ORDER NO. R5-2009-0043  
NPDES NO. CA0082058

DICALITE MINERALS CORPORATION  
DIATOMACEOUS EARTH MINE  
SHASTA COUNTY

The following Discharger is subject to waste discharge requirements as set forth in this Order:

Table 1. Discharger Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharger</th>
<th>Dicalite Minerals Corporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Facility</td>
<td>Dicalite Minerals Corporation, Diatomaceous Earth Mine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Address</td>
<td>36994 Summit Lake Road, Burney, CA 96013 Shasta County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the Regional Water Quality Control Board have classified this discharge as a minor discharge.

Table 2. Discharge Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge Point</th>
<th>Effluent Description</th>
<th>Discharge Point Latitude</th>
<th>Discharge Point Longitude</th>
<th>Receiving Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-001</td>
<td>Storm Water</td>
<td>41° 01’ 59” N</td>
<td>121° 41’ 03” W</td>
<td>Unnamed tributary to Pit River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-002</td>
<td>Storm Water</td>
<td>41° 01’ 56” N</td>
<td>121° 41’ 52” W</td>
<td>Unnamed tributary to Pit River</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Administrative Information

| This Order was adopted by the Regional Water Quality Control Board on: | 24 April 2009 |
| This Order shall become effective on: | 24 April 2009 |
| This Order shall expire on: | 1 April 2014 |
| The Discharger shall file a complete Report of Waste Discharge in accordance with title 23, California Code of Regulations, as application for issuance of new waste discharge requirements no later than: | 180 days prior to the Order expiration date |

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that Order No. R5-2004-0006 is rescinded upon the effective date of this Order except for enforcement purposes, and, in order to meet the provisions contained in division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13000) and regulations adopted thereunder, and the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and regulations and guidelines adopted thereunder, the Discharger shall comply with the requirements in this Order.

I, PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that this Order with all attachments is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, on 24 April 2009.

PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer
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I. FACILITY INFORMATION

The following Discharger is subject to waste discharge requirements as set forth in this Order:

Table 4. Facility Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharger</th>
<th>Dicalite Minerals Corporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Facility</td>
<td>Dicalite Minerals Corporation, Diatomaceous Earth Mine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Address</td>
<td>36994 Summit Lake Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burney, CA 96013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shasta County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Contact, Title,</td>
<td>Rocky Torgrimson, Plant Mgmt (530) 335-5451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Phone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address</td>
<td>36994 Summit Lake Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burney, CA 96013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Facility</td>
<td>1499 – Miscellaneous non-metallic minerals, except fuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Diatomite mining)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Design Flow</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. FINDINGS

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region (hereinafter Regional Water Board), finds:

A. Background. Dicalite Minerals Corporation (hereinafter Discharger) is currently discharging pursuant to Order No. R5-2004-0006 and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CA0082058. The Discharger submitted a Report of Waste Discharge (RWD), dated 2 June 2008 and applied for a NPDES permit renewal to discharge an unspecified volume of storm water from Dicalite Minerals Corporation, Diatomaceous Earth Mine, hereinafter Facility. The application was deemed complete on 1 July 2008.

For the purposes of this Order, references to the “Discharger” or “permittee” in applicable federal and State laws, regulations, plans, or policy are held to be equivalent to references to the Discharger herein.

B. Facility Description. The Discharger owns and operates an open pit diatomite mine (Assessor’s Parcel Nos. 022-200-08, 12, 13, 17, 18, and 19), approximately twelve miles north of the town of Burney in portions of Sections 25, 35, and 36 T37N, R2E, MDB&M, as shown on Attachment B.

The open pit diatomite mine is approximately 100-acre in area. The plant site, consisting of a stockpile area, offices, fuel storage, drying facility, and packaging is approximately 20 acres in area, as shown in Attachment B.
The Discharger discharges a variable quantity of settled storm water runoff from ponds at the mine site (D-001) and the plant site (D-002). At each location, storm water runoff is channeled to a primary pond for settling, treated with a polymer flocculent, and then pumped to a secondary pond for settling of the coagulated sediment. Final discharge of the treated water is to an intermittent tributary to the Pit River (and the Lake Britton impoundment), a water of the United States. Attachment C provides a flow schematic of the Facility.

The property is located in the Lake Britton Hydrologic Subarea (526.31) of the Pit River Hydrologic Unit as defined by the interagency hydrologic map for the Sacramento Hydrologic Basin prepared by the Department of Water Resources (1986). Attachment B provides topographic maps of the Facility and surrounding area along with aerial photographs of the Facility.

C. Legal Authorities. This Order is issued pursuant to section 402 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and implementing regulations adopted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and chapter 5.5, division 7 of the California Water Code (commencing with section 13370). It shall serve as a NPDES permit for point source discharges from this facility to surface waters. This Order also serves as Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) pursuant to article 4, chapter 4, division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13260).

D. Background and Rationale for Requirements. The Regional Water Board developed the requirements in this Order based on information submitted as part of the application, through monitoring and reporting programs, and other available information. The Fact Sheet (Attachment F), which contains background information and rationale for Order requirements, is hereby incorporated into this Order and constitutes part of the Findings for this Order. Attachments A through I are also incorporated into this Order.

E. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Under Water Code section 13389, this action to adopt an NPDES permit is exempt from the provisions of CEQA, Public Resources Code sections 21100-21177.

F. Technology-based Effluent Limitations. Section 301(b) of the CWA and implementing USEPA permit regulations at section 122.44, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 122.44) require that permits include conditions meeting applicable technology-based requirements at a minimum, and any more stringent effluent limitations necessary to meet applicable water quality standards. The discharge authorized by this Order must meet minimum federal technology-based requirements based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for Mining and Processing Point Source Category 40 CFR Part 436.

40 CFR Part 436, contains effluent limitation guidelines for the mining and processing point source category that are divided into thirty-eight subcategories. Subpart X-Diatomite Subcategory is applicable to the mining and processing of diatomite. Any existing point source subject to this subpart shall achieve the following effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available (BPT): there shall be no...
discharge of process generated waste water pollutants into navigable Waters. A detailed discussion of the technology-based effluent limitations development is included in the Fact Sheet (Attachment F).

G. Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations. Section 301(b) of the CWA and 40 CFR 122.44(d) require that permits include limitations more stringent than applicable federal technology-based requirements where necessary to achieve applicable water quality standards.

40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(i) mandates that permits include effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at levels that have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard, including numeric and narrative objectives within a standard. Where reasonable potential has been established for a pollutant, but there is no numeric criterion or objective for the pollutant, water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) must be established using: (1) EPA criteria guidance under CWA section 304(a), supplemented where necessary by other relevant information; (2) an indicator parameter for the pollutant of concern; or (3) a calculated numeric water quality criterion, such as a proposed State criterion or policy interpreting the State's narrative criterion, supplemented with other relevant information, as provided in 40 CFR section 122.44(d)(1)(vi).

H. Water Quality Control Plans. The Regional Water Board adopted a Water Quality Control Plan, Fourth Edition (revised February 2007), for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins (hereinafter Basin Plan) that designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and contains implementation programs and policies to achieve those objectives for all waters addressed through the plan. The Basin Plan at page II-2.00 states that the “…beneficial uses of any specifically identified water body generally apply to its tributary streams.” Beneficial uses applicable to the Pit River (including the Lake Britton impoundment) from the mouth of Hat Creek to Shasta Lake as follows: municipal and domestic supply (MUN); agricultural supply, including stock watering (AGR); hydropower generation (POW); water contact recreation, including canoeing and rafting (REC-1); non-contact water recreation, including aesthetic enjoyment; commercial and sport fishing (REC-2); cold freshwater habitat (COLD); warm and cold spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (SPWN); and wildlife habitat (WILD). Potential uses include: Warm Freshwater Habitat (WARM)

In addition, the Basin Plan implements State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Resolution No. 88-63, which established state policy that all waters, with certain exceptions, should be considered suitable or potentially suitable for municipal or domestic supply. Thus, as discussed in detail in the Fact Sheet, beneficial uses applicable to the Pit River are summarized in Table 5, below.
Table 5. Basin Plan Beneficial Uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge Points</th>
<th>Receiving Water Name</th>
<th>Beneficial Use(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-001</td>
<td>Pit River</td>
<td>Existing:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Municipal and domestic water supply (MUN).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural supply, including stock watering (AGR);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Power Generation (POW);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact (REC-1) and non-contact (REC-2) water recreation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cold Freshwater Habitat (COLD);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warm spawning, reproduction, and/or early development, cold spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (SPWN); and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wildlife habitat (WILD).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-002</td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warm Freshwater Habitat (WARM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Basin Plan includes a list of Water Quality Limited Segments (WQLSs), which are defined as “…those sections of lakes, streams, rivers or other fresh water bodies where water quality does not meet (or is not expected to meet) water quality standards even after the application of appropriate limitations for point sources (40 CFR 130, et seq.).” The Basin Plan also states, “Additional treatment beyond minimum federal standards will be imposed on dischargers to WQLSs. Dischargers will be assigned or allocated a maximum allowable load of critical pollutants so that water quality objectives can be met in the segment.” The Pit River is listed as a WQLS in the 303(d) list of impaired water bodies for nutrients, organic enrichment/low dissolved oxygen, and temperature. However, a TMDL for the Pit River has not been completed.

I. National Toxics Rule (NTR) and California Toxics Rule (CTR). USEPA adopted the NTR on 22 December 1992, and later amended it on 4 May 1995 and 9 November 1999. About forty criteria in the NTR applied in California. On 18 May 2000, USEPA adopted the CTR. The CTR promulgated new toxics criteria for California and, in addition, incorporated the previously adopted NTR criteria that were applicable in the state. The CTR was amended on 13 February 2001. These rules contain water quality criteria for priority pollutants.

J. State Implementation Policy. On 2 March 2000, the State Water Board adopted the Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (State Implementation Policy or SIP). The SIP became effective on 28 April 2000 with respect to the priority pollutant criteria promulgated for California by the USEPA through the NTR and to the priority pollutant objectives established by the Regional Water Board in the Basin Plan. The SIP became effective on 18 May 2000 with respect to the priority pollutant criteria promulgated by the USEPA through the CTR. The State Water Board adopted amendments to the SIP on 24 February 2005 that became effective on 13 July 2005. The SIP establishes implementation provisions for priority pollutant criteria and objectives and provisions for chronic toxicity control. Requirements of this Order implement the SIP.
K. **Compliance Schedules and Interim Requirements.** In general, an NPDES permit must include final effluent limitations that are consistent with Clean Water Act section 301 and with 40 CFR 122.44(d). There are exceptions to this general rule. The State Water Board has concluded that where the Regional Water Board’s Basin Plan allows for schedules of compliance and the Regional Water Board is newly interpreting a narrative standard, it may include schedules of compliance in the permit to meet effluent limits that implement a narrative standard. See *In the Matter of Waste Discharge Requirements for Avon Refinery* (State Board Order WQ 2001-06 at pp. 53-55). See also *Communities for a Better Environment et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board*, 34 Cal.Rptr.3d 396, 410 (2005). The Basin Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers includes a provision that authorizes the use of compliance schedules in NPDES permits for water quality objectives that are adopted after the date of adoption of the Basin Plan, which was 25 September 1995 (See Basin Plan at page IV-16).

Consistent with the State Water Board’s Order in the CBE matter, the Regional Water Board has the discretion to include compliance schedules in NPDES permits when it is including an effluent limitation that is a “new interpretation” of a narrative water quality objective. This conclusion is also consistent with the United States Environmental Protection Agency policies and administrative decisions. See, e.g., Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Control Policy. The Regional Water Board, however, is not required to include a schedule of compliance, but may issue a Time Schedule Order pursuant to Water Code section 13300 or a Cease and Desist Order pursuant to Water Code section 13301 where it finds that the discharger is violating or threatening to violate the permit. The Regional Water Board will consider the merits of each case in determining whether it is appropriate to include a compliance schedule in a permit, and, consistent with the Basin Plan, should consider feasibility of achieving compliance, and must impose a schedule that is as short as practicable to achieve compliance with the objectives, criteria, or effluent limit based on the objective or criteria.

Section 2.1 of the SIP provides that, based on a Discharger’s request and demonstration that it is infeasible for an existing Discharger to achieve immediate compliance with an effluent limitation derived from a CTR criterion, compliance schedules may be allowed in an NPDES permit. Unless an exception has been granted under section 5.3 of the SIP, a compliance schedule may not exceed 5 years from the date that the permit is issued or reissued, nor may it extend beyond 10 years from the effective date of the SIP (or 18 May 2010) to establish and comply with CTR criterion-based effluent limitations. Where a compliance schedule for a final effluent limitation that exceeds one year, the Order must include interim numeric limitations for that constituent or parameter. Where allowed by the Basin Plan, compliance schedules and interim effluent limitations or discharge specifications may also be granted to allow time to implement a new or revised water quality objective. This Order does not include compliance schedules and interim effluent limitations.
L. Alaska Rule. On 30 March 2000, USEPA revised its regulation that specifies when new and revised state and tribal water quality standards (WQS) become effective for CWA purposes. (40 C.F.R. § 131.21; 65 Fed. Reg. 24641 (27 April 2000).) Under the revised regulation (also known as the Alaska rule), new and revised standards submitted to USEPA after 30 May 2000, must be approved by USEPA before being used for CWA purposes. The final rule also provides that standards already in effect and submitted to USEPA by 30 May 2000 may be used for CWA purposes, whether or not approved by USEPA.

M. Stringency of Requirements for Individual Pollutants. This Order contains both technology-based effluent limitations and WQBELs for individual pollutants. 40 CFR Part 436, Subpart X-Diatomite Subcategory does not contain any technology-based pollutant restrictions. The WQBELs consist of restrictions on settleable solids, total suspended solids, zinc, and pH. In addition, this Order contains limitations more stringent than the minimum, federal technology-based requirements that are necessary to meet water quality standards.

WQBELs have been scientifically derived to implement water quality objectives that protect beneficial uses. Both the beneficial uses and the water quality objectives have been approved pursuant to federal law and are the applicable federal water quality standards. To the extent that toxic pollutants WQBELs were derived from the CTR, the CTR is the applicable standard pursuant to 40 CFR section 131.38. The scientific procedures for calculating the individual WQBELs for priority pollutants are based on the CTR-SIP, which was approved by USEPA on 18 May 2000. All beneficial uses and water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan were approved under state law and submitted to and approved by USEPA prior to 30 May 2000. Any water quality objectives and beneficial uses submitted to USEPA prior to 30 May 2000, but not approved by USEPA before that date, are nonetheless "applicable water quality standards for purposes of the [Clean Water] Act" pursuant to 40 CFR section 131.21(c)(1). Collectively, this Order’s restrictions on individual pollutants are no more stringent than required to implement the technology-based requirements of the CWA and the applicable water quality standards for purposes of the CWA.

N. Antidegradation Policy. Section 131.12 requires that the state water quality standards include an antidegradation policy consistent with the federal policy. The State Water Board established California’s antidegradation policy in State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16. Resolution No. 68-16 is consistent with the federal antidegradation policy where the federal policy applies under federal law. Resolution No. 68-16 requires that existing quality of waters be maintained unless degradation is justified based on specific findings. The Regional Water Board’s Basin Plan implements, and incorporates by reference, both the state and federal antidegradation policies. As discussed in detail in the Fact Sheet the permitted discharge is consistent with the antidegradation provision of section 131.12 and State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16.
O. Anti-Backsliding Requirements. Sections 402(o)(2) and 303(d)(4) of the CWA and federal regulations at title 40, Code of Federal Regulations section 122.44(l) prohibit backsliding in NPDES permits. These anti-backsliding provisions require effluent limitations in a reissued permit to be as stringent as those in the previous permit, with some exceptions where limitations may be relaxed. Some effluent limitations in this Order are less stringent than those in Order No R5-2004-0006. As discussed in detail in the Fact Sheet, Section IV. D. 3., a previous effluent limitation for bis-2-ethylhexylphthalate has been removed.

P. Monitoring and Reporting. Section 122.48 requires that all NPDES permits specify requirements for recording and reporting monitoring results. Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 authorizes the Regional Water Board to require technical and monitoring reports. The Monitoring and Reporting Program establishes monitoring and reporting requirements to implement federal and State requirements. This Monitoring and Reporting Program is provided in Attachment E.

Q. Standard and Special Provisions. Standard Provisions, which apply to all NPDES permits in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41, and additional conditions applicable to specified categories of permits in accordance with 40 CFR 122.42, are provided in Attachment D. The discharger must comply with all standard provisions and with those additional conditions that are applicable under 40 CFR 122.42. The Regional Water Board has also included in this Order special provisions applicable to the Discharger. A rationale for the special provisions contained in this Order is provided in the attached Fact Sheet.

R. Provisions and Requirements Implementing State Law. The provisions/requirements in subsections IV.B, IV.C, V.B, and VI.C of this Order are included to implement state law only. These provisions/requirements are not required or authorized under the federal CWA; consequently, violations of these provisions/requirements are not subject to the enforcement remedies that are available for NPDES violations.

S. Notification of Interested Parties. The Regional Water Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe Waste Discharge Requirements for the discharge and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations. Details of notification are provided in the Fact Sheet of this Order.

T. Consideration of Public Comment. The Regional Water Board, in a public meeting, heard and considered all comments pertaining to the discharge. Details of the Public Hearing are provided in the Fact Sheet of this Order.
III. Discharge Prohibitions

A. Discharge of wastewater, including industrial storm water at location or in a manner different from that described in the Findings is prohibited.

B. The by-pass or overflow of wastes, including industrial storm water to surface waters is prohibited, except as allowed by Federal Standard Provisions I.G. and I.H. (Attachment D).

C. The discharge of untreated wastes, including earthen materials, from diatomite mining and processing operations to surface waters or surface water drainage courses is prohibited.

D. The by-pass of sediment-laden storm water around the sedimentation basins is prohibited.

E. Neither the discharge nor its treatment shall create a nuisance as defined in Section 13050 of the California Water Code.

F. The direct discharge of hazardous or toxic substances, including water treatment chemicals, solvents, or petroleum products (including oil, grease, gasoline, and diesel) to surface waters or groundwater is prohibited.

G. Discharge of waste classified as “hazardous” as defined in Section 2521(a) of Title 23, California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 2510, et seq., or “designated”, as defined in Section 13173 of the California Water Code, is prohibited.

IV. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS

A. Effluent Limitations – Discharge Points D-001 and D-002

1. Final Effluent Limitations – Discharge Points D-001 and D-002

   a. The Discharger shall maintain compliance with the following effluent limitations at Discharge Point D-001 and Discharge Point D-002, with compliance measured at Monitoring Location EFF-001 and Monitoring Location EFF-002, respectively, as described in the attached MRP (Attachment E):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>mL/L</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, total recoverable</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH(^1)</td>
<td>pH Units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Except for discharges associated with a 10-year 24-hour rainfall event, or greater.
(2) For calculating Monthly Averages, use Zero for Non-Detects (<0.1).
b. **Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity.** Survival of aquatic organisms in 96-hour bioassays of undiluted waste shall be no less than:

i. 70%, minimum for any one bioassay; and  
ii. 90%, median for any three or more consecutive bioassays.

2. **Interim Effluent Limitations – Not Applicable**

B. **Land Discharge Specifications – Not Applicable**

C. **Reclamation Specifications – Not Applicable**

**V. RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS**

A. **Surface Water Limitations**

Receiving water limitations are based on the Basin Plan water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan and are a required part of this Order. The discharge shall not cause the following in the Pit River (including the Lake Britton impoundment) or its tributaries:

1. **Bacteria.** The fecal coliform concentration, based on a minimum of not less than five samples for any 30-day period, to exceed a geometric mean of 200 MPN/100 mL, nor more than 10 percent of the total number of fecal coliform samples taken during any 30-day period to exceed 400 MPN/100 mL.

2. **Biostimulatory Substances.** Water to contain biostimulatory substances which promote aquatic growths in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

3. **Chemical Constituents.** Chemical constituents to be present in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.

4. **Color.** Discoloration that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.

5. **Dissolved Oxygen:**

   a. The monthly median of the mean daily dissolved oxygen concentration to fall below 85 percent of saturation in the main water mass;  
   b. The 95 percentile dissolved oxygen concentration to fall below 75 percent of saturation; nor  
   c. The dissolved oxygen concentration to be reduced below 7.0 mg/L at any time.

6. **Floating Material.** Floating material to be present in amounts that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
7. **Oil and Grease.** Oils, greases, waxes, or other materials to be present in concentrations that cause nuisance, result in a visible film or coating on the surface of the water or on objects in the water, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses.

8. **pH.** The pH to be depressed below 6.5, raised above 8.5, nor changed by more than 0.5 units. An averaging period may be applied when determining compliance with the pH limitation.

9. **Pesticides:**
   a. Pesticides to be present, individually or in combination, in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses;
   b. Pesticides to be present in bottom sediments or aquatic life in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses;
   c. Total identifiable persistent chlorinated hydrocarbon pesticides to be present in the water column at concentrations detectable within the accuracy of analytical methods prescribed in *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 18th Edition*, or other equivalent methods approved by the Executive Officer.
   d. Pesticide concentrations to exceed those allowable by applicable antidegradation policies (see State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16 and 40 CFR §131.12.).
   e. Pesticide concentrations to exceed the lowest levels technically and economically achievable.
   f. Pesticides to be present in concentration in excess of the maximum contaminant levels set forth in California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Division 4, Chapter 15.
   g. Thiobencarb to be present in excess of 1.0 µg/L.

10. **Radioactivity:**
    a. Radionuclides to be present in concentrations that are harmful/deleterious to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life nor that result in the accumulation of radionuclides in the food web to an extent that presents a hazard to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
    b. Radionuclides to be present in excess of the maximum contaminant levels specified in Table 4 (MCL Radioactivity) of Section 64443 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations.

11. **Salinity and Electrical Conductivity (EC).** The electrical conductivity shall not exceed 230 micromhos/cm (50 percentile) or 235 micromhos/cm (90 percentile) at Knights Landing above Colusa Basin Drain; or 240 micromhos/cm (50 percentile) or 340 micromhos/cm (90 percentile) at I Street Bridge, based upon previous 10 years of record.

12. **Suspended Sediments.** The suspended sediment load and suspended sediment discharge rate of surface waters to be altered in such a manner as to cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

13. **Settleable Substances.** Substances to be present in concentrations that result in the deposition of material that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.
14. **Suspended Material.** Suspended material to be present in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

15. **Taste and Odors.** Taste- or odor-producing substances to be present in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to fish flesh or other edible products of aquatic origin, or that cause nuisance, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses/or to domestic or municipal water supplies.

16. **Temperature.** The natural temperature to be increased by more than 5°F (3C).

17. **Toxicity.** Toxic substances to be present, individually or in combination, in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.

18. **Turbidity.** The turbidity to increase as follows:

   a. More than 1 Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU) where natural turbidity is between 0 and 5 NTUs.
   b. More than 20 percent where natural turbidity is between 5 and 50 NTUs.
   c. More than 10 NTU where natural turbidity is between 50 and 100 NTUs.
   d. More than 10 percent where natural turbidity is greater than 100 NTUs.

   Turbidity (NTU) shall be determined by (1) individual samples or (2) by samples taken over an appropriate averaging period.

**B. Groundwater Limitations**

This Order does not require the Discharger to conduct groundwater monitoring. There is no current evidence to indicate that storm water discharges from the facility pose any unusual threat to groundwater quality. If any information becomes available indicating adverse groundwater impacts from storm water system operation, a groundwater investigation and subsequent monitoring may be required.

**VI. PROVISIONS**

**A. Standard Provisions**

1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions included in Attachment D of this Order.

2. The Discharger shall comply with the following provisions:

   a. If the Discharger’s wastewater treatment plant is publicly owned or subject to regulation by California Public Utilities Commission, it shall be supervised and operated by persons possessing certificates of appropriate grade according to Title 23, CCR, Division 3, Chapter 26.

   b. After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this Order may be terminated or modified for cause, including, but not limited to:
i. violation of any term or condition contained in this Order;

ii. obtaining this Order by misrepresentation or by failing to disclose fully all relevant facts;

iii. a change in any condition that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; and

iv. a material change in the character, location, or volume of discharge.

The causes for modification include:

- **New regulations.** New regulations have been promulgated under Section 405(d) of the Clean Water Act, or the standards or regulations on which the permit was based have been changed by promulgation of amended standards or regulations or by judicial decision after the permit was issued.

- **Land application plans.** When required by a permit condition to incorporate a land application plan for beneficial reuse of sewage sludge, to revise an existing land application plan, or to add a land application plan.

- **Change in sludge use or disposal practice.** Under 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 122.62(a)(1), a change in the Discharger’s sludge use or disposal practice is a cause for modification of the permit. It is cause for revocation and reissuance if the Discharger requests or agrees.

The Regional Water Board may review and revise this Order at any time upon application of any affected person or the Regional Water Board's own motion.

c. If a toxic effluent standard or prohibition (including any scheduled compliance specified in such effluent standard or prohibition) is established under Section 307(a) of the CWA, or amendments thereto, for a toxic pollutant that is present in the discharge authorized herein, and such standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitation upon such pollutant in this Order, the Regional Water Board will revise or modify this Order in accordance with such toxic effluent standard or prohibition.

The Discharger shall comply with effluent standards and prohibitions within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if this Order has not yet been modified.

d. This Order shall be modified, or alternately revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a)(2) of the CWA, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:

i. contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the Order; or

ii. controls any pollutant limited in the Order.
The Order, as modified or reissued under this paragraph, shall also contain any other requirements of the CWA then applicable.

e. The provisions of this Order are severable. If any provision of this Order is found invalid, the remainder of this Order shall not be affected.

f. The Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to minimize any adverse effects to waters of the State or users of those waters resulting from any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this Order. Reasonable steps shall include such accelerated or additional monitoring as necessary to determine the nature and impact of the non-complying discharge or sludge use or disposal.

g. The Discharger shall ensure compliance with any existing or future pretreatment standard promulgated by USEPA under Section 307 of the CWA, or amendment thereto, for any discharge to the municipal system.

h. A copy of this Order shall be maintained at the discharge facility and be available at all times to operating personnel. Key operating personnel shall be familiar with its content.

i. Safeguard to electric power failure:

i. The Discharger shall provide safeguards to assure that, should there be reduction, loss, or failure of electric power, the discharge shall comply with the terms and conditions of this Order.

ii. Upon written request by the Regional Water Board the Discharger shall submit a written description of safeguards. Such safeguards may include alternate power sources, standby generators, retention capacity, operating procedures, or other means. A description of the safeguards provided shall include an analysis of the frequency, duration, and impact of power failures experienced over the past five years on effluent quality and on the capability of the Discharger to comply with the terms and conditions of the Order. The adequacy of the safeguards is subject to the approval of the Regional Water Board.

iii. Should the treatment works not include safeguards against reduction, loss, or failure of electric power, or should the Regional Water Board not approve the existing safeguards, the Discharger shall, within ninety days of having been advised in writing by the Regional Water Board that the existing safeguards are inadequate, provide to the Regional Water Board and USEPA a schedule of compliance for providing safeguards such that in the event of reduction, loss, or failure of electric power, the Discharger shall comply with the terms and conditions of this Order. The schedule of compliance shall, upon approval of the Regional Water Board, become a condition of this Order.
j. The Discharger, upon written request of the Regional Water Board, shall file with the Board a technical report on its preventive (failsafe) and contingency (cleanup) plans for controlling accidental discharges, and for minimizing the effect of such events. This report may be combined with that required under Regional Water Board Standard Provision VI.A.2.m.

The technical report shall:

i. Identify the possible sources of spills, leaks, untreated waste by-pass, and contaminated drainage. Loading and storage areas, power outage, waste treatment unit outage, and failure of process equipment, tanks and pipes should be considered.

ii. Evaluate the effectiveness of present facilities and procedures and state when they became operational.

iii. Predict the effectiveness of the proposed facilities and procedures and provide an implementation schedule containing interim and final dates when they will be constructed, implemented, or operational.

The Regional Water Board, after review of the technical report, may establish conditions which it deems necessary to control accidental discharges and to minimize the effects of such events. Such conditions shall be incorporated as part of this Order, upon notice to the Discharger.

k. A publicly owned treatment works (POTW) whose waste flow has been increasing, or is projected to increase, shall estimate when flows will reach hydraulic and treatment capacities of its treatment and disposal facilities. The projections shall be made in January, based on the last three years’ average dry weather flows, peak wet weather flows and total annual flows, as appropriate. When any projection shows that capacity of any part of the facilities may be exceeded in four years, the Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board by 31 January. A copy of the notification shall be sent to appropriate local elected officials, local permitting agencies and the press. Within 120 days of the notification, the Discharger shall submit a technical report showing how it will prevent flow volumes from exceeding capacity or how it will increase capacity to handle the larger flows. The Regional Water Board may extend the time for submitting the report.

l. The Discharger shall submit technical reports as directed by the Executive Officer. All technical reports required herein that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design, or other work requiring interpretation and proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code, sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1. To demonstrate compliance with Title 16, CCR, sections 415 and 3065, all technical reports must contain a statement of the qualifications of the responsible registered professional(s). As required by these laws, completed technical
reports must bear the signature(s) and seal(s) of the registered professional(s) in a manner such that all work can be clearly attributed to the professional responsible for the work.

m. The Regional Water Board is authorized to enforce the terms of this permit under several provisions of the CWC, including, but not limited to, sections 13385, 13386, and 13387.

n. For publicly owned treatment works, prior to making any change in the point of discharge, place of use, or purpose of use of treated wastewater that results in a decrease of flow in any portion of a watercourse, the Discharger must file a petition with the State Water Board, Division of Water Rights, and receive approval for such a change. (CWC section 1211).

o. In the event the Discharger does not comply or will be unable to comply for any reason, with any prohibition, maximum daily effluent limitation, 1-hour average effluent limitation, or receiving water limitation contained in this Order, the Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board by telephone (530) 224-4845 within 24 hours of having knowledge of such noncompliance, and shall confirm this notification in writing within 5 days, unless the Regional Water Board waives confirmation. The written notification shall include the information required by the Standard Provision contained in Attachment D section V.E.1. [40 CFR 122.41(l)(6)(i)].

p. Failure to comply with provisions or requirements of this Order, or violation of other applicable laws or regulations governing discharges from this facility, may subject the Discharger to administrative or civil liabilities, criminal penalties, and/or other enforcement remedies to ensure compliance. Additionally, certain violations may subject the Discharger to civil or criminal enforcement from appropriate local, state, or federal law enforcement entities.

q. In the event of any change in control or ownership of land or waste discharge facilities presently owned or controlled by the Discharger, the Discharger shall notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, a copy of which shall be immediately forwarded to the Regional Water Board. To assume operation under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity’s full legal name, the state of incorporation if a corporation, address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Regional Water Board and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory and certification requirements in the federal Standard Provisions (Attachment D, section V.B) and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the CWC. Transfer shall be approved or disapproved in writing by the Executive Officer.
r. Laboratories that perform sample analyses must be identified in all monitoring reports submitted to the Regional Water Board and USEPA.

s. The Discharger shall conduct analysis on any sample provided by USEPA as part of the Discharge Monitoring Quality Assurance (DMQA) program. The results of any such analysis shall be submitted to USEPA's DMQA manager.

t. Effluent samples shall be taken downstream of the last addition of wastes to the treatment or discharge works where a representative sample may be obtained prior to mixing with the receiving waters. Samples shall be collected at such a point and in such a manner to ensure a representative sample of the discharge.

u. All monitoring and analysis instruments and devices used by the Discharger to fulfill the prescribed monitoring program shall be properly maintained and calibrated as necessary, at least yearly, to ensure their continued accuracy.

v. The Discharger shall file with the Regional Water Board technical reports on self-monitoring performed according to the detailed specifications contained in the Monitoring and Reporting Program attached to this Order.

w. The results of all monitoring required by this Order shall be reported to the Regional Water Board, and shall be submitted in such a format as to allow direct comparison with the limitations and requirements of this Order. Unless otherwise specified, discharge flows shall be reported in terms of the monthly average and the daily maximum discharge flows.

x. Prior to making any change in the point of discharge, place of use, or purpose of use of treated wastewater that results in a decrease of flow in any portion of a watercourse, the Discharger must file a petition with the State Water Board, Division of Water Rights, and receive approval for such a change. (CWC section 1211)

B. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Requirements

1. The Discharger shall comply with the MRP, and future revisions thereto, in Attachment E of this Order.

C. Special Provisions

1. Reopener Provisions

a. Conditions that necessitate a major modification of a permit are described in 40 CFR 122.62, including:

i. If new or amended applicable water quality standards are promulgated or approved pursuant to Section 303 of the CWA, or amendments thereto, this permit may be reopened and modified in accordance with the new or amended standards.
ii. When new information, that was not available at the time of permit issuance, would have justified different permit conditions at the time of issuance.

b. This Order may be reopened for modification, or revocation and reissuance, as a result of the detection of a reportable priority pollutant generated by special conditions included in this Order. These special conditions may be, but are not limited to, fish tissue sampling, whole effluent toxicity, monitoring requirements on internal waste stream(s), and monitoring for surrogate parameters. Additional requirements may be included in this Order as a result of the special condition monitoring data.

c. **Constituent Study.** There are indications that the discharge may contain constituents that have reasonable potential to contribute to an exceedence of the water quality objectives: aluminum, iron, and manganese. This Order requires the Discharger to conduct monitoring for aluminum, iron, and manganese as outlined in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E). If the Regional Board determines that implementation of effluent limitations is appropriate and necessary, this Order may be reopened.

d. **Mixing Zone and Dilution Studies.** Section 1.4 of the SIP established procedures for calculating effluent limitations. Included in the procedures is determination of a dilution credit, which the Regional Water Board may approve or disapprove at its discretion. However, the Discharger has not developed the information needed to determine a dilution credit. Consequently, this Order establishes final effluent limitations based on zero dilution. This Order also has a reopener that allows new effluent limitations to be adopted if a mixing zone and dilution study demonstrates that dilution credits are appropriate.

e. **Water Effects Ratios (WER) and Metal Translators.** A default WER of 1.0 has been used in this Order for calculating CTR criteria for applicable priority pollutant inorganic constituents. The Discharger submitted a site-specific translator study for zinc in June 2008. Regional Water Board staff reviewed and concurred with the results presented in the report. Therefore, effluent limitations for zinc were developed using a conversion factor of 0.82 for freshwater acute criteria and 0.67 for chronic criteria. Additional sampling for zinc is required in this Order to demonstrate that the metals translator for zinc remains relevant. This Order may be reopened and new effluent limitations added or modified if the metals translator changes significantly.

f. **Whole Effluent Toxicity.** This Order requires the Discharger to investigate the causes of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity through a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) if effluent toxicity is observed. This Order may be reopened to include a chronic toxicity limitation, a new acute toxicity limitation, and/or a limitation for a specific toxicant identified in the TRE. Additionally, if a numeric water quality objective is adopted by the State Water Board, this Order may be reopened to include a numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitation based on that objective.
2. Special Studies, Technical Reports and Additional Monitoring Requirements

   a. **Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity.** For compliance with the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective, this Order requires the Discharger to conduct chronic whole effluent toxicity testing, as specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E, Section V.). Furthermore, this Provision requires the Discharger to investigate the causes of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity. If the discharge exceeds the toxicity numeric monitoring trigger established in this Provision, the Discharger is required to initiate a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE), in accordance with an approved TRE Work Plan, and take actions to mitigate the impact of the discharge and prevent reoccurrence of toxicity. A TRE is a site-specific study conducted in a stepwise process to identify the source(s) of toxicity and the effective control measures for effluent toxicity. TREs are designed to identify the causative agents and sources of whole effluent toxicity, evaluate the effectiveness of the toxicity control options, and confirm the reduction in effluent toxicity. This Provision includes requirements for the Discharger to develop and submit a TRE Work Plan and includes procedures for accelerated chronic toxicity monitoring and TRE initiation.

   i. **Initial Investigative Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Work Plan.** Within 90 days of the effective date of this Order, the Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board an Initial Investigative TRE Work Plan for approval by the Executive Officer. This should be a one to two page document including, at minimum:

   a) A description of the investigation and evaluation techniques that will be used to identify potential causes and sources of effluent toxicity, effluent variability, and treatment system efficiency;

   b) A description of the facility’s methods of maximizing in-house treatment efficiency and good housekeeping practices, and a list of all chemicals used in operation of the facility; and

   c) A discussion of who will conduct the Toxicity Identification Evaluation, if necessary (i.e. an in-house expert or outside contractor).

   ii. **Accelerated Monitoring and TRE Initiation.** When the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is exceeded during regular chronic toxicity monitoring, and the testing meets all test acceptability criteria, the Discharger shall initiate accelerated monitoring as required in the Accelerated Monitoring Specifications. The Discharger shall initiate a TRE to address effluent toxicity if any WET testing results exceed the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger during accelerated monitoring.

   iii. **Numeric Monitoring Trigger.** The numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is > 1 TUc (where TUc = 100/NOEC). The monitoring trigger is not an effluent limitation; it is the toxicity threshold at which the Discharger is required to begin accelerated monitoring and initiate a TRE.
iv. **Accelerated Monitoring Specifications.** If the monitoring trigger is exceeded during regular chronic toxicity testing, within 14-days of notification by the laboratory of the test results, the Discharger shall initiate accelerated monitoring. Accelerated monitoring shall consist of four (4) chronic toxicity tests every two weeks using the species that exhibited toxicity. The following protocol shall be used for accelerated monitoring and TRE initiation:

a) If the results of four (4) consecutive accelerated monitoring tests do not exceed the monitoring trigger, the Discharger may cease accelerated monitoring and resume regular chronic toxicity monitoring. However, notwithstanding the accelerated monitoring results, if there is adequate evidence of a pattern of effluent toxicity, the Executive Officer may require that the Discharger initiate a TRE.

b) If the source(s) of the toxicity is easily identified (i.e. temporary plant upset), the Discharger shall make necessary corrections to the facility and shall continue accelerated monitoring until four (4) consecutive accelerated tests do not exceed the monitoring trigger. Upon confirmation that the effluent toxicity has been removed, the Discharger may cease accelerated monitoring and resume regular chronic toxicity monitoring.

c) If the result of any accelerated toxicity test exceeds the monitoring trigger, the Discharger shall cease accelerated monitoring and initiate a TRE to investigate the cause(s) of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity. Within thirty (30) days of notification by the laboratory of the test results exceeding the monitoring trigger during accelerated monitoring, the Discharger shall submit a TRE Action Plan to the Regional Water Board including, at minimum:

1) Specific actions the Discharger will take to investigate and identify the cause(s) of toxicity, including TRE WET monitoring schedule;

2) Specific actions the Discharger will take to mitigate the impact of the discharge and prevent the recurrence of toxicity; and

3) A schedule for these actions.

b. **Storm Water Pollution Controls.**

i. Prior to **15 October** of each year, the Discharger shall implement necessary erosion control measures and any necessary construction, maintenance, or repairs of drainage and erosion control facilities.

ii. The Discharger has prepared a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) containing best management practices to reduce pollutants in the storm water discharges. The Discharger shall review and amend as appropriate the SWPPP whenever there are changes that may affect the discharge of significant quantities of pollutants to surface water, if there are violations of this permit, or if the general objective of controlling pollutants in the storm water discharges has not been achieved. The
amended SWPPP shall be submitted prior to **15 October** in the year in which it was prepared.

iii. By **1 July** of each year, the Discharger shall submit a Storm Water Annual Report for the previous fiscal year (1 July to 30 June). The report shall be signed in accordance with Standard Provisions V.B and may be submitted using the General Industrial Storm Water Annual Report Form, provided by the State Water Resources Control Board, or in a format that contains equivalent information.

c. **Groundwater Monitoring** – Not applicable.

3. **Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention**

a. **Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP).**

The Discharger shall develop and conduct a PMP as further described below when there is evidence (e.g., sample results reported as DNQ when the effluent limitation is less than the MDL, sample results from analytical methods more sensitive than those methods required by this Order, presence of whole effluent toxicity, health advisories for fish consumption, results of benthic or aquatic organism tissue sampling) that a priority pollutant is present in the effluent above an effluent limitation and either: 1) A sample result is reported as DNQ and the effluent limitation is less than the RL; or 2) A sample result is reported as ND and the effluent limitation is less than the MDL, using definitions described in Attachment A and reporting protocols described in MRP.

The PMP shall include, but not be limited to, the following actions and submittals acceptable to the Regional Water Board:

i. An annual review and semi-annual monitoring of potential sources of the reportable priority pollutant(s), which may include fish tissue monitoring and other bio-uptake sampling;

ii. Annual monitoring for the reportable priority pollutant(s) in the influent to the wastewater treatment system;

iii. Submittal of a control strategy designed to proceed toward the goal of maintaining concentrations of the reportable priority pollutant(s) in the effluent at or below the effluent limitation;

iv. Implementation of appropriate cost-effective control measures for the reportable priority pollutant(s), consistent with the control strategy; and
v. An annual status report that shall be sent to the Regional Water Board including:

(1) All PMP monitoring results for the previous year;
(2) A list of potential sources of the reportable priority pollutant(s);
(3) A summary of all actions undertaken pursuant to the control strategy; and
(4) A description of actions to be taken in the following year.

b. **Salinity Evaluation and Minimization Plan.** The Discharger shall prepare a salinity evaluation and minimization plan to address sources of salinity from the Facility. The plan shall be completed and submitted to the Regional Water Board within 9 months of the adoption date of this Order for the approval by the Executive Officer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7. Salinity Evaluation and Minimization Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - Submit Work plan and Time Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Begin Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Complete Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Submit Summary Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Construction, Operation and Maintenance Specifications**

a. **Storm Water Pond Operating Requirements.**

i. The treatment facility shall be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to prevent inundation or washout due to floods with a 100-year return frequency.

ii. Public contact with wastewater shall be precluded through such means as fences, signs, and other acceptable alternatives.

iii. Ponds shall be managed to prevent breeding of mosquitoes. In particular,

   a) An erosion control program should assure that small coves and irregularities are not created around the perimeter of the water surface.
   b) Weeds shall be minimized.
   c) Dead algae, vegetation, and debris shall not accumulate on the water surface.

iv. Freeboard shall not be less than two feet (measured vertically to the lowest point of overflow)

v. Ponds shall have sufficient capacity to accommodate allowable wastewater flow and design seasonal precipitation and ancillary inflow and infiltration during non-irrigation season. Design seasonal precipitation shall be based on total annual precipitation using a return period of 100 years, distributed
monthly in accordance with historical rainfall patterns. Freeboard shall never be less than 2 feet (measured vertically to the lowest point of overflow).

5. Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTWs Only) - Not Applicable

6. Other Special Provisions

a. Sludge, Topsoil, and Overburden Management

i. Collected screenings, sludge and other solids removed from liquid wastes, including pond sediments, shall be disposed of in a proper manner approved by the Executive officer and consistent with the Consolidated Regulations for treatment, storage, Processing, or Disposal of Solid Waste, as set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations (CCR), Division 2, Subdivision 1, Section 20005, et seq.

b. In the event of any change in control or ownership of land or waste discharge facilities presently owned or controlled by the Discharger, the Discharger shall notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, a copy of which shall be immediately forwarded to the Regional Water Board. To assume operation under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity’s full legal name, the State of incorporation if a corporation, address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Regional Water Board and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory and certification requirements in the Federal Standard Provisions (Attachment D, Section V.B.) and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the California Water Code. Transfer shall be approved or disapproved in writing by the Executive Officer.

7. Compliance Schedules – Not Applicable

VII. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION – Not Applicable
ATTACHMENT A – DEFINITIONS

Arithmetic Mean (µ), also called the average, is the sum of measured values divided by the number of samples. For ambient water concentrations, the arithmetic mean is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Arithmetic mean} = \mu = \frac{\sum x}{n} \quad \text{where: } \sum x \text{ is the sum of the measured ambient water concentrations, and } n \text{ is the number of samples.}$$

Average Monthly Effluent Limitation (AMEL): the highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.

Average Weekly Effluent Limitation (AWEL): the highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday), calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar week divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that week.

Averaging Period: a minimum of four samples per day from each upstream and downstream station for a period of up to 4 days during discharge. Samples collected for averaging must be spaced at least 3 hours apart.

Best Practicable Treatment or Control (BPTC): BPTC is a requirement of State Water Resources Control Board Resolution 68-16 – “Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California” (referred to as the "Antidegradation Policy"). BPTC is the treatment or control of a discharge necessary to assure that, “(a) a pollution or nuisance will not occur and (b) the highest water quality consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the State will be maintained.” Pollution is defined in CWC Section 13050(I). In general, an exceedance of a water quality objective in the Basin Plan constitutes “pollution”.

Bioaccumulative pollutants are those substances taken up by an organism from its surrounding medium through gill membranes, epithelial tissue, or from food and subsequently concentrated and retained in the body of the organism.

Carcinogenic pollutants are substances that are known to cause cancer in living organisms.

Coefficient of Variation (CV) is a measure of the data variability and is calculated as the estimated standard deviation divided by the arithmetic mean of the observed values.

Daily Discharge: Daily Discharge is defined as either: (1) the total mass of the constituent discharged over the calendar day (12:00 am through 11:59 pm) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling (as specified in the permit), for a constituent with limitations expressed in units of mass or; (2) the unweighted arithmetic mean measurement of the constituent over the day for a constituent with limitations expressed in other units of measurement (e.g., concentration).
The daily discharge may be determined by the analytical results of a composite sample taken over the course of one day (a calendar day or other 24-hour period defined as a day) or by the arithmetic mean of analytical results from one or more grab samples taken over the course of the day.

For composite sampling, if 1 day is defined as a 24-hour period other than a calendar day, the analytical result for the 24-hour period will be considered as the result for the calendar day in which the 24-hour period ends.

**Detected, but Not Quantified (DNQ)** are those sample results less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the laboratory’s MDL.

**Dilution Credit** is the amount of dilution granted to a discharge in the calculation of a water quality-based effluent limitation, based on the allowance of a specified mixing zone. It is calculated from the dilution ratio or determined through conducting a mixing zone study or modeling of the discharge and receiving water.

**Effluent Concentration Allowance (ECA)** is a value derived from the water quality criterion/objective, dilution credit, and ambient background concentration that is used, in conjunction with the coefficient of variation for the effluent monitoring data, to calculate a long-term average (LTA) discharge concentration. The ECA has the same meaning as waste load allocation (WLA) as used in U.S. EPA guidance (Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control, March 1991, second printing, EPA/505/2-90-001).

**Enclosed Bays** means indentations along the coast that enclose an area of oceanic water within distinct headlands or harbor works. Enclosed bays include all bays where the narrowest distance between the headlands or outermost harbor works is less than 75 percent of the greatest dimension of the enclosed portion of the bay. Enclosed bays include, but are not limited to, Humboldt Bay, Bodega Harbor, Tomales Bay, Drake’s Estero, San Francisco Bay, Morro Bay, Los Angeles-Long Beach Harbor, Upper and Lower Newport Bay, Mission Bay, and San Diego Bay. Enclosed bays do not include inland surface waters or ocean waters.

**Estimated Chemical Concentration** is the estimated chemical concentration that results from the confirmed detection of the substance by the analytical method below the ML value.

**Estuaries** means waters, including coastal lagoons, located at the mouths of streams that serve as areas of mixing for fresh and ocean waters. Coastal lagoons and mouths of streams that are temporarily separated from the ocean by sandbars shall be considered estuaries. Estuarine waters shall be considered to extend from a bay or the open ocean to a point upstream where there is no significant mixing of fresh water and seawater. Estuarine waters included, but are not limited to, the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, as defined in Water Code section 12220, Suisun Bay, Carquinez Strait downstream to the Carquinez Bridge, and appropriate areas of the Smith, Mad, Eel, Noyo, Russian, Klamath, San Diego, and Otay rivers. Estuaries do not include inland surface waters or ocean waters.

**Inland Surface Waters** are all surface waters of the State that do not include the ocean, enclosed bays, or estuaries.
**Instantaneous Maximum Effluent Limitation:** the highest allowable value for any single grab sample or aliquot (i.e., each grab sample or aliquot is independently compared to the instantaneous maximum limitation).

**Instantaneous Minimum Effluent Limitation:** the lowest allowable value for any single grab sample or aliquot (i.e., each grab sample or aliquot is independently compared to the instantaneous minimum limitation).

**Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation (MDEL)** means the highest allowable daily discharge of a pollutant, over a calendar day (or 24-hour period). For pollutants with limitations expressed in units of mass, the daily discharge is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the daily discharge is calculated as the arithmetic mean measurement of the pollutant over the day.

**Median** is the middle measurement in a set of data. The median of a set of data is found by first arranging the measurements in order of magnitude (either increasing or decreasing order). If the number of measurements \( n \) is odd, then the median = \( X_{(n+1)/2} \). If \( n \) is even, then the median = \( (X_{n/2} + X_{(n/2)+1})/2 \) (i.e., the midpoint between the \( n/2 \) and \( n/2+1 \)).

**Method Detection Limit (MDL)** is the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero, as defined in title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 136, Attachment B, revised as of July 3, 1999.

**Minimum Level (ML)** is the concentration at which the entire analytical system must give a recognizable signal and acceptable calibration point. The ML is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, assuming that all the method specified sample weights, volumes, and processing steps have been followed.

**Mixing Zone** is a limited volume of receiving water that is allocated for mixing with a wastewater discharge where water quality criteria can be exceeded without causing adverse effects to the overall water body.

**Not Detected (ND)** are those sample results less than the laboratory’s MDL.

**Ocean Waters** are the territorial marine waters of the State as defined by California law to the extent these waters are outside of enclosed bays, estuaries, and coastal lagoons. Discharges to ocean waters are regulated in accordance with the State Water Board’s California Ocean Plan.

**Persistent** pollutants are substances for which degradation or decomposition in the environment is nonexistent or very slow.

**Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP)** means waste minimization and pollution prevention actions that include, but are not limited to, product substitution, waste stream recycling, alternative waste management methods, and education of the public and businesses. The
The goal of the PMP shall be to reduce all potential sources of a priority pollutant(s) through pollutant minimization (control) strategies, including pollution prevention measures as appropriate, to maintain the effluent concentration at or below the water quality-based effluent limitation. Pollution prevention measures may be particularly appropriate for persistent bioaccumulative priority pollutants where there is evidence that beneficial uses are being impacted. The Regional Water Board may consider cost effectiveness when establishing the requirements of a PMP. The completion and implementation of a Pollution Prevention Plan, if required pursuant to Water Code section 13263.3(d), shall be considered to fulfill the PMP requirements.

Pollution Prevention means any action that causes a net reduction in the use or generation of a hazardous substance or other pollutant that is discharged into water and includes, but is not limited to, input change, operational improvement, production process change, and product reformulation (as defined in Water Code section 13263.3). Pollution prevention does not include actions that merely shift a pollutant in wastewater from one environmental medium to another environmental medium, unless clear environmental benefits of such an approach are identified to the satisfaction of the State or Regional Water Board.

Reporting Level (RL) is the ML (and its associated analytical method) chosen by the Discharger for reporting and compliance determination from the MLs included in this Order. The MLs included in this Order correspond to approved analytical methods for reporting a sample result that are selected by the Regional Water Board either from Appendix 4 of the SIP in accordance with section 2.4.2 of the SIP or established in accordance with section 2.4.3 of the SIP. The ML is based on the proper application of method-based analytical procedures for sample preparation and the absence of any matrix interferences. Other factors may be applied to the ML depending on the specific sample preparation steps employed. For example, the treatment typically applied in cases where there are matrix-effects is to dilute the sample or sample aliquot by a factor of ten. In such cases, this additional factor must be applied to the ML in the computation of the RL.

Satellite Collection System is the portion, if any, of a sanitary sewer system owned or operated by a different public agency than the agency that owns and operates the wastewater treatment facility that a sanitary sewer system is tributary to.

Source of Drinking Water is any water designated as municipal or domestic supply (MUN) in a Regional Water Board Basin Plan.

Standard Deviation (σ) is a measure of variability that is calculated as follows:

$$\sigma = \left( \frac{\sum (x - \mu)^2}{n - 1} \right)^{0.5}$$

where:

- $x$ is the observed value;
- $\mu$ is the arithmetic mean of the observed values; and
- $n$ is the number of samples.

Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) is a study conducted in a step-wise process designed to identify the causative agents of effluent or ambient toxicity, isolate the sources of toxicity, evaluate the effectiveness of toxicity control options, and then confirm the reduction in toxicity.
The first steps of the TRE consist of the collection of data relevant to the toxicity, including additional toxicity testing, and an evaluation of facility operations and maintenance practices, and best management practices. A Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) may be required as part of the TRE, if appropriate. (A TIE is a set of procedures to identify the specific chemical(s) responsible for toxicity. These procedures are performed in three phases (characterization, identification, and confirmation) using aquatic organism toxicity tests.)
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ATTACHMENT D – STANDARD PROVISIONS

I. STANDARD PROVISIONS – PERMIT COMPLIANCE

A. Duty to Comply

1. The Discharger must comply with all of the conditions of this Order. Any noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the California Water Code and is grounds for enforcement action, for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification, or denial of a permit renewal application. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(a).)

2. The Discharger shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the CWA for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under Section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions, even if this Order has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(a)(1))

B. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a Discharger in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(c))

C. Duty to Mitigate

The Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this Order that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(d))

D. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The Discharger shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the Discharger to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems that are installed by a Discharger only when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(e))

E. Property Rights

1. This Order does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privileges. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(g))
2. The issuance of this Order does not authorize any injury to persons or property or invasion of other private rights, or any infringement of state or local law or regulations. (40 C.F.R. § 122.5(c))

F. Inspection and Entry

The Discharger shall allow the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), and/or their authorized representatives (including an authorized contractor acting as their representative), upon the presentation of credentials and other documents, as may be required by law, to enter upon the Discharger’s premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records are kept under the conditions of this Order (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)); Wat. Code, § 13383):

1. Enter upon the Discharger’s premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records are kept under the conditions of this Order (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(1));

2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this Order (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(2));

3. Inspect and photograph, at reasonable times, any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this Order (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(3)); and

4. Sample or monitor, at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring Order compliance or as otherwise authorized by the CWA or the Water Code, any substances or parameters at any location. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(4))

G. Bypass

1. Definitions

   a. “Bypass” means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(1)(i))

   b. “Severe property damage” means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities, which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources that can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(1)(ii))

2. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The Discharger may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause exceedances of effluent limitations, but only if it is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions listed in Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.3, I.G.4, and I.G.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(2))
3. Prohibition of bypass. Bypass is prohibited, and the Regional Water Board may take enforcement action against a Discharger for bypass, unless (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)):

   a. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A));

   b. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass that occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(B)); and

   c. The Discharger submitted notice to the Regional Water Board as required under Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(C))

4. The Regional Water Board may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Regional Water Board determines that it will meet the three conditions listed in Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.3 above. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(ii))

5. Notice

   a. Anticipated bypass. If the Discharger knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit a notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(3)(i))


H. Upset

Upset means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Discharger. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(1))

1. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.H.2 below are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was
caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(2))

2. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A Discharger who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)):

a. An upset occurred and that the Discharger can identify the cause(s) of the upset (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(i));

b. The permitted facility was, at the time, being properly operated (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(ii));

c. The Discharger submitted notice of the upset as required in Standard Provisions, Reporting V.E.2.b below (24-hour notice) (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(iii)); and


3. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the Discharger seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(4))

II. STANDARD PROVISIONS – PERMIT ACTION

A. General

This Order may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the Discharger for modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any Order condition. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(f))

B. Duty to Reapply

If the Discharger wishes to continue an activity regulated by this Order after the expiration date of this Order, the Discharger must apply for and obtain a new permit. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(b).)

C. Transfers

This Order is not transferable to any person except after notice to the Regional Water Board. The Regional Water Board may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the Order to change the name of the Discharger and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the CWA and the Water Code. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(3); § 122.61.)
III. STANDARD PROVISIONS – MONITORING

A. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(1))

B. Monitoring results must be conducted according to test procedures under Part 136 or, in the case of sludge use or disposal, approved under Part 136 unless otherwise specified in Part 503 unless other test procedures have been specified in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(4); § 122.44(i)(1)(iv).)

IV. STANDARD PROVISIONS – RECORDS

A. Except for records of monitoring information required by this Order related to the Discharger’s sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five years (or longer as required by Part 503), the Discharger shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this Order, and records of all data used to complete the application for this Order, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Regional Water Board Executive Officer at any time. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(2).)

B. Records of monitoring information shall include:

1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(i));
2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(ii));
3. The date(s) analyses were performed (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(iii));
4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(iv));
5. The analytical techniques or methods used (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(v)); and
6. The results of such analyses. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(vi).)

C. Claims of confidentiality for the following information will be denied (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)):

1. The name and address of any permit applicant or Discharger (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)(1)); and
2. Permit applications and attachments, permits and effluent data. (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)(2))
V. STANDARD PROVISIONS – REPORTING

A. Duty to Provide Information

The Discharger shall furnish to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA within a reasonable time, any information which the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this Order or to determine compliance with this Order. Upon request, the Discharger shall also furnish to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA copies of records required to be kept by this Order. (40 CFR 122.41(h); Wat. Code, § 13267.)

B. Signatory and Certification Requirements

1. All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, and/or USEPA shall be signed and certified in accordance with Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2, V.B.3, V.B.4, and V.B.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(k).)

2. All permit applications shall be signed by a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this section, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) A president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures. (40 CFR 122.22(a)(1).)

3. All reports required by this Order and other information requested by the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA shall be signed by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above, or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:

   a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above (40 CFR 122.22(b)(1));

   b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative
may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.) (40 CFR 122.22(b)(2)); and

c. The written authorization is submitted to the Regional Water Board and State Water Board. (40 CFR 122.22(b)(3))

5. If an authorization under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.3 above is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.3 above must be submitted to the Regional Water Board and State Water Board prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications, to be signed by an authorized representative. (40 CFR 122.22(c))

6. Any person signing a document under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 or V.B.3 above shall make the following certification:

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.” (40 CFR 122.22(d))

C. Monitoring Reports

1. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E) in this Order. (40 CFR 122.22(l)(4).)

2. Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form or forms provided or specified by the Regional Water Board or State Water Board for reporting results of monitoring of sludge use or disposal practices. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(i).)

3. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order using test procedures approved under Part 136 or, in the case of sludge use or disposal, approved under Part 136 unless otherwise specified in Part 503, or as specified in this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR or sludge reporting form specified by the Regional Water Board. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(ii).)

4. Calculations for all limitations, which require averaging of measurements, shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in this Order. (40 CFR 122.41(l)(4)(iii).)
D. Compliance Schedules

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this Order, shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. (40 CFR 122.41(l)(5)).

E. Twenty-Four Hour Reporting

1. The Discharger shall report any noncompliance that may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the Discharger becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) days of the time the Discharger becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance. (40 CFR 122.41(l)(6)(i)).

2. The following shall be included as information that must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph (40 CFR 122.41(l)(6)(ii)).
   a. Any unanticipated bypass that exceeds any effluent limitation in this Order. (40 CFR 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(A)).
   b. Any upset that exceeds any effluent limitation in this Order. (40 CFR 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(B)).

3. The Regional Water Board may waive the above-required written report under this provision on a case-by-case basis if an oral report has been received within 24 hours. (40 CFR 122.41(l)(6)(iii)).

F. Planned Changes

The Discharger shall give notice to the Regional Water Board as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required under this provision only when (40 CFR 122.41(l)(1)):

1. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in section 122.29(b) (40 CFR 122.41(l)(1)(i)); or

2. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants that are subject neither to effluent limitations in this Order nor to notification requirements under section 122.42(a)(1) (see Additional Provisions—Notification Levels VII.A.1). (40 CFR 122.41(l)(1)(ii)).
3. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the Discharger's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan. (40 CFR 122.41(l)(1)(iii).)

G. Anticipated Noncompliance

The Discharger shall give advance notice to the Regional Water Board or State Water Board of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity that may result in noncompliance with General Order requirements. (40 CFR 122.41(l)(2).)

H. Other Noncompliance

The Discharger shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.C, V.D, and V.E above at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in Standard Provision – Reporting V.E above. (40 CFR 122.41(l)(7).)

I. Other Information

When the Discharger becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA, the Discharger shall promptly submit such facts or information. (40 CFR 122.41(l)(8).)

VI. STANDARD PROVISIONS – ENFORCEMENT

A. The Regional Water Board is authorized to enforce the terms of this permit under several provisions of the Water Code, including, but not limited to, sections 13385, 13386, and 13387.

VII. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS – NOTIFICATION LEVELS

A. Non-Municipal Facilities

Existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural Dischargers shall notify the Regional Water Board as soon as they know or have reason to believe (40 CFR 122.42(a)):

1. That any activity has occurred or will occur that would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant that is not limited in this Order, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels" (40 CFR 122.42(a)(1)): 
a. 100 micrograms per liter (μg/L) (40 CFR 122.42(a)(1)(i));

b. 200 μg/L for acrolein and acrylonitrile; 500 μg/L for 2,4-dinitrophenol and 2-methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol; and 1 milligram per liter (mg/L) for antimony (40 CFR 122.42(a)(1)(ii));

c. Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the Report of Waste Discharge (40 CFR 122.42(a)(1)(iii)); or

d. The level established by the Regional Water Board in accordance with section 122.44(f). (40 CFR 122.42(a)(1)(iv).)

2. That any activity has occurred or will occur that would result in the discharge, on a non-routine or infrequent basis, of any toxic pollutant that is not limited in this Order, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels" (40 CFR 122.42(a)(2)):

a. 500 micrograms per liter (μg/L) (40 CFR 122.42(a)(2)(i));

b. 1 milligram per liter (mg/L) for antimony (40 CFR 122.42(a)(2)(ii));

c. Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the Report of Waste Discharge (40 CFR 122.42(a)(2)(iii)); or

d. The level established by the Regional Water Board in accordance with section 122.44(f). (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)(iv).)
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ATTACHMENT E – MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (MRP)

Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) section 122.48 (40 CFR 122.48) requires that all NPDES permits specify monitoring and reporting requirements. California Water Code (CFR) Sections 13267 and 13383 also authorize the Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) to require technical and monitoring reports. This Monitoring and Reporting Program establishes monitoring and reporting requirements, which implement the federal and state regulations.

I. GENERAL MONITORING PROVISIONS

A. Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge. All samples shall be taken at the monitoring locations specified below and, unless otherwise specified, before the monitored flow joins or is diluted by any other waste stream, body of water, or substance. Monitoring locations shall not be changed without notification to and the approval of this Regional Water Board.

B. Effluent samples shall be taken downstream of the last addition of wastes to the treatment or discharge works where a representative sample may be obtained prior to mixing with the receiving waters. Samples shall be collected at such a point and in such a manner to ensure a representative sample of the discharge.

C. Chemical, bacteriological, and bioassay analyses shall be conducted at a laboratory certified for such analyses by the Department of Public Health (DPH, formally the Department of Health Services). In the event a certified laboratory is not available to the Discharger, analyses performed by a noncertified laboratory will be accepted provided a Quality Assurance-Quality Control Program is instituted by the laboratory. A manual containing the steps followed in this program must be kept in the laboratory and shall be available for inspection by Regional Water Board staff. The Quality Assurance-Quality Control Program must conform to USEPA guidelines or to procedures approved by the Regional Water Board.

D. All analyses shall be performed in a laboratory certified to perform such analyses by the DPH. Laboratories that perform sample analyses shall be identified in all monitoring reports submitted to the Regional Water Board.

E. Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. All monitoring instruments and devices used by the Discharger to fulfill the prescribed monitoring program shall be properly maintained and calibrated as necessary, at least yearly, to ensure their continued accuracy. All flow measurement devices shall be calibrated at least once per year to ensure continued accuracy of the devices.

F. Monitoring results, including noncompliance, shall be reported at intervals and in a manner specified in this Monitoring and Reporting Program.
G. Laboratories analyzing monitoring samples shall be certified by DPH, in accordance with the provision of CWC section 13176, and must include quality assurance/quality control data with their reports.

H. The Discharger shall conduct analysis on any sample provided by USEPA as part of the Discharge Monitoring Quality Assurance (DMQA) program. The results of any such analysis shall be submitted to USEPA’s DMQA manager.

I. The Discharger shall file with the Regional Water Board technical reports on self-monitoring performed according to the detailed specifications contained in this Monitoring and Reporting Program.

J. The results of all monitoring required by this Order shall be reported to the Regional Water Board, and shall be submitted in such a format as to allow direct comparison with the limitations and requirements of this Order. Unless otherwise specified, discharge flows shall be reported in terms of the monthly average and the daily maximum discharge flows.

II. MONITORING LOCATIONS
The Discharger shall establish the following monitoring locations to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitations, discharge specifications, and other requirements in this Order:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge Point Name</th>
<th>Monitoring Location Name</th>
<th>Monitoring Location Description (include Latitude and Longitude when available)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-001</td>
<td>EFF-001</td>
<td>Outfall from Secondary Quarry Settling Pond&lt;br&gt;Latitude 41° 01’ 59” N and Longitude 121° 41’ 03” W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-002</td>
<td>EFF-002</td>
<td>Outfall from Secondary Processing Plant Settling Pond&lt;br&gt;Latitude 41° 01’ 56” N, Longitude 121° 41’ 52” W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td></td>
<td>Intermittent tributary, northwest quadrant of property&lt;br&gt;Latitude 41° 02’ 23” N, Longitude 121° 41’ 17” W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSW-002</td>
<td></td>
<td>Intermittent tributary, Southwest of property&lt;br&gt;Latitude 41° 01’ 39” N, Longitude 121° 40’ 27” W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND-001</td>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Quarry Settling Pond&lt;br&gt;Latitude 41° 02’ 13” N, Longitude 121° 40’ 54” W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND-002</td>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary Quarry Settling Pond&lt;br&gt;Latitude 41° 01’ 59” N, Longitude 121° 41’ 03” W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND-003</td>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Processing Settling Pond&lt;br&gt;Latitude 41° 01’ 53” N, Longitude 121° 40’ 56” W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND-004</td>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary Processing Settling Pond&lt;br&gt;Latitude 41° 01’ 53” N, Longitude 121° 40’ 53” W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PND-005</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dust Control Pond&lt;br&gt;Latitude 41° 02’ 01” N, Longitude 121° 41’ 06” W.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS - NOT APPLICABLE

IV. EFFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Monitoring Location EFF-001 and EFF-002

1. The Discharger shall monitor the outfall from the settling ponds at Monitoring Location EFF-001 and EFF-002 as follows. If more than one analytical test method is listed for a given parameter, the Discharger must select from the listed methods and corresponding Minimum Level:

Table E-2. Effluent Monitoring Locations EFF-001 and EFF-002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method and (Minimum Level, units), respectively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>gal/min</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>Daily during discharge^1^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>units</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Daily during discharge^1^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>mL/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Daily during discharge^1^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Daily during discharge^1^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly during discharge^1^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, total recoverable</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly during discharge^1^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly during discharge^1^</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, dissolved^7</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; Grease</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Toxicity</td>
<td>% Survival</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Pollutant Metals^3,4</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Toxicity</td>
<td>% Survival</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Bi-Annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Pollutants^3,5,6</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Bi-annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Initial samples shall be collected during daylight hours during the first discharge after the dry season.
(2) If the discharge is intermittent rather than continuous, then the first day of each discharge shall be monitored, but no more than twice the frequency noted.
(3) Detection limits shall be at or below the lowest minimum level (ML) published in Appendix 4 of the Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (State Implementation Plan or SIP).
(4) Antimony, arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium III, chromium IV, copper, lead, mercury (EPA Method 1669/1631), nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, zinc, and cyanide.
(5) Priority Pollutants – one set during 1st 2-years of the permit, and one set during the 2nd 2-years of the permit.
(6) 126 Priority Pollutants except asbestos, and dioxins/furans.
(7) Required to demonstrate that the metals translator for zinc remains relevant.
V. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING REQUIREMENTS

A. Acute Toxicity Testing. The Discharger shall conduct acute toxicity testing to determine whether the effluent is contributing acute toxicity to the receiving water. The Discharger shall meet the following acute toxicity testing requirements:

1. **Monitoring Frequency** – the Discharger shall perform annual acute toxicity testing.

2. **Sample Types** – For static non-renewal and static renewal testing, the samples shall be grab samples and shall be representative of the volume and quality of the discharge. The effluent samples shall be taken at the effluent monitoring locations EFF-001 and EFF-002.

3. **Test Species** – Test species shall be rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*).

4. **Methods** – The acute toxicity testing samples shall be analyzed using EPA-821-R-02-012, Fifth Edition. Temperature, total residual chlorine, and pH shall be recorded at the time of sample collection. No pH adjustment may be made unless approved by the Executive Officer.

5. **Test Failure** – If an acute toxicity test does not meet all test acceptability criteria, as specified in the test method, the Discharger must re-sample and re-test as soon as possible, not to exceed 7 days following notification of test failure.

B. Chronic Toxicity Testing. The Discharger shall conduct three species chronic toxicity testing to determine whether the effluent is contributing chronic toxicity to the receiving water. The Discharger shall meet the following chronic toxicity testing requirements:

1. **Monitoring Frequency** – the Discharger shall perform bi-annual three species chronic toxicity testing.

2. **Sample Types** – Effluent samples shall be grab samples and shall be representative of the volume and quality of the discharge. The effluent samples shall be taken at effluent monitoring locations EFF-001 and EFF-002. The receiving water control shall be a grab sample obtained from the RSW-001 sampling location, as identified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program.

3. **Sample Volumes** – Adequate sample volumes shall be collected to provide renewal water to complete the test in the event that the discharge is intermittent.

4. **Test Species** – Chronic toxicity testing measures sublethal (e.g. reduced growth, reproduction) and/or lethal effects to test organisms exposed to an effluent compared to that of the control organisms. The Discharger shall conduct chronic toxicity tests with:
   - The cladoceran, water flea, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (survival and reproduction test);
   - The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (larval survival and growth test); and

6. **Reference Toxicant** – As required by the SIP, all chronic toxicity tests shall be conducted with concurrent testing with a reference toxicant and shall be reported with the chronic toxicity test results.

7. **Dilutions** – The chronic toxicity testing shall be performed using 100% effluent and two controls. If toxicity is found in any effluent test, the Discharger must immediately retest using the dilution series identified in Table E-3, below. The receiving water control shall be used as the diluent (unless the receiving water is toxic). For accelerated and/or TRE monitoring, the chronic toxicity testing shall be performed using the full dilution series identified in the table, below.

### Table E-3. Chronic Toxicity Testing Dilution Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Dilutions (%)</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Effluent</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Receiving Water</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Laboratory Water</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. **Test Failure** – The Discharger must re-sample and re-test as soon as possible, but no later than fourteen (14) days after receiving notification of a test failure. A test failure is defined as follows:

   a. The reference toxicant test or the effluent test does not meet all test acceptability criteria as specified in the *Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, Fourth Edition, EPA/821-R-02-013*, October 2002 (Method Manual), and its subsequent amendments or revisions; or

   b. The percent minimum significant difference (PMSD) measured for the test exceeds the upper PMSD bound variability criterion in Table 6 on page 52 of the Method Manual. (A retest is only required in this case if the test results do not exceed the monitoring trigger specified in the Special Provision VI.2.a.iii)
C. **WET Testing Notification Requirements.** The Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board within 24-hrs after the receipt of test results exceeding the monitoring trigger during regular or accelerated monitoring, or an exceedance of the acute toxicity effluent limitation.

D. **WET Testing Reporting Requirements.** All toxicity test reports shall include the contracting laboratory’s complete report provided to the Discharger and shall be in accordance with the appropriate “Report Preparation and Test Review” sections of the method manuals. At a minimum, whole effluent toxicity monitoring shall be reported as follows:

1. **Chronic WET Reporting.** Regular chronic toxicity monitoring results shall be reported to the Regional Water Board within 30 days following completion of the test, and shall contain, at minimum:
   a. The results expressed in TUc, measured as 100/NOEC, and also measured as 100/LC50, 100/EC25, 100/IC25, and 100/IC50, as appropriate.
   b. The statistical methods used to calculate endpoints;
   c. The statistical output page, which includes the calculation of the percent minimum significant difference (PMSD);
   d. The dates of sample collection and initiation of each toxicity test; and
   e. The results compared to the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger.

   Additionally, the monthly discharger self-monitoring reports shall contain an updated chronology of chronic toxicity test results expressed in TUc, and organized by test species, type of test (survival, growth or reproduction), and monitoring frequency, i.e., either quarterly, monthly, accelerated, or Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE).

   (Note: items a through c, above, are only required when testing is performed using the full dilution series.)

2. **Acute WET Reporting.** Acute toxicity test results shall be submitted with the monthly discharger self-monitoring reports and reported as percent survival.

3. **TRE Reporting.** Reports for Toxicity Reduction Evaluations shall be submitted in accordance with the schedule contained in the Discharger’s approved TRE Workplan.

4. **Quality Assurance (QA).** The Discharger must provide the following information for QA purposes (if applicable):
   a. Results of the applicable reference toxicant data with the statistical output page giving the species, NOEC, LOEC, type of toxicant, dilution water used, concentrations used, PMSD, and dates tested.
   b. The reference toxicant control charts for each endpoint, which include summaries of reference toxicant tests performed by the contracting laboratory.
   c. Any information on deviations or problems encountered and how they were dealt with.
VI.  LAND DISCHARGE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS - NOT APPLICABLE

VII. RECLAMATION MONITORING REQUIREMENTS - NOT APPLICABLE

VIII. RECEIVING WATER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS – SURFACE WATER

A. Monitoring Locations RSW-001 and RSW-002

1. The Discharger shall monitor the intermittent tributary to the Pit River at RSW-001 and RSW-002 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method and (Minimum Level, units), respectively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>gal/min</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>Weekly during discharge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>RSW-001 &amp; RSW-002</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly during discharge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>units</td>
<td>RSW-001 &amp; RWS-002</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly during discharge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, total recoverable</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>RSW-001 &amp; RSW-002</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly during discharge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly during discharge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, dissolved&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>RSW-002</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Pollutant Metals&lt;sup&gt;2, 3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Pollutants&lt;sup&gt;2, 4, 5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Bi-annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Initial samples shall be collected during daylight hours during the first discharge after the dry season.
(2) Detection limits shall be at or below the lowest minimum level (ML) published in Appendix 4 of the Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (State Implementation Plan or SIP).
(3) Antimony, arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium III, chromium IV, copper, lead, mercury (EPA Method 1669/1631), nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, zinc, and cyanide.
(4) Priority Pollutants – one set during 1st 2-years of the permit, and one set during the 2nd 2-years of the permit.
(5) 126 Priority Pollutants except asbestos, and dioxins/furans.
(6) Required to demonstrate that the metals translator for zinc remains relevant.
2. The Discharger shall monitor the intermittent tributary to the Pit River at monitoring locations RSW-001 and RSW-002 during periods of discharge from D-001 and/or D-002. Attention shall be given to the visual appearance of the intermittent tributary to the Pit River. Visual observations shall document the presence or absence of:

- a. Floating or suspended matter
- b. Oil sheen or slick
- c. Discoloration
- d. Aquatic life
- e. Odor
- f. Scum or foam

IX. OTHER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Pond Monitoring

The Discharger shall monitor the primary, secondary, and dust control ponds at PND-001 through PND-005 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freeboard</td>
<td>Feet, inches</td>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Precipitation Monitoring

The daily precipitation at Dicalite Minerals Corporation shall be recorded on weekdays and weekends. The reading shall be taken at the same time each day and submitted as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Type of Sample</th>
<th>Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Reporting Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>Inches (+/- 0.1)</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements

1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions (Attachment D) related to monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping.

2. Upon written request of the Regional Water Board, the Discharger shall submit a summary monitoring report. The report shall contain both tabular and graphical summaries of the monitoring data obtained during the previous year(s).

3. Compliance Time Schedules. For compliance time schedules included in the Order, the Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board, on or before each compliance due date, the specified document or a written report detailing compliance or noncompliance with the specific date and task. If noncompliance is
reported, the Discharger shall state the reasons for noncompliance and include an estimate of the date when the Discharger will be in compliance. The Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board by letter when it returns to compliance with the compliance time schedule.

4. The Discharger shall report to the Regional Water Board any toxic chemical release data it reports to the State Emergency Response Commission within 15 days of reporting the data to the Commission pursuant to section 313 of the "Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act" of 1986.

B. Self Monitoring Reports (SMRs)

1. At any time during the term of this permit, the State or Regional Water Board may notify the Discharger to electronically submit Self-Monitoring Reports (SMRs) using the State Water Board’s California Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program Web site (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ciwqs/index.html). Until such notification is given, the Discharger shall submit hard copy SMRs. The CIWQS Web site will provide additional directions for SMR submittal in the event there will be service interruption for electronic submittal.

2. The Discharger shall report in the SMR the results for all monitoring specified in this Monitoring and Reporting Program under sections III through IX. The Discharger shall submit monthly SMRs including the results of all required monitoring using USEPA-approved test methods or other test methods specified in this Order. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculations and reporting of the data submitted in the SMR.

3. Monitoring periods and reporting for all required monitoring shall be completed according to the following schedule:
### Table E-6. Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Monitoring Period Begins On…</th>
<th>Monitoring Period</th>
<th>SMR Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Permit effective date</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Submit with monthly SMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>Permit effective date</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>Submit with monthly SMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Permit effective date</td>
<td>(Midnight through 11:59 PM) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling.</td>
<td>Submit with monthly SMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Sunday following permit effective date or on permit effective date if on a Sunday</td>
<td>Sunday through Saturday</td>
<td>Submit with monthly SMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>First day of calendar month following permit effective date if that date is first day of the month</td>
<td>1st day of calendar month through last day of calendar month</td>
<td>First day of the second month following month of sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Closest of January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1 following (or on) permit effective date</td>
<td>January 1 through March 31 April 1 through June 30 July 1 through September 30 October 1 through December 31</td>
<td>May 1 August 1 November 1 February 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-annually</td>
<td>Closest of January 1 or July 1 following (or on) permit effective date</td>
<td>January 1 through June 30 July 1 through December 31</td>
<td>August 1 February 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>January 1 following (or on) permit effective date</td>
<td>January 1 through December 31</td>
<td>February 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi-annually</td>
<td>January 1 following (or on) permit effective date</td>
<td>1st two years of permit, and 2nd two years of permit</td>
<td>February 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Reporting Protocols.** The Discharger shall report with each sample result the applicable Reporting Level (RL) and the current Method Detection Limit (MDL), as determined by the procedure in Part 136.

The Discharger shall report the results of analytical determinations for the presence of chemical constituents in a sample using the following reporting protocols:

a. Sample results greater than or equal to the RL shall be reported as measured by the laboratory (i.e., the measured chemical concentration in the sample).

b. Sample results less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the laboratory’s MDL, shall be reported as “Detected, but Not Quantified,” or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.

For the purposes of data collection, the laboratory shall write the estimated chemical concentration next to DNQ as well as the words “Estimated Concentration” (may be shortened to “Est. Conc.”). The laboratory may, if such information is available, include numerical estimates of the data quality for the reported result. Numerical estimates of data quality may be percent accuracy (± a percentage of the reported value), numerical ranges (low to high), or any other means considered appropriate by the laboratory.
c. Sample results less than the laboratory's MDL shall be reported as “Not Detected,” or ND.

d. Dischargers are to instruct laboratories to establish calibration standards so that the ML value (or its equivalent if there is differential treatment of samples relative to calibration standards) is the lowest calibration standard. At no time is the Discharger to use analytical data derived from extrapolation beyond the lowest point of the calibration curve.

5. **Compliance Determination.** Compliance with effluent limitations for priority pollutants shall be determined using sample reporting protocols defined above and in Attachment A of this Order. For purposes of reporting and administrative enforcement by the Regional Water Board and the State Water Board, the Discharger shall be deemed out of compliance with effluent limitations if the concentration of the priority pollutant in the monitoring sample is greater than the effluent limitation and greater than or equal to the reporting level (RL).

6. **Multiple Sample Data.** When determining compliance with an AMEL, AWEL, or MDEL for priority pollutants and more than one sample result is available, the Discharger shall compute the arithmetic mean unless the data set contains one or more reported determinations of “Detected, but Not Quantified” (DNQ) or “Not Detected” (ND). In those cases, the Discharger shall compute the median in place of the arithmetic mean in accordance with the following procedure:

   a. The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.

   b. The median value of the data set shall be determined. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an even number of data points, then the median is the average of the two values around the middle unless one or both of the points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two data points where DNQ is lower than a value and ND is lower than DNQ.

7. The discharger shall submit SMRs in accordance with the following requirements:

   a. The Discharger shall arrange the data in tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with interim and/or final effluent limitations. The Discharger is not required to duplicate the submittal of data that is entered in a tabular format within CIWQS. When electronic submittal of data is required and CIWQS does not provide for entry into a tabular format within the system, the Discharger shall electronically submit the data in a tabular format as an attachment.

   b. The Discharger shall attach a cover letter to the SMR. The information contained in the cover letter shall clearly identify violations of the WDRs; discuss corrective actions taken or planned; and the proposed time schedule for corrective actions. Identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation.
c. SMRs must be submitted to the Regional Water Board, signed and certified as required by the Standard Provisions (Attachment D), to the address listed below:

Regional Water Quality Control Board
Central Valley Region
415 Knollcrest Drive, Suite 100
Redding, CA 96002

C. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)

1. As described in Section X.B.1 above, at any time during the term of this permit, the State or regional Water Board may notify the Discharger to electronically submit SMRs that will satisfy federal requirements for submittal of Discharge monitoring Reports (DMRs). Until such notification is given, the Discharger shall submit DMRs in accordance with the requirements described below.

2. DMRs must be signed and certified as required by the standard provisions (Attachment D). The Discharger shall submit the original DMR and one copy of the DMR to the address listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STANDARD MAIL</th>
<th>FEDES/UPS OTHER PRIVATE CARRIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Water Resources Control Board</td>
<td>State Water Resources Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Water Quality</td>
<td>Division of Water Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/o DMR Processing Center</td>
<td>c/o DMR Processing Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO Box 100</td>
<td>1001 I Street, 15th Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95812-1000</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. All discharge monitoring results must be reported on official USEPA pre-printed DMR forms (EPA Form 3320-1). Forms that are self-generated will not be accepted unless they follow the exact same format of EPA Form 3320-1.

D. Other Reports

1. **Progress Reports.** As specified in the compliance time schedules required in Special Provisions VI of this Order, progress reports shall be submitted in accordance with the following reporting requirements. At minimum, the progress reports shall include a discussion of the status of final compliance, whether the Discharger is on schedule to meet the final compliance date, and the remaining tasks to meet the final compliance date.

2. Within **60 days** of permit adoption, the Discharger shall submit a report outlining minimum levels, method detection limits, and analytical methods for approval, with a goal to achieve detection levels below applicable water quality criteria. At a minimum, the Discharger shall comply with the monitoring requirements for CTR constituents as outlined in Section 2.3 and 2.4 of the SIP.

3. **Annual Operations Report.** By **30 January** of each year, the Discharger shall submit a written report to the Executive Officer containing the following:
a. The names and telephone numbers of persons to contact regarding the facility for emergency and routine situations.

b. A statement certifying when the flow meter(s) and other monitoring instruments and devices were last calibrated, including identification of who performed the calibration.

c. A statement certifying whether the current operation and maintenance manual, and contingency plan, reflect the wastewater treatment plant as currently constructed and operated, and the dates when these documents were last revised and last reviewed for adequacy.

d. The Discharger may also be requested to submit an annual report to the Regional Water Board with both tabular and graphical summaries of the monitoring data obtained during the previous year. Any such request shall be made in writing. The report shall discuss the compliance record. If violations have occurred, the report shall also discuss the corrective actions taken and planned to bring the discharge into full compliance with the waste discharge requirements.
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ATTACHMENT F – FACT SHEET

As described in section II of this Order, this Fact Sheet includes the legal requirements and technical rationale that serve as the basis for the requirements of this Order.

This Order has been prepared under a standardized format to accommodate a broad range of discharge requirements for Dischargers in California. Only those sections or subsections of this Order that are specifically identified as “not applicable” have been determined not to apply to this Discharger. Sections or subsections of this Order not specifically identified as “not applicable” are fully applicable to this Discharger.

I. PERMIT INFORMATION

The following table summarizes administrative information related to the facility.

Table F-1. Facility Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WDID</th>
<th>5A459008001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discharger Name of Facility</td>
<td>Dicalite Minerals Corporation, Diatomaceous Earth Mine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Address</td>
<td>36994 Summit Lake Rd., Burney, CA 96013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Contact, Title and Phone</td>
<td>Rocky Torgrimson (530) 335-5415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorized Person to Sign and Submit Reports</td>
<td>Rocky Torgrimson (530) 335-5415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address</td>
<td>36994 Summit Lake Road, Burney, CA 96013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billing Address</td>
<td>36994 Summit Lake Road, Burney, CA 96013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Facility</td>
<td>1499 – Miscellaneous non-metallic minerals, except fuels (Diatomite mining)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major or Minor Facility</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat to Water Quality</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment Program</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation Requirements</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Permitted Flow</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Design Flow</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed</td>
<td>Pit River Hydraulic Unit (526.00), Burney Hydrologic Area (526.30); and Lake Britton Hydrologic Subarea (526.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Water</td>
<td>Pit River (and the Lake Britton impoundment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Water Type</td>
<td>Inland Surface Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. Dicalite Minerals Corporation, (hereinafter Discharger) is the owner and operator of Dicalite Minerals Corporation (hereinafter Facility), an open pit diatomite mine and processing plant.

For the purposes of this Order, references to the “discharger” or “permittee” in applicable federal and state laws, regulations, plans, or policy are held to be equivalent to references to the Discharger herein.

B. The Facility discharges settled storm water runoff from ponds to an intermittent tributary of the Pit River, a water of the United States, and is currently regulated by Order No. R5-2004-0006 and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit No. CA0082058, which was adopted on 30 January 2004. The terms and conditions of the current NPDES permit have been automatically continued and remain in effect until new Waste Discharge Requirements and an NPDES permit are adopted pursuant to this Order.

C. The Discharger filed a Report of Waste Discharge (RWD) and submitted an application for renewal of its Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) and NPDES permit on 2 June 2008. The application was deemed complete on 1 July 2008. A site visit was conducted on 4 February 2009, to observe operations and collect additional data to develop permit limitations and conditions.

II. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The Discharger owns and operates an open pit diatomite mine approximately twelve miles north of the town of Burney (Assessor’s Parcel Nos. 022-200-08, 12, 13, 17, 18, and 19) in portions of Sections 25, 35, and 36, T37N, R2E, MDB&E, with surface water drainage to Lake Britton, a lake formed by damming the Pit River. The open pit diatomite mine is approximately 100-acres in area. The plant site, consisting of a stockpile area, offices, fuel storage, drying facilities, and packaging is about 20-acres in area. The Discharger discharges a variable quantity of storm water runoff from ponds at the mining site (D-001) and the plant site (D-002). At each location, storm water runoff is channeled to a primary pond for coarse settling, treated with a polymer flocculent, and then pumped to a second pond for settling of the coagulated sediment. Final discharge of treated water is to an intermediate watercourse to the Pit River (and the Lake Britton impoundment).

The facility has 11 aboveground storage tanks of various sizes (from 500 to 20,000 gallons) that store a total of 107,000 gallons of petroleum products. Containment structures have been constructed around all aboveground petroleum products. A Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan, prepared by a registered professional engineer, has been submitted by the Discharger.

The mean annual rainfall in the area is approximately 28 inches, based on information from the U.S. Geological Survey.
A. Description of Wastewater Treatment or Controls

1. The Discharger discharges a variable quantity of settled storm water runoff from ponds at the mine site (D-001) and the plant site (D-002). At each location, storm water runoff is channeled to a primary pond for coarse settling, treated with a polymer flocculent, and then pumped to a second pond for settling of the coagulated sediment. Final discharge of the treated water is to an intermittent tributary to the Pit River (and Lake Britton impoundment).

B. Discharge Points and Receiving Waters

1. The Facility is located in Sections 25, 35, and 36, T37N, R2E, MDB&M, as shown on Attachment B, a part of this Order.

2. Treated storm water is discharged at Discharge Points D-001 and D-002 to an intermittent tributary of the Pit River, a water of the United States and tributary to the Sacramento River. Discharge D-001 is located at Latitude 41° 01' 59" N and Longitude 121° 41' 03" W and Discharge D-002 is located at Latitude 41° 01' 56" N, Longitude 121° 41' 52" W.

3. The facility is in the Pit River Hydrologic Unit (526.00), Lake Britton Hydrologic Subarea (526.31), as depicted on interagency hydrologic maps prepared by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) in August 1986.

C. Summary of Historical Requirements and Self-Monitoring Reports (SMR)

Effluent Limitations and Discharge Specifications contained in the existing Order for discharges from storm water discharge points D-001 and D-002 (Monitoring Locations EFF-001 and EFF-002) and representative monitoring data from the term of the Order No. R5-2004-0006 is as follows:
Table F-2. Historic Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data (D-001 and D-002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitation</th>
<th>Monitoring Data (1/2006 – 6/2008)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
<td>Maximum Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>mL/L</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C</td>
<td>umhos/cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis-2-Ethylhexylphthalate</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, total</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>9.1 (final limit)</td>
<td>18.3 (final limit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31 (interim limit)</td>
<td>96 (interim limit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>35 (lowest)</td>
<td>8 (lowest)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>pH units</td>
<td>pH shall remain</td>
<td>(6.32, 8.16)(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>within the range of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0 and 9.0 at all times</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Instantaneous Maximum and Instantaneous Minimum.

D. Compliance Summary

Based on the monitoring data submitted by the Discharger from January 2006 through June 2008, the Discharger appears to have been in compliance with the permit with the exception of 8 alleged receiving water turbidity violations. The Discharger submitted supplemental information to show that they were not responsible for the turbidity exceedences. The alleged violations were subsequently dismissed by the Regional Water Board. Bis-2-ethylhexylphthalate monitoring was discontinued on 25 January 2005 in accordance with the previous NPDES permit, after the Discharger demonstrated that it was not present in the discharge. The effluent would have exceeded the final effluent limits for zinc, but did not exceed the applicable interim effluent limits for zinc. No other effluent or receiving water violations set by Order No. R5-2004-0006 have been documented.

E. Planned Changes

The Discharger is evaluating additional treatment and BMP options to further reduce the turbidity and metals concentrations in the discharge. The Facility does not anticipate any planned changes within the next five years that would affect surface water quality.

III. APPLICABLE PLANS, POLICIES, AND REGULATIONS

The requirements contained in this Order are based on the applicable plans, policies, and regulations identified in the Findings in section II of this Order. The applicable plans, policies, and regulations relevant to the discharge include the following:
A. Legal Authority
This Order is issued pursuant to regulations in the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the California Water Code (CWC) as specified in the Finding contained at Section II.C of this Order.

B. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
This Order meets the requirements of CEQA as specified in the Finding contained at section II.E of this Order.

C. State and Federal Regulations, Policies, and Plans

1. Water Quality Control Plans. As explained in Findings, Section II. H, the Regional Water Board adopted a Water Quality Control Plan, Fourth Edition (Revised February 2007), for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins (Basin Plan) that designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and contains implementation programs and policies to achieve those objectives for all waters addressed through the plan.

The Basin Plan at page II-2.00 states that the “…beneficial uses of any specifically identified water body generally apply to its tributary streams.” The Basin Plan does not specifically identify beneficial uses for the unnamed tributary, but does identify present and potential uses for the Pit River. In addition, State Water Board Resolution No. 88-63 requires that, with certain exceptions, the Regional Water Board assign the municipal and domestic supply use to water bodies that do not have beneficial uses listed in the Basin Plan. The beneficial uses of the unnamed tributary and the Pit River downstream of the discharge are municipal and domestic supply, agricultural irrigation, agricultural stock watering, industrial power water supply, water contact recreation including canoeing and rafting, other non-contact water recreation, cold freshwater aquatic habitat, warm spawning habitat, cold spawning habitat, and wildlife habitat.

The Basin Plan on page II-1.00 states: “Protection and enhancement of existing and potential beneficial uses are primary goals of water quality planning…” and with respect to disposal of wastewaters states that “…disposal of wastewaters is [not] a prohibited use of waters of the State; it is merely a use which cannot be satisfied to the detriment of beneficial uses.”

The federal CWA section 101(a)(2), states: “it is the national goal that wherever attainable, an interim goal of water quality which provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife, and for recreation in and on the water be achieved by July 1, 1983.” Federal Regulations, developed to implement the requirements of the CWA, create a rebuttable presumption that all waters be designated as fishable and swimmable. Federal Regulations, 40 CFR sections 131.2 and 131.10, require that all waters of the State regulated to protect the beneficial uses of public water supply, protection and propagation of fish, shell fish and wildlife, recreation in and on the water, agricultural, industrial and other purposes including navigation. Section 131.3(e), 40 CFR, defines existing beneficial uses as those uses actually attained after November 28, 1975, whether or not they are included in the water quality standards. Federal Regulation, 40 CFR section
131.10 requires that uses be obtained by implementing effluent limitations, requires that all downstream uses be protected and states that in no case shall a state adopt waste transport or waste assimilation as a beneficial use for any waters of the United States.

2. **Thermal Plan. Not Applicable.**

3. **Bay-Delta Plan. Not Applicable.**

4. **National Toxics Rule (NTR) and California Toxics Rule (CTR).**
   This Order implements the NTR and CTR as specified in the Finding contained at section II.I of this Order.

5. **State Implementation Policy (SIP).**
   This Order implements the SIP as specified in the Finding contained at section II.I of this Order.

6. **Alaska Rule.**
   This Order is consistent with the Alaska Rule as specified in the Finding contained at section II.L of this Order.

7. **Antidegradation Policy.**
   As specified in the Finding contained at section II.N of this Order and as discussed in detail in the Fact Sheet (Attachment F, Section IV.D.4.), the discharge is consistent with the antidegradation provisions of 40 CFR section 131.12 and State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Resolution 68-16.

8. **Anti-Backsliding Requirements.**
   Sections 402(o)(2) and 303(d)(4) of the CWA and federal regulations at title 40, Code of Federal Regulations section 122.44(l) prohibit backsliding in NPDES permits. These anti-backsliding provisions require that effluent limitations in a reissued permit must be as stringent as those in the previous permit, with some exceptions in which limitations may be relaxed. Compliance with the anti-backsliding requirements is discussed in the Fact Sheet (Attachment F, Section IV.D.3).

9. **Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act. Not Applicable.**

10. **Storm Water Requirements.**
    USEPA promulgated federal regulations for storm water on 16 November 1990 in 40 CFR Parts 122, 123, and 124. The NPDES Industrial Storm Water Program regulates storm water discharges from open pit diatomite mining and processing. Open pit diatomite mines and processing facilities are applicable industries under the storm water program and are obligated to comply with the federal regulations.

    The SWRCB adopted Order No. 97-03-DWQ (General Permit No. CAS000001), on 17 April 1997, specifying waste discharge requirements for discharge of storm water associated with industrial activities, excluding construction activities, that requires submittal of a Notice of Intent, preparation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan site map, and monitoring program by industries to be covered under the permit.
The General Permit, Table D, requires non-metallic minerals mining facilities to sample for additional constituents. Specifically, the category “Mineral Mining and Dressing…” requires total suspended solids (TSS) to be monitored. This individual permit and the provisions and monitoring it contains concerning storm water relieve the Discharger from seeking coverage under the General Permit.

11. **Endangered Species Act.**
This Order is consistent with the Endangered Species Act as specified in the Findings contained at section II.P of this Order.

**D. Impaired Water Bodies on CWA 303(d) List**

1. Under Section 303(d) of the 1972 Clean Water Act, states, territories and authorized tribes are required to develop lists of water quality limited segments. The waters on these lists do not meet water quality standards, even after point sources of pollution have installed the minimum required levels of pollution control technology. On July 25, 2003 USEPA gave final approval to California’s 2002 Section 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Segments. The Basin Plan references this list of Water Quality Limited Segments (WQLSs), which are defined as “…those sections of lakes, streams, rivers or other fresh water bodies where water quality does not meet (or is not expected to meet) water quality standards even after the application of appropriate limitations for point sources (40 CFR 130, et seq.).” The Basin Plan also states, “Additional treatment beyond minimum federal standards will be imposed on dischargers to [WQLSs]. Dischargers will be assigned or allocated a maximum allowable load of critical pollutants so that water quality objectives can be met in the segment.” The listing for the Pit River includes: Nutrients, Organic Enrichment/Low Dissolved Oxygen, and temperature.

2. **Total Maximum Daily Loads.** USEPA required the Regional Water Board to develop TMDLs for each 303(d) listed pollutant and water body combination. No TMDL has been adopted for the receiving water.

3. The 303(d) listings and TMDLs have been considered in the development of the Order. A pollutant-by-pollutant evaluation of each pollutant of concern is described in Section VI.C.3 of this Fact Sheet.

**E. Other Plans, Polices and Regulations – Not Applicable**

**IV. RATIONALE FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS**

Effluent limitations and toxic and pretreatment effluent standards established pursuant to Sections 301 (Effluent Limitations), 302 (Water Quality Related Effluent Limitations), 304 (Information and Guidelines), and 307 (Toxic and Pretreatment Effluent Standards) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and amendments thereto are applicable to the discharge.

The CWA mandates the implementation of effluent limitations that are as stringent as necessary to meet water quality standards established pursuant to state or federal law [33 U.S.C., § 1311(b)(1)(C); 40 CFR, § 122.44(d)(1)]. NPDES permits must incorporate
discharge limits necessary to ensure that water quality standards are met. This requirement applies to narrative criteria as well as to criteria specifying maximum amounts of particular pollutants. Pursuant to Federal Regulations, 40 CFR Section 122.44(d)(1)(i), NPDES permits must contain limits that control all pollutants that “are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any state water quality standard, including state narrative criteria for water quality.” Federal Regulations, 40 CFR, §122.44(d)(1)(vi), further provide that “[w]here a state has not established a water quality criterion for a specific chemical pollutant that is present in an effluent at a concentration that causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an excursion above a narrative criterion within an applicable State water quality standard, the permitting authority must establish effluent limits.”

The CWA requires point source discharges to control the amount of conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants that are discharged into the waters of the United States. The control of pollutants discharged is established through effluent limitations and other requirements in NPDES permits. There are two principal bases for effluent limitations: 40 CFR §122.44(a) requires that permits include applicable technology-based limitations and standards, and 40 CFR §122.44(d) requires that permits include water quality-based effluent limitations to attain and maintain applicable numeric and narrative water quality criteria to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving water where numeric water quality objectives have not been established. The Basin Plan at page IV-17.00, contains an implementation policy (“Policy for Application of Water Quality Objectives”) that specifies that the Regional Water Board “will, on a case-by-case basis, adopt numerical limitations in orders which will implement the narrative objectives.” This Policy complies with 40 CFR §122.44(d)(1). With respect to narrative objectives, the Regional Water Board must establish effluent limitations using one or more of three specified sources, including (1) EPA’s published water quality criteria, (2) a proposed state criterion (i.e., water quality objective) or an explicit state policy interpreting its narrative water quality criteria (i.e., the Regional Water Board’s “Policy for Application of Water Quality Objectives”)(40 CFR 122.44(d)(1) (vi) (A), (B) or (C)), or (3) an indicator parameter.

The Basin Plan includes numeric site-specific water quality objectives and narrative objectives for toxicity, chemical constituents, discoloration, radionuclides, and taste and odor. The narrative toxicity objective states: “All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life” (Basin Plan at III-8.00.) The Basin Plan states that material and relevant information, including numeric criteria, and recommendations from other agencies and scientific literature will be utilized in evaluating compliance with the narrative toxicity objective. The narrative chemical constituents objective states that waters shall not contain chemical constituents that adversely affect beneficial uses. At minimum, “…water designated for use as municipal supply (MUN) shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in excess of the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs)” in Title 22 of CCR.

The Basin Plan further states that, to protect all beneficial uses, the Regional Water Board may apply limits more stringent than MCLs. The narrative tastes and odors objective states: “Water shall not contain taste- or odor-producing substances in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to domestic or municipal water supplies or to fish or other edible products of aquatic origin, or that cause nuisance, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses.”
A. Discharge Prohibitions

As stated in section I.G of Attachment D, Standard Provisions, this Order prohibits bypass from any portion of the treatment facility. Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 122.41 (m), define “bypass” as the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility. This section of the Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 122.41 (m)(4), prohibits bypass unless it is unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage. In considering the Regional Water Board’s prohibition of bypasses, the State Water Board adopted a precedential decision, Order No. WQO 2002-0015, which cites the Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 122.41(m), as allowing bypass only for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation.

1. Discharge of wastewater, including industrial storm water at a location or in a manner different from that described in the Findings, is prohibited.

2. The by-pass or overflow (except by design) of wastewater, including industrial storm water to surface waters is prohibited, except as allowed by Federal Standard Provisions I.G. and I.H. (Attachment D).

3. The discharge of untreated wastes, including earthen materials, from diatomite mining and processing operations to surface waters or surface water drainage courses is prohibited.

4. The by-pass of sediment-laden storm water around the sedimentation basins is prohibited.

5. Neither the discharge nor its treatment shall create a nuisance as defined in Section 13050 of the California Water Code.

6. The discharge of hazardous or toxic substances, including storm water treatment chemicals, grinding aid, solvents or petroleum products (i.e. oil, grease, gasoline, and diesel) to surface waters or groundwater is prohibited.

7. Discharge of wastes classified as “hazardous” as defined in Section 2521(a) of Title 23, California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 2510, et seq., or “designated”, as defined in Section 13173 of the California Water Code is prohibited.

B. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

1. Scope and Authority

The CWA requires that technology-based effluent limitations be established based on several levels of controls:

a. Best practicable treatment control technology (BPT) represents the average of the best performance by plants within an industrial category or subcategory. BPT standards apply to toxic, conventional, and non-conventional pollutants.

b. Best available technology economically achievable (BAT) represents the best existing performance of treatment technologies that are economically
achievable within an industrial point source category. BAT standards apply to toxic and non-conventional pollutants.

c. Best conventional pollutant control technology (BCT) represents the control from existing industrial point sources of conventional pollutants including BOD, TSS, fecal coliform, pH, and oil and grease. The BCT standard is established after considering the “cost reasonableness” of the relationship between the cost of attaining a reduction in effluent discharge and the benefits that would result, and also the cost effectiveness of additional industrial treatment beyond BPT.

d. New source performance standards (NSPS) represent the best available demonstrated control technology standards. The intent of NSPS guidelines is to set limitations that represent state-of-the-art treatment technology for new sources.

The CWA requires USEPA to develop effluent limitations, guidelines and standards (ELGs) representing application of BPT, BAT, BCT, and NSPS. Section 402(a)(1) of the CWA and section 125.3 of the Code of Federal Regulations authorize the use of best professional judgment (BPJ) to derive technology-based effluent limitations on a case-by-case basis where ELGs are not available for certain industrial categories and/or pollutants of concern. Where BPJ is used, the permit writer must consider specific factors outlined in section 125.3.

2. Applicable Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

The Discharger owns and operates a “diatomite mine and processing plant.” Therefore, effluent limitations established in Mineral Mining and Processing Point Source Category (40 CFR Part 436) are applicable to this discharge. Specifically, Subpart X (mining and processing of diatomite).

Except as provided in 40 CFR §125.30 through §125.32, and subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section, any existing point source subject to this subpart shall achieve the following effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of best practicable control technology currently available (BPT). There shall be no discharge of process generated waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

Only that volume of water resulting from precipitation that exceeds the maximum safe surge capacity of a process wastewater impoundment may be discharged from that impoundment. The height difference between the maximum safe surge capacity level and the normal operation level must be greater than the inches of rain representing the 10-year, 24-hour rainfall event as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for the locality in which the impoundment is located.

C. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)
1. **Scope and Authority**

Section 301(b) of the CWA and 40 CFR 122.44(d) require that permits include limitations more stringent than applicable federal technology-based requirements where necessary to achieve applicable water quality standards.

40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(i) require that permits include effluent limitations that are or may be discharged at levels that have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedence of a water quality standard, including numeric and narrative objectives within a standard. Where reasonable potential has been established for a pollutant, but there is no numeric criterion or objective for the pollutant, WQBELs must be established using: (1) USEPA criteria guidance under CWA section 304(a), supplemented where necessary by other relevant information: (2) an indicator parameter for the pollutant of concern; or (3) a calculated numeric water quality criterion, such as a proposed state criterion or policy interpreting the state’s narrative criterion, supplemented with other relevant information, as provided in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(vi).

The process for determining reasonable potential and calculating WQBELs when necessary is intended to protect the designated uses of the receiving water as specified in the Basin Plan, and achieve applicable water quality objectives and criteria that are contained in other state plans and policies, or any applicable water quality criteria contained in the CTR and NTR.

2. **Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives**

The Basin Plan designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and contains implementation programs and policies to achieve those objectives for all waters addressed through the plan. In addition, the Basin Plan implements State Water Board Resolution No. 88-63, which established state policy that all waters, with certain exceptions, should be considered suitable or potentially suitable for municipal or domestic supply.

The federal CWA section 101(a)(2), states: “it is the national goal that wherever attainable, an interim goal of water quality which provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife, and for recreation in and on the water be achieved by July 1, 1983.” Federal Regulations, developed to implement the requirements of the CWA, create a rebuttable presumption that all waters be designated as fishable and swimmable. Federal Regulations, 40 CFR sections 131.2 and 131.10, require that all waters of the State regulated to protect the beneficial uses of public water supply, protection and propagation of fish, shellfish and wildlife, recreation in and on the water, agricultural, industrial and other purposes including navigation. Section 131.3(e), 40 CFR, defines existing beneficial uses as those uses actually attained after 28 November 1975, whether or not they are included in the water quality standards. Federal Regulation, 40 CFR section 131.10 requires that uses be obtained by implementing effluent limitations, requires that all downstream uses be protected and states that in no case shall a state adopt waste transport or waste assimilation as a beneficial use for any waters of the United States.
a. Receiving Water. The beneficial uses of the Pit River and its tributaries downstream of the discharge are municipal and domestic supply, agricultural irrigation, agricultural stock watering, power generation, water contact recreation, including canoeing and rafting, other non-contact water recreation, including aesthetic enjoyment, cold freshwater aquatic habitat, warm spawning habitat, reproduction and/or early development, cold spawning, reproduction and/or early development, and wildlife habitat.

Table F-3. Basin Plan Beneficial Uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge Points</th>
<th>Receiving Water Name</th>
<th>Beneficial Use(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-001</td>
<td>Pit River</td>
<td>Existing:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-002</td>
<td></td>
<td>Municipal and domestic water supply (MUN).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural supply, including stock watering (AGR);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Power Generation (POW);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact (REC-1) and non-contact (REC-2) water recreation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cold Freshwater Habitat (COLD);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warm spawning, reproduction, and/or early development, cold spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (SPWN); and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wildlife habitat (WILD).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warm Freshwater Habitat (WARM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Effluent and Ambient Background Data. The reasonable potential analysis (RPA), as described in Section IV.C.3 of this Fact Sheet, was based on data from January 2006 through June 2008, which includes effluent and ambient background data submitted in SMRs and annual reports.

c. Priority Pollutant Metals

i. Hardness. While no effluent limitation for hardness is necessary in this Order, hardness is critical to the assessment of the need for, and the development of, limitations for certain metals. The California Toxics Rule and the National Toxics Rule contain water quality criteria for seven metals that vary as a function of hardness, the lower the hardness the lower the water quality criteria. The hardness-dependent metal criteria include cadmium, copper, chromium III, lead, silver, and zinc.

Effluent limitations for the discharge must be set to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving water for all discharge conditions. In the absence of the option of including condition-dependent, “floating” effluent limitations that are reflective of actual hardness conditions at the time of discharge, effluent limitations must be set using a reasonable worst-case condition in order to protect beneficial uses for all discharge conditions. The SIP does not address how to determine hardness for application to the equations for the protection of aquatic life when using hardness-dependent metals criteria. It simply
states, in Section 1.2, that the criteria shall be properly adjusted for hardness using the hardness of the receiving water. The CTR requires that, for waters with a hardness of 400 mg/L (as CaCO₃), or less, the actual ambient hardness of the surface water must be used. It further requires that the hardness values used must be consistent with the design discharge conditions for design flows and mixing zones. The CTR does not define whether the term “ambient,” as applied in the regulations, necessarily requires the consideration of upstream as opposed to downstream hardness conditions.

The point in the receiving water affected by the discharge is downstream of the discharge. As the effluent mixes with the receiving water, the hardness of the receiving water can change. Therefore, it is appropriate to use the ambient hardness downstream of the discharge that is a mixture of the effluent and receiving water for the determination of the CTR hardness-dependent metals criteria. Recent studies indicate that using the lowest recorded receiving water hardness for establishing water quality criteria is not always protective of the receiving water under various mixing conditions (e.g. when the effluent hardness is less than the receiving water hardness). The studies evaluated the relationships between hardness and the CTR metals criterion that is calculated using the CTR metals equation. The equation describing the total recoverable regulatory criterion, as established in the CTR, is as follows:

CTR Criterion = e^{m[ln(H)]+b}  \quad \text{(Equation 1)}

Where:

H = Design Hardness
b = metal- and criterion-specific constant
m = metal- and criterion-specific constant

The constants “m” and “b” are specific to both the metal under consideration, and the type of total recoverable criterion (i.e. acute or chronic). The metal-specific values for these constants are provided in the CTR at paragraph (b)(2), Table 1.

The relationship between the Design Hardness and the resulting criterion in Equation 1 can exhibit either a downward-facing (i.e., concave downward) or an upward-facing (i.e., concave upward) curve depending on the values of the criterion-specific constants. The curve shapes for acute and chronic criteria for the metals are as follows:

Concave Downward: cadmium (chronic), chromium (III), copper, nickel, and zinc

Concave Upward: cadmium (acute), lead, and silver (acute)

For those contaminants where the regulatory criteria exhibit a concave
downward relationship as a function of hardness, use of the lowest recorded effluent hardness, as a representation of the downstream receiving water hardness for establishment of water quality objectives, is fully protective of all beneficial uses regardless of whether the effluent or receiving water hardness is higher. Use of the lowest recorded effluent hardness is also protective under all possible mixing conditions between the effluent and the receiving water (i.e., from high dilution to no dilution). Therefore, for cadmium (chronic), chromium (III), copper, nickel, and zinc, the reasonable worst-case ambient hardness can be estimated by using the lowest effluent hardness.

For those metals where the regulatory criteria exhibit a concave upward relationship as a function of hardness, water quality objectives based on either the effluent hardness or the receiving water hardness alone, would not be protective under all mixing scenarios. Instead, both the upstream receiving water hardness and the effluent hardness is used to represent the reasonable worst-case ambient hardness. In this case, using the lowest upstream receiving water hardness in Equation 2, below, is protective if the effluent hardness is ALWAYS higher than the receiving water hardness. Under circumstances where the effluent hardness is not ALWAYS higher than the receiving water hardness, it may be appropriate to use the highest reported upstream receiving water hardness in Equation 2. The following equation provides fully protective water quality criteria for those metals that exhibit a concave upward relationship.

\[
\text{CTR Criterion} = \left[ \frac{m}{H_{rw}} \cdot (H_{eff} - H_{rw}) + 1 \right] \cdot e^{m \ln(H_{rw}) + b} \quad \text{(Equation 2)}
\]

Where:

- \(H_{eff}\) = effluent hardness
- \(H_{rw}\) = upstream receiving water hardness
- \(b\) = metal- and criterion-specific constant
- \(m\) = metal- and criterion-specific constant

ii. Conversion Factors. The CTR contains aquatic life criteria for arsenic, cadmium, chromium III, chromium VI, copper, lead, nickel, silver, and zinc which are presented in dissolved concentrations. USEPA recommends conversion factors to translate dissolved concentrations to total concentrations. The default USEPA conversion factors contained in Appendix 3 of the SIP were used to convert the applicable dissolved criteria to total recoverable criteria. However, the Discharger has provided translators specific to the receiving water for zinc. Therefore, this Order uses conversion factors from the SIP and the Discharger’s translator study. Conversion factors at 47 mg/L are summarized in Table F-4 below:
Table F-4. Translators at 47 mg/L hardness as CaCO$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Conversion Factor</th>
<th>Conversion Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freshwater Acute Criteria</td>
<td>Freshwater Chronic Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic$^1$</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (VI)$^1$</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper$^1$</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead$^1$</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium$^1$</td>
<td>0.82$^2$</td>
<td>0.67$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc$^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Bioaccumulative compound and inappropriate to adjust to percent dissolved.
2) Site Specific Zinc Translators, Site Specific Zinc Translator Study June 2008.

d. **Assimilative Capacity/Mixing Zone.**

USEPA established numeric criteria for priority toxic pollutants in the California Toxics Rule (CTR). The State Water Resources Control Board adopted the Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (SIP) to implement the CTR. The Regional Water Board’s Basin Plan allows mixing zones provided the Discharger has demonstrated that the mixing zone will not adversely impact beneficial uses. The Basin Plan further requires that in determining the size of a mixing zone, the Regional Water Board will consider the applicable procedures in USEPA’s Water Quality Standards Handbook and the Technical Support Document for Water Quality Based Toxics Control (TSD). It is the Regional Water Board’s discretion whether to allow a mixing zone. The SIP, in part, states that mixing zones shall not:

- Compromise the integrity of the entire water body.
- Cause acutely toxic conditions to aquatic life passing through the mixing zone.
- Restrict passage of aquatic life.
- Adversely impact biologically sensitive or critical habitats, including but not limited to, habitat of species listed under Federal or State endangered species laws.
- Dominate the receiving water body.
- Overlap a mixing zone from a different outfall.

USEPA’s Water Quality Standards Handbook (WQSH) states that States may, at their discretion, allow mixing zones. The WQSH recommends that mixing zones be defined on a case-by-case basis after it has been determined that the assimilative capacity of the receiving stream can safely accommodate the discharge. This assessment should take into consideration the physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of the discharge and the receiving stream; the life history of and behavior of organisms in the receiving stream; and the desired uses of the waters. Mixing zones should not be allowed where they may endanger critical areas (e.g., drinking water supplies, recreational areas, breeding grounds and areas with sensitive biota). USEPA’s TSD states, in part in Section 4.3.1, that mixing zones should not be permitted where they may endanger critical areas.
The Basin Plan, the SIP and USEPA’s TSD state that allowance of a mixing zone is discretionary on the part of the Regional Board. Mixing zones will be limited to the amount of assimilative capacity necessary to comply with discharge limitations. Based on the available information, the worst-case dilution is assumed to be zero to provide protection for the receiving water beneficial uses. The impact of assuming zero dilution/assimilative capacity within the receiving water is that the discharge limitations are end-of-pipe limits with no allowance for dilution within the receiving water.

3. Determining the Need for WQBELs

a. The Regional Water Board conducted the RPA in accordance with Section 1.3 of the SIP. Although the SIP applies directly to the control of CTR priority pollutants, the State Water Board has held that the Regional Water Board may use the SIP as guidance for water quality-based toxics control. The SIP states in the introduction “The goal of this Policy is to establish a standardized approach for permitting discharges of toxic pollutants to non-ocean surface waters in a manner that promotes statewide consistency.” Therefore, in this Order the RPA procedures from the SIP were used to evaluate reasonable potential for both CTR and non-CTR constituents based on information submitted as part of the application, in studies, and as directed by monitoring and reporting programs. Since effluent from the facility is either discharged from Discharge Point D-001 or D-002, data from both discharge locations was examined together in conducting the reasonable potential analysis.

b. Constituents with Limited Data. Reasonable potential cannot be determined for the following constituents because effluent data are limited or ambient background concentrations are not available. The Discharger is required to continue to monitor for these constituents in the effluent using analytical methods that provide the best feasible detection limits. When additional data become available, further analysis will be conducted to determine whether to add numeric effluent limitations or to continue monitoring. The Discharger collected one sample for aluminum, iron, and manganese in January 2008. Therefore, the data for aluminum, iron, and manganese has been considered as discussed below, but an RP determination was not made due to the limited information.

i. Aluminum.

(a) WQO. USEPA developed National Recommended Ambient Water Quality Criteria (NAWQC) for protection of freshwater aquatic life for aluminum. The Recommended 4-day average (chronic) and 1-hour average (acute) criteria for aluminum are 87 ug/L and 750 mg/L, respectively, for waters with a pH of 6.5 to 9.0. USEPA recommends that the ambient criteria are protective of the aquatic beneficial uses of receiving waters in lieu of site-specific criteria. The most stringent of these criteria, the chronic criterion of 87 ug/L, is based on studies conducted on waters with low pH (6.5 to

---

1 See Order WQO 2001-16 (Napa) and Order WQO 2004-0013 (Yuba City).
6.8 pH units) and hardness (<10 mg/L as CaCO₃) conditions not commonly observed in valley floor waters like the Pit River. The receiving stream has been measured to have a low hardness—typically between 47 and 168 mg/L as CaCO₃. This condition is supportive of the non-applicability of the NAWQC chronic criteria for aluminum, according to USEPA’s development document. Therefore, the acute criterion of 750 ug/L is applicable for protection of aquatic life.

The Department of Public Health establishes a secondary Maximum Contaminant Level of 200 ug/L, implemented as an annual average, for protection of human health due to long-term exposure.

(b) RPA Results. The maximum effluent concentration (MEC) for aluminum was 335 µg/L while the maximum observed upstream receiving water concentration was 1,480 µg/L. Only one effluent data point is available, therefore this Order requires monitoring sufficient to provide data for a proper Reasonable Potential Analysis. This permit also contains a reopener provision for aluminum to establish an effluent limit if appropriate.

ii. Iron.

(a) WQO. USEPA developed National Recommended Ambient Water Quality Criteria (NAWQC) for protection of freshwater aquatic life for iron. The Recommended 4-day average (chronic) is 1,000 ug/L, for waters with a pH of 6.5 to 9.0. A 1-hour average (acute) criteria for iron is not available. USEPA recommends that the ambient criteria are protective of the aquatic beneficial uses of receiving waters in lieu of site-specific criteria. The most stringent of these criteria, the chronic criterion of 1,000 ug/L, is based on studies conducted on waters with low pH (6.5 to 6.8 pH units) and hardness (<10 mg/L as CaCO₃) conditions not commonly observed in valley floor waters like the Pit River.

The Department of Public Health establishes a secondary Maximum Contaminant Level of 300 ug/L, implemented as an annual average, for protection of human health due to long-term exposure.

(b) RPA Results. The maximum effluent concentration (MEC) for iron was 305 µg/L while the maximum observed upstream receiving water concentration was 1,990 µg/L. Only one effluent data point is available, therefore this Order requires monitoring sufficient to provide data for a proper Reasonable Potential Analysis. This permit also contains a reopener provision for iron to establish an effluent limit if appropriate.

iii. Manganese

(a) WQO. USEPA has not developed National Recommended Ambient Water Quality Criteria (NAWQC) for protection of freshwater aquatic life for manganese.
The Department of Public Health establishes a secondary Maximum Contaminant Level of 50 µg/L, implemented as an annual average, for protection of human health due to long-term exposure.

(b) RPA Results. The maximum effluent concentration (MEC) for manganese was 9.8 µg/L while the maximum observed upstream receiving water concentration was 195 µg/L. Only one effluent data point is available, therefore this Order requires monitoring sufficient to provide data for a proper Reasonable Potential Analysis. This permit also contains a reopener provision for manganese to establish an effluent limit if appropriate.

c. Constituents with No Reasonable Potential. WQBELs are not included in this Order for constituents that do not demonstrate reasonable potential; however, monitoring for those pollutants is established in this Order as required by the SIP. If the results of effluent monitoring demonstrate reasonable potential, this Order may be re-opened and modified by adding an appropriate effluent limitation.

d. Constituents with Reasonable Potential. The Regional Water Board finds that the discharge has reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above a water quality standard for pH, Salinity, Settleable Solids, total suspended solids, and zinc. WQBELs for these constituents are included in this Order. A summary of the RPA is provided in Attachment G, and a detailed discussion of the RPA for each constituent is provided below.

i. pH.

(a) WQO. The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective for surface waters (except for Goose Lake) that the “...pH shall not be depressed below 6.5 nor raised above 8.5. Changes in normal ambient pH levels shall not exceed 0.5 in fresh waters with designated COLD or WARM beneficial uses.”

(b) RPA Results. The discharge has not exhibited exceedances, however, the discharge of storm water has a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above the Basin Plan’s numeric objectives for pH.

(c) WQBELs. Effluent limitations for pH of 6.0 as an instantaneous minimum and 9.0, except for discharges associated with a 10-year 24-hour rainfall event or greater) as an instantaneous maximum are included in this Order based on protection of the Basin Plan objectives for pH.

ii. Salinity

(a) WQO. There are no USEPA water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic organisms for electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, sulfate, and chloride. The Basin Plan contains a chemical constituent objective that incorporates state MCLs, contains a narrative objective, and contains
numeric water quality objectives for electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, sulfate, and chloride.

### Table F-5. Salinity Water Quality Criteria/Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Agricultural WQ Goal¹</th>
<th>Secondary MCL³</th>
<th>Effluent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (µmhos/cm)</td>
<td>Varies²</td>
<td>900, 1600, 2200</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS (mg/L)</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>500, 1000, 1500</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate (mg/L)</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>250, 500, 600</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride (mg/L)</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>250, 500, 600</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Agricultural water quality goals based on *Water Quality for Agriculture*, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations—Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 29, Rev. 1 (R.S. Ayers and D.W. Westcot, Rome, 1985)

² The EC level in irrigation water that harms crop production depends on the crop type, soil type, irrigation methods, rainfall, and other factors. An EC level of 700 umhos/cm is generally considered to present no risk of salinity impacts to crops. However, many crops are grown successfully with higher salinities.

³ The secondary MCLs are stated as a recommended level, upper level, and a short-term maximum level.

1. **Chloride.** The secondary MCL for chloride is 250 mg/L, as a recommended level, 500 mg/L as an upper level, and 600 mg/L as a short-term maximum. The recommended agricultural water quality goal for chloride, that would apply the narrative chemical constituent objective, is 106 mg/L as a long-term average based on *Water Quality for Agriculture*, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations—Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 29, Rev. 1 (R.S. Ayers and D.W. Westcot, Rome, 1985). The 106 mg/L water quality goal is intended to protect against adverse effects on sensitive crops when irrigated via sprinklers.

2. **Electrical Conductivity.** The secondary MCL for EC is 900 µmhos/cm as a recommended level, 1600 µmhos/cm as an upper level, and 2200 µmhos/cm as a short-term maximum. The agricultural water quality goal, that would apply the narrative chemical constituents objective, is 700 µmhos/cm as a long-term average based on *Water Quality for Agriculture*, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations—Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 29, Rev. 1 (R.S. Ayers and D.W. Westcot, Rome, 1985). The 700 µmhos/cm agricultural water quality goal is intended to prevent reduction in crop yield, i.e. a restriction on use of water, for salt-sensitive crops, such as beans, carrots, turnips, and strawberries. These crops are either currently grown in the area or may be grown in the future. Most other crops can tolerate higher EC concentrations without harm, however, as the salinity of the irrigation water increases, more crops are potentially harmed by the EC, or extra measures must be taken by the farmer to minimize or eliminate any harmful impacts.
(3) **Sulfate.** The secondary MCL for sulfate is 250 mg/L as a recommended level, 500 mg/L as an upper level, and 600 mg/L as a short-term maximum.

(4) **Total Dissolved Solids.** The secondary MCL for TDS is 500 mg/L as a recommended level, 1000 mg/L as an upper level, and 1500 mg/L as a short-term maximum. The recommended agricultural water quality goal for TDS, that would apply the narrative chemical constituent objective, is 450 mg/L as a long-term average based on Water Quality for Agriculture, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations—Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 29, Rev. 1 (R.S. Ayers and D.W. Westcot, Rome, 1985). Water Quality for Agriculture evaluates the impacts of salinity levels on crop tolerance and yield reduction, and establishes water quality goals that are protective of the agricultural uses. The 450 mg/L water quality goal is intended to prevent reduction in crop yield, i.e. a restriction on use of water, for salt-sensitive crops. Only the most salt sensitive crops require irrigation water of 450 mg/L or less to prevent loss of yield. Most other crops can tolerate higher TDS concentrations without harm, however, as the salinity of the irrigation water increases, more crops are potentially harmed by the TDS, or extra measures must be taken by the farmer to minimize or eliminate any harmful impacts.

(b) **RPA Results.**

(1) **Chloride.** Chloride concentrations in the effluent ranged from 0.6 mg/L to 1.2 mg/L, with an average of 0.9 mg/L. These levels do not exceed the agricultural water goal. Background concentrations in the unnamed tributary were 1.43 mg/L, for one sample collected by the Discharger on 16 October 2007. Chloride does not demonstrate reasonable potential.

(2) **Electrical Conductivity.** A review of the Discharger’s monitoring reports indicates that the discharger has analyzed for EC once during the past five years. The EC from D001 was 170 µmhos/cm on 22 January 2008. This level did not exceed the agricultural water goal. No background receiving water EC was available. Electrical Conductivity does not demonstrate reasonable potential.

(3) **Sulfate.** Sulfate concentrations in the effluent ranged from 0.9 mg/L to 4.4 mg/L, with an average of 2.6 mg/L. These levels do not exceed the secondary MCL. Only one background concentration in the unnamed tributary was reported at 0.1 mg/L on 16 October 2007. Sulfate does not demonstrate reasonable potential.
(4) **Total Dissolved Solids.** The average TDS effluent concentration was 103 mg/L with concentrations ranging from 98 mg/L to 108 mg/L. These levels do not exceed the applicable water quality objectives. Only one background receiving water TDS was reported and was 103 mg/L. Total Dissolved Solids does not demonstrate reasonable potential.

(c) **WQBELs.** Effluent limitations based on the MCL or the Basin Plan would likely require construction and operation of a reverse osmosis treatment plant. The State Water Board, in Water Quality Order 2005-005 (for the City of Manteca), states, "...the State Board takes official notice [pursuant to Title 23 of California Code of Regulations, Section 648.2] of the fact that operation of a large-scale reverse osmosis treatment plant would result in production of highly saline brine for which an acceptable method of disposal would have to be developed. Consequently, any decision that would require use of reverse osmosis to treat the City’s municipal wastewater effluent on a large scale should involve thorough consideration of the expected environmental effects." The State Water Board states in that Order, "Although the ultimate solution to southern Delta salinity problems have not yet been determined, previous actions establish that the State Board intended for permit limitations to play a limited role with respect to achieving compliance with the EC water quality objectives in the southern Delta." The State Water Board goes on to say, "Construction and operation of reverse osmosis facilities to treat discharges…prior to implementation of other measures to reduce the salt load in the southern Delta, would not be a reasonable approach."

The Regional Water Board, with cooperation of the State Water Board, has begun the process to develop a new policy for the regulation of salinity in the Central Valley. In a statement issued at the 16 March 2006, Regional Water Board meeting, Board Member Dr. Karl Longley recommended that the Regional Water Board continue to exercise its authority to regulate discharges of salt to minimize salinity increases within the Central Valley. Dr. Longley stated, "The process of developing new salinity control policies does not, therefore, mean that we should stop regulating salt discharges until a salinity Policy is developed. In the meantime, the Board should consider all possible interim approaches to continue controlling and regulating salts in a reasonable manner, and encourage all stakeholder groups that may be affected by the Regional Board’s policy to actively participate in policy development."

WQBELs are not included in this Order for chloride, electrical conductivity, sulfate, and total dissolved solids. These constituents do not demonstrate reasonable potential; however, monitoring for those pollutants is established in this Order as required by the SIP. If the results of effluent monitoring demonstrate reasonable potential, this Order may be re-opened and modified by adding an appropriate effluent limitation.

**iii. Settleable Solids**
(a) **WQO.** For inland surface waters, the Basin Plan states that “*water shall not contain substances in concentrations that result in the deposition of material that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.*”

(b) **RPA Results.** The discharge has not exhibited exceedences, but the discharge of storm water has a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above the Basin Plan’s narrative objective for settleable solids.

(c) **WQBELs.** This Order contains average monthly and maximum daily effluent limitations for settleable solids to ensure that the treatment works operate in accordance with design capabilities. Because the amount of settleable solids is measured in terms of volume per volume without a mass component, it is impracticable to calculate mass limitations for inclusion in this Order.

iv. **Total Suspended Solids.**

(a) **WQO.** For inland surface waters, the Basin Plan states that “*waters shall not contain suspended materials in concentrations that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.*”

(b) **RPA Results.** The discharge has not exhibited exceedences, but the discharge of stormwater has a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above the Basin Plan’s narrative objective for settleable solids.

(c) **WQBELs.** This Order contains a maximum daily effluent limitation for suspended solids of 50 mg/L. The suspended solids limit in this permit is based on benchmark values established by the USEPA and is comparable with the suspended solids limits for similar facilities.

v. **Zinc.**

(a) **WQO.** The Basin Plan includes hardness dependent criteria for the protection of freshwater aquatic life for zinc. Using the site-specific conversion factors for the measured hardness, as described in section VI.C.2.c of this Fact Sheet, the applicable instantaneous maximum concentration is 21.78 ug/L, as total recoverable.

(b) **RPA Results.** The maximum effluent concentration (MEC) for zinc was 35 µg/L, based on 155 samples collected between January 2006 and June 2008, while the maximum observed upstream receiving water concentration was 26 µg/L based on 46 samples collected between January 2006 and June 2008. Therefore, zinc in the discharge has a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the Basin Plan criterion for the protection of freshwater aquatic life.

(c) **WQBELs.** CTR includes hardness-dependent criteria for the protection of freshwater aquatic life for zinc. The criteria for zinc are presented in
dissolved concentrations. USEPA recommends conversion factors to translate dissolved concentrations to total concentrations. The default conversion factors for zinc in freshwater are 0.978 for the acute criteria and 0.986 for the chronic criteria. However, the discharger has conducted a translator study to develop site-specific translators for zinc.

The Discharger submitted a site-specific translator study for zinc in June 2008. Effluent limitations for zinc were developed using a conversion factors of 0.82 for freshwater acute criteria and 0.67 for freshwater chronic criteria. Using the worst-case ambient measured hardness from the upstream receiving water, (47.0 mg/L) the applicable chronic criterion (maximum four-day average concentration) and applicable acute criterion (maximum one-hour average concentration) are 62.31 ug/L and 61.81 ug/L, as total recoverable. Applying the Basin Plan requirements with an effluent hardness of 47.0 mg/L, a criteria value for zinc (total recoverable) of 22.3 ug/L was calculated.

This Order contains an average monthly effluent limitation for total zinc of 10.8 ug/L and a maximum daily effluent limitation for total zinc of 22.3 ug/L to ensure that the treatment works operate in accordance with design capabilities. Effluent limitations for total zinc in this Order are based on site-specific Basin Plan objectives. Based on sample results in the effluent, it appears the Discharger can meet these new limitations, with only a few exceptions. The Discharger is evaluating additional BMPs and treatment options to assure compliance.

4. WQBEL Calculations

a. This Order includes WQBELs for zinc. The general methodology for calculating WQBELs based on the different criteria/objectives is described in subsections IV.C.4.b through e. See Attachment H for the WQBEL calculations.

b. Effluent Concentration Allowance. For each water quality criterion/objective, the ECA is calculated using the following steady-state mass balance equation from Section 1.4 of the SIP:

\[
ECA = C + D(C - B) \quad \text{where } C > B, \text{ and} \\
ECA = C \quad \text{where } C \leq B
\]

where:

- ECA = effluent concentration allowance
- D = dilution credit
- C = the priority pollutant criterion/objective
- B = the ambient background concentration.

According to the SIP, the ambient background concentration (B) in the equation above shall be the observed maximum with the exception that an ECA calculated from a priority pollutant criterion/objective that is intended to protect human
health from carcinogenic effects shall use the arithmetic mean concentration of the ambient background samples. For ECAs based on MCLs, which implement the Basin Plan’s chemical constituents objective and are applied as annual averages, an arithmetic mean is also used for B due to the long-term basis of the criteria.

c. **Basin Plan Objectives and MCLs.** For WQBELs based on site-specific numeric Basin Plan objectives or MCLs, the effluent limitations are applied directly as the ECA as either an MDEL, AMEL, or average annual effluent limitations, depending on the averaging period of the objective.

d. **Aquatic Toxicity Criteria.** WQBELs based on acute and chronic aquatic toxicity criteria are calculated in accordance with Section 1.4 of the SIP. The ECAs are converted to equivalent long-term averages (i.e. LTAacute and LTAchronic) using statistical multipliers and the lowest LTA is used to calculate the AMEL and MDEL using additional statistical multipliers.

e. **Human Health Criteria.** WQBELs based on human health criteria, are also calculated in accordance with Section 1.4 of the SIP. The ECAs are set equal to the AMEL and a statistical multiplier was used to calculate the MDEL.

\[
AMEL = \text{mult}_{AMEL} \left( \min(M_A ECA_{acute}, M_C ECA_{chronic}) \right) \]
\[
MDEL = \text{mult}_{MDEL} \left( \min(M_A ECA_{acute}, M_C ECA_{chronic}) \right) \]
\[
\text{MDEL}_{HH} = \left( \frac{\text{mult}_{MDEL}}{\text{mult}_{AMEL}} \right) AMEL_{HH}
\]

where:
- \(\text{mult}_{AMEL}\) = statistical multiplier converting minimum LTA to AMEL
- \(\text{mult}_{MDEL}\) = statistical multiplier converting minimum LTA to MDEL
- \(M_A\) = statistical multiplier converting acute ECA to \(LTA_{acute}\)
- \(M_C\) = statistical multiplier converting chronic ECA to \(LTA_{chronic}\)

### Summary of Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>mL/L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, total recoverable</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH(^1)</td>
<td>pH units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Except for discharges associated with a 10-year 24-hour rainfall event, or greater.
\(^2\) For calculating Monthly Averages, use Zero for Non-Detects (<0.1).
5. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)

For compliance with the Basin Plan's narrative toxicity objective, this Order requires the Discharger to conduct whole effluent toxicity testing for acute and chronic toxicity, as specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E, Section V.). This Order also contains effluent limitations for acute toxicity and requires the Discharger to implement best management practices to investigate the causes of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity if it is detected.

a. Acute Aquatic Toxicity. The Basin Plan contains a narrative toxicity objective that states, “All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.” (Basin Plan at III-8.00). The Basin Plan also states that, “…effluent limits based upon acute biotoxicity tests of effluents will be prescribed where appropriate…”. USEPA Region 9 provided guidance for the development of acute toxicity effluent limitations in the absence of numeric water quality objectives for toxicity in its document titled "Guidance for NPDES Permit Issuance", dated February 1994. In section B.2. "Toxicity Requirements" (pgs. 14-15) it states that, "In the absence of specific numeric water quality objectives for acute and chronic toxicity, the narrative criterion 'no toxics in toxic amounts' applies. Achievement of the narrative criterion, as applied herein, means that ambient waters shall not demonstrate for acute toxicity: 1) less than 90% survival, 50% of the time, based on the monthly median, or 2) less than 70% survival, 10% of the time, based on any monthly median. For chronic toxicity, ambient waters shall not demonstrate a test result of greater than 1 TUs.”

Accordingly, effluent limitations for acute toxicity have been included in this Order as follows:

**Acute Toxicity.** Survival of aquatic organisms in 96-hour bioassays of undiluted waste shall be no less than:

- Minimum for any one bioassays: 70%
- Median for any three or more consecutive bioassays: 90%

b. Chronic Aquatic Toxicity. The Basin Plan contains a narrative toxicity objective that states, “All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.” (Basin Plan at III-8.00). Based on the chronic WET testing performed by the discharger on 22 January 2008, the discharge does not have reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the Basin Plan's narrative toxicity objective.

The monitoring and Reporting Program of this Order requires Bi-annual chronic WET monitoring for demonstration of compliance with the narrative toxicity objective and effluent limitation. In addition to WET monitoring, the Special Provision in section VI.C.2.a of this Order requires the Discharger to submit to
the Regional Water Board an initial Investigation TRE Workplan for approval by the Executive Officer, to ensure the Discharger has a plan to immediately move forward with the initial tiers of a TRE, in the event effluent toxicity is encountered in the future. The provision also includes a numeric toxicity monitoring trigger, requirements for accelerated monitoring, and requirements for TRE initiation if a pattern of toxicity is demonstrated.

Numeric chronic WET effluent limitations have not been included in this order. The SIP contains implementation gaps regarding the appropriate form and implementation of chronic toxicity limits. This has resulted in the petitioning of a NPDES permit in the Los Angeles Region\(^2\) that contained numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitations. To address the petition, the State Water Board adopted WQO 2003-012 directing its staff to revise the toxicity control provisions in the SIP. The State Water Board states the following in WQO 2003-012, “In reviewing this petition and receiving comments from numerous interested persons on the propriety of including numeric effluent limitations for chronic toxicity in NPDES permits for publicly-owned treatment works that discharge to inland waters, we have determined that this issue should be considered in a regulatory setting, in order to allow for full public discussion and deliberation. We intend to modify the SIP to specifically address the issue. We anticipate that review will occur within the next year. We therefore decline to make a determination here regarding the propriety of the final numeric effluent limitations for chronic toxicity contained in these permits.” The process to revise the SIP is currently underway. Proposed changes include clarifying the appropriate form of effluent toxicity limits in NPDES permits and general expansion and standardization of toxicity control implementation related to the NPDES permitting process. Since the toxicity control provisions in the SIP are under revision it is infeasible to develop numeric effluent limitations for chronic toxicity. Therefore, this Order requires that the Discharger meet best management practices for compliance with the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective, as allowed under 40 CFR 122.44(k).

To ensure compliance with the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective, the Discharger is required to conduct chronic whole effluent toxicity testing, as specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E, Section V.). Furthermore, Special Provisions VI.C.2.a. of this Order requires the Discharger to investigate the causes of, and identify and implement corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity. If the discharge demonstrates a pattern of toxicity exceeding the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger, the Discharger is required to initiate a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE), in accordance with an approved TRE work plan. The numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is not an effluent limitation, it is the toxicity threshold at which the Discharger is required to

---

\(^2\) In the Matter of the Review of Own Motion of Waste Discharge Requirements Order Nos. R4-2002-0121 [NPDES No. CA0054011] and R4-2002-0123 [NPDES NO. CA0055119] and Time Schedule Order Nos. R4-2002-0122 and R4-2002-0124 for Los Coyotes and Long Beach Wastewater Reclamation Plants Issued by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region SWRCB/OCC FILES A-1496 AND 1496(a)
perform accelerated chronic toxicity monitoring, as well as, the threshold to initiate a TRE if a pattern of effluent toxicity has been demonstrated.

D. Final Effluent Limitations


40 CFR 122.45(f)(1) requires effluent limitations be expressed in terms of mass, with some exceptions, and 40 CFR 122.45(f)(2) allows pollutants that are limited in terms of mass to additionally be limited in terms of other units of measurement. This Order does not include effluent limitations expressed in terms of mass and concentration. In addition, pursuant to the exceptions to mass limitations provided in 40 CFR 122.45(f)(1), some effluent limitations are not expressed in terms of mass, such as pH and temperature, and when the applicable standards are expressed in terms of concentration (e.g. CTR criteria and MCLs) and mass limitations are not necessary to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving water.

2. Averaging Periods for Effluent Limitations.

40 CFR 122.45 (d) requires maximum daily and average monthly discharge limitations for all dischargers other than publicly owned treatment works unless impracticable. The rationale for using alternative averaging periods for aluminum, iron, manganese, and EC is discussed in section IV.C.3. of this Fact Sheet.

For effluent limitations based on Primary and Secondary MCLs, except nitrate and nitrite, this Order includes annual average effluent limitations. The Primary and Secondary MCLs are drinking water standards contained in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations. Title 22 requires compliance with these standards on an annual average basis (except for nitrate and nitrite), when sampling at least quarterly. Since it is necessary to determine compliance on an annual average basis, it is impracticable to calculate average weekly and average monthly effluent limitations.

An Averaging period for compliance with the pH limitation may be used upon approval by the Executive Officer.


The effluent limitations in this Order are at least as stringent as the effluent limitations in the existing Order, with the exception of effluent limitations for bis-2-ethylhexylphthalate. The effluent limitations for this pollutant are less stringent than those in Order No. R5-2004-0006. This relaxation of effluent limitations is consistent with the anti-backsliding requirements of the CWA and federal regulations.

Order No. R5-2004-0006 required that bis-2-ethylhexylphthalate be monitored at a frequency of every week. Order No. R5-2004-0006 had an MDEL of 3.6 ug/L and AMEL of 1.8 ug/L for bis-2-ethylhexylphthalate. Order No. R5-2004-0006 recognized that the detections of bis-2-ethylhexylphthalate may have been due to
plastics used for sampling or analytical equipment and provided that the effluent limitation and sampling requirements would be removed if sufficient information indicated that bis-2-ethylhexylphthalate was not truly present in the discharge. The discharger provided analytical results from 15 sampling events showing that bis-2-ethylhexylphthalate was below the detection limits. On 25 January 2005 the Regional Water Quality Control Board removed the requirement to continue sampling and analysis for bis-2-ethylhexylphthalate, as well as the effluent limitation.

40 CFR 122.44(l)(2)(i)(B) allows that a permit may be reissued containing a less stringent effluent limitation for a pollutant, if information is available which was not available at the time of permit issuance and which would have justified the application of a less stringent effluent limitation at the time of permit issuance.

4. Satisfaction of Antidegradation Policy
This Order does not allow for an increase in flow or mass of pollutants to the receiving water. Therefore, a complete antidegradation analysis is not necessary. The Order requires compliance with applicable federal technology-based standards and with WQBELs where the discharge could have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of water quality standards. The permitted discharge is consistent with the antidegradation provisions of 40 CFR 131.12 and State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16. Compliance with these requirements will result in the use of best practicable treatment or control of the discharge. The impact on existing water quality will be insignificant.

E. Interim Effluent Limitations. Not Applicable

F. Land Discharge Specifications. Not Applicable

G. Reclamation Specifications. Not Applicable

V. RATIONALE FOR RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS

Basin Plan water quality objectives to protect the beneficial uses of surface water and groundwater include numeric objectives and narrative objectives, including objectives for chemical constituents, toxicity, and tastes and odors. The toxicity objective requires that surface water and groundwater be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in humans, plants, animals, or aquatic life. The chemical constituent objective requires that surface water and groundwater shall not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect any beneficial use or that exceed the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) in Title 22, CCR. The tastes and odors objective states that surface water and groundwater shall not contain taste- or odor-producing substances in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses. The Basin Plan requires the application of the most stringent objective necessary to ensure that surface water and groundwater do not contain chemical constituents, toxic substances, radionuclides, or taste and odor producing substances in concentrations that adversely affect domestic drinking water supply, agricultural supply, or any other beneficial use.
A. Surface Water

1. CWA section 303(a-c), requires states to adopt water quality standards, including criteria where they are necessary to protect beneficial uses. The Regional Water Board adopted water quality criteria as water quality objectives in the Basin Plan. The Basin Plan states that “[t]he numerical and narrative water quality objectives define the least stringent standards that the Regional Board will apply to regional waters in order to protect the beneficial uses.” The Basin Plan includes numeric and narrative water quality objectives for various beneficial uses and water bodies. This Order contains Receiving Surface Water Limitations based on the Basin Plan numerical and narrative water quality objectives and California/National Toxics Rule criteria for biostimulatory substances, chemical constituents, color, dissolved oxygen, floating material, oil and grease, pH, pesticides, radioactivity, suspended sediment, settleable material, suspended material, tastes and odors, temperature, toxicity, and turbidity.

   a. Biostimulatory Substances. The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[W]ater shall not contain biostimulatory substances which promote aquatic growths in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.” Receiving Water Limitations for biostimulatory substances are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.

   b. Chemical Constituents. The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[W]aters shall not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.” Receiving Water Limitations for chemical constituents are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.

   c. Color. The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[W]ater shall be free of discoloration that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.” Receiving Water Limitations for color are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.

   d. Dissolved Oxygen. The Pit River (including Lake Britton impoundment) has been designated as having the beneficial use of cold freshwater aquatic habitat (COLD). For water bodies designated as having COLD as a beneficial use, the Basin Plan includes a water quality objective of maintaining a minimum of 7.0 mg/L of dissolved oxygen. Since the beneficial use of COLD does apply to the Pit River (including the Lake Britton impoundment), a receiving water limitation of 7.0 mg/L for dissolved oxygen was included in this Order.

   e. Floating Material. The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[W]ater shall not contain floating material in amounts that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.” Receiving Water Limitations for floating material are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.
f. **Oil and Grease.** The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[W]aters shall not contain oils, greases, waxes, or other materials in concentrations that cause nuisance, result in a visible film or coating on the surface of the water or on objects in the water, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses.” Receiving Water Limitations for oil and grease are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.

g. **pH.** The Basin Plan includes water quality objective that “[T]he pH shall not be depressed below 6.5 nor raised above 8.5. Changes in normal ambient pH levels shall not exceed 0.5 in fresh waters with designated COLD or WARM beneficial uses” This Order includes receiving water limitations for both pH range and pH change.

The Basin Plan allows an appropriate averaging period for pH change in the receiving stream. Since there is no technical information available that indicates that aquatic organisms are adversely affected by shifts in pH within the 6.5 to 8.5 range, an averaging period is considered appropriate and a monthly averaging period for determining compliance with the 0.5 receiving water pH limitation is included in this Order.

h. **Pesticides.** The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective for pesticides beginning on page III-6.00. Receiving Water Limitations for pesticides are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.

i. **Suspended Sediment.** The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[T]he suspended sediment load and suspended sediment discharge rate of surface waters shall not be altered in such a manner as to cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses” Receiving Water Limitations for suspended sediments are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.

j. **Settleable Material.** The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[W]aters shall not contain substances in concentrations that result in the deposition of material that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.” Receiving Water Limitations for settleable material are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.

k. **Suspended Material.** The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[W]aters shall not contain suspended material in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.” Receiving Water Limitations for suspended material are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.

l. **Taste and Odors.** The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[W]ater shall not contain taste- or odor-producing substances in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to domestic or municipal water supplies or to fish flesh or other edible products of aquatic origin, or that cause nuisance, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses.” Receiving Water Limitations for taste- or odor-producing substances are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.
m. **Temperature.** The Pit River has the beneficial uses of both COLD and WARM. The Basin Plan includes the objective that “[a]t no time or place shall the temperature of COLD or WARM intrastate waters be increased more than 5ºF above natural receiving water temperature.” This Order includes a receiving water limitation based on this objective.

n. **Toxicity.** The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[A]ll waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.” Receiving Water Limitations for toxicity are included in this Order and are based on the Basin Plan objective.

o. **Turbidity.** The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective that “[i]ncreases in turbidity attributable to controllable water quality factors shall not exceed the following limits:

i. *Where natural turbidity is between 0 and 5 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTUs), increases shall not exceed 1 NTU.*

ii. *Where natural turbidity is between 5 and 50 NTUs, increases shall not exceed 20 percent.*

iii. *Where natural turbidity is between 50 and 100 NTUs, increases shall not exceed 10 NTUs.*

iv. *Where natural turbidity is greater than 100 NTUs, increases shall not exceed 10 percent.*

A numeric Receiving Surface Water Limitation for turbidity is included in this Order and is based on the Basin Plan objective for turbidity.

| Table F-7. Summary of Receiving Water Limitations (partial list). |
|---|---|---|---|
| Parameter | Units | Basin Plan | CTR Acute | CTR Chronic |
| pH | Standard Units | 6.5-8.5 | N/A | N/A |
| Turbidity | NTU | Variable | N/A | N/A |

**B. Groundwater.**

1. The beneficial uses of the underlying ground water are municipal and domestic supply, industrial service supply, industrial process supply, and agricultural supply.

2. Basin Plan water quality objectives include narrative objectives for chemical constituents, tastes and odors, and toxicity of groundwater. The toxicity objective requires that groundwater be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in humans, plants, animals, or aquatic life. The chemical constituent objective states groundwater shall not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect any beneficial use. The tastes and odors objective prohibits taste- or odor-producing substances in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses. The Basin Plan also establishes numerical water quality objectives for chemical constituents.
and radioactivity in groundwaters designated as municipal supply. These include, at a minimum, compliance with MCLs in Title 22 of the CCR. The bacteria objective prohibits fecal coliform organisms at or above 2.2 MPN/100 ml. The Basin Plan requires the application of the most stringent objective necessary to ensure that waters do not contain chemical constituents, toxic substances, radionuclides, taste-or odor-producing substances, or bacteria in concentrations that adversely affect municipal or domestic supply, agricultural supply, industrial supply or some other beneficial use.

3. Groundwater limitations are not required for the storm water discharge regulated by this Order. The discharge as permitted herein is consistent with the provisions of Resolution No. 68-16. In addition, the unlined basins are used to settle out sediments which are retained by the soil and do not pass to groundwater.

VI. RATIONALE FOR MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

40 CFR 122.48 requires that all NPDES permits specify requirements for recording and reporting monitoring results. Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 authorizes the Regional Water Board to require technical and monitoring reports. The Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E) of this Order, establishes monitoring and reporting requirements to implement federal and state requirements. The following provides the rationale for the monitoring and reporting requirements contained in the Monitoring and Reporting Program for this facility.

A. Influent Monitoring. Not Applicable

B. Effluent Monitoring

1. Pursuant to the requirements of 40 CFR §122.44(i)(2) effluent monitoring is required for all constituents with effluent limitations. Effluent monitoring is necessary to assess compliance with effluent limitations, assess the effectiveness of the treatment process, and to assess the impacts of the discharge on the receiving stream.

2. Effluents monitoring frequencies and sample types for pH, settleable solids, turbidity, total suspended solids, hardness, zinc, acute and chronic toxicity have been retained from Order No. R5-2004-0006 to determine compliance with effluent limitations for these parameters.

3. Monitoring data collected over the existing permit term for bis-2-Ethylhexylphthalate did not demonstrate reasonable potential to exceed water quality objectives/criteria. Thus, specific monitoring requirements for these parameters have not been retained from Order No. R5-2004-0006.

4. The SIP states that if “…all reported detection limits of the pollutant in the effluent are greater than or equal to the C [water quality criterion or objective] value, the RWQCB [Regional Water Board] shall establish interim requirements…that require additional monitoring for the pollutant…” No constituents reported all detection
limits greater than or equal to corresponding applicable water quality criteria or objectives.

C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements

The Basin Plan states that “[a]ll waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life. This objective applies regardless of whether the toxicity is caused by a single substance or the interactive effect of multiple substances.” The Basin Plan requires that “[a]s a minimum, compliance with this objective…shall be evaluated with a 96-hour bioassay.” This Order requires both acute and chronic toxicity monitoring to evaluate compliance with this water quality objective.

The receiving surface water for the Facility is the Pit River, an inland surface water providing freshwater aquatic habitat. Beneficial uses of the Pit River include cold freshwater habitat (COLD); cold spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (SPWN); and wildlife habitat (WILD). Given that the receiving stream has beneficial uses of cold freshwater habitat, cold migration of aquatic organisms, and cold spawning, reproduction, and/or early development, it is appropriate to use a cold/warm-water species such as *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (rainbow trout) for aquatic toxicity bioassays.

USEPA has approved test methods for of *Pimephales promelas*, *Selenastrum capricornutum*, and *Ceriodaphnia dubia* for assessing chronic toxicity in freshwater organisms.

1. **Acute Toxicity.** Annual 96-hour bioassay testing is required to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitation for acute toxicity.

2. **Chronic Toxicity.** Bi-annual chronic whole effluent toxicity testing is required in order to demonstrate compliance with the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective.

D. Receiving Water Monitoring

1. **Surface Water**
   a. Receiving water monitoring is necessary to assess compliance with receiving water limitations and to assess the impacts of the discharge on the receiving stream.

2. **Groundwater.** Not Applicable

E. Other Monitoring Requirements

1. **Storm Water monitoring**
   Federal Regulations for storm water discharges were promulgated by USEPA on 16 November 1990 (40 CFR Parts 122, 123, and 124). The regulations require specific categories of facilities, which discharge storm water associated with industrial activity (storm water), to obtain NPDES permits and to implement Best Available Technology Economically Achievable and Best Conventional Pollutant
Control Technology to reduce or eliminate industrial storm water pollution. This order implements the regulations, and relieves the Discharger from obtaining coverage under the general industrial storm water permit.

2. **Priority Pollutants**
   The Discharger shall conduct monitoring as specified in Attachment E of this Order to determine if the discharge from D-001 and D-002 contains priority pollutants identified in the California Toxics Rule and National Toxics Rule.

**VII. RATIONALE FOR PROVISIONS**

A. **Standard Provisions**
   Standard Provisions, which apply to all NPDES permits in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41, and additional conditions applicable to specified categories of permits in accordance with 40 CFR 122.42, are provided in Attachment D. The discharger must comply with all standard provisions and with those additional conditions that are applicable under 40 CFR 122.42.

40 CFR 122.41(a)(1) and (b) through (n) establish conditions that apply to all State-issued NPDES permits. These conditions must be incorporated into the permits either expressly or by reference. If incorporated by reference, a specific citation to the regulations must be included in the Order. 40 CFR 123.25(a)(12) allows the state to omit or modify conditions to impose more stringent requirements. In accordance with 40 CFR 123.25, this Order omits federal conditions that address enforcement authority specified in 40 CFR 122.41(j)(5) and (k)(2) because the enforcement authority under the Water Code is more stringent. In lieu of these conditions, this Order incorporates by reference Water Code section 13387(e).

B. **Special Provisions**

1. **Reopener Provisions**
   a. This Order may be reopened for modification, or revocation and reissuance, as a result of the detection of a reportable priority pollutant generated by special conditions included in this Order. These special conditions may be, but are not limited to, fish tissue sampling, whole effluent toxicity, monitoring requirements on internal waste stream(s), and monitoring for surrogate parameters. Additional requirements may be included in this Order as a result of the special condition monitoring data.

   b. Conditions that necessitate a major modification of a permit are described in 40 CFR section 122.62, including:
      i. If new or amended applicable water quality standards are promulgated or approved pursuant to Section 303 of the CWA, or amendments thereto, this permit may be reopened and modified in accordance with the new or amended standards.
ii. When new information, that was not available at the time of permit issuance, would have justified different permit conditions at the time of issuance.

c. **Constituent Study.** There are indications that the discharge may contain constituents that have reasonable potential to contribute to an exceedence of the water quality objectives: aluminum, iron, and manganese. This Order requires the Discharger to conduct monitoring for aluminum, iron, and manganese as outlined in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E). If the Regional Board determines that implementation of effluent limitations is appropriate and necessary, this Order may be reopened.

d. **Mixing Zone and Dilution Studies.** Section 1.4 of the SIP established procedures for calculating effluent limitations. Included in the procedures is determination of a dilution credit, which the Regional Water Board may approve or disapprove at its discretion. However, the Discharger has not developed the information needed to determine a dilution credit. Consequently, this Order establishes final effluent limitations based on zero dilution. This Order also has a reopener that allows new effluent limitations to be adopted if a mixing zone and dilution study demonstrates that dilution credits are appropriate.

e. **Water Effects Ratio (WER) and Metal Translators.** A default WER of 1.0 has been used in this Order for calculating CTR criteria for applicable priority pollutant inorganic constituents. In addition, default dissolved-to-total metal translators have been used to convert water quality objectives from dissolved to total recoverable when developing effluent limitations for cadmium, cooper, lead, and silver. Site-specific dissolved-to-total metal translators have been used to convert water quality objectives from dissolved to total recoverable when developing effluent limitations for zinc. If the Discharger performs studies to determine site-specific WERs and/or site-specific dissolved-to-total metal translators, this Order may be reopened to modify the effluent limitations for the applicable inorganic constituents.

f. **Whole Effluent Toxicity.** This Order requires the Discharger to investigate the causes of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity through a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE), if effluent toxicity is detected. This Order may be reopened to include a chronic toxicity limitation, a new acute toxicity limitation, and/or a limitation for a specific toxicant identified in the TRE. Additionally, if a numeric chronic toxicity water quality objective is adopted by the State Water Board, this Order may be reopened to include a numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitation based on that objective.

2. Special Studies and Additional Monitoring Requirements

a. **Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity Requirements.** The Basin Plan contains a narrative toxicity objective that states, “All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.” (Basin Plan at III-8.00.) Based on annual whole effluent chronic toxicity testing performed by the Discharger from October 2001 through November 2005, the discharge did not demonstrate a
reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective.

The Monitoring and Reporting Program of this Order required chronic WET monitoring for demonstration of compliance with the narrative toxicity objective. In addition to WET monitoring, this provision requires the Discharger to submit to the Regional Water Board an Initial Investigation TRE Workplan for approval by the Executive Officer, to ensure the Discharger has a plan to immediately move forward with the initial tiers of a TRE, in the event effluent toxicity is encountered in the future. The provisions also include a numeric toxicity monitoring trigger, requirements for accelerated monitoring, and requirements for TRE initiation if a pattern of toxicity is demonstrated.

i. **Monitoring Trigger.** A numeric toxicity monitoring trigger of > 1 TUc (where TUc = 100/NOEC) is applied in the provision, because this Order does not allow any dilution for the chronic condition. Therefore, a TRE is triggered when the effluent exhibits a pattern of toxicity at 100% effluent.

ii. **Accelerated Monitoring.** The provision requires accelerated WET testing when a regular WET test result exceeds the monitoring trigger. The purpose of accelerated monitoring is to determine, in an expedient manner, whether there is a pattern of toxicity before requiring the implementation of a TRE. Due to possible seasonality of the toxicity, the accelerated monitoring should be performed in a timely manner, preferably taking no more than 2 to 3 months to complete.

The provision requires accelerated monitoring consisting of four chronic toxicity tests every two weeks using the species that exhibited toxicity. Guidance regarding accelerated monitoring and TRE initiation is provided in the *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control, EPA/505/2-90-001, March 1991* (TSD). The TSD at page 118 states, “EPA recommends if toxicity is repeatedly or periodically present at levels above effluent limits more than 20 percent of the time, a TRE should be required.” Therefore, four accelerated monitoring tests are required in this provision. If no toxicity is demonstrated in the four accelerated tests, then it demonstrates that toxicity is not present at levels above the monitoring trigger more than 20 percent of the time (only 1 of 5 tests are toxic, including the initial test). However, notwithstanding the accelerated monitoring results, if there is adequate evidence of a pattern of effluent toxicity (i.e. toxicity present exceeding the monitoring trigger more than 20 percent of the time), the Executive Officer may require that the Discharger initiate a TRE.

See the WET Accelerated Monitoring Flow Chart (Figure F-1), below, for further clarification of the accelerated monitoring requirements and for the decision points for determining the need for TRE initiation.
iii. **TRE Guidance.** The Discharger is required to prepare a TRE Work Plan in accordance with USEPA guidance. Numerous guidance documents are available, as identified below:


- Generalized Methodology for Conducting Industrial TREs, *(EPA/600/2-88/070)*, April 1989.


Figure F-1
WET Accelerated Monitoring Flow Chart
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b. **Storm Water Pollution Controls.**

i. Prior to 15 October of each year, the Discharger shall implement necessary erosion control measures and any necessary construction, maintenance, or repairs of drainage and erosion control facilities.

ii. The Discharger has prepared a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) containing best management practices to reduce pollutants in the storm water discharges. The Discharger shall review and amend as appropriate the SWPPP whenever there are changes that may affect the discharge of significant quantities of pollutants to surface water, if there are violations of this permit, or if the general objective of controlling pollutants in the storm water discharges has not been achieved. The amended SWPPP shall be submitted prior to 15 October in the year in which it was prepared.

iii. By 1 July of each year, the Discharger shall submit a Storm Water Annual Report for the previous fiscal year (1 July to 30 June). The report shall be signed in accordance with Standard Provisions V.B and may be submitted using the General Industrial Storm Water Annual Report Form, provided by the State Water Resources Control Board, or in a format that contains equivalent information.

3. **Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention**

The Discharger shall prepare/update a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) containing best management practices to reduce pollutants in the storm water discharges. The Discharger shall amend the SWPPP whenever there is a change in construction, site operations, or maintenance that may affect the discharge of significant quantities of pollutants to storm water or groundwater. The SWPPP must also be amended if there are storm water-related violations of this permit, or the Discharger has not achieved the general objectives of controlling pollutants in the storm water discharges.

4. **Salinity Evaluation and Minimization Plan.** An Evaluation and Minimization Plan for salinity is required in Section VI.C.3.b of this Order to ensure adequate measures are developed and implemented by the Discharger to reduce the discharge of salinity to the Pit River.

5. **Construction, Operation, and Maintenance Specifications**

a. Ponds shall be managed to prevent breeding of mosquitoes. In particular:

i. An erosion control program should assure that small coves and irregularities are not created around the perimeter of the water surface.

ii. Weeds shall be minimized.

iii. Dead algae, vegetation, and debris shall not accumulate on the water surface.
b. Freeboard shall never be less than two feet (measured vertically to the lowest point of overflow.


7. Other Special Provisions

a. All technical reports required herein that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design, or other work requiring interpretation and proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code, Sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1. To demonstrate compliance with Title 16, CCR, Sections 415 and 3065, all technical reports must contain a statement of the qualifications of the responsible registered professional(s). As required by these laws, completed technical reports must bear the signature(s) and seal(s) of the registered professional(s) in a manner such that all work can be clearly attributed to the professional responsible for the work.

b. In the event the Discharger does not comply or will be unable to comply for any reason, with any prohibition or limitation contained in this Order, this Order requires the Discharger to notify the Regional Water Board by telephone (916) 464-3291 (or to the Regional Water Board staff engineer assigned to the facility) within 24 hours of having knowledge of such noncompliance, and shall confirm this notification in writing within five days, unless the Regional Water Board waives confirmation. The written notification shall include the information required by Federal Standard Provision [40 CFR §122.41(l)(6)(i)].

c. Prior to making any change in the discharge point, place of use, or purpose of use of the storm water, the Discharger must obtain approval of, or clearance from the State Water Resources Control Board (Division of Water Rights).

d. Ownership Change. To maintain accountability of the operation of the Facility, the discharger is required to notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter if, and when, there is any change in control or ownership of land or waste discharge facilities presently owned or controlled by the Discharger.

To assume operation under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity's full legal name, the State of incorporation if a corporation, address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Regional Water Board and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory paragraph of Federal Standard Provision V.B.5 and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the California...
VIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The Regional Water Board is considering the issuance of WDRs that will serve as an NPDES permit for the Facility. As a step in the WDR adoption process, the Regional Water Board staff has developed tentative WDRs. The Regional Water Board encourages public participation in the WDR adoption process.

A. Notification of Interested Parties

The Regional Water Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for the discharge and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations. Notification was provided through physical posting, mailing, and internet posting.

B. Written Comments

The staff determinations are tentative. Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning these tentative WDRs. Comments must be submitted either in person or by mail to the Executive Office at the Regional Water Board at the address above on the cover page of this Order.

To be fully responded to by staff and considered by the Regional Water Board, written comments should be received at the Regional Water Board offices by 5:00 p.m. on 2 April 2009.

C. Public Hearing

The Regional Water Board will hold a public hearing on the tentative WDRs during its regular Board meeting on the following date and time and at the following location:

Date: 23/24 April 2009
Time: 8:30 am
Location: Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region
11020 Sun Center Dr., Suite #200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Interested persons are invited to attend. At the public hearing, the Regional Water Board will hear testimony, if any, pertinent to the discharge, WDRs, and permit. Oral testimony will be heard; however, for accuracy of the record, important testimony should be in writing.

Please be aware that dates and venues may change. Our Web address is http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley where you can access the current agenda for changes in dates and locations.
D. Waste Discharge Requirements Petitions

Any aggrieved person may petition the State Water Resources Control Board to review the decision of the Regional Water Board regarding the final WDRs. The petition must be submitted within 30 days of the Regional Water Board's action to the following address:

State Water Resources Control Board
Office of Chief Counsel
P.O. Box 100, 1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

E. Information and Copying

The Report of Waste Discharge (RWD), related documents, tentative effluent limitations and special provisions, comments received, and other information are on file and may be inspected at the address above at any time between 8:30 a.m. and 4:45 p.m., Monday through Friday. Copying of documents may be arranged through the Regional Water Board by calling (530) 224-4845.

F. Register of Interested Persons

Any person interested in being placed on the mailing list for information regarding the WDRs and NPDES permit should contact the Regional Water Board, reference this facility, and provide a name, address, and phone number.

G. Additional Information

Requests for additional information or questions regarding this order should be directed to Daniel Warner at (530) 224-4848.
### ATTACHMENT G – Summary of Reasonable Potential Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>MEC</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>CMC</th>
<th>CCC</th>
<th>Water &amp; Org</th>
<th>Org. Only</th>
<th>Basin Plan</th>
<th>MCL</th>
<th>Reasonable Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III)</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>111.53</td>
<td>935.7</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (VI)</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>1.217</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>0.00038</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>27.54</td>
<td>247.7</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.108</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (Zn)</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>220,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Note: All inorganic concentrations are given as a total recoverable.

Footnotes:
- MEC = Maximum Effluent Concentration
- B = Maximum Receiving Water Concentration or lowest detection level, if non-detect
- C = Criterion used for Reasonable Potential Analysis
- CMC = Criterion Maximum Concentration (CTR or NTR)
- CCC = Criterion Continuous Concentration (CTR or NTR)
- Water & Org = Human Health Criterion for Consumption of Water & Organisms (CTR or NTR)
- Org. Only = Human Health Criterion for Consumption of Organisms Only (CTR or NTR)
- Basin Plan = Numeric Site-specific Basin Plan Water Quality Objective
- MCL = Drinking Water Standards Maximum Contaminant Level
- NA = Not Available
- ND = Non-detect

(1) Hardness = 47 mg/L as CaCO₃
## ATTACHMENT H – CALCULATION OF WQBELS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>HH</th>
<th>CMC</th>
<th>CCC</th>
<th>HH</th>
<th>CMC</th>
<th>CCC</th>
<th>ECA \text{ Multiplyer}</th>
<th>AMEL \text{ Multiplyer}</th>
<th>MDEL \text{ Multiplyer}</th>
<th>ECA \text{ Multiplyer} \text{ Acute}</th>
<th>ECA \text{ Multiplyer} \text{ Chronic}</th>
<th>LTA \text{ Acute}</th>
<th>LTA \text{ Chronic}</th>
<th>AMEL \text{ Multiplyer} \text{ Acute}</th>
<th>AMEL \text{ Multiplyer} \text{ Chronic}</th>
<th>MDEL \text{ Multiplyer} \text{ Acute}</th>
<th>MDEL \text{ Multiplyer} \text{ Chronic}</th>
<th>Final Effluent Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, total</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>22.31</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>47.23</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>22.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT I – EFFLUENT AND RECEIVING WATER CHARACTERIZATION STUDY

I. Background. Sections 2.4.1 through 2.4.4 of the SIP provide minimum standards for analyses and reporting. (Copies of the SIP may be obtained from the State Water Resources Control Board, or downloaded from http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/iswp/index.html). To implement the SIP, effluent and receiving water data are needed for all priority pollutants. Effluent and receiving water pH and hardness are required to evaluate the toxicity of certain priority pollutants (such as heavy metals) where the toxicity of the constituents varies with pH and/or hardness. Section 3 of the SIP prescribes mandatory monitoring of dioxin congeners. In addition to specific requirements of the SIP, the Regional Water Board is requiring the following monitoring:

A. Drinking water constituents. Constituents for which drinking water Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) have been prescribed in the California Code of Regulation are included in the Water Quality Control Plan, Fourth Edition, for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins (Basin Plan). The Basin Plan defines virtually all surface waters within the Central Valley Region as having existing or potential beneficial uses for municipal and domestic supply. The Basin Plan further requires that, at a minimum, water designated for use as domestic or municipal supply shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in excess of the MCLs contained in the California Code of Regulations.

B. Effluent and receiving water temperature. This is both a concern for application of certain temperature-sensitive constituents, such as fluoride, and for compliance with the Basin Plan’s thermal discharge requirements.

C. Effluent and receiving water hardness and pH. These are necessary because several of the CTR constituents are hardness and pH dependent.

D. Dioxin and furan sampling. Section 3 of the SIP has specific requirements for the collection of samples for analysis of dioxin and furan congeners.

II. Monitoring Requirements.

A. Bi-annual priority pollutant samples shall be collected from the effluent and upstream receiving water (EFF-001 and RSW-001) and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I-1. Each individual monitoring event shall provide representative sample results for the effluent and upstream receiving water.

B. Concurrent Sampling. Effluent and receiving water sampling shall be performed at approximately the same time, on the same date.

C. Sample type. All effluent samples shall be taken as 24-hour flow proportioned composite samples. All receiving water samples shall be taken as grab samples.

D. Modifications. Check with your Regional Water Board staff contact prior to sampling. Modifications to this study may be made.
## Table I-1. Priority Pollutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTR #</th>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>CAS Number</th>
<th>Controlling Water Quality Criterion for Surface Waters</th>
<th>Criterion Concentration ug/L or noted</th>
<th>Criterion Quantitation Limit ug/L or noted</th>
<th>Suggested Test Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Basis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOLATILE ORGANICS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>75343</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>75354</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>71556</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>79005</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>79345</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>95501</td>
<td>Taste &amp; Odor</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>107062</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cis,1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>156592</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>78875</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>120821</td>
<td>Public Health Goal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>541731</td>
<td>Taste &amp; Odor</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>542756</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>106467</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>107028</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>107131</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>71432</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>75252</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>74839</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride</td>
<td>56235</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene (mono chlorobenzene)</td>
<td>108907</td>
<td>Taste &amp; Odor</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>75003</td>
<td>Taste &amp; Odor</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether</td>
<td>110758</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>122 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>67663</td>
<td>OEHHA Cancer Risk</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>74873</td>
<td>USEPA Health Advisory</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>124481</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Dichlorobromomethane</td>
<td>75274</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
<td>75092</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>100414</td>
<td>Taste &amp; Odor</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Hexachlorobenzene</td>
<td>118741</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>87683</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Hexachloroethane</td>
<td>67721</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>91203</td>
<td>USEPA IRIS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>127184</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>108883</td>
<td>Taste &amp; Odor</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR #</td>
<td>Constituent</td>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td>Controlling Water Quality Criterion for Surface Waters</td>
<td>Criterion Concentration ug/L or noted(^1)</td>
<td>Criterion Quantitation Limit ug/L or noted</td>
<td>Suggested Test Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>156605</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>79016</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
<td>75014</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)</td>
<td>1634044</td>
<td>Secondary MCL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trichlorofluromethane</td>
<td>75694</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroethane</td>
<td>76131</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>100425</td>
<td>Taste &amp; Odor</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xylenes</td>
<td>1330207</td>
<td>Taste &amp; Odor</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS</strong></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,2-Benzanthracene</td>
<td>56553</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>1,2-Diphenylhydrazine</td>
<td>122667</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>2-Chlorophenol</td>
<td>95578</td>
<td>Taste and Odor</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>2,4-Dichlorophenol</td>
<td>120832</td>
<td>Taste and Odor</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>2,4-Dimethylphenol</td>
<td>105679</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>2,4-Dinitrophenol</td>
<td>51285</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>2,4-Dinitrotoluene</td>
<td>121142</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>2,4,6-Trichlorophenol</td>
<td>88062</td>
<td>Taste and Odor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>2,6-Dinitrotoluene</td>
<td>606202</td>
<td>USEPA IRIS</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2-Nitrophenol</td>
<td>25154557</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>150 (5)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>2-Chloronaphthalene</td>
<td>91587</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>1600 (6)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>3,3’-Dichlorobenzidine</td>
<td>91941</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>3,4-Benzofluoranthene</td>
<td>205992</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>4-Chloro-3-methylphenol</td>
<td>59507</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol</td>
<td>534521</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>4-Nitrophenol</td>
<td>100027</td>
<td>USEPA Health Advisory</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>4-Bromophenol phenyl ether</td>
<td>101553</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>4-Chlorophenol phenyl ether</td>
<td>7005723</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>122 (3)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Acenaphthene</td>
<td>83329</td>
<td>Taste and Odor</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Acenaphthylene</td>
<td>208968</td>
<td>No Criteria Available</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Anthracene</td>
<td>120127</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>9,600</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Benzidine</td>
<td>92875</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.00012</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Benzo(a)pyrene (3,4-Benzopyrene)</td>
<td>50328</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Benzo(g,h,i)perylene</td>
<td>191242</td>
<td>No Criteria Available</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Benzo(k)fluoranthene</td>
<td>207089</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane</td>
<td>111911</td>
<td>No Criteria Available</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether</td>
<td>111444</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR #</td>
<td>Constituent</td>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td>Controlling Water Quality Criterion for Surface Waters</td>
<td>Criterion Concentration ug/L or noted</td>
<td>Criterion Quantitation Limit ug/L or noted</td>
<td>Suggested Test Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether</td>
<td>39638329</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>122 (3)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate</td>
<td>117817</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Butyl benzyl phthalate</td>
<td>85687</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Chrysene</td>
<td>218019</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Di-n-butylphthalate</td>
<td>84742</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Di-n-octylphthalate</td>
<td>117840</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Dibenzo(a,h)-anthracene</td>
<td>53703</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Diethyl phthalate</td>
<td>84662</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Dimethyl phthalate</td>
<td>131113</td>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Fluoranthene</td>
<td>206440</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Fluorene</td>
<td>86737</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Hexachlorocyclopentadiene</td>
<td>77474</td>
<td>Taste and Odor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene</td>
<td>193395</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Isophorone</td>
<td>78591</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>N-Nitrosodiphenylamine</td>
<td>86306</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>N-Nitrosodimethylamine</td>
<td>62759</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.00069</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine</td>
<td>621647</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
<td>98953</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Pentachlorophenol</td>
<td>87865</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Phenanthrene</td>
<td>85018</td>
<td>No Criteria Available</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>108952</td>
<td>Taste and Odor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>129000</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INORGANICS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>CAS Number</th>
<th>Controlling Water Quality Criterion for Surface Waters</th>
<th>Criterion Concentration ug/L or noted</th>
<th>Criterion Quantitation Limit ug/L or noted</th>
<th>Suggested Test Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>7429905</td>
<td>Ambient Water Quality</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>EPA 6020/200.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>7440360</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 6020/200.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>7440382</td>
<td>Ambient Water Quality</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 1632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos</td>
<td>1332214</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule/Primary MCL</td>
<td>7 MFL</td>
<td>0.2 MFL &gt;10um</td>
<td>EPA/600/R-93/116(PCM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>7440393</td>
<td>Basin Plan Objective</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>EPA 6020/200.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>7440417</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 6020/200.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>7440439</td>
<td>Public Health Goal</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>EPA 1638/200.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>7440473</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPA 6020/200.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>7440508</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 7199/1636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>57125</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 9012A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride</td>
<td>7782414</td>
<td>Public Health Goal</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>EPA 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>7439896</td>
<td>Secondary MCL</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>EPA 6020/200.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7439921</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>0.92 (2)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 1638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR #</td>
<td>Constituent</td>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td>Controlling Water Quality Criterion for Surface Waters</td>
<td>Criterion Basis</td>
<td>Criterion Concentration ug/L or noted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>7439976</td>
<td>TMDL Development</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0002 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>7439965</td>
<td>Secondary MCL/ Basin Plan Objective</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>7440020</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>7782492</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>7440224</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.71 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>7440280</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tributyltin</td>
<td>688733</td>
<td>Ambient Water Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>7440666</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule/ Basin Plan Objective</td>
<td></td>
<td>54/ 16 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PESTICIDES - PCBs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTR #</th>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>CAS Number</th>
<th>Controlling Water Quality Criterion for Surface Waters</th>
<th>Criterion Basis</th>
<th>Criterion Concentration ug/L or noted</th>
<th>Criterion Quantitation Limit ug/L or noted</th>
<th>Suggested Test Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>4,4’-DDD</td>
<td>72548</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00083</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>4,4’-DDE</td>
<td>72559</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00059</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>4,4’-DDT</td>
<td>50293</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00059</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>alpha-Endosulfan</td>
<td>959988</td>
<td>National Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.056 (9)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>alpha-Hexachlorocyclohexane (BHC)</td>
<td>319846</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alachlor</td>
<td>15972608</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Aldrin</td>
<td>309002</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>beta-Endosulfan</td>
<td>33213659</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.056 (9)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>beta-Hexachlorocyclohexane</td>
<td>319857</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Chlordane</td>
<td>57749</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>delta-Hexachlorocyclohexane</td>
<td>319868</td>
<td>No Criteria Available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Dieldrin</td>
<td>60571</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Endosulfan sulfate</td>
<td>1031078</td>
<td>Ambient Water Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Endrin</td>
<td>72208</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Endrin Aldehyde</td>
<td>7421934</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Heptachlor</td>
<td>76448</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00021</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Heptachlor Epoxide</td>
<td>1024573</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Lindane (gamma-Hexachlorocyclohexane)</td>
<td>58899</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>PCB-1016</td>
<td>12674112</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00017 (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>PCB-1221</td>
<td>11104282</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00017 (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>PCB-1232</td>
<td>11141165</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00017 (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>PCB-1242</td>
<td>53469219</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00017 (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>PCB-1248</td>
<td>12672296</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00017 (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>PCB-1254</td>
<td>11097691</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00017 (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>PCB-1260</td>
<td>11096825</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00017 (10)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Toxaphene</td>
<td>8001352</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atrazine</td>
<td>1912249</td>
<td>Public Health Goal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8141A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR #</td>
<td>Constituent</td>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td>Basis</td>
<td>Criterion Concentration ug/L or noted</td>
<td>Criterion Quantitation Limit ug/L or noted</td>
<td>Suggested Test Methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bentazon</td>
<td>25057890</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPA 643/515.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbofuran</td>
<td>1563662</td>
<td>CDFG Hazard Assess.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8318</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D</td>
<td></td>
<td>94757</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8151A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dalapon</td>
<td>75990</td>
<td>Ambient Water Quality</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8151A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)</td>
<td>96128</td>
<td>Public Health Goal</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>EPA 8260B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate</td>
<td>103231</td>
<td>USEPA IRIS</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>EPA 8270C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diquat</td>
<td>85007</td>
<td>Ambient Water Quality</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>EPA 8340/549.1/HPLC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endothal</td>
<td>145733</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>EPA 548.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethylene Dibromide</td>
<td>106934</td>
<td>OEHHA Cancer Risk</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>EPA 8260B/504</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glyphosate</td>
<td>1071836</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>HPLC/EPA 547</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methoxychlor</td>
<td>72435</td>
<td>Public Health Goal</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPA 8081A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molinate (Ordram)</td>
<td>2212671</td>
<td>CDFG Hazard Assess.</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPA 634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxamyl</td>
<td>23135220</td>
<td>Public Health Goal</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>EPA 8318/632</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Picloram</td>
<td>1918021</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8151A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simazine (Princep)</td>
<td>122349</td>
<td>USEPA IRIS</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8141A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thiobencarb</td>
<td>28249776</td>
<td>Basin Plan Objective/Secondary MCL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HPLC/EPA 639</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)</td>
<td>1746016</td>
<td>Calif. Toxics Rule</td>
<td>1.30E-08</td>
<td>5.00E-06</td>
<td>EPA 8290 (HRGC) MS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,4,5-TP (Silvex)</td>
<td>93765</td>
<td>Ambient Water Quality</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8151A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diazinon</td>
<td>333415</td>
<td>CDFG Hazard Assess.</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>EPA 8141A/GCMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>2921882</td>
<td>CDFG Hazard Assess.</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 8141A/GCMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OTHER CONSTITUENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ammonia (as N)</td>
<td>7664417</td>
<td>Ambient Water Quality</td>
<td>1500 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 350.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>16887006</td>
<td>Agricultural Use</td>
<td>106,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 300.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 CFS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardness (as CaCO₃)</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 130.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foaming Agents (MBAS)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Secondary MCL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SM5540C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrate (as N)</td>
<td>14797558</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>EPA 300.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrite (as N)</td>
<td>14797650</td>
<td>Primary MCL</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>EPA 300.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>Basin Plan Objective</td>
<td>6.5-8.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>EPA 150.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phosphorus, Total (as P)</td>
<td>7723140</td>
<td>USEPA IRIS</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 365.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specific conductance (EC)</td>
<td>700 umhos/cm</td>
<td>Agricultural Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 120.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary MCL</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>EPA 300.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfide (as S)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Taste and Odor</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 376.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfite (as SO₃)</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Criteria Available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SM4500-SO3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Controlling Water Quality Criterion for Surface Waters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTR #</th>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>CAS Number</th>
<th>Basis</th>
<th>Criterion Concentration ug/L or noted</th>
<th>Criterion Quantitation Limit ug/L or noted</th>
<th>Suggested Test Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td>Basin Plan Objective</td>
<td></td>
<td>°F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural Use</td>
<td></td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>EPA 160.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOOTNOTES:

1. The Criterion Concentrations serve only as a point of reference for the selection of the appropriate analytical method. They do not indicate a regulatory decision that the cited concentration is either necessary or sufficient for full protection of beneficial uses. Available technology may require that effluent limits be set lower than these values.

2. Freshwater aquatic life criteria for metals are expressed as a function of total hardness (mg/L) in the water body. Values displayed correspond to a total hardness of 40 mg/L.

3. For halothanes.

4. Freshwater aquatic life criteria for ammonia are expressed as a function of pH and temperature of the water body. Values displayed correspond to pH 8.0 and temperature of 22°C.

5. For nitrophenols.

6. For chlorinated naphthalenes.

7. For phthalate esters.


10. Criteria for sum of all PCBs.

11. Mercury monitoring shall utilize “ultra-clean” sampling and analytical methods. These methods include:

   Method 1669: Sampling Ambient Water for Trace Metals at USEPA Water Quality Criteria Levels, USEPA; and

   Method 1631: Mercury in Water by Oxidation, Purge and Trap, and Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence, USEPA

III. Additional Study Requirements

A. Laboratory Requirements. The laboratory analyzing the monitoring samples shall be certified by the Department of Health Services in accordance with the provisions of Water Code 13176 and must include quality assurance/quality control data with their reports (ELAP certified).

B. Criterion Quantitation Limit (CQL). The criterion quantitation limits will be equal to or lower than the minimum levels (MLs) in Appendix 4 of the SIP or the detection limits for purposes of reporting (DLRs) below the controlling water quality criterion concentrations summarized in Table I-1 of this Order. In cases where the controlling water quality criteria concentrations are below the detection limits of all approved analytical methods, the best available procedure will be utilized that meets the lowest of the MLs and DLR. Table I-1 contains suggested analytical procedures. The Discharger is not required to use these specific procedures as long as the procedure selected achieves the desired minimum detection level.

C. Method Detection Limit (MDL). The method detection limit for the laboratory shall be determined by the procedure found in 40 CFR Part 136, Appendix B (revised as of May 14, 1999).
D. Reporting Limit (RL). The reporting limit for the laboratory. This is the lowest quantifiable concentration that the laboratory can determine. Ideally, the RL should be equal to or lower than the CQL to meet the purposes of this monitoring.

E. Reporting Protocols. The results of analytical determinations for the presence of chemical constituents in a sample shall use the following reporting protocols:

1. Sample results greater than or equal to the reported RL shall be reported as measured by the laboratory (i.e., the measured chemical concentration in the sample).

2. Sample results less than the reported RL, but greater than or equal to the laboratory’s MDL, shall be reported as “Detected, but Not Quantified,” or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.

3. For the purposes of data collection, the laboratory shall write the estimated chemical concentration next to DNQ as well as the words “Estimated Concentration” (may shortened to “Est. Conc.”). The laboratory, if such information is available, may include numerical estimates of the data quantity for the reported result. Numerical estimates of data quality may be percent accuracy (+ or – a percentage of the reported value), numerical ranges (low and high), or any other means considered appropriate by the laboratory.

4. Sample results that are less than the laboratory’s MDL shall be reported as “Not Detected” or ND.
F. **Data Format.** The monitoring report shall contain the following information for each pollutant:

1. The name of the constituent.
2. Sampling location.
3. The date the sample was collected.
4. The time the sample was collected.
5. The date the sample was analyzed. For organic analyses, the extraction data will also be indicated to assure that hold times are not exceeded for prepared samples.
6. The analytical method utilized.
7. The measured or estimated concentration.
8. The required Criterion Quantitation Limit (CQL).
10. The laboratory’s lowest reporting limit (RL).
11. Any additional comments.