The California Regional Water Quality Control Regional Board, Central Valley Region, (hereafter Central Valley Water Board) finds that:

1. On 7 June 2007, SunnyGem, LLC submitted a Report of Waste Discharge (RWD) for operation of an almond processing plant (Plant) and the discharge of process wastewater for irrigation of landscape areas around the Plant. A revised RWD was submitted on 11 February 2014 for expansion of the Plant and to increase flows. Additional information was submitted on 12 March and 13 March 2014 to complete the RWD. To handle the increased flows, wastewater will be discharged to supplement irrigation of approximately 32.5 acres of agricultural land north of the Plant.

2. SunnyGem, LLC (hereafter SunnyGem or Discharger) owns and operates the Plant that generates the waste and is responsible for compliance with these Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs). Sandridge Partners, LP owns the land application area and is named as a Co-discharger in this Order.

3. The Plant is at 500 North F Street in Wasco (Section 1, Township 27 South, Range 24 East, MDB&M). The Plant and land application area occupy Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs) 487-250-01, 487-250-12, 487-250-13, 487-250-23, 487-250-24, and 487-010-15, as shown on Attachment A, which is attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference.

   **Existing Facility and Discharge**

4. The Plant operates continuously throughout the year. The Plant receives almonds that have already been hulled and shelled at other facilities for further processing, packaging, and distribution. Almonds brought to the Plant are sorted, graded, and then blanched, dry roasted, sliced, diced, slivered, and/or milled. The Plant can currently process up to 80 million pounds of almond meat per year. With the planned expansion, the Plant’s capacity would be increased to about 120 pounds per year.

5. Source water for the Plant is provided by the City of Wasco. According to the City’s 2012 Consumer Confidence Report, the source water is relatively good with an
electrical conductivity (EC) of 230 to 369 umhos/cm, total dissolved solids (TDS) of 160 to 240 mg/L, and nitrate as nitrogen (NO$_3$-N) of 3.3 to 10 mg/L.

6. According to the 2014 RWD, process wastewater to be discharged to the land application area will be from blanching operations at the Plant. Blanching operations are a closed system separate from other operations at the Plant. Process wastewater will consist of blanching water and a small amount of cleaning water. Other waste streams, including domestic waste, boiler condensate, cooling water blowdown, and cleaning water, will be discharged to the City of Wasco’s Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF).

7. Current total flows at the Plant range from about 40,000 to 100,000 gallons per day. The RWD estimates that with the planned expansion, wastewater flows from the blanching operation will average about 50,000 gallons per day or 0.05 million gallons per day (mgd).

8. In the blanching process, the almonds are immersed in a hot water stream with mechanical abrasion to remove the outer skins of the almonds. After the blanching process, solids consisting primarily of skins will be removed and the wastewater drained to a series of two 20,000-gallon holding tanks. The holding tanks act as settling chambers to settle out the remaining solids.

9. As this discharge has not been previously regulated, there is little data on wastewater quality. Samples of the wastewater were collected in January 2007 and October 2012. The sample collected in 2007 was of the combined waste stream, while the 2012 samples included just wastewater from the blanching operations as well as a sample of the combined waste stream. Table 1 below presents the analytical data for samples collected in 2007 and 2012:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>1/10/2007 (combined waste stream)</th>
<th>10/3/2012 (blanching wastewater)</th>
<th>10/3/2012 (combined waste stream)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>pH units</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity (EC)</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate as Nitrogen (NO$_3$-N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 1. Wastewater Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>1/10/2007 (combined waste stream)</th>
<th>10/3/2012 (blanching wastewater)</th>
<th>10/3/2012 (combined waste stream)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate as CaCO₃</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. SunnyGem also collects BOD samples of its effluent when discharging to the City’s WWTF. Data from 61 samples collected from June through December of 2013 ranged from about 500 to 3,100 mg/L, with an average concentration of about 1,300 mg/L, and average monthly concentrations ranging from about 1,100 to 1,800 mg/L.

11. The blanching wastewater is high in organics (BOD) and nitrogen as TKN, though the EC of the discharge at 510 umhos/cm is relatively low. The increase in concentrations observed between 2007 and 2012 is believed to be the result of increased production at the Plant and water conservation measures to reduce water use.

12. The Discharger adds Biostax 1800, a proprietary blend of non-toxic and non-pathogenic bacteria, to the wastewater to enhance breakdown of oils and organic matter and to help control odors. Other chemicals added to the waste stream include minor amounts of FDA-approved cleaning chemicals used during sanitation of the equipment used in the blanching operations.

13. During the irrigation season, wastewater from the blanching operations will be discharged from the holding tanks to an unlined temporary holding pond. The holding pond has a design capacity of approximately 132,000 gallons with two feet of freeboard. This equates to approximately 2.5 days of storage at 0.05 mgd. There is a high level valve in the holding pond that will switch off the pump and divert wastewater to the City’s WWTF to prevent overflow.

14. From the temporary holding pond, the wastewater will be pumped into the irrigation system and used to irrigate the 32.5-acre land application area. Irrigation water will be applied via flood irrigation. There is a tailwater pond on the northern edge of the field to collect excess irrigation water. Tailwater is returned to the holding pond and recirculated back into the irrigation system. Supplemental irrigation water will be from groundwater or surface water provided by the Shafter-Wasco Irrigation District.

15. The land application area (APN 487-010-15) owned by Sandridge Partners, LP is currently planted in alfalfa. However, the Discharger may also plant sudan grass or other fodder crops if feasible. According to the RWD, the land application area will be
irrigated five days a week for a three week period then allowed to rest for three weeks to allow for drying and harvesting of the crop. The RWD estimates that the land application area will be irrigated approximately six times throughout the year resulting in an annual discharge of 4.5 million gallons per year. When not irrigating, wastewater from the blanching operations will be diverted to the City’s WWTF.

16. With an estimated nitrogen concentration of about 96 mg/L the annual nitrogen load to the 32.5 acre land application area with an annual discharge of 4.5 million gallons would be approximately 110 lbs/acre/year. This is less than the annual nitrogen uptake for alfalfa or sudan grass of about 480 and 325 lbs/acre/year, respectively (Western Fertilizer Handbook, 9th edition).

17. With average BOD concentrations between 1,100 and 1,800 mg/L, the estimated BOD load to the land application area at 0.05 mgd would be between 70 and 115 lbs/acre/week or 14 and 23 lbs/acre/day.

18. Skins and solids removed during the blanching process are collected and sold as a commodity for use as animal feed. Residual solids removed from the holding tank are collected and disposed of off-site as a solid waste.

19. All processing and production activities take place inside. Stormwater from parking and paved areas around the Plant are discharged under permit to the City of Wasco’s stormwater collection system. For the expansion areas, stormwater will either be retained on-site or the Discharger will obtain a revised permit for discharging to the City’s stormwater collection system.

Site-Specific Conditions

20. The Plant and land application area are in the southern portion of the San Joaquin Valley. Topography in the area is generally level with an approximate elevation between 325 and 330 feet above mean sea level.

21. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) maps show that the Plant and land application area are within Flood Zone X, areas determined to be outside the 0.02% annual chance of flooding.

22. United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey maps characterize approximately the top six feet of soils. Soils within the land application area are primarily Panoche clay loam, McFarland loam and Wasco sandy loam. Panoche clay loam and McFarland loam are moderate to well drained soils with hydraulic conductivities between 0.57 to 1.98 inches per hour, with a land classification unit of 1 (no restrictions). Wasco sandy loam is a well drained soil with a hydraulic conductivity of 1.98 to 5.9 inches per hour, and land classification unit of 2s (minor restrictions due to droughty soils or excessive drainage).
23. Climate in the Central Valley is characterized by hot dry summers and mild winters. The rainy season generally extends from November through April. Occasional rains occur during the spring and fall months, but summer months are dry. Based on publications from the Department of Water Resources and the Western Regional Climate Center, annual rainfall for the Wasco area averages about 6.83 inches, with a 100-year-return-period wet year rainfall of about 14.34 inches. From the California Irrigation Management System (CIMIS), the mean referenced evapotranspiration rate (ETo) for the nearby Shafter station is about 52.1 inches per year.

24. The site is on the northern edge of the City of Wasco. Land use in the vicinity of the site is mixed, with residential to the west, light industrial to the south, and primarily agricultural land to the north and west. According to the 2006 Kern County land use survey from the Department of Water Resources, primary crops grown in the area include alfalfa, grain crops, almonds, beans, field crops, oranges, pistachios, and walnuts.

**Groundwater Conditions**

25. According to the Department of Water Resources Groundwater Elevation Maps (Spring 2010) first encountered groundwater in the vicinity of the site occurs at about 290 to 310 feet below ground surface (bgs). Regional flow in the area is to the southwest.

26. The California Department of Water Resources and United States Geological Survey publish information about groundwater quality. Data that is pertinent to characterizing first-encountered groundwater prior to 1968 is limited due to the wide variability in the screened interval of the wells, sampling dates, and constituents monitored. Table 2 presents groundwater data for wells in the area from the Water Quality Portal database provided by the United States Geological Survey, National Water Quality Monitoring Council, and United States Environmental Protection Agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>027S025E 06N001M</th>
<th>027S024E 15C001M</th>
<th>026S025E 31P002M</th>
<th>026S024E 34R001M</th>
<th>026S024E 35H001M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well Depth (feet bgs)</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Date</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃-N</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*nd = not detected*
Recent data from the *Groundwater Ambient Monitoring Program* (GAMA) database and Geotracker identified a supply well within approximately 2 miles of the site that reported EC, TDS, and NO₃-N of 300 to 310 umhos/cm, 200 to 225 mg/L, and 6.4 to 9.8 mg/L, respectively for samples collected between 2004 and 2010, and monitoring wells for a groundwater investigation within one mile of the site measured depth-to-water at about 330 feet bgs and EC ranging from 320 to 400 umhos/cm in 2013.

From this data, groundwater in the vicinity of the site appears to be of good quality with an EC between 160 to 900 umhos/cm, TDS between 130 and 500 mg/L, and NO₃-N of less than 10 mg/L.

**Basin Plan, Beneficial Uses, and Regulatory Considerations**


The Plant and land application area lie within the North Kern Hydrologic Area (558.8), as depicted on interagency hydrologic maps prepared by the State Water Resources Control Board and the Department of Water Resources, revised in August 1986. Local drainage is by sheet flow to the west toward the valley floor. The beneficial uses of valley floor waters, as stated in the Basin Plan, are agricultural supply; industrial service supply; industrial process supply; water contact recreation; non-contact water recreation; warm freshwater habitat; wildlife habitat; rare, threatened, or endangered species; and groundwater recharge.

The beneficial uses of underlying groundwater as set forth in the Basin Plan are municipal and domestic supply, agricultural supply, industrial service supply, and industrial process supply.

The Basin Plan encourages the reuse of wastewater and identifies crop irrigation as a reuse option where the opportunity exists to replace an existing or proposed use of fresh water with reused water.

The Basin Plan establishes narrative water quality objectives for chemical constituents, tastes and odors, and toxicity in groundwater.

The Basin Plan's narrative water quality objectives for chemical constituents requires, at a minimum, waters designated as domestic or municipal supply to meet the MCLs specified in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations (hereafter Title 22). The Basin Plan recognizes that the Central Valley Water Board may apply limits more
stringent than MCLs to ensure that waters do not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.

35. The narrative toxicity objective requires that groundwater be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, animal, plant, or aquatic life associated with designated beneficial uses.

36. Quantifying a narrative water quality objective requires a site-specific evaluation of those constituents that have the potential to impact water quality and beneficial uses. The Basin Plan states that when compliance with a narrative objective is required to protect specific beneficial uses, the Central Valley Water Board will, on a case-by-case basis, adopt numerical limitations in order to implement the narrative objective.

37. In the absence of specific numerical water quality limits, the Basin Plan methodology is to consider any relevant published criteria. General salt tolerance guidelines, such as *Water Quality for Agriculture* by Ayers and Westcot and similar references indicate that yield reductions in nearly all crops are not evident when irrigation water has an EC less than 700 μmhos/cm. There is, however, an eight- to ten-fold range in salt tolerance for agricultural crops and the appropriate salinity values to protect agriculture in the Central Valley are considered on a case-by-case basis. It is possible to achieve full yield potential with waters having EC up to 3,000 μmhos/cm if the proper leaching fraction is provided to maintain soil salinity within the tolerance of the crop.

38. The list of crops in Finding 24 is not intended as a definitive inventory of crops that are or could be grown in the area affected by the discharge, but it is representative of current and historical agricultural practices in the area.

39. The Basin Plan identifies the greatest long-term problem facing the entire Tulare Lake Basin as the increase in salinity in groundwater, which has accelerated due to the intensive use of soil and water resources by irrigated agriculture. The Basin Plan recognizes that degradation is unavoidable until there is a long-term solution to the salt imbalance. Until then, the Basin Plan establishes effluent salinity limits for both municipal and industrial discharges and states that effluent limits established for municipal discharges shall generally apply to industrial discharges. Limits potentially applicable to the proposed discharge, include:

a. The incremental increase in salts from use and treatment must be controlled to the extent possible. Dischargers must limit the increase in EC of a point source discharge to land to a maximum of 500 umhos/cm.

b. Discharges to areas that may recharge good quality groundwater shall not exceed an EC of 1,000 umhos/cm, a chloride content of 175 mg/L, or a boron content of 1 mg/L.
40. Many surface waters and local groundwater supplies have been degraded with salt. In some areas, the high salinity is naturally occurring, but in many areas it is due to the acts of man. In 2006, the Central Valley Water Board, the State Water Board, and stakeholders began a joint effort to address salinity and nitrate problems in the region and adopt long-term solutions that will lead to enhanced water quality and economic sustainability. Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability (CV-SALTS) is a collaborative basin planning effort aimed at developing and implementing a comprehensive salinity and nitrate management program. Until the program culminates in Basin Plan amendments that address the region-wide salinity issues, it is not reasonable to require dischargers to take extraordinary measures to eliminate salt from wastes discharged to land. However, the Board expects that all regulated dischargers will make a concerted effort to reduce salinity through source control, containment, and conventional treatment to the maximum practical extent.

**Other Considerations**

41. Excessive application of high organic strength wastewater to land can create objectionable odors, soil conditions that are harmful to crops, and degradation of underlying groundwater with nitrogen species and metals, as discussed below. Such groundwater degradation can be prevented or minimized through implementation of best management practices which include planting crops to take up plant nutrients and maximizing oxidation of BOD to prevent nuisance conditions.

42. It is reasonable to expect some attenuation of various waste constituents that percolate below the root zone within the vadose (unsaturated) zone. Specifically, excess nitrogen can be mineralized and denitrified by soil microorganisms, organic constituents (measured as both BOD and volatile dissolved solids) can be oxidized, and the cation exchange capacity of the soil may immobilize some salinity constituents.

43. With regard to BOD, excessive application can deplete oxygen in the vadose zone and lead to anoxic conditions. At the ground surface, this can result in nuisance odors and fly-breeding. When insufficient oxygen is present below the ground surface, anaerobic decay of the organic matter can create reducing conditions that convert metals that are naturally present in the soil as relatively insoluble (oxidized) forms to more soluble reduced forms. This condition can be exacerbated by acidic soils and/or acidic wastewater. If the reducing conditions do not reverse as the percolate travels down through the vadose zone, these dissolved metals (primarily iron, manganese, and arsenic) can degrade shallow groundwater quality. Many aquifers contain enough dissolved oxygen to reverse the process, but excessive BOD loading over extended periods may cause beneficial use impacts associated with these metals.

44. Typically, irrigation with high strength wastewater results in high BOD loading on the day of application. It is reasonable to expect some oxidation of BOD at the ground
surface, within the evapotranspiration zone and below the root zone within the vadose (unsaturated) zone. The maximum BOD loading rate that can be applied to land without creating nuisance conditions or leaching of metals can vary significantly depending on soil conditions and operation of the land application system.

45. *Pollution Abatement in the Fruit and Vegetable Industry*, published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, cites BOD loading rates in the range of 36 to 600 lb/acre-day to prevent nuisance, but indicates the loading rates can be even higher under certain conditions. The studies that supported this report did not evaluate actual or potential groundwater degradation associated with those rates. There are few studies that have attempted to determine maximum BOD loading rates for protection of groundwater quality. Those that have been done are not readily adapted to the varying soil, groundwater, and climate conditions that are prevalent throughout the region.

46. The California League of Food Processors’ *Manual of Good Practice for Land Application of Food Processing/Rinse Water* proposes risk categories associated with particular BOD loading rate ranges as follows:

- **Risk Category 1**: (less than 50 lb/ac/day; depth to groundwater greater than 5 feet) Indistinguishable from good farming operations with good distribution important.
- **Risk Category 2**: (less than 100 lb/ac/day; depth to groundwater greater than 5 feet) Minimal risk of unreasonable groundwater degradation with good distribution more important.
- **Risk Category 3**: (greater than 100 lb/ac/day; depth to groundwater greater than 2 feet) Requires detailed planning and good operation with good distribution very important to prevent unreasonable degradation, as well as use of oxygen transfer design equations that consider site-specific application cycles, soil properties and special monitoring.

The *Manual of Good Practice* recommends allowing a 50 percent increase in the BOD loading rates in cases where sprinkler irrigation is used, but recommends that additional safety factors be used for sites with heavy and/or compacted soils.

47. Although it has not been subject to a scientific peer review process, the *Manual of Good Practice* provides science-based guidance for BOD loading rates that, if fully implemented, may be considered management practices to prevent groundwater degradation due to reduced metals.

48. This Order sets an average BOD loading rate for the land application area of 100 lb/acre/day. This Order also includes a Provision requiring the Discharger to prepare a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan to address both BOD and nutrient loading rates.
Antidegradation Analysis

49. State Water Resources Control Board Resolution 68-16 (“Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality Waters of the State”) (hereafter Resolution 68-16) prohibits degradation of groundwater unless it has been shown that:
   a. The degradation does not result in water quality less than that prescribed in state and regional policies, including violation of one or more water quality objectives;
   b. The degradation will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated future beneficial uses;
   c. The discharger employs best practicable treatment or control (BPTC) to minimize degradation; and
   d. The degradation is consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State.

50. Constituents of concern in the discharge (those with the greatest potential to affect beneficial uses of receiving water) include organics, nitrogen, and salts (primarily TDS, and potassium). However, the discharge is not expected to cause groundwater to exceed water quality objectives because:
   a. For organics, using the maximum average BOD concentration of about 1,800 mg/L, the estimated BOD load to the land application area at the permitted flow of 0.05 mgd would be about 115 lbs/acre/week or 23 lbs/acre/day for the weekly irrigation cycle. To minimize the potential for reducing and/or nuisance conditions, this Order sets an average BOD loading limit of 100 lbs/acre/day, requires the Discharger to cease discharging to the land application area in the event soils become saturated, and requires daily monitoring of the land application area during periods of discharge. With the conditions stipulated in this Order, and depth to groundwater, the discharge is not expected to cause nuisance conditions or unreasonably degrade groundwater with constituents related to organic overloading.
   b. For nitrogen, the potential for groundwater degradation depends on wastewater quality, crop uptake, and the ability of the vadose zone to support nitrification and denitrification to convert the nitrogen to nitrogen gas before it reaches the water table. Most of the nitrogen in the process wastewater is present as TKN, which can mineralize and be converted to nitrate (with some loss via ammonia volatilization). Groundwater quality in the area is good with respect to nitrates. As discussed in Finding 16, the estimated nitrogen load to the land application area at 4.5 million gallons would be approximately 110 lbs/acre/year, which is less than the nitrogen requirement of the crop (e.g., alfalfa or sudan grass).
Therefore, the discharge is not expected to degrade groundwater to the extent that it exceeds the state Primary Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 10 mg/L.

c. For salinity, the Basin Plan contains effluent limits such that the increase in EC of the discharge over source water shall not exceed 500 umhos/cm, or a maximum EC of 1,000 umhos/cm for discharges to land overlying good quality groundwater. With an EC of about 510 umhos/cm the discharge is expected to meet these limits and, therefore, should not unreasonably degrade groundwater with respect to salinity.

d. For potassium, using the estimated potassium concentration in the discharge of about 110 mg/L, the annual potassium load to the land application area at 4.5 million gallons would be approximately 127 lbs/acre/year. This is less than the annual uptake for potassium for alfalfa and sudan grass of about 480 and 475 lbs/acre/year, respectively. Therefore, the discharge is not expected to unreasonably degrade groundwater with potassium.

**Treatment and Control Practices**

51. The Discharger provides or will provide, as required by this Order treatment and control of the discharge that incorporates:

   a. Settling to remove solids;

   b. Reuse of wastewater for irrigation of crops at agronomic rates;

   c. Discharge to the City’s WWTF when not irrigating;

   d. An average BOD loading rate of less than 100 lbs/acre/day;

   e. Daily inspections of the land application areas when discharging; and


**Antidegradation Conclusions**

52. This Order establishes terms and conditions to ensure that the discharge does not unreasonably affect present and anticipated future beneficial uses or result in groundwater quality that exceeds water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan.

53. Economic prosperity of valley communities and associated industry is of maximum benefit to the people of the State. The Discharger aids in the economic prosperity of the region by direct employment and provides a tax base for local and county governments. Provided the discharge complies with State and Central Valley Water Board plans and
policies, there is sufficient justification for allowing the limited groundwater degradation that may occur pursuant to this Order. In addition, the reuse of process wastewater for irrigation in place of fresh water is of further benefit to people of the State.

54. This Order is consistent with the Antidegradation Policy since; (a) the limited degradation allowed by this Order will not result in water quality less than water quality objectives, or unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial uses, (b) the Discharger has implemented BPTC to minimize degradation, and (c) the limited degradation is of maximum benefit to people of the State.

Other Regulatory Considerations

55. On 14 October 2013, the City of Wasco’s Planning Department, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 12000, et, seq.) and the State CEQA guidelines (Title 14, Division 6, California Code of Regulations, as amended) adopted a Negative Declaration in conjunction with a Conditional Use Permit (CUP #03-01) for expansion of the Plant and application of process wastewater for irrigation of nearby farmland.

56. The Negative Declaration evaluated the potential impacts to groundwater quality and found that compliance with the Regional Water Board's permitting requirements will ensure that impacts to water quality would be less than significant. Compliance with this Order will mitigate or avoid significant impacts to water quality.

57. Based on the threat and complexity of the discharge, the facility is determined to be classified as 3B as defined below:
   a. Category 3 threat to water quality: "Those discharges of waste that could degrade water quality without violating water quality objectives, or could cause a minor impairment of designated beneficial uses as compared with Category 1 and Category 2."

   b. Category B complexity, defined as: "Any discharger not included [as Category A] that has physical, chemical, or biological treatment systems (except for septic systems with subsurface disposal) or any Class 2 or Class 3 waste management units."

58. Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations (hereafter Title 27) contains regulatory requirements for the treatment, storage, processing, and disposal of solid waste. However, Title 27 exempts certain activities from its provisions. Discharges regulated by this Order are exempt from Title 27 pursuant to provisions that exempt wastewater. Title 27, section 20090 states in part:
The following activities shall be exempt from the SWRCB-promulgated provisions of this subdivision, so long as the activity meets, and continues to meet, all preconditions listed:

***

(b) Wastewater - Discharges of wastewater to land, including but not limited to evaporation ponds, percolation ponds, or subsurface leachfields if the following conditions are met:

(1) the applicable RWQCB has issued WDRs, reclamation requirements, or waived such issuance;

(2) the discharge is in compliance with the applicable water quality control plan; and

(3) the wastewater does not need to be managed according to Chapter 11, Division 4.5, Title 22 of this code as a hazardous waste.

***

59. The discharge authorized herein, and the treatment and storage facilities associated with the discharge, are exempt from the requirements of Title 27 as follows:

a. The discharge to the unlined temporary holding pond and land application area are exempt pursuant to Title 27, section 20090(b) because they are discharge of wastewater to land and:

   i. The Central Valley Water Board is issuing WDRs.

   ii. The discharge is in compliance with the Basin Plan, and;

   iii. The treated effluent discharged to the pond and land application area does not need to be managed as hazardous waste.

60. The State Water Board adopted Order 97-03-DWQ (NPDES General Permit CAS0000001) specifying waste discharge requirements for discharges of storm water associated with industrial activities, and requiring submittal of a Notice of Intent by all affected industrial dischargers. Since all processing and production at the Plant takes place inside and stormwater runoff is retained on-site or discharged under permit to the City of Wasco’s stormwater collection system, the Discharger is not required to obtain coverage under the NPDES General Permit.

61. Water Code section 13267(b) states:

   In conducting an investigation specified in subdivision (a), the regional board may require that any person who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of discharging, or who proposes to discharge within its region … shall furnish, under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the board requires.
The burden, including costs of these reports, shall bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the reports and the benefits to be obtained from the reports. In requiring those reports, the regional board shall provide the person with a written explanation with regard to the need for the reports, and shall identify the evidence that supports requiring that person to provide the reports.

The technical reports required by this Order and the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program R5-2014-0090 are necessary to ensure compliance with these waste discharge requirements. The Discharger owns and operates the facility that discharges the waste subject to this Order.

62. The California Department of Water Resources sets standards for the construction and destruction of groundwater wells (hereafter DWR Well Standards), as described in *California Well Standards Bulletin 74-90* (June 1991) and *Water Well Standards: State of California Bulletin 94-81* (December 1981). These standards, and any more stringent standards adopted by the state or county pursuant to Water Code section 13801, apply to all monitoring wells used to monitor the impacts of wastewater storage or disposal governed by this Order.

63. In compliance with Water Code section 106.3, it is the policy of the State of California that every human being has the right to safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes. This order promotes that policy by requiring discharges to meet maximum contaminant levels designed to protect human health and ensure that water is safe for domestic use.

64. Pursuant to Water Code section 13263(g), discharge is a privilege, not a right, and adoption of this Order does not create a vested right to continue the discharge.

Public Notice

65. All the above and the supplemental information and details in the attached Information Sheet, which is incorporated by reference herein, were considered in establishing the following conditions of discharge.

66. The Discharger and interested agencies and persons have been notified of the Central Valley Water Board's intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for this discharge, and they have been provided an opportunity to submit written comments and an opportunity for a public hearing.

67. All comments pertaining to the discharge were heard and considered in a public hearing.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Water Code sections 13263 and 13267, SunnyGem, LLC, and Sandridge Partners, LP, their agents, successors, and assigns, in order to meet the provisions contained in Division 7 of the Water Code and regulations adopted hereunder, shall comply with the following:

A. Discharge Prohibitions

1. Discharge of wastes to surface waters or surface water drainage courses is prohibited.

2. Discharge of waste classified as ‘hazardous’, as defined in the California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2510 et seq., is prohibited.


4. Discharge of waste at a location or in a manner different from that described in the RWD and Findings herein, is prohibited.

5. Discharge of domestic wastewater to the process wastewater treatment system or land application areas is prohibited.

6. Application of residual solids to the land application areas is prohibited.

B. Flow Limitations

1. The discharge shall not exceed a monthly average daily discharge flow of 0.05 mgd or an annual flow of 4.5 million gallons per year. [Monitored at EFF-001]

C. Effluent Limitations

1. The effluent shall not exceed the following limitations: [Monitored at EFF-001]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. The 12-month rolling average EC of the discharge shall not exceed the 12-month
rolling average EC of the source water plus 500 umhos/cm, or a maximum of
1,000 umhos/cm, whichever is more stringent. Compliance with this limitation shall
be determined monthly. When source water is from more than one source, the EC
shall be a weighted average of all sources. [Monitored at EFF-001]

D. Discharge Specifications

1. No waste constituent shall be released, discharged, or placed where it will
be released or discharged, in a concentration or in a mass that causes
violation of the Groundwater Limitations of this Order.

2. Wastewater treatment, storage, and disposal shall not cause pollution or a
nuisance as defined by Water Code section 13050.

3. The discharge shall remain within the permitted waste treatment/containment
structures and land application areas at all times.

4. The Discharger shall operate all systems and equipment to optimize the quality of
the discharge.

5. All conveyance, treatment, storage, and disposal systems shall be designed,
constructed, operated, and maintained to prevent inundation or washout due to
floods with a 100-year return frequency.

6. Objectionable odors shall not be perceivable beyond the limits of the property
where the waste is generated, treated, and/or stored and discharged at an
intensity that creates or threatens to create nuisance conditions.

7. Irrigation pipelines, sprinklers, and/or drip irrigation lines used to convey
wastewater to the land application area shall be flushed with fresh water after
application of wastewater, as needed, to ensure compliance with Discharge

8. All ponds and open containment structures shall be managed to prevent breeding
of mosquitoes. Specifically:
   a. An erosion control program shall be implemented to ensure that small
coves and irregularities are not created around the perimeter of the water
surface.
   b. Weeds shall be minimized through control of water depth, harvesting,
or herbicides.
c. Dead algae, vegetation, and debris shall not accumulate on the water surface.

d. The Discharger shall consult and coordinate with the local Mosquito Abatement District to minimize the potential for mosquito breeding as needed to supplement the above measures.

9. The Discharger shall periodically monitor the accumulation of solids in the temporary holding pond, and shall periodically remove solids as necessary to maintain adequate storage capacity.

E. Land Application Area Specifications

1. Crops shall be grown in the land application area. Crops shall be selected based on nutrient uptake, consumptive use of water, and irrigation requirements to maximize crop uptake of water and nutrients.

2. The average BOD loading rate to the land application area calculated as determined by the method described in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program shall not exceed 100 pounds per acre per day.

3. Hydraulic loading of wastewater and irrigation water shall be at reasonable agronomic rates.

4. Application of waste constituents to the land application area shall be at reasonable agronomic rates to preclude creation of a nuisance or unreasonable degradation of groundwater, considering crop, soil, climate, and irrigation management system. The annual nutritive loading of the land application area, including the nutritive value of organic and chemical fertilizers, and of the wastewater shall not exceed the annual crop demand.

5. The Discharger shall not discharge process wastewater to the land application area within 24 hours of a storm event of measurable precipitation or when soils are saturated.

6. Land application of wastewater shall be managed to minimize erosion and ensure even application of wastewater.

7. The land application area shall be managed to prevent breeding of mosquitoes. In particular:
   a. There shall be no standing water 48 hours after irrigation ceases;
   b. Tailwater ditches shall be maintained essentially free of emergent, marginal, and floating vegetation; and
c. Low-pressure and unpressurized pipelines and ditches accessible to mosquitoes shall not be used to store wastewater.

8. The land application area shall be inspected as frequently as necessary to ensure continuous compliance with the requirements of this Order.

9. Any runoff of wastewater or irrigation water shall be confined to the land application area and shall not enter any surface water drainage course or storm water drainage system.

F. Solids Disposal Specifications

Solids as used in this document, means the residual solids including almond skins, and almond pieces removed from wastewater treatment, settling, and storage vessels or ponds.

1. Any handling and storage of residual solids shall be controlled and contained in a manner that minimizes leachate formation and precludes infiltration of waste constituents into soils in a mass or concentration that will violate the groundwater limitations of this Order.

2. If removed from the site residual solids shall be disposed of in a manner approved by the Executive Officer and consistent with Title 27, division 2. Removal for reuse as animal feed, or land disposal at facilities (i.e., landfills, composting facilities, soil amendment sites) operated in accordance with valid waste discharge requirements issued by a regional water board will satisfy this specification.

3. Any proposed change in solids use or disposal practice shall be reported in writing to the Executive Officer at least 90 days in advance of the change.

G. Groundwater Limitations

Release of waste constituents associated with the discharge shall not cause or contribute to groundwater containing constituent concentrations in excess of the concentrations specified below or natural background quality for the specified constituents, whichever is greater:

1. Nitrate as nitrogen of 10 mg/L.

2. For constituents identified in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, the MCLs quantified therein.
H. Provisions

1. The Discharger shall comply with Monitoring and Reporting Program R5-2014-0090, which is part of this Order, and any revisions thereto as ordered by the Executive Officer. The submittal dates of Discharger self-monitoring reports shall be no later than the submittal date specified in the MRP.

2. The Discharger shall comply with the "Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements", dated 1 March 1991, which are attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference. This attachment and its individual paragraphs are commonly referenced as "Standard Provision(s)."

3. As described in the Standard Provisions, the Discharger shall report promptly to the Central Valley Water Board any material change or proposed change in the character, location, or volume of the discharge.

4. A copy of this Order including the MRP, Information Sheet, Attachments, and Standard Provisions, shall be kept at the discharge facility for reference by operating personnel. Key operating personnel shall be familiar with its contents.

5. In the event of any change in control or ownership of the facility, the Discharger must notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, a copy of which shall be immediately forwarded to the Central Valley Water Board.

6. To assume operation as Discharger under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity's full legal name, the state of incorporation if a corporation, the name and address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Central Valley Water Board, and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory paragraph of Standard Provision B.3 and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the Water Code. If approved by the Executive Officer, the transfer request will be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board for its consideration of transferring the ownership of this Order at one of its regularly scheduled meetings.

7. As a means of discerning compliance with Discharge Specification D.6, the dissolved oxygen (DO) content in the upper one foot of any treatment/storage pond shall not be less than 1.0 mg/L for three consecutive sampling events. If the DO in any single pond is below 1.0 mg/L for three consecutive sampling events, the Discharger shall report the findings to the Central Valley Water Board in writing within 10 days and shall include a specific plan to resolve the low DO results within 30 days.
The Discharger shall operate and maintain all treated and untreated wastewater and storm water ponds sufficiently to protect the integrity of containment dams and berms and prevent overtopping and/or structural failure. Unless a California-registered civil engineer certifies (based on design, construction, and conditions of operation and maintenance) that less freeboard is adequate, the operating freeboard in any pond shall never be less than two feet (measured vertically from the lowest possible point of overflow).

The Discharger shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the Discharger to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems that are installed by the Discharger when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order.

The Discharger shall comply with all conditions of this Order, including timely submittal of technical and monitoring reports. On or before each report due date, the Discharger shall submit the specified document to the Central Valley Water Board or, if appropriate, a written report detailing compliance or noncompliance with the specific schedule date and task. If noncompliance is being reported, then the Discharger shall state the reasons for such noncompliance and provide an estimate of the date when the Discharger will be in compliance. The Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board in writing when it returns to compliance with the time schedule. Violations may result in enforcement action, including Central Valley Water Board or court orders requiring corrective action or imposing civil monetary liability, or in revision or rescission of this Order.

The Discharger shall submit the technical reports and work plans required by this Order for consideration by the Executive Officer, and incorporate comments the Executive Officer may have in a timely manner, as appropriate. Unless expressly stated otherwise in this Order, the Discharger shall proceed with all work required by the foregoing provisions by the due dates specified.

In accordance with California Business and Professions Code sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1, engineering and geologic evaluations and judgments shall be performed by or under the direction of registered professionals competent and proficient in the fields pertinent to the required activities. All technical reports specified herein that contain workplans for investigations and studies, that describe the conduct of investigations and studies, or that contain technical conclusions and recommendations concerning engineering and geology shall be prepared by or under the direction of appropriately qualified professional(s), even if not explicitly stated. Each technical report submitted by the Discharger shall bear the professional’s signature and stamp.
13. At least 90 days prior to termination or expiration of any lease, contract, or agreement involving disposal or recycling areas or off-site reuse of effluent, used to justify the capacity authorized herein and assure compliance with this Order, the Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board in writing of the situation and of what measures have been taken or are being taken to assure full compliance with this Order.

14. By 8 December 2014, the Discharger shall submit a Salinity Control Plan, with salinity source reduction goals and an implementation schedule for Executive Officer approval. The control plan shall identify existing salinity control measures as well as any additional methods that could be used to further reduce the salinity of the discharge to the maximum extent feasible, include an estimate on load reductions that may be obtained, and provide a description of the tasks, cost, and time required to investigate and implement various elements in the Salinity Control Plan.

15. By 8 December 2014, the Discharger shall submit a Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan. At a minimum the Plan must include procedures for monitoring Plant operations and discharge, measures to ensure even application of wastewater, and an action plan to deal with objectionable odors and/or nuisance conditions. The Plan should also include supporting data and calculations for monthly and annual water and nutrient balances, and management practices that will ensure wastewater, irrigation water, and fertilizers are applied at agronomic rates.

16. The Central Valley Water Board is currently implementing the CV-SALTS initiative to develop a Basin Plan amendment that will establish a salt and nitrate management plan for the Central Valley. Through this effort the Basin Plan will be amended to define how the narrative water quality objectives are to be interpreted for the protection of agricultural use. If new information or evidence indicates that groundwater limitations different than those prescribed herein are appropriate, this Order will be reopened to incorporate such limits.

17. The Central Valley Water Board will review this Order periodically and will revise requirements when necessary.

If, in the opinion of the Executive Officer, the Discharger fails to comply with the provisions of this Order, the Executive Officer may refer this matter to the Attorney General for judicial enforcement, may issue a complaint for administrative civil liability, or may take other enforcement actions. Failure to comply with this Order or with the WDRs may result in the assessment of Administrative Civil Liability of up to $10,000 per violation, per day, depending on the violation, pursuant to the Water Code, including sections 13268, 13350 and 13385. The Central Valley Water Board reserves its right to take any enforcement actions authorized by law.
Any person aggrieved by this action of the Central Valley Water Board may petition the State Water Board to review the action in accordance with Water Code section 13320 and California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2050 and following. The State Water Board must receive the petition by 5:00 p.m., 30 days after the date of this Order, except that if the thirtieth day following the date of this Order falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or state holiday, the petition must be received by the State Water Board by 5:00 p.m. on the next business day. Copies of the law and regulations applicable to filing petitions may be found on the Internet at:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/petitions/water_quality

or will be provided upon request.

I, PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, on 6 June 2014.

Original signed by:

PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer
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WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS ORDER R5-2014-0090
FOR
SUNNYGEM, LLC
ALMOND PROCESSING PLANT
AND
SANDRIDGE PARTNERS, LP
KERN COUNTY
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ATTACHMENT A
This Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) is required pursuant to Water Code section 13267.

The Discharger shall not implement any changes to this MRP unless and until the Central Valley Water Board adopts, or the Executive Officer issues, a revised MRP. Changes to sample location shall be established with concurrence of Central Valley Water Board staff, and a description of the revised stations shall be submitted for approval by the Executive Officer.

All samples shall be representative of the volume and nature of the discharge or matrix of material sampled. All analyses shall be performed in accordance with Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements, dated 1 March 1991 (Standard Provisions).

Field test instruments (such as pH) may be used provided that the operator is trained in the proper use of the instrument and each instrument is serviced and/or calibrated at the recommended frequency by the manufacturer or in accordance with manufacturer instructions.

Analytical procedures shall comply with the methods and holding times specified in the following: Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater (EPA); Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste (EPA); Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes (EPA); Methods for Determination of Inorganic Substances in Environmental Samples (EPA); Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA/AWWA/WEF); and Soil, Plant and Water Reference Methods for the Western Region (WREP 125). Approved editions shall be those that are approved for use by the United States Environmental Protection Agency or the California Department of Public Health’s Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program. The Discharger may propose alternative methods for approval by the Executive Officer.

If monitoring consistently shows no significant variation in magnitude of a constituent concentration or parameter after at least 12 months of monitoring, the Discharger may request this MRP be revised to reduce monitoring frequency. The proposal must include adequate technical justification for reduction in monitoring frequency.

A glossary of terms used within this MRP is included on page 10.
The Discharger shall monitor the following locations to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this Order:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Location Name</th>
<th>Monitoring Location Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EFF-001</td>
<td>Location where a representative sample of the effluent can be obtained after all treatment,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>prior to discharge to the temporary holding pond.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND-001</td>
<td>Opposite the inlet to the temporary holding pond.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL-001</td>
<td>Location where a representative sample of the water supply entering the Plant can be obtained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IW-001</td>
<td>Location where a representative sample of the supplemental irrigation water can be obtained.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EFFLUENT MONITORING**

The Discharger shall monitor treated effluent at EFF-001 for the constituents listed below. Effluent samples shall be representative of the volume and nature of the discharge. Time of collection of the samples shall be recorded. Effluent monitoring shall include at least the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Constituent/Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>mgd</td>
<td>Meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly¹</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>pH Units</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly¹</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Fixed Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Nitrate as nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Nitrite as nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Ammonia as nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Total Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Computed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly¹</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-Annually¹</td>
<td>General Minerals²</td>
<td>various</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Samples to be collected weekly, monthly, and semi-annually when effluent is being discharged to the land application area.

² General mineral analysis shall include, alkalinity (as CaCO₃), bicarbonate (as CaCO₃), boron, calcium, carbonate (CaCO₃), chloride, hardness, iron, magnesium, manganese, nitrate as nitrogen, potassium, sodium, sulfate, and TDS. Samples collected for metals shall be filtered with a 0.45 micron filter prior to preservation, digestion, and analysis.
POND MONITORING

A permanent marker (e.g., staff gage) shall be placed in the temporary holding pond. The marker shall have calibrations indicating water level at the design capacity and available operational freeboard. Pond monitoring at PND-001 shall include at least the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Constituent/Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Freeboard</td>
<td>Feet$^1$</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly$^2$</td>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Odors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. To the nearest tenth of a foot.
2. If there is less than one foot of water in the pond, no sample shall be collected for dissolved oxygen. Should objectionable odors be detected or the DO be below 1 mg/L for three consecutive weekly samples, the Discharger shall take all reasonable action to correct the problem and commence daily DO monitoring until the problem has been resolved.

The Discharger shall inspect the condition of the temporary holding pond once per week and write visual observations in a bound logbook. Notations shall include observations of whether weeds are developing in the water or along the bank, and their location; whether dead algae, vegetation, scum, or debris are accumulating on the storage pond surface and their location; whether burrowing animals or insects are present; and the color of the reservoirs (e.g., dark green, dull green, yellow, gray, tan, brown, etc.).

LAND APPLICATION AREA MONITORING

The Discharger shall monitor the land application areas daily while wastewater is being discharged, and weekly during non-application periods.

In addition, the Discharger shall perform the following routine monitoring and loading calculations for each discrete irrigation area within the Land Application Area. The data shall be collected and presented in tabular format and shall include the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Constituent/Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily$^1$</td>
<td>Application Area</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily$^1$</td>
<td>Wastewater flow</td>
<td>gallons</td>
<td>Metered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily$^1$</td>
<td>Wastewater loading</td>
<td>inches/day</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily$^1$</td>
<td>Supplemental irrigation</td>
<td>gallons</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily$^1$</td>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>inches</td>
<td>Rain gage$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly$^1$</td>
<td>Total hydraulic loading$^3$</td>
<td>inches/acre-month</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD Loading$^4$</td>
<td>Day of application</td>
<td>lbs/acre-day</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Irrigation cycle average$^5$</td>
<td>lbs/acre-day</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Constituent/Parameter</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Sample Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Loading⁴</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>From wastewater</td>
<td>lbs/acre-year</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>From fertilizers</td>
<td>lbs/acre-year</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt and Potassium Loading⁴</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>From wastewater</td>
<td>lbs/acre-year</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. When discharging and while wastewater is applied to the land application area.
2. National Weather Service or CIMIS data from the nearest weather station is acceptable.
3. Combined loading from wastewater, irrigation water, and precipitation.
4. Loading rates shall be calculated using the applied volume of wastewater, applied acreage, and average effluent concentrations for BOD, total nitrogen, FDS, and potassium.
5. The BOD loading rate shall be divided by the number of days in the irrigation cycle to determine the average daily loading rate for the irrigation cycle.

**SOURCE WATER MONITORING**

The Discharger shall collect samples of its source water for the Plant at SPL-001, and analyze them for the constituents specified below. If the source water is from more than one source, the results shall be presented as a flow-weighted average of all sources.

Samples of supplemental irrigation water used to irrigate the land application area shall be collected at IW-001, and analyzed for the constituents specified below. If supplemental irrigation water is provided by more than one source (e.g., surface water, or irrigation well), during any quarter the volume and a sample from each source shall be provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Constituent/Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/three years¹</td>
<td>General Minerals²</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>acre-feet</td>
<td>Metered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Sample to be collected and analyzed for general minerals once every three years. Starting in October following adoption of this Order.
2. General mineral analysis shall include, alkalinity (as CaCO₃), bicarbonate (as CaCO₃), boron, calcium, carbonate (CaCO₃), chloride, hardness, iron, magnesium, manganese, nitrate as nitrogen, potassium, sodium, sulfate, and TDS. Samples collected for metals shall be filtered with a 0.45 micron filter prior to preservation, digestion, and analysis.
REPORTING

All monitoring results shall be reported in Quarterly Monitoring Reports, which are due by the first day of the second month after the calendar quarter. Therefore, monitoring reports are due as follows:

First Quarter Monitoring Report: 1 May
Second Quarter Monitoring Report: 1 August
Third Quarter Monitoring Report: 1 November
Fourth Quarter Monitoring Report: 1 February.

A transmittal letter shall accompany each monitoring report. The transmittal letter shall discuss any violations that occurred during the reporting period and all actions taken or planned for correcting violations, such as operation or facility modifications. If the Discharger has previously submitted a report describing corrective actions or a time schedule for implementing the corrective actions, reference to the previous correspondence is satisfactory.

The following information is to be included on all monitoring reports, as well as any report transmittal letters, submitted to the Central Valley Water Board:

SunnyGem, LLC
Almond Processing Plant
MRP Order R5-2014-0090
Contact Information (telephone number and email)

In reporting monitoring data, the Discharger shall arrange the data in tabular form so that the date, the constituents, and the concentrations are readily discernible. The data shall be summarized in such a manner that illustrates clearly, whether the Discharger complies with waste discharge requirements. In addition to the details specified in Standard Provision C.3, monitoring information shall include the method detection limit (MDL) and the Reporting limit (RL) or practical quantitation limit (PQL). If the regulatory limit for a given constituent is less than the RL (or PQL), then any analytical results for that constituent that are below the RL (or PQL) but above the MDL shall be reported and flagged as estimated.

Laboratory analysis reports do not need to be included in the monitoring reports; however, the laboratory reports must be retained for a minimum of three years in accordance with Standard Provision C.3.

All monitoring reports shall comply with the signatory requirements in Standard Provision B.3. For a Discharger conducting any of its own analyses, reports must also be signed and certified by the chief of the laboratory.

All monitoring reports that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design, or other work requiring interpretation and proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be
prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1.

A. All Quarterly Monitoring Reports shall include the following:

**Effluent Monitoring Reporting:**
1. Tabulated results of effluent monitoring specified on page 2.
2. For each month of the quarter, calculation of the maximum daily flow and the monthly average flow.
3. For each month of the quarter, calculation of the 12-month rolling average EC of the discharge using the EC value for that month averaged with the EC values for the previous 11 months.

**Pond Monitoring Reporting**
1. The results of the monitoring specified on page 3.
2. Summary of the notations made in the pond monitoring log during each quarter. The entire contents of the log do not need to be submitted.

**Land Application Area Reporting:**
1. The results of monitoring and loading calculations specified on pages 3 and 4.
2. For each week that wastewater is applied to the land application areas, calculation of the weekly hydraulic load for wastewater and supplemental irrigation water in millions of gallons and/or inches.
3. A summary of the notations made in the log book during each quarter. The entire contents of the log do not need to be submitted.
4. For each week, calculation of the daily and average BOD loading for the irrigation cycle, using the BOD results for that month.

**Source Water Reporting**
1. The results of the source water monitoring for the Plant specified on page 4. If multiple sources are used the Discharger shall calculate the flow-weighted average concentrations for the specified constituents. Results must include supporting calculations, if required.
2. The results of source water monitoring of supplemental irrigation water specified on page 4. If multiple sources are used the Discharger shall provide sampling results and volume of irrigation water provided from each source.
B. Fourth Quarter Monitoring Reports, in addition to the above, shall include the following:

Facility Information:
1. The names and telephone numbers of persons to contact regarding the discharge for emergency and routine situations.
2. A statement certifying when the flow meter and other monitoring instruments and devices were last calibrated, including identification of who performed the calibrations (Standard Provision C.4).
3. A summary of any changes in processing that might affect waste characterization and/or discharge flow rates.

Effluent Monitoring Reporting:
1. A summary of tabulated results of effluent monitoring specified on page 2.
2. Calculation of the maximum daily flow, monthly average flow, and cumulative annual flow.

Solids Reporting
1. Annual production totals for solids (excluding trash and recyclables) in dry tons or cubic yards.
2. A description of disposal methods, including the following information related to the disposal methods used. If more than one method is used, include the percentage disposed of by each method.
   a. For landfill disposal, include: the name and location of the landfill, and the Order number of WDRs that regulate it.
   b. For land application, include: the location of the site (field identification), and the Order number of any WDRs that regulate it.
   c. For incineration, include: the name and location of the site where incineration occurs, the Order number of WDRs that regulate the site, the disposal method of ash, and the name and location of the facility receiving ash (if applicable).
   d. For composting, include: the location of the site, and the Order number of any WDRs that regulate it.
   e. For animal feed, include: the location of the site, and the Order number of any WDRs that regulate it.
Source Water Reporting

1. The results of annual monitoring of source water as specified on page 4. If multiple sources are used the Discharger, shall calculate the flow-weighted average concentrations for the specified constituents. Results must include supporting calculations, if required.

Land Application Area Reporting:

1. The type of crop(s) grown, planting and harvest dates, and the quantified nitrogen and fixed dissolved solids uptakes including potassium (as estimated by technical references or, preferably, determined by representative plant tissue analysis).

2. The monthly and annual discharge volumes during the reporting year expressed as million gallons and inches.

3. A monthly balance for the reporting year that includes:
   a. Monthly average ET₀ (observed evapotranspiration) – Information sources include California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS) [http://www.cimis.water.ca.gov/](http://www.cimis.water.ca.gov/)
   b. Monthly crop uptake
      i. Crop water utilization rates are available from a variety of publications available from the local University of California Davis extension office.
      ii. Irrigation efficiency – Frequently, engineers include a factor for irrigation efficiency such that the application rate is slightly greater than the crop utilization rate. A conservative design does not include this value.
   d. Monthly average and annual average discharge flow rate.
   e. Monthly estimates of the amount of wastewater percolating below the root zone (i.e., amount of wastewater applied in excess of crop requirements)

4. A summary of average and cycle BOD loading rates.

5. The total pounds of nitrogen applied to the land application areas in lbs/acre-year, as calculated from the sum of the monthly loadings.

6. The total pounds of fixed dissolved solids (FDS) and potassium that have been applied to the land application areas in lbs/acre-year, as calculated from the sum of the monthly loadings.
The Discharger shall implement the above monitoring program on the first day of the month following adoption of this Order.

Ordered by:

______________________________
PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer

6 June 2014

(Date)
GLOSSARY

BOD<sub>5</sub>  Five-day biochemical oxygen demand
CBOD  Carbonaceous BOD
DO  Dissolved oxygen
EC  Electrical conductivity at 25° C
FDS  Fixed dissolved solids
NTU  Nephelometric turbidity unit
TKN  Total Kjeldahl nitrogen
TDS  Total dissolved solids
TSS  Total suspended solids
Continuous  The specified parameter shall be measured by a meter continuously.
24-Hour Composite  Unless otherwise specified or approved, samples shall be a flow-proportioned composite consisting of at least eight aliquots.
Daily  Samples shall be collected every day.
Twice Weekly  Samples shall be collected at least twice per week on non-consecutive days.
Weekly  Samples shall be collected at least once per week.
Twice Monthly  Samples shall be collected at least twice per month during non-consecutive weeks.
Monthly  Samples shall be collected at least once per month.
Bimonthly  Samples shall be collected at least once every two months (i.e., six times per year) during non-consecutive months
Quarterly  Samples shall be collected at least once per calendar quarter.  Unless otherwise specified or approved, samples shall be collected in January, April, July, and October.
Semiannually  Samples shall be collected at least once every six months (i.e., two times per year).  Unless otherwise specified or approved, samples shall be collected in April and October.
Annually  Samples shall be collected at least once per year.  Unless otherwise specified or approved, samples shall be collected in October.
mg/L  Milligrams per liter
mL/L  Milliliters [of solids] per liter
µg/L  Micrograms per liter
µmhos/cm  Micromhos per centimeter
mgd  Million gallons per day
MPN/100 mL  Most probable number [of organisms] per 100 milliliters
General Minerals  Analysis for General Minerals shall include at least the following:
   Alkalinity (as CaCo3)  Chloride  Potassium
   Bicarbonate (as CaCO3)  Hardness  Sodium
   Calcium  Magnesium  Sulfate
   Carbonate (as CaCO3)  Nitrate  TDS

General Minerals analyses shall be accompanied by documentation of cation/anion balance.
Background
SunnyGem, LLC (SunnyGem) owns and operates an almond processing plant (Plant) at 500 North F Street in Wasco. The Plant receives almonds that have already been shelled and hulled at other facilities for further processing, packaging, and distribution. Almonds brought to the Plant are sorted and graded and then blanched, dry roasted, sliced, diced, slivered, and/or milled.

SunnyGem submitted a Report of Waste Discharge (RWD) in June 2007 to discharge a portion of its wastewater for landscape irrigation. In February 2014 SunnyGem submitted a revised RWD for expansion of the Plant and to increase flows with the discharge of process wastewater from its blanching operations to nearby farmland owned by Sandridge Partners, LP. Additional information to complete the RWD was submitted on 12 March and 13 March 2014. Process wastewater consists of blanching water and a small amount of cleaning water. Other waste streams, including domestic waste, boiler condensate, cooling water blowdown, and cleaning water, will be discharged to the City of Wasco’s Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF).

Existing Discharge
The Plant operates continuously throughout the year. The Plant can currently process up to 80 million pounds of almond meat per year. With the planned expansion the Plant’s capacity would be increased to about 120 pounds per year. Current total wastewater flows at the Plant range from about 40,000 to 100,000 gallons per day. The RWD estimates, that with the planned expansion, wastewater flows from the blanching operation will average about 50,000 gallons per day or 0.05 million gallons per day (mgd).

As this discharge has not been previously regulated there is little data on wastewater quality. Samples of the wastewater were collected in January 2007 and October 2012. The sample collected in 2007 was of the combined waste stream, while the 2012 samples included just wastewater from the blanching operations as well as a sample of the combined waste stream sampled at the point where the Plant discharges to the City’s WWTF. Table 1 presents the analytical data for samples collected in 2007 and 2012:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>1/10/2007 (combined waste stream)</th>
<th>10/3/2012 (blanching wastewater)</th>
<th>10/3/2012 (combined waste stream)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>pH units</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity (EC)</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 1. Wastewater Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>1/10/2007 (combined waste stream)</th>
<th>10/3/2012 (blanching wastewater)</th>
<th>10/3/2012 (combined waste stream)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate as Nitrogen (NO₃-N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate as CaCO₃</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SunnyGem does collect BOD samples of its effluent when discharging to the City’s WWTF. Data from 61 samples collected from June through December 2013 ranged from about 500 to 3,100 mg/L with an average concentration of about 1,300 mg/L, and average monthly concentrations ranging from about 1,100 to 1,800 mg/L.

Blanching wastewater is high in organics (BOD) and nitrogen as TKN, though the EC of the discharge at 510 umhos/cm is relatively low. The increase in concentrations observed between 2007 and 2012 is believed to be the result of increased production and water conservation measures to reduce water use at the Plant.

Proposed Discharge
Wastewater from the blanching operations will be discharged to two 20,000-gallon holding tanks. From the holding tanks wastewater will be discharged to an unlined temporary holding pond, with a design capacity of approximately 132,000 gallons. From the holding pond the wastewater will be pumped into the irrigation system for the 32.5-acre land application area. The land application area is owned by Sandridge Partners, LP and consists of agricultural land currently planted in alfalfa; however, the Discharger is also looking into planting other fodder crops such as sudan grass. The wastewater will be applied via flood irrigation. There is a tailwater system on the northern edge of the field to recirculate excess water back into the irrigation system.

According to the RWD the land application area will be irrigated approximately 5 days a week for a three week period and then allowed to rest for three weeks to allow for drying and harvesting the crop. The RWD estimates that the land application area will be irrigated six times throughout the year resulting in an annual discharge of 4.5 million gallons per year. When not irrigating, wastewater from the blanching operations will be diverted to the City’s WWTF. Supplemental
irrigation water will consist of groundwater or surface water provided by the Shafter-Wasco Irrigation District.

With estimated nitrogen and potassium concentrations of 96 mg/L and 110 mg/L the annual nitrogen and potassium load at 4.5 million gallons would be approximately 110 lbs/acre/year and 127 lbs/acre/year, respectively. This is less than the annual crop uptake of nitrogen and potassium for alfalfa and sudan grass. This Order contains a Provision that requires SunnyGem to submit a Wastewater and Nutrient Management plan to ensure application is at reasonable agronomic rates.

BOD loadings were calculated using the minimum and maximum monthly average BOD concentrations reported for June through December of 2013. With average BOD concentrations between 1,100 and 1,800 mg/L, the estimated BOD load to the land application area at 0.05 mgd would be between 70 and 115 lbs/acre/week or 14 and 23 lbs/acre/day for the 5-day application cycle.

**Groundwater Conditions**

According to the Department of Water Resources Groundwater Elevation Maps (Spring 2010) first encountered groundwater in the vicinity of the site occurs at about 290 to 310 feet below ground surface (bgs). Regional flow in the area is to the southwest.

The California Department of Water Resources and United State Geological Survey publish information about groundwater quality. Data that is pertinent to characterizing first-encountered groundwater prior to 1968 is limited due to the wide variability in the screened interval of the wells, sampling dates, and constituents monitored. The database identified approximately five wells in the vicinity of the site with water quality data prior to 1968. Based on the data from prior to 1968 groundwater quality in the area was relatively good with an EC ranging from about 162 to 900 umhos/cm, TDS of about 90 to 600 mg/L, and nitrate as nitrogen (NO₃-N) from below detection limits to about 8.5 mg/L.

More recent data collected from the Groundwater Ambient Monitoring Program (GAMA) database and Geotracker identified a supply well within approximately 2 miles of the site that reported EC, TDS, and NO₃-N of 300 to 310 umhos/cm, 200 to 225 mg/L, and 6.4 to 9.8 mg/L, respectively for samples collected between 2004 and 2010, and monitoring wells for a groundwater investigation within one mile of the site measured depth-to-water of about 330 feet bgs and EC ranging from 320 to 400 umhos/cm in 2013.

Based on this data, groundwater in the vicinity of the site appears to be of good quality with an EC between 160 to 900 umhos/cm, TDS between 130 and 500 mg/L, and NO₃-N of less than 10 mg/L.

**Source Water:** Source water for the Plant is provided by the City of Wasco. According to the City’s 2012 Consumer Confidence Report the source water is relatively good, with an electrical conductivity (EC) of 230 to 369 umhos/cm, total dissolved solids (TDS) of 160 to 240 mg/L, and
NO₃-N of 3.3 to 10 mg/L. There are no samples of the irrigation water for the land application area.

**Basin Plan, Beneficial Uses, and Regulatory Considerations**

The Plant and land application area lie within the North Kern Hydrologic Area (558.8) of the South Valley Floor Hydraulic unit. Local drainage is to the valley floor.

The *Water Quality Control Plan for the Tulare Lake Basin, Second Edition*, revised January 2004 (Basin Plan) designates beneficial uses, establishes numerical and narrative water quality objectives, contains implementation plans and policies for protecting all waters of the basin, and incorporates by reference plans and policies of the State Water Board. Beneficial uses often determine the water quality objectives that apply to a water body. The receiving water for this discharge is groundwater. The beneficial uses for the groundwater in the area are municipal and domestic supply, agricultural supply, industrial process and service supply.

The Basin Plan identifies the greatest long-term problem facing the entire Tulare Lake Basin as the increase in salinity in groundwater, which has accelerated due to the intensive use of soil and water resources by irrigated agriculture. The Basin Plan recognizes that degradation is unavoidable until there is a long-term solution to the salt imbalance. Until then, the Basin Plan establishes effluent salinity limits for both municipal and industrial discharges and states that effluent limits established for municipal discharges shall generally apply to industrial discharges. Limits potentially applicable to the proposed discharge, include:

a. The incremental increase in salts from use and treatment must be controlled to the extent possible. Dischargers must limit the increase in EC of a point source discharge to land to a maximum of 500 umhos/cm.

b. Discharges to areas that may recharge good quality groundwater shall not exceed an EC of 1,000 umhos/cm, a chloride content of 175 mg/L, or a boron content of 1 mg/L.

With an EC of about 510 umhos/cm the discharge should be able to comply with these limits.

**Antidegradation**

State Water Board Resolution 68-16, the Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California (Anti-Degradation Policy), requires the regional water boards to maintain high quality waters of the State until it is demonstrated that any change in quality will not result in water quality less than that described in State and Regional Water Board policies or exceed water quality objectives, will not unreasonably affect beneficial uses and is consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State.

As discussed in the Findings in the WDRs the discharge as authorized by this Order is not expected to unreasonably affect present and anticipated future beneficial uses or result in groundwater quality that exceeds water quality objectives. The Discharger provides or will
provide as a condition of this Order treatment and control measures intended to minimize
degradation to the extent feasible.

With wastewater application at the loading rates authorized by this Order, appropriate
application and resting periods, and reuse of wastewater on crops, the discharge will not
cause impermissible degradation of the underlying groundwater.

Degradation of groundwater by some of the typical waste constituents released with discharge
from a food processing facility after effective source reduction is consistent with maximum
benefit to the people of the State. SunnyGem contributes to the economic prosperity of the
region by direct employment of 125 to 200 workers at the Plant, provides incomes for numerous
surrounding almond growers and associated trucking firms, and provides a tax base for local
and county governments. Economic prosperity of valley communities and associated industry is
of maximum benefit to the people of the State, and therefore sufficient reason to accommodate
growth and groundwater degradation provided terms of the Basin Plan are met.

The Order establishes effluent limits and groundwater limits for the Plant that will not
unreasonably threaten present and anticipated beneficial uses or result in groundwater quality
that exceeds water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan.

**Title 27**

Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, section 20005 et seq (Title 27) contains
regulations to address certain discharges to land. Title 27 establishes a waste classification
system, specifies siting and construction standards for full containment of classified waste,
requires extensive monitoring of groundwater and the unsaturated zone for any indication of
failure of containment, and specifies closure and post-closure maintenance requirements.
Generally, no degradation of groundwater quality by any waste constituent in a classified
waste is acceptable under Title 27 regulations.

Unless exempt, release of designated waste is subject to full containment pursuant to Title 27
requirements. Title 27 Section 20090(b) exempts discharges of designated waste to land from
Title 27 containment standards and other Title 27 requirements provided the following
conditions are met:

a. The applicable regional water board has issued waste discharge requirements, or
   waived such issuance;

b. The discharge is in compliance with the applicable basin plan; and

c. The waste is not hazardous waste and need not be managed according to Title 22,
   CCR, Division 4.5, Chapter 11, as a hazardous waste.

The discharge meets the above requirements and is therefore exempt from Title 27.
CEQA
On 14 October 2013, the City of Wasco’s Planning Department, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), adopted a Negative Declaration in conjunction with a Conditional Use Permit (CUP #03-01) for expansion of the Plant and application of process wastewater for irrigation of nearby farmland. The Negative Declaration evaluated the potential impacts to groundwater quality and found that compliance with the Regional Water Board’s permitting requirements will ensure that impacts to water quality would be less than significant. Compliance with this Order will mitigate or avoid significant impacts to water quality.

Proposed Order Terms and Conditions

Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, Discharge Specifications, and Provisions
The proposed Order would prohibit discharge to surface waters and surface water drainage courses.

The proposed Order would limit the monthly average daily discharge flow to 50,000 gpd, and set a maximum annual flow limit of 4.5 million gallons.

The proposed Order would include effluent limits for chloride and boron of 175 mg/L, and 1 mg/L, respectively, and set an average EC limit of 500 umhos/cm plus source water (rolling 12-month average) or a maximum EC limit of 1,000 umhos/cm. These limits are consistent with the Basin Plan for discharges overlying good quality groundwater. Discharge requirements regarding dissolved oxygen and freeboard in the temporary holding pond are consistent with Central Valley Water Board policy for the prevention of nuisance conditions, and are applied to all such facilities.

The proposed Order sets an average BOD loading limit of 100 lbs/acre/day, requires that wastewater be applied at agronomic rates, and includes provisions requiring the Discharger to prepare and implement a Salinity Control Plan and Wastewater and Nutrient Management Plan.

The proposed Order would prescribe groundwater limitations that implement water quality objectives for groundwater from the Basin Plan. The limitations require that the discharge not cause or contribute to exceedance of these objectives or natural background water quality, whichever is greatest, and sets a specific limit for NO₃-N of 10 mg/L consistent with the Primary MCL.

Monitoring Requirements
Section 13267 of the CWC authorizes the Central Valley Water Board to require monitoring and technical reports as necessary to investigate the impact of a waste discharge on waters of the State. Water Code Section 13268 authorizes assessment of civil administrative liability where appropriate.

The proposed Order includes effluent, pond, source water, irrigation water, and solids monitoring. This monitoring is necessary to evaluate the extent of the potential degradation from the discharge.
Reopener
The conditions of discharge in the proposed Order were developed based on currently available technical information and applicable water quality laws, regulations, policies, and plans, and are intended to assure conformance with them. The proposed Order would set limitations based on the information provided thus far. If applicable laws and regulations change, or once new information is obtained that will change the overall discharge and its potential to impact groundwater, it may be appropriate to reopen the Order.