ORDER R5-2015-0071  
NPDES NO. CA0003981

WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS  
FOR THE  
SIERRA PACIFIC INDUSTRIES  
BURNNEY DIVISION  
SHASTA COUNTY

The following Discharger is subject to waste discharge requirements (WDR’s) set forth in this Order:

Table 1. Discharger Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharger</th>
<th>Sierra Pacific Industries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Facility</td>
<td>Burney Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Address</td>
<td>36336 Highway 299 East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burney, CA 96013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shasta County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Discharge Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge Point</th>
<th>Effluent Description</th>
<th>Discharge Point Latitude (North)</th>
<th>Discharge Point Longitude (West)</th>
<th>Receiving Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-001</td>
<td>Industrial storm water (see Attachment A for definition)</td>
<td>40° 52' 31.82&quot;</td>
<td>121° 42' 17.95&quot;</td>
<td>Canyon Creek</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Administrative Information

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This Order was adopted on:</td>
<td>5 June 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This Order shall become effective on:</td>
<td>1 August 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This Order shall expire on:</td>
<td>31 July 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Discharger shall file a Report of Waste Discharge as an application for reissuance of WDR’s in accordance with title 23, California Code of Regulations, and an application for reissuance of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit no later than:</td>
<td>2 February 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region have classified this discharge as follows:</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I, Pamela Creedon, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that this Order with all attachments is a full, true, and correct copy of the Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, on 5 June 2015.

Original signed by  
PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer
I. FACILITY INFORMATION

Information describing the Sierra Pacific Industries, Burney Division (Facility) is summarized in Table 1 and in sections I and II of the Fact Sheet (Attachment F). Section I of the Fact Sheet also includes information regarding the Facility’s permit application.

II. FINDINGS

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region (hereinafter Central Valley Water Board), finds:

A. Legal Authorities. This Order serves as WDR’s pursuant to article 4, chapter 4, division 7 of the California Water Code (commencing with section 13260). This Order is also issued pursuant to section 402 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and implementing regulations adopted by the U.S. EPA and chapter 5.5, division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13370). It shall serve as an NPDES permit for point source discharges from this Facility to surface waters.

B. Background and Rationale for Requirements. The Central Valley Water Board developed the requirements in this Order based on information submitted as part of the application, through monitoring and reporting programs, and other available information. The Fact Sheet (Attachment F), which contains background information and rationale for the requirements in this Order, is hereby incorporated into and constitutes Findings for this Order. Attachments A through E and G are also incorporated into this Order.

C. Provisions and Requirements Implementing State Law. The provisions/requirements in subsections IV.B, IV.C, and V.B are included to implement state law only. These provisions/requirements are not required or authorized under the federal CWA; consequently, violations of these provisions/requirements are not subject to the enforcement remedies that are available for NPDES violations.

D. Monitoring and Reporting. 40 C.F.R. section 122.48 requires that all NPDES permits specify requirements for recording and reporting monitoring results. Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 authorize the Central Valley Water Board to require technical and monitoring reports. The Monitoring and Reporting Program establishes monitoring and reporting requirements to implement federal and State requirements. The Monitoring and Reporting Program is provided in Attachment E.

The technical and monitoring reports in this Order are required in accordance with Water Code section 13267, which states the following in subsection (b)(1), “In conducting an investigation specified in subdivision (a), the regional board may require that any person who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged discharging, or who proposes to discharge waste within its region, or any citizen or domiciliary, or political agency or entity of this state who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge, waste outside of its region could affect the quality of waters within its region shall furnish, under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the regional board requires. The burden, including costs, of these reports shall bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the report and the benefits to be obtained from the reports. In requiring those reports, the regional board shall provide the person with a written explanation with regard to the need for the reports, and shall identify the evidence that supports requiring that person to provide the reports.”

The Discharger owns and operates the Facility subject to this Order. The monitoring reports required by this Order are necessary to determine compliance with this Order. The need for the monitoring reports is discussed in the Fact Sheet.
E. Notification of Interested Parties. The Central Valley Water Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe WDR’s for the discharge and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations. Details of the notification are provided in the Fact Sheet.

F. Consideration of Public Comment. The Central Valley Water Board, in a public meeting, heard and considered all comments pertaining to the discharge. Details of the Public Hearing are provided in the Fact Sheet.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Order R5-2007-0145 is rescinded upon the effective date of this Order except for enforcement purposes, and, in order to meet the provisions contained in division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13000) and regulations adopted thereunder, and the provisions of the CWA and regulations and guidelines adopted thereunder, the Discharger shall comply with the requirements in this Order. This action in no way prevents the Central Valley Water Board from taking enforcement action for past violations of the previous Order.

III. DISCHARGE PROHIBITIONS

A. Discharge of wastewater from the Facility, as the Facility is specifically described in the Fact Sheet in section II.B, in a manner different from that described in this Order is prohibited.


C. Neither the discharge nor its treatment shall create a nuisance as defined in section 13050 of the Water Code.

D. The discharge of recycle water from log yard sprinkling, commingled recycle and storm water, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, boiler feedwater treatment system effluent, or any other waste of recognizable sawmill or cogeneration origin to surface waters is prohibited.

E. The discharge of storm water leachate from wood fuel stockpiles to surface waters or surface water drainage courses is prohibited. Best management practices (BMP’s) must be implemented to prevent such discharge.

F. The discharge of ash, bark, sawdust, wood, or any waste recognized as originating from cogeneration operations to surface waters or surface water drainage courses is prohibited.

G. Discharge of wastewater from the Facility retention ponds, fire pond, evaporation ponds, and substation pond off-site is prohibited except to a suitable treatment plant or for reclamation purposes specifically approved by the Executive Officer.

H. The discharge of debris (as defined in Attachment A) recognized as originating from the Facility to surface waters or surface water drainage courses is prohibited.

I. The discharge of process wastewater from barking, sawmill, and planing operations, as defined in 40 C.F.R. part 429, is prohibited.

IV. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS

A. Effluent Limitations – Discharge Point D-001

1. Final Effluent Limitations – Discharge Point D-001

   The Discharger shall maintain compliance with the following effluent limitations at Discharge Point D-001 for discharges of industrial storm water, as defined in Attachment A, with compliance measured at Monitoring Location EFF-001 as described in the Monitoring and Reporting Program, Attachment E:
a. The Discharger shall maintain compliance with the effluent limitations specified in Table 4:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
<td>Maximum Daily</td>
<td>Instantaneous Minimum</td>
<td>Instantaneous Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conventional Pollutants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>standard units</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Conventional Pollutants</strong></td>
<td>ml/L</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. **Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity.** Survival of aquatic organisms in 96-hour bioassays of undiluted waste shall be no less than:
   i. 70%, minimum for any one bioassay; and
   ii. 90%, median for any three consecutive bioassays.

2. **Interim Effluent Limitations – Not Applicable**

B. **Land Discharge Specifications – Not Applicable**

C. **Recycling Specifications – Not Applicable**

V. **RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS**

A. **Surface Water Limitations**

The discharge shall not cause the following in Canyon Creek:

1. **Bacteria.** The fecal coliform concentration, based on a minimum of not less than five samples for any 30-day period, to exceed a geometric mean of 200 MPN/100 mL, nor more than 10 percent of the total number of fecal coliform samples taken during any 30-day period to exceed 400 MPN/100 mL.

2. **Biostimulatory Substances.** Water to contain biostimulatory substances which promote aquatic growths in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

3. **Chemical Constituents.** Chemical constituents to be present in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.

4. **Color.** Discoloration that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.

5. **Dissolved Oxygen:**
   a. The monthly median of the mean daily dissolved oxygen concentration to fall below 85 percent of saturation in the main water mass;
   b. The 95 percentile dissolved oxygen concentration to fall below 75 percent of saturation; nor
   c. The dissolved oxygen concentration to be reduced below 7.0 mg/L at any time.

6. **Floating Material.** Floating material to be present in amounts that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

7. **Oil and Grease.** Oils, greases, waxes, or other materials to be present in concentrations that cause nuisance, result in a visible film or coating on the surface of the water or on objects in the water, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses.
8. **pH.** The pH to be depressed below 6.5 nor raised above 8.5.

9. **Pesticides:**
   a. Pesticides to be present, individually or in combination, in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses;
   b. Pesticides to be present in bottom sediments or aquatic life in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses;
   c. Total identifiable persistent chlorinated hydrocarbon pesticides to be present in the water column at concentrations detectable within the accuracy of analytical methods approved by U.S. EPA or the Executive Officer;
   d. Pesticide concentrations to exceed those allowable by applicable antidegradation policies (see State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16 and 40 C.F.R. 131.12.);
   e. Pesticide concentrations to exceed the lowest levels technically and economically achievable;
   f. Pesticides to be present in concentration in excess of the maximum contaminant levels (MCL’s) set forth in CCR, Title 22, division 4, chapter 15; nor
   g. Thiobencarb to be present in excess of 1.0 µg/L.

10. **Radioactivity:**
   a. Radionuclides to be present in concentrations that are harmful to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life nor that result in the accumulation of radionuclides in the food web to an extent that presents a hazard to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
   b. Radionuclides to be present in excess of the MCL’s specified in Table 64442 of section 64442 and Table 64443 of section 64443 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations.

11. **Suspended Sediments.** The suspended sediment load and suspended sediment discharge rate of surface waters to be altered in such a manner as to cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

12. **Settleable Substances.** Substances to be present in concentrations that result in the deposition of material that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.

13. **Suspended Material.** Suspended material to be present in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

14. **Taste and Odors.** Taste- or odor-producing substances to be present in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to fish flesh or other edible products of aquatic origin, or that cause nuisance, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses.

15. **Temperature.** The natural temperature to be increased by more than 5°F. Compliance to be determined based on the difference in temperature at Monitoring Locations RSW-001 and RSW-002.

16. **Toxicity.** Toxic substances to be present, individually or in combination, in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.

17. **Turbidity:**
   a. Shall not exceed 2 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU) where natural turbidity is less than 1 NTU;
b. Shall not increase more than 1 NTU where natural turbidity is between 1 and 5 NTUs;

c. Shall not increase more than 20 percent where natural turbidity is between 5 and 50 NTUs;

d. Shall not increase more than 10 NTU where natural turbidity is between 50 and 100 NTUs; nor

e. Shall not increase more than 10 percent where natural turbidity is greater than 100 NTUs.

B. Groundwater Limitations

1. Release of waste constituents from any portion of the Facility shall not cause groundwater to:

   a. Contain waste constituents in concentrations greater than Basin Plan water quality objectives or natural background water quality, whichever is greater.

   b. Exhibit a pH of less than 6.5 or greater than 8.4 units.

   c. Impart taste, odor, chemical constituents, toxicity, or color that creates nuisance or impairs any beneficial use.

   d. For constituents identified in Title 22, contain constituents in concentrations that exceed either the Primary or Secondary MDLs established therein.

VI. PROVISIONS

A. Standard Provisions

1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions included in Attachment D.

2. The Discharger shall comply with the following provisions. In the event that there is any conflict, duplication, or overlap between provisions specified by this Order, the more stringent provision shall apply:

   a. If the Discharger’s wastewater treatment plant is publicly owned or subject to regulation by California Public Utilities Commission, it shall be supervised and operated by persons possessing certificates of appropriate grade according to Title 23, CCR, division 3, chapter 26.

   b. After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this Order may be terminated or modified for cause, including, but not limited to:

      i. violation of any term or condition contained in this Order;

      ii. obtaining this Order by misrepresentation or by failing to disclose fully all relevant facts;

      iii. a change in any condition that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; and

      iv. a material change in the character, location, or volume of discharge.

The causes for modification include:

   i. New regulations. New regulations have been promulgated under section 405(d) of the CWA, or the standards or regulations on which the permit was based have been changed by promulgation of amended standards or regulations or by judicial decision after the permit was issued.
ii. **Land application plans.** When required by a permit condition to incorporate a land application plan for beneficial reuse of sewage sludge, to revise an existing land application plan, or to add a land application plan.

iii. **Change in sludge use or disposal practice.** Under 40 C.F.R. section 122.62(a)(1), a change in the Discharger’s sludge use or disposal practice is a cause for modification of the permit. It is cause for revocation and reissuance if the Discharger requests or agrees.

The Central Valley Water Board may review and revise this Order at any time upon application of any affected person or the Central Valley Water Board's own motion.

c. If a toxic effluent standard or prohibition (including any scheduled compliance specified in such effluent standard or prohibition) is established under section 307(a) of the CWA, or amendments thereto, for a toxic pollutant that is present in the discharge authorized herein, and such standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitation upon such pollutant in this Order, the Central Valley Water Board will revise or modify this Order in accordance with such toxic effluent standard or prohibition.

The Discharger shall comply with effluent standards and prohibitions within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if this Order has not yet been modified.

d. This Order shall be modified, or alternately revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a)(2) of the CWA, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:

i. Contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the Order; or

ii. Controls any pollutant limited in the Order.

The Order, as modified or reissued under this paragraph, shall also contain any other requirements of the CWA then applicable.

e. The provisions of this Order are severable. If any provision of this Order is found invalid, the remainder of this Order shall not be affected.

f. The Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to minimize any adverse effects to waters of the State or users of those waters resulting from any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this Order. Reasonable steps shall include such accelerated or additional monitoring as necessary to determine the nature and impact of the non-complying discharge or sludge use or disposal.

g. The Discharger shall ensure compliance with any existing or future pretreatment standard promulgated by U.S. EPA under section 307 of the CWA, or amendment thereto, for any discharge to the municipal system.

h. A copy of this Order shall be maintained at the discharge facility and be available at all times to operating personnel. Key operating personnel shall be familiar with its content.

i. **Safeguard to electric power failure:**

   i. The Discharger shall provide safeguards to assure that, should there be reduction, loss, or failure of electric power, the discharge shall comply with the terms and conditions of this Order.
ii. Upon written request by the Central Valley Water Board, the Discharger shall submit a written description of safeguards. Such safeguards may include alternate power sources, standby generators, retention capacity, operating procedures, or other means. A description of the safeguards provided shall include an analysis of the frequency, duration, and impact of power failures experienced over the past 5 years on effluent quality and on the capability of the Discharger to comply with the terms and conditions of the Order. The adequacy of the safeguards is subject to the approval of the Central Valley Water Board.

iii. Should the treatment works not include safeguards against reduction, loss, or failure of electric power, or should the Central Valley Water Board not approve the existing safeguards, the Discharger shall, within 90 days of having been advised in writing by the Central Valley Water Board that the existing safeguards are inadequate, provide to the Central Valley Water Board and U.S. EPA a schedule of compliance for providing safeguards such that in the event of reduction, loss, or failure of electric power, the Discharger shall comply with the terms and conditions of this Order. The schedule of compliance shall, upon approval of the Central Valley Water Board, become a condition of this Order.

j. The Discharger, upon written request of the Central Valley Water Board, shall file with the Board a technical report on its preventive (failsafe) and contingency (cleanup) plans for controlling accidental discharges, and for minimizing the effect of such events. This report may be combined with that required under the Central Valley Water Board Standard Provision contained in section VI.A.2.i of this Order. The technical report shall:

i. Identify the possible sources of spills, leaks, untreated waste by-pass, and contaminated drainage. Loading and storage areas, power outage, waste treatment unit outage, and failure of process equipment, tanks and pipes should be considered.

ii. Evaluate the effectiveness of present facilities and procedures and state when they became operational.

iii. Predict the effectiveness of the proposed facilities and procedures and provide an implementation schedule containing interim and final dates when they will be constructed, implemented, or operational.

The Central Valley Water Board, after review of the technical report, may establish conditions which it deems necessary to control accidental discharges and to minimize the effects of such events. Such conditions shall be incorporated as part of this Order, upon notice to the Discharger.

k. A publicly owned treatment works whose waste flow has been increasing, or is projected to increase, shall estimate when flows will reach hydraulic and treatment capacities of its treatment and disposal facilities. The projections shall be made in January, based on the last 3 years' average dry weather flows, peak wet weather flows and total annual flows, as appropriate. When any projection shows that capacity of any part of the facilities may be exceeded in 4 years, the Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board by 31 January. A copy of the notification shall be sent to appropriate local elected officials, local permitting agencies and the press. Within 120 days of the notification, the Discharger shall submit a technical report showing how it will prevent flow volumes from exceeding capacity or how it
will increase capacity to handle the larger flows. The Central Valley Water Board may extend the time for submitting the report.

l. The Discharger shall submit technical reports as directed by the Executive Officer. All technical reports required herein that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design, or other work requiring interpretation and proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code, sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1. To demonstrate compliance with Title 16, CCR, sections 415 and 3065, all technical reports must contain a statement of the qualifications of the responsible registered professional(s). As required by these laws, completed technical reports must bear the signature(s) and seal(s) of the registered professional(s) in a manner such that all work can be clearly attributed to the professional responsible for the work.

m. The Central Valley Water Board is authorized to enforce the terms of this permit under several provisions of the Water Code, including, but not limited to, sections 13385, 13386, and 13387.

n. For publicly owned treatment works, prior to making any change in the point of discharge, place of use, or purpose of use of treated wastewater that results in a permanent decrease of flow in any portion of a watercourse, the Discharger must file a petition with the State Water Board, Division of Water Rights, and receive approval for such a change. (Water Code section 1211).

o. In the event of any change in control or ownership of land or waste discharge facilities presently owned or controlled by the Discharger, the Discharger shall notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, a copy of which shall be immediately forwarded to the Central Valley Water Board. To assume operation under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity's full legal name, the state of incorporation if a corporation, address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Central Valley Water Board and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory and certification requirements in the federal Standard Provisions (Attachment D, section V.B) and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the Water Code. Transfer shall be approved or disapproved in writing by the Executive Officer.

p. Failure to comply with provisions or requirements of this Order, or violation of other applicable laws or regulations governing discharges from this facility, may subject the Discharger to administrative or civil liabilities, criminal penalties, and/or other enforcement remedies to ensure compliance. Additionally, certain violations may subject the Discharger to civil or criminal enforcement from appropriate local, state, or federal law enforcement entities.

q. In the event the Discharger does not comply or will be unable to comply for any reason, with any prohibition, effluent limitation, or receiving water limitation of this Order, the Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board by telephone (916) 464-3291 within 24 hours of having knowledge of such noncompliance, and shall confirm this notification in writing within five days, unless the Central Valley Water Board waives confirmation. The written notification shall state the nature,
time, duration, and cause of noncompliance, and shall describe the measures being taken to remedy the current noncompliance and prevent recurrence including, where applicable, a schedule of implementation. Other noncompliance requires written notification as above at the time of the normal monitoring report.

B. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Requirements

The Discharger shall comply with the MRP, and future revisions thereto, in Attachment E.

C. Special Provisions

1. Reopener Provisions

a. Conditions that necessitate a major modification of a permit are described in 40 C.F.R. section 122.62, including, but not limited to:

i. If new or amended applicable water quality standards are promulgated or approved pursuant to section 303 of the CWA, or amendments thereto, this permit may be reopened and modified in accordance with the new or amended standards.

ii. When new information, that was not available at the time of permit issuance, would have justified different permit conditions at the time of issuance.

b. This Order may be reopened for modification, or revocation and reissuance, as a result of the detection of a reportable priority pollutant generated by special conditions included in this Order. These special conditions may be, but are not limited to, fish tissue sampling, whole effluent toxicity, monitoring requirements on internal waste stream(s), and monitoring for surrogate parameters. Additional requirements may be included in this Order as a result of the special condition monitoring data.

c. Mercury. If mercury is found to be causing toxicity based on acute or chronic toxicity test results, or if a TMDL program is adopted, this Order shall be reopened and an effluent limitation imposed. If the Central Valley Water Board determines that a mercury offset program is feasible for Dischargers subject to a NPDES permit, then this Order may be reopened to reevaluate the need for a mercury offset program for the Discharger.

d. Whole Effluent Toxicity. As a result of a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE), this Order may be reopened to include a numeric chronic toxicity limitation, a new acute toxicity limitation, and/or a limitation for a specific toxicant identified in the TRE. Additionally, if the State Water Board revises the SIP’s toxicity control provisions that would require the establishment of numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitations, this Order may be reopened to include a numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitation based on the new provisions.

e. Water Effects Ratios (WER) and Metal Translators. A default WER of 1.0 has been used in this Order for calculating criteria for applicable inorganic constituents. In addition, default dissolved-to-total metal translators have been used to convert water quality objectives from dissolved to total recoverable. If the Discharger performs studies to determine site-specific WERs and/or site-specific dissolved-to-total metal translators, this Order may be reopened to modify the effluent limitations for the applicable inorganic constituents.

f. Log Yard Flushing Study. This Order may be reopened for modification, or revocation and reissuance, as a result of the findings of the Log Yard Flushing Study.
g. **Antidegradation.** Once the results of groundwater monitoring and the Antidegradation Analysis Update required by this Order are submitted, this Order may be reopened to add or modify findings, limits, or other conditions as appropriate.

h. **Title 27 Exemption.** Once the results of groundwater monitoring and the Title 27 Exemption Analysis Update required by this Order are submitted, this Order may be reopened to add or modify findings, limits, or other conditions as appropriate.

2. **Special Studies, Technical Reports and Additional Monitoring Requirements**

   a. **Toxicity Evaluation Requirements.** For compliance with the Basin Plan's narrative toxicity objective, this Order requires the Discharger to conduct chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) testing, as specified in MRP section V. Furthermore, this Provision requires the Discharger to investigate the causes of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity. If the discharge exceeds the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger specified in section IV.C.2.a.ii, the Discharger is required to either commence accelerated monitoring or a Toxicity Evaluation Study. If the discharge exceeds the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger during the accelerated monitoring established in this Provision, the Discharger is required to initiate a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) in accordance with an approved TRE Work Plan and take actions to mitigate the impact of the discharge and prevent recurrence of toxicity. A TRE is a site-specific study conducted in a stepwise process to identify the source(s) of toxicity and the effective control measures for effluent toxicity. TRE’s are designed to identify the causative agents and sources of whole effluent toxicity, evaluate the effectiveness of the toxicity control options, and confirm the reduction in effluent toxicity. This Provision includes procedures for conducting accelerated chronic toxicity monitoring and TRE initiation, or for conducting a Toxicity Evaluation Study.

   i. **Accelerated Monitoring and TRE or Toxicity Evaluation Study Initiation.** When the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is exceeded during regular chronic toxicity monitoring, and the testing meets all test acceptability criteria, the Discharger shall either initiate accelerated monitoring as required in the Accelerated Monitoring Specifications in section IV.C.2.a.iii or conduct a Toxicity Evaluation Study in accordance with section IV.C.2.a.iv. If the Discharger pursues conducting accelerated monitoring, then the Discharger shall initiate a TRE to address effluent toxicity if any WET testing results exceed the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger during accelerated monitoring.

   ii. **Numeric Toxicity Monitoring Trigger.** The numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is >1 TUC (where TUC = 100/NOEC). The monitoring trigger is not an effluent limitation; it is the toxicity threshold at which the Discharger is required to begin accelerated monitoring, and initiate a TRE if required, or conduct a Toxicity Evaluation Study.

   iii. **Accelerated Monitoring Specifications.** If the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is exceeded during regular chronic toxicity testing, the Discharger shall initiate accelerated monitoring within 14-days of notification by the laboratory of the exceedance or submit a Toxicity Evaluation Study Workplan. Accelerated monitoring shall consist of four chronic toxicity tests conducted once every two weeks using the species that exhibited toxicity. The following protocol shall be used for accelerated monitoring and TRE initiation:
(a) If the results of four consecutive accelerated monitoring tests do not exceed the monitoring trigger, the Discharger may cease accelerated monitoring and resume regular chronic toxicity monitoring. However, notwithstanding the accelerated monitoring results, if there is adequate evidence of a pattern of effluent toxicity, the Executive Officer may require that the Discharger initiate a TRE.

(b) If the source(s) of the toxicity is easily identified (e.g., temporary plant upset), the Discharger shall make necessary corrections to the facility and shall continue accelerated monitoring until four consecutive accelerated tests do not exceed the monitoring trigger. Upon confirmation that the effluent toxicity has been removed, the Discharger may cease accelerated monitoring and resume regular chronic toxicity monitoring.

(c) If the result of any accelerated toxicity test exceeds the monitoring trigger, the Discharger shall cease accelerated monitoring and begin a TRE or a Toxicity Evaluation Study to investigate the cause(s) of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity. Within thirty (30) days of notification by the laboratory of any test result exceeding the monitoring trigger during accelerated monitoring, the Discharger shall submit a TRE Action Plan or a Toxicity Evaluation Study Workplan to the Central Valley Water Board including, at minimum:

(1) Specific actions the Discharger will take to investigate and identify the cause(s) of toxicity, including a TRE WET monitoring schedule;

(2) Specific actions the Discharger will take to mitigate the impact of the discharge and prevent the recurrence of toxicity; and

(3) A schedule for these actions.

The Discharger has submitted a TRE Workplan; therefore, a new TRE Workplan is not required as part of this Order.

iv. Toxicity Evaluation Study. In lieu of conducting accelerated monitoring and a TRE, the Discharger may choose to perform a site-specific Toxicity Evaluation Study to identify and eliminate chronic toxicity in discharges from the Facility. The Discharger may perform the Toxicity Evaluation Study individually or as part of a coordinated group effort with other dischargers with similar discharges from sawmill log yard operations. If the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is exceeded during regular chronic toxicity testing, the Discharger shall submit a Toxicity Evaluation Study Work Plan within 14 days of notification by the laboratory of the exceedance for Executive Officer approval. The Toxicity Evaluation Study Work Plan shall include plans and a timeline for identifying toxicants and completing any necessary measures to reduce toxicity in the effluent. As part of the Toxicity Evaluation Study, the Discharger may choose to conduct a TRE and/or a Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE). A final report detailing the results of the Toxicity Evaluation Study shall be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board pursuant to the timeline identified in the Work Plan, as approved by the Executive Officer.

b. Log Yard Flushing Study. The Discharger shall conduct a Log Yard Flushing Study to determine the minimum volume of flush or amount of rainfall that is required to ensure residual pollutants on the log yard have been sufficiently removed. The Discharger shall submit an updated Log Yard Flushing Study Work Plan that includes a monitoring plan that addresses the constituents of concern.
(e.g., metals, tannins & lignins, electrical conductivity, chemical oxygen demand, and turbidity) at baseline and subsequent precipitation levels (e.g., sampling conducted for first ½", 2", 3", and 4" of rainfall) and a plan for demonstrating that the Facility has the necessary capacity to capture and maintain all first flush storm water on-site. The updated Log Yard Flushing Study Work Plan shall be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board by 1 October 2015. The Log Yard Flushing Study shall be conducted during the first wet season following submittal of the updated Log Yard Flushing Study Work Plan, and the results of the study shall be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board within 6 months of study completion. If the Discharger is unable to conduct the Log Yard Flushing Study during the first wet season following work plan submittal due to insufficient precipitation levels, the Discharger shall submit a letter to the Central Valley Water Board by the 1st of the following September detailing the reasons for not completing the study, and shall complete the study during the next wet season.

c. **Industrial Storm Water Action Levels and Best Management Practice (BMP) Improvement Evaluation.** If the discharge from Discharge Point D-001 exceeds any industrial storm water action level in Table 5 or if any receiving water limitation in section V.A of this Order is exceeded, the Discharger must conduct a BMP Improvement Evaluation and implement, if necessary, BMP improvements to reduce the industrial storm water pollutant concentrations below the action level and/or eliminate the receiving water violation. The BMP Improvement Evaluation and proposed BMP improvements must be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board within 60 days of the exceedance or violation date. The BMP improvement(s) must be implemented as soon as practicable thereafter. The Facility Industrial Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) shall be updated in response to any implemented BMP improvements, as appropriate.

This Order includes the following industrial storm water action levels:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Action Level</th>
<th>Annual Average</th>
<th>Maximum Daily</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Oxygen Demand</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins and Lignins</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Toxicity</td>
<td>TUC</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. BMP Improvement Evaluation and proposed BMP improvements must be submitted in accordance with section VI.C.2.a.
2. Annual average shall be for a wet season, i.e., 1 July through 30 June.

The action levels are not effluent limitations on the industrial storm water discharge. The action levels are the pollutant concentrations above which the Central Valley Water Board has determined represent a level of concern and require further evaluation of the Discharger’s SWPPP as it relates to controlling the discharge of the subject pollutant from the Facility.

Exceedance of an action level requires the Discharger to conduct a BMP Improvement Plan in accordance with this provision. If the action level continues to be exceeded after implementation of initial BMP improvements, the Discharger shall
d. **Groundwater Monitoring Well Network Installation and Characterization.** To demonstrate that no further pollutant reduction is technologically available and economically achievable in light of best industry practice to meet the action level.

The Discharger must ensure there are one or more background monitoring wells and a sufficient number of designated monitoring wells downgradient of every treatment, storage, and disposal unit that does or may release waste constituents to groundwater. **Within 6 months following adoption of this Order,** the Discharger shall submit a Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Work Plan prepared in accordance with, and including the items listed in, the MRP: “Requirements for Monitoring Well Installation Work Plans and Monitoring Well Installation Reports.” All monitoring wells shall comply with the appropriate standards as described in California Well Standards Bulletin 74-90 (June 1991) and Water Well Standards: State of California Bulletin 74-81 (December 1981), and any more stringent standards adopted by the Discharger or County pursuant to Water Code section 13801. Well installation shall be complete **no later than 12 months** following Work Plan approval by the Executive Officer.

The Discharger shall conduct groundwater monitoring for each groundwater monitoring parameter/constituent identified in the MRP, Attachment E of this Order, upon completion of well installation. **After 2 years of monitoring and within 42 months of the effective date this Order,** the Discharger shall submit a groundwater quality characterization technical report presenting, at minimum, a summary of monitoring data, calculation of the concentration of each monitored parameter/constituent in background monitoring wells, and a comparison of background groundwater quality to that in wells used to monitor the facility for each monitored parameter/constituent. Determinations presented in the technical report shall be made in accordance with requirements set forth in section VI.C.2.e and based on data from at least eight consecutive quarterly (or more frequent) groundwater monitoring events.

In accordance with California Business and Professions Code Sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1, engineering and geologic evaluations and judgments shall be performed by or under the direction of registered professionals competent and proficient in the fields pertinent to the required activities. The technical report shall be prepared by or under the direction of appropriately qualified professional(s) and shall bear the professional’s signature and stamp.

e. **Antidegradation Analysis Update.** **Within 42 months** of the effective date of this Order, the Discharger shall submit an Antidegradation Analysis Update. The Antidegradation Analysis Update shall address existing discharges at the Facility and use information obtained from the groundwater monitoring and characterization required in section VI.C.2.d in addition to results of the land discharge and groundwater monitoring to date. The update shall explain whether or not groundwater degradation as a result of Facility operations is consistent with State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16. If degradation is greater than allowed by State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16, the Discharger shall include a workplan for Facility improvements (with an implementation schedule) sufficient to limit degradation for compliance with the antidegradation policy. Determination of background groundwater quality for use in the analysis shall be made using the methods described in Title 27 California Code of Regulations Section 20415(e)(10)
or other method approved by the Executive Officer. After reviewing the Antidegradation Analysis Update, the Central Valley Water Board may reopen this Order as described in section VI.C.1 of this Order. The Central Valley Water Board may find that the existing discharge is or is not consistent with the State and Federal antidegradation policies, that additional information is necessary, or that Facility modifications sufficient to bring the discharge into compliance with antidegradation policies is required.

f. **Title 27 Exemption Analysis Update.** Within 42 months of the effective date of this Order, the Discharger shall submit a Title 27 Exemption Analysis Update (Title 27 Update). The Title 27 Update shall address existing discharges at the Facility and use the information and determinations presented in the technical reports required by section VI.C.2.d and section VI.C.2.e. in addition to results of the land discharge and groundwater monitoring to date to explain whether or not the conclusions reached in the original Title 27 Exemption Analysis included in the Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) are valid. After reviewing the Title 27 Update, the Central Valley Water Board may reopen this Order as described in section VI.C.1 of this Order. The Central Valley Water Board may find that a Title 27 exemption is or is not appropriate, that additional information is necessary, or that Facility modifications sufficient to bring the discharge into compliance with the Basin Plan is required.

3. **Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention**
   
a. **Salinity Evaluation and Minimization Plan.** The Discharger shall continue to implement a salinity evaluation and minimization plan to identify and address sources of salinity from the Facility to both groundwater and surface water. The Discharger shall evaluate the effectiveness of the salinity evaluation and minimization plan and provide a summary with the Report of Waste Discharge, due 180 days prior to the permit expiration date.

b. **Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)**
   
i. This Order requires the Discharger to continue to implement a site-specific SWPPP for the Facility. An updated SWPPP that addresses the necessary BMP’s to ensure compliance with the industrial storm water action levels specified in Table 5 shall be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board by 1 October 2015. The SWPPP must include the information needed to demonstrate compliance with all requirements of this Order and shall contain at a minimum, the following elements:
      
      (a) Facility name and contact information;  
      (b) Site map;  
      (c) List of significant materials;  
      (d) Description of potential pollution sources;  
      (e) Assessment of potential pollutant sources;  
      (f) Minimum BMP’s;  
      (g) Advanced BMP’s, if applicable;  
      (h) Monitoring Implementation Plan; and
(i) Date that SWPPP was initially prepared and the date of each SWPPP amendment, if applicable.

ii. **BMP Summary Table.** The Discharger shall prepare a table, to be included in the SWPPP, summarizing each identified area of industrial activity, the associated industrial pollutant sources, the industrial pollutants, and the BMP’s being implemented.

iii. **SWPPP Revisions.** The Discharger shall amend the SWPPP whenever there is a change in construction, site operation, or maintenance, which may affect the discharge of significant quantities of pollutants to surface water or groundwater. The SWPPP must also be amended if there are violations of this permit, or the Discharger has not achieved the general objectives of controlling pollutants in the storm water discharges. If the SWPPP has been significantly revised, the revised SWPPP shall be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board for review.

iv. A copy of the SWPPP shall be maintained at the facility.

c. **Facility-Specific BMP – First Flush Collection.** Each year, after cessation of log yard sprinkling, the Discharger shall collect the first 2 inches of rainfall (i.e., “first flush” or “commingled log yard sprinkle water and storm water”) from the log yard area and discharge the flush event to the log yard retention pond. The first flush shall not reach surface water. The first flush must be collected and discharged to the log yard retention pond after any subsequent sprinkling of the logs prior to log yard storm water discharge to surface water. This Facility-specific BMP may be modified by approval of the Executive Officer.

4. **Construction, Operation and Maintenance Specifications**

a. **Facility Retention Ponds, Fire Pond, Evaporation Ponds, and Substation Pond Operating Requirements**

i. No waste constituent shall be released, discharged, or placed where it will be released or discharged, in a concentration or in a mass that causes a violation of the Groundwater Limitations of this Order.

ii. Wastewater treatment, storage, and disposal shall not cause pollution or a nuisance as defined by Water Code section 13050.

iii. All conveyance, treatment, storage, and disposal systems shall be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to prevent inundation or washout due to floods with a 100-year return frequency.

iv. Public contact with wastewater shall be precluded through such means as fences, signs, and other acceptable alternatives.

v. Ponds shall be managed to prevent breeding of mosquitoes. In particular,

(a) An erosion control program should assure that small coves and irregularities are not created around the perimeter of the water surface.

(b) Weeds shall be minimized.

(c) Dead algae, vegetation, and debris shall not accumulate on the water surface.

vi. Freeboard shall never be less than 2 feet (measured vertically to the lowest point of overflow) except if lesser freeboard does not threaten the integrity of the pond, no overflow of the pond occurs, and lesser freeboard is due to direct
precipitation or storm water runoff occurring as a result of annual precipitation with greater than a 100-year recurrence interval, or a storm event with an intensity greater than a 25-year, 24-hour storm event.

vii. Objectionable odors originating at this Facility shall not be perceivable beyond the limits of the wastewater pond areas (or property owned by the Discharger).

viii. As a means of discerning compliance with item v above, the dissolved oxygen content in the upper zone (1 foot) of wastewater in ponds shall not be less than 1.0 mg/L.

ix. The discharge shall not cause degradation of any water supply.

x. Management of wood fuel stockpiles and ash stockpiles shall not adversely affect groundwater quality.

5. Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTW’s Only) – Not Applicable

6. Other Special Provisions

a. Sludge, Wood Waste, and/or Ash Management

i. Collected screenings, sludge, and other solids removed from liquid wastes shall be disposed of in a manner approved by the Executive Officer and consistent with Consolidated Regulations for Treatment, Storage, Processing, or Disposal of Solid Waste, as set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations (CCR), Division 2, Subdivision 1, Section 20005, et seq.

ii. Ash Management Plan. Within 90 days of the permit effective date, the Discharger shall submit an ash management plan to the Central Valley Water Board. The plan shall describe at a minimum:

   (a) Sources and amount of ash generated annually.
   (b) Locations(s) of on-site storage and description of containment area.
   (c) Plans for ultimate disposal. For landfill disposal, include the present classification of the landfill and the name and location of the landfill.

iii. Any proposed change in sludge or ash use or disposal practice shall be reported to the Executive Officer at least 30 days in advance of the change.

iv. Non-hazardous fly ash removed from the facility shall be:

   (a) Beneficially reused, such as for soil amendment; or
   (b) Disposed in a dedicated unit consistent with Title 27, Section 20200(b); or
   (c) Disposed in a Class III landfill consistent with Title 27, Section 20220(d).

   Any other use shall require approval by the Executive Officer.

v. This Order does not authorize storage, transportation, or disposal of ash or other wastes characterized as hazardous wastes. Appropriate separate regulatory coverage must be secured for such activities.

7. Compliance Schedules – Not Applicable

VII. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION
A. Industrial Storm Water Action Levels (Section VI.C.2.c, Table 5). The industrial storm water action levels in Table 5 are not effluent limitations on the industrial storm water discharge. An exceedance of an action level does not constitute a violation of this Order. The action levels are the pollutant concentrations above which the Central Valley Water Board has determined represent a level of concern and require further evaluation of the Discharger’s SWPPP as it relates to controlling the discharge of the subject pollutant from the Facility. Exceedance of an action level requires the Discharger to conduct a BMP Improvement Plan in accordance with Section VI.C.2.c.
ATTACHMENT A – DEFINITIONS

Arithmetic Mean ($\mu$)
Also called the average, is the sum of measured values divided by the number of samples. For ambient water concentrations, the arithmetic mean is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Arithmetic mean} = \mu = \frac{\Sigma x}{n}$$

where: $\Sigma x$ is the sum of the measured ambient water concentrations, and $n$ is the number of samples.

Average Monthly Effluent Limitation (AMEL)
The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.

Average Weekly Effluent Limitation (AWEL)
The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday), calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar week divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that week.

Bioaccumulative
Those substances taken up by an organism from its surrounding medium through gill membranes, epithelial tissue, or from food and subsequently concentrated and retained in the body of the organism.

Carcinogenic
Pollutants are substances that are known to cause cancer in living organisms.

Coefficient of Variation (CV)
CV is a measure of the data variability and is calculated as the estimated standard deviation divided by the arithmetic mean of the observed values.

Daily Discharge
Daily Discharge is defined as either: (1) the total mass of the constituent discharged over the calendar day (12:00 am through 11:59 pm) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling (as specified in the permit), for a constituent with limitations expressed in units of mass or; (2) the unweighted arithmetic mean measurement of the constituent over the day for a constituent with limitations expressed in other units of measurement (e.g., concentration).

The daily discharge may be determined by the analytical results of a composite sample taken over the course of one day (a calendar day or other 24-hour period defined as a day) or by the arithmetic mean of analytical results from one or more grab samples taken over the course of the day.

For composite sampling, if 1 day is defined as a 24-hour period other than a calendar day, the analytical result for the 24-hour period will be considered as the result for the calendar day in which the 24-hour period ends.

Debris
Debris is defined as woody material such as bark, twigs, branches, heartwood or sapwood that will not pass through a 2.54 cm (1.0 in) diameter round opening and is present in the discharge from a wet storage facility.

Detected, but Not Quantified (DNQ)
DNQ are those sample results less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the laboratory’s MDL. Sample results reported as DNQ are estimated concentrations.
Dilution Credit
Dilution Credit is the amount of dilution granted to a discharge in the calculation of a water quality-based effluent limitation, based on the allowance of a specified mixing zone. It is calculated from the dilution ratio or determined through conducting a mixing zone study or modeling of the discharge and receiving water.

Effluent Concentration Allowance (ECA)
ECA is a value derived from the water quality criterion/objective, dilution credit, and ambient background concentration that is used, in conjunction with the coefficient of variation for the effluent monitoring data, to calculate a long-term average (LTA) discharge concentration. The ECA has the same meaning as waste load allocation (WLA) as used in U.S. EPA guidance (Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control, March 1991, second printing, EPA/505/2-90-001).

Enclosed Bays
Enclosed Bays means indentations along the coast that enclose an area of oceanic water within distinct headlands or harbor works. Enclosed bays include all bays where the narrowest distance between the headlands or outermost harbor works is less than 75 percent of the greatest dimension of the enclosed portion of the bay. Enclosed bays include, but are not limited to, Humboldt Bay, Bodega Harbor, Tomales Bay, Drake’s Estero, San Francisco Bay, Morro Bay, Los Angeles-Long Beach Harbor, Upper and Lower Newport Bay, Mission Bay, and San Diego Bay. Enclosed bays do not include inland surface waters or ocean waters.

Estimated Chemical Concentration
The estimated chemical concentration that results from the confirmed detection of the substance by the analytical method below the ML value.

Estuaries
Estuaries means waters, including coastal lagoons, located at the mouths of streams that serve as areas of mixing for fresh and ocean waters. Coastal lagoons and mouths of streams that are temporarily separated from the ocean by sandbars shall be considered estuaries. Estuarine waters shall be considered to extend from a bay or the open ocean to a point upstream where there is no significant mixing of fresh water and seawater. Estuarine waters included, but are not limited to, the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, as defined in Water Code section 12220, Suisun Bay, Carquinez Strait downstream to the Carquinez Bridge, and appropriate areas of the Smith, Mad, Eel, Noyo, Russian, Klamath, San Diego, and Otay rivers. Estuaries do not include inland surface waters or ocean waters.

Inland Surface Waters
All surface waters of the state that do not include the ocean, enclosed bays, or estuaries.

Instantaneous Maximum Effluent Limitation
The highest allowable value for any single grab sample or aliquot (i.e., each grab sample or aliquot is independently compared to the instantaneous maximum limitation).

Instantaneous Minimum Effluent Limitation
The lowest allowable value for any single grab sample or aliquot (i.e., each grab sample or aliquot is independently compared to the instantaneous minimum limitation).

Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation (MDEL)
The highest allowable daily discharge of a pollutant, over a calendar day (or 24-hour period). For pollutants with limitations expressed in units of mass, the daily discharge is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of
measurement, the daily discharge is calculated as the arithmetic mean measurement of the pollutant over the day.

**Median**
The middle measurement in a set of data. The median of a set of data is found by first arranging the measurements in order of magnitude (either increasing or decreasing order). If the number of measurements \( n \) is odd, then the median = \( X_{(n+1)/2} \). If \( n \) is even, then the median = \( (X_{n/2} + X_{(n/2)+1})/2 \) (i.e., the midpoint between the \( n/2 \) and \( n/2+1 \)).

**Method Detection Limit (MDL)**
MDL is the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero, as defined in in 40 C.F.R. part 136, Attachment B, revised as of July 3, 1999.

**Minimum Level (ML)**
ML is the concentration at which the entire analytical system must give a recognizable signal and acceptable calibration point. The ML is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, assuming that all the method specified sample weights, volumes, and processing steps have been followed.

**Mixing Zone**
Mixing Zone is a limited volume of receiving water that is allocated for mixing with a wastewater discharge where water quality criteria can be exceeded without causing adverse effects to the overall water body.

**Not Detected (ND)**
Sample results which are less than the laboratory’s MDL.

**Ocean Waters**
The territorial marine waters of the State as defined by California law to the extent these waters are outside of enclosed bays, estuaries, and coastal lagoons. Discharges to ocean waters are regulated in accordance with the State Water Board’s California Ocean Plan.

**Persistent Pollutants**
Persistent pollutants are substances for which degradation or decomposition in the environment is nonexistent or very slow.

**Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP)**
PMP means waste minimization and pollution prevention actions that include, but are not limited to, product substitution, waste stream recycling, alternative waste management methods, and education of the public and businesses. The goal of the PMP shall be to reduce all potential sources of a priority pollutant(s) through pollutant minimization (control) strategies, including pollution prevention measures as appropriate, to maintain the effluent concentration at or below the water quality-based effluent limitation. Pollution prevention measures may be particularly appropriate for persistent bioaccumulative priority pollutants where there is evidence that beneficial uses are being impacted. The Central Valley Water Board may consider cost effectiveness when establishing the requirements of a PMP. The completion and implementation of a Pollution Prevention Plan, if required pursuant to Water Code section 13263.3(d), shall be considered to fulfill the PMP requirements.

**Pollution Prevention**
Pollution Prevention means any action that causes a net reduction in the use or generation of a hazardous substance or other pollutant that is discharged into water and includes, but is not limited to,
input change, operational improvement, production process change, and product reformulation (as defined in Water Code section 13263.3). Pollution prevention does not include actions that merely shift a pollutant in wastewater from one environmental medium to another environmental medium, unless clear environmental benefits of such an approach are identified to the satisfaction of the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) or Central Valley Water Board.

**Process Wastewater**
Process wastewater shall include log yard sprinkling water and “first flush” industrial storm water from the log yard. The first flush is defined as the first 2 inches of rainfall from the log yard after the time log yard sprinkling with pond water has ceased. The “first flush” collection may occur more than once in a wet season if the Discharger intermittently sprinkles logs with pond water during the wet season. Attachment C includes a site plan that identifies the log yard industrial storm water area.

**Satellite Collection System**
The portion, if any, of a sanitary sewer system owned or operated by a different public agency than the agency that owns and operates the wastewater treatment facility that a sanitary sewer system is tributary to.

**Source of Drinking Water**
Any water designated as municipal or domestic supply (MUN) in a Central Valley Water Board Basin Plan.

**Standard Deviation (σ)**
Standard Deviation is a measure of variability that is calculated as follows:

\[
\sigma = \left(\frac{\sum (x - \mu)^2}{(n - 1)}\right)^{0.5}
\]

where:
- \(x\) is the observed value;
- \(\mu\) is the arithmetic mean of the observed values; and
- \(n\) is the number of samples.

**Storm Water**
Storm water runoff from the site originates from a 25-acre log yard area, fuel building, rolling stock shop, sawmill, oil shed, fuel house, cogeneration plant, and cooling tower (Industrial Storm Water) and the remaining areas of the Facility (General Industrial Storm Water). The site plan in Attachment C delineates these areas and is defined as follows:

**Log Deck Storm Water.** Log deck storm water is regulated by this Order and is defined as storm water runoff from the 25-acre log yard area after the “first flush” storm water is collected. The “first flush” is defined as the first 2 inches of rainfall from the log yard area after the time log yard sprinkling with pond water has ceased. The “first flush” collection may occur more than once in a wet season if the Discharger intermittently sprinkles logs with pond water during the wet season.

Industrial storm water from the fuel building, rolling stock shop, sawmill, oil shed, fuel house, cogeneration plant, and cooling tower are directed to the fire pond and are retained on-site.

**General Industrial Storm Water.** This Order does not regulate discharges of general industrial storm water from the remaining areas of the Facility. All storm water runoff from the remaining areas of the Facility is directed to discharge to Canyon Creek via four outfalls (i.e., SW-1, SW-2, SW-3, and SW-4) under the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Water Quality Order No. 97-03-DWQ, NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001, Waste Discharge.
Requirements for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activities Excluding Construction Activities (General Industrial Storm Water Permit).

**Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE)**

TRE is a study conducted in a step-wise process designed to identify the causative agents of effluent or ambient toxicity, isolate the sources of toxicity, evaluate the effectiveness of toxicity control options, and then confirm the reduction in toxicity. The first steps of the TRE consist of the collection of data relevant to the toxicity, including additional toxicity testing, and an evaluation of facility operations and maintenance practices, and best management practices. A Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) may be required as part of the TRE, if appropriate. (A TIE is a set of procedures to identify the specific chemical(s) responsible for toxicity. These procedures are performed in three phases (characterization, identification, and confirmation) using aquatic organism toxicity tests.)
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ATTACHMENT D – STANDARD PROVISIONS

I. STANDARD PROVISIONS – PERMIT COMPLIANCE

A. Duty to Comply

1. The Discharger must comply with all of the terms, requirements, and conditions of this Order. Any noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the California Water Code and is grounds for enforcement action; permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; denial of a permit renewal application; or a combination thereof. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(a); Wat. Code, §§ 13261, 13263, 13265, 13268, 13000, 13001, 13304, 13350, 13385.)

2. The Discharger shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the CWA for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under Section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions, even if this Order has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(a)(1).)

B. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a Discharger in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(c).)

C. Duty to Mitigate

The Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this Order that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(d).)

D. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The Discharger shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the Discharger to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems that are installed by a Discharger only when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(e).)

E. Property Rights

1. This Order does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privileges. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(g).)

2. The issuance of this Order does not authorize any injury to persons or property or invasion of other private rights, or any infringement of state or local law or regulations. (40 C.F.R. § 122.5(c).)

F. Inspection and Entry

The Discharger shall allow the Central Valley Water Board, State Water Board, U.S. EPA, and/or their authorized representatives (including an authorized contractor acting as their representative), upon the presentation of credentials and other documents, as may be required by law, to (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(B); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i); Wat. Code, § 13267, 13383):
1. Enter upon the Discharger's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records are kept under the conditions of this Order (33 U.S.C § 1318(a)(4)(B)(ii); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(1); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383);

2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this Order (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(B)(ii); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(2); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383);

3. Inspect and photograph, at reasonable times, any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this Order (33 U.S.C § 1318(a)(4)(B)(ii); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(3); Wat. Code, § 13267, 13383); and

4. Sample or monitor, at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring Order compliance or as otherwise authorized by the CWA or the Water Code, any substances or parameters at any location. (33 U.S.C § 1318(a)(4)(B); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(4); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383.)

G. Bypass

1. Definitions
   a. “Bypass” means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(1)(i).)
   
   b. “Severe property damage” means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities, which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources that can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(1)(ii).)

2. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The Discharger may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause exceedances of effluent limitations, but only if it is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions listed in Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.3, I.G.4, and I.G.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(2).)

3. Prohibition of bypass. Bypass is prohibited, and the Central Valley Water Board may take enforcement action against a Discharger for bypass, unless (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)):
   a. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A));
   
   b. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass that occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(B)); and
   
   c. The Discharger submitted notice to the Central Valley Water Board as required under Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(C).)
4. The Central Valley Water Board may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Central Valley Water Board determines that it will meet the three conditions listed in Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.3 above. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(ii).)

5. Notice
   a. Anticipated bypass. If the Discharger knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit a notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(3)(i).)

H. Upset

Upset means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Discharger. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(1).)

1. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.H.2 below are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(2).)

2. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A Discharger who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)): 
   a. An upset occurred and that the Discharger can identify the cause(s) of the upset (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(i));
   b. The permitted facility was, at the time, being properly operated (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(ii));
   c. The Discharger submitted notice of the upset as required in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.E.2.b below (24-hour notice) (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(iii)); and
   d. The Discharger complied with any remedial measures required under Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.C above. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(iv).)

3. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the Discharger seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(4).)

II. STANDARD PROVISIONS – PERMIT ACTION

A. General

This Order may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the Discharger for modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any Order condition. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(f).)
B. Duty to Reapply

If the Discharger wishes to continue an activity regulated by this Order after the expiration date of this Order, the Discharger must apply for and obtain a new permit. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(b).)

C. Transfers

This Order is not transferable to any person except after notice to the Central Valley Water Board. The Central Valley Water Board may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the Order to change the name of the Discharger and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the CWA and the Water Code. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(3); 122.61.)

III. STANDARD PROVISIONS – MONITORING

A. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(1).)

B. Monitoring results must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136 for the analyses of pollutants unless another method is required under 40 C.F.R. subchapters N or O. In the case of pollutants for which there are no approved methods under 40 C.F.R. part 136 or otherwise required under 40 C.F.R. subchapters N or O, monitoring must be conducted according to a test procedure specified in this Order for such pollutants. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(4); 122.44(i)(1)(iv).)

IV. STANDARD PROVISIONS – RECORDS

A. Except for records of monitoring information required by this Order related to the Discharger’s sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five years (or longer as required by 40 C.F.R. part 503), the Discharger shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this Order, and records of all data used to complete the application for this Order, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Central Valley Water Board Executive Officer at any time. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(2).)

B. Records of monitoring information shall include:

1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(i));
2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(ii));
3. The date(s) analyses were performed (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(iii));
4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(iv));
5. The analytical techniques or methods used (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(v)); and
6. The results of such analyses. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(vi).)

C. Claims of confidentiality for the following information will be denied (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)):

1. The name and address of any permit applicant or Discharger (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)(1)); and
2. Permit applications and attachments, permits and effluent data. (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)(2).)
V. STANDARD PROVISIONS – REPORTING

A. Duty to Provide Information

The Discharger shall furnish to the Central Valley Water Board, State Water Board, or U.S. EPA within a reasonable time, any information which the Central Valley Water Board, State Water Board, or U.S. EPA may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this Order or to determine compliance with this Order. Upon request, the Discharger shall also furnish to the Central Valley Water Board, State Water Board, or U.S. EPA copies of records required to be kept by this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(h); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383.)

B. Signatory and Certification Requirements

1. All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Central Valley Water Board, State Water Board, and/or U.S. EPA shall be signed and certified in accordance with Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2, V.B.3, V.B.4, and V.B.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(k).)

2. All permit applications shall be signed by a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this section, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) A president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(a)(1).)

3. All reports required by this Order and other information requested by the Central Valley Water Board, State Water Board, or U.S. EPA shall be signed by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above, or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:

a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(1));

b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.) (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(2)); and

c. The written authorization is submitted to the Central Valley Water Board and State Water Board. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(3).)

4. If an authorization under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.3 above is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.3 above must be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board.
and State Water Board prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications, to be signed by an authorized representative. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(c).)

5. Any person signing a document under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 or V.B.3 above shall make the following certification:

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.” (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(d).)

C. Monitoring Reports

1. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E) in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4).)

2. Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form or forms provided or specified by the Central Valley Water Board or State Water Board for reporting results of monitoring of sludge use or disposal practices. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(i).)

3. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order using test procedures approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 C.F.R. subchapters N or O, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR or sludge reporting form specified by the Central Valley Water Board. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(ii).)

4. Calculations for all limitations, which require averaging of measurements, shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(iii).)

D. Compliance Schedules

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this Order, shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(5).)

E. Twenty-Four Hour Reporting

1. The Discharger shall report any noncompliance that may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the Discharger becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) days of the time the Discharger becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(i).)

2. The following shall be included as information that must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)):
   a. Any unanticipated bypass that exceeds any effluent limitation in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(A).)
b. Any upset that exceeds any effluent limitation in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(B).)

3. The Central Valley Water Board may waive the above-required written report under this provision on a case-by-case basis if an oral report has been received within 24 hours. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(iii).)

F. Planned Changes

The Discharger shall give notice to the Central Valley Water Board as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required under this provision only when (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)):

1. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in section 122.29(b) (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)(i)); or

2. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants that are subject neither to effluent limitations in this Order nor to notification requirements under section 122.42(a)(1) (see Additional Provisions—Notification Levels VII.A.1). (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)(ii).)

3. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the Discharger's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)(iii).)

G. Anticipated Noncompliance

The Discharger shall give advance notice to the Central Valley Water Board or State Water Board of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity that may result in noncompliance with this Order’s requirements. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(2).)

H. Other Noncompliance

The Discharger shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.C, V.D, and V.E above at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in Standard Provision – Reporting V.E above. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(7).)

I. Other Information

When the Discharger becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Central Valley Water Board, State Water Board, or U.S. EPA, the Discharger shall promptly submit such facts or information. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(8).)

VI. STANDARD PROVISIONS – ENFORCEMENT

A. The Central Valley Water Board is authorized to enforce the terms of this permit under several provisions of the Water Code, including, but not limited to, sections 13385, 13386, and 13387.
VII. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS – NOTIFICATION LEVELS

A. Non-Municipal Facilities

Existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural Dischargers shall notify the Central Valley Water Board as soon as they know or have reason to believe (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)):

1. That any activity has occurred or will occur that would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant that is not limited in this Order, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following “notification levels” (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(1)):
   a. 100 micrograms per liter (μg/L) (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(1)(i));
   b. 200 μg/L for acrolein and acrylonitrile; 500 μg/L for 2,4-dinitrophenol and 2-methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol; and 1 milligram per liter (mg/L) for antimony (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(1)(ii));
   c. Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the Report of Waste Discharge (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(1)(iii)); or
   d. The level established by the Central Valley Water Board in accordance with section 122.44(f). (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(1)(iv).)

2. That any activity has occurred or will occur that would result in the discharge, on a non-routine or infrequent basis, of any toxic pollutant that is not limited in this Order, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following “notification levels” (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)):
   a. 500 micrograms per liter (μg/L) (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)(i));
   b. 1 milligram per liter (mg/L) for antimony (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)(ii));
   c. Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the Report of Waste Discharge (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)(iii)); or
   d. The level established by the Central Valley Water Board in accordance with section 122.44(f). (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)(iv).)
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ATTACHMENT E – MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (MRP)

The Code of Federal Regulations (40 C.F.R. § 122.48) requires that all NPDES permits specify monitoring and reporting requirements. Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 also authorize the Central Valley Water Board to require technical and monitoring reports. This MRP establishes monitoring and reporting requirements that implement federal and California regulations.

I. GENERAL MONITORING PROVISIONS

A. Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge. All samples shall be taken at the monitoring locations specified below and, unless otherwise specified, before the monitored flow joins or is diluted by any other waste stream, body of water, or substance. Monitoring locations shall not be changed without notification to and the approval of the Central Valley Water Board.

B. Effluent samples shall be taken downstream of the last addition of wastes to the treatment or discharge works where a representative sample may be obtained prior to mixing with the receiving waters. Samples shall be collected at such a point and in such a manner to ensure a representative sample of the discharge.

C. Chemical, bacteriological, and bioassay analyses of any material required by this Order shall be conducted by a laboratory certified for such analyses by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board), Division of Drinking Water (DDW; formerly the Department of Public Health). Laboratories that perform sample analyses must be identified in all monitoring reports submitted to the Central Valley Water Board. In the event a certified laboratory is not available to the Discharger for any onsite field measurements such as pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity, temperature, and residual chlorine, such analyses performed by a noncertified laboratory will be accepted provided a Quality Assurance-Quality Control Program is instituted by the laboratory. A manual containing the steps followed in this program for any onsite field measurements such as pH, DO, turbidity, temperature, and residual chlorine must be kept onsite in the treatment facility laboratory and shall be available for inspection by Central Valley Water Board staff. The Discharger must demonstrate sufficient capability (qualified and trained employees, properly calibrated and maintained field instruments, etc.) to adequately perform these field measurements. The Quality Assurance-Quality Control Program must conform to U.S. EPA guidelines or to procedures approved by the Central Valley Water Board.

D. Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. All monitoring instruments and devices used by the Discharger to fulfill the prescribed monitoring program shall be properly maintained and calibrated as necessary, at least yearly, to ensure their continued accuracy. All flow measurement devices shall be calibrated at least once per year to ensure continued accuracy of the devices.

E. Monitoring results, including noncompliance, shall be reported at intervals and in a manner specified in this Monitoring and Reporting Program.

F. Laboratories analyzing monitoring samples shall be certified by DDW, in accordance with the provision of Water Code section 13176, and must include quality assurance/quality control data with their reports.

G. The Discharger shall file with the Central Valley Water Board technical reports on self-monitoring performed according to the detailed specifications contained in this Monitoring and Reporting Program.
H. The results of all monitoring required by this Order shall be reported to the Central Valley Water Board, and shall be submitted in such a format as to allow direct comparison with the limitations and requirements of this Order. Unless otherwise specified, discharge flows shall be reported in terms of the monthly average and the daily maximum discharge flows.

II. MONITORING LOCATIONS

The Discharger shall establish the following monitoring locations to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitations, discharge specifications, and other requirements in this Order:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge Point Name</th>
<th>Monitoring Location Name</th>
<th>Monitoring Location Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-001</td>
<td>EFF-001</td>
<td>Outfall structure immediately prior to discharge to Canyon Creek. Latitude: 40° 52’ 31.82” N, Longitude: 121° 42’ 17.95” W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>In Canyon Creek, 100 feet upstream from Discharge Point D-001.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>RSW-002</td>
<td>In Canyon Creek, 200 feet downstream from Discharge Point D-001.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>GW-001 through GW-0XX (^1)</td>
<td>Groundwater monitoring wells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>LND-001</td>
<td>Log yard sprinkle water in the Log Yard Retention Pond.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>LND-002</td>
<td>Fire Pond located on the south side of the cogeneration plant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>LND-003</td>
<td>Evaporation Pond-1 located in the southeast corner of the Facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>LND-004</td>
<td>Evaporation Pond 2 located in the southeast corner of the Facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>LND-005</td>
<td>Substation Pond located on the north side of the Facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>LND-006</td>
<td>South Retention Pond on the south side of the Facility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) To be established after well installation in accordance with section VI.C.2.d.

The North latitude and West longitude information in Table E-1 are approximate for administrative purposes.

III. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS – NOT APPLICABLE

IV. EFFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Monitoring Location EFF-001

1. The Discharger shall monitor industrial storm water, as defined in Attachment A, at Monitoring Location EFF-001 when discharges to Canyon Creek occur as follows. If more than one analytical test method is listed for a given parameter, the Discharger must select from the listed methods and corresponding Minimum Level:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency (^1)</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>MGD</td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>1/Day</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Pollutants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and Grease</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2/Year</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>standard units</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week (^3,4)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Pollutants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month (^9)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month (^3)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Parameter** | **Units** | **Sample Type** | **Minimum Sampling Frequency** | **Required Analytical Test Method**
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Priority Pollutants and Other Constituents of Concern | See Section IX.C | See Section IX.C | See Section IX.C | 2,5

**Non-Conventional Pollutants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Oxygen Demand</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C</td>
<td>µmhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness, Total (as CaCO₃)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>ml/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins and Lignins</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Samples shall be collected during the first 24 hours from the first discharge after the dry season and according to the sampling frequency in Table E-2 thereafter. If the discharge is intermittent rather than continuous, then the first day of each intermittent discharge shall be monitored, but not more than twice the frequency noted. First discharge event sampling may be limited to weekdays due to staffing and laboratory holding time needs and may exceed the 24-hour sampling requirement at times. First discharge events occurring on the weekend must be sampled no later than the following business day (e.g., Monday).
2. Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the Central Valley Water Board or the State Water Board.
3. pH and temperature shall be recorded at the time of ammonia sample collection.
4. A hand-held field meter may be used, provided the meter utilizes a U.S. EPA-approved algorithm/method and is calibrated and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. A calibration and maintenance log for each meter used for monitoring required by this Monitoring and Reporting Program shall be maintained at the Facility.
5. For priority pollutant constituents the reporting level shall be consistent with Sections 2.4.2 and 2.4.3 of the Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (See Attachment E, section IX.C).
6. Concurrent with whole effluent toxicity monitoring
7. Hardness samples shall be collected concurrently with metals samples.
8. Standard minerals shall include the following: boron, calcium, iron, magnesium, potassium, sodium, chloride, manganese, phosphorus, total alkalinity (including alkalinity series), and hardness, and include verification that the analysis is complete (i.e., cation/anion balance).
9. All parameters with minimum sampling frequency of 1/month to be sampled concurrently and sampled one time per month.

V. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING REQUIREMENTS

A. Acute Toxicity Testing. The Discharger shall conduct acute toxicity testing to determine whether the effluent is contributing acute toxicity to the receiving water. The Discharger shall meet the following acute toxicity testing requirements:

   1. **Monitoring Frequency** – The Discharger shall perform semi-annual acute toxicity testing, concurrent with effluent ammonia sampling.

   2. **Sample Types** – The Discharger may use flow-through or static renewal testing. For static renewal testing, the samples shall be grab samples and shall be representative of the volume and quality of the discharge. The effluent samples shall be taken at Monitoring Location EFF-001.

   3. **Test Species** – Test species shall be rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss).
4. **Methods** – The acute toxicity testing samples shall be analyzed using EPA-821-R-02-012, Fifth Edition. Temperature, total residual chlorine, and pH shall be recorded at the time of sample collection. No pH adjustment may be made unless approved by the Executive Officer.

5. **Test Failure** – If an acute toxicity test does not meet all test acceptability criteria, as specified in the test method, the Discharger must re-sample and re-test as soon as possible, not to exceed 7 days following notification of test failure.

**B. Chronic Toxicity Testing.** The Discharger shall conduct three species chronic toxicity testing to determine whether the effluent is contributing chronic toxicity to the receiving water. The Discharger shall meet the following chronic toxicity testing requirements:

1. **Monitoring Frequency** – The Discharger shall perform annual three species chronic toxicity testing.

2. **Sample Types** – The Discharger may use static renewal or static non-renewal testing. Effluent samples shall be grab samples and shall be representative of the volume and quality of the discharge. The effluent samples shall be taken at Monitoring Location EFF-001. The receiving water control shall be a grab sample obtained from Monitoring Location RSW-001, as identified in this Monitoring and Reporting Program.

3. **Sample Volumes** – Adequate sample volumes shall be collected to provide renewal water to complete the test in the event that the discharge is intermittent.

4. **Test Species** – Chronic toxicity testing measures sublethal (e.g., reduced growth, reproduction) and/or lethal effects to test organisms exposed to an effluent compared to that of the control organisms. The Discharger shall conduct chronic toxicity tests with:
   a. The cladoceran, water flea, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (survival and reproduction test);
   b. The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (larval survival and growth test); and


6. **Reference Toxicant** – As required by the SIP, all chronic toxicity tests shall be conducted with concurrent testing with a reference toxicant and shall be reported with the chronic toxicity test results.

7. **Dilutions** – For routine and accelerated chronic toxicity monitoring, it is not necessary to perform the test using a dilution series. The test may be performed using 100% effluent and one control. For TRE monitoring, the chronic toxicity testing shall be performed using the dilution series identified in the table below, unless an alternative dilution series is detailed in the submitted TRE Action Plan. A receiving water control or laboratory water control may be used as the diluent.
### Table E-3. Chronic Toxicity Testing Dilution Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>12.5</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Effluent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Control Water</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Receiving water control or laboratory water control may be used as the diluent.

8. **Test Failure** – The Discharger must re-sample and re-test as soon as possible, but no later than fourteen (14) days after receiving notification of a test failure. A test failure is defined as follows:

   a. The reference toxicant test or the effluent test does not meet all test acceptability criteria as specified in the *Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, Fourth Edition*, EPA/821-R-02-013, October 2002 (Method Manual), and its subsequent amendments or revisions; or

   b. The percent minimum significant difference (PMSD) measured for the test exceeds the upper PMSD bound variability criterion in Table 6 on page 52 of the Method Manual. (A retest is only required in this case if the test results do not exceed the monitoring trigger specified in the Special Provision at section VI.C.2.a.iii. of the Order.)

C. **WET Testing Notification Requirements.** The Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board within 24-hours after the receipt of test results exceeding the monitoring trigger during regular or accelerated monitoring, or an exceedance of the acute toxicity effluent limitation.

D. **WET Testing Reporting Requirements.** All toxicity test reports shall include the contracting laboratory’s complete report provided to the Discharger and shall be in accordance with the appropriate “Report Preparation and Test Review” sections of the method manuals. At a minimum, whole effluent toxicity monitoring shall be reported as follows:

1. **Chronic WET Reporting.** Regular chronic toxicity monitoring results shall be reported to the Central Valley Water Board with the monthly self-monitoring report (SMR), and shall contain, at minimum:

   a. The results expressed in TUs, measured as 100/NOEC, and also measured as 100/LC50, 100/EC25, 100/IC25, and 100/IC50, as appropriate.

   b. The statistical methods used to calculate endpoints;

   c. The statistical output page, which includes the calculation of the percent minimum significant difference (PMSD);

   d. The dates of sample collection and initiation of each toxicity test; and

   e. The results compared to the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger.

Additionally, the monthly SMR’s shall contain an updated chronology of chronic toxicity test results expressed in TUs, and organized by test species, type of test (survival, growth or reproduction), and monitoring frequency, i.e., either quarterly, monthly, accelerated, or Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE).

2. **Acute WET Reporting.** Acute toxicity test results shall be submitted with the monthly SMR’s and reported as percent survival.
3. **TRE Reporting.** Reports for TRE’s shall be submitted in accordance with the schedule contained in the Discharger’s approved TRE Workplan, or as amended by the Discharger’s TRE Action Plan.

4. **Quality Assurance (QA).** The Discharger must provide the following information for QA purposes:
   a. Results of the applicable reference toxicant data with the statistical output page giving the species, NOEC, LOEC, type of toxicant, dilution water used, concentrations used, PMSD, and dates tested.
   b. The reference toxicant control charts for each endpoint, which include summaries of reference toxicant tests performed by the contracting laboratory.
   c. Any information on deviations or problems encountered and how they were dealt with.

### VI. LAND DISCHARGE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

**A. Monitoring Locations LND-001, LND-002, LND-005, and LND-006**

1. The Discharger shall monitor the Log Yard Retention Pond, Fire Pond, Substation Pond, and South Retention Pond at Monitoring Locations LND-001, LND-002, LND-005, and LND-006 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freeboard</td>
<td>feet</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>standard units</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settled Matter Depth</td>
<td>Feet, inches</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>1/Year prior to rainy season</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C</td>
<td>µmhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Oxygen Demand</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the Central Valley Water Board or the State Water Board.

**B. Monitoring Locations LND-003 and LND-004**

1. The Discharger shall monitor Evaporation Pond-1 and Evaporation Pond-2 at Monitoring Locations LND-003 and LND-004 as follows:
Table E-5. Land Discharge Monitoring Requirements – Monitoring Locations LND-003 and LND-004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freeboard</td>
<td>feet</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>standard units</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settled Matter Depth</td>
<td>Feet, inches</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>1/Year prior to rainy season</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C</td>
<td>µmhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the Central Valley Water Board or the State Water Board.

VII. RECYCLING MONITORING REQUIREMENTS – NOT APPLICABLE

VIII. RECEIVING WATER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Monitoring Locations RSW-001 and RSW-002

1. The Discharger shall monitor Canyon Creek during periods of discharge at Monitoring Locations RSW-001 and RSW-002 as follows:

Table E-6. Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>cfs</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Pollutants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>standard units</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Pollutants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper, Dissolved</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, Dissolved</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Pollutants and Other Constituents of Concern</td>
<td>See Section IX.C</td>
<td>See Section IX.C</td>
<td>See Section IX.C 4</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Conventional Pollutants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C</td>
<td>µmhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness, Total (as CaCO₃)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Samples shall be collected during the first 24 hours from the first discharge after the dry season during daytime business hours and according to the sampling frequency in Table E-6 thereafter. Receiving water sampling shall be concurrent with effluent (storm water) sampling, when applicable.

2 Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the Central Valley Water Board or the State Water Board.

3 Monitoring for priority pollutants and other constituents of concern shall be conducted at Monitoring Location 1.
RSW-001 only.

2. In conducting the receiving water sampling, a log shall be kept of the receiving water conditions throughout the reach bounded by Monitoring Locations RSW-001 and RSW-002. Attention shall be given to the presence or absence of:
   a. Floating or suspended matter;
   b. Discoloration;
   c. Bottom deposits;
   d. Aquatic life;
   e. Visible films, sheens, or coatings;
   f. Fungi, slimes, or objectionable growths; and
   g. Potential nuisance conditions.

Notes on receiving water conditions shall be summarized in the monitoring report.

B. Monitoring Locations GW-001 through GW-0XX

1. Prior to construction and/or beginning a sampling program of any new groundwater monitoring wells, the Discharger shall submit plans and specifications to the Central Valley Water Board for approval. Once installed, all new wells shall be added to the monitoring network and shall be sampled and analyzed according to the schedule below. All samples shall be collected using approved EPA methods. Water table elevations shall be calculated to determine groundwater gradient and direction of flow.

2. The methods of analysis and the detection limits used must be appropriate for the expected concentrations. For the monitoring of any constituent or parameter that is found in concentrations which produce more than 90% non-numerical determinations (i.e., “trace” or “ND”) in data from background monitoring points for that medium, the analytical method having the lowest method detection limit (MDL) shall be selected from among those methods which would provide valid results in light of any matrix effects or interferences.

3. Prior to sampling, the groundwater elevations shall be measured and the wells shall be purged of at least three well volumes until temperature, pH, and electrical conductivity have stabilized. Depth to groundwater shall be measured to the nearest 0.01 feet. Groundwater monitoring at any new groundwater monitoring wells shall include, at a minimum, the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth to Groundwater</td>
<td>±0.01 feet</td>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Elevation(^1)</td>
<td>±0.01 feet</td>
<td>Calculate</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradient</td>
<td>feet/feet</td>
<td>Calculate</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradient Direction</td>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>Calculate</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C</td>
<td>µmhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Quarter</td>
<td>(^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Fixed Dissolved Solids
- **Units:** mg/L
- **Sample Type:** Grab
- **Minimum Sampling Frequency:** 1/Quarter

### pH
- **Units:** standard units
- **Sample Type:** Grab
- **Minimum Sampling Frequency:** 1/Quarter

### Total Nitrogen
- **Units:** mg/L
- **Sample Type:** Grab
- **Minimum Sampling Frequency:** 1/Quarter

### Nitrate Nitrogen, Total (as N)
- **Units:** mg/L
- **Sample Type:** Grab
- **Minimum Sampling Frequency:** 1/Quarter

### Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
- **Units:** mg/L
- **Sample Type:** Grab
- **Minimum Sampling Frequency:** 1/Quarter

### Standard Minerals
- **Units:** μg/L
- **Sample Type:** Grab
- **Minimum Sampling Frequency:** 1/Year

---

1. Groundwater elevation shall be determined based on depth-to-water measurements from a surveyed measuring point elevation on the well. The groundwater elevation shall be used to calculate the direction and gradient of groundwater flow, which must be reported.

2. Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the Central Valley Water Board or the State Water Board.

3. Standard minerals shall include the following: boron, calcium, iron, magnesium, potassium, sodium, chloride, manganese, phosphorus, total alkalinity (including alkalinity series), and hardness, and include verification that the analysis is complete (i.e., cation/anion balance).

### IX. OTHER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

#### A. Precipitation Monitoring

1. Precipitation information shall be collected as follows and reported in the monthly SMR:

   **Table E-8. Precipitation Monitoring Requirements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>inches</td>
<td>Gauge</td>
<td>1/Day</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B. Ash and Cooling Tower Solids Monitoring

1. Wood ash information shall be collected and reported in the SMRs in accordance with the table below.

   **Table E-9. Ash Monitoring Requirements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ash Volume Generated</td>
<td>Dry-tons 7</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Volume Stored at Facility</td>
<td>Dry-tons 7</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Volume Removed from Facility</td>
<td>Dry-tons 7</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Liming Capacity</td>
<td>Equiv % CaCO₃</td>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>2/Year</td>
<td>UC Davis Method 440 or AOAC 955.01 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Total Phosphorous</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>2/Year</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture Content</td>
<td>% Moisture</td>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>2/Year</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>standard units</td>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>2/Year</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM 17 Metals</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>2/Year</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCDD-Equivalents</td>
<td>pg/g</td>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>1/Year 5</td>
<td>EPA Method 1613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Sample Type</td>
<td>Minimum Sampling Frequency</td>
<td>Required Analytical Test Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Volume Generated</td>
<td>Dry-tons(^7)</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Volume Stored at Facility</td>
<td>Dry-tons(^7)</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Volume Removed from Facility</td>
<td>Dry-tons(^7)</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Liming Capacity</td>
<td>Equiv % CaCO(_3)</td>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>2/Year</td>
<td>UC Davis Method 440 or AOAC 955.01(^6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Total Phosphorous</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>2/Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the Central Valley Water Board or the State Water Board.
2. California Administrative Manual (i.e. CCR) metals: antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, vanadium, and zinc.
3. In accordance with CCR Title 22 testing procedures.
4. Dioxin equivalents, also known as the TEQ, is a calculated value that reflects the combined effect of dioxin and furan compounds (cogeners). Results for dioxin TEQ shall include all congeners.
5. Upon Executive Officer approval, sampling frequency may be reduced after two consecutive years of data has been submitted.
6. A&L Western Agricultural Laboratories’ Neutralizing value of liming materials (or percent calcium carbonate equivalency-CCE).
7. Units may be reported in volume or weight measurement.

2. The Discharger shall record on a monthly basis the following information about wood ash removed from the Facility and submit in an annual SMR no later than 1 April of each year:
   a. final end user name, address, and disposal location or soil amendment application area (except as described in item c. below for intermediate producers), and
   b. volume and/or weight of ash for each location/area (except as described in item c. below for intermediate producers).
   c. the name, address, and volume and/or weight of ash sold or supplied to an intermediate producer for use in the manufacture of commercial soil amendment products. (Note: Final application area information for end users purchasing commercial soil amendment products is not required.)

C. Effluent and Receiving Water Characterization

1. Monitoring. Samples shall be collected from the effluent and upstream receiving water (Monitoring Locations EFF-001 and RSW-001) once during the term of the permit and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table E-10, below. Monitoring shall be conducted during the first discharge event that occurs after the effective date of this Order and the results of such monitoring shall be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board with the monthly SMR’s. Each individual monitoring event shall provide representative sample results for the effluent and upstream receiving water.

2. Concurrent Sampling. Effluent and receiving water sampling shall be performed at approximately the same time, on the same date.

3. Sample Type. All effluent and receiving water samples shall be taken as grab samples.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Sample Type</th>
<th>Maximum Reporting Level¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2- Chloroethyl vinyl ether</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl bromide (Bromomethane)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parachlorometacresol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-dichloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-dichloroethylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-dichloropropane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-dichloropropylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-dichloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylenes</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Benzanthracene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Diphenylhydrazine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Chlorophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dichlorophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dimethylphenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dinitrophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dinitrotoluene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,6-Trichlorophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Effluent Sample Type</td>
<td>Maximum Reporting Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6-Dinitrotoluene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Nitrophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Chloronaphthalene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4-Benzofluoranthene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Chloro-3-methylphenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Nitrophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthracene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzidine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(a)pyrene (3,4-Benzopyrene)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(g,h,i)perylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(k)fluoranthene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl benzyl phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-n-butylphthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-n-octylphthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibenzo(a,h)-anthracene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoranthene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorocyclopentadiene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isophorone</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Nitrosodiphenylamine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Nitrosodimethylamine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenanthrene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (VI)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Effluent Sample Type</td>
<td>Maximum Reporting Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tributyltin</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-DDD</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-DDE</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-DDT</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-Endosulfan</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-Hexachlorocyclohexane (BHC)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alachlor</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldrin</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta-Endosulfan</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta-Hexachlorocyclohexane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlordane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delta-Hexachlorocyclohexane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dieldrin</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosulfan sulfate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endrin</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endrin Aldehyde</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptachlor</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptachlor Epoxide</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindane (gamma-Hexachlorocyclohexane)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-1016</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-1221</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-1232</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-1242</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-1248</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-1254</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-1260</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxaphene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrazine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentazon</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbofuran</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalapon</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di(2-ethylhexyl) adipate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinoseb</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Effluent Sample Type</td>
<td>Maximum Reporting Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diquat</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothal</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene Dibromide</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxychlor</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molinate (Ordram)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxamyl</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picroxam</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simazine (Princep)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiobencarb</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,5-TP (Silvex)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazinon</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>MGD</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (as CaCO₃)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foaming Agents (MBAS)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury, Methyl</td>
<td>ng/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Std Units</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus, Total (as P)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific conductance (EC)</td>
<td>µmhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfide (as S)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfite (as SO₃)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Oxygen Demand</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and Grease</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols, Total</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin and Fatty Acids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins and Lignins</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Organic Carbon</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The reporting levels required in this table for priority pollutant constituents are established based on Section 2.4.2 and Appendix 4 of the SIP.
2 In order to verify if bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate is truly present, the Discharger shall take steps to assure that sample containers, sampling apparatus, and analytical equipment are not sources of the detected contaminant.
3 The Discharger is not required to conduct effluent monitoring for constituents that have already been sampled in a given month, as required in Table E-2, except for hardness, pH, and temperature, which shall be conducted concurrently with the effluent sampling.

X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS
A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements

1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions (Attachment D) related to monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping.

2. Upon written request of the Central Valley Water Board, the Discharger shall submit a summary monitoring report. The report shall contain both tabular and graphical summaries of the monitoring data obtained during the previous year(s).

3. **Compliance Time Schedules.** For compliance time schedules included in the Order, the Discharger shall submit to the Central Valley Water Board, on or before each compliance due date, the specified document or a written report detailing compliance or noncompliance with the specific date and task. If noncompliance is reported, the Discharger shall state the reasons for noncompliance and include an estimate of the date when the Discharger will be in compliance. The Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board by letter when it returns to compliance with the compliance time schedule.

4. The Discharger shall report to the Central Valley Water Board any toxic chemical release data it reports to the State Emergency Response Commission within 15 days of reporting the data to the Commission pursuant to section 313 of the *Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act* of 1986.

B. Self-Monitoring Reports (SMR’s)

1. The Discharger shall electronically submit SMR’s using the State Water Board’s California Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program Web site (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ciwqs/index.html). The CIWQS Web site will provide additional information for SMR submittal in the event there will be a planned service interruption for electronic submittal.

2. The Discharger shall report in the SMR the results for all monitoring specified in this MRP under sections III through IX. The Discharger shall submit monthly SMR’s including the results of all required monitoring using U.S. EPA-approved test methods or other test methods specified in this Order. SMR’s are to include all new monitoring results obtained since the last SMR was submitted. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculations and reporting of the data submitted in the SMR.

3. Monitoring periods and reporting for all required monitoring shall be completed according to the following schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Monitoring Period Begins On…</th>
<th>Monitoring Period</th>
<th>SMR Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/Day</td>
<td>Permit effective date</td>
<td>(Midnight through 11:59 PM) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling.</td>
<td>Submit with monthly SMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/Week</td>
<td>Permit effective date</td>
<td>Sunday through Saturday</td>
<td>Submit with monthly SMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/Month</td>
<td>Permit effective date</td>
<td>1st day of calendar month through last day of calendar month</td>
<td>First day of second calendar month following month of sampling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table E-11. Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule
4. **Reporting Protocols.** The Discharger shall report with each sample result the applicable Reporting Level (RL) and the current laboratory’s Method Detection Limit (MDL), as determined by the procedure in 40 C.F.R. part 136.

The Discharger shall report the results of analytical determinations for the presence of chemical constituents in a sample using the following reporting protocols:

a. Sample results greater than or equal to the RL shall be reported as measured by the laboratory (i.e., the measured chemical concentration in the sample).

b. Sample results less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the laboratory’s MDL, shall be reported as “Detected, but Not Quantified,” or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.

For the purposes of data collection, the laboratory shall write the estimated chemical concentration next to DNQ. The laboratory may, if such information is available, include numerical estimates of the data quality for the reported result. Numerical estimates of data quality may be percent accuracy (± a percentage of the reported value), numerical ranges (low to high), or any other means considered appropriate by the laboratory.

c. Sample results less than the laboratory’s MDL shall be reported as “Not Detected,” or ND.

d. Dischargers are to instruct laboratories to establish calibration standards so that the Minimum Level (ML) value (or its equivalent if there is differential treatment of samples relative to calibration standards) is the lowest calibration standard. At no time is the Discharger to use analytical data derived from extrapolation beyond the lowest point of the calibration curve.

5. **Multiple Sample Data.** When determining compliance with an AMEL or MDEL for priority pollutants and more than one sample result is available, the Discharger shall compute the arithmetic mean unless the data set contains one or more reported determinations of “Detected, but Not Quantified” (DNQ) or “Not Detected” (ND). In those cases, the Discharger shall compute the median in place of the arithmetic mean in accordance with the following procedure:

a. The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.

b. The median value of the data set shall be determined. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an
even number of data points, then the median is the average of the two values around the middle unless one or both of the points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two data points where DNQ is lower than a value and ND is lower than DNQ.

6. The Discharger shall submit SMR’s in accordance with the following requirements:
   a. The Discharger shall arrange all reported data in a tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with interim and/or final effluent limitations. The Discharger is not required to duplicate the submittal of data that is entered in a tabular format within CIWQS. When electronic submittal of data is required and CIWQS does not provide for entry into a tabular format within the system, the Discharger shall electronically submit the data in a tabular format as an attachment.
   b. The Discharger shall attach a cover letter to the SMR. The information contained in the cover letter shall clearly identify violations of the WDR’s; discuss corrective actions taken or planned; and the proposed time schedule for corrective actions. Identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation.
   c. The Discharger shall attach all laboratory analysis sheets, including quality assurance/quality control information, with all its SMR’s for which sample analyses were performed.

7. The Discharger shall submit in the SMR’s calculations and reports in accordance with the following requirements:
   a. Dissolved Oxygen Receiving Water Limitations. The Discharger shall calculate and report monthly in the SMR: i) the dissolved oxygen concentration, ii) the percent of saturation in the main water mass, and iii) the 95th percentile dissolved oxygen concentration.
   b. Turbidity Receiving Water Limitations. The Discharger shall calculate and report the turbidity increase in the receiving water applicable to the natural turbidity condition specified in Section V.A.17.a-e. of the Limitations and Discharge Requirements.
   c. Temperature Receiving Water Limitations. The Discharger shall calculate and report the temperature increase in the receiving water based on the difference in temperature at Monitoring Locations RSW-001 and RSW-002.
   d. Log Yard Sprinkling. The Discharger shall report the dates on which log yard sprinkling occurred in the monthly SMR.
   e. Groundwater Monitoring Reports. The reports shall be prepared by or under the direction of registered professionals competent and proficient in the fields pertinent to the required activities, and shall bear the professional’s signature and stamp. Each quarterly report shall contain:
      i. Results of the monitoring of the groundwater in tabular format;
      ii. A narrative description of all preparatory, monitoring, sampling, and analytical testing activities for the groundwater monitoring. The narrative shall be sufficiently detailed to verify compliance with this Order. The narrative shall be supported by field logs for each well documenting depth to groundwater; parameters measured before, during, and after purging; method of purging; calculation of casing volume; and total volume of water purged;
iii. Calculation of groundwater elevations, determination of groundwater flow direction and gradient on the date of measurement, comparison of previous flow direction and gradient data, and discussion of seasonal trends if any;

iv. Summary data tables of historical and current groundwater elevations;

v. Copies of laboratory analytical report(s) for groundwater monitoring

C. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR’s) – Not Applicable

D. Other Reports

1. Special Study Reports and Progress Reports. As specified in the Special Provisions contained in section VI of the Order, special study and progress reports shall be submitted in accordance with the following reporting requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Provision</th>
<th>Reporting Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log Yard Flushing Study, Updated Work Plan (Special Provision VI.C.2.b)</td>
<td>1 October 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Yard Flushing Study, Notification Letter (Special Provision VI.C.2.b)</td>
<td>Required 1 September following the first wet weather season of the permit term if unable to conduct the Log Yard Flushing Study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Yard Flushing Study, Final Report (Special Provision VI.C.2.b)</td>
<td>Within 6 months of study completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Management Practice (BMP) Improvement Evaluation (Special Provision VI.C.2.c)</td>
<td>Within 60 days of action level exceedance or receiving water violation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation, Work Plan (Special Provision VI.C.2.d.)</td>
<td>Within 6 months following adoption of this Order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Quality Characterization, Final Report (Special Provision VI.C.2.d.)</td>
<td>Within 42 months of the effective date of this Order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidegradation Analysis Update, Final Report (Special Provision VI.C.2.e)</td>
<td>Within 42 months of the effective date of this Order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title 27 Exemption Analysis Update, Final Report (Special Provision VI.C.2.f)</td>
<td>Within 42 months of the effective date of this Order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) (Special Provision VI.C.3.b)</td>
<td>1 October 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The Discharger shall report the results of any special studies, acute and chronic toxicity testing, TRE/TIE, PMP, and Pollution Prevention Plan required by Special Provisions VI.C. The Discharger shall submit reports with the first monthly SMR scheduled to be submitted on or immediately following the report due date.

3. Within 60 days of permit adoption, the Discharger shall submit a report outlining reporting levels (RL’s), method detection limits (MDL’s), and analytical methods for the constituents listed in tables E-2, E-4, E-5, E-6, E-7, and E-9. In addition, no less than
6 months prior to conducting the effluent and receiving water characterization monitoring required in Section IX.C, the Discharger shall submit a report outlining RL’s, MDL’s, and analytical methods for the constituents listed in Table E-10. The Discharger shall comply with the monitoring and reporting requirements for CTR constituents as outlined in section 2.3 and 2.4 of the SIP. The maximum required reporting levels for priority pollutant constituents shall be based on the Minimum Levels (ML’s) contained in Appendix 4 of the SIP, determined in accordance with Section 2.4.2 and Section 2.4.3 of the SIP. In accordance with Section 2.4.2 of the SIP, when there is more than one ML value for a given substance, the Central Valley Water Board shall include as RL’s, in the permit, all ML values, and their associated analytical methods, listed in Appendix 4 that are below the calculated effluent limitation. The Discharger may select any one of those cited analytical methods for compliance determination. If no ML value is below the effluent limitation, then the Central Valley Water Board shall select as the RL, the lowest ML value, and its associated analytical method, listed in Appendix 4 for inclusion in the permit. Table E-10 provides required maximum reporting levels in accordance with the SIP.

4. **Annual Operations Report.** By 1 February of each year, the Discharger shall submit a written report to the Executive Officer containing the following:

a. The names and telephone numbers of persons to contact regarding the Facility for emergency and routine situations.

b. A statement certifying when the flow meter(s) and other monitoring instruments and devices were last calibrated, including identification of who performed the calibration.

c. The Discharger may also be requested to submit an annual report to the Central Valley Water Board with both tabular and graphical summaries of the monitoring data obtained during the previous year. Any such request shall be made in writing. The report shall discuss the compliance record. If violations have occurred, the report shall also discuss the corrective actions taken and planned to bring the discharge into full compliance with the waste discharge requirements.
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ATTACHMENT F – FACT SHEET

As described in section II.B of this Order, the Central Valley Water Board incorporates this Fact Sheet as findings of the Central Valley Water Board supporting the issuance of this Order. This Fact Sheet includes the legal requirements and technical rationale that serve as the basis for the requirements of this Order.

This Order has been prepared under a standardized format to accommodate a broad range of discharge requirements for Dischargers in California. Only those sections or subsections of this Order that are specifically identified as “not applicable” have been determined not to apply to this Discharger. Sections or subsections of this Order not specifically identified as “not applicable” are fully applicable to this Discharger.

I. PERMIT INFORMATION

The following table summarizes administrative information related to the Facility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table F-1. Facility Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WDID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIWQS Facility Place ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Contact, Title and Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorized Person to Sign and Submit Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billing Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major or Minor Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat to Water Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Permitted Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Design Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Water Type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Sierra Pacific Industries (hereinafter Discharger) is the owner and operator of Burney Division (hereinafter Facility), a sawmill and wood-burning cogeneration facility.

For the purposes of this Order, references to the “discharger” or “permittee” in applicable federal and state laws, regulations, plans, or policy are held to be equivalent to references to the Discharger herein.
B. The Facility discharges industrial storm water (as defined in Attachment A) to Canyon Creek, a water of the United States, tributary to Burney Creek and the Pit River within the Lower Pit watershed. The Discharger was previously regulated by Order R5-2007-0145 and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CA0003981 adopted on 26 October 2007 and expired on 1 October 2012. Attachment B provides a map of the area around the Facility. Attachment C provides a flow schematic of the Facility.

Prior to making any change in the point of discharge, place of use, or purpose of use of treated wastewater that results in a decrease of flow in any portion of a watercourse, the Discharger must file a petition with the State Water Board, Division of Water Rights, and receive approval for such a change. The State Water Board retains the jurisdictional authority to enforce such requirements under Water Code section 1211.

C. The Discharger filed a report of waste discharge (ROWD) and submitted an application for reissuance of its waste discharge requirements (WDR's) and NPDES permit on 10 February 2012. Supplemental information was requested on 2 March 2012 and received on 9 October 2012. The application was deemed complete on 2 March 2012. A site visit was conducted on 3 December 2012 to observe operations and collect additional data to develop permit limitations and requirements for waste discharge.

II. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The Facility is a sawmill and wood-burning cogeneration facility located in Burney, CA. Approximately 75% of the 216-acres, including all areas of industrial truck traffic and the log yard, is asphalt paved. The balance of the site is covered by vegetation.

The cogeneration plant produces 20 megawatts (MW) of electric power. Sawmill operations consist of log scaling, wet and dry log storage, mechanical log debarking, sawmill, planing mill, kilns, lumber storage, aboveground storage of petroleum hydrocarbons, equipment fueling and maintenance, storage of used equipment, paved and unpaved roadways, a truck shop, and an office. Wood waste from the sawmill is delivered to the cogeneration plant by conveyor.

A. Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment and Controls

1. Sawmill

The Facility processes approximately 168 million board feet of construction grade lumber annually. Logs are delivered by truck to the sawmill and are stacked on a 25-acre paved log yard. During the dry summer months, the logs are sprinkled with water to prevent the development of blue stain and end checking. When the wet season begins, the log yard sprinklers are shut off. Sprinkling usually ends in late October or early November; however, sprinkling may occur outside that timeframe when air temperatures exceed 50°F. Excess log yard runoff drains to the log yard pond system. Log yard runoff contains bark, sawdust, tannins and lignins, dissolved organics, and settleable and suspended solids.

The Facility includes a 2.2 million gallon log yard retention pond, a 0.94 million gallon evaporation pond, a 1.17 million gallon evaporation pond, a 1.18 million gallon substation pond, a 2.96 million gallon fire pond, and a 2.62 million gallon south retention pond (see site plan in Attachment C). Water stored in any one pond can ultimately be conveyed to other ponds for use (except for the two evaporation ponds from which stored water cannot be redrawn). All retention pond water is used to sprinkle logs in the log yard area during the dry season or during any extended dry periods throughout the year, used in sawmill operations, or used in cogeneration plant operations. Retention pond water contains process water pollutants including tannins and lignins. This Order prohibits discharges from the ponds.
The Facility is graded to direct process wastewater and industrial storm water from the log yard area (see definitions in Attachment A) to constructed log yard ditches. All process wastewater and industrial storm water from the log yard area passes through a bark separator to remove floating materials. During wet weather, first flush storm water from the log yard area is directed to the log yard retention pond. In event that capacity is reached in the log yard retention pond, excess flow can be pumped to the fire pond, the two evaporation ponds, the substation pond, or the storm water retention pond. Subsequent to the capture of first flush storm water, the control valve to the log yard retention pond is closed and storm water is screened and discharge to Canyon Creek at Discharge Point D-001.

For the purposes of this Order, and as defined in Attachment A, process wastewater for this Facility shall include log yard sprinkling water and “first flush” storm water. The first flush is defined as the first 2 inches of rainfall from the log yard commingled with residual pond water on the paved log yard following cessation of log yard sprinkling. The first flush collection may occur more than once in a wet season if the Discharger intermittently sprinkles logs with pond water during the wet season. Storm water runoff subsequent to the first flush is considered industrial storm water. The practice of collecting the first 2 inches of log yard runoff is considered a best management practice (BMP) to reduce pollutants in the storm water discharge to surface water and is based on a log yard flushing study conducted by a nearby discharger with similar operations. Order R5-2007-0145 required the Discharger to conduct a Log Yard Flushing Study to determine the minimum volume of flush or amount of rainfall that is required to ensure residual pollutants on the log yard have been sufficiently removed. However, the Discharger was unable to complete the study due to insufficient precipitation. Due to the variations in operations at different facilities, a site-specific study is necessary to confirm that capturing the first 2 inches of rainfall will ensure residual pollutants on the log yard have been sufficiently removed for discharges from the Facility. This Order requires the Discharger to complete a site-specific Log Yard Flushing Study and includes a reopener to modify the volume of flush or amount of rainfall that constitutes process wastewater (i.e., first flush) for this Facility based on the results of the study.

Constructed drainage, culverts, drop inlets, and piping direct storm water runoff from the fuel building, rolling stock shop, sawmill, oil shed, fuel house, cogeneration plant, and cooling tower to the fire pond. Storm water runoff from all other areas of the site discharge to Canyon Creek at outfalls SW-1, SW-2, SW-3, and SW-4 under the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Water Quality Order No. 97-03-DWQ, NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001, Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activities Excluding Construction Activities (General Industrial Storm Water Permit). This Order does not regulate discharges of general industrial storm water from these areas.

2. **Cogeneration Plant**

The cogeneration plant component of the Facility consists of a wood fuel storage area, a biomass fire (wood fueled) boiler, steam turbine, water treatment system, cooling tower, oil and chemical storage, and ash storage. The primary fuel source for the cogeneration plant is wood waste from the sawmill and wood waste from off-site sources. Natural gas is used as a supplementary fuel for startup and flame stabilization for the cogeneration plant’s boilers. The cogeneration plant requires process water to supply the boiler and cooling tower system. Supply groundwater is treated through reverse osmosis and chemical treatment for supplying boiler feedwater. The cogeneration plant wastes include: fuel storage leachate, boiler water blowdown, cooling tower blowdown, cooling tower treatment sludge, ash, and used petroleum products. Ash is transported to private
agricultural lands for use as a soil amendment. Boiler blowdown, cooling tower blowdown, and reject water from the reverse osmosis equipment is discharged to the fire pond. Fire pond water is drawn and chemically treated for use in the cooling tower system.

B. Discharge Points and Receiving Waters

1. The Facility is located in Section 24, T35N, R2E, MDB&M, as shown in Attachment B, a part of this Order.

2. Industrial storm water from the log yard is discharged at Discharge Point D-001 to Canyon Creek, a water of the United States and a tributary to Burney Creek and the Pit River at a point latitude 40° 52' 31.82" N and longitude 121° 42' 17.95" W.

C. Summary of Existing Requirements and Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) Data

Effluent limitations contained in Order R5-2007-0145 for discharges from Discharge Point D-001 (Monitoring Location EFF-001) and representative monitoring data from the term of Order R5-2007-0145 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations</th>
<th>Monitoring Data (January 2008 – March 2011)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
<td>Maximum Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>ml/L</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>standard units</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6.0 – 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Toxicity</td>
<td>% Survival</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>70(^1)(^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Minimum for any one bioassay.

2 Median for any three consecutive bioassays.

3 Represents the minimum observed percent survival.

D. Compliance Summary

The Central Valley Water Board issued Administrative Civil Liability (ACL) Complaint No. R5-2009-0546 on 3 June 2009 which proposed to assess a civil liability of $12,000 against the Discharger for violations of effluent limitations for settleable solids and acute toxicity that occurred between February and March 2009. The Discharger paid the mandatory minimum penalty of $12,000.

E. Planned Changes

The Discharger is proposing to land apply log yard water to Discharger-owned forest land adjacent to the northeastern end of the Facility. Water will be pumped to the application site and will be applied using sprinklers. Any surface water runoff from the application area would drain to surface ditches which drain to the log yard ditch.

III. APPLICABLE PLANS, POLICIES, AND REGULATIONS

The requirements contained in this Order are based on the requirements and authorities described in this section.
A. Legal Authorities

This Order serves as WDR’s pursuant to article 4, chapter 4, division 7 of the California Water Code (commencing with section 13260). This Order is also issued pursuant to section 402 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and implementing regulations adopted by the U.S. EPA and chapter 5.5, division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13370). It shall serve as an NPDES permit for point source discharges from this Facility to surface waters.

B. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

Under Water Code section 13389, this action to adopt an NPDES permit is exempt from the provisions of Chapter 3 of CEQA, (commencing with section 21100) of Division 13 of the Public Resources Code.


1. Water Quality Control Plans. Requirements of this Order specifically implement the applicable Water Quality Control Plans.


   The Basin Plan at II-2.00 states that the beneficial uses of any specifically identified water body generally apply to its tributary streams. The Basin Plan in Table II-1, Section II, does not specifically identify beneficial uses for Canyon Creek, but does identify present and potential uses for the Pit River from the mouth of Hat Creek to Shasta Lake, to which Canyon Creek, via Burney Creek, is tributary. In addition, the Basin Plan implements State Water Board Resolution 88-63, which established state policy that all waters, with certain exceptions, should be considered suitable or potentially suitable for municipal or domestic supply. Thus, beneficial uses applicable to Canyon Creek are as follows:

   **Table F-3. Basin Plan Beneficial Uses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge Point</th>
<th>Receiving Water Name</th>
<th>Beneficial Use(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Canyon Creek</td>
<td>Existing: Municipal and domestic supply (MUN); agricultural supply, including irrigation and stock watering (AGR); hydropower generation (POW); water contact recreation, including canoeing and rafting (REC-1); non-contact water recreation (REC-2); cold freshwater habitat (COLD); warm and cold spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (SPWN); and wildlife habitat. Potential: Warm freshwater habitat (WARM).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Existing: Municipal and domestic supply (MUN); agricultural supply (AGR); industrial service supply (IND); and industrial process supply (PRO).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

About forty criteria in the NTR applied in California. On 18 May 2000, U.S. EPA adopted the CTR. The CTR promulgated new toxics criteria for California and, in addition, incorporated the previously adopted NTR criteria that were applicable in the state. The CTR was amended on 13 February 2001. These rules contain federal water quality criteria for priority pollutants.

3. **State Implementation Policy.** On 2 March 2000, the State Water Board adopted the **Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California** (State Implementation Policy or SIP). The SIP became effective on 28 April 2000, with respect to the priority pollutant criteria promulgated for California by the U.S. EPA through the NTR and to the priority pollutant objectives established by the Central Valley Water Board in the Basin Plan. The SIP became effective on 18 May 2000, with respect to the priority pollutant criteria promulgated by the U.S. EPA through the CTR. The State Water Board adopted amendments to the SIP on 24 February 2005, that became effective on 13 July 2005. The SIP establishes implementation provisions for priority pollutant criteria and objectives and provisions for chronic toxicity control. Requirements of this Order implement the SIP.

4. **Antidegradation Policy.** Federal regulation 40 C.F.R. section 131.12 requires that the state water quality standards include an antidegradation policy consistent with the federal policy. The State Water Board established California’s antidegradation policy in State Water Board Resolution 68-16 (“Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California”). Resolution 68-16 is deemed to incorporate the federal antidegradation policy where the federal policy applies under federal law. Resolution 68-16 requires that existing water quality be maintained unless degradation is justified based on specific findings. The Central Valley Water Board’s Basin Plan implements, and incorporates by reference, both the State and federal antidegradation policies. The permitted discharge must be consistent with the antidegradation provision of 40 C.F.R. section 131.12 and State Water Board Resolution 68-16.

5. **Anti-Backsliding Requirements.** Sections 402(o) and 303(d)(4) of the CWA and federal regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(l) restrict backsliding in NPDES permits. These anti-backsliding provisions require that effluent limitations in a reissued permit must be as stringent as those in the previous permit, with some exceptions in which limitations may be relaxed.

6. **Domestic Water Quality.** In compliance with Water Code section 106.3, it is the policy of the State of California that every human being has the right to safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes. This Order promotes that policy by requiring discharges to meet maximum contaminant levels designed to protect human health and ensure that water is safe for domestic use.

7. **Endangered Species Act Requirements.** This Order does not authorize any act that results in the taking of a threatened or endangered species or any act that is now prohibited, or becomes prohibited in the future, under either the California Endangered Species Act (Fish and Game Code, §§ 2050 to 2097) or the Federal Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C.A. §§ 1531 to 1544). This Order requires compliance with effluent limits, receiving water limits, and other requirements to protect the beneficial uses of waters of the state. The Discharger is responsible for meeting all requirements of the applicable Endangered Species Act.

8. **Storm Water Requirements.** U.S. EPA promulgated federal regulations for storm water on 16 November 1990 in 40 C.F.R. parts 122, 123, and 124. The NPDES Industrial Storm Water Program regulates storm water discharges from sawmills and planing mills. Sawmills and planing mills are applicable industries under the storm water program and
are obligated to comply with the federal regulations. The Facility submitted its Notice of Intent (NOI) to be covered under the General Industrial Storm Water Permit on 4 April 2008. Discharges to Canyon Creek of storm water runoff from four storm water discharge points are subject to the requirements of the General Industrial Storm Water Permit.

The discharge of industrial storm water from the log yard could be regulated under the General Industrial Storm Water Permit. However, due to the complexity of the Facility operations and unique threats to water quality, the Central Valley Water Board has elected to regulate these discharges with an individual NPDES permit. Therefore, discharges of industrial storm water from the log yard are not covered under the General Industrial Storm Water Permit and are covered under this Order.

D. Impaired Water Bodies on CWA 303(d) List

1. Under section 303(d) of the 1972 CWA, states, territories and authorized tribes are required to develop lists of water quality limited segments. The waters on these lists do not meet water quality standards, even after point sources of pollution have installed the minimum required levels of pollution control technology. On 11 October 2011 U.S. EPA gave final approval to California's 2008-2010 section 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Segments. The Basin Plan references this list of Water Quality Limited Segments (WQLS's), which are defined as “…those sections of lakes, streams, rivers or other fresh water bodies where water quality does not meet (or is not expected to meet) water quality standards even after the application of appropriate limitations for point sources (40 C.F.R. part 130, et seq.)." The Basin Plan also states, “Additional treatment beyond minimum federal standards will be imposed on dischargers to [WQLSs]. Dischargers will be assigned or allocated a maximum allowable load of critical pollutants so that water quality objectives can be met in the segment.” Canyon Creek and Burney Creek are not listed as impaired waterbodies on the 2010 303(d) list. The Pit River, from the confluence of the North and South Forks to Shasta Lake, is listed as impaired for nutrients, organic enrichment/low dissolved oxygen, and temperature.

2. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL’s). U.S. EPA requires the Central Valley Water Board to develop TMDL’s for each 303(d) listed pollutant and water body combination. Table F-4, below, identifies the 303(d) listings and the status of each TMDL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Potential Sources</th>
<th>TMDL Completion¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>(2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Enrichment/Low Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>(2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>(2013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Dates in parenthesis are proposed TMDL completion dates.

3. The 303(d) listings and TMDL’s have been considered in the development of the Order. A pollutant-by-pollutant evaluation of each pollutant of concern is described in IV.C.3 of this Fact Sheet.

E. Other Plans, Polices and Regulations

1. Title 27. Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations (hereafter Title 27) contains regulatory requirements for the treatment, storage, processing, and disposal of solid waste. Discharges of wastewater to land, including but not limited to evaporation ponds or percolation ponds, may be exempt from the requirements of Title 27, CCR, based on
The Facility includes retention ponds, a fire pond, two evaporation ponds, and a substation pond that may be exempt from Title 27 pursuant to section 20090(b), the “wastewater exemption.” The wastewater exemption has the following preconditions for exemption from Title 27:

20090(b) Wastewater – Discharges of wastewater to land, including but not limited to evaporation ponds, percolation ponds, or subsurface leachfields if the following conditions are met:

(1) the applicable [regional water quality control board] has issued WDRs, or waived such issuance;
(2) the discharge is in compliance with the applicable water quality control plan; and
(3) the wastewater does not need to be managed . . . as a hazardous waste . . .

Because these ponds are unlined and wastewater contained in the ponds percolates to the underlying groundwater, additional investigation is needed to ensure the discharge is not causing an exceedance of water quality objectives and is in compliance with State and Federal antidegradation policies. This information is needed to verify that precondition (2) is met and the discharge is exempt from Title 27 under the wastewater exemption.

2. Wood Ash. Pursuant to state and federal regulations wood ash, classified as non-hazardous solid waste, may be beneficially reused as an agricultural soil amendment, or other appropriate use. This Order does not authorize storage, transportation, or disposal of ash or other wastes characterized as hazardous wastes. Appropriate separate regulatory coverage must be secured for such activities.

IV. RATIONALE FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS

Effluent limitations and toxic and pretreatment effluent standards established pursuant to sections 301 (Effluent Limitations), 302 (Water Quality Related Effluent Limitations), 304 (Information and Guidelines), and 307 (Toxic and Pretreatment Effluent Standards) of the CWA and amendments thereto are applicable to the discharge.

The CWA mandates the implementation of effluent limitations that are as stringent as necessary to meet water quality standards established pursuant to state or federal law [33 U.S.C., §1311(b)(1)(C); 40 C.F.R. § 122.44(d)(1)]. NPDES permits must incorporate discharge limits necessary to ensure that water quality standards are met. This requirement applies to narrative criteria as well as to criteria specifying maximum amounts of particular pollutants. Pursuant to federal regulations, 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d)(1)(i), NPDES permits must contain limits that control all pollutants that “are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any state water quality standard, including state narrative criteria for water quality.” Federal regulations, 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d)(1)(vi), further provide that “[w]here a state has not established a water quality criterion for a specific chemical pollutant that is present in an effluent at a concentration that causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an excursion above a narrative criterion within an applicable State water quality standard, the permitting authority must establish effluent limits.”

The CWA requires point source dischargers to control the amount of conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants that are discharged into the waters of the United States. The control of pollutants discharged is established through effluent limitations and other requirements in NPDES permits. There are two principal bases for effluent limitations in the Code of Federal
Regulations: 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(a) requires that permits include applicable technology-based limitations and standards; and 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d) requires that permits include WQBEL's to attain and maintain applicable numeric and narrative water quality criteria to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving water where numeric water quality objectives have not been established. The Basin Plan at page IV-17.00, contains an implementation policy, "Policy for Application of Water Quality Objectives", that specifies that the Central Valley Water Board "will, on a case-by-case basis, adopt numerical limitations in orders which will implement the narrative objectives." This Policy complies with 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d)(1). With respect to narrative objectives, the Central Valley Water Board must establish effluent limitations using one or more of three specified sources, including: (1) U.S. EPA's published water quality criteria, (2) a proposed state criterion (i.e., water quality objective) or an explicit state policy interpreting its narrative water quality criteria (i.e., the Central Valley Water Board's "Policy for Application of Water Quality Objectives") (40 C.F.R. § 122.44(d)(1)(vi)(A), (B) or (C)), or (3) an indicator parameter.

The Basin Plan includes numeric site-specific water quality objectives and narrative objectives for toxicity, chemical constituents, discoloration, radionuclides, and tastes and odors. The narrative toxicity objective states: “All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.” (Basin Plan at III-8.00) The Basin Plan states that material and relevant information, including numeric criteria, and recommendations from other agencies and scientific literature will be utilized in evaluating compliance with the narrative toxicity objective. The narrative chemical constituents objective states that waters shall not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses. At minimum, “…water designated for use as domestic or municipal supply (MUN) shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in excess of the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs)” in Title 22 of CCR. The Basin Plan further states that, to protect all beneficial uses, the Central Valley Water Board may apply limits more stringent than MCL’s. The narrative tastes and odors objective states: “Water shall not contain taste- or odor-producing substances in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to domestic or municipal water supplies or to fish flesh or other edible products of aquatic origin, or that cause nuisance, or otherwise adversely affect beneficial uses.”

A. Discharge Prohibitions

1. **Prohibition III.A (No discharge or application of waste other than that described in this Order).** This prohibition is based on Water Code section 13260 that requires filing of a ROWD before discharges can occur. The Discharger submitted a ROWD for the discharges described in this Order; therefore, discharges not described in this Order are prohibited.

2. **Prohibition III.B (No bypasses or overflow of untreated wastewater, except under the conditions at 40 C.F.R. section 122.41(m)(4)).** As stated in section I.G of Attachment D, Standard Provisions, this Order prohibits bypass from any portion of the treatment facility. Federal regulations, 40 C.F.R. section 122.41(m), define “bypass” as the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility. This section of the federal regulations, 40 C.F.R. section 122.41(m)(4), prohibits bypass unless it is unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage. In considering the Regional Water Board’s prohibition of bypasses, the State Water Board adopted a precedential decision, Order No. WQO 2002-0015, which cites the federal regulations, 40 C.F.R. section 122.41(m), as allowing bypass only for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation.

3. **Prohibition III.C (No controllable condition shall create a nuisance).** This prohibition is based on Water Code section 13050 that requires water quality objectives established
for the prevention of nuisance within a specific area. The Basin Plan prohibits conditions that create a nuisance.

4. **Prohibition III.D** (No discharge of recycle water from log yard sprinkling, commingled recycle and storm water, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, boiler feedwater treatment system effluent, or other waste of recognizable sawmill or cogeneration origin). Consistent with Order R5-2007-0145, this Order prohibits discharges of recycle water from log yard sprinkling, commingled recycle and storm water, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, boiler feedwater treatment system effluent, or other waste of recognizable sawmill or cogeneration origin.

5. **Prohibition III.E** (No discharge of storm water leachate from wood fuel stockpiles to surface waters or surface water drainage courses). Consistent with Order R5-2007-0145, this Order prohibits discharges of storm water leachate from wood fuel stockpiles to surface water or surface water drainage courses. This Order requires the Discharger to implement BMP’s to prevent these discharges.

6. **Prohibition III.F** (No discharge of ash, bark, sawdust, wood, or any waste recognized as originating from cogeneration operations). Consistent with Order R5-2007-0145, this Order prohibits the discharge of ash, bark, sawdust, wood, or any waste recognized as originating from cogeneration operations to surface waters or surface water drainage courses.

7. **Prohibition III.G.** (No discharge of wastewater from the log yard pond, fire pond, substation pond, or evaporation ponds off-site). Consistent with Order R5-2007-0145, this Order prohibits discharges of wastewater from the log yard pond, fire pond, substation pond, or evaporation ponds off-site, except to a suitable treatment plant or for reclamation purposes approved by the Executive Officer.

8. **Prohibition III.H** (No discharge of debris recognized as originating from the Facility). Effluent limitation guidelines (ELG’s) were established at 40 C.F.R. part 429, subpart I for the Wet Storage Subcategory of the Timber Products Point Source Category, which applies to discharges from the storage of logs or roundwood on land during which water is sprayed or deposited intentionally on the logs (wet decking). The Discharger stacks logs on a paved log yard and keeps them wet by a sprinkler system to prevent checking and blue staining, and thus the requirements of 40 C.F.R. part 429, subpart I are applicable to the Facility. 40 C.F.R. sections 429.101 and 429.103 require that existing point sources subject to subpart I achieve effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of best practicable control technology currently available (BPT) and best available technology economically achievable (BAT), respectively. For wet storage operations, 40 C.F.R. sections 429.101 and 429.103 both require that there shall be no debris discharged. Debris is defined as woody material such as bark, twigs, branches, heartwood, or sapwood that will not pass through a 2.54 cm (1.0 in) diameter round opening and is present in the discharge from a wet storage facility. Consistent with 40 C.F.R. sections 429.101 and 429.103, this Order prohibits discharges of debris recognized as originated from the Facility to surface waters or surface water drainage courses.

9. **Prohibition III.I** (No discharge of wastewater from barking, sawmill, and planing operations). ELG’s were established at 40 C.F.R. part 429, subpart A for the Barking Subcategory of the Timber Products Point Source Category, which applies to discharges from the barking of logs, and at subpart K for the Sawmills and Planing Mills Subcategory, which applies to discharges from timber products processing procedures that include bark removal, sawing, resawing, edging, trimming, planing, and machining. The Discharger operates barking, sawmill, and planing mill operations, and thus the
requirements of 40 C.F.R. part 429, subparts A and K are applicable to the Facility. 40 C.F.R. section 429.21(a) require that existing point sources subject to subpart A achieve effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of BPT. For mechanical barking operations, 40 C.F.R. section 429.21(a) requires that there shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants into navigable waters. 40 C.F.R. sections 429.121 and 429.123 require that existing point sources subject to subpart K achieve effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of BPT and BAT, respectively. For sawmill and planing mill operations, 40 C.F.R. sections 429.121 and 429.123 requires that there shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants into navigable waters. Consistent with 40 C.F.R. sections 429.21(a), 429.121, and 429.123, this Order prohibits discharges of process wastewater from barking, sawing, and planing operations.

B. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

1. Scope and Authority

Section 301(b) of the CWA and implementing U.S. EPA permit regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.44 require that permits include conditions meeting applicable technology-based requirements at a minimum, and any more stringent effluent limitations necessary to meet applicable water quality standards. The discharge authorized by this Order must meet minimum federal technology-based requirements based on Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) in accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 125.3.

The CWA requires that technology-based effluent limitations be established based on several levels of controls:

a. Best practicable treatment control technology (BPT) represents the average of the best existing performance by well-operated facilities within an industrial category or subcategory. BPT standards apply to toxic, conventional, and non-conventional pollutants.

b. Best available technology economically achievable (BAT) represents the best existing performance of treatment technologies that are economically achievable within an industrial point source category. BAT standards apply to toxic and non-conventional pollutants.

c. Best conventional pollutant control technology (BCT) represents the control from existing industrial point sources of conventional pollutants including BOD, TSS, fecal coliform, pH, and oil and grease. The BCT standard is established after considering a two-part reasonableness test. The first test compares the relationship between the costs of attaining a reduction in effluent discharge and the resulting benefits. The second test examines the cost and level of reduction of pollutants from the discharge from publicly owned treatment works to the cost and level of reduction of such pollutants from a class or category of industrial sources. Effluent limitations must be reasonable under both tests.

d. New source performance standards (NSPS) represent the best available demonstrated control technology standards. The intent of NSPS guidelines is to set limitations that represent state-of-the-art treatment technology for new sources.

The CWA requires U.S. EPA to develop effluent limitations, guidelines and standards (ELGs) representing application of BPT, BAT, BCT, and NSPS. Section 402(a)(1) of the CWA and 40 C.F.R. section 125.3 authorize the use of best professional judgment (BPJ) to derive technology-based effluent limitations on a case-by-case basis where ELGs are not available for certain industrial categories and/or pollutants of concern. Where BPJ is
used, the Central Valley Water Board must consider specific factors outlined in 40 C.F.R. section 125.3.

2. Applicable Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

a. Barking Operations. As discussed in section IV.A.9 of this Fact Sheet, ELG’s established at 40 C.F.R. part 429, subpart A for the Barking Subcategory of the Timber Products Point Source Category are applicable to the Facility. Consistent with 40 C.F.R. section 429.21(a), this Order establishes a prohibition of discharges of process wastewater from barking operations.

b. Wet Storage Operations. As discussed in section IV.A.8 of this Fact Sheet, ELG’s established at 40 C.F.R. part 429, subpart I for the Wet Storage Subcategory of the Timber Products Point Source Category are applicable to the Facility. Consistent with 40 C.F.R. sections 429.101 and 429.103 and Order R5-2007-0145, this Order prohibits discharges of debris recognized as originated from the Facility to surface waters or surface water drainage courses.

40 C.F.R. sections 429.101 and 103 also require that the pH be within the range of 6.0 to 9.0. The ELG’s for the Wet Storage Subcategory at 40 C.F.R. sections 429.101 and 429.103 are not directly applicable to discharges of industrial storm water (i.e., subsequent to the first flush) from the log yard. However, if an instantaneous minimum and maximum pH of 6.0 and 9.0, respectively, must be achieved for discharges of process wastewater from the log yard area, the Central Valley Water Board finds that it should also be achievable for subsequent discharges of industrial storm water. Therefore, this Order includes instantaneous minimum and maximum effluent limitations for pH of 6.0 and 9.0 for discharges of industrial storm water based on BPJ.

c. Sawmill and Planing Mill Operations. As discussed in section IV.A.5 of this Fact Sheet, ELG’s established at 40 C.F.R. part 429, subpart K for the Sawmills and Planing Mills Subcategory of the Timber Products Point Source Category are applicable to the Facility. Consistent with 40 C.F.R. sections 429.121, and 429.123, this Order establishes a prohibition of discharges of process wastewater from sawmill and planing mill operations.

Summary of Technology-based Effluent Limitations
Discharge Point D-001

Table F-5. Summary of Technology-based Effluent Limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Pollutants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>standard units</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)

1. Scope and Authority

CWA Section 301(b) and 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d) require that permits include limitations more stringent than applicable federal technology-based requirements where necessary to achieve applicable water quality standards.

Section 122.44(d)(1)(i) of 40 C.F.R. requires that permits include effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at levels that have the reasonable potential
to cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard, including numeric and narrative objectives within a standard. Where reasonable potential has been established for a pollutant, but there is no numeric criterion or objective for the pollutant, WQBEL’s must be established using: (1) U.S. EPA criteria guidance under CWA section 304(a), supplemented where necessary by other relevant information; (2) an indicator parameter for the pollutant of concern; or (3) a calculated numeric water quality criterion, such as a proposed state criterion or policy interpreting the state’s narrative criterion, supplemented with other relevant information, as provided in section 122.44(d)(1)(vi).

The process for determining reasonable potential and calculating WQBEL’s when necessary is intended to protect the designated uses of the receiving water as specified in the Basin Plan, and achieve applicable water quality objectives and criteria that are contained in other state plans and policies, or any applicable water quality criteria contained in the CTR and NTR.

As specified in 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(k), BMP’s may be used in lieu of numeric effluent limitations when:

a. Authorized under section 304(e) of the CWA for control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances for ancillary industrial activities;

b. Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of storm water discharges;

c. Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or

d. The practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purpose and intent of the CWA.

Section 402(p) of the CWA authorizes regulation of storm water discharges associated with industrial activities. Therefore, a combination of BMP’s, numeric effluent limitations, and receiving water limitations are utilized in this Order to regulate the discharge of pollutants in discharges of industrial storm water.

2. Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives

The Basin Plan designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and contains implementation programs and policies to achieve those objectives for all waters addressed through the plan. In addition, the Basin Plan implements State Water Board Resolution No. 88-63, which established state policy that all waters, with certain exceptions, should be considered suitable or potentially suitable for municipal or domestic supply.

The Basin Plan on page II-1.00 states: “Protection and enhancement of existing and potential beneficial uses are primary goals of water quality planning…” and with respect to disposal of wastewaters states that “…disposal of wastewaters is [not] a prohibited use of waters of the State; it is merely a use which cannot be satisfied to the detriment of beneficial uses.”

The federal CWA section 101(a)(2), states: “it is the national goal that wherever attainable, an interim goal of water quality which provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife, and for recreation in and on the water be achieved by July 1, 1983.” Federal Regulations, developed to implement the requirements of the CWA, create a rebuttable presumption that all waters be designated as fishable and swimmable. Federal Regulations, 40 C.F.R. sections 131.2 and 131.10, require that all waters of the State regulated to protect the beneficial uses of public water supply, protection and propagation of fish, shell fish and wildlife, recreation in and on the
water, agricultural, industrial and other purposes including navigation. 40 C.F.R. section 131.3(e) defines existing beneficial uses as those uses actually attained after 28 November 1975, whether or not they are included in the water quality standards. Federal Regulation, 40 C.F.R. section 131.10 requires that uses be obtained by implementing effluent limitations, requires that all downstream uses be protected and states that in no case shall a state adopt waste transport or waste assimilation as a beneficial use for any waters of the United States.

a. **Receiving Water and Beneficial Uses.** Upstream of the, Canyon Creek flows into and out of Fruit Growers Pond, which is located immediately adjacent to and west of the Facility. Canyon Creek is tributary to Burney Creek. Refer to section III.C.1. above for a complete description of the receiving water beneficial uses.

b. **Effluent and Ambient Background Data.** By 2010, the Discharger completed improvements to the Facility, including addition of a new 1-million gallon retention pond, modifications of the log yard area, drainage improvements, construction of concrete berms, increased process water conveyance from retention ponds for use in sawmill operations and cogeneration plant, and initial operational testing to remove and/or minimize all process-related wastewater from the discharge and now only discharges log yard area storm water to surface water. As a result, effluent monitoring data collected prior to January 2010 is not representative of current discharge quality. Therefore, the Central Valley Water Board only evaluated effluent and downstream monitoring data collected from January 2010 to March 2011 (no discharges from the Facility have occurred since March 2011) for compliance with water quality objectives.

c. **Assimilative Capacity/Mixing Zone.** Based on the available information, the worst-case dilution is assumed to be zero to provide protection for the receiving water beneficial uses. The impact of assuming zero dilution/assimilative capacity within the receiving water is that the discharge limitations are end-of-pipe limits with no allowance for dilution within the receiving water.

d. **Conversion Factors.** The CTR contains aquatic life criteria for arsenic, cadmium, chromium III, chromium VI, copper, lead, nickel, silver, and zinc which are presented in dissolved concentrations. U.S. EPA recommends conversion factors to translate dissolved concentrations to total concentrations. The default U.S. EPA conversion factors contained in Appendix 3 of the SIP were used to convert the applicable dissolved criteria to total recoverable criteria.

e. **Hardness-Dependent CTR Metals Criteria.** The CTR and the NTR contain water quality criteria for seven metals that vary as a function of hardness. The lower the hardness the lower the water quality criteria. The metals with hardness-dependent criteria include cadmium, copper, chromium III, lead, nickel, silver, and zinc. Based on seven samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011, the effluent hardness ranged from 106 mg/L to 156 mg/L, the upstream receiving water hardness ranged from 35 to 59 mg/L, and the downstream receiving water hardness ranged from 35 mg/L to 51 mg/L. The average hardness for both the upstream and downstream receiving water was approximately 40 mg/L. Due to the short duration, periodic nature of storm events and corresponding intermittent storm water discharges from the Facility, the CTR acute criteria calculated using an average receiving water hardness of 40 mg/L were used for evaluating compliance with water quality objectives for the storm water discharge.

3. **Determining the Need for WQBEL’s**
This Order regulates the discharge of storm water from industrial activity to surface water. The discharge is storm water; therefore the SIP provisions for establishment of effluent limitations for CTR constituents are not applicable to the discharge. However, due to the complexity of the Facility and unique threats to water quality, the Central Valley Water Board has elected to regulate this Facility with an individual NPDES permit and has conducted a review of effluent (storm water) and upstream and downstream receiving water data collected during the term of Order R5-2007-0145 and has compared this data with applicable water quality objectives and/or criteria to determine whether WQBEL’s are necessary to protect water quality. In addition, storm water discharge data has also been compared to storm water action levels to assess whether the storm water discharge could potentially impair, or contribute to impairing water quality or affect human health from ingestion of water or fish. The action levels are not effluent limits and should not be interpreted as such; they are merely levels which the Central Valley Water Board has used to determine if storm water discharge from a given facility merits further monitoring to ensure that the Facility has been successful in implementing the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

Storm water and receiving water monitoring data, applicable water quality criteria and objectives, and storm water action levels have been provided in Fact Sheet section II.C. and Attachment G.

Most constituents are not discussed in this Order, as the storm water discharge is well below the pollutant benchmark values and/or the water quality objectives/criteria for these constituents. However, the following constituents are notable for discussion upon assessment of the data.

a. **Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD).** COD is the amount of dissolved oxygen in water consumed by the chemical breakdown of organic and inorganic matter (i.e., COD is not a specific component in a discharge). A high COD value indicates elevated quantities of pollutants in runoff, especially carbon. The storm water benchmark value in U.S. EPA’s Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (MSGP) for General Sawmills and Planing Mills (SIC code 2421) for COD is 120 mg/L.

   Effluent COD ranged from 101 mg/L to 357 mg/L in seven samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011. Upstream and downstream receiving water monitoring data for COD is not available. Based on the levels of COD in the effluent and the nature of runoff from sawmill operations, a storm water action level of 120 mg/L for COD has been established in this Order based on the benchmark in U.S. EPA’s MSGP. If exceeded, the Discharger is required to evaluate and update, if necessary, the Facility’s BMP’s in order to reduce the COD in the storm water discharge.

b. **Copper.** The CTR includes hardness dependent criteria for the protection of freshwater aquatic life for copper. These criteria for copper are presented in dissolved concentrations, as 1-hour acute criteria and 4-day chronic criteria. U.S. EPA recommends conversion factors to translate dissolved concentrations to total concentrations. Default U.S. EPA conversion factors were used for calculating the criteria. The Basin Plan also includes hardness-dependent criteria for copper for the Sacramento River and its tributaries above the State Highway 32 bridge at Hamilton City, expressed in dissolved concentrations. Due to the short duration, periodic nature of storm events and corresponding intermittent storm water discharges from the Facility, the CTR freshwater acute criterion was selected as the action level for evaluating the impacts of the storm water discharge.
As shown in the following table, based on paired copper and hardness data, the downstream receiving water dissolved and total copper concentrations did not exceed the applicable CTR criteria or Basin Plan objectives based on seven samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011. In addition, copper levels in the storm water discharge, both total recoverable and dissolved concentrations, did not exceed applicable criteria. Therefore, a storm water action level for copper has not been established in this Order.

Table F-6. Effluent and Receiving Water Data for Copper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Date</th>
<th>Copper Results (µg/L)</th>
<th>Hardness Results (mg/L)</th>
<th>CTR Acute Criterion (µg/L)</th>
<th>Basin Plan Objective (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eff</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 January 2010</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 February 2010</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 March 2010</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 December 2010</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 January 2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 February 2011</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 March 2011</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recoverable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 January 2010</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 December 2010</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the data reported for total recoverable iron by the Discharger, the average annual total recoverable iron concentrations for the storm water discharge and the receiving water are summarized in the table below.

Table F-7. Effluent and Receiving Water Data for Iron

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Date</th>
<th>EFF-001</th>
<th>RSW-001</th>
<th>RSW-002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Result (µg/L)</td>
<td>Annual Average (µg/L)</td>
<td>Result (µg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 January 2010</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 February 2010</td>
<td>1,360</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 March 2010</td>
<td>1,060</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 December 2010</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td></td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 January 2011</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 February 2011</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 March 2011</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the data reported for total recoverable iron by the Discharger, the average annual iron concentrations in the downstream receiving water limitation did not exceed the Secondary MCL of 300 µg/L or the freshwater aquatic life chronic...
criterion of 1,000 μg/L. Therefore, a storm water action level for iron has not been established in this Order.

d. **Manganese.** The Secondary MCL – Consumer Acceptance Limit for manganese is 50 μg/L. The Basin Plan water quality objectives for chemical constituents requires that water designated for use as domestic or municipal supply (MUN) shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in excess of the MCL’s specified in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations.

The Discharger sampled the effluent and upstream and downstream receiving water seven times between January 2010 and March 2011 for total recoverable manganese. The average annual total recoverable manganese concentrations for the storm water discharge and the receiving water are summarized in the table below.

**Table F-8. Effluent and Receiving Water Data for Manganese**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Date</th>
<th>EFF-001 Result (µg/L)</th>
<th>EFF-001 Annual Average (µg/L)</th>
<th>RSW-001 Result (µg/L)</th>
<th>RSW-001 Annual Average (µg/L)</th>
<th>RSW-002 Result (µg/L)</th>
<th>RSW-002 Annual Average (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 January 2010</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 February 2010</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 March 2010</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 December 2010</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 January 2011</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 February 2011</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 March 2011</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the data reported for total recoverable manganese by the Discharger, the average annual manganese concentrations in the downstream receiving water limitation did not exceed the Secondary MCL of 50 μg/L. Therefore, a storm water action level for manganese has not been established in this Order based on the Secondary MCL. Effluent and receiving water monitoring for manganese are established in this Order to monitor compliance with the water quality objective (i.e., secondary MCL) for Canyon Creek.

e. **pH.** The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective for surface waters (except for Goose Lake) that the “…pH shall not be depressed below 6.5 nor raised above 8.5.” The effluent pH ranged from 6.7 to 7.29 and the downstream receiving water pH ranged from 6.95 to 7.43 based on 13 samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011. Based on monitoring data indicating that the downstream receiving water is in compliance with the Basin Plan objectives, the Central Valley Water Board finds that the discharge does not exhibit reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of the Basin Plan water quality objectives for pH. Therefore, this Order does not include WQBEL’s or action levels for pH. However, as discussed in section IV.B.2 of this Fact Sheet, this Order includes technology-based minimum and maximum effluent limitations of 6.0 and 9.0, respectively, based on BPJ for discharges of industrial storm water.

f. **Settleable Solids.** For inland surface waters, the Basin Plan states that “[w]ater shall not contain substances in concentrations that result in the deposition of material that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.” Consistent with Order R5-2007-0145, this Order contains an AMEL and MDEL of 0.1 ml/L and 0.2 ml/L, respectively. The settleable solids limits in this Order are based on what can reasonably be achieved in a well-designed, constructed and operated settling
g. **Tannins and Lignins.** For inland surface waters, the Basin Plan states that “[w]ater shall be free of discoloration that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.” No numeric criteria or objectives for tannins and lignins have been developed. Tannins and lignins are generated from wood products and could cause discoloration or a pH shift of the effluent or receiving water. Some studies have indicated that elevated levels of tannins and lignins are harmful to aquatic life. Furthermore, in March 2011, the Discharger submitted a TRE Action Plan that identified concentrations of tannins and lignins as a likely cause of chronic toxicity observed in the discharge.

Effluent tannins and lignins ranged from 4.84 mg/L to 46.8 mg/L in seven samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011. Upstream receiving water tannins and lignins ranged from 0.39 mg/L to 1.29 mg/L in seven samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011. Downstream receiving water tannins and lignins ranged from 0.34 mg/L to 1.55 mg/L in seven samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011. Based on the levels of tannins and lignins in the effluent and the nature of runoff from sawmill operations, a storm water action level of 30 mg/L for tannins and lignins has been established in this Order. If exceeded, the Discharger is required to evaluate and update, if necessary, the Facility’s BMP’s in order to reduce tannins and lignins in the storm water discharge.

h. **Total Suspended Solids (TSS).** For inland surface waters, the Basin Plan states, “[w]aters shall not contain suspended material in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.” The storm water benchmark value in U.S. EPA’s MSGP for General Sawmills and Planing Mills (SIC code 2421) for TSS is 100 mg/L.

Effluent TSS ranged from 3 mg/L to 61 mg/L in seven samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011. Upstream receiving water TSS ranged from <2 mg/L to 3 mg/L in seven samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011. TSS was not detected in the downstream receiving water based on seven samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011. Based on the levels of TSS in the effluent and the nature of runoff from sawmill operations, an annual average storm water action level of 100 mg/L and a maximum daily storm water action level of 400 mg/L for TSS has been established in this Order based on the benchmark numeric action level (NAL) values in Table 2 of the General Permit for Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activities Order 2014-0057-DWQ, NPDES Order No. CAS000001 (Industrial General Permit or IGP). If exceeded, the Discharger is required to evaluate and update, if necessary, the Facility’s BMP’s in order to reduce the TSS in the storm water discharge.

i. **Zinc.** The CTR includes hardness dependent criteria for the protection of freshwater aquatic life for zinc. These criteria for zinc are presented in dissolved concentrations, as 1-hour acute criteria and 4-day chronic criteria. U.S. EPA recommends conversion factors to translate dissolved concentrations to total concentrations. Default U.S. EPA conversion factors were used for calculating the criteria. The Basin Plan also includes hardness-dependent criteria for zinc for the Sacramento River and its tributaries above the State Highway 32 bridge at Hamilton City, expressed in dissolved concentrations. Due to the short duration, periodic nature of storm events and corresponding intermittent storm water discharges from basin for the types of contaminants encountered in the timber industry (wood debris and soil particles).
the Facility, the CTR freshwater acute criterion was selected as the action level for evaluating the impacts of the storm water discharge.

As shown in the following table, based on paired zinc and hardness data, the downstream receiving water dissolved and total zinc concentrations did not exceed the applicable CTR criteria or Basin Plan objectives based on seven samples collected between January 2010 and March 2011. However, based on the elevated levels of zinc in the effluent and nature of runoff from sawmill operations, a storm water action level for zinc of 55 µg/L has been established in this Order based on the CTR acute criterion calculated using the average receiving water hardness of 40 mg/L. If exceeded, the Discharger is required to evaluate and update, if necessary, the Facility’s BMP’s in order to reduce zinc in the storm water discharge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Date</th>
<th>Zinc Results (µg/L)</th>
<th>Hardness Results (mg/L)</th>
<th>CTR Acute Criterion (µg/L)</th>
<th>Basin Plan Objective (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eff</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>Down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 January 2010</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 February 2010</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 March 2010</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 December 2010</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 January 2011</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 February 2011</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 March 2011</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Recoverable</strong></td>
<td><strong>40.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>37</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **WQBEL Calculations**

a. This Order includes WQBEL’s for settleable solids. The general methodology for calculating WQBEL’s based on the different criteria/objectives is described in subsections IV.C.5.b through e, below.

b. **Effluent Concentration Allowance.** For each water quality criterion/objective, the ECA is calculated using the following steady-state mass balance equation from Section 1.4 of the SIP:

\[
ECA = C + D(C - B) \quad \text{where } C > B, \text{ and} \\
ECA = C \quad \text{where } C \leq B
\]

where:

- ECA = effluent concentration allowance
- D = dilution credit
- C = the priority pollutant criterion/objective
- B = the ambient background concentration.

According to the SIP, the ambient background concentration (B) in the equation above shall be the observed maximum with the exception that an ECA calculated from a priority pollutant criterion/objective that is intended to protect human health from carcinogenic effects shall use the arithmetic mean concentration of the ambient background samples. For ECA’s based on MCL’s, which implement the Basin...
Plan’s chemical constituents objective and are applied as annual averages, an arithmetic mean is also used for B due to the long-term basis of the criteria.

c. **Basin Plan Objectives and MCL’s.** For WQBEL’s based on site-specific numeric Basin Plan objectives or MCL’s, the effluent limitations are applied directly as the ECA as either an MDEL, AMEL, or average annual effluent limitations, depending on the averaging period of the objective.

d. **Aquatic Toxicity Criteria.** WQBEL’s based on acute and chronic aquatic toxicity criteria are calculated in accordance with Section 1.4 of the SIP. The ECA’s are converted to equivalent long-term averages (i.e., LTA_{acute} and LTA_{chronic}) using statistical multipliers and the lowest LTA is used to calculate the AMEL and MDEL using additional statistical multipliers.

e. **Human Health Criteria.** WQBEL’s based on human health criteria, are also calculated in accordance with Section 1.4 of the SIP. The AMEL is set equal to ECA and a statistical multiplier was used to calculate the MDEL.

\[
AMEL = \text{mult}_{AMEL} \left[ \min(M_A ECA_{acute}, M_C ECA_{chronic}) \right]
\]

\[
MDEL = \text{mult}_{MDEL} \left[ \min(M_A ECA_{acute}, M_C ECA_{chronic}) \right]
\]

\[
MDEL_{HH} = \left( \frac{\text{mult}_{MDEL}}{\text{mult}_{AMEL}} \right) AMEL_{HH}
\]

where:
- \text{mult}_{AMEL} = \text{statistical multiplier converting minimum LTA to AMEL}
- \text{mult}_{MDEL} = \text{statistical multiplier converting minimum LTA to MDEL}
- M_A = \text{statistical multiplier converting acute ECA to } LTA_{acute}
- M_C = \text{statistical multiplier converting chronic ECA to } LTA_{chronic}

### Summary of Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

**Discharge Point D-001**

Table F-10. Summary of Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Conventional Pollutants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>ml/L</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)**

For compliance with the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective, this Order requires the Discharger to conduct whole effluent toxicity testing for acute and chronic toxicity, as specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E section V.). This Order also contains effluent limitations for acute toxicity and requires the Discharger to
implement BMP’s to investigate the causes of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity.

a. **Acute Aquatic Toxicity.** The Basin Plan contains a narrative toxicity objective that states, “All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.” (Basin Plan at page III-8.00) The Basin Plan also states that, “…effluent limits based upon acute biotoxicity tests of effluents will be prescribed where appropriate…”

For priority pollutants, the SIP dictates the procedures for conducting the RPA. Acute toxicity is not a priority pollutant. Therefore, the Central Valley Water Board is not restricted to one particular RPA method. Therefore, due to the site-specific conditions of the discharge, the Central Valley Water Board has used professional judgment in determining the appropriate method for conducting the RPA.

U.S. EPA’s September 2010 NPDES Permit Writer’s Manual, page 6-30, states, “State implementation procedures might allow, or even require, a permit writer to determine reasonable potential through a qualitative assessment process without using available facility-specific effluent monitoring data or when such data are not available…A permitting authority might also determine that WQBEL’s are required for specific pollutants for all facilities that exhibit certain operational or discharge characteristics (e.g., WQBEL’s for pathogens in all permits for POTW’s discharging to contact recreational waters).” Acute toxicity effluent limits are required to ensure compliance with the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective.

U.S. EPA Region 9 provided guidance for the development of acute toxicity effluent limitations in the absence of numeric water quality objectives for toxicity in its document titled “Guidance for NPDES Permit Issuance”, dated February 1994. In section B.2. "Toxicity Requirements" (pgs. 14-15) it states that, "In the absence of specific numeric water quality objectives for acute and chronic toxicity, the narrative criterion 'no toxics in toxic amounts’ applies. Achievement of the narrative criterion, as applied herein, means that ambient waters shall not demonstrate for acute toxicity: 1) less than 90% survival, 50% of the time, based on the monthly median, or 2) less than 70% survival, 10% of the time, based on any monthly median. For chronic toxicity, ambient waters shall not demonstrate a test result of greater than 1 TUc." Consistent with Order R5-2007-0145, effluent limitations for acute toxicity have been included in this Order as follows:

**Acute Toxicity.** Survival of aquatic organisms in 96-hour bioassays of undiluted waste shall be no less than:

- Minimum for any one bioassay: 70%
- Median for any three consecutive bioassays: 90%

b. **Chronic Aquatic Toxicity.** The Basin Plan contains a narrative toxicity objective that states, “All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.” (Basin Plan at page III-8.00.) The results of chronic WET testing performed by the Discharger between January 2010 and March 2011 are summarized in the following table.
Table F-11. Whole Effluent Chronic Toxicity Testing Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Fathead Minnow</th>
<th>Water Flea</th>
<th>Green Algae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Survival (TUc)</td>
<td>Growth (TUc)</td>
<td>Survival (TUc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 January 2010</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 February 2010¹</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 March 2010¹</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 December 2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 December 2010¹</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 January 2011¹</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 January 2011¹</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples collected under accelerated monitoring requirements. S.Capricornutum WET testing not required on 16 February 2010 and 2 March 2010.

No dilution has been granted for the chronic condition. Therefore, chronic toxicity testing results exceeding 1 chronic toxicity unit (TUc) demonstrates the discharge has a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective. Based on the observed toxicity in chronic WET testing performed by the Discharger between January 2010 and March 2011, this Order includes a chronic toxicity action level of 1 TUc for discharges of industrial storm water. If exceeded, the Discharger is required to evaluate and update, if necessary, the Facility’s BMP’s in order to reduce chronic toxicity in the storm water discharge.

The Monitoring and Reporting Program of this Order requires annual chronic WET monitoring for demonstration of compliance with the narrative toxicity objective. In addition to WET monitoring, the Special Provision in section VI.C.2.a of the Order includes a numeric toxicity monitoring trigger, requirements for accelerated monitoring, and requirements for Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) or Toxicity Evaluation Study initiation if toxicity is demonstrated.

Numeric chronic WET effluent limitations have not been included in this Order. The SIP contains implementation gaps regarding the appropriate form and implementation of chronic toxicity limits. This has resulted in the petitioning of a NPDES permit in the Los Angeles Region¹ that contained numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitations. To address the petition, the State Water Board adopted WQO 2003-012 directing its staff to revise the toxicity control provisions in the SIP. The State Water Board states the following in WQO 2003-012, “In reviewing this petition and receiving comments from numerous interested persons on the propriety of including numeric effluent limitations for chronic toxicity in NPDES permits for publicly-owned treatment works that discharge to inland waters, we have determined that this issue should be considered in a regulatory setting, in order to allow for full public discussion and deliberation. We intend to modify the SIP to specifically address the issue. We anticipate that review will occur within the next year. We therefore decline to make a determination here regarding the propriety of the final numeric effluent limitations for chronic toxicity contained in these permits.” The process to revise the SIP is currently underway. Proposed changes include clarifying the appropriate form of effluent toxicity limits in NPDES permits and general expansion and

¹ In the Matter of the Review of Own Motion of Waste Discharge Requirements Order Nos. R4-2002-0121 [NPDES No. CA0054011] and R4-2002-0123 [NPDES NO. CA0055119] and Time Schedule Order Nos. R4-2002-0122 and R4-2002-0124 for Los Coyotes and Long Beach Wastewater Reclamation Plants Issued by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region SWRCB/OCC FILES A-1496 AND 1496(a)
standardization of toxicity control implementation related to the NPDES permitting process. Since the toxicity control provisions in the SIP are under revision it is infeasible to develop numeric effluent limitations for chronic toxicity. Therefore, this Order requires that the Discharger meet BMP’s for compliance with the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective, as allowed under 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(k).

To ensure compliance with the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective, the Discharger is required to conduct chronic WET testing, as specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E section V.). Furthermore, the Special Provision contained at VI.C.2.a. of this Order requires the Discharger to investigate the causes of, and identify and implement corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity. If the discharge demonstrates toxicity exceeding the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger, the Discharger is required to initiate a TRE in accordance with an approved TRE workplan, or conduct a Toxicity Evaluation Study approved by the Executive Officer. The numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is not an effluent limitation; it is the toxicity threshold at which the Discharger is required to perform accelerated chronic toxicity monitoring, as well as, the threshold to initiate a TRE if effluent toxicity has been demonstrated.

D. Final Effluent Limitation Considerations

1. Mass-based Effluent Limitations

40 C.F.R. section 122.45(f)(1) requires effluent limitations be expressed in terms of mass, with some exceptions, and 40 C.F.R. section 122.45(f)(2) allows pollutants that are limited in terms of mass to additionally be limited in terms of other units of measurement. This Order does not include effluent limitations expressed in terms of mass. Pursuant to the exceptions to mass limitations provided in 40 C.F.R. section 122.45(f)(1), some effluent limitations are not expressed in terms of mass, such as pH and temperature, and when the applicable standards are expressed in terms of concentration (e.g., CTR criteria and MCL’s) and mass limitations are not necessary to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving water.

2. Averaging Periods for Effluent Limitations

For non-continuous discharges, such as those from the Facility, 40 C.F.R. section 122.45(e) states:

(e) Non-continuous discharges. Discharges which are not continuous, as defined in §122.2, shall be particularly described and limited, considering the following factors, as appropriate:

(1) Frequency (for example, a batch discharge shall not occur more than once every 3 weeks);

(2) Total mass (for example, not to exceed 100 kilograms of zinc and 200 kilograms of chromium per batch discharge);

(3) Maximum rate of discharge of pollutants during the discharge (for example, not to exceed 2 kilograms of zinc per minute); and

(4) Prohibition or limitation of specified pollutants by mass, concentration, or other appropriate measure (for example, shall not contain at any time more than 0.1 mg/1 zinc or more than 250 grams (1/4 kilogram) of zinc in any discharge).

Thus, the Central Valley Water Board is not restricted to a particular averaging period for non-continuous discharges. For settleable solids, this Order includes an AMEL and an MDEL, consistent with the effluent limitations in Order R5-2007-0145.
3. Satisfaction of Anti-Backsliding Requirements

The CWA specifies that a revised permit may not include effluent limitations that are less stringent than the previous permit unless a less stringent limitation is justified based on exceptions to the anti-backsliding provisions contained in CWA sections 402(o) or 303(d)(4), or, where applicable, 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(l).

All effluent limitations in this Order are at least as stringent as the effluent limitations in the previous Order.

4. Antidegradation Policies

a. **Surface Water.** This Order does not allow for an increase in flow or mass of pollutants to the receiving water. Therefore, a complete antidegradation analysis is not necessary. The Order requires compliance with applicable federal technology-based standards and with WQBEL’s where the discharge could have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of water quality standards. The permitted discharge is consistent with the antidegradation provisions of 40 C.F.R. section 131.12 and State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16. Compliance with these requirements will result in the use of best practicable treatment or control of the discharge. The impact on existing water quality will be insignificant.

b. **Groundwater.** The Discharger utilizes retention ponds, a fire pond, two evaporation ponds, and a substation pond to store process wastewater on site. Percolation from the ponds may result in an increase in the concentration of pollutant constituents in groundwater. Groundwater data is unavailable to verify whether the Discharger has caused groundwater degradation and to verify Title 27 exemptions applicable to the discharge. Therefore, this Order requires the Discharger to submit an Antidegradation Analysis Update and a Title 27 Exemption Analysis Update as required in sections VI.C.2.e and VI.C.2.f. After reviewing the Antidegradation Analysis Update and the Title 27 Exemption Analysis Update, the Central Valley Water Board may reopen this Order as described in section VI.C.1 of this Order. The Central Valley Water Board may find that the existing discharge is or is not consistent with State and Federal antidegradation policies, that additional information is necessary, or that Facility modifications sufficient to bring the discharge into compliance with antidegradation policies is required.

The increase in the concentration of pollutants in groundwater must be consistent with Resolution No. 68-16. Any increase in pollutant concentrations in groundwater must be consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the State of California. Some degradation of groundwater by the Discharger is consistent with Resolution No. 68-16 provided that:

i. the degradation is limited in extent;

ii. the degradation after effective source control, treatment, and control is limited to waste constituents typically encountered in municipal wastewater as specified in the groundwater limitations in this Order;

iii. the Discharger minimizes the degradation by fully implementing, regularly maintaining, and optimally operating best practicable treatment and control (BPTC) measures; and

iv. the degradation does not result in water quality less than that prescribed in the Basin Plan.
5. Stringency of Requirements for Individual Pollutants

This Order contains both technology-based effluent limitations and WQBEL’s for individual pollutants. The technology-based effluent limitations consist of restrictions on pH. Restrictions on pH are discussed in section IV.B.2 of this Fact Sheet. This Order’s technology-based pollutant restrictions implement the minimum, applicable federal technology-based requirements. These limitations are not more stringent than required by the CWA.

WQBEL’s have been derived to implement water quality objectives that protect beneficial uses. Both the beneficial uses and the water quality objectives have been approved pursuant to federal law and are the applicable federal water quality standards. To the extent that toxic pollutant WQBEL’s were derived from the CTR, the CTR is the applicable standard pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 131.38. The procedures for calculating the individual WQBEL’s for priority pollutants are based on the CTR implemented by the SIP, which was approved by U.S. EPA on 18 May 2000. All beneficial uses and water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan were approved under state law and submitted to and approved by U.S. EPA prior to 30 May, 2000. Any water quality objectives and beneficial uses submitted to U.S. EPA prior to 30 May 2000, but not approved by U.S. EPA before that date, are nonetheless “applicable water quality standards for purposes of the CWA” pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 131.21(c)(1). Collectively, this Order’s restrictions on individual pollutants are no more stringent than required to implement the requirements of the CWA.

Summary of Final Effluent Limitations
Discharge Point D-001

### Table F-12. Summary of Final Effluent Limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations</th>
<th>Basis¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
<td>Maximum Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conventional Pollutants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>standard units</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Conventional Pollutants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>ml/L</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Toxicity</td>
<td>% Survival</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>70²/90³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ BPJ – Based on Best Professional Judgment.
² Minimum for any one bioassay.
³ Median for any three consecutive bioassays.

E. Interim Effluent Limitations – Not Applicable

F. Land Discharge Specifications – Not Applicable

G. Recycling Specifications – Not Applicable
V. RATIONALE FOR RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS

A. Surface Water

1. CWA section 303(a-c), requires states to adopt water quality standards, including criteria where they are necessary to protect beneficial uses. The Central Valley Water Board adopted water quality criteria as water quality objectives in the Basin Plan. The Basin Plan states that “the numerical and narrative water quality objectives define the least stringent standards that the Regional Water Board will apply to regional waters in order to protect the beneficial uses.” The Basin Plan includes numeric and narrative water quality objectives for various beneficial uses and water bodies. This Order contains receiving surface water limitations based on the Basin Plan numerical and narrative water quality objectives for bacteria, biostimulatory substances, color, chemical constituents, dissolved oxygen, floating material, oil and grease, pH, pesticides, radioactivity, suspended sediment, settleable substances, suspended material, tastes and odors, temperature, toxicity, and turbidity.

a. pH. Order R5-2007-0145 established a receiving water limitation for pH specifying that discharges from the Facility shall not cause the ambient pH to change by more than 0.5 units based on the water quality objective for pH in the Basin Plan. The Central Valley Water Board adopted Resolution R5-2007-0136 on 25 October 2007, amending the Basin Plan to delete the portion of the pH water quality objective that limits the change in pH to 0.5 units and the allowance of averaging periods for pH. The Basin Plan amendment has been approved by the State Water Board, the Office of Administrative Law, and U.S. EPA. Consistent with the revised water quality objective in the Basin Plan, this Order does not require a receiving water limitation for pH change.

In Finding No. 14 of Resolution R5-2007-0136 the Central Valley Water Board found that the change in the pH receiving water objective is consistent with the State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16, in that the changes to water quality objectives (i) consider maximum benefit to the people of the State, (ii) will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial use of waters, and (iii) will not result in water quality less than that prescribed in policies, and is consistent with the federal Antidegradation Policy (40 C.F.R. § 131.12).

The relaxation of the pH receiving water limitation will protect aquatic life and other beneficial uses and will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial uses nor result in water quality less than described in applicable policies. The relaxation of the receiving water limitation is not expected to cause other impacts on water quality. The Central Valley Water Board finds that the relaxation of the pH receiving water limitation (i) is to the maximum benefit to the people of the State, (ii) will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial use of waters, and (iii) will not result in water quality less than that prescribed in policies, and is consistent with the federal Antidegradation Policy (40 C.F.R. §131.12).

The revised receiving water limitation for pH, which is based on the amendment to the Basin Plan's pH water quality objective, reflects current scientifically supported pH requirements for the protection of aquatic life and other beneficial uses. The revised receiving water limitation for pH is more consistent with the current U.S. EPA recommended criteria and is fully protective of aquatic life and the other beneficial uses listed in the Basin Plan. Changes in pH when pH is maintained within the range of 6.5 to 8.5 are neither beneficial nor adverse and, therefore, are not considered to be degradation in water quality. Attempting to restrict pH changes to 0.5 pH units would incur substantial costs without demonstrable benefits to
beneficial uses. Thus, any changes in pH that would occur under the revised pH limitation would not only be protective of beneficial uses, but also would be consistent with maximum benefit to people of the State. Therefore the proposed amendment will not violate antidegradation policies.

b. **Turbidity.** Order R5-2007-0145 established a receiving water limitation for turbidity specifying that discharges from the Facility shall not cause the turbidity to increase more than 1 NTU where natural turbidity is between 0 and 5 NTU based on the water quality objective for turbidity in the Basin Plan. The Central Valley Water Board adopted Resolution R5-2007-0136 on 25 October 2007, amending the Basin Plan to limit turbidity to 2 NTU when the natural turbidity is less than 1 NTU. The Basin Plan amendment has been approved by the State Water Board, the Office of Administrative Law, and U.S. EPA. Consistent with the revised water quality objective in the Basin Plan, this Order limits turbidity to 2 NTU when the natural turbidity is less than 1 NTU.

In Finding No. 14 of Resolution R5-2007-0136 the Central Valley Water Board found that the change in the turbidity receiving water objective is consistent with the State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16, in that the changes to water quality objectives (i) consider maximum benefit to the people of the State, (ii) will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial use of waters, and (iii) will not result in water quality less than that prescribed in policies, and is consistent with the federal Antidegradation Policy (40 C.F.R. § 131.12).

The relaxation of the turbidity receiving water limitation will protect aquatic life and other beneficial uses and will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial uses nor result in water quality less than described in applicable policies. The relaxation of the receiving water limitation is not expected to cause other impacts on water quality. The Central Valley Water Board finds that the relaxation of the turbidity receiving water limitation (i) is to the maximum benefit to the people of the State, (ii) will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial use of waters, and (iii) will not result in water quality less than that prescribed in policies, and is consistent with the federal Antidegradation Policy (40 C.F.R. §131.12).

The revised receiving water limitation for turbidity, which is based on the amendment to the Basin Plan's turbidity water quality objective, reflects current scientifically supported turbidity requirements for the protection of aquatic life and other beneficial uses and, therefore, will be fully protective of aquatic life and the other beneficial uses listed in the Basin Plan. Changes in turbidity allowed by the revised receiving water limitation, when ambient turbidity is below 1 NTU, would not adversely affect beneficial uses and would maintain water quality at a level higher than necessary to protect beneficial uses. Restricting low-level turbidity changes further may require costly upgrades, which would not provide any additional protection of beneficial uses. Thus, any changes in turbidity that would occur under the amended turbidity receiving water limitation would not only be protective of beneficial uses, but also would be consistent with maximum benefit to people of the State. Therefore, the relaxed receiving water limitations for turbidity will not violate antidegradation policies.

**B. Groundwater**

1. The beneficial uses of the underlying groundwater are municipal and domestic supply, industrial service supply, industrial process supply, and agricultural supply.
2. Basin Plan water quality objectives include narrative objectives for chemical constituents, tastes and odors, and toxicity of groundwater. The toxicity objective requires that groundwater be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in humans, plants, animals, or aquatic life. The chemical constituent objective states groundwater shall not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect any beneficial use. The tastes and odors objective prohibits taste- or odor-producing substances in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses. The Basin Plan also establishes numerical water quality objectives for chemical constituents and radioactivity in groundwaters designated as municipal supply. These include, at a minimum, compliance with MCL’s in Title 22 of the CCR. The bacteria objective prohibits coliform organisms at or above 2.2 MPN/100 mL. The Basin Plan requires the application of the most stringent objective necessary to ensure that waters do not contain chemical constituents, toxic substances, radionuclides, taste- or odor-producing substances, or bacteria in concentrations that adversely affect municipal or domestic supply, agricultural supply, industrial supply or some other beneficial use.

3. Groundwater limitations are required to protect the beneficial uses of the underlying groundwater.

VI. RATIONALE FOR PROVISIONS

A. Standard Provisions

Standard Provisions, which apply to all NPDES permits in accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 122.41, and additional conditions applicable to specified categories of permits in accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 122.42, are provided in Attachment D. The discharger must comply with all standard provisions and with those additional conditions that are applicable under section 122.42.

Sections 122.41(a)(1) and (b) through (n) of 40 C.F.R. establish conditions that apply to all state-issued NPDES permits. These conditions must be incorporated into the permits either expressly or by reference. If incorporated by reference, a specific citation to the regulations must be included in the Order. Section 123.25(a)(12) of 40 C.F.R. allows the state to omit or modify conditions to impose more stringent requirements. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 123.25, this Order omits federal conditions that address enforcement authority specified in 40 C.F.R. sections 122.41(j)(5) and (k)(2) because the enforcement authority under the Water Code is more stringent. In lieu of these conditions, this Order incorporates by reference Water Code section 13387(e).

B. Special Provisions

1. Reopener Provisions

   a. **Mercury.** This provision allows the Central Valley Water Board to reopen this Order in the event mercury is found to be causing toxicity based on acute or chronic toxicity test results, or if a TMDL program is adopted. In addition, this Order may be reopened if the Central Valley Water Board determines that a mercury offset program is feasible for dischargers subject to NPDES permits.

   b. **Whole Effluent Toxicity.** This Order requires the Discharger to investigate the causes of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity through a TRE. This Order may be reopened to include a numeric or narrative chronic toxicity limitation, a new acute toxicity limitation, and/or a limitation for a specific toxicant identified in the TRE. Additionally, if a numeric chronic toxicity
water quality objective is adopted by the State Water Board, this Order may be reopened to include a numeric chronic toxicity limitation based on that objective.

c. **Water Effects Ratio (WER) and Metal Translators.** A default WER of 1.0 has been used in this Order for calculating criteria for applicable inorganic constituents. In addition, default dissolved-to-total metal translators have been used to convert water quality objectives from dissolved to total recoverable. If the Discharger performs studies to determine site-specific WERs and/or site-specific dissolved-to-total metal translators, this Order may be reopened to modify the effluent limitations for the applicable inorganic constituents.

d. **Log Yard Flushing Study.** This Order requires the Discharger to complete a Log Yard Flushing Study to confirm that capturing the first 2 inches of rainfall will ensure residual pollutants on the log yard have been sufficiently removed. Based on the results of the Log Yard Flushing Study, this Order may be reopened for modification, or revocation and reissuance.

e. **Antidegradation Analysis Update.** This Order requires the Discharger to complete an Antidegradation Analysis Update as described in section VI.C.2.e. of this Order. Based on the update, this Order may be reopened for as described in section VI.C.1 of this Order. The Central Valley Water Board may find that the existing discharge is or is not consistent with State and Federal antidegradation policies, that additional information is necessary, or that Facility modifications sufficient to bring the discharge into compliance with antidegradation policies is required.

f. **Title 27 Exemption Analysis Update.** This Order requires the Discharger to complete a Title 27 Exemption Analysis Update as described in section VI.C.2.e. of this Order. Based on the update, this Order may be reopened for as described in section VI.C.1 of this Order. The Central Valley Water Board may find that the existing Title 27 exemption for the discharge is not applicable, that additional information is necessary, or that Facility modifications sufficient to bring the discharge into compliance with antidegradation policies is required.

### 2. Special Studies and Additional Monitoring Requirements

a. **Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity Requirements.** The Basin Plan contains a narrative toxicity objective that states, "All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life." (Basin Plan at page III-8.00.) Based on whole effluent chronic toxicity testing performed by the Discharger from January 2010 through March 2011, the discharge exhibited chronic toxicity. In March 2011, the Discharger submitted a Revised TRE Action Plan that identified tannins and lignins as the likely cause of toxicity in the discharge.

This provision requires the Discharger to develop a TRE Workplan in accordance with U.S. EPA guidance. In addition, the provision provides a numeric toxicity monitoring trigger and requirements for accelerated monitoring, as well as, requirements for TRE initiation or requirements for a Toxicity Evaluation Study if toxicity has been demonstrated.

**Monitoring Trigger.** A numeric toxicity monitoring trigger of $> 1$ TUc (where $\text{TUc} = 100/\text{NOEC}$) is applied in the provision, because this Order does not allow any dilution for the chronic condition. Therefore, accelerated monitoring, and aTRE if required, or a Toxicity Evaluation Study is triggered when the effluent exhibits toxicity at 100% effluent.
**Accelerated Monitoring.** The provision requires accelerated WET testing when a regular WET test result exceeds the monitoring trigger. The purpose of accelerated monitoring is to determine, in an expedient manner, whether there is toxicity before requiring the implementation of a TRE. Due to possible seasonality of the toxicity, the accelerated monitoring should be performed in a timely manner, preferably taking no more than 2 to 3 months to complete.

The provision requires accelerated monitoring consisting of four chronic toxicity tests in a six-week period (i.e., one test every two weeks) using the species that exhibited toxicity. Guidance regarding accelerated monitoring and TRE initiation is provided in the *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control*, EPA/505/2-90-001, March 1991 (TSD). The TSD at page 118 states, “*EPA recommends if toxicity is repeatedly or periodically present at levels above effluent limits more than 20 percent of the time, a TRE should be required.*” Therefore, four accelerated monitoring tests are required in this provision. If no toxicity is demonstrated in the four accelerated tests, then it demonstrates that toxicity is not present at levels above the monitoring trigger more than 20 percent of the time (only 1 of 5 tests are toxic, including the initial test). However, notwithstanding the accelerated monitoring results, if there is adequate evidence of effluent toxicity (i.e., toxicity present exceeding the monitoring trigger more than 20 percent of the time), the Executive Officer may require that the Discharger initiate a TRE.

See the WET Accelerated Monitoring Flow Chart (Figure F-1), below, for further clarification of the accelerated monitoring requirements and for the decision points for determining the need for TRE initiation.

**TRE Guidance.** The Discharger is required to prepare a TRE Workplan in accordance with U.S. EPA guidance. Numerous guidance documents are available, as identified below:


Figure F-1
WET Accelerated Monitoring Flow Chart
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          - Yes
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          - No
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              - Yes
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              - No
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  - No
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Cease accelerated monitoring and resume regular chronic toxicity monitoring

Make facility corrections and complete accelerated monitoring to confirm removal of effluent toxicity

Implement Toxicity Reduction Evaluation
Site-Specific Toxicity Evaluation Study. The Facility is a sawmill and cogeneration facility that discharges industrial storm water from a log yard. The discharge exhibited frequent chronic toxicity. Facility discharges are predominantly storm water for which the most effective measures to reduce effluent toxicity are to re-evaluate BMP’s. Due to the short-term and intermittent nature of the industrial storm water discharges, a site-specific Toxicity Evaluation Study may be more effective than accelerated monitoring for identifying and eliminating chronic toxicity in discharges from the Facility. Therefore, this provision allows the Discharger to conduct a Toxicity Evaluation Study, individually or as part of a coordinated group effort with other dischargers with similar discharges from sawmill log yard operations, to investigate the cause and eliminate toxicity in the effluent.

b. Log Yard Flushing Study. As discussed in section II.A of this Fact Sheet, for the purposes of this Order, the first flush is defined as the first 2 inches of rainfall from the log yard commingled with residual pond water on the paved log yard following cessation of log yard sprinkling. The practice of collecting the first 2 inches of log yard runoff is considered a BMP to reduce pollutants in the storm water discharge to surface water and is based on a log yard flushing study conducted by a nearby discharger with similar operations (Burney Forest Power). Order R5-2007-0145 required the Discharger to conduct a Log Yard Flushing Study to determine the minimum volume of flush or amount of rainfall that is required to ensure residual pollutants on the log yard have been sufficiently removed. However, the Discharger was unable to complete the study due to insufficient precipitation levels. Due to the variations in operations at different facilities, a site-specific study is necessary to confirm that capturing the first 2 inches of rainfall will ensure residual pollutants (e.g. process wastewater constituents) on the log yard have been sufficiently removed. Therefore, this Order requires the Discharger to conduct a Log Yard Flushing Study to determine the minimum volume of flush or amount of rainfall that is required to ensure residual pollutants (e.g., process wastewater constituents) on the log yard have been sufficiently removed.

c. Storm Water Action Levels and Best Management Practice (BMP) Improvement Evaluation. As discussed in section IV.C.3 of this Fact Sheet, this Order establishes action levels for constituents of concern in discharges of industrial storm water. The storm water action levels are pollutant concentrations, above which the Central Valley Water Board has determined the storm water discharge could adversely affect receiving water quality (and control measures must be evaluated). The storm water action levels are not effluent limitations. The levels are used to determine if storm water discharges from the Facility merits further monitoring to ensure that the Facility has been successful in implementing the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and/or if storm water pollution control measures must be reevaluated and improved upon.

In order to address storm water action level exceedances and/or receiving water limitation violations, the Discharger must evaluate BMP’s and make necessary improvements to the Facility BMP’s in order to reduce pollutants in the storm water discharge and to ensure protection of water quality.

d. Groundwater Monitoring Well Network Installation and Characterization. To determine compliance with Groundwater Limitations V.B, and in accordance with requirements set forth section VI.C.2.e, this provision requires the Discharger to develop a groundwater monitoring network, perform monitoring, and complete water quality analyses for characterization. The Discharger must ensure there are one or more background monitoring wells and a sufficient number of designated monitoring
wells downgradient of every treatment, storage, and disposal unit that does or may release waste constituents to groundwater. **Within 6 months following adoption of this Order**, the Discharger shall submit a Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Work Plan prepared in accordance with, and including the items listed in, the MRP: “Requirements for Monitoring Well Installation Work Plans and Monitoring Well Installation Reports.” All monitoring wells shall comply with the appropriate standards as described in California Well Standards Bulletin 74-90 (June 1991) and Water Well Standards: State of California Bulletin 74-81 (December 1981), and any more stringent standards adopted by the Discharger or County pursuant to Water Code section 13801. Well installation shall be complete **no later than 12 months** following Work Plan approval by the Executive Officer.

The Discharger shall conduct groundwater monitoring for each groundwater monitoring parameter/constituent identified in the MRP, Attachment E of this Order, upon completion of well installation. After 2 years of monitoring and **within 42 months of the effective date this Order**, the Discharge shall submit a groundwater quality characterization technical report presenting, at minimum, a summary of monitoring data, calculation of the concentration of each monitored parameter/constituent in background monitoring wells, and a comparison of background groundwater quality to that in wells used to monitor the facility for each monitored parameter/constituent. Determinations presented in the technical report shall be made in accordance with requirements set forth in section VI.C.2.e and based on data from at least eight consecutive quarterly (or more frequent) groundwater monitoring events.

In accordance with California Business and Professions Code Sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1, engineering and geologic evaluations and judgments shall be performed by or under the direction of registered professionals competent and proficient in the fields pertinent to the required activities. The technical report shall be prepared by or under the direction of appropriately qualified professional(s) and shall bear the professional’s signature and stamp.

e. **Antidegradation Analysis Update. Within 42 months** of the effective date of this Order, the Discharger shall submit an Antidegradation Analysis Update. The Antidegradation Analysis Update shall address existing discharges at the Facility and use information obtained from the groundwater monitoring and characterization required in section VI.C.2.d in addition to results of the land discharge and groundwater monitoring to date. The update shall explain whether or not groundwater degradation as a result of Facility operations is consistent with State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16. If degradation is greater than allowed by State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16, the Discharger **shall include a workplan** for Facility improvements (with an implementation schedule) sufficient to limit degradation for compliance with the antidegradation policy. Determination of background groundwater quality for use in the analysis shall be made using the methods described in Title 27 California Code of Regulations Section 20415(e)(10), or other method approved by the Executive Officer. After reviewing the Antidegradation Analysis Update, the Central Valley Water Board may reopen this Order as described in section VI.C.1 of this Order. The Central Valley Water Board may find that the existing discharge is or is not consistent with the State and Federal antidegradation policies, that additional information is necessary, or that Facility modifications sufficient to bring the discharge into compliance with antidegradation policies is required.
f. **Title 27 Exemption Analysis Update.** Within 42 months of the effective date of this Order, the Discharger shall submit a Title 27 Exemption Analysis Update (Title 27 Update). The Title 27 Update shall address existing discharges at the Facility and use the information and determinations presented in the technical reports required by section VI.C.2.d and section VI.C.2.e. in addition to results of the land discharge and groundwater monitoring to date to explain whether or not the conclusions reached in the original Title 27 Exemption Analysis included in the Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) are valid. After reviewing the Title 27 Update, the Central Valley Water Board may reopen this Order as described in section VI.C.1 of this Order. The Central Valley Water Board may find that a Title 27 exemption is or is not appropriate, that additional information is necessary, or that Facility modifications sufficient to bring the discharge into compliance with the Basin Plan is required.

3. **Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention**

a. **Salinity Evaluation and Minimization Plan.** A Salinity Evaluation and Minimization Plan is required to continue to be implemented to ensure adequate measures are developed and implemented by the Discharger to reduce the discharge of salinity to both groundwater and surface water. The Discharger is required to evaluate the effectiveness of its salinity evaluation and minimization plan during the permit term and provide a summary with the Report of Waste Discharger, due 180 days prior to permit expiration.

b. **Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).** This Order requires the Discharger to implement BMP’s, including treatment controls where necessary, in order to support attainment of water quality standards. The use of BMP’s to control or abate the discharge of pollutants is allowed by 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(k)(3) because effluent limitations are infeasible and BMP’s are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and are standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA. (40 C.F.R. 122.44(k)(4).)

This Order requires the Discharger to continue to implement a site-specific SWPPP for the Facility. The SWPPP is necessary to identify potential sources of pollutants that may come in contact with storm water and to control or abate the discharge of pollutants to surface water or groundwater.

In order to maintain an accurate and useful SWPPP, the SWPPP must be revised when whenever there is a change in construction, site operation, or maintenance, which may affect the discharge of significant quantities of pollutants to surface water or groundwater. The SWPPP must also be amended if there are violations of this Order, or the Discharger has not achieved the general objectives of controlling pollutants in the storm water discharges.

c. **Facility-Specific BMP – First Flush Collection.** This Order specifies a BMP that defines a quantity of storm water that must be collected, after cessation of log sprinkling, and discharged to the Facility log yard retention pond prior to subsequent storm water being allowed to be discharged off-site to surface water. The BMP is based on a log yard flushing study conducted by a nearby discharger with similar operations (Burney Forest Power) which identified a minimum amount of rainfall needed to “flush” the log yard area of pollutants related to the dry season sprinkling activity. The first flush collection may occur more than once in a wet season if the Discharger intermittently sprinkles logs with pond water during the wet season. This Order requires the Discharger to conduct a site-specific Log Yard Flushing Study to
confirm that capturing the first 2 inches of rainfall will ensure residual pollutants on the log yard have been sufficiently removed for discharges from the Facility.

4. Construction, Operation, and Maintenance Specifications
   a. Facility Retention Ponds, Fire Pond, Evaporation Ponds, and Substation Pond Operating Requirements. The operation and maintenance specifications for the Facility retention ponds, fire pond, evaporation ponds, and substation pond are necessary to protect the beneficial uses of the groundwater. Specifications included in Order R5-2007-0145 are retained in this Order. In addition, reporting requirements related to use of the ponds are required to monitor their use and the potential impact on groundwater.

5. Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTW's Only) – Not Applicable

6. Other Special Provisions
   a. Sludge, Wood Waste, and/or Ash Management Disposal. Sludge disposal provisions are necessary to ensure proper disposal of collected screening, sludges, wood ash, wood waste, and other solids removed from liquid wastes, ponds, or other sources in a manner that is consistent with Title 27, California Code of Regulations (CCR), Division 2, Subdivision 1, Section 20005, et seq, and approved by the Executive Officer.

7. Compliance Schedules – Not Applicable

VII. RATIONALE FOR MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

CWA section 308 and 40 C.F.R. sections 122.41(h), (j)-(l), 122.44(i), and 122.48 require that all NPDES permits specify monitoring and reporting requirements. Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 also authorize the Central Valley Water Board to establish monitoring, inspection, entry, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements. The Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP), Attachment E of this Order establishes monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements that implement federal and state requirements. The following provides the rationale for the monitoring and reporting requirements contained in the MRP for this Facility.

A. Influent Monitoring – Not Applicable

B. Effluent Monitoring
   1. Pursuant to the requirements of 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(i)(2) effluent monitoring is required for all constituents with effluent limitations. Effluent monitoring is necessary to assess compliance with effluent limitations, assess the effectiveness of the treatment process, and to assess the impacts of the discharge on the receiving stream and groundwater.
   2. Effluent monitoring frequencies and sample types for oil and grease (semi-annually), pH (weekly), lead (monthly), COD (monthly), electrical conductivity (weekly), hardness (monthly), settleable solids (weekly), tannins and lignins (monthly), and turbidity (weekly) have been retained from Order R5-2007-0145 to determine compliance with effluent limitations, where applicable, and characterize the discharge for these parameters.
   3. For effluent flow, Order R5-2007-0145 specified a sample type of visual and a minimum sampling frequency of weekly. In order to more accurately characterize the flow of the short-term, intermittent discharges from the Facility, this Order revises the flow monitoring requirements to a daily flow estimate.
   4. Order R5-2007-0145 required monitoring for copper, zinc, iron, and manganese in the dissolved form. This Order establishes action levels for these metals (except copper and
manganese) as total recoverable. For zinc, in the absence of an approved translator study, the total recoverable action level was determined on the default U.S. EPA conversion factor. In order to determine compliance with the total recoverable action levels and to adequately characterize the storm water discharge, this Order requires monitoring for copper, zinc, iron, and manganese as total recoverable.

5. Order R5-2007-0145 required monthly monitoring for total dissolved solids. This Order increases the monitoring frequency from monthly to weekly to more accurately characterize the salinity of the discharge.

6. Order R5-2007-0145 required monthly monitoring for TSS. This Order establishes an action level for TSS. In order to determine compliance with the action level and more accurately characterize levels of TSS in the discharge, this Order increases the monitoring frequency from monthly to weekly.

7. This Order establishes new monitoring requirements for ammonia, 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅), dissolved oxygen, standard minerals, and temperature to characterize the discharge.

8. Monitoring data collected over the term of Order R5-2007-0145 for priority pollutant metals (except for zinc) did not demonstrate reasonable potential to exceed water quality objectives/criteria. Thus, specific monitoring requirements for these parameters have not been retained from Order R5-2007-0145.

9. In accordance with Section 1.3 of the SIP, periodic monitoring for priority pollutants for which criteria or objectives apply and for which no effluent limitations have been established is required. This Order requires monitoring during the first discharge event that occurs during the permit term in order to collect data to conduct an RPA for the next permit renewal. See section IX.C of the MRP for more detailed requirements related to performing priority pollutant monitoring.

10. Water Code section 13176, subdivision (a), states: "The analysis of any material required by [Water Code sections 13000-16104] shall be performed by a laboratory that has accreditation or certification pursuant to Article 3 (commencing with Section 100825) of Chapter 4 of Part 1 of Division 101 of the Health and Safety Code." The State Water Board, Department of Drinking Water (DDW) certifies laboratories through its Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP).

Section 13176 cannot be interpreted in a manner that would violate federal holding time requirements that apply to NPDES permits pursuant to the CWA. (Wat. Code §§ 13370, subd. (c), 13372, 13377.) Section 13176 is inapplicable to NPDES permits to the extent it is inconsistent with CWA requirements. (Wat. Code § 13372, subd. (a).) The holding time requirements are 15 minutes for chlorine residual, dissolved oxygen, and pH, and immediate analysis is required for temperature. (40 C.F.R. § 136.3(e), Table II) Due to the location of the Facility, it is both legally and factually impossible for the Discharger to comply with section 13176 for constituents with short holding times.

C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements

1. **Acute Toxicity.** Consistent with Order R5-2007-0145, semi-annual 96-hour bioassay testing is required to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitation for acute toxicity.

2. **Chronic Toxicity.** Consistent with Order R5-2007-0145, annual chronic whole effluent toxicity testing is required in order to demonstrate compliance with the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective.
D. Receiving Water Monitoring

1. Surface Water
   
   a. Receiving water monitoring is necessary to assess compliance with receiving water limitations and to assess the impacts of the discharge on the receiving stream.
   
   b. Receiving water monitoring requirements at Monitoring Locations RSW-001 and RSW-002 have been retained for flow (weekly), pH (weekly), electrical conductivity (weekly), hardness (monthly), temperature (weekly), and turbidity (weekly).
   
   c. Receiving water monitoring for copper and zinc in the dissolved form have been retained in this Order. Receiving water monitoring for total recoverable iron and manganese is included in this Order. This monitoring is required to determine the impact of the discharge on the receiving water.
   
   d. Order R5-2007-0145 required monthly monitoring for dissolved lead and annual monitoring for priority pollutant metals. This Order includes monthly receiving water monitoring for those priority pollutant metals that demonstrated reasonable potential to contribute to an exceedance of water quality objectives in the process wastewater discharge and for which storm water action levels have been established (i.e., zinc). The remaining metals did not exhibit reasonable potential to cause or contribute to exceedance of water quality objectives; therefore, monitoring requirements for the remaining priority pollutant metals (except copper) has not been retained in this Order.
   
   e. Order R5-2007-0145 required monthly monitoring for TSS and tannins and lignins. Receiving water monitoring for these parameters is not necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of this Order; therefore, this Order does not retain monitoring for TSS and tannins and lignins.
   
   f. In accordance with Section 1.3 of the SIP, periodic monitoring for priority pollutants for which criteria or objectives apply and for which no effluent limitations have been established. This Order requires monitoring for priority pollutants and other pollutants of concern during the first discharge event that occurs during the permit term in the upstream receiving water, concurrent with effluent monitoring, in order to collect data to conduct an RPA for the next permit renewal. See section IX.C of the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E) for more detailed requirements related to performing priority pollutant monitoring.

2. Groundwater
   
   a. Water Code section 13267 states, in part, “(a) A Regional Water Board, in establishing…waste discharge requirements… may investigate the quality of any waters of the state within its region” and “(b) (1) In conducting an investigation…, the Regional Water Board may require that any person who… discharges… waste… that could affect the quality of waters within its region shall furnish, under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the Regional Water Board requires. The burden, including costs, of these reports shall bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the report and the benefits to be obtained from the reports.” The burden, including costs, of these reports shall bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the report and the benefits to be obtained from the reports. In requiring those reports, a Regional Water Board shall provide the person with a written explanation with regard to the need for the reports, and shall identify the evidence that supports requiring that person to provide the reports. The Monitoring and Reporting Program is issued pursuant to Water Code section.
13267. The groundwater monitoring and reporting program required by this Order and the Monitoring and Reporting Program are necessary to assure compliance with these waste discharge requirements. The Discharger is responsible for the discharges of waste at the facility subject to this Order.

b. Monitoring of the groundwater must be conducted to determine if the discharge has caused an increase in constituent concentrations, when compared to background. The monitoring must, at a minimum, require a complete assessment of groundwater impacts including the vertical and lateral extent of degradation, an assessment of all wastewater-related constituents which may have migrated to groundwater, an analysis of whether additional or different methods of treatment or control of the discharge are necessary to provide best practicable treatment or control to comply with Resolution No. 68-16. Economic analysis is only one of many factors considered in determining best practicable treatment or control. If groundwater quality has been or may be degraded by the discharge, this Order may be reopened and specific numeric limitations established consistent with Resolution No. 68-16 and the Basin Plan.

c. This Order requires the Discharger to establish a groundwater monitoring well network and commence groundwater monitoring upon installation of the monitoring well network. This Order includes a regular schedule of groundwater monitoring in the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. The groundwater monitoring reports are necessary to evaluate impacts to waters of the State to assure protection of beneficial uses and compliance with Central Valley Water Board plans and policies, including Resolution No. 68-16. Evidence in the record includes effluent monitoring data that indicates the presence of constituents that may degrade groundwater and surface water.

E. Other Monitoring Requirements

1. Precipitation Monitoring
   Precipitation monitoring is necessary to assess the amount of rainfall that falls on the log yard area.

2. Ash and Cooling Tower Solids Monitoring
   The annual ash and cooling tower solids report is necessary to determine the quantity of ash and cooling tower solids generated at the Facility and to ensure the proper handling of such material.

3. Pond Monitoring
   Pond monitoring requirements for freeboard is necessary to assess compliance with pond operating requirements and to ensure pond integrity. Pond monitoring for arsenic, pH, electrical conductivity, COD, chloride, copper, sulfate, total dissolved solids, iron, manganese, and zinc are necessary to assess the impacts of the discharge on groundwater.

VIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The Central Valley Water Board has considered the issuance of WDR’s that will serve as an NPDES permit for the Facility. As a step in the WDR adoption process, the Central Valley Water Board staff has developed tentative WDR’s and has encouraged public participation in the WDR adoption process.

A. Notification of Interested Parties
The Central Valley Water Board notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe WDR’s for the discharge and provided an opportunity to submit written comments and recommendations. Notification was provided through physical posting, mailing, and internet posting.

The public had access to the agenda and any changes in dates and locations through the Central Valley Water Board’s website at:
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/board_info/meetings/

B. Written Comments
Interested persons were invited to submit written comments concerning tentative WDR’s as provided through the notification process. Comments were due either in person or by mail to the Executive Office at the Central Valley Water Board at the address on the cover page of this Order.

To be fully responded to by staff and considered by the Central Valley Water Board, the written comments were due at the Central Valley Water Board office by 5:00 p.m. on 20 April 2015.

C. Public Hearing
The Central Valley Water Board held a public hearing on the tentative WDR’s during its regular Board meeting on the following date and time and at the following location:

Date: 4/5 June 2015
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Location: Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region
11020 Sun Center Dr., Suite #200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Interested persons were invited to attend. At the public hearing, the Central Valley Water Board heard testimony pertinent to the discharge, WDR’s, and permit. For accuracy of the record, important testimony was requested in writing.

D. Reconsideration of Waste Discharge Requirements
Any aggrieved person may petition the State Water Board to review the decision of the Central Valley Water Board regarding the final WDR’s. The petition must be received by the State Water Board at the following address within 30 calendar days of the Central Valley Water Board’s action:

State Water Resources Control Board
Office of Chief Counsel
P.O. Box 100, 1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

For instructions on how to file a petition for review, see
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/petitions/water_quality/wqpetition_instr.shtml

E. Information and Copying
The Report of Waste Discharge, other supporting documents, and comments received are on file and may be inspected at the address above at any time between 8:30 a.m. and 4:45 p.m., Monday through Friday. Copying of documents may be arranged through the Central Valley Water Board by calling (530) 224-4845.

F. Register of Interested Persons
Any person interested in being placed on the mailing list for information regarding the WDR’s and NPDES permit should contact the Central Valley Water Board, reference this facility, and provide a name, address, and phone number.

G. Additional Information

Requests for additional information or questions regarding this order should be directed to Scott Gilbreath at (530) 224-4851.
## ATTACHMENT G – SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH RECEIVING WATER OBJECTIVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Max D-001</th>
<th>Max RW UP</th>
<th>Max RW DOWN</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>CMC</th>
<th>CCC</th>
<th>Water &amp; Org</th>
<th>Org. Only</th>
<th>Basin Plan</th>
<th>MCL</th>
<th>RW Obj. Met?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Oxygen Demand</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>120²</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.9³</td>
<td>5.9³</td>
<td>4.3³</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.9³</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C</td>
<td>µmhos/cm</td>
<td>338⁴</td>
<td>117⁴</td>
<td>119⁴</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,000⁵</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins and Lignins</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>16³</td>
<td>55³</td>
<td>54³</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>16³</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Max D-001 = Maximum Storm Water Concentration
- Max RW UP = Maximum Upstream Receiving Water Concentration or lowest detection level, when all values are non-detect.
- Max RW-DOWN = Maximum Downstream Receiving Water Concentration or lowest detection level, when all values are non-detect.
- C = Criterion used for Reasonable Potential Analysis
- CMC = Criterion Maximum Concentration (CTR or NTR)
- CCC = Criterion Continuous Concentration (CTR or NTR)
- Water & Org = Human Health Criterion for Consumption of Water & Organisms (CTR or NTR)
- Org. Only = Human Health Criterion for Consumption of Organisms Only (CTR or NTR)
- Basin Plan = Numeric Site-specific Basin Plan Water Quality Objective
- MCL = Drinking Water Standards Maximum Contaminant Level (Primary MCL)
- NA = Not Available
- ND = Non-detect

**Footnotes:**
1. Evaluates whether the maximum upstream and downstream concentrations are at or below the most stringent criteria, C.
2. Benchmark value from U.S. EPA NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activities (MSGP) for sector-specific timber products industry.
3. Hardness-dependent criterion was calculated with downstream receiving water hardness concentration of 40 mg/L as CaCO₃.
4. Represents the maximum observed average annual concentration for comparison with the Secondary MCL.
5. U.S. EPA National Recommended Ambient Water Quality Criteria, Freshwater Aquatic Life Protection, 4-day average.