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TRANSMITTAL OF ADOPTED ORDER FOR GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS, INC., FRESNO WINERY, FRESNO COUNTY

Enclosed is an official copy of Order No. R5-2012-0076, as adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, at its 3 August 2012 meeting.

Within about a week, an official copy of the above Order will be posted on the Regional Board’s website at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/board_decisions/adopted_orders/

If you have any questions, please contact Katie Carpenter at (559) 445-5551 or via email at kcarpenter@waterboards.ca.gov.

W. DALE HARVEY  
Senior WRC Engineer  
RCE No. 55628

Enclosures: Order No. R5-2012-0076 (Discharger Only)  
Standard Provisions

cc: see next page
cc: Mr. Patrick Pulupa, Office of Chief Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento (via email)
    Mr. David Coupe, Office of Chief Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento (via email)
    California Department of Public Health, Office of Drinking Water, Fresno
    California Department of Fish and Game, Region IV, Fresno
    California Department of Water Resources, San Joaquin District, Fresno
    Fresno County Environmental Health Department, Fresno
    Fresno County Department of Public Works and Planning, Fresno
    Mr. Michael Donich, Fresno Winery Plant Manager, Fresno
    Mr. Buddy Masuda, Fresno Winery Operations Manager, Fresno
    Mr. Stephen Hogg, Wastewater Treatment Manager, City of Fresno
The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, (hereafter Central Valley Water Board), finds that:

1. Golden State Vintners, Inc., a California based corporation (hereafter GSV or Discharger), operates a Winery at 7409 West Central Avenue in Fresno County. The Winery is in Sections 30 and 31, T14 South, R19 East, MDB&M, as shown on Attachment A, which is attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference.

2. The Winery, which was constructed in 1977, operates year round. The discharge of winery process wastewater is currently regulated by Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order 95-156, which authorizes an average daily discharge of 0.167 million gallons per day (mgd) for the crush season (June - December) and 0.065 mgd for the non-crush season (January - May) to 630 acres of vineyards.

3. In May 2007, GSV submitted a Report of Waste Discharge (RWD) to address increased flows and growth of Winery operations due to expansion of its tank farm and the increasing demand for California wines. Supplemental information to address future growth of the Winery was submitted in May 2012. The RWD and supplemental information propose the following to accommodate changes in the Winery’s operation and current and projected flow increases:

   a. Increase the average daily discharge to 0.45 mgd for the months of August through January (crush season) and to 0.175 mgd for the months of February through July (non-crush season); and

   b. Increase the available land application area by 270 acres, bringing the total available land application area to 900 acres to accommodate the higher flows.

In addition, the Discharger has reconfigured its treatment system to add a screen to remove solids from the wastewater and bypassed the wastewater holding pond to tie directly into the irrigation system. Wastewater discharge to the holding pond was discontinued in October 2010. All wastewater lines from the Winery to the pond have been capped. The pond has the ability to receive Fresno Irrigation District water.
4. Update of Order 95-156 is needed to reflect changes and expansion of the Winery’s operation and discharge, and to ensure that the discharge is consistent with the Regional Water Board’s plans and policies.

**Wastewater**

5. Winery process wastewater is a combined waste stream comprised of ion exchange waste, cooling water, tank and equipment wash water, and boiler blowdown. The Winery does not distill and does not discharge stillage waste.

6. Wastewater is collected and drains into a concrete sump. A mechanical screen is used to separate pomace and other solids from the wastewater. Pomace and other solids removed from the waste stream are then collected in storage bins and hauled off-site by an outside contractor for use as a soil amendment.

7. The chemical makeup of the wastewater varies over the year depending on operations at the Winery. Sampling data shows that the discharge contains high concentrations of Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), nitrogen, and dissolved solids during the crush season, and relatively minor concentrations of these constituents during the rest of the year. The table below presents a summary of wastewater data in the record for samples collected from 2007 through 2011:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>std.</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity (EC)</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>1,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Dissolved Solids (FDS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Domestic wastewater from the Winery is discharged separately to a septic tank/leachfield system regulated by Fresno County.

**Disposal and Reuse**

9. The Discharger uses its winery process wastewater on adjacent farmland (Use Area) for irrigation of crops. The 900 acre Use Area immediately west of the Winery consists of a wine grape vineyard owned by the Discharger. The Use Area is bounded by Central Avenue to the north, West Lawn Avenue to the west, the west side of the Winery to the east, and American Avenue to the south. The Winery and Use Area
include several parcels (Assessor’s Parcel Numbers 035-040-002, 005, 006, 007, 008, and 020; 327-040-016, and 037; and 327-040-015, 018, 019, and 038).

10. After treatment, the wastewater is pumped directly into the irrigation system. According to the Discharger, the winery wastewater is blended with irrigation water at approximately a 4:1 ratio (four parts irrigation water to one part wastewater) and spread between the vineyard rows via flood irrigation. Supplemental irrigation water to meet crop demand is supplied via drip irrigation.

11. Based on information obtained from the Western Fertilizer Handbook, 9th edition, grapes take up about 125 lbs/acre/year of nitrogen. The average total nitrogen concentration in the wastewater is about 28 mg/L. With an estimated annual nitrogen load of about 30 lbs/acre/year (based on the average total nitrogen concentration of the discharge and a maximum total discharge of 115 million gallons, to the 900-acre Use Area), the discharge would account for about 25% of the crops nitrogen requirement. This Order requires the Discharger to prepare and implement a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan, and to monitor effluent nitrogen and nitrogen loading rates for wastewater and any additional fertilizers to ensure agronomic loading rates will be maintained.

12. According to the RWD, winery operations will occur year round. By discontinuing discharge to the holding pond, the Discharger no longer has the capacity to store wastewater during periods of wet weather. The Water Balance submitted with the RWD addresses the need to continue discharge during wet weather by increasing the daily application area to minimize the potential to cause oversaturated conditions. The RWD concludes that expansion of the daily application area during wet weather will result in an insignificant increase in hydraulic loading rates. This Order proscribes irrigation with treated wastewater in a manner that would cause runoff onto adjacent properties, ponding for greater than 24 hours, or exceedance of agronomic application rates and requires the Discharger to submit a technical report including a revised water balance to provide an appropriate plan to accommodate allowable wastewater flow and seasonal precipitation with a time schedule to provide adequate wet weather storage, if required.

Other Considerations for Food Processing Waste

13. Excessive application of food processing wastewater to land can create objectionable odors, soil conditions that are harmful to crops, and degradation of underlying groundwater by overloading the soil profile and causing waste constituents (i.e., organic carbon, nitrates, other salts, and metals) to percolate below the root zone. It is reasonable to expect some attenuation of various waste constituents that percolate below the root zone within the vadose (unsaturated) zone. Specifically, excess nitrogen can be mineralized and denitrified by soil microorganisms, organic constituents (measured as both BOD and volatile dissolved solids) can be oxidized,
and the cation exchange capacity of the soil may immobilize some salinity constituents.

14. Irrigation with high strength wastewater can result in high BOD loading on the day of application. If the rate of oxygen transfer into the soil is not adequate, anaerobic and/or reducing conditions may result and cause nuisance conditions. In addition, anaerobic conditions in soil can cause dissolution and leaching of metals. Loading of BOD will be limited to prevent nuisance conditions. The maximum BOD loading rate that can be applied to land without creating nuisance conditions can vary significantly depending on the operation of the land application system. Pollution Abatement in the Fruit and Vegetable Industry, published by the United States Environmental protection Agency (USEPA Publication 625/3-77-0007), cites BOD loading rates for irrigation purposes in the range of 36 to 100 lbs/acre/day, but indicates that loading rates can be even higher under certain conditions. The Manual of Good Practice for Land Application of Food Processing/Rinse Water, a report commissioned by the California League of Food Processors, suggests organic loading less than 50 lbs BOD/acre/day (given even distribution) is de minimis and indistinguishable from common agronomic conditions. The manual categorizes this loading rate with the lowest Risk Category of 1.

15. At the proposed flow rates, the average BOD loading to the Use Area, on the day of application (assuming a hydraulic loading of approximately 6 inches per acre with four parts irrigation water to one part wastewater), would be between 200 and 525 lbs/acre. With a minimum resting period of 30 days between applications, the cycle average BOD loading rate would be between 6 and 20 lbs/acre/day. At these loading rates the discharge will be below those intended to prevent nuisance conditions and described for Risk Category 1.

16. Food processing wastewater may also contain elevated concentrations of TDS resulting from the fruit and vegetable products or materials used for production. Typically a percentage of the TDS is organic, which will generally decompose into its component elements and can be utilized by plants and microorganisms in the soil. In contrast the FDS is that portion of the TDS which consists of inorganic constituents which can accumulate in the soil. Excessive salt is then leached to groundwater where it can degrade groundwater quality. Growing and harvesting crops provides a means to remove some of these constituents, particularly calcium, magnesium, potassium, phosphorus, nitrate, and ammonia.

17. A comparison of the average TDS concentration of the discharge of 1,220 mg/L to the FDS concentration of 420 mg/L shows an average ratio of organic to inorganic materials in the waste stream of about 3:1. Assuming the average FDS concentration of 420 mg/L, the maximum annual inorganic salt load to the Use Area from the process wastewater at 115 million gallons would be about 450 lbs/acre/year.
Site-Specific Conditions

18. The Winery and Use Area are in an arid climate characterized by hot dry summers and mild winters. The rainy season generally extends from November through April. Occasional rains occur during the spring and fall months, but summer months are dry. Average annual precipitation and evaporation (Class “A” pan) in the area is about 11.23 inches and 62 inches, according to information published by the Western Regional Climate Center for Fresno. The California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS) database reports an annual average potential evapotranspiration (ETo) of 57.3 inches for the Fresno area.

19. United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey maps characterize approximately the top six feet of soil. Soils in the Use Area are El Peco fine sandy loam, Fresno fine sandy loam, and Pachappa loam. These soils are described as well drained with a moderate to very low water holding capacity. The land capability classifications for the El Peco fine sandy loam, Fresno fine sandy loam, and Pachappa loam are IV-s, III-s, and II-s, respectively. The duripan (hardpan) layer at depths of 20 to 40 inches, and the low water holding capacity in the El Peco and Fresno fine sandy loams, can affect the feasibility of irrigated agriculture. These soils pose no other significant use restrictions.

20. Land use in the vicinity is primarily agricultural and rural residential. According to a 2000 land use survey from the Department of Water Resources, primary crops grown in the area include grapes, alfalfa, cotton, corn, and almonds. Irrigation water is supplied primarily by groundwater. One mile north of the Winery and Use Area is the Fresno-Clovis Metropolitan Regional Wastewater Treatment Facility (Fresno-Clovis WWTF) and its percolation ponds. The area surrounding the Winery and Use Area is agricultural farmland. Many of the surrounding parcels use recycled water from the Fresno-Clovis WWTF to supplement irrigation water.

21. In the past the Discharger has utilized undisinfected secondary recycled water from the Fresno-Clovis WWTF in accordance with Title 22 for irrigation of crops within the Use Area. This practice ceased due to recommendations made by the California Department of Public Health (DPH) in a 2003 memo that recommended recycled water meet minimum requirements of disinfected secondary-2.2 for irrigation of vineyards and orchards. The Discharger has stated its intention to use recycled water in the future for irrigation of its grape vineyards, once the Fresno-Clovis WWTF completes upgrades to provide an appropriate level of treatment and disinfection. Prior to initiating the use of recycled water for irrigation within the Use Area, the Discharger will need to submit to the Central Valley Water Board a Title 22 Engineering Report with an approval letter from DPH for its proposed recycled water use. It will also need to submit a Report of Water Reclamation that accounts for the use of recycled water in
addition to the winery wastewater within the Use Area, including monthly and annual loading calculations.

22. According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) maps the Winery and Use Area lie outside of the 100-year flood zone.

23. The Discharger is not required to obtain coverage under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Industrial Storm Water Permit since all storm water runoff at the Winery and in the Use Area is reportedly retained on-site and does not discharge into a water of the US.

**Groundwater Considerations**

24. Regional groundwater underlying the area is first encountered at about 60 feet below ground surface (bgs) and flows to the south-southwest, according to Lines of Equal Elevation of Water in Wells, Unconfined Aquifer, published by DWR in Spring 2009.

25. The Discharger does not have a monitoring well network in place to monitor groundwater beneath the Use Area. However, the Fresno-Clovis WWTF’s groundwater monitoring well network covers the area around the Use Area. From the Fresno-Clovis WWTF monitoring reports, groundwater in the vicinity of the Use Area is first encountered at about 75 to 116 feet bgs. Groundwater flow is to the south-southwest, making the WWTF’s ponds approximately 4,000 feet up-gradient of the Use Area. Some mounding is observed up-gradient of the site in the vicinity of the WWTF’s ponds.

26. Groundwater quality up-gradient of the Fresno-Clovis WWTF is relatively good with EC and TDS concentrations of about 300 umhos/cm and 220 mg/L, respectively, and nitrate (as N) ranging from 4 to 8 mg/L.

27. It appears that groundwater down-gradient of the WWTF, but immediately up-gradient of the Use Area, has been degraded, likely a result of WWTF operations, with average EC and TDS concentrations of about 800 umhos/cm and 500 mg/L, respectively. In addition, the monitoring wells immediately down-gradient of the WWTF show some evidence of reducing conditions with manganese concentrations in excess of the secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (MCLs) of 0.05 mg/L.

28. Groundwater monitoring by the Fresno-Clovis WWTF shows increasing salinity down-gradient of the Use Area with EC and TDS concentrations of about 1,000 umhos/cm and 700 mg/L, respectively. However, the only monitoring well situated down-gradient of the Use Area is approximately 3,000 feet south from the southern boundary of the Use Area, and it is unclear if this monitoring well truly reflects groundwater conditions associated with the discharge of winery wastewater.
29. This Order includes a Provision requiring the Discharger to install a monitoring well network to monitor groundwater within and immediately down-gradient of the Use Area as part of its Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP).

Basin Plan, Beneficial Uses, and Water Quality Objectives


31. The Winery and Use Area are within the Fresno Hydrologic Area (No. 551.30) of the Kings River Basin, as depicted on interagency hydrologic maps prepared by the State Water Resources Control Board and the Department of Water Resources, revised in August 1986. The Basin Plan identifies the beneficial uses of groundwater as municipal and domestic supply, agricultural supply, and industrial service and industrial process supply.

32. Surface water drainage is to the Fresno Irrigation District’s (FID) Central Wasteway, within the South Valley Hydrologic Unit. The Central Wasteway is hydraulically connected to the Fresno Slough and during periods of heavy rain or snowmelt may drain to the San Joaquin River, both waters of the US. The Fresno Slough is a Valley Floor Water, and according to the Basin Plan designated beneficial uses of Valley Floor Waters are agricultural supply; industrial service and process supply; water contact and non-contact recreation; warm freshwater, wildlife, and rare, threatened, or endangered species habitat; and groundwater recharge.

33. The Basin Plan establishes narrative water quality objectives for Chemical Constituents, Taste and Odors, and Toxicity. The Toxicity objective, in summary, requires that groundwater be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life associated with designated beneficial uses. Quantifying a narrative water quality objective requires a site-specific evaluation of those constituents that have the potential to impact water quality and beneficial uses.

34. The Basin Plan Chemical Constituents water quality objective requires, at a minimum, waters designated as domestic or municipal supply to meet the MCLs specified in Title 22 of CCR. The Basin Plan recognizes that the Central Valley Water Board may apply limits more stringent than MCLs to ensure that waters do not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.
35. The Basin Plan identifies the greatest long-term problem facing the entire Tulare Lake Basin as the increase in salinity in groundwater, which has accelerated due to the intensive use of soil and water resources by irrigated agriculture. The Basin Plan recognizes that degradation is unavoidable until there is a long-term solution to the salt imbalance. Until then, the Basin Plan establishes several salt management requirements, including:

   a. The incremental increase in salt from use and treatment must be controlled to the extent possible. The maximum EC of the effluent discharged to land shall not exceed the EC of the source water plus 500 umhos/cm. When the source water is from more than one source, the EC shall be a weighted average of all sources.

   b. For municipal discharges to areas that may recharge good quality groundwater, the Basin Plan states that they shall not exceed an EC of 1,000 umhos/cm, a chloride content of 175 mg/L, or boron content of 1.0 mg/L. The Basin Plan also states that “generally”, the effluent limits established for municipal discharges will apply to industrial wastes.

36. The Basin Plan allows exceptions to the EC limit of source water plus 500 umhos/cm where the discharge exhibits a disproportionate increase in EC over the EC of the source water due to unavoidable concentrations of organic dissolved solids from the raw food product, provided water quality objectives are met. With an average TDS concentration of 1,220 mg/L and an average FDS concentration of 420 mg/L, the discharge meets the Basin Plan exception. Additionally, given that the discharge meets the incremental EC limit exception, the organic contributors to the effluent EC will break down in the soil, and the overall salt load due to the discharge is low (e.g., 450 lbs/acre/year), it is unnecessary to “generally” apply the Basin Plan effluent EC limit cap of 1,000 umhos/cm.

37. The Basin Plan encourages the reuse of wastewater and identifies crop irrigation as a reuse option where the opportunity exists to replace an existing or proposed use of fresh water with recycled water, provided beneficial uses are maintained.

38. Groundwater Limitations are set at the naturally occurring background water quality or applicable limits based on the following:

   a. Beneficial uses of Groundwater in the area include MUN. The Title 22 Primary MCL for nitrate as nitrogen (NO$_3$-N) is 10 mg/L. Therefore, the Groundwater Limitation for NO$_3$-N in this Order is set at 10 mg/L.

   b. Waste Discharge Requirements for the Fresno-Clovis WWTF up-gradient of the site allows for some degradation and sets a groundwater limit for EC of
990 umhos/cm. To allow for some degradation and still protect beneficial uses for agricultural and municipal supply this Order sets a Groundwater Limitation for EC of 1,000 umhos/cm.

According to the *Western Fertilizer Handbook, 9th edition*, grapes, which are the most salt sensitive crops currently grown in the area can handle irrigation waters with and EC of up to 1,000 umhos/cm without a significant drop in yield. Further, this EC limit is within the range of secondary MCLs of 900 and 1,600 umhos/cm consistent with beneficial uses for municipal and domestic supply.

With an average effluent EC of 768 umhos/cm, the EC of the discharge is less than underlying groundwater or the 1,000 umhos/cm EC Groundwater Limitation and will not unreasonably threaten present and anticipated beneficial uses.

c. Consistent with the Basin Plan, as described in Finding 34, this Order limits the chemical constituent concentrations in groundwater to, at a minimum, the MCLs specified in Title 22.

d. Also consistent with the Basin Plan, this Order prohibits the discharge from causing or contributing to groundwater containing taste or odor-producing constituents, toxic substances, or any other constituents in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

**Antidegradation Analysis**

39. State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16, the *Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality Water of the State* (the “Antidegradation Policy”), prohibits the Board from permitting the degradation of groundwater unless it has been shown that:

a. The degradation does not result in water quality less than that prescribed in state and regional policies, including violation of one or more water quality objectives;

b. The degradation will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated future beneficial uses;

c. The Discharger employs Best Practicable Treatment or Control (BPTC) to minimize degradation; and

d. The degradation is consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the state.
40. Constituents of concern in the discharge (those with the greatest potential to affect beneficial uses of receiving water) include organics, nutrients, and salts.

   a. For organics, the average cycle BOD loading rate to the Use Area at the proposed flow rates would be between 6 and 20 lbs/acre/day. This is significantly below the USEPA recommended application rate to avoid nuisance conditions of 100 lbs BOD/acre/day (Publication No. 625/3-77-007, Pollution Abatement in the Fruit and Vegetable Industry). This loading rate is also below the loading rate of 50 lbs/acre/day established in the California League of Food Processors Manual of Good Practices for Risk Category 1 as that which poses a de minimis risk to groundwater indistinguishable from regular farming practices. At the estimated loading rates, the discharge is not expected to cause groundwater degradation or nuisance conditions due to organic overloading.

   b. For nitrogen, the annual nitrogen load to the Use Area would be about 30 lbs/acre/year. With a nitrogen uptake of 125 lbs/acre/year for grapes, it is estimated that the discharge would contribute about 25% of the nitrogen requirement of the crop. With proper management of the wastewater and the proper application of additional fertilizers, the discharge should not cause degradation of groundwater for nitrates.

   c. For salinity, with an average EC of 768 umhos/cm, the EC of the discharge is below the recommended secondary MCL for EC of 900 umhos/cm and is less than underlying groundwater up-gradient of the Use Area. Thus the discharge, if properly managed, should not contribute significantly to salinity in groundwater.

As discussed previously, under Groundwater Conditions, groundwater monitoring down-gradient of the Use Area shows an increase in EC levels and TDS concentrations in groundwater. Due to the location of the monitoring well (approximately 3,000 feet from the boundary of the Use Area) it is unclear if this monitoring well truly reflects groundwater conditions associated with the discharge of the winery wastewater, change due to irrigated agriculture, or natural mineralization. To ensure the ongoing quality of the effluent with respect to salinity, this Order requires the Discharger to prepare and implement a Salinity Control Plan. It also requires the Discharger to establish a groundwater monitoring network to monitor groundwater within and immediately down-gradient of the Use Area. In addition, the Order also requires the Discharger to monitor the effluent for salinity constituents and loading rates.
Treatment and Control Practices

41. The Discharger will provide treatment and control of the discharge that incorporates:
   
a. Use of cleaning chemicals according to labeled instructions;
   
b. Screening and removal of solids prior to discharge to the Use Area;
   
c. Removal of solids off-site by an outside contractor for use as soil amendment or feed supplement;
   
d. Reuse of wastewater for crop irrigation, and application of wastewater at agronomic rates;
   
e. Preparation and implementation of a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan and a Salinity Control Plan, as required by this Order; and
   
f. Source water and discharge monitoring required by Monitoring and Reporting Program R5-2012-0076, a part of this Order.

42. The treatment and control measures described in Finding 41 above, represents a level of water quality protection comparable to industry standards and similar facilities in the Central Valley, and the Board finds that these treatment and control measures represent BPTC for the discharges regulated herein.

Antidegradation Conclusions

43. This Order establishes terms and conditions to ensure that the discharge does not unreasonably affect present and anticipated future beneficial uses of groundwater or result in groundwater quality worse than the water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan.

44. The Discharger aids in the economic prosperity of the region by direct employment of about 43 full time and 63 seasonal personal, and support for local businesses and the community through purchase of equipment and supplies, support of local farmers and trucking operations, as well as providing a tax base for local and county governments. Economic prosperity of valley communities and associated industry is of maximum benefit to the people of the State and, therefore, provides sufficient reason to allow limited groundwater degradation to occur. In addition, the use of process wastewater for irrigation in place of high quality groundwater will preserve a needed resource, which is of further benefit to people of the State.

45. These WDRs are consistent with the Antidegradation Policy since: (a) GSV has implemented BPTC to minimize degradation, (b) the limited degradation allowed by this Order will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated future beneficial uses of
groundwater, or result in water quality less than water quality objectives, and (c) the limited degradation is of maximum benefit to people of the State.

CEQA

46. On 26 May 1977, Fresno County, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000, et, seq.) and the State CEQA guidelines (Title 14, Division 6, California Code of Regulations, as amended) adopted a Negative Declaration in conjunction with a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for commercial operation of a bulk winery at the site and discharge of winery wastewater to the adjacent vineyard.

47. On 26 June 2008, Fresno County adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration for expansion of Winery operations, the tank farm, and Use Area. The Mitigated Negative Declaration concluded that the increased discharge of winery process wastewater would be compensated by the expansion of the Use Area, and therefore, would have a less than significant impact on water quality provided the applicant complies with the provisions of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board including submittal of a Report of Waste Discharge for expanded operations at the Winery. Staff with the Central Valley Water Board reviewed and concurred with the findings in the 2008 Mitigated Negative Declaration.

This Order includes specific conditions intended to mitigate or avoid environmental effects on water quality. Specifically, this Order:

a. Sets limits for flow and BOD loading;
b. Establishes groundwater limits;
c. Establishes a monitoring and reporting program;
d. Requires the Discharger to prepare a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan to ensure application of wastewater at agronomic rates; and
e. Requires the Discharger to prepare a Salinity Control Plan and manage salt loading to the Use Area.

Designated Waste and Title 27

48. California Code of Regulations, title 27 (hereafter Title 27) contains regulatory requirements for the treatment, storage, processing, and disposal of waste, which includes designated waste, as defined by Water Code section 13173. However, Title 27 exempts certain activities from its provisions. Discharges regulated by this Order are exempt from Title 27 pursuant to a provision that exempts wastewater under specific conditions. This exemption, found at Title 27, section 20090, is described below:
(b) Wastewater – Discharges of wastewater to land, including but not limited to evaporation ponds, percolation ponds, or subsurface leachfields if the following conditions are met:

(1) The applicable regional water quality control board has issued WDRs, reclamation requirements, or waived such issuance;

(2) The discharge is in compliance with applicable water quality control plan; and

(3) The wastewater does not need to be managed according to Chapter 11, Division 4.5, Title 22 of this code as a hazardous waste.

49. The discharge authorized herein is exempt from the requirements of Title 27 in accordance with Title 27, section 20090(b) because:

a. The Central Valley Water Board is issuing WDRs.

b. The discharge is in compliance with the Basin Plan, and;

c. The treated effluent discharged to the Use Area does not need to be managed as hazardous waste.

In addition, the reuse of treated process wastewater for irrigation as authorized by this Order is exempt from Title 27 under section 20090(h) for Reuse, since the wastewater is treated to make it suitable for direct beneficial reuse and is discharged in a manner consistent with crop requirements. This Order sets terms and conditions of discharge including discharge specifications and monitoring to ensure that the discharge will not impact present and anticipated beneficial uses of groundwater.

Other Regulatory Considerations

50. The annual fee for the discharge is based on a Threat to Water Quality rating of 2 and Complexity of B (CCR, title 23, § 2200.). The Threat rating is based on the potential of the discharge to degrade groundwater beyond water quality objectives protective of beneficial uses. The Complexity rating is based on the use of screening to remove solids and reuse of the wastewater, which are forms of physical and biological treatment that add complexity to staff assessment.

General Findings

51. Pursuant to Water Code section 13263(g), discharge is a privilege, not a right, and adoption of this Order does not create a vested right to continue the discharge.

52. Water Code section 13267(b) states that:

In conducting an investigation specified in subdivision (a), the Central Valley Water Board may require that any person who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge waste within its region, or any
citizen or domiciliary, or political agency or entity of this state who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge, waste outside of its region that could affect the quality of waters within its region shall furnish, under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the Central Valley Water Board requires. The burden, including costs, of these reports shall bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the report and the benefits to be obtained from the reports. In requiring those reports, the Central Valley Water Board shall provide the person with a written explanation with regard to the need for the reports, and shall identify the evidence that supports requiring that person to provide the reports.

53. The technical reports required by this Order and monitoring reports required by the attached MRP R5-2012-0076 are necessary to assure compliance with these waste discharge requirements. The Discharger operates the Winery that discharges the waste subject to this Order.

54. The DWR sets standards for the construction and destruction of groundwater wells, as described in California Well Standards Bulletin 74-90 (June 1991) and Water Well Standards: State of California Bulletin 94-81 (December 1981). These standards, and any more stringent standards adopted by the State or county pursuant to Water Code section 13801, apply to all monitoring wells.

55. All the above and the supplemental information and details in the attached Information Sheet, which is incorporated by reference herein, were considered in establishing the conditions of discharge in this Order.

Public Notice

56. The Discharger and interested agencies and persons have been notified of the intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for this discharge, and they have been provided an opportunity for a public hearing and an opportunity to submit their written views and recommendations.

57. All comments pertaining to the discharge were heard and considered in a public meeting.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, Waste Discharge Requirements Order 95-156 is rescinded and that, pursuant to sections 13263 and 13267 of the Water Code, Golden State Vintners, Inc., its agents, successors, and assigns, in order to meet the provisions contained in Division 7 of the Water Code and regulations adopted thereunder, shall comply with the following:
A. Prohibitions

1. Discharge of waste, including storm water containing waste, to surface waters or surface water drainage courses is prohibited.


3. Discharge of waste classified as ‘hazardous’, as defined in section 2521(a) of title 23, CCR, section 2510 et seq., is prohibited. Discharge of waste classified as ‘designated’, as defined in Water Code section 13173, in a manner that causes violation of groundwater limitations, is prohibited.

4. Discharge of wastewater in a manner or location other than that described herein is prohibited.

5. Storage of solids on areas without means to prevent leachate generation and infiltration into the ground is prohibited.

B. Discharge Specifications

1. The monthly average daily discharge shall not exceed 175,000 gallons per day (gpd) for the months of February through July (non-crush season) and 450,000 gallons per day (gpd) for the months of August through January (crush season).

2. No waste constituent shall be released, discharged, or placed where it will be released or discharged, in a concentration or in a mass that causes violation of Groundwater Limitations of this Order.

3. Wastewater treatment, storage, and disposal shall not cause pollution or a nuisance as defined by Water Code section 13050.

4. The discharge shall remain within the permitted waste treatment/containment structures and land application areas at all times.

5. The Discharger shall operate all systems and equipment to optimize the quality of the discharge.

6. Objectionable odors shall not be perceivable beyond the limits of the Winery or Use Area at an intensity that creates or threatens to create nuisance conditions.
7. All conveyance, treatment, storage, and disposal units shall be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to prevent inundation or washout due to floods with a 100-year return frequency.

8. The Winery shall have sufficient treatment, storage, and disposal capacity to accommodate allowable wastewater flow and design precipitation. Design seasonal precipitation shall be based on total annual precipitation using a return period of 100 years, distributed monthly in accordance with historical rainfall patterns.

9. No physical connection shall exist between any wastewater piping and any domestic water supply, or domestic well, or between wastewater piping and any irrigation well that does not have an air gap or reduced pressure principle devise.

C. Use Area Specifications

1. For the purpose of this Order, “Use Area” means an area with defined boundaries where wastewater is used or discharged.

2. The perimeter of the Use Area shall be graded to prevent ponding along public roads or other public areas and prevent runoff or overspray onto adjacent properties not owned or controlled by the Discharger.

3. Average BOD loading to the Use Area shall not exceed 100 lbs/acre/day, both long term and over the course of any discharge cycle (i.e., the time between successive applications).

4. The Discharger shall maximize the use of available land application areas to minimize waste constituent loading rates.

5. Hydraulic loading of wastewater and irrigation water to the Use Area shall be at reasonable agronomic rates designed to minimize the percolation of waste constituents below the root zone (i.e., deep percolation).

6. Application of waste constituents shall be at reasonable agronomic rates to preclude creation of nuisance and degradation of groundwater, considering the crop, soil, climate, and irrigation management. The annual nutrient loading to the Use Area, including the organic and chemical fertilizers and the wastewater, shall not exceed the annual agronomic rate for the crop.

7. Wastewater shall not be discharged to the Use Area in a manner that causes wastewater to stand for greater than 24 hours.
8. The resulting effect of the discharge on soil pH shall not exceed the buffering capacity of the soil profile.

9. The Use Area shall be managed to prevent breeding of mosquitoes. More specifically:
   a. All applied irrigation water must infiltrate completely within 24-hours;
   b. Ditches not serving as wildlife habitat shall be maintained free of emergent, marginal, and floating vegetation; and
   c. Low-pressure and unpressurized pipelines and ditches accessible to mosquitoes shall not be used to store recycled water.

D. Solids Specifications

1. Any handling and storage of residual solids on property of the Discharger shall be temporary, and controlled and contained in a manner that minimizes leachate formation and precludes infiltration of waste constituents into soils in a mass or concentration that will violate the groundwater limitations of this Order.

2. Collected screenings and other solids removed from the liquid waste shall be disposed of in a manner approved by the Executive Officer and consistent with Title 27. Removal for further treatment, disposal, or reuse at sites (i.e., landfill, rendering plants, composting sites, soil amendment sites) operated in accordance with valid waste discharge requirements adopted by a regional water quality control board will satisfy this specification.

3. Any proposed change in solids use or disposal practice shall be reported in writing to the Executive Officer at least 90 days in advance of the change.

E. Groundwater Limitations

1. Release of waste constituents from any treatment, reuse, or storage component associated with the discharge shall not cause or contribute to groundwater:
   a. Containing constituent concentrations in excess of the concentrations specified below or natural background quality, whichever is greater:
      (i) Nitrate (as N) of 10 mg/L.
      (ii) Electrical Conductivity of 1,000 umhos/cm.
      (iii) For constituents identified in Title 22, the MCLs quantified therein.
b. Containing taste or odor-producing constituents, toxic substances, or any other chemical constituents in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

F. Provisions

1. The Discharger shall comply with the Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements, dated 1 March 1991 (Standard Provisions), which are part of this Order.

2. The Discharger shall comply with MRP R5-2012-0076, which is part of this Order, and any revisions thereto as adopted by the Central Valley Water Board or approved by the Executive Officer.

3. The Discharger shall report promptly to the Central Valley Water Board any material change or proposed change in the character, location, or volume of the discharge.

4. In the event of any change in control or ownership of land or waste treatment and storage facilities presently owned or controlled by the Discharger, the Discharger shall notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, a copy of which shall be immediately forwarded to the appropriate Central Valley Water Board office (currently, the Fresno office).

5. To assume operation under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity's full legal name, the state of incorporation if a corporation, the address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Central Valley Water Board and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory paragraph of Standard Provision B.3 and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the California Water Code. If approved by the Executive Officer, the transfer request will be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board for its consideration of transferring the ownership of this Order at one of its regularly scheduled meetings.

6. The Discharger shall keep at the Winery a copy of this Order, including its MRP, Information Sheet, attachments, and Standard Provisions, for reference by operating personnel. Key operating personnel shall be familiar with its contents.

7. The Discharger must comply with all conditions of this Order, including timely submittal of technical and monitoring reports as directed by the Executive Officer. Accordingly, the Discharger shall submit to the Central Valley Water Board on or before each report due date the specified document or, if an action is specified, a written report detailing
evidence of compliance with the date and task. If noncompliance is being reported, the reasons for such noncompliance shall be stated, plus an estimate of the date when the Discharger will be in compliance. The Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board by letter when it returns to compliance with the time schedule. Violations may result in enforcement action, including Central Valley Water Board or court orders requiring corrective action or imposing civil monetary liability, or in revision or rescission of this Order.

8. The Discharger must at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the Discharger to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. Proper operation and maintenance also include adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This Provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems that are installed by the Discharger only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order.

9. The Discharger shall use the best practicable cost-effective control technique(s) including proper operation and maintenance, to comply with this Order.

10. The Discharger shall maintain and operate surface impoundments sufficiently to protect the integrity of containment levees and prevent overtopping or overflows. Unless a California registered civil engineer certifies (based on design, construction, and conditions of operation and maintenance) that less freeboard is adequate, the operating freeboard shall never be less than two feet (measured vertically). As a means of management and to discern compliance with this Provision, if a pond is used for wastewater storage the Discharger shall install and maintain a permanent marker with calibration that indicates the water level at the design capacity and enables determination of available operational freeboard.

11. The Discharger shall submit the technical reports and work plans required by this Order for Central Valley Water Board staff consideration and incorporate comments they may have in a timely manner, as appropriate. The Discharger shall proceed with all work required by the following provisions by the due dates specified.

12. All technical reports and work plans required herein that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design, or other work requiring interpretation and proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1. As required by these laws, completed technical reports and work plans must bear the signature(s) and seal(s) of the registered professionals(s) in a manner such that all work can be clearly attributed to the professional responsible for the work. All reports required herein are required pursuant to Water Code section 13267.
13. **By 5 November 2012**, the Discharger shall submit a technical report containing the results of a study re-evaluating the need to incorporate wastewater storage and/or implement other structural or operational measures into its treatment and disposal system design to ensure continuous compliance with this Order. At a minimum, the technical report shall include a revised, detailed water balance prepared by and properly signed and stamped by a California registered engineer. Calculations to accommodate allowable wastewater flow and seasonal precipitation shall use annual precipitation with a return period of 100 years. The water balance shall demonstrate that wastewater reuse can be accomplished in a manner that complies specifically with Prohibitions A.1, A.2, and A.4; Discharge Specifications B.3, B.4, and B.8; and Use Area Specifications C.5, and C.7. All assumptions shall be properly documented and example calculation shall be provided. The adequacy of study results shall be subject to the approval of the Executive Officer.

If study results indicate that additional storage is necessary, the technical report shall include a work plan and proposed time schedule to design and construct the necessary storage capacity. Storage units shall be designed and constructed to preclude groundwater degradation. If ponds will be used to provide the necessary storage, the work plan shall include the following; (a) design calculations demonstrating adequate containment will be achieved and that the pond liner will be protective of groundwater quality; (b) details on the pond liner and the leachate collection and removal system (if applicable); and (c) a construction quality assurance plan describing testing and observations needed to document construction of the liner in accordance with the design criteria.

Upon written acceptance of the work plan by the Executive Officer, the Discharger shall begin construction to be completed by the date in the approved schedule. The Discharger shall submit a post-construction report within 30-days of completion of the pond improvements.

14. **By 4 February 2013**, the Discharger shall submit a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan for the Use Area. At a minimum the Plan must include procedures for daily monitoring of the Winery’s operations and land application area, an action plan to deal with objectionable odors and/or nuisance conditions, a discussion on blending of wastewater and supplemental irrigation water, supporting data and calculations for monthly and annual water and nutrient balances, and management practices that will ensure wastewater, irrigation water, and commercial fertilizers are applied at agronomic rates.

15. **By 4 February 2013**, the Discharger shall submit a Salinity Control Plan, with salinity source reduction goals and an implementation time schedule for Executive Officer approval. The control plan should identify any additional methods that could be used to
further control the salinity of the discharge to the maximum extent feasible, include an
estimate on load reductions that may be attained through the methods identified, and
provide a description of the tasks, cost, and time required to investigate and implement
various elements in the salinity control plan. The Discharger shall implement the plan in
accordance with the approved schedule.

16. **Groundwater Tasks:** The Discharger shall install and maintain a groundwater
monitoring well network to monitor ongoing changes in groundwater quality associated
with its discharge operations. At a minimum, the Discharger shall install at least one
monitoring well within the Use Area and one monitoring well immediately down-
gr gradient of its Use Area and coordinate with the Fresno-Clovis WWTF to utilize its
existing monitoring well network to provide additional monitoring points. As part of this
Provision, the Discharger shall submit a Work Plan and proposed time schedule to
install the required monitoring wells.

The Work Plan shall satisfy the information needs specified in the monitoring well
installation section of **Attachment B, Standard Requirements for Monitoring Well
Installation Work Plans and Monitoring Well Installation Reports.** The monitoring
wells shall comply with appropriate standards as described in **California Well
Standards Bulletin 74-90** (June 1991) and **Water Well Standards: State of California
Bulletin 94-81** (December 1981), and any more stringent standards adopted by local
agencies pursuant to Water Code section 13801.

The Discharger shall comply with the following compliance schedule in implementing
the work required by this Provision:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Compliance Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a Submit Work Plan and Time Schedule for monitoring well installation.</td>
<td>4 February 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Commence implementation of the Work Plan</td>
<td>30 days following approval of Work Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c Submit technical report describing installation procedures and the results of the first sampling event.</td>
<td>90 days following installation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technical reports and Work Plans submitted pursuant to this Provision shall be subject
to the requirements of **Provision F.12.**

17. If the Central Valley Water Board determines that the discharge has a reasonable
potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality objective, or to
create a condition of nuisance or pollution, this Order may be reopened for
consideration of additional requirements.
18. The Central Valley Water Board is currently implementing the CV-SALTS initiative to develop a Basin Plan amendment that will establish a salt and nitrate management plan for the Central Valley. Through this effort the Basin Plan will be amended to define how the narrative water quality objectives are to be interpreted for the protection of agricultural use. If new information or evidence indicates that groundwater limitations different than those prescribed herein are appropriate, this Order will be reopened to incorporate such limits.

19. The Central Valley Water Board will review this Order periodically and will revise requirements when necessary.

If, in the opinion of the Executive Officer, the Discharger fails to comply with the provisions of this Order, the Executive Officer may refer this matter to the Attorney General for judicial enforcement, and may issue a complaint for administrative civil liability, or may take other enforcement actions. Failure to comply with this Order or with the WDRs may result in the assessment of Administrative Civil Liability of up to $10,000 per violation, per day, depending on the violation, pursuant to the Water Code, including sections 13268, 13350 and 13385. The Central Valley Water Board reserves its right to take any enforcement actions authorized by law.

Any person aggrieved by this action of the Central Valley Water Board may petition the State Water Board to review the action in accordance with Water Code section 13320 and California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2050 and following. The State Water Board must receive the petition by 5:00 p.m., 30 days after the date of this Order, except that if the thirtieth day following the date of this Order falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or state holiday, the petition must be received by the State Water Board by 5:00 p.m. on the next business day. Copies of the law and regulations applicable to filling petitions may be found on the Internet at:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/petitions/water_quality/

or will be provided upon request.
I, PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer, do hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, on 3 August 2012.

Original signed by

PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer

Order Attachments:
A Site Location Map
B Standard Requirements for Monitoring Well Installation Work Plans and Monitoring Well Installation Reports
Monitoring and Reporting Program R5-2012-0076
Information Sheet
This Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) is required pursuant to Water Code section 13267.

The Discharger shall not implement any changes to this MRP unless and until the Central Valley Water Board adopts, or the Executive Officer issues, a revised MRP. Changes to sample location, shall be established with concurrence of Central Valley Water Board staff, and a description of the revised stations shall be submitted for approval by the Executive Officer.

All samples shall be representative of the volume and nature of the discharge or matrix of material sampled. All analyses shall be performed in accordance with Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements, dated 1 March 1991 (Standard Provisions).

Field test instruments (such as pH) may be used provided that the operator is trained in the proper use of the instrument and each instrument is serviced and/or calibrated at the recommended frequency by the manufacturer or in accordance with manufacturer instructions.

Analytical procedures shall comply with the methods and holding times specified in the following: Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater (EPA); Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste (EPA); Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes (EPA); Methods for Determination of Inorganic Substances in Environmental Samples (EPA); Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA/AWWA/WEF); and Soil, Plant and Water Reference Methods for the Western Region (WREP 125). Approved editions shall be those that are approved for use by the United States Environmental Protection Agency or the California Department of Public Health’s Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program. The Discharger may propose alternative methods for approval by the Executive Officer.

If monitoring consistently shows no significant variation in magnitude of a constituent concentration or parameter after at least 12 months of monitoring, the Discharger may request this MRP be revised to reduce monitoring frequency. The proposal must include adequate technical justification for reduction in monitoring frequency.

A glossary of terms used within this MRP is included on page 9.
EFFLUENT MONITORING

Effluent samples shall be collected at a point after screening but prior to blending with irrigation water and discharge to the Use Area. Time of collection of the sample shall be recorded. Discharge monitoring shall include at least the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Constituent/Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>mgd</td>
<td>Metered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>pH Units</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>BOD&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>FDS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Nitrate (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Total Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Computed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>General Minerals&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> At a minimum the General Mineral analysis shall include alkalinity, bicarbonate, calcium, carbonate, chloride, hardness, magnesium, potassium, phosphorus, sodium, sulfate, TDS, and a cation/anion balance.

USE AREA MONITORING

The Discharger shall perform the following routine monitoring and loading calculations for the Use Area. Data shall be collected and presented in tabular format and shall include the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Constituent/Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Application Area</td>
<td>acres</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Wastewater flow</td>
<td>gallons</td>
<td>Metered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Wastewater loading</td>
<td>inches/day</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Supplemental irrigation</td>
<td>gallons</td>
<td>Metered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>inches</td>
<td>Rain gage&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Total Hydraulic Loading&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>inches/acre-month</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD loading&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Day of application</td>
<td>lbs/acre</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Cycle Average</td>
<td>lbs/acre-day</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen loading&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>From wastewater</td>
<td>lbs/acre</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MONITORING & REPORTING ORDER R5-2012-0076

**GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS, INC.**

**FRESNO WINERY**

**FRESNO COUNTY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Constituent/Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>From fertilizers</td>
<td>lbs/acre</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Cumulative nitrogen loading</td>
<td>lbs/acre-year</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salt loading</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>From wastewater</td>
<td>lbs/acre</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Cumulative salt loading</td>
<td>lbs/acre-year</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. National Weather Service or CIMIS data from the nearest weather station is acceptable.
2. Combined loading from wastewater, irrigation water, and precipitation.
3. Loading rates shall be calculated using the applied volume of wastewater, applied acreage, and average effluent BOD concentration. The BOD loading rate shall be divided by the #days between applications to determine the cycle average.
4. Nitrogen and salt loadings shall be calculated using the applied volume of wastewater, applied acreage, and average effluent concentrations for total nitrogen and FDS.

In addition, the Discharger shall inspect the Use Area on a weekly basis. Evidence of erosion, field saturation, runoff, or the presence of nuisance conditions (i.e., flies, ponding, etc.) shall be noted in field logs and included as part of the quarterly monitoring reports.

**GROUNDWATER MONITORING**

After measuring water levels and prior to collecting samples, each monitoring well shall be adequately purged to remove water that has been standing within the well screen and casing that may not be chemically representative of formation water. Depending on the hydraulic conductivity of the geologic setting, the volume removed during purging is typically from 3 to 5 well casing volumes.

The Discharger shall monitor the wells installed in accordance with the Work Plan and Time Schedule for Monitoring Well Installation, and any subsequent additional wells, for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Constituent/Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Depth-to-Water</td>
<td>Feet¹</td>
<td>Measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Groundwater Elevation</td>
<td>Feet²</td>
<td>Computed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>s.u.</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Nitrate (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Total Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Computed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Iron³</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Manganese³</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Total Organic Carbon</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>General Minerals³,⁴</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ To nearest tenth of a foot
**SOURCE WATER MONITORING**

The Discharger shall monitor all sources (either well or surface supply) to the Winery facility and land application area for EC and general minerals according to the following Table. Measurements for EC supplied to the facility shall be a flow-weighted average concentration of all sources supplying the Winery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Constituent/Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Flow-Weighted EC</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>Computed Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anually</td>
<td>General Minerals(^{2,3})</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anually</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once every 3 years(^1)</td>
<td>General Minerals(^{2,3})</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The first sampling event shall occur in the year that the Order is adopted.  
2. Samples collected for metals shall be filtered with a 0.45 micron filter prior to preservation and analysis.  
3. At a minimum the General Minerals analysis shall include alkalinity, bicarbonate, calcium, carbonate, chloride, hardness, magnesium, potassium, phosphorus, sodium, sulfate, TDS, and a cation/anion balance.

**REPORTING**

All monitoring results shall be reported in **Quarterly Monitoring Reports** which are due by the first day of the second month after the calendar quarter. Therefore, monitoring reports are due as follows:

- First Quarter Monitoring Report: 1 May
- Second Quarter Monitoring Report: 1 August
- Third Quarter Monitoring Report: 1 November
A transmittal letter shall accompany each monitoring report. The transmittal letter shall discuss any violations that occurred during the reporting period and all actions taken or planned for correcting violations, such as operation or plant modifications. If the Discharger has previously submitted a report describing corrective actions or a time schedule for implementing the corrective actions, reference to the previous correspondence is satisfactory.

The following information is to be included on all monitoring and annual reports, as well as any report transmittal letters, submitted to the Central Valley Water Board:

Golden State Vintners, Inc.
Fresno Winery
R5-2012-0076
Contact Information (telephone number and email)

In reporting monitoring data, the Discharger shall arrange the data in tabular form so that the date, the constituents, and the concentrations are readily discernible. The data shall be summarized in such a manner that illustrates clearly, whether the Discharger complies with waste discharge requirements.

In addition to the details specified in Standard Provision C.3, monitoring information shall include the method detection limit (MDL) and the Reporting limit (RL) or practical quantitation limit (PQL). If the regulatory limit for a given constituent is less than the RL (or PQL), then any analytical results for that constituent that are below the RL (or PQL) but above the MDL shall be reported and flagged as estimated.

Laboratory analysis reports do not need to be included in the monitoring reports; however, the laboratory reports must be retained for a minimum of three years in accordance with Standard Provision C.3.

All monitoring reports shall comply with the signatory requirements in Standard Provision B.3. For a Discharger conducting any of its own analyses, reports must also be signed and certified by the chief of the laboratory.

All monitoring reports that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design, or other work requiring interpretation and proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1.

At any time henceforth, the State or Central Valley Water Board may notify the Discharger to electronically submit monitoring reports using the State Water Board’s California Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program Web site (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ciwqs/index.html) or similar system. Until such notification is given, the Discharger shall submit hard copy monitoring reports.
A. All Quarterly Monitoring Reports, shall include the following:

Wastewater reporting

1. The results of effluent monitoring specified on page 2.

2. For each month of the quarter, calculation of the monthly average daily flow, and cumulative annual flow.

3. A summary of the notations made in the pond monitoring log during each quarter, if applicable. The entire contents of the log do not need to be submitted.

Groundwater reporting

1. The results of groundwater monitoring specified on pages 3. If there is insufficient water in the well(s) for sampling the monitoring well(s) shall be reported as dry for the quarter.

2. For each monitoring well, a table showing groundwater depth, elevation, and constituent concentrations for a least five previous years, up through the current quarter.

3. A groundwater contour map based on groundwater elevations for that quarter. The map shall show the gradient and flow direction of groundwater flow. The map shall also include the locations of all monitoring wells and wastewater storage and/or disposal areas.

Use Area reporting

1. The results of the routine monitoring and loading calculations specified on pages 2 and 3.

2. For each month of the quarter, calculation of the monthly hydraulic load for wastewater and supplemental irrigation water in millions of gallons to each discrete irrigation area.

3. A summary of the notations made in the Use Area monitoring log during each quarter. The entire contents of the log do not need to be submitted.
Source water reporting

1. The results of quarterly monitoring for EC specified on page 4. Results must include supporting calculations.

B. Fourth Quarter Monitoring Reports, in addition to the above, shall include the following:

Facility information

1. The names and general responsibilities of all persons in charge of wastewater management.

2. The names and telephone numbers of persons to contact regarding the discharge for emergency and routine situations.

3. A statement certifying when the flow meter and other monitoring instruments and devices were last calibrated, including identification of who performed the calibrations (Standard Provision C.4).

4. A summary of any changes in processing that might affect waste characterization and/or discharge flow rates.

Solids reporting

1. Annual production totals for solids (excluding trash and recyclables) in dry tons or cubic yards.

2. A description of disposal methods, including the following information related to the disposal methods used. If more than one method is used, include the percentage disposed of by each method.

   a. For landfill disposal, include: the name and location of the landfill, and the Order number of WDRs that regulate it.

   b. For land application, include: the location of the site, and the Order number of any WDRs that regulate it.

   c. For incineration, include: the name and location of the site where incineration occurs, the Order number of WDRs that regulate the site, the disposal method of ash, and the name and location of the facility receiving ash (if applicable).

   d. For composting, include: the location of the site, and the Order number of any WDRs that regulate it.
e. For animal feed, include: the location of the site, and the Order number of any WDRs that regulate it.

Use Area reporting

1. The type of crop(s) grown, planting and harvest dates, and the quantified nitrogen and fixed dissolved solids uptakes (as estimated by technical references or, preferably, determined by representative plant tissue analysis).

2. The monthly and annual discharge volumes during the reporting year expressed as million gallons and inches.

3. A monthly balance for the reporting year that includes:
   a. Monthly average ET₀ (observed evapotranspiration) – Information sources include California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS) http://www.cimis.water.ca.gov/ 
   b. Monthly crop uptake 
      i. Crop water utilization rates are available from a variety of publications available from the local University of California Davis extension office. 
      ii. Irrigation efficiency – Frequently, engineers include a factor for irrigation efficiency such that the application rate is slightly greater than the crop utilization rate. A conservative design does not include this value. 
   d. Monthly average and annual average discharge flow rate.
   e. Monthly estimates of the amount of wastewater percolating below the root zone (i.e., amount of wastewater applied in excess of crop requirements)

4. A summary of average and cycle BOD loading rates.

5. The total pounds of nitrogen applied to the reuse area(s), as calculated from the sum of the monthly loadings, and the total annual nitrogen loading to the reuse area(s) in lbs/acre-year.
6. The total pounds of fixed dissolved solids (FDS) that have been applied to the reuse area(s), as calculated from the sum of the monthly loadings, and the total annual FDS loading to the reuse area(s) in lbs/acre-year.

The Discharger shall implement the above monitoring program on the first day of the month following adoption of this Order.

Ordered by: ___________________________  Original signed by ___________________________

Pamela C. Creedon, Executive Officer

______________________________  ___________________________
(Date)
GLOSSARY

BOD$_5$  Five-day biochemical oxygen demand
CBOD  Carbonaceous BOD
DO  Dissolved oxygen
EC  Electrical conductivity at $25^\circ$ C
FDS  Fixed dissolved solids
NTU  Nephelometric turbidity unit
TKN  Total Kjeldahl nitrogen
TDS  Total dissolved solids
TSS  Total suspended solids

Continuous  The specified parameter shall be measured by a meter continuously.
24-Hour Composite  Samples shall be a time-proportioned composite consisting of at least eight aliquots.
Daily  Samples shall be collected every day.
Twice Weekly  Samples shall be collected at least twice per week on non-consecutive days.
Weekly  Samples shall be collected at least once per week.
Twice Monthly  Samples shall be collected at least twice per month during non-consecutive weeks.
Monthly  Samples shall be collected at least once per month.
Bimonthly  Samples shall be collected at least once every two months (i.e., six times per year) during non-consecutive months.
Quarterly  Samples shall be collected at least once per calendar quarter. Unless otherwise specified or approved, samples shall be collected in January, April, July, and October.
Semiannually  Samples shall be collected at least once every six months (i.e., two times per year). Unless otherwise specified or approved, samples shall be collected in April and October.
Annually  Samples shall be collected at least once per year. Unless otherwise specified or approved, samples shall be collected in October.

mg/L  Milligrams per liter
mL/L  Milliliters [of solids] per liter
ug/L  Micrograms per liter
umhos/cm  Micromhos per centimeter
mgd  Million gallons per day
MPN/100 mL  Most probable number [of organisms] per 100 milliliters

General Minerals Analysis for General Minerals shall include at least the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alkalinity</th>
<th>Chloride</th>
<th>Phosphorus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate</td>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>Sodium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>Sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate</td>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>TDS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Minerals analyses shall be accompanied by documentation of cation/anion balance.
Background
Golden State Vintners operates a Winery at 7409 West Central Avenue in Fresno. This Winery has been in operation since 1977. The Winery operates year-round and produces bulk wine. The Winery does not distill and the does not discharge stillage waste.

The discharge of winery process wastewater is currently regulated by Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order 95-156, which authorizes an average daily discharge of 0.167 million gallons per day (mgd) for the crush season (June - December) and 0.065 mgd for the non-crush season (January - May) to 630 acres of vineyards.

In May 2007, GSV submitted a Report of Waste Discharge (RWD) to address increased flows and growth of Winery operations due to expansion of its tank farm and the increasing demand for California wines. Supplemental information to address future growth of the Winery was submitted in May 2012. The RWD and supplemental information proposed the following to accommodate changes in Winery operations and projected future increases in discharge volume:

a. Increase the average daily discharge to 0.45 mgd for the months of August through January (crush season) and 0.175 mgd for the months of February through July (non-crush season); and

b. Increase the available land application area by 270 acres bringing the total available land application area to 900 acres to accommodate the higher flows.

In addition, the Discharger reconfigured its treatment system to add a screen to remove solids from the wastewater and bypassed the wastewater holding pond to tie directly to the irrigation system. Wastewater discharge to the holding pond was discontinued in October 2010 and all wastewater lines from the Winery have been capped. The pond still has the ability to receive water from the Fresno Irrigation District.

Wastewater Quality
Winery process wastewater is a combined waste stream comprised of ion exchange waste, cooling water, tank and equipment wash water, and boiler blowdown. The chemical makeup of the wastewater varies over the year depending on operations at the Winery. Sampling data shows that the discharge contains high concentrations of Biochemical Oxygen demand, nitrogen, and dissolved solids during the crush season and relatively minor concentrations of these constituents during the rest of the year.
The following table presents a summary of wastewater data in the record for samples collected from 2007 through 2011:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>std.</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity (EC)</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>1,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Dissolved Solids (FDS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the supplemental information provided by the Discharger the wastewater will be blended with irrigation water at a ratio of approximately 4:1 and applied to the checks between the grape vines of the 900-acre Use Area via flood irrigation. To ensure sufficient coverage of each check the daily application area will cover approximately 2 to 15 acres depending on the volume of wastewater. According to the Discharger irrigation of the fields will be carefully managed to prevent oversaturation or ponding for longer than 24-hours. Additional irrigation water to meet crop requirements during the growing season will be well water applied via drip irrigation.

**Groundwater Conditions**

The Winery and Use Area overly East Side waters and are east of the Corcoran Clay. The map titled *Lines of Equal Elevation of Water in Wells, Unconfined Aquifer*, published by the California Department of Water Resources in spring 2009 show regional groundwater underlying the area is at about 60 feet below ground surface (bgs) and flows to the south-southwest. WDRs Order 95-156 does not require groundwater monitoring. However, the Fresno-Clovis Metropolitan Regional Wastewater Treatment Facility (Fresno-Clovis WWTF) north and east of the site has a groundwater monitoring well network that covers immediately up-gradient and around the Use Area.

Groundwater data from the Fresno-Clovis WWTF monitoring wells indicates that first-encountered groundwater in the vicinity of the Use Area is about 75 to 116 feet below grade. Groundwater flow is to the south-southwest, making the ponds for the Fresno-Clovis WWTF up-gradient of the site. Some mounding is observed up-gradient of the site in the vicinity of the Fresno-Clovis WWTF ponds.

Groundwater quality up-gradient of the Fresno-Clovis WWTF is relatively good with EC and TDS concentrations of about 300 umhos/cm and 220 mg/L, respectively and nitrate (as N) ranging from 4 to 8 mg/L. It appears that groundwater down-gradient of the WWTF but
immediately up-gradient of the Use Area has been degraded, likely a result of WWTF operations, with average EC and TDS concentrations of about 800 umhos/cm and 500 mg/L, respectively. In addition, the monitoring wells down-gradient of the WWTF show evidence of reducing conditions with manganese concentrations in excess of its respective secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (MCLs) of 0.05 mg/L.

Groundwater monitoring by the Fresno-Clovis WWTF shows increasing salinity down-gradient of the Use Area with EC and TDS concentrations of about 1,000 umhos/cm and 700 mg/L, respectively. However, the only monitoring well situated down-gradient of the Use Area is approximately 3,000 feet south of the Use Area and it is unclear if this monitoring well truly reflects groundwater conditions associated with the discharge of winery wastewater.

**Basin Plan, Beneficial Uses, and Regulatory Considerations**

The Basin Plan identifies the greatest long-term water quality problem facing the entire Tulare Lake Basin is increasing salinity in groundwater, a process accelerated by man’s activities and particularly affected by intensive irrigated agriculture. The Basin Plan recognizes that degradation is unavoidable until there is a long-term solution to the salt imbalance. Until then, the Basin Plan establishes several salt management requirements, including the following discharge limits:

a. The incremental increase in salts from use and treatment must be controlled to the extent possible. The maximum EC of the effluent discharged to land shall not exceed the EC of the source water plus 500 umhos/cm. When the source water is from more than one source, the EC shall be a weighted average of all sources.

b. Discharges to areas what may recharge good quality groundwater shall not exceed an EC of 1,000 umhos/cm, a chloride content of 175 mg/L, or boron content of 1.0 mg/L.

The Basin Plan Chemical Constituents water quality objective requires, at a minimum, waters designated as domestic or municipal supply to meet the MCLs specified in Title 22 of CCR. The Basin Plan recognizes that the Central Valley Water Board may apply limits more stringent than MCLs to ensure that waters do not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.

Narrative objectives (as opposed to specific numeric objectives) for groundwater in the Basin Plan are the most limiting for this discharge. The Basin Plan establishes narrative water quality objectives for groundwater for Chemical Constituents, Taste and Odors, and Toxicity. The Toxicity objective, in summary, requires that groundwater be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life associated with designated beneficial uses. Quantifying a
narrative water quality objective requires a site-specific evaluation of those constituents that have the potential to impact water quality and beneficial uses.

**Antidegradation**

As mentioned above, the groundwater up-gradient of the Winery and Use Area has already been degraded for salinity and manganese as a result of the discharge of domestic wastewater from the Fresno-Clovis WWTF.

Constituents of concern in the discharge (those with the greatest potential to affect beneficial uses of receiving water) include organics, nutrients, and salts.

a. For organics, the average BOD loading rate to the Use Area at the proposed flow rates would be between 6 and 20 lbs/acre/day. This is significantly below the USEPA recommended application rate to avoid nuisance conditions of 100 lbs BOD/acre/day (Publication No. 625/3-77-007, Pollution Abatement in the Fruit and Vegetable Industry). This loading rate is also below 50 lbs/acre/day established in the California League of Food Processors Manual of Good Practices as that which poses a de minimis risk to groundwater indistinguishable from regular farming practices. At the estimated loading rates, the discharge is not expected to cause groundwater degradation or nuisance conditions due to organic overloading.

b. For nitrogen, the annual nitrogen load to the Use Area would be about 30 lbs/acre/year. With a nitrogen uptake of 125 lbs/acre/year for grapes, it is estimated that the discharge would contribute about 25% of the nitrogen requirement of the crop. With proper management of the wastewater and the proper application of additional fertilizers, the discharge should not cause degradation of groundwater for nitrates.

c. For salinity, with an average EC of 768 umhos/cm, the EC of the discharge is below the recommended secondary MCL for EC of 900 umhos/cm and is less than underlying groundwater up-gradient of the Use Area. Thus the discharge, if properly managed should not contribute significantly to salinity in groundwater.

As discussed previously, under groundwater conditions, groundwater monitoring down-gradient of the Use Area shows increasing EC and TDS concentrations in groundwater. Due to the location of the monitoring well (approximately 3,000 feet south of the Use Area) it is unclear if this monitoring well truly reflects groundwater conditions associated with the discharge of the winery wastewater. To ensure the ongoing quality of the effluent with respect to salinity, this Order requires the Dischager to prepare and implement a Salinity Control Plan and establish a groundwater monitoring well within and immediately down-gradient of the Use Area. In addition, the Order also requires the Discharger to monitor the effluent for salinity constituents and loading rates.
The discharge is not expected to cause groundwater degradation in excess of appropriate water quality objectives. Implementation of a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan and a Salinity Control Plan will further limit degradation. This Order establishes groundwater limitations that allow some degradation, but that will not unreasonably threaten present and anticipated beneficial uses or result in groundwater quality that exceeds water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan. Monitoring and reporting requirements are designed to supply data for verification of antidegradation findings.

The Discharger implements treatment and control measures including use of cleaning chemicals at labeled rates, treatment to remove solids, reuse of wastewater for irrigation of crops, and application at agronomic rates.

This Order is consistent with the Antidegradation policy since: (a) The Discharger has implemented BPTC to minimize degradation, (b) the limited degradation allowed by this Order will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial uses of groundwater, or result in water quality less than water quality objectives, and (c) the limited degradation is of maximum benefit to people of the State, as the Winery employs up to 43 full time and 63 season people, supports the local economy and provides a tax base for local and county governments. In addition, the use of process wastewater for irrigation in place of higher quality groundwater will preserve a needed resource, which is of further benefit to people of the State.

CEQA
On 26 May 1977, Fresno County, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000, et, seq.) and the State CEQA guidelines (Title 14, Division 6, California Code of Regulations, as amended) adopted a Negative Declaration in conjunction with a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for commercial operation of a bulk winery at the site and discharge of winery wastewater to the adjacent vineyard.

On 26 June 2008, Fresno County adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration for expansion of Winery operations, the tank farm, and Use Area. The Mitigated Negative Declaration concluded that the increased discharge of winery wastewater would be compensated by the expansion of the Use Area, and therefore, would have a less than significant impact on water quality provided the applicant complies with the provisions of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board including submittal of a Report of Waste Discharge for expanded operations at the Winery. Staff with the Central Valley Water Board reviewed and concurred with the findings in the 2008 Mitigated Negative Declaration.
Title 27
Unless exempt, the release of designated waste is subject to full containment pursuant to Title 27 requirements. Here, the discharge is exempt from the requirements of Title 27 pursuant to the wastewater exemption found at Title 27, section 20090(b), since:

a. The Central Valley Water Board is issuing WDRs.
b. The discharge is in compliance with the Basin Plan, and;
c. The treated effluent discharged to the Use Area does not need to be managed as hazardous waste.

In addition, the reuse of process wastewater for irrigation as authorized by this Order is exempt from Title 27 under section 20090(h) for Reuse, since the wastewater is contained and treated to make it suitable for direct beneficial reuse and is discharged in a manner consistent with crop requirements.

Proposed Order Terms and Conditions

Discharge Prohibitions, Specifications and Provisions
The proposed Order prohibits discharge to surface waters and drainage courses. The proposed Order limits the monthly average flow to 175,000 gallons per day (gpd) for the months of February through July (non-crush season) and 450,000 gallons per day (gpd) for the months of August through January (crush season) consistent with current and projected future flows. The proposed Order includes a BOD loading limit such that loading to the Use Area shall not exceed 100 lbs BOD /acre/day in order to prevent nuisance conditions and limit the potential for reducing conditions to develop in soil.

The proposed Order would prescribe groundwater limitations that implement water quality objectives for groundwater from the Basin Plan. The limitations require that the discharge not cause or contribute to exceedances of these objectives. The proposed Order sets a groundwater limit for nitrate at the Primary MCL of 10 mg/L. The Order also includes narrative objectives from the Basin Plan for preservation of the AGR beneficial use of groundwater.

The proposed Order requires the Discharger to submit a Salinity Control Plan as well as a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan to minimize the salinity of the discharge and to ensure the application at agronomic rates. The proposed Order also requires the Discharger to install a groundwater monitoring well network and prepare a technical report and revised water balance to ensure adequate wet weather storage.
Monitoring Requirements
Water Code section 13267 authorizes the Central Valley Water Board to require monitoring and technical reports as necessary to investigate the impact of a waste discharge on waters of the State. In recent years there has been increased emphasis on obtaining all necessary information, assuring the information is timely as well as representative and accurate, and thereby improving accountability of any discharger for meeting the conditions of discharge. Water Code section 13268 authorizes the assessment of administrative civil liability where appropriate. The proposed Order includes effluent monitoring requirements. In addition, the proposed Order requires monitoring of the Use Areas and loading calculations for organics, nutrients, and salts as well as groundwater monitoring. This monitoring is necessary to characterize the discharge, evaluate compliance with effluent limitations and discharge specifications prescribed in the Order.

Reopener
The conditions of discharge in the proposed Order were developed based on currently available technical information and applicable water quality laws, regulations, policies, and plans, and are intended to assure conformance with them. It may be appropriate to reopen the Order if new technical information is provided or if applicable laws and regulations change.
ATTACHMENT A
SITE MAP
WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS ORDER NO. R5-2012-0076
FOR
GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS, INC.
FRESNO WINERY
FRESNO COUNTY

Map Source:
ESRI's ArcGIS Online Premium Services
Sections 31 & 32, T14S, R19E, MDB&M

WINERY
REUSE AREA
Prior to installation of groundwater monitoring wells, the Discharger shall submit a work plan containing, at a minimum, the information listed in Section 1, below. Wells may be installed after staff approves the work plan. Upon installation, the Discharger shall submit a well installation report that includes the information contained in Section 2, below. All work plans and reports must be prepared under the direction of, and certified by, a California registered geologist or civil engineer.

SECTION 1 - Monitoring Well Installation Work Plan and Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Plan

The monitoring well installation work plan shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:

A. General Information:
   Purpose of the well installation project
   Brief description of local geologic and hydrogeologic conditions
   Proposed monitoring well locations and rationale for well locations
   Topographic map showing facility location, roads, and surface water bodies
   Large-scaled site map showing all existing on-site wells, proposed wells, surface water bodies and drainage courses, buildings, waste handling facilities, utilities, and major physical and man-made features

B. Drilling Details:
   On-site supervision of drilling and well installation activities
   Description of drilling equipment and techniques
   Equipment decontamination procedures
   Cuttings disposal methods
   Soil sampling intervals (if appropriate); logging methods; number and location of soil samples and rationale; and sample collection, preservation, and analytical methods

C. Monitoring Well Design (in graphic form with rationale provided in narrative form):
   Diagram of proposed well construction details
   - Borehole diameter
   - Casing and screen material, diameter, and centralizer spacing (if needed)
   - Type of well caps (bottom cap either screw on or secured with stainless steel screws)
   - Anticipated depth of well, length of well casing, and length and position of perforated interval
- Thickness, position and composition of surface seal, sanitary seal, and sand pack
- Anticipated screen slot size and filter pack

D. Well Development (not to be performed until at least 48 hours after sanitary seal placement):
   Method of development to be used (i.e., surge, bail, pump, etc.)
   Parameters to be monitored during development and record keeping technique
   Method of determining when development is complete
   Disposal of development water

E. Well Survey (precision of vertical survey data shall be at least 0.01 foot):
   Identify the Licensed Land Surveyor or Civil Engineer that will perform the survey
   Datum for survey measurements
   List well features to be surveyed (i.e., top of casing, horizontal and vertical coordinates, etc.)

F. Schedule for Completion of Work

G. Appendix: Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP)
   The Groundwater SAP, a guidance document that is referred to by individuals responsible for conducting groundwater monitoring and sampling activities, shall contain, at a minimum, a detailed written description of standard operating procedures for:
   - Equipment to be used during sampling
   - Equipment decontamination procedures
   - Water level measurement procedures
   - Well purging (include a discussion of procedures to follow if three casing volumes cannot be purged)
   - Monitoring and record keeping during water level measurement and well purging (include copies of record keeping logs to be used)
   - Purge water disposal
   - Analytical methods and required reporting limits
   - Sample containers and preservatives
   - Sampling
     - General sampling techniques
     - Record keeping during sampling (include copies of record keeping logs to be used)
     - QA/QC samples
   - Chain of Custody
   - Sample handling and transport
SECTION 2 - Monitoring Well Installation Report

The monitoring well installation report must provide the information listed below. In addition, the report must also clearly identify, describe, and justify any deviations from the approved work plan.

A. General Information:
   - Purpose of the well installation project
   - Number of monitoring wells installed and identifying label(s) for each
   - Brief description of geologic and hydrogeologic conditions encountered during well installation
   - Topographic map showing facility location, roads, surface water bodies
   - Large-scaled site map showing all previously existing wells, newly installed wells, surface water bodies and drainage courses, buildings, waste handling facilities, utilities, and other major physical and man-made features.

B. Drilling Details (in narrative and/or graphic form):
   - On-site supervision of drilling and well installation activities
   - Drilling contractor and driller’s name
   - Description of drilling equipment and techniques
   - Equipment decontamination procedures
   - Well boring log (provide for each well)
     - Well boring number and date drilled
     - Borehole diameter and total depth
     - Total depth of open hole (i.e., total depth drilled if no caving or back-grouting occurs)
     - Depth to first encountered groundwater and stabilized groundwater depth
     - Detailed description of soils encountered, using the Unified Soil Classification System

C. Well Construction Details (provide for each well):
   - Well construction diagram including:
     - Monitoring well number and date constructed
     - Casing and screen material, diameter, and centralizer spacing (if needed)
     - Length of well casing
     - Length and position of slotted casing and size of perforations
     - Thickness, position and composition of surface seal, sanitary seal, and sand pack
     - Type of well caps (bottom cap either screw on or secured with stainless steel screws)
E. Well Development (provide for each well):
   - Date(s) and method of development
   - How well development completion was determined
   - Volume of water purged from well and method of development water disposal

F. Well Survey (provide for each well):
   - Reference elevation at the top rim of the well casing with the cap removed (feet above mean sea level to within 0.01 foot)
   - Ground surface elevation (feet above mean sea level to within 0.01 foot)
   - Horizontal geodetic location, where the point of beginning shall be described by the California State Plane Coordinate System, 1983 datum, or acceptable alternative (provide rationale)
   - Present the well survey report data in a table

G. Water Sampling:
   - Date(s) of sampling
   - Sample identification
   - How well was purged
   - Analytical methods used
   - How many well volumes purged
   - Laboratory analytical data sheets
   - Levels of temperature, EC, and pH at stabilization
   - Water level elevation(s)
   - Sample collection, handling, and preservation methods
   - Groundwater contour map

H. Soil Sampling (if applicable):
   - Date(s) of sampling
   - Sample collection, handling, and preservation methods
   - Sample identification
   - Analytical methods used
   - Laboratory analytical data sheets
   - Present soil sampling data in a table

I. Well Completion Report(s) (as defined in California Water Code §13751). Blank forms are available from California Department of Water Resources' website www.water.ca.gov. Submit this section under separate cover.

J. Appendix - include, at a minimum, copies of the following:
   - County-issued well construction permits
   - Registered engineer or licensed surveyor's report and field notes
   - Field notes from well development
A. General Provisions:

1. The requirements prescribed herein do not authorize the commission of any act causing injury to the property of another, or protect the Discharger from liabilities under federal, state, or local laws. This Order does not convey any property rights or exclusive privileges.

2. The provisions of this Order are severable. If any provision of this Order is held invalid, the remainder of this Order shall not be affected.

3. After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this Order may be terminated or modified for cause, including, but not limited to:
   a. Violation of any term or condition contained in this Order;
   b. Obtaining this Order by misrepresentation, or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts;
   c. A change in any condition that results in either a temporary or permanent need to reduce or eliminate the authorized discharge;
   d. A material change in the character, location, or volume of discharge.

4. Before making a material change in the character, location, or volume of discharge, the discharger shall file a new Report of Waste Discharge with the Regional Board. A material change includes, but is not limited to, the following:
   a. An increase in area or depth to be used for solid waste disposal beyond that specified in waste discharge requirements.
   b. A significant change in disposal method, location or volume, e.g., change from land disposal to land treatment.
   c. The addition of a major industrial, municipal or domestic waste discharge facility.
   d. The addition of a major industrial waste discharge to a discharge of essentially domestic sewage, or the addition of a new process or product by an industrial facility resulting in a change in the character of the waste.
5. Except for material determined to be confidential in accordance with California law and regulations, all reports prepared in accordance with terms of this Order shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the Board. Data on waste discharges, water quality, geology, and hydrogeology shall not be considered confidential.

6. The discharger shall take all reasonable steps to minimize any adverse impact to the waters of the state resulting from noncompliance with this Order. Such steps shall include accelerated or additional monitoring as necessary to determine the nature and impact of the noncompliance.

7. The discharger shall maintain in good working order and operate as efficiently as possible any facility, control system, or monitoring device installed to achieve compliance with the waste discharge requirements.

8. The discharger shall permit representatives of the Regional Board (hereafter Board) and the State Water Resources Control Board, upon presentations of credentials, to:
   a. Enter premises where wastes are treated, stored, or disposed of and facilities in which any records are kept,
   b. Copy any records required to be kept under terms and conditions of this Order,
   c. Inspect at reasonable hours, monitoring equipment required by this Order, and
   d. Sample, photograph and video tape any discharge, waste, waste management unit, or monitoring device.

9. For any electrically operated equipment at the site, the failure of which would cause loss of control or containment of waste materials, or violation of this Order, the discharger shall employ safeguards to prevent loss of control over wastes. Such safeguards may include alternate power sources, standby generators, retention capacity, operating procedures, or other means.

10. The fact that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in Order to maintain compliance with this Order shall not be a defense for the discharger’s violations of the Order.

11. Neither the treatment nor the discharge shall create a condition of nuisance or pollution as defined by the California Water Code, Section 13050.

12. The discharge shall remain within the designated disposal area at all times.

B. General Reporting Requirements:

1. In the event the discharger does not comply or will be unable to comply with any prohibition or limitation of this Order for any reason, the discharger shall notify the Board by telephone at (916) 464-3291 [Note: Current phone numbers for all three Regional Board offices may be found on the internet at http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb5/contact_us.] as soon as it or its agents
have knowledge of such noncompliance or potential for noncompliance, and shall confirm this notification in writing within **two weeks**. The written notification shall state the nature, time and cause of noncompliance, and shall include a timetable for corrective actions.

2. The discharger shall have a plan for preventing and controlling accidental discharges, and for minimizing the effect of such events.

   This plan shall:

   a. Identify the possible sources of accidental loss or leakage of wastes from each waste management, treatment, or disposal facility.

   b. Evaluate the effectiveness of present waste management/treatment units and operational procedures, and identify needed changes of contingency plans.

   c. Predict the effectiveness of the proposed changes in waste management/treatment facilities and procedures and provide an implementation schedule containing interim and final dates when changes will be implemented.

   The Board, after review of the plan, may establish conditions that it deems necessary to control leakages and minimize their effects.

3. All reports shall be signed by persons identified below:

   a. For a **corporation**: by a principal executive officer of at least the level of senior vice-president.

   b. For a **partnership or sole proprietorship**: by a general partner or the proprietor.

   c. For a **municipality, state, federal or other public agency**: by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected or appointed official.

   d. A duly authorized representative of a person designated in 3a, 3b or 3c of this requirement if:

      (1) the authorization is made in writing by a person described in 3a, 3b or 3c of this provision;

      (2) the authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity, such as the position of plant manager, operator of a waste management unit, superintendent, or position of equivalent responsibility. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and

      (3) the written authorization is submitted to the Board.
Any person signing a document under this Section shall make the following certification:

“I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of the those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.”

4. Technical and monitoring reports specified in this Order are requested pursuant to Section 13267 of the Water Code. Failing to furnish the reports by the specified deadlines and falsifying information in the reports, are misdemeanors that may result in assessment of civil liabilities against the discharger.

5. The discharger shall mail a copy of each monitoring report and any other reports required by this Order to:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board
Central Valley Region
11020 Sun Center Drive, #200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6114

Note: Current addresses for all three Regional Board offices may be found on the internet at http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb5/contact_us.
or the current address if the office relocates.

C. Provisions for Monitoring:

1. All analyses shall be made in accordance with the latest edition of: (1) *Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater* (EPA 600 Series) and (2) *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste* (SW 846-latest edition). The test method may be modified subject to application and approval of alternate test procedures under the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 136).

2. Chemical, bacteriological, and bioassay analysis shall be conducted at a laboratory certified for such analyses by the State Department of Health Services. In the event a certified laboratory is not available to the discharger, analyses performed by a noncertified laboratory will be accepted provided a Quality Assurance-Quality Control Program is instituted by the laboratory. A manual containing the steps followed in this program must be kept in the laboratory and shall be available for inspection by Board staff. The Quality Assurance-Quality Control Program must conform to EPA guidelines or to procedures approved by the Board.

Unless otherwise specified, all metals shall be reported as Total Metals.

3. The discharger shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records, all original strip chart recordings of continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this Order, and records of all data used to
complete the application for this Order. Records shall be maintained for a minimum of three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application. This period may be extended during the course of any unresolved litigation regarding this discharge or when requested by the Regional Board Executive Officer.

Record of monitoring information shall include:

a. the date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements,

b. the individual(s) who performed the sampling of the measurements,

c. the date(s) analyses were performed,

d. the individual(s) who performed the analyses,

e. the laboratory which performed the analysis,

f. the analytical techniques or methods used, and

g. the results of such analyses.

4. All monitoring instruments and devices used by the discharger to fulfill the prescribed monitoring program shall be properly maintained and calibrated at least yearly to ensure their continued accuracy.

5. The discharger shall maintain a written sampling program sufficient to assure compliance with the terms of this Order. Anyone performing sampling on behalf of the discharger shall be familiar with the sampling plan.

6. The discharger shall construct all monitoring wells to meet or exceed the standards stated in the State Department of Water Resources Bulletin 74-81 and subsequent revisions, and shall comply with the reporting provisions for wells required by Water Code Sections 13750 through 13755.22

D. Standard Conditions for Facilities Subject to California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 15 (Chapter 15)

1. All classified waste management units shall be designed under the direct supervision of a California registered civil engineer or a California certified engineering geologist. Designs shall include a Construction Quality Assurance Plan, the purpose of which is to:

a. demonstrate that the waste management unit has been constructed according to the specifications and plans as approved by the Board.

b. provide quality control on the materials and construction practices used to construct the waste management unit and prevent the use of inferior products and/or materials which do not meet the approved design plans or specifications.

2. Prior to the discharge of waste to any classified waste management unit, a California registered civil engineer or a California certified engineering geologist must certify that the waste management unit meets the construction or prescriptive standards and performance goals in Chapter 15, unless an engineered alternative has been approved by the Board. In the case of an engineered alternative, the registered civil engineer or a certified engineering geologist must
certify that the waste management unit has been constructed in accordance with Board-approved plans and specifications.

3. Materials used to construct liners shall have appropriate physical and chemical properties to ensure containment of discharged wastes over the operating life, closure, and post-closure maintenance period of the waste management units.

4. Closure of each waste management unit shall be performed under the direct supervision of a California registered civil engineer or a California certified engineering geologist.

E. Conditions Applicable to Discharge Facilities Exempted from Chapter 15 Under Section 2511

1. If the discharger’s wastewater treatment plant is publicly owned or regulated by the Public Utilities Commission, it shall be supervised and operated by persons possessing certificates of appropriate grade according to California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 4, Chapter 14.

2. By-pass (the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except diversions designed to meet variable effluent limits) is prohibited. The Board may take enforcement action against the discharger for by-pass unless:

   a. (1) By-pass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage. (Severe property damage means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities that causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources that can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a by-pass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production); and

   (2) There were no feasible alternatives to by-pass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities or retention of untreated waste. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a by-pass that would otherwise occur during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; or

   b. (1) by-pass is required for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation; and

   (2) neither effluent nor receiving water limitations are exceeded; and

   (3) the discharger notifies the Board ten days in advance.

The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated by-pass as required in paragraph B.1. above.

3. A discharger that wishes to establish the affirmative defense of an upset (see definition in E.6 below) in an action brought for noncompliance shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other evidence, that:
a. an upset occurred and the cause(s) can be identified;

b. the permitted facility was being properly operated at the time of the upset;

c. the discharger submitted notice of the upset as required in paragraph B.1. above; and

d. the discharger complied with any remedial measures required by waste discharge requirements.

In any enforcement proceeding, the discharger seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

4. A discharger whose waste flow has been increasing, or is projected to increase, shall estimate when flows will reach hydraulic and treatment capacities of its treatment, collection, and disposal facilities. The projections shall be made in January, based on the last three years’ average dry weather flows, peak wet weather flows and total annual flows, as appropriate. When any projection shows that capacity of any part of the facilities may be exceeded in four years, the discharger shall notify the Board by 31 January.

5. Effluent samples shall be taken downstream of the last addition of wastes to the treatment or discharge works where a representative sample may be obtained prior to disposal. Samples shall be collected at such a point and in such a manner to ensure a representative sample of the discharge.

6. Definitions

a. Upset means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Discharger. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper action.

b. The monthly average discharge is the total discharge by volume during a calendar month divided by the number of days in the month that the facility was discharging. This number is to be reported in gallons per day or million gallons per day.

Where less than daily sampling is required by this Order, the monthly average shall be determined by the summation of all the measured discharges by the number of days during the month when the measurements were made.

c. The monthly average concentration is the arithmetic mean of measurements made during the month.

d. The “daily maximum” discharge is the total discharge by volume during any day.
e. The “daily maximum” concentration is the highest measurement made on any single discrete sample or composite sample.

f. A “grab” sample is any sample collected in less than 15 minutes.

g. Unless otherwise specified, a composite sample is a combination of individual samples collected over the specified sampling period:

(1) at equal time intervals, with a maximum interval of one hour

(2) at varying time intervals (average interval one hour or less) so that each sample represents an equal portion of the cumulative flow.

The duration of the sampling period shall be specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program. The method of compositing shall be reported with the results.

7. Annual Pretreatment Report Requirements:

Applies to dischargers required to have a Pretreatment Program as stated in waste discharge requirements.

The annual report shall be submitted by 28 February and include, but not be limited to, the following items:

a. A summary of analytical results from representative, flow-proportioned, 24-hour composite sampling of the influent and effluent for those pollutants EPA has identified under Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act which are known or suspected to be discharged by industrial users.

The discharger is not required to sample and analyze for asbestos until EPA promulgates an applicable analytical technique under 40 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) Part 136. Sludge shall be sampled during the same 24-hour period and analyzed for the same pollutants as the influent and effluent sampling analysis. The sludge analyzed shall be a composite sample of a minimum of 12 discrete samples taken at equal time intervals over the 24-hour period. Wastewater and sludge sampling and analysis shall be performed at least annually. The discharger shall also provide any influent, effluent or sludge monitoring data for nonpriority pollutants which may be causing or contributing to Interference, Pass Through or adversely impacting sludge quality. Sampling and analysis shall be performed in accordance with the techniques prescribed in 40 CFR Part 136 and amendments thereto.

b. A discussion of Upset, Interference, or Pass Through incidents, if any, at the treatment plant which the discharger knows or suspects were caused by industrial users of the system. The discussion shall include the reasons why the incidents occurred, the corrective actions taken and, if known, the name and address of the industrial user(s) responsible. The discussion shall also include a review of the applicable pollutant limitations to determine whether any
additional limitations, or changes to existing requirements, may be necessary to prevent Pass Through, Interference, or noncompliance with sludge disposal requirements.

c. The cumulative number of industrial users that the discharger has notified regarding Baseline Monitoring Reports and the cumulative number of industrial user responses.

d. An updated list of the discharger’s industrial users including their names and addresses, or a list of deletions and additions keyed to a previously submitted list. The discharger shall provide a brief explanation for each deletion. The list shall identify the industrial users subject to federal categorical standards by specifying which set(s) of standards are applicable. The list shall indicate which categorical industries, or specific pollutants from each industry, are subject to local limitations that are more stringent than the federal categorical standards. The discharger shall also list the noncategorical industrial users that are subject only to local discharge limitations. The discharger shall characterize the compliance status through the year of record of each industrial user by employing the following descriptions:

(1) Complied with baseline monitoring report requirements (where applicable);

(2) Consistently achieved compliance;

(3) Inconsistently achieved compliance;

(4) Significantly violated applicable pretreatment requirements as defined by 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(vii);

(5) Complied with schedule to achieve compliance (include the date final compliance is required);

(6) Did not achieve compliance and not on a compliance schedule;

(7) Compliance status unknown.

A report describing the compliance status of any industrial user characterized by the descriptions in items (d)(3) through (d)(7) above shall be submitted quarterly from the annual report date to EPA and the Board. The report shall identify the specific compliance status of each such industrial user. This quarterly reporting requirement shall commence upon issuance of this Order.

e. A summary of the inspection and sampling activities conducted by the discharger during the past year to gather information and data regarding the industrial users. The summary shall include but not be limited to, a tabulation of categories of dischargers that were inspected and sampled; how many and how often; and incidents of noncompliance detected.
f. A summary of the compliance and enforcement activities during the past year. The summary shall include the names and addresses of the industrial users affected by the following actions:

(1) Warning letters or notices of violation regarding the industrial user’s apparent noncompliance with federal categorical standards or local discharge limitations. For each industrial user, identify whether the apparent violation concerned the federal categorical standards or local discharge limitations;

(2) Administrative Orders regarding the industrial user’s noncompliance with federal categorical standards or local discharge limitations. For each industrial user, identify whether the violation concerned the federal categorical standards or local discharge limitations;

(3) Civil actions regarding the industrial user’s noncompliance with federal categorical standards or local discharge limitations. For each industrial user, identify whether the violation concerned the federal categorical standards or local discharge limitations;

(4) Criminal actions regarding the industrial user’s noncompliance with federal categorical standards or local discharge limitations. For each industrial user, identify whether the violation concerned the federal categorical standards or local discharge limitations.

(5) Assessment of monetary penalties. For each industrial user identify the amount of the penalties;

(6) Restriction of flow to the treatment plant; or

(7) Disconnection from discharge to the treatment plant.

g. A description of any significant changes in operating the pretreatment program which differ from the discharger’s approved Pretreatment Program, including, but not limited to, changes concerning: the program’s administrative structure; local industrial discharge limitations; monitoring program or monitoring frequencies; legal authority of enforcement policy; funding mechanisms; resource requirements; and staffing levels.

h. A summary of the annual pretreatment budget, including the cost of pretreatment program functions and equipment purchases.

i. A summary of public participation activities to involve and inform the public.

j. A description of any changes in sludge disposal methods and a discussion of any concerns not described elsewhere in the report.

Duplicate signed copies of these reports shall be submitted to the Board and:
Regional Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency W-5
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

and

State Water Resource Control Board
Division of Water Quality
P.O. Box 100
Sacramento, CA 95812

Revised January 2004 to update addresses and phone numbers
25 June 2012

Ms. Katie Carpenter  
Engineering Geologist  
Central Valley Region  
California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
1685 E Street  
Fresno, CA  93706

Subject: Tentative Waste Discharge Requirements Comments  
The Wine Group Fresno (Golden State Vintners)  
KJ 030120*26

Dear Katie:

This letter is submitted by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants (Kennedy/Jenks) on behalf of The Wine Group (TWG) for the Golden State Vintners (GSV) Fresno Winery in response to the Tentative Waste Discharge Requirements (WDR) that were issued for public comment on 24 May 2012. Comments on specific items of the Tentative WDR, associated Monitoring and Reporting Program and Information Sheet are provided below. Our comments for each item are organized by first restating the item as included in the Tentative WDR, then discussing our comments on the item and then providing suggested alternative language for the item.

**Waste Discharge Requirements**

**Finding 3:** “In addition, the Discharger has reconfigured its treatment system to add a rotary screen to remove solids from the wastewater and bypassed the wastewater holding pond to tie directly into the irrigation system. The holding pond was closed in October 2010.”

Comment: The screen that was installed at the Fresno winery is a parabolic screen. To provide operational flexibility for the winery, it is proposed to delete the reference to the specific type of screen and simply indicate that the wastewater is screened. In addition, wastewater discharge to the holding pond was discontinued in October 2010 but the pond was not closed. All wastewater lines from the winery to the pond have been capped but the pond continues to have the ability to receive Fresno Irrigation District water. Furthermore, The WDR requires an evaluation of wastewater storage needs that may result in the pond being brought back into service. Therefore, the following language is proposed for Finding 3:

“In addition, the Discharger has reconfigured its treatment system to add a screen to remove solids from the wastewater and bypassed the wastewater holding pond to tie directly into the irrigation system. Wastewater discharge to the holding pond was discontinued in October 2010. All wastewater lines from the winery to the pond have been capped. The pond has the ability to receive Fresno Irrigation District water.”
Finding 10: “After treatment, the wastewater is pumped directly into the irrigation system. According to the Discharger, the winery wastewater is blended with irrigation water at a 4:1 ratio (four parts irrigation water to one part wastewater) and spread between the vineyard rows via flood irrigation. Supplemental irrigation water to meet crop demand is supplied via drip irrigation.”

Comment: The 4:1 blending ratio is an approximation based on pump capacities. Therefore, Finding 10 should be revised to indicate the 4:1 ratio is approximate, as follows:

“After treatment, the wastewater is pumped directly into the irrigation system. According to the Discharger, the winery wastewater is blended with irrigation water at approximately a 4:1 ratio (four parts irrigation water to one part wastewater) and spread between the vineyard rows via flood irrigation. Supplemental irrigation water to meet crop demand is supplied via drip irrigation.”

Finding 12: “According to the RWD, winery operation will occur year round. With closure of the holding pond the Discharger no longer has the capacity to store wastewater during periods of wet weather. The Water Balance submitted with the RWD addresses the need to continue discharge during the wet weather by increasing the daily application area to minimize the potential to cause oversaturated conditions. The RWD concludes that expansion of the daily application area during wet weather will result in an insignificant increase in hydraulic loading rates. This Order prohibits irrigation with treated wastewater within 24 hours prior to or following a storm event or when soils become oversaturated and requires the Discharger to submit a technical report including a revised water balance to provide an appropriate plan to accommodate wastewater flow and seasonal precipitation with a time schedule to provide adequate wet weather storage if required.”

Comment: As indicated in the comments on Finding 4, the holding pond has not been closed but rather wastewater discharge to the pond has been discontinued. In addition, the prohibition of irrigation within 24 hours of a storm event is unnecessary when Use Area Specification C.2, C.7 and C.10 are also required.

- Use Area Specification C.2 requires that the perimeter of the Use Area be graded to prevent runoff onto adjacent properties.

- Use Area Specification C.7 prohibits wastewater from being discharged to the Use Area in a manner that causes wastewater to stand for greater than 24 hours.

- Use Area Specification C.10 requires that the Use Area be managed to prevent breeding of mosquitoes and specifically that all applied irrigation water must infiltrate completely within 24 hours.

Therefore, the need to prohibit irrigation within 24 hours of a storm event is unclear given the WDR also prohibits runoff, prohibits standing water for more than 24 hours and prohibits mosquito breeding by requiring irrigation water to infiltrate within 24 hours.
It is also noted that prohibiting irrigation within 24 hours of a storm event means that discharge would be prohibited for at least two days. If back to back storms occur, discharge to land could be prohibited for numerous days. The winery is not capable of ceasing discharge for several days due to the nature of its operations. Cleaning and sanitizing activities associated with wine processing occur on a daily basis. In order to cease wastewater discharge the winery would have to shut down until wastewater discharge could resume. Furthermore, Provision 13 of the WDR requires the Discharger to conduct a water balance study, taking into account wastewater flow and 100 year annual precipitation, to evaluate whether wastewater storage is needed in order to meet the requirements of the WDR (including the prohibition to generate runoff and standing water). Therefore, TWG requests that the prohibition to discharge to the Use Area within 24 hours of a storm event be removed from the WDR. The proposed language for Finding 12 is as follows and proposed language for Use Area Specification C.8 is provided on Page 4 of this letter:

"According to the RWD, winery operation will occur year round. By discontinuing discharge to the wastewater pond the Discharger no longer has the capacity to store wastewater during periods of wet weather. The Water Balance submitted with the RWD addresses the need to continue discharge during the wet weather by increasing the daily application area to minimize the potential to cause oversaturated conditions. The RWD concludes that expansion of the daily application area during wet weather will result in an insignificant increase in hydraulic loading rates. This Order prohibits irrigation with treated wastewater when soils become oversaturated and requires the Discharger to submit a technical report including a revised water balance to provide an appropriate plan to accommodate wastewater flow and seasonal precipitation with a time schedule to provide adequate wet weather storage, if required."

Finding 38: "Groundwater Limitations are set at the naturally occurring background water quality or applicable limits."

Comment: Reference to “naturally occurring background water quality” is ambiguous Therefore, the following language is proposed for the first paragraph of Finding 38:

"Groundwater Limitations are set at the background water quality or applicable limits."

Use Area Specification C.6: “Application of waste constituents shall be at reasonable agronomic rates to preclude creation of nuisance and degradation of groundwater, considering the crop, soil, climate, and irrigation management. The annual nutritive loading to the Use Area, including the nutritive value of organic and chemical fertilizers and of the wastewater, shall not exceed the annual crop demand."
Comment: The use of the term "nutritive" is unclear. Use Area Specification C.6 should be modified to be consistent with language in Provision 14, which requires preparation of a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan. The following language is proposed for Use Area Specification C.6:

"Application of waste constituents shall be at reasonable agronomic rates to preclude creation of nuisance conditions and/or degradation of groundwater, considering the crop, soil, climate, and irrigation management. The annual nutrient loading to the Use Area, including organic and chemical fertilizers and wastewater, shall not exceed the annual agronomic rate for the crop."

**Use Area Specification C.8:** "Irrigation with wastewater shall not be performed within 24 hours of a storm event of measurable precipitation or when soils become saturated."

Comment: As indicated in the comments on Finding 12, the prohibition of irrigation within 24 hours of a storm event is unnecessary given the other Use Area Specification C.2, C.7 and C.10. The following language is proposed for Use Area Specification C.8:

"Irrigation with wastewater shall be performed in a manner to preclude runoff of wastewater from the land application area to adjacent property during saturated conditions."

**Groundwater Limitations E.1.a:** "Containing constituent concentrations in excess of the concentrations specified below or natural background quality, whichever is greater."

Comment: As mentioned in the comments on Finding 38, use of the term "natural" is ambiguous. The following language is proposed for Groundwater Limitations E.1.a:

"Containing constituent concentrations in excess of the concentrations specified below or background quality, whichever is greater."

**Provision F.10:** "The Discharge shall maintain and operate surface impoundments sufficiently to protect the integrity of containment levees and prevent overtopping or overflows. Unless a California registered civil engineer certifies (based on design, construction, and conditions of operation and maintenance) that less freeboard is adequate, the operating freeboard shall never be less than two feet (measured vertically). As a means of management and to discern compliance with this Provision, the Discharger shall install and maintain a permanent marker with calibration that indicates the water level at the design capacity and enables determination of available operational freeboard."
Comment: We recommend a clarification to Provision F.10 that the permanent marker be installed if the pond is used for wastewater storage. The following language is recommended for Provision F.10:

"...As a means of management and to discern compliance with this Provision, if the pond is used for wastewater storage the Discharger shall install and maintain a permanent marker with calibration that indicates the water level at the design capacity and enables determination of available operational freeboard."

Provision F.14: "The Discharger shall submit a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan for the Use Area. At a minimum the Plan must include procedures for daily monitoring of the Winery's operations and land application area, an action plan to deal with objectionable odors and/or nuisance conditions, a discussion on blending of wastewater and supplemental irrigation water, supporting data and calculations for monthly and annual water and nutrient balances, and management practices that will ensure wastewater, irrigation water, and commercial fertilizers are applied at agronomic rates."

Comment: We propose a clarification to Provision F.14 indicating that the calculations for the monthly and annual water nutrient balances will ensure that nutrients from wastewater, irrigation water and fertilizers are applied at agronomic rates. The following specific language is proposed:

"...management practices that will ensure that nutrients from wastewater, irrigation water, and commercial fertilizers are applied at agronomic rates."

Provision F.15: "The Discharger shall submit a Salinity Control Plan, with salinity source reduction goals and an implementation time schedule for Executive Officer approval. The control plan should identify any additional methods that could be used to further reduce the salinity of the discharge to the maximum extent feasible, include an estimate on load reductions that may be attained through the methods identified, and provide a description of the tasks, cost, and time required to investigate and implement various elements in the salinity control plan. The Discharger shall implement the plan in accordance with the approved schedule."

Comment: TWG recognizes the need to control the salinity of its discharge and requests to modify the language of Provision F.15 to require identification of methods that could be used to control the salinity rather than reduce the salinity. The Basin Plan references use of best management practices that control (not reduce) inorganic dissolved solids to the maximum extent feasible. The following specific language is proposed for Provision F.15:

"The Discharger shall submit a Salinity Control Plan, with salinity source reduction goals and an implementation time schedule for Executive Officer approval. The control plan should identify any additional methods that could be used to further control the salinity of the discharge to the maximum extent feasible..."
Provision F.16: “Groundwater Tasks...The Discharger shall comply with the following compliance schedule in implementing the work required by this Provision:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Compliance Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a Submit Work Plan and Time Schedule for monitoring well installation.</td>
<td>&lt;90 Days&gt; following adoption of the Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Commence installation of additional monitoring wells</td>
<td>&lt;30 Days&gt; following approval of Work Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment: Provision 16 requires the Discharger to install and maintain a groundwater monitoring network in coordination with the Fresno-Clovis WWTF. GSV does not currently have access to information on the Fresno-Clovis WWTF and will therefore need to request the relevant information and will need time to review and evaluate the information. Therefore, GSV requests an extension of the Compliance Date for Task (a) to 120 days following adoption of the Order. In addition, the Work Plan required by Task (a) is to include a Time Schedule for monitoring well installation and therefore the requirement for Task (b) should be to commence implementation of the Work Plan 30 days following approval of the Work Plan. The following language is proposed for Provision F.16:

The Discharger shall comply with the following compliance schedule in implementing the work required by this Provision:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Compliance Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a Submit Work Plan and Time Schedule for monitoring well installation.</td>
<td>&lt;120 Days&gt; following adoption of the Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Commence implementation of the Work Plan</td>
<td>&lt;30 Days&gt; following approval of Work Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monitoring and Reporting Program

General Comment: The Effluent Monitoring and Groundwater Monitoring tables list general minerals as monitoring requirements. The MRP would be easier to follow if the list of general minerals is provided as footnotes to each monitoring table in addition to being listed in the glossary.

Groundwater Monitoring: “The Discharger shall monitor all wells in its Groundwater Monitoring Well Network, and any subsequent additional wells, for the following.”
Comment: The groundwater monitoring well network may include monitoring wells owned and controlled by the Fresno-Clovis WWTF. It is conceivable that GSV may not have full access to monitoring wells owned by the Fresno-Clovis WWTF. It is proposed that the Discharger be required to monitor the wells proposed in the Work Plan and Time Schedule for Monitoring Well Installation required by Provision F.12 of the WDR. The following language is proposed for the Groundwater Monitoring requirement:

"The Discharger shall monitor the wells installed in accordance with the Work Plan and Time Schedule for Monitoring Well Installation, and any subsequent additional wells, for the following:"

**Source Water Monitoring:** "For each source (either well or surface water supply), the Discharger shall calculate the flow-weighted average concentrations for the specified constituents."

Comment: It is our understanding that the CRWQCB is interested in the flow weighted average concentration of water supplied to the winery facility but is also interested in characterization of the various sources of water used for supplemental irrigation in the Use Area. The following language is proposed for the Source Water Monitoring requirement:

"The Discharger shall monitor all sources (either well or surface water supply) to the winery facility and the land application area for EC and general minerals according to the following table. Measurements for EC supplied to the facility shall be a flow weighted average concentration based on different sources supplied to the facility."

**Wastewater reporting Item 3:** "A summary of the notations made in the pond monitoring log during each quarter. The entire contents of the log do not need to be submitted."

Comment: Results of the study required by Provision 13 of the WDR may preclude the need for a pond. Therefore, Wastewater reporting item 3 may not be applicable. The language for Wastewater Reporting Item 3 is proposed as follows:

"A summary of the notations made in the pond monitoring log during each quarter, if applicable. The entire contents of the log do not need to be submitted."

**Solids Reporting Item 1:** "Annual production totals in dry tons or cubic yards."

Comment: We propose a clarification to the solids reporting requirement indicating that solids such as trash and recyclables do not need to be reported. The following language is proposed for Solids Reporting Item 1:

"Annual production totals for solids (excluding general trash and recyclables) in dry tons or cubic yards."
Glossary

24-Hour Composite: "Samples shall be a flow-proportioned composite consisting of at least eight aliquots"

Comment: Flow-proportioned composite sampling may be difficult given the hydraulic setup of the wastewater system. Wastewater discharge at the winery is not expected to vary significantly over the course of a day. Therefore, it is proposed that 24-hour composite samples represent equal aliquots collected at least every hour.

"Samples shall be a composite consisting of aliquots collected at least every hour"

Information Sheet

Comment: The Information Sheet provides supporting information for the findings and requirements in the WDR. Our comments on Findings 3 and 10 therefore also apply to paragraphs 4 and 7, respectively.

We appreciate your consideration of our comments and request for modification of the Tentative WDR. If you have any questions regarding our comments, please contact me at 415-243-2524 or Mike Donich of GSV at (559) 286-8387.

Very truly yours,

KENNEDY/JENKS CONSULTANTS

Robert S. Chrobak, P.E.
Project Manager

cc: Sue Giampietro, TWG
    Mike Donich, GSV
At a public hearing scheduled for 1-3 August 2012, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, (Central Valley Water Board) will consider adoption of Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) for the Golden State Vintners, Inc., Fresno Winery. This document contains responses to written comments received from interested parties regarding the Tentative WDRs (TWDRs) circulated on 25 May 2012. Written comments from interested parties were required by public notice to be received by the Central Valley Water Board by 25 June 2012 to receive full consideration. Comments were received by Kennedy Jenks Consultants Engineers & Scientists on behalf of Golden State Vintners, Inc. (GSV).

Comments from the above interested party are included along with suggested changes in the appropriate sections below, followed by responses from Central Valley Water Board staff.

**GVV COMMENTS**

**GSV - COMMENT No. 1:** For Finding 3, the screen that was installed at the Fresno Winery is a parabolic screen. To provide flexibility for the Winery, GSV requests that the reference to a specific type of screen be deleted and simply indicate that the wastewater is screened. In addition, wastewater discharge to the holding pond was discontinued in October 2010 but the pond was not closed. All wastewater lines from the Winery to the pond have been capped but the pond continues to have the ability to receive Fresno Irrigation District water. Furthermore, the WDR requires an evaluation of wastewater storage needs that may result in the pond being brought back into service. Therefore, the following change to Finding 3 is proposed:

“...In addition, the Discharger has reconfigured its treatment system to add a rotary screen to remove solids from the wastewater and bypassed the holding pond to tie directly into the irrigation system. The holding pond was closed. Wastewater discharge to the holding pond was discontinued in October 2010. All wastewater lines from the Winery to the pond have been capped. The pond has the ability to receive Fresno Irrigation District water.”

**RESPONSE:** The Order has been modified to reflect this change.

**GSV - COMMENT No. 2:** The blending ratio of 4:1 (four parts irrigation water to one part wastewater) stipulated in Finding 10 is an approximation based on pump capabilities. Therefore, the following change to Finding 10 is proposed:

“After treatment, the wastewater is pumped directly into the irrigation system. According to the Discharger, the winery wastewater is blended with irrigation water at approximately a 4:1 ratio (four parts irrigation water to one part wastewater) and spread between the vineyard rows via flood irrigation. Supplemental irrigation water to meet crop demand is supplied via drip irrigation.”
RESPONSE: The Order has been modified to reflect this change.

GSV – COMMENT No. 3: The prohibition against irrigating with wastewater within 24 hours prior to and following a storm event as stated in Finding 12 and Use Area Specification C.8 is unnecessary since Use Area Specifications C.2, C.7, and C.10 are also required.

- Use Area Specification C.2 requires that the perimeter of the Use Area be graded to prevent runoff onto adjacent properties.
- Use Area Specification C.7 prohibits wastewater from being discharged to the Use Area in a manner that causes wastewater to stand for greater than 24 hours.
- Use Area Specification C.10 requires that the Use Area be managed to prevent breeding off mosquitoes and specifically that all applied irrigation water must infiltrate completely within 24 hours.

It is also noted that prohibiting irrigation within 24 hours of a storm event means that the discharge would be prohibited for at least two days, and if back to back storms occur the discharge could be prohibited for several days. Due to the nature of its operations, the Winery is not capable of ceasing discharges for a period of several days. Cleaning and sanitizing activities associated with wine processing occurs on a daily basis. In order to cease discharge the Winery would have to shut down operations until the discharge could resume. Furthermore, Provision F.13 of the WDR requires the Discharger to conduct a water balance study, taking into account wastewater flow and 100 year annual precipitation, to evaluate whether wastewater storage is needed in order to meet the requirements of the WDR (including prohibitions against runoff and standing water). Therefore, GSV requests that the prohibition to discharge to the Use Area within 24 hours of a storm event be removed.

RESPONSE: Given that Use Area Specifications C.2, C.7, and C.10 require control of the wastewater application, and Use Area Specification C.5 requires that hydraulic loading to the Use Area be at agronomic rates, the Order contains enough requirements to ensure that the discharge is controlled in a manner that precludes hydraulic overloading and site runoff. Therefore, Use Area Specification C.8 has been removed and Finding 12 has been modified as follows:

“According to the RWD, winery operations will occur year round. With closure of the holding pond By discontinuing discharge to the holding pond the Discharger no longer has the capacity to store wastewater during periods of wet weather. The Water Balance submitted with the RWD addresses the need to continue discharge during wet weather by increasing the daily application area to minimize the potential to cause oversaturated conditions. The RWD concludes that expansion of the daily application area during wet weather will result in an insignificant increase in the hydraulic loading rate. This Order proscribes prohibits irrigation..."
with treated wastewater in a manner that would cause runoff onto adjacent properties, ponding for greater than 24 hours, or exceedance of agronomic application rates, within 24 hours prior to or following a storm event or when soils become oversaturated and requires the Discharger to submit a technical report including a revised water balance to provide an appropriate plan to accommodate wastewater flow and seasonal precipitation with a time schedule to provide wet weather storage, if required.”

GSV – COMMENT No. 4: Reference to “naturally occurring background water quality” is ambiguous. Therefore, the following change to the first paragraph in Finding 38 is proposed:

“Groundwater Limitations are set at the naturally occurring background water quality or applicable limits…”

RESPONSE: If the Board just removed the term “natural” when referring to background groundwater quality, the Board could be setting up a scenario where up-gradient dischargers could pollute groundwater, and then GSV would be allowed to discharge in exceedance of water quality objectives. This would be inconsistent with the State’s Antidegradation Policy. Instead, due to the fact that the Board has allowed limited degradation of groundwater up-gradient of GSV through the issuance of WDRs Order 5-01-254 for the Fresno-Clovis Metropolitan Regional Wastewater Treatment Facility, the limits in the Order will be set so that they are consistent with the other Orders that affect the groundwater. Finding 38 has not been modified.

GSV – COMMENT No. 5: The use of the term “nutritive” in Use Area Specification C.6 is unclear and should be modified to be consistent with the language in Provision F.14, which requires preparation of a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan. Therefore, the following change to Use Area Specification C.6 is proposed:

“Application of waste constituents shall be at reasonable agronomic rates to preclude creation of nuisance conditions and/or degradation of groundwater, considering the crop, soil, climate, and irrigation management. The annual nutritive nutrient loading to the Use Area, including nutritive value of organic and chemical fertilizers and of the wastewater shall not exceed the annual agronomic rate for the crop demand.”

RESPONSE: The Order has been modified to reflect this change.

GSV – COMMENT No. 6: As discussed in Comment No. 3 above, the prohibition of irrigating within 24 hours of a storm event is unnecessary given other Use Area Specifications,
specifically, C.2, C.5, C.7, and C.10. Therefore, the following change to Use Area Specification C.8 is proposed:

“Irrigation with wastewater shall not be performed within 24 hours of a storm event of measurable precipitation or when soils become saturated in a manner to preclude runoff of wastewater from the land application area to adjacent property during saturated conditions.”

RESPONSE: See response to GSV - Comment No. 2. The Order has been modified to remove Use Area Specification C.8 and the numbers of the remaining specifications were adjusted accordingly.

GSV – COMMENT No. 7: As discussed in Comment No. 4, the use of the term “natural” when discussing background water quality is ambiguous. Therefore, the following change to Groundwater Limitation E.1.a is proposed:

“a. Containing constituent concentrations in excess of the concentrations specified below or natural background quality, whichever is greater:”

RESPONSE: For the reasons discussed previously under Comment No.4, the Order has not been modified.

GSV – COMMENT No. 8: The Winery currently does not have a wastewater pond and pending the results of the technical evaluation required in Provision F.13, a pond may or may not be required. GSV recommends that clarification to Provision F.10 be added so that a permanent marker be installed if the pond is used for wastewater storage. Therefore, the following change to Provision F.10 is proposed:

“… As a means of management and to discern compliance with this Provision, if the pond is used for wastewater storage the Discharger shall install and maintain a permanent marker with calibration that indicates the water level at the design capacity and enables determination of available operational freeboard.”

RESPONSE: The Order has been modified as follows:

“… As a means of management and to discern compliance with this Provision, if a pond is used for wastewater storage the Discharger shall install and maintain a permanent marker with calibration that indicates the water level at the design capacity and enables determination of available operational freeboard.”
GSV – COMMENT No. 9: GSV proposes a clarification to Provision F.14 indicating that the calculations for the monthly and annual nutrient balances will ensure that nutrients from wastewater, irrigation water and fertilizers are applied at agronomic rates. The following change to Provision F.14 is proposed:

“…management practices that will ensure that nutrients from wastewater, irrigation water, and commercial fertilizers are applied at agronomic rates.”

RESPONSE: The proposed change has not been made. The Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan must ensure that the proposed management practices address the hydraulic as well as nutrient demands of the crop.

GSV – COMMENT No. 10: The Discharger recognizes the need to control salinity of its discharge and requests to modify the language of Provision F.15 (regarding the Salinity Control Plan) to require identification of methods that could be used to control salinity rather than reduce it. The Basin Plan references the use of best management practices that control (not reduce) inorganic dissolved solids to the maximum extent feasible. Therefore, the following change to Provision F.15 is proposed:

The Discharger shall submit a Salinity Control Plan, with salinity source reduction goals and an implementation time schedule for Executive Officer approval. The control plan should identify any additional methods that could be used to further control the salinity of the discharge to the maximum extent feasible…

RESPONSE: The Order has been modified to reflect this change.

GSV – COMMENT No. 11: Provision F.16 requires the Discharger to install and maintain a groundwater monitoring network in coordination with the Fresno-Clovis WWTF. GSV does not currently have access to information on the Fresno-Clovis WWTF and will therefore need to request the relevant information and will need time to review and evaluate the information. Therefore, GSV requests an extension of the compliance task dates included in Provision F.16 and the following changes are proposed:

The Discharger shall comply with the following compliance schedule in implementing the work required by this Provision:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Compliance Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a Submit Work Plan and Time Schedule for monitoring well installation.</td>
<td>&lt;90 120 Days&gt; following adoption of the Order</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) Commence installation of additional monitoring wells <30 Days> following approval of the implementation of the Work Plan

RESPONSE: The Order has been modified to reflect this change.

GSV – COMMENT No. 12: GSV proposes the following suggestions and changes to the Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP):

General Comment: The list of the general mineral constituents should be included in the footnotes of the various Tables, if required, in addition to being listed in the glossary.

RESPONSE: The Order has been modified to reflect this change.

Groundwater Monitoring: The groundwater monitoring well network may include monitoring wells owned and controlled by the Fresno-Clovis WWTF. It is conceivable that GSV may not have full access to the monitoring wells owned by the Fresno-Clovis WWTF. As such the following change to the Groundwater Monitoring Section of the MRP is proposed;

“The Discharger shall monitor the wells installed in accordance with the Work Plan and Time Schedule for Monitoring Well Installation, and any subsequent additional wells, for the following:”

RESPONSE: The Order has been modified to reflect this change.

Source Water Monitoring: It is our understanding that the Central Valley Water Board is interested in the flow weighted average concentration of water supplied to the Winery, but also interested in characterization of various sources of water used for supplemental irrigation in the Use Area. The following changes to the Source Water Monitoring Section are proposed:

The Discharger shall monitor all sources (either well or surface supply), the Discharger shall calculate the flow weighted average concentrations for the specified constituents to the Winery facility and land application area for EC and general minerals according to the following Table. Measurements for EC supplied to the facility shall be a flow weighted average concentration based on different sources supplied to the facility.”
RESPONSE: The Source Water Monitoring Section in the MRP has been modified to read as follows:

The Discharger shall monitor all sources (either well or surface supply) to the Winery and Use Area for EC and general minerals in accordance with the following Table. Measurements for EC supplied to the Winery shall be a flow-weighted average concentration of all sources supplying the Winery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Constituent/Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply Water</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Flow-Weighted EC</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>General Minerals(^2,3)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Water</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once every 3 years(^1)</td>
<td>General Minerals(^2,3)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The first sampling event shall occur in the year that the Order is adopted.
2 Samples collected for metals shall be filtered with a 0.45 micron filter prior to preservation and analysis.
3 At a minimum the General Minerals analysis shall include alkalinity, bicarbonate, calcium, carbonate, chloride, hardness, magnesium, potassium, phosphorus, sodium, sulfate, TDS, and a cation/anion balance.

Wastewater Reporting Item 3: Results of the study required by Provision F.13 may preclude the need for a pond. Therefore, the following change to Wastewater Reporting Item 3 is proposed:

“A summary of the notations made in the pond monitoring log during each quarter, if applicable. The entire contents of the log do not need to be submitted”

RESPONSE: The Order has been modified to reflect this change.

Solids Reporting Item 1: To provide clarification, GSV proposes the following change to the Solids Reporting Item 1:

“Annual production totals for solids (excluding general trash and recyclables) in dry tons or cubic yards.”

RESPONSE: The Order has been modified to reflect this change.

Glossary: 24-Hour Composite: Flow proportioned composite sampling may be difficult given the hydraulic setup of the wastewater system. Wastewater discharge at the Winery is not expected to vary significantly over the course of a day. Therefore, it is proposed that the 24-hour composite sample be of representative aliquots collected every hour rather than a flow-proportioned sample as stated in the Tentative
RESPONSE: The Order has been modified to require the 24-hour composite sampling be time proportioned rather than flow proportioned.

GSV – COMMENT No. 13: GSV proposes that all changes to the WDRs be incorporated into the Information Sheet. Specifically, changes made to Findings 3 and 10.

RESPONSE: The Order has been modified to reflect this change.