Summary of Pyrethroid Monitoring Data, Exceedances of Criteria and Environmental Properties

Summary of Exceedances

Staff evaluated water quality data for exceedances from monitoring sites and surface waters in the Santa Maria valley (Figure 2 and Table 6) and determined that the following surface waters are impaired for pyrethroids pesticides based exceedances of pyrethroid criteria in sediment and in water and therefore included them along with other exceedances found in Table 1 and Table 3 in the TMDL Technical Report.

- **Bradley Channel:** Criteria for bifenthrin were exceeded in two separate sediment samples one at 312BRO and one at 312BCJ.
- **Main Street Channel:** Criteria for lambda-cyhalothrin and permethrin were exceeded in two separate sediment samples one at 312MSS and one at 312MSD.
- **Orcutt Creek:** Criteria for cyfluthrin were exceeded in three separate samples, one in the sediment and one in the water column at 312ORC and one in the water at 312GVT.
- **Santa Maria River:** Criteria for lambda-cyhalothrin were exceeded in two separate samples, one in the sediment and one in the water column at 312SMA.
- **Blosser Channel:** Criteria for bifenthrin were exceeded in two separate samples, one in the sediment and one in the water column at 312BCD.

Pyrethroid Sediment Monitoring Data and Exceedances

Staff evaluated pyrethroid sediment monitoring data for exceedances of the Central Coast Basin, Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) narrative objectives for pesticides and toxicity based on numeric sediment targets developed for the TMDL. The Basin Plan does not contain numeric objectives/criteria for pyrethroids. Therefore staff evaluated the sediment monitoring data based on two studies of pyrethroid toxicity to invertebrates in sediment (Amweg et al, 2005) (Maund et al, 2003). The assessment values are found in Table 2 and the pyrethroid sediment monitoring data and assessments are found in Table 1. The organic carbon normalized pyrethroid LC50 values were used as evaluation guidelines for the 303(d) listings in the 2010 Integrated Report (Water Boards, 2011).

Table 1 Pyrethroid concentrations in sediment. Shading indicates concentration greater than criteria (Table 2). Underlined sites and waterbodies had more than one exceedance in either sediment or water phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site and waterbody</th>
<th>Analysis Date</th>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Bifenthrin ng/g (ppb)</th>
<th>Cyfluthrin ng/g (ppb)</th>
<th>Cypermethrin ng/g (ppb)</th>
<th>Lambda-Cyhalothrin ng/g (ppb)</th>
<th>Permethrin ng/g (ppb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>312SOL</td>
<td>6/19/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312ORC</td>
<td>12/9/2008</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site and waterbody</td>
<td>Analysis Date</td>
<td>Project ID</td>
<td>Bifenthrin ng/g (ppb)</td>
<td>Cyfluthrin ng/g (ppb)</td>
<td>Cypermethrin ng/g (ppb)</td>
<td>Lambda-Cyhalothrin ng/g (ppb)</td>
<td>Permethrin ng/g (ppb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orcutt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312SMA Santa Maria</td>
<td>12/9/2008</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312SMA Santa Maria</td>
<td>6/19/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312GVS Green</td>
<td>6/19/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312GVT Green</td>
<td>6/19/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>157.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312OFC Oso Flaco Creek</td>
<td>6/19/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312OFL Oso Flaco Lake</td>
<td>12/9/2008</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312OFL (Oso Flaco Lake)</td>
<td>6/19/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312BRO Bradley</td>
<td>6/19/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>122.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312BCD Blosser</td>
<td>6/19/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312MSS Main</td>
<td>6/19/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312BCC Bradley</td>
<td>5/25/2010</td>
<td>RWB3_CC WQP</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312BCJ Bradley</td>
<td>5/25/2010</td>
<td>RWB3_CC WQP</td>
<td>216.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>286.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312GVS Green</td>
<td>5/25/2010</td>
<td>RWB3_CC WQP</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312MSS Main</td>
<td>5/25/2010</td>
<td>RWB3_CC WQP</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>266.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312OFC Oso Flaco Creek</td>
<td>5/25/2010</td>
<td>RWB3_CC WQP</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312OFN Little Oso Flaco Creek</td>
<td>5/25/2010</td>
<td>RWB3_CC WQP</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312ORC Orcutt</td>
<td>5/25/2010</td>
<td>RWB3_CC WQP</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312ORI Orcutt</td>
<td>5/25/2010</td>
<td>RWB3_CC WQP</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312SMA Santa M.</td>
<td>5/25/2010</td>
<td>RWB3_CC WQP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oso_Orcutt Project ID samples from the UC Davis Santa Maria River Watershed and Oso Flaco Creek Watershed TMDL Monitoring Study
RWB3_CCWQP samples from a Central Coast Water Quality Preservation for Irrigated Agriculture Study
Table 2 Sediment chemistry targets and evaluation guidelines. LC50 indicates median lethal concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration ng/g (ppb)</th>
<th>Concentration ug/g OC*(ppm)</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>LC50</td>
<td>(Amweg et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyfluthrin</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>LC50</td>
<td>(Amweg et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypermethrin</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>LC50</td>
<td>(Maund et al., 2002) mean value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esfenvalerate</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>LC50</td>
<td>(Amweg et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda Cyhalothrin</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>LC50</td>
<td>(Amweg et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permethrin</td>
<td>200.7</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>LC50</td>
<td>(Amweg et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Median lethal concentration (LC50) for amphipods (Hyalella azteca) organic carbon normalized concentrations (ug/g OC)

Pyrethroid Water Column Monitoring Data and Exceedances

The Basin Plan contains narrative objectives for pesticides and toxicity and does not contain numeric objectives for pyrethroids in water. Therefore staff evaluated water concentrations of pyrethroid using the TMDL targets as guidelines. The targets are based on criteria developed by UC Davis (Table 4). Pyrethroid water column monitoring data and assessments are found in Table 1. In addition to evaluating the monitoring data based on the guidelines, staff compared the data to EPA aquatic benchmarks for pyrethroids. The monitoring data water column concentrations were measured from whole water samples, which may include concentrations bound to dissolved particles in the water that are less available and toxic. In the criteria reports, UC Davis noted that the freely dissolved concentrations in water only samples maybe the best indicator of toxicity. Staff chose to use the criteria to evaluate whole water samples because the concentrations are many times above the criteria and due to the high concentrations of pyrethroids in sediment, particularly for bifenthrin in Blosser Channel.
### Table 3 Pyrethroid water column concentrations.

Shading indicates concentrations greater than UC Davis chronic criteria (Table 4). Underlined values indicate concentrations greater than the EPA Aquatic Life Benchmarks (Table 5). Bold values are below the reporting limit, but above the detection limit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site and waterbody</th>
<th>Analysis Date</th>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Bifenthrin ng/L (ppt)</th>
<th>Cyfluthrin ng/L (ppt)</th>
<th>Cypermethrin ng/L (ppt)</th>
<th>Lambda-Cyhalothrin ng/L (ppt)</th>
<th>Permethrin ng/L (ppt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>312SOL Orcutt Tributary</td>
<td>2/3/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312ORC Orcutt</td>
<td>8/27/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3125MA Santa Maria</td>
<td>2/3/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312GVS Green</td>
<td>6/12/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312GVT Orcutt</td>
<td>8/27/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312OFC Oso Flaco Creek</td>
<td>6/12/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312OFL Oso Flaco Lake</td>
<td>8/27/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312BRO Bradley Channel at River Oaks</td>
<td>6/12/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312BCD Blosser Channel</td>
<td>2/3/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312MSS Main Str.</td>
<td>8/27/2009</td>
<td>Oso_Orcutt</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oso_Orcutt Project ID samples from the UC Davis Santa Maria River Watershed and Oso Flaco Creek Watershed TMDL Monitoring Study

Staff developed water column numeric targets and evaluation guidelines based on criteria developed by UC Davis. UC Davis defines numeric criteria as “science-based values, which are intended to protect aquatic life from adverse effects of pesticides, without considerations of defined water body use, societal values, economics or other non-scientific considerations.” UC Davis developed a methodology for deriving criteria for pesticides that are not addressed by published EPA guidelines for criteria development (TenBrook et al. 2009). Criteria development was supported by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board and the goal of the UC Davis methodology is to derive a numeric criteria that meets the Central Valley Basin Plan narrative toxicity objective of maintaining water free of “toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in plant, animal, or aquatic life” (CVRWQCB 2004). The Central Valley narrative objective corresponds to the toxicity narrative objective in the Central Coast Basin Plan, which is the basis of the
TMDL targets. The criteria are based on freely dissolved concentrations in pure water but whole water samples may be assessed for compliance for additional margin of safety for the targets.

Table 4 Pyrethroid Water Column Numeric Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>UC Davis Acute Criteria ng/L (ppt)</th>
<th>UC Davis Chronic Criteria ng/L (ppt)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(Palumbo et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyfluthrin</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>(Fojut et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda-Cyhalothrin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(Fojut et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff evaluated EPA Aquatic Life Benchmarks as a suitable toxicity values for the pyrethroid pesticide TMDL aquatic targets (USEPA, 2012). EPA has authority to register pesticides under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and EPA’s Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) evaluates the environmental risks of pesticides. OPP develops aquatic life benchmarks for pesticides to assess environmental risk to aquatic invertebrates; the aquatic life benchmarks for pyrethroids are summarized in Table 5. The difference between aquatic life benchmarks and water quality criteria is that benchmarks are for specific taxa (e.g. invertebrates or fish) and aquatic criteria are more broadly protective of multiple organisms and the development process is much more complex.

Table 5 EPA Office of Pesticide Programs’ freshwater aquatic life benchmarks for pyrethroid pesticides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide</th>
<th>Invertebrates</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acute$^1$ ng/L (ppt)</td>
<td>Chronic$^2$ ng/L (ppt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyfluthrin</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypermethrin</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esfenvalerate</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda-Cyhalothrin</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permethrin</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Benchmark = Toxicity value x LOC. For acute invertebrate, toxicity value is usually the lowest 48- or 96-hour EC50 or LC50 in a standardized test (usually with midge, scud, or daphnids), and the LOC is 0.5.

$^2$Benchmark = Toxicity value x LOC. For chronic invertebrates, toxicity value is usually the lowest NOAEC from a life-cycle test with invertebrates (usually with midge, scud, or daphnids), and the LOC is 1.

LOC = Level of Concern
Pyrethroid Environmental Fate and Transport and Aquatic Bioavailability

Pyrethroid pesticides are not naturally occurring compounds and are present in the environment primarily due to applications to control insect pests on agricultural crops and to control insect pests around structures in the urban setting. Pesticides have properties that affect how they move through the environment and their availability and toxicity in the aquatic environment. A key environmental property of pyrethroids is that they are non-polar with a strong affinity to bind to soil particles and organic matter in soil and sediment (referred to as the $K_{OC}$, organic carbon – water adsorption coefficient) and have low water solubility (Palumbo et al., 2010). Due to its high $K_{OC}$, pyrethroids move from application areas in runoff attached to sediment and soil organic matter and wash into streams and channels. Once in streams pyrethroids are primarily found in sediment but they partition between sediment organic matter and the water phases. Pyrethroids eventually reach a partitioning equilibrium between the phases (Figure 1).

In the aquatic environment pyrethroids adsorption to sediments affects their bioavailability and toxicity. In stream sediments, pyrethroids degrade slowly and have a very long half-life (Amweg, et al. 2005). One study found bifenthrin to have a long half-life of 165 days in sediment (Lee et al., 2004). In the aquatic environment pyrethroids slowly reach equilibrium between dissolved and sediment-bound phases. Benthic organisms such Hyalella azteca live in close proximity to sediment and uptake freely dissolved pyrethroids that desorb from particles. Studies suggest that the freely dissolved fraction of pyrethroids is most available and toxic to benthic invertebrates and UC Davis recommends the use of the dissolved fraction of pyrethroids for compliance in the criteria reports (Palumbo et al., 2010).
Figure 1 Pyrethroid in the environment and transport pathways
Figure 2 Map of monitoring sites, cropland and streams and channels in the Santa Maria Valley
Table 6 List of monitoring sites and geographic locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>312BCD</td>
<td>Blosser Channel</td>
<td>34.9792</td>
<td>-120.4529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312BRO</td>
<td>Bradley Channel at River Oaks</td>
<td>34.9742</td>
<td>-120.4245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312MSS</td>
<td>Main Street Channel</td>
<td>34.9531</td>
<td>-120.4633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312MSD</td>
<td>Main Street Channel</td>
<td>34.9527</td>
<td>-120.4614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312GVS</td>
<td>Green Valley Ck. at Simas</td>
<td>34.9422</td>
<td>-120.5564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312GVT</td>
<td>Orcutt Ck. at Brown Road</td>
<td>34.9340</td>
<td>-120.5579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312OFC</td>
<td>Oso Flaco Ck. at OFC Road</td>
<td>35.0164</td>
<td>-120.5875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312OFL</td>
<td>Oso Flaco Lake</td>
<td>35.0304</td>
<td>-120.6211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312SOL</td>
<td>Solomon Ck. at Sand Plant</td>
<td>34.9573</td>
<td>-120.6317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312ORC</td>
<td>Orcutt Ck. at Sand Plant</td>
<td>34.9575</td>
<td>-120.6323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312SMA</td>
<td>Santa Maria River</td>
<td>34.9611</td>
<td>-120.6414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3 Monitoring sites and streams and channels in the Santa Maria City subwatershed area
Figure 4 Monitoring sites and streams in the lower Orcutt Creek and Santa Maria River subwatershed area
Appendix C-3

Figure 5 Monitoring sites and streams in the Oso Flaco subwatershed area
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