ACL COMPLAINT NO. R5-2005-0511

ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT
IN THE MATTER OF

MARINA RIDGE, LLC
CALYPSO BEACH VILLAS
CALAVERAS COUNTY

This complaint is issued to Marina Ridge, LLC (hereafter Discharger) based on a finding of violations of Clean Water Act Section 301, California Water Code (CWC) Section 13376, and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit No. CAS000002 Order No. 99-08-DWQ, pursuant to the provisions of Section 13385 of the CWC, which authorizes the imposition of an Administrative Civil Liability.

The Executive Officer of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, (Regional Board) finds, with respect to the Discharger’s acts, or failure to act, the following:

1. The Discharger is the owner and developer of Calypso Beach Villas, a 48.5-acre construction project in Calaveras County. The site is being developed into residential homes. Runoff from the site discharges to Lake Tulloch.

2. The existing beneficial uses of Lake Tulloch are agricultural supply, hydropower generation, water contact recreation, non-contact water recreation, warm fresh water habitat, and wildlife habitat. Lake Tulloch is also listed as a potential municipal and domestic water supply source.

3. On 19 August 1999, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted NPDES General Permit No. CAS000002, Order No. 99-08-DWQ, implementing the Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) for storm water discharges associated with construction activity.

4. General Order No. 99-08 DWQ requires that dischargers of storm water to surface waters associated with construction activity file a Notice of Intent (NOI) to obtain coverage under the General NPDES permit and to utilize best available technology economically achievable (BAT) and best conventional control technology (BCT) to reduce storm water pollution.

5. The Clean Water Act and California Water Code require that dischargers obtain coverage under the General Permit prior to commencement of construction activities. The Discharger had been working at the site for some time without a permit, and a Notice of Non-compliance was issued to the discharger on 29 January 2004. The Discharger obtained coverage and WDID No. 5S05C326666 on 26 March 2004.
6. The Discharger is alleged to have violated provisions of law for which the Regional Board, may impose liability under California Water Code Section 13385(c)(2).

7. General Order No. 99-08-DWQ states, in part, the following:

“A. DISCHARGE PROHIBITIONS:

******

3. Storm water discharges shall not cause or threaten to cause pollution, contamination, or nuisance.

******

“C. SPECIAL PROVISIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY:

******

2. All dischargers shall develop and implement a SWPPP in accordance with Section A: Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan. The Discharger shall implement controls to reduce pollutants in storm water discharges from their construction sites to the BAT/BCT performance standard.

******

“Section A  STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN

******

6. Erosion Control

… At a minimum, the discharger/operator must implement an effective combination of erosion and sediment control on all disturbed areas during the wet season.

******

8. Section 301 of the Clean Water Act and Section 13376 of the California Water Code prohibit the discharge of pollutants to surface waters except in compliance with an NPDES permit.

9. The following events pertain to the history of the site:

- On 15 January 2004, Board staff inspected the site for compliance with the General Permit and found evidence of discharge to Lake Tulloch in violation of the Clean Water Act and California Water Code. Regional Board staff also determined that Marina Ridge, LLC had not obtained a permit for the discharge of storm water from this site. On 29 January 2004, Regional Board staff issued a Notice of Non-compliance for the illegal discharge and failure to obtain coverage under the General NPDES permit.

- On 3 September 2004, the Discharger received a rainy season preparation reminder from Board staff that included the reminder to prevent erosion by stabilizing all disturbed soil at the site.
10. The Discharger is alleged to have violated Discharge Prohibition A.3, Special Provisions C.2 and Section A (6) of the General Permit. These violations were caused by the Discharger’s failure to properly stabilize exposed soil slopes and failure to implement and maintain effective Best Management Practices (BMPs). Pursuant to CWC Section 13385 (a)(2), civil liability may be imposed for the following violations:

- On 14 October 2004, Board staff inspected the site and observed inadequate erosion control and sediment control BMPs, tracking on the roadway, and evidence of a discharge into Lake Tulloch. The amount of sediment in the outfall area indicated a threat of pollution to Lake Tulloch in violation of Discharge Prohibition A.3 of the General Permit. The inadequate erosion control and sediment control BMPs and tracking on the roadway are violations of Special Provision C.2 and Section A (6). Regional Board staff discussed the violations with the Discharger and explained what needed to be done to come into compliance with the General Permit.

- On 19 March 2005, Regional Board staff conducted a follow-up inspection of the construction site during a light rain and prior to a predicted heavy rain event. During the inspection, staff observed inadequate erosion and sediment control BMPs at the site, poor maintenance of the BMPs, tracking on the roadways, and poor housekeeping practices in violation of Special Provision C.2 and Section A (6). Staff also observed the discharge of sediment-laden storm water to the storm drain system which discharges into Lake Tulloch, in violation of Discharge Prohibition A.3. On 22 March 2005, an NOV was issued for failure to comply with the General Permit.

- On 29 March 2005, Regional Board staff conducted another follow-up inspection of the site during a rain event. During the inspection, staff again noted the inadequate sediment and erosion control BMPs, tracking on roadways, and poor housekeeping practices throughout the production areas. Staff observed that some silt fence had been added adjacent to Lake Tulloch; however, the silt fence was improperly installed. Staff also observed a discharge of sediment laden storm water from the construction site into Lake Tulloch, in violation Discharge Prohibition A.3. The inadequate erosion control and sediment control BMPs, tracking on the roadway and poor housekeeping practices throughout the production areas are violations of Special Provision C.2 and Section A (6).

9. Section 13385 of the California Water Code states, in part:

“(a) Any person who violates any of the following shall be liable civilly in accordance with this section:

(1) Section 13375 or 13376

(2) Any waste discharge requirements or dredged and fill material permit.

*******

(5) Any requirements of Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act as amended.”
10. Pursuant to CWC Section 13385(c), the Discharger has a maximum civil liability of $1,560,000 for violating the General Permit from 14 October 2004 to 19 March 2005. The Discharger failed to install an effective balance of sediment and erosion control BMPs from 14 October 2004 to 19 March 2005 for 156 days of violations. The maximum civil liability is determined by multiplying the 156 days by $10,000 per day to obtain $1,560,000.

11. The Discharger saved approximately $60,000 by not implementing adequate erosion and sediment control BMPs, for not maintaining those BMPs that were implemented, and for not properly training site employees. This amount is based on $2500 per acre, the minimum cost to install standard erosion and sediment control measures on 24 acres. Staff estimated that at least half of the total 48.5 acre site has been disturbed, based on communication with County personnel.

12. Regional Board staff spent a total of 45 hours investigating this incident and preparing this Complaint. The total cost for staff time is $3,600 based on a rate of $80 per hour.

13. Issuance of this Administrative Civil Liability Complaint to enforce CWC Division 7, Chapter 5.5 is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000, et. seq.), in accordance with Title 14 California Code of Regulations, Enforcement Actions by Regulatory Agencies, Section 15321(a)(2).

MARINA RIDGE, LLC IS HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE

1. The Executive Officer of the Regional Board proposes that the Discharger be assessed an Administrative Civil Liability in the amount of $80,000, which includes $3,600 in staff cost and $60,000 to recover the economic benefit derived from the acts that constitute violations. The amount of the proposed liability is based on a review of the factors cited in Water Code Section 13385 and the State Water Resources Control Board’s Water Quality Enforcement Policy.

2. A hearing on this matter will be scheduled for the 4/5 August 2005 Regional Board meeting unless the Discharger agrees to waive the hearing and pay the proposed civil liability in full.
3. If a hearing in this matter is held, the Regional Board will consider whether to affirm, reject or modify the proposed Administrative Civil Liability, or whether to refer the matter to the Attorney General for recovery of judicial civil liability.

4. The Discharger may waive the right to a hearing. If you wish to waive the hearing, you must within 30 days of this complaint, sign and return the waiver to the Regional Board’s office with a check in the amount of the civil liability made payable to the “State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account”. Any waiver will not be effective until 30 days from the date of this complaint to allow interested persons to comment on this action.

THOMAS R. PINKOS, Executive Officer

______________________________
Date
WAIVER OF HEARING FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT

By signing this waiver, I affirm and acknowledge the following:

1. I am duly authorized to represent the Marina Ridge, LLC (hereinafter “Discharger”) in connection with Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R5-2005-0511 (hereinafter the “Complaint”);

2. I am informed of the right provided by California Water Code Section 13323, subdivision (b), to a hearing within ninety (90) days of issuance of the Complaint;

3. I hereby waive the Discharger’s right to a hearing before the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, within ninety (90) days of the date of issuance of the Complaint; and

4. I certify that the Discharger will remit payment for the civil liability imposed in the amount of **eighty thousand dollars ($80,000)** by check, which contains a reference to “ACL Complaint No. R5-2005-0511” and is made payable to the “State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account.”

5. I understand the payment of the above amount constitutes a settlement of violations alleged in the Complaint that will not become final until after a public comment period.

6. I understand that the Executive Officer has complete discretion to modify or terminate this settlement.

7. I understand that payment of the above amount is not a substitute for compliance with applicable laws and that continuing violations of the type alleged in the Complaint may subject the Discharger to further enforcement, including additional civil liability.

________________________________________
(Print Name and Title)

________________________________________
(Signature)

________________________________________
(Date)