136:14 Mcdonnell 4:18 mind 18:21 39:1 121:2,16 membranes monitor 92:18 80:12 109:10,25 100:24 94:13 MCLS 133:20 110:19 117:1 118:16 130:2 **memos** 69:3 monitored 34:20 meaning 9:20 131:6 mention 50:15 minimum 35:5 28:23 50:20 52:18 monitoring 13:5, meaningful mentioned 29:14 16 14:6 15:6,14 113:9 38:25 42:22 66:18 minor 42:6 17:9,11,17,20 67:11 73:2 92:22 means 56:7 82:12 minute 86:20 25:11,12,19,20 96:12 111:23 129:16 102:11 147:21 34:7,19 35:6,16 112:2,15 115:4 36:7,12,19 37:14, meant 150:7 121:10 137:4 minutes 29:9 18,21 41:12 42:2 44:24 45:1 48:2, **meantime** 135:18 mentioning 54:8,23 55:6,10, 11,18,24 66:7 98:18 20,23 56:8 57:11, measure 142:24 68:14,15 85:24 14,21 71:10,22 87:9 108:12 110:7 merged 24:1 72:5 73:19 96:22 measured 43:24 139:8 151:22,23 99:13,21 100:9,18 mess 138:16 66:14 152:3 101:7 102:7 measurements met 31:19 51:10 mirror 99:1 104:11 122:16 55:25 58:23 102:8 146:16 134:14 154:7 147:6 153:22 missed 37:21,22 measures 86:10 Monterey 110:8 method 134:10 missing 18:3,4 103:23 143:4,19 114:3 152:13 missions 114:1 methodology **month** 13:21 15:24 58:15 65:25 measuring 73:20 36:15 37:16 60:23 misspell 123:1 81:2 61:21 143:1 mechanical 42:1 misspelling Metric 108:13 monthly 6:21 116:4 meet 15:10 35:1 13:14,22 15:8 Mettrick 4:12 120:10 153:10,15, **mist** 119:2 17:17,20 18:8 19 108:17 26:3,5 102:7 mitigation 10:9 **MF** 137:2 meeting 11:13 103:23 115:22 **months** 13:7 23:3 16:18 32:23 37:15 Michael 3:4 4:17 30:12 42:9 80:11 mix 125:4 41:11 91:8 106:3 28:8 39:9 45:12 111:14 143:2 117:24 125:23 121:6 140:21 mixture 101:2 132:19 morning 7:15,22 micro 100:23 mobilizing 94:5 12:13 49:8 87:7 meetings 117:23, 110:7 113:16 modeling 60:10 24 microscopic 127:18 130:6,9 71:18 69:3 meets 96:9 motel 127:6 **mid-june** 17:12 modifications member 6:12 18:5 138:23 motion 147:11,15 29:21 32:12 149:13 middle 106:6 modify 46:19 **members** 7:7,15 move 6:5 7:9,11 miles 8:20 modular 9:7 12:14 29:11 31:7 41:18 44:3 76:5 33:11,13 43:15 milestones 62:21 **modus** 111:18 84:1 86:18 106:18 44:24 45:7 46:9 148:24 47:20.21 49:9.24 milligrams 101:4, moment 51:15 87:8 113:5,16 75:15,18 121:10

Index: moved..ongoing

moved 147:12 149:7 moves 36:15 moving 34:9 36:10 39:18 70:17 81:5 121:25 149:6 MRP 14:17 muffled 149:24 multi-liners 54:16 multiple 37:13 55:9 66:16 67:7 77:14 115:20 116:13 117:4 133:19 137:8 143:19 municipal 8:20 **mutually** 104:21 Ν names 116:5 narrowed 98:14, 15 **native** 115:15 natural 56:24 114:2 129:5,6 naturally 37:6 136:22

67:1 91:19 101:14 **nature** 128:14 149:10 nearby 75:8 133:10 nearest 61:2 necessarily 20:5 38:9 91:9 97:17 104:15 105:7

50:3 needed 8:10

necessitated

116:15

14:10 25:6 65:12

85:5 89:21 120:1

needing 6:16 36:18 153:25

negates 153:24

negative 24:18 56:6,7

negligence 113:2

neighboring 60:6 70:25

neighbors 127:11,15

net 89:4

night 93:15

nitrate 21:5

nitrogen 23:5

no-tolerance 35:25

noise 133:10

noncontroversial 45:8

non-operational 137:3

noon 107:25

normal 9:21 10:23 110:19,20 135:14

north 9:5 52:13 113:25 127:8

north-northwest 8:20

nosebleed 137:19

note 16:17 49:22 50:21 79:7

noted 49:17 51:9 53:21 55:11,15 114:14 135:1

notes 16:18 112:11 139:22

notice 17:15 18:1

35:18 37:20 111:14

noticed 139:21

notices 14:25 38:7,11 105:17

Notification 111:11

noting 130:21

NOV 18:7 29:13

November 10:19 13:12 14:13,25 74:19 80:13 137:25

nuisance 63:12, 21 64:3 115:12 138:9

number 5:2 8:5 13:23,25 14:1 24:14 25:24 26:23 27:1,10 30:2 34:19 39:10 59:13 101:22 109:16 136:13 137:9 141:6,14

numeral 154:25

0

oath 46:3,15 **Obispo** 5:5,8 31:12 66:9 118:11

object 72:17 148:9

objection 22:16

objection's 22:6 objections 21:14

obligation 107:19

observations 69:10

observed 143:21

obvious 77:8

occasion 64:6

occasionally 109:5

occasions 55:9

occupancy 42:12

occur 135:3

occurred 23:12 57:17 59:25 61:21 111:17 117:14 122:3,18 127:25

occurring 101:14 131:10

occurs 42:24

ocean 115:6

October 14:18,21 15:14 111:13

off-spec 23:10

offers 115:22 129:23

office 7:20 30:18 39:17 45:15 136:13

officer 3:10 16:16 45:13,20 46:22 106:20 121:7 140:22 146:4,14 150:11 153:7 154:16

Officer's 82:15,16

offshore 115:7

oil 28:11

OLSON 48:9,14, 24 49:3 87:5 106:13.16 108:14 118:16 122:22,25 126:1

on-line 35:22

one-minute 140:19

onerous 17:20 153:9

ongoing 63:11 64:14 72:8 115:11 131:3,24 150:20

Index: onset..party's

onset 137:20 **opposed** 59:23 organization owners 127:6 74:13 82:19 92:23 39:2 onus 83:17 **owning** 19:18 105:5 original 27:3 open 46:12 131:2. oppositions 119:1,20 120:22 Ρ 4 145:11 150:7 131:21 137:25 22:11 **opened** 19:22 154:19 **option** 46:15 Packard 4:9 47:12 68:2 75:16, originally 96:19 opening 44:11 84:24 85:19 19 81:24 87:23 154:4,6,10 osmosis 9:4 47:4 operandi 111:18 89:6 92:8,17,25 49:19 114:24 packet 147:25 93:14 97:2 98:7,8 operate 14:11 128:23 112:20 118:12 18:25 20:2,20 paid 22:3 43:23 21:4 28:10,21 124:5,11 143:16 Otter 110:9 pan 54:24 55:11 34:11,16,17 41:9, 146:10,18 147:8 ourself 143:5 13 74:2 77:5 148:21 152:6 paper 104:4 153:15 out-of-120:12 papers 109:12 compliance operated 43:8 optional 45:24 132:11 paragraph 146:6 operating 14:14 **options** 62:11,23 outfall 75:8,9,11 parallel 21:1 19:19,25 25:5 66:1 79:23 81:1 115:7 82:22,24 83:2,8,9, 34:15 39:3 42:2 parameters 16,22 84:2 86:7 outline 82:24 83:2 143:5 operation 6:15 91:7,11,16 92:21 96:1 9:7 15:15 17:18 parceled 107:10 93:24 144:13 23:2 24:16,18,19 outlined 15:24 148:17 park 8:21 9:10 26:1,2,4 32:25 98:5 115:7 133:7,11 34:5,7 41:22 68:2 **orange** 10:13 outlines 62:21 138:19 24:7 74:1 77:3,4 115:13,24 120:24 outrageous part 19:13 32:8 order 5:6,8 8:7,10, 150:20 132:8 36:1 38:11,22 14 11:4,5,14 48:10,13 64:19 operational 12:11 22:9 28:16 outset 73:23 39:17 44:14,19 65:9 73:20 84:17, 24:22 25:1,3,11 outstanding 20 87:17 96:21 46:19 47:2,9,11, 32:7 36:16 43:6 16:14 107:9,13 108:7 93:13 104:8 129:1 17,25 49:16,23 112:5,7 116:16,17 50:3 62:10,16,19 139:2 overflow 127:17 119:1 129:2 144:1 65:17 68:4,12 operations 47:15 overlap 142:25 149:5 150:6 76:10 80:2,8,19 104:11 129:18 81:15,18,20,22 overlooking partial 2:16 6:2 138:15 82:1,5,6,14 86:5 107:14 154:13 89:16 103:9,14 **operator** 19:4,10 **overruns** 119:19 113:10,22 114:13, participate 29:2 32:9 38:25 145:23 15,19 128:15 oversee 7:17 operators 41:14 130:13 132:10,21, parties 8:12 oversight 136:7 23 133:17 136:3 opinion 18:24 44:17,21 48:2 138:23 143:22 73:9 overspray 137:15 83:2 148:21 147:25 148:2,3,4, opportunity overtopping 53:4 7,8,12 149:3,4 parts 36:10 77:13 26:16 29:12 77:5 150:15 154:23.24 overtops 53:5 party 44:23,25 83:1,8 108:20 ordered 146:7 48:3 153:18 110:1 116:7 123:6 **overview** 6:13,17 154:15,16 128:8 130:4 orders 6:14 9:20 8:4 12:16 47:5 145:15 148:14 62:10 86:21 party's 48:23 10:23 11:5 16:13 18:12

pass 82:6 **Perfect** 122:24 permitter 20:9 **pipe** 10:4,7,11,13, 14,16,17,18 perform 58:23 **passed** 83:21 permitting 14:7, 100:3,5 112:17 126:8 9,17,20 18:13 performance pipeline 75:16 24:15,22 34:1,3 **passes** 77:14 134:12 39:15 59:17 69:1 pipes 100:15 past 16:11 44:6 performing 37:12 75:14,17 106:7 116:23 120:4 110:16,20 138:1 67:3 91:13 107:25 perimeter 99:21 135:15,24 136:1 **piping** 75:8 79:12 permittings 40:1 **period** 13:20 patience 28:23 **pit** 131:18 23:12 55:21 59:22 person 7:16 123:2 153:2 60:23 61:5 76:7 31:21,24 32:6 place 33:23 39:15 patient 108:6 81:19 85:15 34:23 38:19 39:4 42:5 44:12 61:24 89:14,17,18 91:23 45:1,22,24 68:21 72:13 76:6 patterns 95:19 95:5 104:25 79:14 89:6 91:18 personal 107:4 Paul 4:5 7:19 129:13 134:19 92:20 94:5 104:22 139:9 personally 67:12 105:23 115:11 27:13 45:14 87:2 112:20 142:1 142:8 periodically 74:9 **pause** 87:5 perspective 34:4 placing 122:7 pay 22:2 111:9 periods 15:15 67:9 80:17 24:22 25:1,10 plan 8:19 9:24 124:21 128:2 89:22 persuasive 68:10 45:25 62:17,20,21 **paying** 134:11 65:21,22,25 66:23 pertaining 113:3 permanent payment 6:25 68:5 74:3 79:21, 110:17 113:9 16:4 petition 130:17 24 80:7,14 82:13 114:20 118:8 83:4,6 84:4 86:6 119:7 120:15.23 phase 84:11,15 penalties 128:16 89:4 95:16,17 130:13 132:10,23 85:22 132:2 penalty 15:23,24 117:8 118:20 133:16 119:2 126:11 phone 67:21 27:11,14,17 132:10 133:18 permanently penetrating **photo** 50:19,23 143:17,20 144:6,9 137:21 51:2,3 61:11,16 70:17 146:7,14,17,23 **permit** 31:14 62:4 63:24 69:21 147:4,7 150:12 **people** 41:2 48:10 49:17 52:16 59:21 70:4 153:21 65:18 82:11 81:18,21,23 85:5, 109:20,23 116:20 photograph 52:7 **plans** 119:15 12 90:11,12 92:18 119:22 124:20 64:7 90:16,17 97:11 106:23 134:25 126:4 127:11 107:6,13,14 phrase 101:24 **plant** 8:21,22 128:1 130:3 111:24,25 113:4 physical 60:5 10:4,8,15,16 11:2 119:7 120:22 **perc** 93:10 19:3 28:10,21 128:24 140:17,23 **picking** 126:4 30:12 32:9 36:24 **percent** 13:17 142:12 146:15 39:1,3,15 41:20 67:14 77:23.24 pickling 137:2 147:5 153:11 42:6,8 91:13 93:5, 78:1,2 105:16 **picture** 19:24 7,10,18,19 94:9, permit's 36:3 132:8 39:13 51:20 53:11 13 97:4,6,23 98:2, permits 7:18 percentage 107:9 3,5 105:19,22 10:20 11:1 14:4,8, 77:16 107:1,2 109:1,2,6 pictures 74:18 11 15:20 33:21 112:23 115:14,24 perception 73:24 141:23 34:12 40:1,5 116:1 120:2,13,21 67:11,12,15,20 percolation **pieces** 119:3 129:7.18 131:25 107:12 141:4 10:13 56:11 92:11 132:3 139:4 piggyback 95:9 105:2 112:17 permitted 9:19 **plant's** 93:7 115:1 Pine 114:3 75:10 123:8 142:8 129:10

Index: plants..prior

plants 42:13 101:17 104:23 78:11 84:16 18 44:23 48:25 128:23 106:22 107:9 140:24,25 141:3, 68:10 86:19 87:15 109:15 112:5,7,16 11 89:10 99:10 **plastic** 54:19,21 118:3,19,22 119:3 102:25 potential 16:10 71:24 122:3,6 123:20 43:22 55:14 60:6 presentations play 93:16 103:19 125:2,10,14 126:5 79:24 81:14,15 16:23 127:1,17 129:10, plot 60:22 13,16,21 134:9 potentially 41:5 presented 34:15 136:22 138:6,8,13 134:25 podium 17:6 53:6,9 64:10 72:8 142:23 146:16 108:9 149:21 75:12 78:17,21 Presenters 3:2 147:6 152:7 85:9 153:8 **point** 7:9 9:18 presenting 8:1 12:2 14:21 24:12 **pond's** 88:20 **pound** 54:14 32:24 35:17 51:6 92:10 presently 134:11 pondered 35:3 54:10 56:4,6,9,25 142:13 **Powerpoint** ponding 52:19 63:15,23 65:15, 31:25 87:15 presents 62:11 17,20 73:25 82:4 ponds 50:16 66:13 86:7,18,22 92:12 pre-dated 40:23 92:11 93:10 105:3 104:4 105:11 preservative 107:12 112:18 preceded 11:12 107:25 109:12 137:5 124:20 125:11 **poorly** 119:15 precipitation preservatives 129:21 139:4 89:23 population 137:23 154:4 138:13 precludes 57:2 preserve 101:11 **pointed** 73:19 65:4 82:17 portable 74:4 137:2 pointing 69:22 92:9 105:1 predecessor preserve-all 39:16 points 18:4 34:19 Porter-cologne 100:23 36:12 37:22 57:2 predict 95:11 preserving 129:6 124:24 portion 12:21 prefer 84:4 president 105:12 **Policy** 15:25 15:4 31:17 84:15 preference 80:3 116:6 130:22 112:10 pollutants 54:7 preferred 126:11 **pressed** 118:23 64:1 133:20 portions 32:7 preliminary pretext 119:8 pollution 131:14 position 80:4 100:16 105:18 129:17 pretty 41:22 82:5 pond 10:8,13 **Preparedness** 95:1 99:10 125:11 positive 38:21 49:21 50:2,19 111:11 126:20 127:20 51:6 52:3 53:6 possibilities 77:1 141:23 150:25 56:11 57:25 58:11 preparing 111:12 80:21 86:16 151:2,3 152:4 59:6 60:8,17 62:5, prescribed 126:5 possibility 70:21 8,12,18 63:10,11, prevent 64:20 17 66:3,15 67:2 71:5 76:20 83:12 73:9 143:5 prescriptive 70:12 71:17 72:23 134:16 95:22 previous 12:20 74:2,4,12,21 75:2, possibly 33:22 presence 115:8 67:5 68:20 74:22 25 76:5,6,8 78:17 104:23 115:16 77:20 78:10 143:24 81:9,25 82:2 138:25 145:6 118:20 84:13,15,21 present 7:22 8:6 85:16,23 86:10 post 22:18 previously 88:15 40:9 47:19 49:15 88:2,4,20 89:2 85:22 131:19 posted 22:18 print 152:17 90:22 92:15 93:5, presentation 11,17,18 96:7 potable 13:9 **printed** 152:21 17:1 22:23 24:2 97:18 98:2,19 15:11 24:4 35:4 **prior** 47:14 53:11 29:15 31:25 38:4, 99:12,24 100:21 39:19 62:18 77:4

66:14 68:19,22 products 138:15 property 52:12 44:17 47:6 115:21 72:7 73:16 79:2 129:12 153:9 professionals 90:17 154:15 33:18 proportional providing 82:18 private 127:4 99:22 provisions 81:18 program 15:14 proactive 72:11 proposal 79:6 prudent 20:14 Progress 102:5 111:19 93:8 146:2 progression 12:1 **public** 2:6 4:2 7:8 problem 64:14,24 proposals 118:8 9:24 21:16 22:14, 68:20,24 71:23 progressive propose 68:5 16 25:22 45:2 88:10 89:1 90:6 11:23 16:14 38:15 46:7 47:19 48:7 76:17 101:15 116:9,14 49:24 66:13 prohibitively 118:25 127:3 **proposed** 8:6,10, 122:12 132:6 65:19 139:1 150:1 11,13 44:18 46:19 139:25 152:24 project 5:5,7 49:22 62:16 79:21 problems 35:8 153:3 103:13 119:6 6:11,14 7:24 8:19 42:21 43:3 50:4, 128:15 148:22 public's 25:9 9:9,17,18,20 12 87:1 116:9,13, 10:21,25 11:9 **publicly** 22:12,19 14,19 119:5,22 proposes 124:2 12:2,22 13:2 14:4, 122:1,14,18 12 29:7 32:21 proposing 45:6 **pull** 13:8 127:25 150:22 36:2 40:11,23 83:4 85:11 148:1 pump 62:5 72:8, procedural 45:9 47:5 110:9 114:11 proprietary 138:3 13 92:14 93:12 116:12,13 118:2, procedure 57:13 103:2 104:6 105:2 21 119:5,9,13,17 prosecution 7:20 procedures 120:5,6,7,18,22 44:22 45:6,10,12 **pumped** 71:21 44:16 102:18 121:25 123:16 47:8,18 49:16 99:25 100:2,14 126:9 128:11,12, 68:9 73:8 75:24 proceed 6:18 pumping 62:6 25 129:5 130:11, 78:19,24 100:7 7:14 10:23 49:7 93:5 13 132:5 136:8 103:13 106:20 98:16 102:4 139:24 148:10 pumps 88:25 project's 128:13 proceedings prosecution's punishing 67:4 2:16 47:17 projected 30:14 80:4 56:12 punishment **process** 18:15 protect 25:22 127:22 projects 8:18 26:15 38:12 42:23 43:20 122:6 10:23 20:1 39:22 43:2 47:22 48:20 pure 125:2 133:17 87:17 90:12 115:20 119:11 **purely** 56:24 protecting 11:10, 106:4,7 110:4,16, 133:2,3 21 123:7 138:1 11 **purple** 10:11,13 prolonged 148:5 11:18 12:6 54:18 128:17 protection 11:20 processes 18:13 64:20 114:2 purpose 45:4 Promote 73:6 53:1,2,3 81:17 processing protects 132:25 prompted 139:24 91:8 107:12 protocols 138:11 prongs 150:6 purview 85:18 produce 9:3 provide 6:11,13, proper 29:2 put 11:14 24:6 produced 32:22 18,19 7:5 8:3 27:22 39:24 83:17 47:4 57:18 59:16 properly 14:11 12:16 29:2 39:22 86:23 90:10 92:20 60:2 138:15 23:11 38:24 96:22 45:2,3 46:13 93:23 100:25 117:18 131:6 47:23 82:20 85:24 product 88:13 118:9 121:13 133:6 105:15,25 114:22 92:13 101:11 126:2,15 127:24 148:22 153:7 136:25 properties 60:7 151:7,14

provided 39:14

138:3

putrid 138:16 121:11 123:14 153:16 131:3 139:23 raise 23:14 46:2 **puts** 10:12 140:10,11,14 putting 24:10 144:16,21 145:12 ramifications 70:10 79:24 80:16 24:18 quick 142:17 116:25 range 66:1 quickest 86:10 rapid 114:21 Q quickly 79:10,15 129:24 127:16 140:15 rapidly 46:25 qualified 31:25 **quote** 60:7 rash 137:19 quality 2:2,7,19 quote/unquote 3:3 10:19 11:10, 41:2 rate 56:17,24 17,21 15:25 18:3 58:25 63:4.5 quoted 103:1 31:7,19 32:19,20, 98:21 22 43:20 44:13 quoting 102:25 ratepayer 29:22 46:18 58:9,23 123:22 126:12 89:8 92:1 94:2 R 136:14 96:3 98:12 105:4 116:14 ratepayers 30:20 R-I-C-E 106:15 122:8 123:24 Quality's 95:6 124:20 125:17 104:13 R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S 136:20 rates 63:1 quart 26:4 R-O-B-I-N 121:16 rationale 120:21 quarter 145:3 R3-2017-0015 quarterly 13:14, raw 62:18 74:4 5:6 85:10 23 26:5 R3-2017-0016 re-branded 120:7 quarters 126:23 5:8 re-excavated question 12:4 rain 52:12 59:22, 88:7 18:23 19:1 22:23 25 60:23 61:2,18 23:24 24:14,21 reach 54:7 66:22 70:16 73:13 28:7 34:10 35:10 74:13 80:13 89:20 reaches 26:7 36:4 48:18,19,22 92:4 103:3 125:4 58:8 69:12,17 reaching 71:9 135:10 74:10 75:21 78:9, react 54:6 14,15,23 82:17 rainfall 25:4 60:22 83:7 84:17 95:10 61:1.3.4 63:5 reactionary 106:19.21 107:13. 95:11 98:20 72:10 82:25 18 112:13 117:10 135:14 122:17,19 131:17 reactive 72:12 raining 62:1 139:7 140:16 111:18 142:14,17 149:1,5 rains 61:9 69:7 read 29:25 55:8 90:8,18 129:11 questions 7:8 109:12 121:12 16:19,22 17:4 122:11 136:19 rainwater 52:8 26:16 37:17 44:25 148:14 149:24 77:23,24 85:17

rainy 23:12 78:21

79:2 80:11,16

111:12 135:13

142:25 146:17

147:7 152:7,9,11

47:21 48:10,13,17

68:13,18 78:7

84:14 87:3,9

102:21 106:8

111:8 117:7,11

real 20:3 69:7 78:17 127:16.25 realities 76:12 reality 73:12 realize 28:3 realized 39:25 55:25 realm 41:8 reason 118:21 146:17 reasonable 96:7. 10 130:25 149:17 reasons 114:8 120:20 136:23 recall 23:25 61:25 received 14:16 33:5 61:23 65:16 89:23 **receives** 154:15 receiving 111:14 recent 17:8 37:23 38:6 recently 37:20 66:8 receptive 96:5 recess 44:8 139:12 145:7 152:22,23 recirculation 100:24 reclamation 141:22 recognize 25:17 55:18 86:25 132:3 recognized 31:19 65:12 recognizing 20:25 32:10 recollection 64:3 recommencing 47:14 154:25

152:19

ready 49:6 140:1,

12,13 142:20

143:3 153:1

remind 16:19 recommend reference 129:21 rehabilitate 68:11 47:12 62:12 referenced 38:13 reminded 30:3 recommendation 47:17 87:11 90:1 reinject 9:1 12:24 reminder 48:7 21:15 47:24 13:10 referencing 103:2 111:12 104:13 110:18,22 97:10 reinjected 15:10 113:11 121:15 113:8 143:23 referred 90:21 154:19 reinjection 14:6 reminders 14:21 117:13 recommendation referring 94:19 **removal** 114:21 reintroducing 115:2 138:20 **s** 144:15 refining 144:3 105:10 recommending remove 30:2 68:5 reflect 28:3 reiterate 27:6 70:15 82:2 92:9 8:13 153:6 reflects 43:24 115:2 reject 46:18 reconvene 44:6 108:1 145:2 refresh 64:9 removed 67:2 relate 148:2 72:21 89:1 record 121:12 refresher 8:2 related 7:23 22:8 removing 66:1 regard 22:24 30:5 104:10 recording 13:5 146:8 108:2 records 55:19 **relates** 49:23 reopening 122:15 region 19:15 20:4, 99:23 145:10 19 28:6 39:8 recover 76:21 relating 88:4 94:8 repaired 88:5 105:17 region's 7:5 recovery 54:2,20 96:23 55:2 57:9 59:8 regional 2:1,7 3:2 relation 9:9 60:14 replacements 99:12 10:19 11:1 24:2 119:12 rectangle 9:14 29:17,23 31:7,19 relative 8:18 10:3,5 replacing 120:3 33:14 44:13,21 43:22 rectified 75:18 46:17 49:10 67:4 reply 67:6 release 53:9 89:7 92:1 94:1,17 rectify 25:8 95:6 96:3 98:12 report 9:23 13:22 relied 69:1 104:12 106:10 recycled 13:4,11 17:9,11,17 18:8 24:4 111:10 **relief** 119:7 26:24,25 27:3 33:22 38:17 55:23 regraded 70:2 recycling 98:6 relieving 16:10 60:9 111:6,7 73:3 rely 57:4 73:11 red 10:17 54:19 133:3 143:1 regrading 70:10 59:10 60:15,18 86:14 reported 2:20 71:9,13,21 relying 56:24 regular 13:13,16 13:17 34:20 50:7 14:5 102:18 69:6 red-legged 69:18 96:13.15 114:6 116:22 131:11 reporter 2:21 remain 91:18 16:18 46:23 49:13 regularly 13:19 105:23 redo 150:24 113:13 64:14 **reduce** 14:18 remainder 80:1 reporting 6:20 regulate 10:20 25:21 29:17 33:1, remaining 77:25 85:8,9 12:19,23 13:12,13 2 35:7 78:2 79:1 134:19 14:3,23 15:5,16, reduced 25:19 regulates 11:6 21 24:19 27:18 remains 131:16 121:23 127:7 31:18,22 33:3 regulation 76:7 remedial 126:4 34:5 35:8 36:7 reducing 76:22 regulators 40:4 67:5,8 105:20 remedy 72:25 41:2 reduction 14:17 112:25 114:11 remember 75:9 120:10 131:6 refer 12:19 30:6 regulatory 85:18 108:14 134:14 59:13 97:5 115:19 138:4 143:9

Index: reports..roadway

reports 6:21,22 97:25 98:4 102:8 49:10 67:22 91:13 returned 129:22 13:3,16,17,19,24, 113:1 114:11.17 114:2 119:18 reuse 32:21 35:5 25 14:15,20 15:2, 120:10 143:12 128:24 102:16 7,8,13,16 17:18, 144:3 146:18 respect 19:15,24 20,23 22:24,25 147:8 151:15 reverse 9:4 47:4 21:17,18 33:16 23:3,20 24:15 153:11,15,18 49:19 93:4,12 56:8 68:18 100:11 25:6,16,24 26:3 114:24 128:23 requires 13:13 27:16 29:3 37:16, respond 19:13 34:15 36:9 62:19 **review** 10:24 21:13 107:16 18 38:23 60:3,5 68:4 85:1 141:15 31:10 67:20 87:12 102:6 150:23 151:12 responded 105:21 115:5 111:13 117:5 130:15 137:24 requiring 33:22 reviewed 9:24 132:21 136:4 55:19 response 19:23 represent 15:16 42:21 47:9 48:22 61:1 66:25 99:11 requisite 55:12 reviewing 114:11 76:2,3 89:24 represents resaid 147:2 129:15 130:23 reviews 9:21 54:14,15,18 61:9 141:10,18 research 124:9 revised 14:17 87:24 responses revisions 15:14 reserve 78:7 repurpose 18:14 114:14 130:21 62:18 74:4 92:18 reserved 39:20 **Rice** 105:12 responsibility 139.1 106:15 resident 121:18 33:20 repurposed 88:9 123:4 128:9 130:8 **Richard** 132:13 responsible 132:18 134:5 136:17 repurposing 69:24 105:24 85:12 89:5 92:5, residential 127:4 Richards 4:25 responsibly 23 105:8 118:22 134:3 136:18,20 128:20 residents 29:25 124:7 131:17 108:4,22 120:1, rid 52:4 56:24 rest 116:18 118:1 request 114:18 23,24 128:23 65:6,22 76:23 136:21 133:10 141:13 restate 147:2,3 142:23 requested 55:6, residual 40:14 restaurants riparian 115:15 22 127:6 137:10 resin-exchange rise 63:20 67:25 requesting 33:14 92:14 restitution 43:21 72:4 74:21 99:20 require 28:16 restoration 114:2 resolution 21:11 risen 56:4 33:22 69:20 124:6 126:9 rests 142:16 rising 59:2 63:19 132:10 133:17 resolve 28:16 70:24,25 71:3,4 result 11:25 30:16 required 6:22 7:4 79:25 132:11 50:4 55:5,25 risk 28:13 133:22 13:17,19 35:6 resolved 8:4,9 130:3 133:1,21 134:22 50:22 54:25 12:16 21:24 137:17 148:9 102:16 119:16 **RO** 77:2 78:25 22:13,17 130:10 134:15 resulted 115:14 117:16,19 136:4 resolves 16:6 131:7 137:2,23 138:25 requirement 68:1,3 153:17,22, resolving 7:2 results 23:6 32:20 road 24:11 41:11 23 154:22 60:9 122:17 52:13 60:14,17,21 resource 28:20 61:13 69:8,13 requirements 36:6 39:24 45:15, resume 44:9 73:4 82:11 90:3 6:23 13:12 14:10 19 46:12 53:20 65:14 113:25 127:10 15:5 32:23 33:2,3 resources 15:24 retroactive 142:9 129:9 34:8,25 35:1 36:3 24:8 28:5,12 32:2, 47:10,14 51:9 return 62:12 roadway 60:16 13 36:19 37:11 53:25 57:3 59:19 81:23,24 131:21

Index: Robertson..Section

Robertson 3:10 6:6,8 18:18 19:12, 13 21:1,16,24 22:2,8 26:10,11 38:10 43:17,18 45:20 46:20,21,22 48:4,13,21 49:1,6 53:1,10 57:19,25 58:3,7 59:3,9 68:16,17 69:8 72:6,16,19,24 73:6,8,14 76:14 78:15 79:16 85:7 93:22 100:6 101:19,24 103:7, 8,12 106:25 107:17 140:19 142:11 143:14 144:1,4,6,8 150:10,14,18 151:6 154:3,14,21

Robertson's 24:12 80:9

Robin 4:18 121:2, 3.16

Robinson 75:22

robust 143:12

rodent 96:12

rodents 88:15

Rokke 4:3 6:12,18 7:10,15,16 12:7 19:1,6,11 23:4,21 24:20 32:18 34:18 36:11,21 37:15, 20,25 38:3,6,20 42:15 45:14 47:6 49:8,9 51:21,24 52:9,11,17,21,25 53:3,14,19,21 57:24 58:2,5,14, 19 59:7,10,12 61:25 62:4 64:6. 18,22 65:11,15 68:17,25 69:9,21 70:13,18,22 71:11,13,17 72:16,22,24 73:2, 7,10,18,23 74:3, 16 75:6,11 76:10, 16 77:8,11 78:5

79:4 80:18 82:22 83:3,11 87:21 89:13,25 90:7,21 91:10 99:1,9,18 100:2 112:13 115:4

Rokke's 6:17 **role** 41:1 43:19

roles 40:3

Roman 154:24

room 40:20 80:14 145:10

rooms 127:15

Rosa 60:23 114:4 127:10

rose 57:8 99:16

rotating 41:15

rough 74:16 98:24

roughly 52:1 94:21,24

round 150:16

routinely 71:15

row 125:21

rubber 41:11

ruling 46:13

run 21:6 32:9 69:16 70:5 77:17 104:6 105:6,18,19 109:3 127:15 137:13

run-on 68:18

run-on/ inundation 73:1

running 20:12 34:19 36:13 43:12 69:13 102:13 105:24 116:24

runoff 60:6

runs 36:13

rural 32:17

rush 30:11

rushed 30:9 119:15

rust 117:17

RWQCB 114:9 137:25

S

S-H-I-M-E-K 110:8

S-T-E-I-D-E-L 128:7

S-T-E-I-N-B-R-U- N-E-R 122:23

S-T-E-V-E 110:8

safe 88:14 129:6

safety 86:11 126:10 136:8

sake 125:16,17

salad 137:9

salt 63:25

sample 58:11 92:18 102:12,14

sampled 59:5 100:18

samples 136:24

sampling 14:17, 18 33:1 102:15 104:11

San 5:5,8 6:24 8:21 9:2,12,16 10:9 31:12 60:14, 21 61:2 66:9 73:4 75:8,11,13 90:3 112:1 113:25 114:4 115:16,23 117:12 118:11 133:7 135:7 138:19

sanctuary 115:7 131:13

sand 52:22

sandbags 51:2 53:11 61:17,19 62:5,7 90:15

Sanitary 66:9

Sanitation 94:20 97:11,12,18 111:24 118:11

Santa 60:23 114:4 127:10

sat 31:11

satisfied 144:22 153:9,23

saturated 50:25

savings 29:23

scale 54:12

scenario 78:6

schedule 33:24 82:1,4,7

scheduled 106:2

Schroeter 45:20

SCIR 89:3

scrap 119:3

screen 60:18 71:9 153:5

sea 115:15

season 78:21 79:3 80:12,16 93:16 111:13 135:13,14 142:25 146:17 147:7 152:7,10,11 153:16

seasons 73:16 112:9 135:4 136:23 143:19

Sebastian 121:9

seconds 68:15

secret 138:3

Section 46:11

Index: selected..slowly

selected 15:6,13 set 8:2 11:7 20:14 69:15 **simply** 30:21 81:24 152:6 30:4.8 35:18 63:8,16 70:10 **shut** 23:8 49:25 50:14 82:1 103:21 130:20 132:8 selenium 66:16 56:23 73:25 81:25 104:12 105:1 149:5,14,15 122:15 137:21 115:3 131:19 141:19 154:18 self-monitoring 142:20 143:4,12 shutdown 42:24 simultaneous 6:21 14:19 102:6 setting 60:6 shutoff 43:11 152:25 send 14:21 66:10 settled 5:5 6:19 shutting 56:20 simultaneously 83:10 115:19 sending 75:12 7:6 **shy** 109:19 Settlement 16:6 **sends** 10:15 singularly 20:16 sic 15:5 40:1 sense 74:11,14 Seventy-some sir 93:21 92:10 104:18 126:21 25:23 side 9:12 10:11 sit 31:10 33:1 sensitive 9:18 severe 87:1 21:3,6 31:11 52:3 62:25 134:21 144:20 145:9 18:24 55:5 62:5,6 73:4 **sewer** 42:9 90:20 separate 45:6 site 8:22 50:17 **shade** 9:15 side-effects 51:5 56:10 94:20 84:22 89:11 96:16,18 shake-down 137:17 **siting** 47:14 41:21 separation 26:13 sides 21:7,17 **sits** 9:9 75:14 54:1,5 55:1 56:2 **share** 40:18 108:8 82:10 124:1 68:1 72:12 73:15, sitting 100:7 **shed** 115:17 **sight** 110:13 16 153:13,19 148:13 Shimek 4:13 sign 140:20 September situ 115:5 110:5,7,8,24 24:23,24 100:20 **signal** 71:23 116:10 situation 35:25 111:10 37:10 41:7 53:8 signatures **shop** 127:6 sequence 48:19 69:4 118:19 130:18 shorebirds 119:4,14 123:16 series 60:3 significant 29:18 138:12 situations 83:17 31:16 88:3 91:24 serve 86:1 110:21 **short** 10:11 73:10 95:1 99:20 107:7 **size** 56:11 141:5 120:15.22 95:5 104:25 108:3 **skill** 129:3 **served** 35:12 **shortly** 14:12 significantly 111:11 23:25 99:16 121:24 slash 68:18 service 44:15,18 129:2 **show** 11:15 54:10 slide 8:15 11:11 62:12 85:2 98:12 silent 85:2 108:25 13:18 26:20 services 5:4,7 109:4 115:25 27:22,23 28:18 **showed** 12:20 6:10 7:17 9:22 38:8 54:9 63:21 56:2 61:2 74:18 Simeon 6:24 8:21 12:18 31:9 47:3 64:2 67:6 69:12 99:1 9:2,12,16 10:10 56:15 86:2 105:13 90:23 97:3,4 60:14,21 61:2 98:23 99:3 100:19 113:23 126:24 showing 60:14 73:4 75:9,11,13 128:10 67:6 69:13 107:7 102:20 107:6 90:3 113:25 114:4 133:12 serving 13:9 115:17,23 117:12 **slides** 12:20 133:7 138:19 49:14 50:21 51:18 **shown** 13:18 **session** 46:10,13 99:6 63:20 139:13,14,17 **similar** 35:22 39:8 145:23 41:13 57:14 61:3 **slope** 70:17 **shows** 8:15,17,24 117:7 126:24 9:9 10:1 13:22.25 **sessions** 152:25 14:1 29:18 50:19. **simple** 105:5 **slowly** 16:20 23 51:2 56:8

Index: small..stating

49:13 99:16 86:3,11 109:11 113:19 116:4 **Staff's** 11:9 108:16 113:12 122:21 110:18 111:6 **sounds** 36:5 113:7 118:7 **small** 12:21 19:15, 146:22 spelled 116:5 126:11 25 20:19 24:9 **source** 10:14 Spelling 130:6 28:1 32:14 64:12 stage 8:2 11:7 85:10 120:15 78:3 100:4 103:19 **spend** 114:15 72:12 139:2,3 131:4 132:4 137:1 121:19 128:24 136:12,14 stages 8:1 130:19,20 141:14 south 52:1 66:9 spending 30:17, stand 45:25 46:2 94:19 97:4,10,12, small-scaled 20 108:7 118:24 18 111:24 112:1 119:12 standard 19:3 118:11 124:11,13, **spent** 67:10,11,15 smaller 28:4 120:6 151:23 standards 9:1 15:11 119:10 **smell** 116:20 **space** 30:18 spillage 53:5 138:17 113:18,21 114:1 standing 50:24 spirit 123:18 116:6 117:5 52:21 **smelly** 138:15 **split** 30:25 span 143:19 standpoint 87:25 **Smith** 128:22 88:1 104:7,8,9,17 spoke 38:16 39:7 134:25 137:13 **spare** 68:16 138:4,7 **Stanley** 45:13 spoken 32:1 speak 12:10 **smoothly** 123:17 16:19 22:4 29:12 spot 21:18 90:2 **start** 13:1 14:9 46:24,25 83:20 17:6 47:7 48:9 snapshot 40:9 **sprayed** 137:11 108:15,16,20 89:9 107:21 **sodium** 137:6,23 110:1 113:12,21 spreadsheet 108:11,12 148:5 116:7 123:6 128:8 14:22 soil 69:18 **start-up** 26:25 130:4 139:8 squeezing 64:13 solemnly 46:4 started 13:20 speaker 7:12 17:4 14:14 102:25 squirrel 64:17 22:21 27:22 30:24 solution 77:8 133:4 80:24 100:23 33:6,7 48:8 **staff** 3:12 6:12 105:5 109:14,21 107:22,23 108:2 starting 44:10 7:11,16 11:8,22 110:3 132:14 111:20 114:23 14:7,9,10,17,20 starts 34:6 139:6,11 153:3 115:6 122:6 21:14 27:13 28:22 130:25 131:14,21 state 2:3 8:21 29:2,11 31:7,20 speakers 84:9 137:6 9:10 15:24 43:10 32:19 34:1,3,23 108:4 140:4 45:15,18 46:14 39:21 40:18 43:24 solutions 41:17 speaking 20:15, 77:13 106:13 44:24 45:5,6 50:5, 98:14 109:24 16 29:5 139:20 108:14 131:21 7,9,14,15 55:5 154:6 **solve** 106:9 133:7,10 138:19 56:18 62:8 69:1 82:18 83:8,9 86:9, 146:25 148:4 special 37:9 **solved** 129:2 15.22 89:8 92:1 133:25 stated 48:2 67:3 **solving** 105:16 94:2,17 96:3 73:23 74:4 137:1 specific 68:3 122:1 102:17 103:11 148:23 104:13 106:10 specifically 31:2, something's statement 44:11 107:16 110:22 22 126:17 55:13 48:1 57:16 103:21 114:9,15 115:5 **sooner** 23:19 specifics 94:7 116:24 120:9,18 statements 123:5,11 129:4 specifies 58:14 sophisticated 138:24 130:12,23 132:8, 30:12 36:8 **speeds** 98:20 states 76:11 89:3 20 137:1 139:23 141:10 142:20 **sort** 19:16 21:5 **spell** 106:14 **stating** 45:23 143:3,24 144:2, 35:7.13.15.24 108:15 111:4 55:13 12.17 149:16 36:14 38:15 43:2

Index: statistical..surrounding

statistical 57:13, 117:8 126:21,25 137:24 146:24 9:22 21:20 76:2 17 58:15,17 127:25 140:17,23 120:15 128:19 subsequent 101:25 102:1 141:4,10,21 131:23 72:25 152:13 154:1 153:12,19 supplements subsided 137:22 **status** 120:15 **storms** 59:15 129:5 89:12 99:15 substance 113:7 stay 20:8 85:21 supplies 129:5 122:12 strange 107:4 substantial **supply** 5:4,7 6:10, 118:24 staying 127:3 strategically 13 7:24 8:24 9:13 20:9 substantially 10:4,18,21,25 **stays** 20:3 53:7 12:22 13:2 14:4, strategies 20:7 steady 43:9 12 21:2,6,7 26:7 successful 37:4 47:5,7 49:20 strategy 11:23 steady-state 125:13 72:11 50:1 56:13 107:2 41:22 113:24 116:22 successfully **stream** 131:12 121:21 122:1 steelhead 114:5 129:10 **Street** 2:17 128:11,19 134:23 115:16 sudden 42:11 Steidel 4:21 **stress** 137:13 137:20 support 59:17 60:3 109:1 110:22 125:19 128:4,6 suffered 121:20 strident 11:24 118:7 120:17 Steinbruner 121:24 126:9 strongly 130:12 sufficient 79:2 116:3 118:15 130:12 133:23 134:7 136:4 105:25 135:2 122:10 134:7 136:3.4 146:15,25 147:5 structure 53:13 step 38:21 106:19 149:10 supported 122:1 struggle 49:12 128:10 sufficiently 23:14 **steps** 38:15 struggling 34:25 64:25 supporting 125:12 129:24 39:25 126:16 130:18 suggest 44:3 **Steve** 4:13 110:8 **studies** 83:16 48:16 supports 129:19 stew 131:1 stuff 34:22 37:3,5 suggested 124:5 supposed 33:18 79:13 151:1 37:19 58:15 60:20 stick 128:2 suggesting 61:14 64:20 68:20 93:8 subcontractors **stop** 48:10,17 71:23,25 138:7 51:15,19 57:19 suggests 68:24 **Sur** 127:5 61:22 69:14 93:22 subject 61:8 sum 118:24 100:6 119:24 133:6 surface 7:25 8:7 143:11 summarized 10:2,21 11:6 30:3 stopped 39:22 14:5 47:3,12,16 49:17, 109:8 135:10 submission 16:4 18,23 55:3 56:10 summary 7:6 storage 47:15,16 submit 13:3 14:19 59:19 60:4,12 62:18 74:5 84:13 15:8 17:17 28:15 summer 42:9 64:24,25 70:11,16 62:20 65:24 83:4 77:22 99:5 **store** 75:3 **summers** 135:8 133:18 100:17,21,25 stored 136:25 **sump** 71:15 111:17.22 113:3 submittal 6:21 138:21 132:22 133:5 37:23 super 19:19 135:1 146:8 storm 50:9 52:9, submitted 6:25 supervise 33:14 10,20 59:21 60:8, surround 56:1 14:15 16:2 17:12 20 89:10,24 91:4 supplement 18:2 22:12 23:1 surrounding 99:22 101:2 69:11 80:9 25:16 60:5.13 55:24 63:14 103:10 106:22 65:21 102:6 supplemental 138:18 107:5,7,11,13,14

surveying 73:11 suspending 88:25 sustainable 30:6 31:14 93:3 120:7, 11 121:24 128:11

swallows 138:11 swear 46:4 SWF 132:5 sworn 105:13

SWPP 117:9 sympathize 28:19

symptoms 137:16,21

system 6:15 13:14,20 15:15 17:18 18:25 20:3 21:5 23:8,9 24:6, 16,17,19,20,21 25:1,4,10,25 28:9 32:15,16 34:6,10, 15,18,20 35:5 36:9,13,16 37:4 41:9,13,17,21,25 42:16,19,24 43:6, 8 47:7 50:1 53:18 54:2,3,11,20,23 55:2,10,15,20 56:13 57:10,11, 14,16,21 58:20 59:5,8 64:20 71:10,14,22 72:5, 8,9 74:1,2 77:3,6 79:1,17 92:20 94:5 100:9,10,13 103:25 104:6 105:1 110:14,15, 20,21 122:14 123:7 130:17 131:5 138:25 140:24 141:2,11 154:7

systems 14:14 19:2,19 20:21,22 21:4 24:10 28:2,4, 6,8 32:13 37:2 39:8,11 41:13 58:20 114:24 140:24,25 141:1,3

Т

T-E-D 130:7 T-O-M 123:3 tab 126:4 127:21 table 26:22 70:20 72:4 99:15 126:16

tag 85:7 87:18 takes 10:12,14 13:7 76:23 124:3

taking 16:18 61:24 80:25 112:22 120:14 121:25 125:23 139:21

talk 21:2 59:12 64:3 67:20 123:18 144:20

talked 63:22 91:13 100:7 109:16 151:10

talking 24:3 49:13 75:1 96:12 97:3 127:1 148:8,12 150:8 151:4

Tank 79:13 tanks 74:7,25

tap 93:10

task 62:22 154:25

team 7:21 15:2,6, 22 16:13 44:22,24 45:11,12,17 46:9 47:8,18,21,23 49:16 78:24 87:18 100:7 103:13 106:20 139:24

team's 15:17 16:8 68:9 73:9 75:24 80:4

teasing 76:14

technical 19:17 20:2 69:3 95:17 146:18 147:8 153:15 154:4

technically 19:25 78:17 149:9

technologies 57:5

Ted 4:22 125:20 128:4 130:5,6

tedious 34:8

telling 35:24 36:5

temperature 92:4 95:11 98:20

temporary 90:10, 13 114:13 119:7, 14

ten 115:6

tend 103:4

tentative 47:2,9, 24 81:20,25 82:5 103:13 132:21 154:24

tentatives 47:24

term 42:4

terms 8:12 20:23 29:1 33:20,22 36:11 42:1 74:5, 10 78:18 80:2,5 83:10,14 91:10 146:16 147:5

test 58:9 132:2 137:13

tested 119:24

testifies 45:22

testify 45:24 46:1

testifying 46:16 testimony 46:4

testing 13:13

112:4 134:14

tests 112:6 122:13 thankful 89:20

Thankfully 128:20

Thea 4:4 7:4,25 8:3 12:2,14 17:7 25:20 26:20 33:12 45:13

Thea's 12:9

there'll 83:5

thing 32:3 39:12 63:23 64:16 65:1 69:6 78:18 88:11 109:12 154:3

things 26:6 28:18 29:3 34:2 36:23 39:18 44:1 76:19 80:16 87:20 89:6 92:5,8 95:19 103:24 104:19 105:18 109:16,17 124:24 136:14

thinking 86:21 107:6 109:24

thirdly 88:19

Thomas 4:17 39:10 45:12 121:4,6,9 140:21 141:5,9,16,18 142:3

thought 35:2 76:18 119:15 146:21

thoughts 75:24 76:8 111:8

thousand 27:2,4 59:20 61:7

thousand-foot 153:12

thousand-year 103:9 153:12,19

threat 66:13,25 70:24 115:11 125:13 129:23 134:23

transcriptionist threatened 114:6 143:13,18 146:7 top 10:17 12:7 128:17 151:8 54:4,13,15,19 108:16 55:2 66:21 71:20 timeliness 25:13 transcriptions threatening 129:24 106:14 127:19 timely 13:5 22:24 topic 19:14 81:8 throw 153:5 23:20 25:6 29:2 transition 76:7 37:14,18 38:18 topography Thursday 2:18 transitioning 69:18 timer 45:4 51:15 6:1 75:25 59:11 61:22 62:3 total 26:20,21 tidewater 114:6 trapezoidal 77:21 87:5 116:5 27:1,8,10,16,17, **Tim** 86:1 147:23 18 29:17 51:12 travels 13:8 times 27:4 37:8 67:19 time 7:18 11:24 66:17,19 77:18 treat 8:25 12:24 totaled 61:5 13:3,19 14:16,20 93:23,24 146:16 100:23 147:6 15:8,15 17:3,18 totality 47:6 treated 6:23 9:1,4 24:15 32:25 33:4, timing 75:25 76:8 10:5 12:24 13:4,6, 9 35:15,16 37:16, **totals** 61:1,3 82:19 86:11 11,13 15:9 25:5 24 38:11,23 39:3, touch 38:14 53:24 137:9 5,20 43:7 44:12, **TIMOTHY** 4:10 67:10 90:23 25 45:3 46:16 treating 76:20 **Tina** 4:6 29:9,10 48:5,7,8,13,23 touched 19:14 79:8 83:13 110:5,24 111:2 54:6 57:19 58:10 89:13 treatment 8:19 63:11,18 66:1 title 8:25 11:4.5 tough 36:25 37:4 11:2 13:14,20 67:10,11,14 68:12 24:3 31:13,14,23 49:12 19:2,3 20:21 21:5 69:7 75:22 78:18 49:17 53:25 58:14 28:10 34:6 36:24 81:19,23 83:14,15 62:12 63:17 68:1. toured 127:18 37:1 76:25 77:9 85:6 86:22 90:7 2 82:3 113:4 tourism 42:10 93:5,6,9,18 94:9, 91:24 92:12 93:23 today 8:1,12 12 97:4,6,23 98:2, 94:6 95:3,5 96:7 town 8:18 37:6 11:13,14 12:9,16 5 106:25 112:23 100:6,14,18 116:21,25 121:20 15:3 38:4 79:23 124:8 125:3 102:6,22 104:4 128:24 140:22 82:6 84:18 86:17 105:1,11 107:21, 91:17 94:25 98:7 town's 8:18 24 114:16,21 trench 51:22,24 108:6,20 109:5, 111:21 117:11 120:8 52:2 90:9 13.16 113:21 122:13 126:25 toxic 114:22 trenched 90:9 114:8 117:8 118:9 129:14 132:9 115:1 131:1 128:9 132:20 133:18 138:17 trenching 90:10, 148:24 151:25 toxins 115:9 139:12 140:18 13 141:24 142:7,19 today's 11:25 track 25:12,21 trend 77:13 144:2 145:11 91:8 48:7 51:17 77:12 146:11 147:9 tricky 76:4 106:6 **Todd** 45:13 148:15 149:17 tracked 9:21 trigger 72:1 151:23 toilet 127:15 101:17 triggered 133:13 time-consuming toilets 127:14 tracking 48:4 94:10 **trip** 97:8 117:12 told 126:6 153:1 traffic 127:11 timeframe 13:24 trip-loads 94:22 tolerated 113:4 train 117:20 timekeeper 48:22 trips 76:23 124:18 **Tom** 4:19 121:2 training 102:9,19 timeline 50:11 122:11 123:3 truck 66:4,8,11 62:21 80:8 81:9 Transcript 2:16 75:1 76:23.24 tone 35:18 82:16,25 83:10 6:2 98:1 111:25 96:10 132:10 124:18 136:13

Index: trucked..vocal

unfolds 38:4 **Valley** 135:7 trucked 74:8 109:23 112:2,3 131:2 unforeseen valuable 77:15 umbrella 9:19 10:22 106:21 trucking 75:2,4,5, 103:24 **valves** 43:5 16 93:17 94:15 unacceptable unique 114:3 95:1 97:3,5 105:9 Van 9:15 23:16 136:23 118:10 124:11,13 unit 92:9,13,14,15 42:18 131:24 132:1 unanimously units 117:16,17, variety 65:5 136:10 126:8 19 vector 138:10 trucks 80:22 unanswered unnecessary 124:15 142:14 verbiage 80:18 130:1 151:2,11 true 127:12 unattended verifiable 115:21 unprecedented 113:3 **Trust** 113:18 89:22 verification uncontested 131:9 truth 46:5 unquote 60:8 7:23 8:11 80:1 version 38:14 Tryon 4:4 7:4,25 unreported 113:2 uncorrectable 12:13,14 17:2,9, versus 42:9 58:11 72:18 unresolved 11,15,22,24 18:1, 59:10 66:4 142:11 6,8 22:13,18 26:3, undeniable 67:24 **viable** 92:7,25 24 27:9 33:25 unseated 130:23 under-sizing 114:23,25 115:6 45:13 56:14 untried 124:6 124:5 **Tuesday** 127:18 underlies 54:19 unworkable view 8:17,23 9:5 turn 40:6,7 65:11 56:20 133:11 107:4 undermining turned 121:12 64:15 upcoming 111:12 viewed 64:6 turning 43:4 underneath **update** 18:12 violate 34:2 78:11 82:20 54:22 upgrades 119:13 violated 56:3 turnings 43:4 understand 11:3 violation 15:1 **uphill** 69:16 70:5 22:23 28:13 35:13 tweaking 36:17 18:1 35:18 37:21 41:6 80:7 81:10, upper 9:13 10:6 42:4 43:5.6 38:11 52:16,17,22 16 91:14 102:15 67:25 81:10,11,13 urge 132:22 tweaks 43:1 103:6 108:25 105:17 112:25 133:16 109:18 148:14 **Twelve** 39:21 123:17 151:18 152:14 **usable** 77:18 two-minute violations 6:20 understanding users 120:16 151:17 7:2 12:19,21,23 6:12 20:10 25:2 **usual** 119:10 14:2,3 15:1,17 70:1 120:19 type 19:4 32:15 16:7,11,14 17:15 70:10 97:15 utmost 25:17 understands 24:17 27:2,15,18, 152:20 103:11 23 28:16 31:10, **types** 19:2,19 V 17,20 38:7 47:10 understood 20:15,21 39:11 67:5 130:16 40:11 103:11 134:16 149:25 150:3 vadose 53:24 typically 67:19 54:22 55:9,20 virtue 26:12 undertaken 57:11,14,20 59:5 U 11:16 visit 127:14 71:10,22 72:5 100:9 undue 122:7 visited 61:18 ultimate 83:23 vague 82:5 unfinished vocal 130:19 ultimately 43:23 141:20

i38

Index: voice..Wolff

voice 137:19 volume 91:1 99:25 vote 114:19 132:6 138:22 **VZMS** 100:1,2 101:4 153:24 154:6 W-E-B-B 116:7 **waiting** 48:18 79:5 waived 7:1 16:1 22:3 81:14 waiver 16:5 **walk** 149:5 walkthrough 14:9 wallpaper 40:20 wanted 62:1 83:18 85:20 87:1 98:11,12 105:15 106:9 108:8,21,24 109:2,12 123:18 126:21 139:10 141:19 144:5,22 wanting 123:14 **warrant** 14:16 warranty 134:18, Washburn 133:8 waste 6:22 8:19, 21 10:7 11:2 19:3 20:21 21:7 30:2 37:1 47:4,10,15, 16 49:19 54:14

56:12 59:19

62:13,22,25

65:20,22 66:1

68:5 76:22 77:16

81:11 82:2 85:8,

19 92:10 93:4,6,9,

17 94:8,12 97:4,5,

W

23 98:2,5 106:25 112:5,22 113:23 114:22,23 115:9, 10 118:5,10 129:11,16,24 130:23 131:12,14 132:1 134:21 135:19 136:4,25 138:6,14 140:22

wastes 63:9 146:8

water 2:2,7,19 3:3 5:4,7 6:10,12,13, 23 7:18,24 8:19, 21,24 9:1,4,13 10:4,6,9,12,14,15, 18,19,21,25 11:2, 9,10,17,21,22 12:15,22,25 13:2, 4,6,7,8,9,11,14 14:4,12 15:9,10, 11,12,24,25 16:2, 13 19:3 20:13,21 21:2,6,7 23:10,11, 13,16 24:1,3,5 25:5 26:6 28:10 30:5,7 31:7,14,19 32:19,20,22 34:21 37:1,3,5 39:20 42:18,25 43:20 44:13 45:15,18 46:18,22 47:5,6 49:9,20 50:1,9,13, 24 51:25 52:4,9, 10,12,20,21,23 53:5,12 55:9,15, 20 56:4,13,18 57:14,20 58:9,11, 18,23 59:8,23 60:2,8,16,20 61:15 62:6,7,9,18 63:24 66:15,21, 22,24 69:13,16 70:2,4,11,17,20 71:3,5,8,15,24 72:4 73:5 74:5,13, 17,22,24 76:5,20, 21 77:5,6,14,18, 25 78:11,16,25 79:7,9 80:23 81:11 83:13 85:9, 10 89:5,7 92:1,5,

10,11,14,23,25 93:2,3,4,5,6,9,11, 17,18 94:1,8,12 95:6 96:3 97:4,5, 23 98:2,5,12,24 99:5,14,25 100:2, 14,15,16,17,21, 24,25 101:2 104:6,13 105:2,4, 6,9,25 106:23,25 107:2,5,8,11,13, 14 109:1,2,6 110:11 112:17,23 113:24 115:9,10, 15,17 116:14,22, 23 117:8 118:21 119:2,4 120:2,4,5, 15,17 121:20,23, 24 122:1,15 123:8,15 124:7 125:1,2,4,9,10 126:9 127:13,17 128:11,12,19 129:5,6 130:9 131:4 132:4 134:23 135:22 136:2 139:1 140:17,22,23,24, 25 141:3,4,10,11, 21,22

waters 53:16 75:4 100:8

watersheds 114:4

Watsonville 2:17 6:1

ways 35:3

WDR 12:23 13:6, 10,13 14:6 82:3 98:5

WDRS 14:13

weather 73:16 91:22 95:19 111:11

Webb 4:15 113:14 116:2,6 130:17

week 36:15 94:18 100:1 135:25

weekends 94:24

weekly 102:7

weeks 61:6 94:24

weigh 83:1

weighed 116:11

weighing 118:1

wells 13:8 26:7 55:24 56:1,3,8 73:19 99:13.21 100:18 101:7 125:14

west 8:22 52:2

wet 73:16 93:16 111:11

wetland 118:5

white 41:9

Whoa 72:1

whoops 41:23 66:23 143:6

widowers 126:13

widows 126:13

wildlife 63:12 88:14 89:2 115:9, 12 135:21 138:9

willingness 95:5

wind 98:20

Winfrey 4:11 87:10 90:25 98:18 99:9,25 100:14 101:21 102:3

winter 42:8 89:10, 12 129:11 135:15 143:19

wire 88:25 89:1

wisdom 69:18

wishy-washy 106:5

witnessed 123:7 138:12

Wolff 3:2 6:5,8 7:11,15 12:14

Index: wonderful..zooming

16:17,25 17:3 18:10,16,19,21 19:12 21:9,12 22:20 23:23 26:9 27:21 30:23 31:6 32:4 33:5 39:7,9, 12 41:19 43:13 44:2,9 46:8,21 47:18 48:3,6,12, 15 49:2,5,8 51:15, 22 52:6 53:20 58:8,17,22 59:11 61:22 62:3 64:2, 17.19 65:9 67:10 68:15 69:11 70:6 73:22 77:2,10,20 78:7 80:9 81:3 84:8 85:15,20 87:6,7 93:20 95:9, 21 96:11,14,24 97:1 98:16 102:4, 23 103:7 106:12, 17 107:3,20 110:2,24 111:4 113:11 116:2 118:14 121:1,5,8, 13 122:9,24 123:1,5 125:18,23 128:4 130:5 132:12 134:2 136:16 139:5,18, 20 140:2,5,8,14 141:3,8,12,17,19 142:6,16 143:25 144:2,5,7,10,22, 25 145:2,8,17,19, 21,25 146:20 147:9,14,17,22 148:15,25 149:19 151:14,20,22 152:3,15,22,24 154:12,18

wonderful 86:3

wondering 18:11, 14 33:15 39:1

word 88:12 134:8

words 95:24 98:1

work 14:7 39:2 62:20 63:3 65:21, 24,25 68:7 76:17 79:21,24 80:7,14

81:13 82:8,13 83:4,6,21 84:2,4 86:8,23 87:3 91:12 92:7 94:4, 17 104:19,24 109:13 114:9 122:5 123:10 126:15 130:10 144:3 146:7,14, 17,23 147:4,7 153:20

workable 80:24

worked 67:17 79:14

working 34:23 39:21 62:9 79:6 88:17 96:1,2 102:3 106:3 127:2 137:15 140:19

works 54:12 81:16

world 77:13

world-renowned 128:21

worse 138:17

written 143:20

wrong 55:13 72:1 118:4 127:24

Υ

yards 72:20 137:15

year 25:2,3 32:25 50:5 59:21 60:1 61:7 63:4 67:17, 20 68:22 74:19 91:2 129:1 142:23 143:6 149:15

years 24:11 31:12 34:24 63:6 66:5 68:23 80:25 81:4 91:20 95:12 109:20 110:12 115:6 117:5 121:20 125:8 132:19 134:18,19

135:17 136:6 146:10 149:6,14, 18,25 151:3,6

yellow 10:8 54:22 55:10 59:10 71:11,12,22,25

yield 96:4

yo-yo 135:17

Young 3:8 12:13 16:22 17:6,7,10, 13,21,23,25 18:5, 7,9 33:11,12 34:13 36:4,18 37:14,17,24 38:1, 5,10,16 39:7,13 52:6,7,10,15,19, 23 53:17,21 69:12 70:6,7,14,19 71:8, 12,16 72:3,20 140:4,6,13 144:14,19,24 145:1.16 146:21. 25 147:3,19,22 149:19,20 150:2,4

Ζ

zone 53:24 54:22 55:9,20 57:11,14, 21 59:5 71:10,22 72:5 100:9

zoomed 8:17,23 **zooming** 9:8 10:1