This Complaint is issued to the El Dorado Irrigation District (hereafter Discharger) pursuant to California Water Code (Water Code) section 13385, which authorizes the imposition of Administrative Civil Liability, and Water Code section 13323, which authorizes the Executive Officer to issue this Complaint. This Complaint is based on findings that the Discharger violated provisions of Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Orders R5-2007-0069 and R5-2013-0003 (NPDES No. CA0078671).

The Executive Officer of the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Water Board or Board) finds the following:

1. The Discharger owns and operates a wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal system, and provides sewerage service for the community of El Dorado Hills. Treated wastewater is discharged to Carson Creek, a water of the United States and tributary to the Cosumnes River.

2. On 22 June 2007, effective 11 August 2007, the Central Valley Water Board issued WDRs Order R5-2007-0069. On 31 January 2013, effective 22 March 2013, the Board issued Order R5-2013-0003 which rescinded Order R5-2007-0069, except for enforcement purposes, and included new requirements.

3. On 18 March 2010, the Central Valley Water Board issued Time Schedule Order (TSO) R5-2010-0030. The TSO exempts the Discharger from mandatory penalties for the violation of final effluent limitations for aluminum, copper and zinc until 31 December 2012. On 4 October 2012, the Board issued amended TSO R5-2012-0030-01 to extend the compliance date for aluminum to 30 April 2013. This Complaint considers the protection from mandatory minimum penalties provided by TSOs R5-2010-0030 and R5-2010-0030-01.

4. On 7 January 2011, the Executive Officer of the Central Valley Water Board issued Administrative Civil Liability Complaint (ACLC) R5-2011-0500 for mandatory minimum penalties for effluent violations that occurred from 1 August 2009 through 30 September 2010. The Discharger paid the civil liability and the Board considers those effluent violations specifically listed in Attachment A to ACLC R5-2011-0500 to be resolved.

5. This Complaint addresses administrative civil liability for effluent violations that occurred during the period from 1 October 2010 through 30 June 2013. These violations are specifically identified in Attachment A to this Complaint as subject to mandatory minimum
penalties. Attachment A to this Complaint is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

6. On 3 July 2013, Central Valley Water Board staff sent the Discharger a draft Record of Violations. On 19 July 2013, the Discharger responded that the effluent fully complied with the limitations in Order R5-2013-0003. The Discharger further stated that there would have been no violations if Order R5-2013-0003 had been effective 31 January 2013 or earlier. However, the violations occurred during the time that the discharge was regulated by the previous order, R5-2007-0069, which contains more stringent limitations than does the current Order. The discharge did not comply with the effluent limits in place at the time of the discharge, and therefore MMPs must be assessed.

7. Water Code section 13385(h) and (i) require assessment of mandatory penalties and state, in part, the following:

Water Code section 13385(h)(1) states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of this division, and except as provided in subdivisions (j), (k), and (l), a mandatory minimum penalty of three thousand dollars ($3,000) shall be assessed for each serious violation.

Water Code section 13385(h)(2) states:

For the purposes of this section, a “serious violation” means any waste discharge that violates the effluent limitations contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements for a Group II pollutant, as specified in Appendix A to Section 123.45 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, by 20 percent or more or for a Group I pollutant, as specified in Appendix A to Section 123.45 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, by 40 percent or more.

Water Code section 13385 subdivision (i)(1) states, in part

Notwithstanding any other provision of this division, and except as provided in subdivisions (j), (k), and (l), a mandatory minimum penalty of three thousand dollars ($3,000) shall be assessed for each violation whenever the person does any of the following four or more times in any period of six consecutive months, except that the requirement to assess the mandatory minimum penalty shall not be applicable to the first three violations:

A) Violates a waste discharge requirement effluent limitation.
B) Fails to file a report pursuant to Section 13260.
C) Files an incomplete report pursuant to Section 13260.
D) Violates a toxicity effluent limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements where the waste discharge requirements do not contain pollutant-specific effluent limitations for toxic pollutants.
8. Water Code section 13323 states, in part:

Any executive officer of a regional board may issue a complaint to any person on whom administrative civil liability may be imposed pursuant to this article. The complaint shall allege the act or failure to act that constitutes a violation of law, the provision authorizing civil liability to be imposed pursuant to this article, and the proposed civil liability.

9. WDRs Order R5-2007-0069 Effluent Limitations IV.A.1.a., states, in part, the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Average Monthly</th>
<th>Average Weekly</th>
<th>Maximum Daily</th>
<th>Instantaneous Minimum</th>
<th>Instantaneous Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper, Total</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, Total</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. According to the Discharger’s self-monitoring reports, the Discharger committed two (2) serious Group II violations of the above effluent limitation contained in Order R5-2007-0069 during the period 1 October 2010 through 30 June 2013. The violations are defined as serious because measured concentration of a Group II constituent exceeded maximum prescribed levels by more than 20 percent on these occasions. The mandatory minimum penalty for these serious violations is **six thousand dollars ($6,000)**.

11. According to the Discharger’s self-monitoring reports, the Discharger committed one (1) non-serious violation of the above effluent limitation contained in Order R5-2007-0069 during the period 1 October 2010 through 30 June 2013. The non-serious violation is not subject to mandatory penalties under Water Code section 13385(i)(1) because this violation was not preceded by three or more similar violations within a six-month period.

12. The total amount of the mandatory penalties assessed for the cited effluent violations is **six thousand dollars ($6,000)**. As stated herein, a detailed list of the cited effluent violations is included in Attachment A, a part of this Complaint. This Complaint addresses administrative civil liability for the violations that are specifically identified in Attachment A as subject to mandatory minimum penalties.

13. Issuance of this Administrative Civil Liability Complaint to enforce Water Code Division 7, Chapter 5.5 is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Pub. Resources Code section 21000 et seq.), in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 15321(a)(2).

EL DORADO IRRIGATION DISTRICT IS HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:

1. The Executive Officer of the Central Valley Water Board proposes that the Discharger be assessed an Administrative Civil Liability in the amount of **six thousand dollars ($6,000)**.
2. A hearing on this matter will be conducted at the Central Valley Water Board meeting scheduled on **5/6 December 2013**, unless one of the following occurs by **8 October 2013**:

   a) The Discharger waives the hearing by completing the attached form (checking off the box next to Option #1) and returning it to the Central Valley Water Board, along with payment for the proposed civil liability of **six thousand dollars ($6,000)**; or

   b) The Central Valley Water Board agrees to postpone any necessary hearing after the Discharger requests to engage in settlement discussions by checking off the box next to Option #2 on the attached form, and returns it to the Board along with a letter describing the issues to be discussed; or

   c) The Central Valley Water Board agrees to postpone any necessary hearing after the Discharger requests a delay by checking off the box next to Option #3 on the attached form, and returns it to the Board along with a letter describing the issues to be discussed.

3. If a hearing on this matter is held, the Central Valley Water Board will consider whether to affirm, reject, or modify the proposed Administrative Civil Liability, or whether to refer the matter to the Attorney General for recovery of judicial civil liability.

4. If this matter proceeds to hearing, the Executive Officer reserves the right to amend the proposed amount of civil liability to conform to the evidence presented, including but not limited to, increasing the proposed amount to account for the costs of enforcement (including staff, legal and expert witness costs) incurred after the date of the issuance of this Complaint through completion of the hearing.

   /S/
   PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer

   10 September 2013

   DATE

Attachment A: Record of Violations
WAIVER FORM
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT

By signing this waiver, I affirm and acknowledge the following:

I am duly authorized to represent El Dorado Irrigation District (hereafter Discharger) in connection with Administrative Civil Liability Complaint R5-2013-0552 (hereafter Complaint). I am informed that California Water Code section 13323, subdivision (b), states that, “a hearing before the regional board shall be conducted within 90 days after the party has been served. The person who has been issued a complaint may waive the right to a hearing.”

☐ (OPTION 1: Check here if the Discharger waives the hearing requirement and will pay in full.)
   a. I hereby waive any right the Discharger may have to a hearing before the Central Valley Water Board.
   b. I certify that the Discharger will remit payment for the proposed civil liability in the full amount of six thousand dollars ($6,000) by check that references "ACL Complaint R5-2013-0552" made payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account. Payment must be received by the Central Valley Water Board by 8 October 2013.
   c. I understand the payment of the above amount constitutes a proposed settlement of the Complaint, and that any settlement will not become final until after a 30-day public notice and comment period. Should the Central Valley Water Board receive significant new information or comments during this comment period, the Central Valley Water Board's Executive Office may withdraw the complaint, return payment, and issue a new complaint. I also understand that approval of the settlement will result in the Discharger having waived the right to contest the allegations in the Complaint and the imposition of civil liability.
   d. I understand that payment of the above amount is not a substitute for compliance with applicable laws and that continuing violations of the type alleged in the Complaint may subject the Discharger to further enforcement, including additional civil liability.

☐ (OPTION 2: Check here if the Discharger waives the 90-day hearing requirement in order to engage in settlement discussions.) I hereby waive any right the Discharger may have to a hearing before the Central Valley Water Board within 90 days after service of the complaint, but I reserve the ability to request a hearing in the future. I certify that the Discharger will promptly engage the Central Valley Water Board Prosecution Team in settlement discussions to attempt to resolve the outstanding violation(s). By checking this box, the Discharger requests that the Central Valley Water Board delay the hearing so that the Discharger and the Prosecution Team can discuss settlement. It remains within the discretion of the Central Valley Water Board to agree to delay the hearing. Any proposed settlement is subject to the conditions described above under “Option 1.”

☐ (OPTION 3: Check here if the Discharger waives the 90-day hearing requirement in order to extend the hearing date and/or hearing deadlines. Attach a separate sheet with the amount of additional time requested and the rationale.) I hereby waive any right the Discharger may have to a hearing before the Central Valley Water Board within 90 days after service of the complaint. By checking this box, the Discharger requests that the Central Valley Water Board delay the hearing and/or hearing deadlines so that the Discharger may have additional time to prepare for the hearing. It remains within the discretion of the Central Valley Water Board to approve the extension.

(Print Name and Title)

(Signature)

(Date)
ATTACHMENT A
ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT R5-2013-0552

El Dorado Irrigation District
El Dorado Hills Wastewater Treatment Plant

RECORD OF VIOLATIONS (1 October 2010 – 30 June 2013) MANDATORY PENALTIES
(Data reported under Monitoring and Reporting Programs R5-2007-0069 and R5-2013-0003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Violation Type</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 31-Jan-13</td>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 28-Feb-13</td>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 28-Feb-13</td>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:
1. Serious Violation: For Group I pollutants that exceed the effluent limitation by 40 percent or more.
2. Serious Violation: For Group II pollutants that exceed the effluent limitation by 20 percent or more.
3. Non-serious violation falls within the first three violations in a six-month period, thus is exempt.
4. Non-serious violation subject to mandatory minimum penalties.

VIOLATIONS AS OF: 06/30/2013
- Group I Serious Violations: 0
- Group II Serious Violations: 2
- Non-Serious Violations Exempt from MMPs: 1
- Non-serious Violations Subject to MMPs: 0
- Total Violations Subject to MMPs: 2

Mandatory Minimum Penalty = (2 Serious Violations + 0 Non-Serious Violations) x $3,000 = $6,000