

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

ORDER NO. R5-2017-0025

WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
FOR
PORTERVILLE CITRUS, INC.
FORMER SEVILLE OLIVE COMPANY FACILITY
SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS
POST-CLOSURE MAINTENANCE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION
TULARE COUNTY

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, (hereafter Central Valley Water Board) finds that:

1. Porterville Citrus, Inc. (hereinafter Discharger) owns the former Seville Olive Company processing facility property (facility) in the northern limits of the City of Strathmore, in the North $\frac{1}{2}$ of the Northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 32, T20S, R27E, MDB&M, as shown in Attachment A, which is incorporated herein and made part of this Order by reference. The facility is regulated under authority given in Water Code section 13000 et seq.; California Code of Regulations, title 27 ("Title 27"), section 20005 et seq.
2. The facility is located at 19979 Meredith Drive, Strathmore, Tulare County. The approximately 4.5-acre site is bordered on the north by Avenue 200, on the east by Meredith Street, on the south by the former Golden Valley Citrus, Inc. (Golden Valley Citrus) packing plant, and on the west by agricultural land. The facility includes three warehouse storage buildings, a former citrus packing plant, and two closed former surface impoundments as shown in Attachment B, which is incorporated herein and made part of this Order by reference. The facility is comprised of Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APN) 215-320-03.
3. The Seville Olive Company owned and operated the olive processing plant from the 1960's through 2006. Olives were received in 56-gallon plastic drums containing a brine solution. Prior to April 1982, the excess brine solution was discharged to two shallow, unlined surface impoundments. Brine migration impacted soil and groundwater with significant concentrations of sodium chloride and other constituents. After 1982, brine solution was discharged to the Strathmore Public Utility District sewer system. In 1986, the Seville Olive Company backfilled the two surface impoundments. Golden Valley Citrus subsequently purchased the facility in November 2007 to expand its citrus packing and processing facility.
4. The Discharger purchased the facility and assumed the financial liability and regulatory obligations from Golden Valley Citrus, Inc. on 5 October 2016.
5. The Seville Olive Company was historically regulated as a food processing facility under Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order 82-074. The WDRs, issued to the Seville Olive Company, were rescinded on 7 December 2012, following the issuance of Cleanup

and Abatement Order (CAO) R5-2012-0714 to Golden Valley Citrus on 9 November 2012. The CAO, pursuant to Water Code sections 13304 and 13267, required the Golden Valley Citrus to determine the extent of groundwater impact, develop a soil and groundwater remediation plan, and to close and maintain the former surface impoundments. The CAO required that the surface impoundment closure be conducted in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 21400 et seq.

6. Golden Valley Citrus submitted a construction certification report for the former surface impoundments, a post-closure maintenance plan, and a soil and groundwater remediation plan, in accordance with the CAO. The information in these documents has been used in revising these waste discharge requirements (WDRs).

SITE DESCRIPTION

7. The facility property is topographically flat and located within the northern limits of the City of Strathmore. Land use is primarily agricultural with some residential land use to the south.
8. The facility is underlain predominantly by clay and silt with occasional, thin, interbedded layers of poorly graded very fine grained sand and/or silty clay.
9. There are approximately ten agricultural/domestic groundwater supply wells within 1,000 feet of the facility.
10. The closed surface impoundment area occupies approximately 0.5 acres of the site. Post-closure land use includes truck parking and equipment storage.
11. The facility is not within a 100-year flood plain based on the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map, Community-Panel Number 06107C1315E.

GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

12. The *Water Quality Control Plan for the Tulare Lake Basin, Second Edition* (hereafter Basin Plan), designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and contains implementation plans and policies for all waters of the Basin.
13. The designated beneficial uses of the groundwater, as specified in the Basin Plan, are domestic and municipal water supply, agricultural supply, industrial service supply, and industrial process supply.
14. According to the *Second Quarter 2016 Groundwater Monitoring Report and Assessment of Vertical Extent of Impacted Groundwater, Former Seville Olive Company Facility, Strathmore, California*, the first encountered groundwater ranges from about 79 feet to 88 feet below the native ground surface. Groundwater elevations range from about 307 feet MSL to 321 feet MSL.

15. Monitoring data indicate background groundwater quality for first encountered groundwater has electrical conductivity (EC) ranging between 480 and 1,470 micromhos/cm, with total dissolved solids (TDS) ranging between 350 and 890 milligrams per liter (mg/L) and chloride ranging between 26 and 290 mg/L.
16. The direction of groundwater flow is generally toward the west to southwest. The estimated average groundwater gradient is approximately 0.005 feet per foot. The estimated average groundwater velocity is approximately 60 feet per year.

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

17. Soil and groundwater were impacted by brine migration, with significant concentrations of chloride and other constituents. Groundwater impacted by olive brine waste contains elevated concentrations of chloride, TDS and an elevated EC value; as such, these are the primary analytes that are being monitored
18. There are currently 21 groundwater monitoring wells that can be sampled if groundwater elevations allow. Currently, ten of these wells are dry. The existing groundwater monitoring network is described in MRP No. R5-2017-0025.
19. Some agricultural/domestic wells have been monitored or may be monitored, if accessible, to evaluate groundwater impacts, including the following wells: Jones, Koechecke, Lamb, and GVC Ag-1.

GROUNDWATER DEGRADATION AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

20. Historically, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board staff had requested that a hydrogeologic assessment of the site be conducted after water quality data indicated that a downgradient well may have been impacted by olive brine waste discharges.
21. Analytical results of the last collected (1st quarter 2008) groundwater samples (before the issuance of the CAO) had much higher levels of these constituents (EC - 5,500 µmhos/cm, TDS - 3,400 mg/L, and chloride - 1,400 mg/L). However, the wells that were sampled in 2008 have subsequently gone dry and were replaced with deeper wells.
22. Analytical results of the most recently collected groundwater samples (2nd quarter 2016) indicate that impacted groundwater beneath the facility has EC values that range as high as 1,470 µmhos/cm, TDS concentrations as high as 890 mg/L, and chloride concentrations that range up to 260 mg/L.
23. Based on groundwater analytical results submitted in accordance with the CAO, the lateral extent of groundwater impact appears to extend northward, close to Avenue 200; westward in the vicinity of groundwater monitoring well MW-18; southwestward in the vicinity of groundwater monitoring well MW-19; and eastward in an area between groundwater monitoring wells MW-10R and MW-5R. The vertical extent of the groundwater impact is estimated to extend to a depth of approximately 174 feet bgs.

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board staff concurred, in a letter dated 29 July 2016, the vertical and lateral extent of the groundwater impact has been delineated, in accordance with the CAO.

24. On 19 September 2016, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board staff approved a Corrective Action Program (CAP) for groundwater remediation. The CAP consisted of monitored natural attenuation (MNA).
25. Analytical results of soil samples from nine soil borings in the area of the former ponds indicated impacts due to brine waste. Soil samples were collected to a depth of 31.5 feet below ground surface and the greatest chloride concentrations were found within the central portion of the former pond areas. Based on the results of the soil assessment, the Discharger evaluated several corrective action alternatives for remediating chloride impacted soils. The approved soil corrective action measure was surface impoundment closure conducted in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 21400 et seq. (Title 27).

CLOSURE AND POSTCLOSURE MAINTENANCE

26. An engineered alternative final cover system for the facility, which was demonstrated to be consistent with the performance goals of Title 27 and affords equivalent protection against water quality impairment, was completed in 2016 and consists of the following (in ascending order): 48 inches of engineered fill, a geosynthetic clay liner, a geocomposite drainage layer, six inches of Class II aggregate base, and a seven-inch thick reinforced concrete slab.
27. Golden Valley Citrus submitted the *Final Closure and Postclosure Maintenance Plan*, dated November 2015, for post-closure maintenance of the facility. The plan includes inspection, maintenance, and monitoring of the cover system during the post-closure maintenance period, and includes a post-closure maintenance cost estimate. Inspection and maintenance will include the condition of the final cover, drainage features, groundwater monitoring wells, and site security. The plan will be implemented for a minimum period of 30 years or until the waste no longer poses a threat to environmental quality, whichever is greater. Porterville Citrus, Inc. is agreeable to the monitoring and conditions within the *Final Closure and Postclosure Maintenance Plan*.

CEQA AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

28. The action to revise waste discharge requirements for this existing facility is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Public Resource Code section 21000, et seq., and the CEQA guidelines, in accordance with Title 14, section 15301.

29. This order implements:

- a. *The Water Quality Control Plan for the Tulare Lake Basin, Second Edition, revised July 2016.*
- b. The prescriptive standards and performance goals of California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 20005 et seq., effective 18 July 1997, and subsequent revisions.

30. Based on the threat and complexity of the discharge, the facility is determined to be classified 3-C as defined below:

Category 3 threat to water quality, defined as, "Those discharges of waste that could degrade water quality without violating water quality objectives, or could cause a minor impairment of designated beneficial uses as compared with Category 1 and Category 2."

Category C complexity, defined as, "Any discharger for which waste discharge requirements have been prescribed pursuant to Section 13263 or the Water Code not included in Category A or Category B as described above. Included are dischargers having no waste treatment systems or that must comply with best management practices, dischargers having passive treatment and disposal systems, or dischargers having waste storage systems with land disposal."

31. Water Code section 13267(b) provides that: "In conducting an investigation specified in subdivision (a), the Regional Board may require that any person who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharge or discharging, or who proposes to discharge waste within its region, or any citizen or domiciliary, or political agency or entity of this state who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge waste outside of its region that could affect the quality of the waters of the state within its region shall furnish, under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the board requires. The burden, including costs of these reports, shall bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the reports and the benefits to be obtained from the reports.

32. The technical reports required by this Order and the attached "Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R5-2017-0025" are necessary to assure compliance with these waste discharge requirements.

PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

33. All local agencies with jurisdiction to regulate land use, solid waste disposal, air pollution, and to protect public health have approved the use of this site for the discharges of waste to land stated herein.

34. The Central Valley Water Board notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for this discharge, and has

provided them with an opportunity for a public hearing and an opportunity to submit their written views and recommendations.

35. The Central Valley Water Board, in a public meeting, heard and considered all comments pertaining to the discharge.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to California Water Code sections 13263 and 13267, that Porterville Citrus, Inc., its agents, successors, and assigns, in order to meet the provisions of Division 7 of the California Water Code and the regulations adopted thereunder, shall comply with the following:

A. PROHIBITIONS

1. The discharge of any waste to the former surface impoundments is prohibited.

B. FACILITY SPECIFICATIONS

1. Annually, prior to the anticipated rainy season but no later than **1 November**, any necessary construction, maintenance, or repairs of precipitation and drainage control facilities shall be completed and reported in compliance with MRP No. R5-2017-0025.
2. The Discharger shall comply with all applicable *Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges at Industrial Facilities Regulated by Title 27* (SPRRs), dated November 2013, which are attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference.

C. POST-CLOSURE MAINTENANCE SPECIFICATIONS

1. The Discharger shall comply with all applicable Post-Closure Maintenance Specifications listed in Section G of the SPRRs.

D. MONITORING SPECIFICATIONS

1. The Discharger shall comply with Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) No. R5-2017-0025, and the applicable Standard Monitoring Specifications listed in Section I of the SPRRs.
2. The Discharger shall comply with the corrective action monitoring program provisions of Title 27, MRP No. R5-2017-0025, and the applicable Standard Monitoring Specifications listed in Section I of SPRRs.

E. CORRECTIVE ACTION SPECIFICATIONS

1. If at any time, any groundwater monitoring wells that are incorporated into the MRP become dry, damaged, or destroyed, a plan and time schedule for completion to

replace or repair these wells shall be submitted **within 120 days** of discovery of the dry, damaged, or destroyed well.

2. If at any time, any private agricultural or domestic wells that are acting as monitoring points, and which are incorporated into the MRP, become dry, or are damaged or destroyed by the property owner, and if the property owner does not plan on replacing such wells in the near future, then the Discharger will prepare a plan to replace these monitoring points with a substitute monitoring well(s). This plan shall be submitted **within 120 days** of discovery of the dry, damaged, or destroyed well that is not planned to be replaced by the property owner. Such substitute monitoring wells will be located near the vicinity of the dry, damaged, or destroyed well but will be located at sufficient distance away as to eliminate any inter-well interference in the event the property owner decides to replace the dry, damaged, or destroyed well at a later date.
3. If at any time, either the Discharger or the Executive Officer determines that monitored natural attenuation is unsuccessful in brine waste impacts in groundwater, the Discharger shall, **within 90 days of making the determination, or of receiving written notification from the Executive Officer of such determination**, submit an amended ROWD for Executive Officer approval, to make appropriate modifications to the CAP, or that proposes an alternative correction action method to remediate brine waste impacts in groundwater.

The amended ROWD shall include the following:

- 1) A discussion as to why existing corrective action measures have been ineffective or insufficient.
 - 2) A revised evaluation monitoring plan if necessary to further assess the nature and extent of the release.
 - 3) A discussion of corrective action needs and alternatives.
 - 4) Proposed alternative corrective action measures, as necessary, for groundwater cleanup.
 - 5) A plan to monitor the progress of corrective action measures consistent with MRP R5-2017-0025.
 - 6) Cost estimates for implementing additional corrective action, including monitoring.
4. **Within one year** of Executive Officer approval of the amended ROWD to make appropriate modifications to the CAP or an alternative corrective action method, the Discharger shall implement the modified CAP or an alternative corrective action method to remediate brine waste impacts.

5. **Upon completion of corrective action**, the Discharger shall certify, in writing, that corrective action has been completed in compliance with Title 27 and the WDRs. The certification shall be signed by a California Registered Civil Engineer or Professional Geologist. Corrective action shall continue to be implemented until such time that the Discharger receives written notification of concurrence from the Executive Officer that corrective action has been completed in compliance with Title 27 and this Order.

F. PROVISIONS

1. The Discharger shall comply with the applicable portions of the SPRRs. The SPRRs contain important provisions and requirements with which the Discharger must comply. A violation of any of the applicable portions of the SPRRs is a violation of these waste discharge requirements.
2. Pursuant to Water Code section 13267, the Discharger shall comply with Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R5-2017-0025, which is attached to and made part of this Order. This compliance includes, but is not limited to, maintenance of the surface impoundment cover, precipitation and drainage controls, and groundwater monitoring throughout the applicable post-closure maintenance period. A violation of Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R5-2017-0025 is a violation of these waste discharge requirements.
3. The Discharger shall maintain a copy of this Order at the facility and make it available at all times to facility operating personnel, who shall be familiar with its contents, and to regulatory agency personnel.
4. The Discharger shall comply with all applicable provisions of Title 27 that are not specifically referred to in this Order.
5. The Discharger shall, in a timely manner, remove and relocate any wastes discharged at this facility in violation of this Order and of the California Water Code.
6. The Discharger shall immediately notify the Central Valley Water Board of any flooding, equipment failure, slope failure, or other change in site conditions which could impair the integrity of waste containment facilities or precipitation and drainage control structures.
7. In the event of any change in control or ownership of the facility, the Discharger must notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, a copy of which shall be immediately forwarded to this office. To assume ownership under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity's full legal name, the state of incorporation if a corporation, the name and address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Central Valley Water Board, and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory

paragraph of General Provision K.2 in the SPRRs and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the California Water Code. Transfer shall be approved or disapproved by the Executive Officer.

8. All technical reports required herein that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design, or other work requiring interpretation and proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1. As required by these laws, completed technical reports must bear the signature(s) and seal(s) of the registered professional(s) in a manner such that all work can be clearly attributed to the professional responsible for the work.
9. In the event of any change in ownership of this facility, the Discharger shall notify the succeeding owner or operator in writing of the existence of this Order. A copy of that notification shall be sent to the Central Valley Water Board.
10. The Central Valley Water Board will review this Order periodically and may revise requirements when necessary.
11. This Order shall take effect upon the date of adoption.
12. The Discharger shall comply with all General Provisions listed in Section K of the SPRRs.

Any person aggrieved by this action of the Central Valley Water Board may petition the State Water Board to review the action in accordance with Water Code section 13320 and California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2050 and following. The State Water Board must receive the petition by 5:00 p.m., 30 days after the date of this Order, except that if the thirtieth day following the date of this Order falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or state holiday, the petition must be received by the State Water Board by 5:00 p.m. on the next business day.

Copies of the law and regulations applicable to filing petitions may be found on the Internet at:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/petitions/water_quality

or will be provided upon request.

I, PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer, do hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, on 24 February 2017.

Original signed by:

PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM R5-2017-0025
FOR
PORTERVILLE CITRUS, INC.
FORMER SEVILLE OLIVE COMPANY FACILITY
TULARE COUNTY

This monitoring and reporting program (MRP) is issued to Porterville Citrus, Inc. (Discharger) pursuant to California Water Code section 13267 and incorporates requirements for groundwater monitoring and reporting; and facility monitoring, maintenance, and reporting contained in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 20005, et seq. (hereafter Title 27), Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order No. R5-2017-0025, and the *Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges at Industrial Facilities Regulated by Title 27* (SPRRs), dated November 2013. Compliance with this MRP is ordered by the WDRs and the Discharger shall not implement any changes to this MRP unless a revised MRP is issued by the Central Valley Water Board or the Executive Officer. Failure to comply with this MRP, or with the SPRRs, constitutes noncompliance with the WDRs and with Water Code Section 13267, which can result in the imposition of civil monetary liability.

A. MONITORING

1. Groundwater Monitoring

The Discharger shall collect representative groundwater samples from groundwater monitoring wells and nearby private wells that are accessible for sampling. Any monitoring wells installed in the future shall be added to the groundwater monitoring program and sampled quarterly. The groundwater surface elevation (in feet and hundredths, Mean Sea Level) in all monitoring wells shall be measured and used to determine the gradient and direction of groundwater flow.

There are currently 21 groundwater monitoring wells that can be sampled if groundwater elevations allow. These wells are depicted on Attachment B. The current monitoring well network is comprised of the following monitoring wells: MW-5R, MW-6R, MW-8R, MW-10R, MW-11R, MW-14, MW-15, MW-16, MW-17, MW-18, and MW-19. Recent groundwater monitoring reports indicate that MW-5R, MW-8R, MW-10R, MW-11R, MW-15, and MW-18 are dry. Ten wells (1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, and 13) are considered inactive and three wells (2, 8, and 11) were destroyed.

Nearby agricultural/domestic wells that can be sampled, if accessible, include: GVC Ag-1 well, Jones well, Koenecke well, and Lamb well. Groundwater monitoring well and private well locations are shown in Attachment B. Groundwater monitoring well diameter, depth, and screened intervals are shown in the Table 1.

Table 1: Groundwater Monitoring Wells

<u>Well Number</u>	<u>Diameter (in)</u>	<u>Depth (ft)</u>	<u>Screened Interval (ft)</u>
1	2	40	35-40
3	2	40	25-40
4	2	35	20-35
5	2	40	25-40
5R	2	89	36-89
6	2	40	25-40
6R	2	92	31-92
7	2	35	15-35
8R	2	98	63-98
9	2	35	15-35
10	2	35	15-35
10R	2	93	28-93
11R	2	96	60-96
12	2	35	15-35
13	2	38	18-38
14	2	99	64-99
15	2	91	56-91
16	4	175	144-174
17	2	98	68-96
18	2	96	61-96
19	2	115	80-115

Samples shall be collected and analyzed for the monitoring parameters in accordance with the methods and frequency specified in Table 2:

Table 2: Groundwater Monitoring and Reporting

<u>Parameters</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Monitoring Frequency</u>	<u>Reporting Frequency</u>
<u>Field Parameters</u>				
Groundwater Elevation	feet & hundredths, MSL	-	Quarterly	Semiannually
Temperature	°F	-	Quarterly	Semiannually
Electrical Conductivity	umhos/cm	-	Quarterly	Semiannually
pH	pH units	-	Quarterly	Semiannually
<u>Monitoring Parameters</u>				
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	EPA-160.1 or SM-2450C	Quarterly	Semiannually
Chloride	mg/L	EPA-300.0	Quarterly	Semiannually
Electrical Conductivity	umhos/cm	SM-2510-B	Quarterly	Semiannually
Sodium	mg/L	EPA-200.7	Quarterly	Semiannually

¹ The Discharger shall measure the groundwater elevation in each well **quarterly**, determine groundwater flow direction, and estimate groundwater flow rates in the uppermost aquifer and in any zones of perched water and in any additional portions of the zone of saturation monitored. The results shall be reported semiannually, including the times of expected highest and lowest elevations of the water levels in the wells.

All sampling and analyses shall be by USEPA approved methods. The test methods chosen for detection of the constituents of concern shall be subject to review and concurrence by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Water Board).

A complete list of substances which are tested for and reported on by the testing laboratory shall be provided to the Central Valley Water Board. All peaks must be reported. In addition, both the method detection limit and the practical quantification limit shall be reported. Detection limits shall equal or be more precise than USEPA methodologies. Water samples must be analyzed within allowable holding time limits as specified in 40 CFR Part 136. All QA/QC samples must be run on the same dates when samples were actually analyzed. Proper chain of custody procedures must be followed and a copy of the completed chain of custody form shall be submitted with the report. All analyses must be performed by a California Department of Public Health certified laboratory.

The Discharger shall maintain all sampling and analytical results: date, exact place, and time of sampling; dates analyses were performed; analyst's name; analytical techniques used; and results of all analyses. Such records shall be retained for a minimum of three years. This period of retention shall be extended during the course of any unresolved litigation regarding this discharge, or when requested by the Central Valley Water Board.

2. Facility Monitoring

Annually, prior to the anticipated rainy season, but no later than **30 September**, the Discharger shall conduct an inspection of the facility. The inspection shall assess repair and maintenance needed for the surface impoundment cover system, drainage control systems, groundwater monitoring wells. The Discharger shall take photos of any problems areas before and after repairs. Any necessary construction, maintenance, or repairs shall be completed by **31 October**. Annual facility inspection reporting shall be submitted as required in Section B.3 of this MRP.

The Discharger shall inspect all precipitation, diversion, and drainage facilities and the cover system for the surface impoundments for damage **within 7 days** following major storm events capable of causing damage or significant erosion. The Discharger shall take photos of any problems areas before and after repairs. Necessary repairs shall be completed **within 30 days** of the inspection.

B. REPORTING

The Discharger shall submit the following reports in accordance with the required schedule:

Reporting Schedule

<u>Section</u>	<u>Report</u>	<u>End of Reporting Period</u>	<u>Due Date</u>
B.1	Semiannual Monitoring Report	30 June, 31 December	1 August, 1 February
B.2	Annual Monitoring Report	31 December	1 February
B.3	Annual Facility Inspection Report	31 October	15 November

Reporting Requirements

The Discharger shall submit monitoring reports **semiannually** with the data and information as required in this Monitoring and Reporting Program. In reporting the monitoring data required by this program, the Discharger shall arrange the data in tabular form so that the date, the constituents, the concentrations, and the units are readily discernible. The data shall be summarized in such a manner so as to illustrate clearly the compliance with waste discharge requirements or the lack thereof. Data shall also be submitted in a digital format to centralvalleyfresno@waterboards.ca.gov.

Field and laboratory tests shall be reported in each monitoring report. Semiannual and annual monitoring reports shall be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board in accordance with the above schedule for the calendar period in which samples were taken or observations made. In addition, the Discharger shall enter all monitoring data and monitoring reports into the online Geotracker database as required by Division 3 of Title 27.

The results of **all monitoring** conducted at the site shall be reported to the Central Valley Water Board in accordance with the reporting schedule above for the calendar period in which samples were taken or observations made.

The Discharger shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records, all original strip chart recordings of continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this Order, and records of all data used to complete the application for this Order. Records shall be maintained throughout the life of the facility. Such records shall be legible and shall show the following for each sample:

- a) Sample identification and the monitoring point or background monitoring point from which it was taken, along with the identity of the individual who obtained the sample;
- b) Date, time, and manner of sampling;
- c) Date and time that analyses were started and completed, and the name of the personnel and laboratory performing each analysis;
- d) Complete procedure used, including method of preserving the sample, and the identity and volumes of reagents used;
- e) Calculation of results; and
- f) Results of analyses, and the MDL and PQL for each analysis. All peaks shall be reported.

Required Reports

1. **Semiannual Monitoring Report:** Monitoring reports shall be submitted semiannually and are due on **1 August** and **1 February**. Each semiannual monitoring report shall contain at least the following:
 - a) For each groundwater monitoring point addressed by the report, a description of:
 - 1) The time of water level measurement;
 - 2) The type of pump - or other device - used for purging and the elevation of the pump intake relative to the elevation of the screened interval;
 - 3) The method of purging used to stabilize water in the well bore before the sample is taken including the pumping rate; the equipment and methods used to monitor field pH, temperature, and conductivity during purging; results of pH, temperature, conductivity, and turbidity testing; and the method of disposing of the purge water;
 - 4) The type of pump - or other device - used for sampling, if different than the pump or device used for purging; and.
 - b) A map or aerial photograph showing the locations of observation stations, monitoring points, and background monitoring points.
 - c) The estimated quarterly groundwater flow rate and direction in the uppermost aquifer, in any zones of perched water, and in any additional zone of saturation monitored based upon water level elevations taken prior to the collection of the water quality data submitted in the report [Title 27, section 20415(e)(15)].

- d) Cumulative tabulated monitoring data for all monitoring points and constituents for groundwater. Concentrations below the laboratory reporting limit shall not be reported as "ND" unless the reporting limit is also given in the table. Otherwise they shall be reported "<" the reporting limit (e.g., <0.10). Refer to the SPRRs Section I "Standard Monitoring Specifications" for requirements regarding MDLs and PQLs.
 - e) Laboratory statements of results of all analyses evaluating compliance with requirements.
 - f) Isocontour pollutant concentration maps for all groundwater zones, if applicable. The maps shall include, at a minimum, plots of chloride, electrical conductivity, and total dissolved solids for each of the groundwater zones monitored.
 - g) A table showing well construction details such as well number, groundwater zone being monitored, coordinates (longitude and latitude), ground surface elevation, reference elevation, elevation and depth of screen, seal, filter pack, and well bottom.
 - h) A table showing historical lateral and vertical (if applicable) flow directions and gradients.
 - i) Cumulative data tables containing the water quality analytical results and depth to groundwater.
2. **Annual Monitoring Report:** The Discharger shall submit an Annual Monitoring Report to the Central Valley Water Board by **1 February** covering the reporting period of the previous monitoring year. If desired, the Annual Monitoring Report may be combined with the second semiannual report, but if so, shall clearly state that it is both a semi-annual and annual monitoring report in its title. Each Annual Monitoring Report shall contain the following additional information beyond what is required for semiannual monitoring reports:
- a) All monitoring parameters shall be graphed to show historical trends at each monitoring point and background monitoring point, for all samples taken within at least the previous five calendar years. Each such graph shall plot the concentration of one or more constituents for the period of record for a given monitoring point or background monitoring point, at a scale appropriate to show trends or variations in water quality. The graphs shall plot each datum, rather than plotting mean values. Graphical analysis of monitoring data may be used to provide significant evidence of a release.

- b) All historical monitoring data for which there are detectable results, including data for the previous year, shall be submitted in tabular form in a digital file format to centralvalleyfresno@waterboards.ca.gov. The Central Valley Water Board regards the submittal of data in digital format as "...the form necessary for..." statistical analysis [Title 27, section 20420(h)], that facilitates periodic review by the Central Valley Water Board.
 - c) Hydrographs of each well showing the elevation of groundwater with respect to the elevations of the top and bottom of the screened interval and the elevation of the pump intake. Hydrographs of each well shall be prepared quarterly and submitted annually.
 - d) A comprehensive discussion of the compliance record, and the result of any corrective actions taken or planned which may be needed to bring the Discharger into full compliance with the waste discharge requirements.
 - e) A written summary of the monitoring results, indicating any changes made or observed since the previous Annual Monitoring Report.
 - f) A comprehensive discussion of any Corrective Action Program required by the WDRs, including a discussion of long-term trends in the concentrations of the pollutants in the groundwater monitoring wells and an analysis of whether the pollutants are being effectively treated.
3. **Annual Facility Inspection Reporting:** By **15 November** of each year, the Discharger shall submit a report describing the results of the inspection and the repair measures implemented, preparations for winter, and include photographs of any problem areas and the repairs.

The Discharger shall submit electronic copies of all workplans, reports, analytical results, and groundwater elevation data over the Internet to the State Water Board Geotracker database. Electronic submittals shall comply with Geotracker standards and procedures as specified on the State Water Board's web site.

C. TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR ALL REPORTS

A transmittal letter explaining the essential points shall accompany each report. At a minimum, the transmittal letter shall identify any violations found since the last report was submitted, and if the violations were corrected. If no violations have occurred since the last submittal, this shall be stated in the transmittal letter. The transmittal letter shall also state that a discussion of any violations found since the last report was submitted, and a description of the actions taken or planned for correcting those

violations, including any references to previously submitted time schedules, is contained in the accompanying report. The transmittal letter shall contain a statement by the discharger, or the discharger's authorized agent, under penalty of perjury, that to the best of the signer's knowledge the report is true, accurate, and complete.

The Discharger shall implement the above monitoring program on the effective date of this Program.

Original signed by:

Order by: _____
PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer

24 February 2017

(Date)

KG

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

STANDARD PROVISIONS AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS
FOR
WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
FOR
INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES REGULATED BY TITLE 27
(Title 27, § 20005 et seq.)

NOVEMBER 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
A. APPLICABILITY	2
B. TERMS AND CONDITIONS	2
C. STANDARD PROHIBITIONS	4
D. STANDARD DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS	4
E. STANDARD FACILITY SPECIFICATIONS	5
F. STANDARD CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS	6
G. STANDARD CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE SPECIFICATIONS	9
H. STANDARD FINANCIAL ASSURANCE PROVISIONS	10
I. STANDARD MONITORING SPECIFICATIONS	10
J. RESPONSE TO A RELEASE	19
K. GENERAL PROVISIONS	21
L. STORM WATER PROVISIONS	24

A. APPLICABILITY

1. These Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements (SPRRs) are applicable to Class II surface impoundments, waste piles, and land treatment units that are regulated by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (hereafter, Central Valley Water Board) pursuant to the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 27 ("Title 27"), section 20005 et seq.
2. "Order," as used throughout this document, means the Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) to which these SPRRs are incorporated.
3. The requirements prescribed herein do not authorize the commission of any act causing injury to the property of another, and do not protect the Discharger from liabilities under federal, state, or local laws. This Order does not convey any property rights or exclusive privileges.
4. The provisions of this Order are severable. If any provision of this Order is held invalid, the remainder of this Order shall not be affected.
5. If there is any conflicting or contradictory language between the WDRs, the Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP), or the SPRRs, then language in the WDRs shall govern over either the MRP or the SPRRs, and language in the MRP shall govern over the SPRRs.
6. If there is a site-specific need to change a requirement in these SPRRs for a particular facility, the altered requirement shall be placed in the appropriate section of the WDRs and will supersede the corresponding SPRRs requirement. These SPRRs are standard and cannot be changed as part of the permit writing process or in response to comments, but they will be periodically updated on an as-needed basis.
7. Unless otherwise stated, all terms are as defined in Water Code section 13050 and in Title 27, section 20164.

B. TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. Failure to comply with any waste discharge requirement, monitoring and reporting requirement, or Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirement, or other order or prohibition issued, reissued, or amended by the Central Valley Water Board or the State Water Board, or intentionally or negligently discharging waste, or causing or permitting waste to be deposited where it is discharged into the waters of the state and creates a condition of pollution or nuisance, is a violation of this Order and the Water Code, which can result in the imposition of civil monetary liability [Wat. Code, § 13350(a)]
2. After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this Order may be terminated or modified for cause, including, but not limited to [Wat. Code, § 13381]:

- a. Violation of any term or condition contained in this Order;
 - b. Obtaining this Order by misrepresentation, or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts;
 - c. A change in any condition that results in either a temporary or permanent need to reduce or eliminate the authorized discharge; or
 - d. A material change in the character, location, or volume of discharge.
3. Before initiating a new discharge or making a material change in the character, location, or volume of an existing discharge, the Discharger shall file a new report of waste discharge (ROWD), or other appropriate joint technical document (JTD), with the Central Valley Water Board [Wat. Code, § 13260(c) and § 13264(a)]. A material change includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- a. An increase in area or depth to be used for solid waste disposal beyond that specified in waste discharge requirements;
 - b. A significant change in disposal method, location, or volume (e.g., change from land disposal to land treatment);
 - c. A change in the type of waste being accepted for disposal; or
 - d. A change to previously-approved liner systems or final cover systems that would eliminate components or reduce the engineering properties of components.
4. Representatives of the Central Valley Water Board may inspect the facilities to ascertain compliance with the waste discharge requirements. The inspection shall be made with the consent of the owner or possessor of the facilities or, if the consent is refused, with a duly issued warrant. However, in the event of an emergency affecting the public health or safety, an inspection may be made without consent or the issuance of a warrant [Wat. Code, §13267(c)].
5. The Central Valley Water Board will review this Order periodically and will revise these waste discharge requirements when necessary [Wat. Code, § 13263(e) and Title 27, § 21720(b)].
6. Except for material determined to be confidential in accordance with California law and regulations, all reports prepared in accordance with terms of this Order shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the Central Valley Water Board [Wat. Code, § 13267(b)]. Data on waste discharges, water quality, geology, and hydrogeology shall not be considered confidential.
7. A discharge of waste into the waters of the state is a privilege, not a right. No discharge of waste into waters of the state, whether or not the discharge is

made pursuant to waste discharge requirements, shall create a vested right to continue the discharge [Wat. Code, § 13263(g)].

8. Technical and monitoring reports specified in this Order are requested pursuant to the Water Code [§13267(b)]. Failure to furnish the reports by the specified deadlines or falsifying information in the reports, are misdemeanors that may be liable civilly in accordance with §13268(b) of the Water Code [Wat. Code, §13268(a)].

C. STANDARD PROHIBITIONS

1. The discharge of wastes which have the potential to reduce or impair the integrity of containment structures or which, if commingled with other wastes in the waste management unit, could produce violent reaction, heat or pressure, fire or explosion, toxic by-products, or reaction products, which, in turn:
 - a. require a higher level of containment than provided by the unit; or
 - b. are 'restricted wastes'; or
 - c. impair the integrity of containment structures;is prohibited [Title 27, § 20200(b)].
2. The discharge of wastes outside of a waste management unit or portions of a unit specifically designed for their containment is prohibited.
3. The discharge of waste to a closed waste management unit is prohibited.
4. The discharge of waste constituents to the unsaturated zone or to groundwater is prohibited, except within the treatment zone at a land treatment unit.
5. The discharge of solid or liquid waste or leachate to surface waters, surface water drainage courses, or groundwater is prohibited.

D. STANDARD DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS

1. The Discharger is responsible for accurate characterization of wastes, including a determination of whether or not wastes will be compatible with containment features and other wastes at the waste management unit and whether or not the wastes are required to be managed as a hazardous waste [Title 27, § 20200(c)] or designated waste [Title 27, § 20210].
2. Leachate collected from a waste management unit shall be discharged to the unit from which it came, or discharged to an appropriate waste management unit in accordance with Title 27 and in a manner consistent with the waste classification of the liquid [Title 27, § 20200(d) and § 20340(g)].

3. Wastes shall be discharged only into waste management units specifically designed for their containment and/or treatment, as described in this Order.
4. The discharge shall remain within the designated disposal area at all times.
5. The discharge of waste shall not cause a nuisance condition [Wat. Code, § 13050(m)].

E. STANDARD FACILITY SPECIFICATIONS

1. All waste management units shall be designed, constructed, and operated to ensure that wastes, including leachate, will be a minimum of 5 feet above the highest anticipated elevation of underlying groundwater [Title 27, § 20240(c)], including the capillary fringe.
2. Surface and subsurface drainage from outside of a waste management unit shall be diverted from the unit [Title 27, § 20365(e)].
3. The Discharger shall **immediately** notify the Central Valley Water Board staff of any slope failure occurring at a waste management unit. Any failure which threatens the integrity of containment features or the waste management unit shall be promptly corrected in accordance with an approved method [Title 27, § 21710(c)(2)].
4. The Discharger shall **immediately** notify Central Valley Water Board staff of any flooding, unpermitted discharge of waste off-site or outside of waste management units, equipment failure, or other change in site conditions which could impair the integrity of waste or leachate containment facilities or precipitation and drainage control structures.
5. The Discharger shall maintain in good working order any facility, control system, or monitoring device installed to achieve compliance with the waste discharge requirements.
6. The Discharger shall lock all groundwater monitoring wells with a lock on the well cap or monitoring well box. All monitoring devices shall be clearly labeled with their designation including all monitoring wells, LCRS risers, and lysimeter risers and shall be easily accessible for required monitoring by authorized personnel. Each monitoring device shall be clearly visible and be protected from damage by equipment or vehicles.
7. The Discharger shall maintain the depth of the fluid in the sump of each waste management unit at the minimum needed for efficient pump operation (the depth at which the pump turns on given the pump intake height and maximum pump cycle frequency).

8. Each LCRS shall be tested at least annually to demonstrate proper operation. The results of the tests shall be compared with earlier tests made under comparable conditions [Title 27, § 20340(d)].
9. The Discharger shall maintain a *Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan and Monitoring Program and Reporting Requirements* in accordance with State Water Board Order No. 97-03-DWQ (or most recent general industrial storm water permit), or retain all storm water on-site.

F. STANDARD CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS

1. The Discharger shall submit for review and approval at least **90 days** prior to proposed construction, design plans and specifications for new Class II waste management units that include the following:
 - a. Detailed construction drawings showing all required liner system components, the LCRS, leachate sump, unsaturated zone monitoring system, and access to the LCRS for required annual testing.
 - b. A Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) Plan prepared by a California-registered civil engineer or certified engineering geologist, and that meets the requirements of Title 27, section 20324.
 - c. A geotechnical evaluation of the area soils, evaluating their use as the base layer or reference to the location of this information in the ROWD/JTD [Title 27, § 21750(f)(4)].
 - d. Information about the seismic design of the proposed new waste management unit (or reference to the location of this information in the ROWD/JTD) in accordance with Title 27, section 20370.
 - e. A revised water quality monitoring plan for groundwater detection monitoring (or information showing the existing plan is adequate) in accordance with Title 27, section 20415.
 - f. An Operation Plan (or reference to the location of this information in the ROWD/JTD) meeting the requirements of Title 27, sections 21760(b) and 20375(b).
2. All containment structures shall be designed by, and construction shall be supervised by, a California registered civil engineer or a certified engineering geologist, and shall be certified by that individual as meeting the prescriptive standards, or approved engineered alternative design, in accordance with this Order prior to waste discharge.
3. The Discharger shall not proceed with construction until the construction plans, specifications, and all applicable construction quality assurance plans have

been approved. Waste management units shall receive a final inspection and approval of the construction by Central Valley Water Board staff before use of the unit commences [Title 27, § 20310(e)].

4. Any report, or any amendment or revision of a report, that proposes a design or design change that might affect a waste management unit's containment features or monitoring systems shall be approved by a California registered civil engineer or a certified engineering geologist [Title 27, § 21710(d)].
5. Materials used in containment structures shall have appropriate chemical and physical properties to ensure that such structures do not fail to contain waste because of pressure gradients, physical contact with waste or leachate, chemical reactions with soil or rock, climatic conditions, the stress of installation, or because of the stress of daily operations [Title 27, § 20320(a)].
6. Waste management units and their respective containment structures shall be designed and constructed to limit, to the greatest extent possible, ponding, infiltration, inundation, erosion, slope failure, washout, and overtopping [Title 27, § 20365(a)].
7. The Discharger shall design storm water conveyance systems for Class II units for a 1,000-year, 24-hour storm event [Title 27, § 21750(e)(3)].
8. All Class II waste management units shall be designed to withstand maximum credible earthquake without damage to the foundation or to the structures that control leachate, or surface drainage, or erosion [Title 27, § 20370(a)].
9. The Discharger shall perform stability analyses that include components to demonstrate the integrity of the waste management unit foundation, final slopes, and containment systems under both static and dynamic conditions throughout the life of the unit [Title 27, § 21750(f)(5)].
10. New Class II Units, other than LTUs and expansions of existing Class II units, shall have a 200 foot setback from any known Holocene fault. [Title 27, § 20250(d)].
11. Liners shall be designed and constructed to contain the fluid, including waste, and leachate [Title 27, § 20330(a)].
12. Hydraulic conductivities shall be determined primarily by appropriate field test methods in accordance with accepted civil engineering practice. The results of laboratory tests with both water and leachate, and field tests with water, shall be compared to evaluate how the field permeabilities will be affected by leachate. It is acceptable for the Discharger to use appropriate compaction tests in conjunction with laboratory hydraulic conductivity tests to determine field permeabilities as long as a reasonable number of field hydraulic conductivity tests are also conducted [Title 27, § 20320(c)].

13. Hydraulic conductivities specified for containment structures other than the final cover shall be relative to the fluids (leachate) to be contained. Hydraulic conductivities for the final cover shall be relative to water [Title 27, § 20320(b)].
14. A test pad for each barrier layer and any final cover shall be constructed in a manner duplicating the field construction. Test pad construction methods, with the designated equipment, shall be used to determine if the specified density/moisture-content/hydraulic conductivity relationships determined in the laboratory can be achieved in the field with the compaction equipment to be used and at the specified lift thickness [Title 27, § 20324(g)(1)(A)].
15. The Discharger shall ensure proper preparation of the subgrade for any liner system that includes a GCL so as to provide a smooth surface that is free from rocks, sticks, or other debris that could damage or otherwise limit the performance of the GCL.
16. The Discharger shall propose an electronic leak location survey of the top liner for any new waste management unit in the construction quality assurance plan unless the Discharger demonstrates that a leak location survey is not needed.
17. Leachate collection and removal systems are required for Class II surface impoundments [Title 27, § 20340(a)].
18. The LCRS shall be designed, constructed, maintained, and operated to collect and remove twice the maximum anticipated daily volume of leachate from the waste management unit [Title 27, § 20340(b)].
19. Leachate collection and removal systems shall be designed and operated to function without clogging through the life of the waste management unit.
20. The leachate sump, leachate removal pump, and pump controls shall be designed and set to maintain a fluid depth no greater than the minimum needed for efficient pump operation [Title 27, § 20340(c)].
21. All construction of liner systems and final cover systems shall be performed in accordance with a Construction Quality Assurance Plan certified by a registered civil engineer or a certified engineering geologist [Title 27, § 20323].
22. The Construction Quality Assurance program shall be supervised by a registered civil engineer or a certified engineering geologist who shall be designated the CQA officer [Title 27, § 20324(b)(2)].
23. The Discharger shall ensure that a third party independent of both the Discharger and the construction contractor performs all of the construction quality assurance monitoring and testing during the construction of a liner system.

24. The Discharger shall notify Central Valley Water Board staff at least **14 days** prior to commencing field construction activities including construction of a new Class II waste management unit, construction of a final cover (for units closed as a landfill), or any other construction that requires Central Valley Water Board staff approval under this Order.
25. The Discharger shall submit for review and approval at least **60 days** prior to proposed discharge, final documentation required in Title 27 Section 20324(d)(1)(C) following the completion of construction of a new Class II waste management unit. The report shall be certified by a registered civil engineer or a certified engineering geologist and include a statement that the liner system was constructed in accordance with the approved design plans and specifications, the CQA Plan, the requirements of the WDRs, and that it meets the performance goals of Title 27. The report shall contain sufficient information and test results to verify that construction was in accordance with the design plans and specifications, the construction quality assurance plan, and the performance goals of Title 27.
26. The Discharger shall not discharge waste onto a newly constructed liner system until the final documentation report has been reviewed and an acceptance letter has been received.

G. STANDARD CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE SPECIFICATIONS

1. The final closure and post-closure maintenance plan for the waste management unit shall include at least the following: an itemized cost analysis, closure schedule, any proposed final treatment procedures, map, changes to the unit description presented in the most recent ROWD, future land use, and a construction quality assurance plan [Title 27, § 21769(c) & (d)].
2. Closure of each waste management unit shall be under the direct supervision of a registered civil engineer or certified engineering geologist [Title 27, § 20950(b)].
3. The final cover of waste management units closed as a landfill shall be designed, graded, and maintained to prevent ponding and soil erosion due to high run-off velocities [Title 27, § 21090(b)(1)(A)].
4. The final grading design shall be designed and approved by a registered civil engineer or certified engineering geologist [Title 27, § 21090(b)(1)(C)].
5. All final cover designs shall include a minimum 1-foot thick erosion resistant vegetative layer or a mechanically erosion-resistant layer [Title 27, § 21090(a)(3)(A)(1 & 2)].

6. Areas with slopes greater than ten percent, surface drainage courses, and areas subject to erosion by wind or water shall be designed and constructed to prevent such erosion [Title 27, § 21090(b)(2)].
7. The Discharger shall design storm water conveyance systems for Class II units that are closed as a landfill for a 1,000-year, 24-hour storm event [Title 27, § 21750(e)(3)].
8. Construction or repair of a final cover system's low-hydraulic conductivity layer is to be carried out in accordance with an approved construction quality assurance plan [Title 27, § 21090(b)(1)(E)].
9. Within **30 days** of completion of all closure activities, the Discharger shall certify that all closure activities were performed in accordance with the most recently approved final closure plan and CQA Plan, and in accordance with all applicable regulations. The Discharger shall also certify that units that are closed as a landfill shall be maintained in accordance with an approved post-closure maintenance plan [Title 27, § 21710(c)(6)].
10. The post-closure maintenance period for units closed as a landfill shall continue until the Central Valley Water Board determines that wastes remaining in the landfill unit(s) no longer pose a threat to water quality [Title 27, § 20950(a)(1)].
11. The Discharger shall periodically inspect and identify problems with the final cover including areas that require replanting, erosion, areas lacking free drainage, and any areas damaged by equipment operations [Title 27, § 21090(a)(4)(B)].
12. The Discharger shall repair any cover promptly in accordance with a cover repair plan to be included in the final post-closure maintenance plan [Title 27, § 21090(a)(4)(C)].

H. STANDARD FINANCIAL ASSURANCE PROVISIONS

1. The Discharger shall establish an irrevocable fund (or provide other means) for closure to ensure closure of each Class II unit in accordance with an approved closure plan [Title 27, § 20950(f) and § 22207(a)].
2. The Discharger shall obtain and maintain assurances of financial responsibility for initiating and completing corrective action for all known and reasonably foreseeable releases from the waste management unit [Title 27, §20380(b) and § 22222].

I. STANDARD MONITORING SPECIFICATIONS

1. The water quality monitoring program shall include appropriate and consistent sampling and analytical procedures and methods designed to ensure that

monitoring results provide a reliable indication of water quality at all monitoring points and background monitoring points [Title 27, § 20415(e)(4)].

2. All monitoring systems shall be designed and certified by a registered geologist or a registered civil engineer [Title 27, § 20415(e)(1)].
3. All monitoring wells shall be cased and constructed in a manner that maintains the integrity of the monitoring well bore hole and prevents the bore hole from acting as a conduit for contaminant transport [Title 27, § 20415(b)(4)(A)].
4. All sample chemical analyses of any material shall be performed by a laboratory certified by the California Department of Health Services [Wat. Code, § 13176(a)].
5. A Detection Monitoring Program for a new Class II waste management unit shall be installed, operational, and one year of monitoring data collected from background monitoring points prior to the discharge of wastes [Title 27, § 20415(e)(6)].
6. Background for water samples shall be represented by the data from all samples taken from applicable background monitoring points during that reporting period (at least one sample from each background monitoring point).
7. The Discharger shall submit for approval, establish, and maintain an approved Sample Collection and Analysis Plan. The Sample Collection and Analysis Plan shall at a minimum include:
 - a. Sample collection procedures describing purging techniques, sampling equipment, and decontamination of sampling equipment;
 - b. Sample preservation information and shipment procedures;
 - c. Sample analytical methods and procedures;
 - d. Sample quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures;
 - e. Chain of Custody control; and
 - f. Sample analysis information including sample preparation techniques to avoid matrix interferences, method detection limits (MDLs), practical quantitation limits (PQLs) and reporting limits (RLs), and procedures for reporting trace results between the MDL and PQL.

If required by the Executive Officer, the Discharger shall modify the Sample Collection and Analysis Plan to conform with this Order.

8. For any given monitored medium, the samples taken from all monitoring points and background monitoring points to satisfy the data analysis requirements for a given reporting period shall all be taken **within a span not to exceed 30 days**, unless a longer time period is approved, and shall be taken in a manner that ensures sample independence to the greatest extent feasible. Specific methods of collection and analysis must be identified. Sample collection, storage, and analysis shall be performed according to the most recent version of USEPA Methods, such as the latest editions, as applicable, of: (1) Methods for the Analysis of Organics in Water and Wastewater (USEPA 600 Series), (2) Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste (SW-846, latest edition), and (3) Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes (USEPA 600/4-79-020), and in accordance with the approved Sample Collection and Analysis Plan. Appropriate sample preparation techniques shall be used to minimize matrix interferences.
9. If methods other than USEPA-approved methods or Standard Methods are used, or there is a proposed alternant USEPA method than the one listed in the MRP, the proposed methodology shall be submitted for review and approval prior to use, including information showing its equivalence to the required method.
10. The **methods of analysis and the detection limits** used must be appropriate for the expected concentrations. For the monitoring of any constituent or parameter that is found in concentrations which produce more than 90% non-numerical determinations (i.e., “trace” or “ND”) in data from background monitoring points for that medium, the analytical method having the lowest MDL shall be selected from among those methods which would provide valid results in light of any matrix effects or interferences.
11. The laboratory reporting limit (RL) for all reported monitoring data shall be set no greater than the practical quantitation limit (PQL).
12. **“Trace” results** - results falling between the MDL and the PQL - shall be reported as such, and shall be accompanied both by the estimated MDL and PQL values for that analytical run.
13. Laboratory data shall not be altered or revised by the Discharger. If the Discharger observes potential lab errors, it shall identify the issue in the monitoring report and shall describe steps that will be taken to prevent similar errors in the future.
14. **MDLs and PQLs** shall be derived by the laboratory for each analytical procedure, according to State of California laboratory accreditation procedures. These MDLs and PQLs shall reflect the detection and quantitation capabilities of the specific analytical procedure and equipment used by the lab, rather than simply being quoted from USEPA analytical method manuals. In relatively

interference-free water, laboratory-derived MDLs and PQLs are expected to closely agree with published USEPA MDLs and PQLs. MDLs and PQLs shall be reported.

15. If the laboratory suspects that, due to a change in matrix or other effects, the true detection limit or quantitation limit for a particular analytical run differs significantly from the laboratory-derived MDL/PQL values, the results shall be flagged in the laboratory report accordingly, along with estimates of the detection limit and quantitation limit actually achieved. The **MDL shall always be calculated such that it represents the lowest achievable concentration associated with a 99% reliability of a nonzero result.** The PQL shall always be calculated such that it represents the lowest constituent concentration at which a numerical value can be assigned with reasonable certainty that it represents the constituent's actual concentration in the sample. Normally, PQLs should be set equal to the concentration of the lowest standard used to calibrate the analytical procedure.
16. All **QA/QC data** shall be reported, along with the sample results to which they apply, including the method, equipment, analytical detection and quantitation limits, the percent recovery, an explanation for any recovery that falls outside the QC limits, the results of equipment and method blanks, the results of spiked and surrogate samples, the frequency of quality control analysis, and the name and signature of a responsible person from the laboratory. **Sample results shall be reported unadjusted for blank results or spike recoveries.** In cases where contaminants are detected in QA/QC samples (i.e., field, trip, or lab blanks), the accompanying sample results shall be appropriately flagged, but the analytical results shall not be adjusted.
17. Unknown chromatographic peaks shall be reported, flagged, and tracked for potential comparison to subsequent unknown peaks that may be observed in future sampling events. Identification of unknown chromatographic peaks that recur in subsequent sampling events may be required.
18. The sampling interval of each monitoring well shall be appropriately screened and fitted with an appropriate filter pack to enable collection of representative groundwater samples [Title 27, § 20415(b)(4)(B)].
19. All borings are to be logged during drilling under the direct supervision of a registered geologist or registered civil engineer with expertise in stratigraphic well logging [Title 27, § 20415(e)(2)].
20. Soils are to be described according to the Unified Soil Classification System [Title 27, § 20415(e)(2)(A)]. Rock is to be described in a manner appropriate for the purpose of the investigation [Title 27, § 20415(e)(2)(B)].

21. The Discharger shall submit a work plan for review and approval at least **60 days** prior to installation or abandonment of groundwater monitoring wells.
22. The Discharger shall provide Central Valley Water Board staff a minimum of **one week** notification prior to commencing any field activities related to the installation or abandonment of monitoring devices.
23. The water quality protection standard shall consist of the constituents of concern (COC), concentration limits, and the point of compliance. The water quality protection standard shall apply during the active life of the waste management unit, closure period, post-closure maintenance period, and any compliance period under Title 27, section 20410 [Title 27, § 20390].
24. The point of compliance at which the water quality protection standard applies is a vertical surface located at the hydraulically downgradient limit of the waste management unit that extends through the uppermost aquifer underlying the unit [Title 27, § 20405].
25. The compliance period is the minimum period of time during which the Discharger shall conduct a water quality monitoring program and is the number of years equal to the active life of the waste management unit plus the closure period [Title 27, § 20410(a)].
26. The groundwater monitoring system shall include a sufficient number of monitoring points, installed at appropriate locations, to yield groundwater samples from the uppermost aquifer that represent the quality of groundwater that has not been affected by a release from the waste management unit [Title 27, § 20415(b)(1)(A)].
27. The Detection Monitoring Program shall include a sufficient number of monitoring points, installed at appropriate locations and depths to yield groundwater samples from the uppermost aquifer that represent the quality of groundwater passing the point of compliance to allow the detection of a release from the waste management unit [Title 27, § 20415(b)(1)(B)1.].
28. Additional monitoring points shall be added as necessary to provide the best assurance of the **earliest possible detection** of a release from the waste management unit [Title 27, § 20415(b)(1)(B)2.].
29. The Detection Monitoring Program shall also include a sufficient number of monitoring points installed at appropriate depths and locations to yield groundwater samples from other aquifers or perched zones not already monitored to provide the **earliest possible detection** of a release from the waste management unit [Title 27, § 20415(b)(1)(B)3. and 4., and §20420(b)].

30. A surface water monitoring system shall be established to monitor each surface water body that could be affected by a release from the waste management unit [Title 27, § 20415(c)].
31. An unsaturated zone monitoring system shall be established for each waste management unit [Title 27, § 20415(d)].
32. The Discharger shall notify Central Valley Water Board staff within **seven days** if fluid is detected in a previously dry LCRS, unsaturated zone monitoring system, or if a progressive increase is detected in the volume of fluid in a LCRS [Title 27, § 21710(c)(3)].
33. Driller's logs for all monitoring wells shall to be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board and the Department of Water Resources [Wat. Code, § 13751 and Title 27, § 20415(b)(3)].
34. Groundwater elevation, temperature, electrical conductivity, turbidity, and pH are to be accurately measured at each well each time groundwater is sampled [Title 27, § 20415(e)(13)].
35. The groundwater flow rate and direction in the uppermost aquifer and in any zones of perched water and in any additional portions of the zone of saturation being monitored shall be determined at least quarterly [Title 27, § 20415(e)(15)].
36. The Discharger shall graph all analytical data from each monitoring point and background monitoring point and shall submit the graphs to the Central Valley Water Board annually [Title 27, § 20415(e)(14)].
37. For each waste management unit, the Discharger shall collect all data necessary for selecting appropriate data analysis methods for establishing background values for each constituent of concern and for each monitoring parameter [Title 27, § 20420(c)]. The Discharger shall propose a data analysis method that includes a detailed description of the criteria to be used for determining "measurably significant" (as defined in Title 27, section 20164) evidence of a release from the waste management unit and determining compliance with the water quality protection standard [Title 27, § 20415(e)(6) and (7)].
38. For statistical analysis of data, the Discharger shall use one of the methods described in Title 27, section 20415(e)(8)(A)-(E). A non-statistical data analysis method can be used if the method can achieve the goal of the particular monitoring program at least as well as the most appropriate statistical method [Title 27, § 20415(e)(8)]. The Discharger shall use a statistical or nonstatistical data analysis method that complies with Title 27, section 20415(e)(7, 8, 9, and 10), to compare the concentration of each constituent of concern or monitoring parameter with its respective background concentration to determine whether

there has been a measurably significant evidence of a release from the waste management unit. For any given monitoring point at which a given constituent has already exhibited a measurably significant indication of a release at that monitoring point, the Discharger may propose to monitor the constituent, at that well, using a concentration-versus-time plot.

39. The Discharger may propose an alternate statistical method [to the methods listed under Title 27, section 20415(e)(8)(A-D)] in accordance with Title 27, section 20415(e)(8)(E), for review and approval.
40. The statistical method shall account for data below the practical quantitation limit (PQL) with one or more statistical procedures that are protective of human health and the environment. Any PQL validated pursuant to Title 27, section 20415(e)(7) that is used in the statistical method shall be **the lowest concentration (or value) that can be reliably achieved** within limits of precision and accuracy specified in the WDRs or an approved Sample Collection and Analysis Plan for routine laboratory operating conditions that are available to the facility. The Discharger's technical report (Sample Collection and Analysis Plan and/or Water Quality Protection Standard Report), pursuant to Title 27, section 20415(e)(7), shall consider the PQLs listed in Appendix IX, Article 19 to Chapter 14 of Division 4.5 of Title 22, CCR, for guidance when specifying limits of precision and accuracy. For any given constituent monitored at a background or downgradient monitoring point, an indication that falls between the MDL and the PQL for that constituent (hereinafter called a "trace" detection) shall be identified and used in appropriate statistical or non-statistical tests. Nevertheless, for a statistical method that is compatible with the proportion of censored data (trace and ND indications) in the data set, the Discharger can use the laboratory's concentration estimates in the trace range (if available) for statistical analysis, in order to increase the statistical power by decreasing the number of "ties".
41. The water quality protection standard for organic compounds which are not naturally occurring and not detected in background groundwater samples shall be taken as the detection limit of the analytical method used (e.g., USEPA methods 8260 and 8270).
42. Alternate statistical procedures may be used for determining the significance of analytical results for common laboratory contaminants (i.e., methylene chloride, acetone, diethylhexyl phthalate, and di-n-octyl phthalate) if part of an approved water quality protection standard. Nevertheless, analytical results involving detection of these analytes in any background or downgradient sample shall be reported and flagged for easy reference by Central Valley Water Board staff.
43. **Confirmation of Measurably Significant Evidence of a Release.** Whenever a constituent is detected at a detection monitoring point at a concentration that exceeds the concentration limit from the water quality protection standard, the

Discharger shall conduct verification sampling to confirm if the exceedance is due to a release or if it is a false-positive (unless previous monitoring has already confirmed a release for that constituent at that monitoring point). An exceedance of the concentration limit from the water quality protection standard is considered measurably significant evidence of a release that must be either confirmed or denied. There are two separate verification testing procedures:

- a. Standard Monitoring Specification I.44 provides the procedure for analytes that are detected in less than 10% of the background samples such as non-naturally occurring constituents like volatile organic compounds; and
- b. Standard Monitoring Specification I.45 provides the procedure for analytes that are detected in 10% or greater of the background samples such as naturally occurring constituents like chloride.

44. Verification Procedure for Analytes Detected in Less than 10% of

Background Samples. The Discharger shall use the following non-statistical method for all analytes that are detected in less than 10% of the background samples. The non-statistical method shall be implemented as follows:

- a. **Initial Determination of Measurably Significant Evidence of a Release.** Identify each analyte in the **current** detection monitoring point sample that exceeds either its respective MDL or PQL, and for which a release has not been previously confirmed. The Discharger shall conclude that the exceedance provides a preliminary indication of a release or a change in the nature or extent of the release, at that monitoring point, if **either**:
 - 1) The data contains two or more analytes that equal or exceed their respective MDLs; or
 - 2) The data contains one or more analyte that equals or exceeds its PQL.
- b. **Discrete Retest** [Title 27, § 20415(e)(8)(E) and § 20420(j)(1-3)]:
 - 1) In the event that the Discharger or Central Valley Water Board staff concludes (pursuant to paragraph I.44.a., above) that there is a preliminary indication of a release, then the Discharger shall **immediately** notify Central Valley Water Board staff by phone or e-mail and, within **30 days** of such indication, shall collect two new (retest) samples from the monitoring point where the release is preliminarily indicated and analyze them for the constituents that caused the need for the retest.
 - 2) **Confirmation of a Release.** As soon as the retest data are available, the Discharger shall conclude that measurably significant evidence of a release is confirmed if (not including the original sample) two or more

analytes equal or exceed their respective MDLs or if one or more analyte equals or exceeds its PQL. The Discharger shall then:

- a) **Immediately** verbally notify the Central Valley Water Board whether or not the retest confirmed measurably significant evidence of a release for the analyte at the monitoring point, and follow up with written notification submitted by certified mail **within seven days** of the verbal notification; and
- b) Carry out the requirements of Section J, **RESPONSE TO A RELEASE** if a release has been confirmed.
- c) Add any five-year analyte that is confirmed per this method to the monitoring parameter list such that it is monitored during each regular monitoring event.

45. Verification Procedure for Analytes Detected in 10% or Greater of the

Background Samples. The Discharger shall use either a statistical or non-statistical method pursuant to Title 27, section 20415(e)(8)(E) for all analytes that are detected in 10% or greater of the background samples. The Discharger shall use one of the statistical methods required in Title 27, section 20415(e)(8)(E) unless another method has been proposed by the Discharger in a Water Quality Protection Standard Report (or equivalent report) and approved by the Central Valley Water Board in a Monitoring and Reporting Program pursuant to Title 27, section 20415(e)(8)(A-D)] or section 20415(e)(8)(E). The method shall be implemented as follows:

- a. **Initial Determination of Measurably Significant Evidence of a Release.**
The Discharger shall compare the value reported by the laboratory for each analyte to the statistically-derived concentration limit from the most recent report (Annual Monitoring Report or Water Quality Protection Standard Report) that uses the approved statistical procedure. If the value exceeds the concentration limit for that constituent, the Discharger shall conclude that there is measurably significant evidence of a release [Title 27, § 20420(i)].
- b. **Retest Method** [Title 27, § 20415(e)(8)(E) and § 20420(j)(1-3)].
 - 1) In the event that the Discharger or Central Valley Water Board staff concludes (pursuant to paragraph 1.45.a., above) that there is a preliminary indication of a release, then the Discharger shall **immediately** notify Central Valley Water Board staff by phone or e-mail and, within **30 days** [Title 27, § 20415(e)(8)(E)(3)] of such indication, the Discharger shall implement a verification procedure/retest option, in accordance with Title 27, sections 20415(e)(8)(E) and 20420(j)(2). The verification procedure shall include either a single “composite” retest (i.e., a statistical analysis that augments and reanalyzes the data from the

monitoring point that indicated a release) or shall consist of at least two “discrete” retests (i.e., statistical analyses each of which analyzes only newly-acquired data from the monitoring point that indicated a release) [Title 27, § 20415(e)(8)(E)]. The Discharger may use an alternate method previously approved by the Central Valley Water Board and included in the Monitoring and Reporting Program. The verification procedure shall comply with the requirements of Title 27, section 20415(e)(8)(E) in addition to the performance standards of Title 27, section 20415(e)(9). The retest samples shall be collected from the monitoring point where the release is preliminarily indicated and shall be analyzed for the constituents that caused the need for the retest. For any indicated monitoring parameter or constituent of concern, if the retest results of one or more of the retest data suites confirm the original indication, the Discharger shall conclude that measurably significant evidence of a release has been confirmed.

- 2) **Confirmation of a Release.** As soon as the retest data are available, the Discharger shall evaluate the results pursuant to paragraph I.45.b.1, above and shall:
 - a) **Immediately** verbally notify the Central Valley Water Board whether or not the retest confirmed measurably significant evidence of a release for the analyte at the monitoring point, and follow up with written notification submitted by certified mail **within seven days** of the verbal notification; and
 - b) Carry out the requirements of Section J, **RESPONSE TO A RELEASE** if a release has been confirmed.
 - c) Add any five-year analyte that is confirmed per this method to the monitoring parameter list such that it is monitored during each regular monitoring event.

46. **Physical Evidence of a Release.** If the Discharger determines that there is a significant **physical** evidence of a release, the Discharger shall immediately verbally notify Central Valley Water Board staff and provide written notification **by certified mail within 7 days** of such determination, and within **90 days** shall submit an amended report of waste discharge to establish an Evaluation Monitoring Program [Title 27, § 20385(a)(3) and § 20420(l)(1) & (2)].

J. RESPONSE TO A RELEASE

1. **Measurably Significant Evidence of a Release Has Been Confirmed.** If the Discharger has confirmed that there is measurably significant evidence of a release from a waste management unit pursuant to Standard Monitoring Specification I.44 or I.45, then the Discharger shall:
 - a. **Immediately** sample all monitoring points in the affected medium at that waste management unit and determine the concentration of all monitoring parameters and constituents of concern for comparison with established concentration limits. Because this constituent of concern scan does not involve statistical testing, the Discharger will need to collect and analyze only a single water sample from each monitoring point in the affected medium [Title 27, § 20420(k)(1)].
 - b. **Within 90 days** of confirming measurably significant evidence of a release, the Discharger shall submit an amended report of waste discharge to establish an Evaluation Monitoring Program meeting the requirements of Title 27, sections 20420(k)(5)(A-D), including but not limited to the results of sampling pursuant to paragraph J.1.a, above. The Evaluation Monitoring Program shall be designed for the collection and analysis of all data necessary to assess the nature and extent of the release and to determine the spatial distribution and concentration of each constituent throughout the zone affected by the release [Title 27, § 20420(k)(5) and § 20425(b)].
 - c. **Within 180 days** of confirming measurably significant evidence of a release, the Discharger shall submit to the Central Valley Water Board an initial engineering feasibility study for a Corrective Action Program necessary to meet the requirements of Title 27, section 20430. At a minimum, the initial engineering feasibility study shall contain a detailed description of the corrective action measures that could be taken to achieve background concentrations for all constituents of concern [Title 27, § 20420(k)(6)].
 - d. If the Discharger confirms that there is measurably significant evidence of a release from the waste management unit at any monitoring point, the Discharger may attempt to demonstrate that a source other than the waste management unit caused the evidence of a release or that the evidence is an artifact caused by an error in sampling, analysis, or statistical evaluation or by natural variation in groundwater, surface water, or the unsaturated zone. The Discharger may make a demonstration pursuant to Title 27, section 20420(k)(7) in addition to or in lieu of submitting both an amended report of waste discharge or an engineering feasibility study; however, the Discharger is not relieved of the requirements and due dates of Title 27, sections 20420(k)(6) & (7) unless Central Valley Water Board staff agree that the demonstration successfully shows that a source other than the waste management unit caused the evidence of a release or that the evidence resulted from error in sampling, analysis, or statistical evaluation

or from natural variation in groundwater, surface water, or the unsaturated zone. In order to make this demonstration, the Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board by certified mail of the intent to make the demonstration **within seven days** of determining measurably significant evidence of a release, and shall submit a report **within 90 days** of determining measurably significant evidence of a release [Title 27, § 20420(k)(7)].

- e. **Within 90 days** of the date that the Evaluation Monitoring Program from paragraph J.1.b is approved (the date is it established), the Discharger shall complete and submit the following:
 - i) **Results and Assessment for the Evaluation Monitoring Program.** A report with the results and assessment based on the approved Evaluation Monitoring Program [Title 27, § 20425(b)].
 - ii) **Updated Engineering Feasibility Study.** An updated engineering feasibility study for corrective action based on the data collected to delineate the release and data from the ongoing monitoring program required under Title 27, section 20425(e) [Title 27, § 20425(c)].
 - iii) **Amended ROWD for a Corrective Action Program.** An amended report of waste discharge to establish a Corrective Action Program meeting the requirements of Title 27, section 20430 based on the data collected to delineate the release and based on the updated engineering feasibility study [Title 27, § 20425(d)].

K. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. In the event the Discharger does not comply or will be unable to comply with any prohibition or limitation of this Order for any reason, the Discharger shall notify the appropriate Central Valley Water Board office by telephone **as soon as** it or its agents have knowledge of such noncompliance or potential for noncompliance, and shall confirm this notification in writing **within two weeks**. The written notification shall state the nature, time, and cause of noncompliance, and shall describe the measures being taken to prevent recurrences and shall include a timetable for corrective actions.
2. All reports and transmittal letters shall be signed by persons identified below:
 - a. For a corporation: by a principal executive officer of at least the level of senior vice-president.
 - b. For a partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or the proprietor.

- c. For a municipality, state, federal or other public agency: by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected or appointed official.
- d. A duly authorized representative of a person designated in a, b or c above if:
 - 1) The authorization is made in writing by a person described in a, b, or c of this provision;
 - 2) The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity, such as the position of plant manager, operator of a Unit, superintendent, or position of equivalent responsibility (a duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
 - 3) The written authorization is submitted to the Central Valley Water Board.
- e. Any person signing a document under this Section shall make the following certification:

“I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.”
3. The Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to minimize any adverse impact to the waters of the State resulting from noncompliance with this Order. Such steps shall include accelerated or additional monitoring as necessary to determine the nature, extent, and impact of the noncompliance.
4. The owner of the waste management facility shall have the continuing responsibility to assure protection of waters of the state from discharged wastes and leachate generated by discharged waste during the active life, closure, and any post-closure maintenance period of the waste management units and during subsequent use of the property for other purposes.
5. The fact that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with this Order shall not be regarded as a defense for the Discharger’s violations of this Order.
6. The Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board of a material change in; the types, quantity, or concentrations of wastes discharged; site operations

and features; or proposed closure procedures, including changes in cost estimates. This notification shall be given a reasonable time before the changes are made or become effective. No changes shall be made without Central Valley Water Board approval following authorization for closure pursuant to the site Notification of Closure [Title 27, § 21710(a)(4)].

7. The Discharger shall maintain legible records of the volume and type of each waste discharged at each waste management unit or portion of a unit, and the manner and location of discharge. Such records shall be maintained by the Discharger until the beginning of the post-closure maintenance period. These records shall be on forms approved by the State Water Board or Central Valley Water Board and shall be maintained at the waste management facility until the beginning of the post-closure maintenance period. These records shall be available for review by representatives of the State Water Board or Central Valley Water Board at any time during normal business hours. At the beginning of the post-closure maintenance period, copies of these records shall be sent to the Central Valley Water Board [Title 27, § 21720(f)].
8. In the event of any change in landowner or the operator of the waste management facility, the Discharger shall notify the succeeding owner or operator in writing of the existence of this Order. A copy of that notification shall be sent to the Central Valley Water Board.
9. In the event of any change of ownership or responsibility for construction, operation, closure, or post-closure maintenance of the waste discharge facilities described in this Order, the Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board prior to the effective date of the change and shall include a statement by the new Discharger that construction, operation, closure, or post-closure maintenance will be in compliance with this Order and any revisions thereof [Title 27, § 21710(c)(1)].
10. To assume ownership or operation under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Central Valley Water Board requesting transfer of the Order within **14 days** of assuming ownership or operation of this facility. The request must contain the requesting entity's full legal name, the State of incorporation if a corporation, the name and address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Central Valley Water Board, and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory requirements contained in General Provision K.2 and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the Water Code. Transfer of this Order shall be approved or disapproved by the Central Valley Water Board.

L. STORM WATER PROVISIONS

1. The Discharger shall design storm water conveyance systems for Class II units for a 1,000-year, 24-hour storm event [Title 27, § 21750(e)(3)].
2. Waste management units and their respective containment structures shall be designed and constructed to limit, to the greatest extent possible, ponding, infiltration, inundation, erosion, slope failure, washout, and overtopping under the precipitation conditions for the unit [Title 27, § 20365(a)].
3. Precipitation on Class II waste piles which is not diverted by covers or drainage control systems shall be collected and managed through the LCRS, which shall be designed and constructed to accommodate the precipitation conditions for each class unit [Title 27, § 20365(b)].
4. Diversion and drainage facilities shall be designed, constructed, and maintained to [Title 27, § 20365(c):
 - a. Accommodate the anticipated volume of precipitation and peak flows from surface runoff and under the precipitation conditions for the waste management unit.
 - b. Effectively divert sheet flow runoff laterally, via the shortest distance, into the drainage and collection facilities.
 - c. Prevent surface erosion through the use of energy dissipators where required to decrease the velocity of runoff, slope protection, and other erosion control measures where needed to prevent erosion.
 - d. Control and intercept run-on, in order to isolate uncontaminated surface waters from water that might have come into contact with waste.
 - e. Take into account:
 - i) For closed waste management units and for closed portions of units, the expected final contours of the closed unit, including its planned drainage pattern.
 - ii) For operating portions of waste management units other than surface impoundments, the unit's drainage pattern at any given time.
 - iii) The possible effects of the waste management unit's drainage pattern on and by the regional watershed.
 - iv) The design capacity of drainage systems of downstream and adjacent properties by providing for the gradual release of retained water downstream in a manner which does not exceed the expected

peak flow rate at the point of discharge if there were no waste management facility.

- f. Preserve the system's function. The Discharger shall periodically remove accumulated sediment from the sedimentation or detention basins as needed to preserve the design capacity of the system.
5. Collection and holding facilities associated with precipitation and drainage control systems shall be emptied immediately following each storm or otherwise managed to maintain the design capacity of the system [Title 27, § 20365(d)].
6. Surface and subsurface drainage from outside of a waste management unit shall be diverted from the unit [Title 27, § 20365(e)].
7. Cover materials shall be graded to divert precipitation from the waste management unit, to prevent ponding of surface water over wastes, and to resist erosion as a result of precipitation [Title 27, § 20365(f)].
8. Any drainage layer in a final cover shall be designed and constructed to intersect with the final drainage system for the waste management unit in a manner promoting free drainage from all portions of the drainage layer [Title 27, §20365(f)].

INFORMATION SHEET

ORDER R5-2017-0025
PORTERVILLE CITRUS, INC.
POSTCLOSURE MAINTENANCE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION
FORMER SEVILLE OLIVE COMPANY FACILITY
TULARE COUNTY

Porterville Citrus, Inc. (hereinafter Discharger) owns the Golden Valley Citrus, Inc. (Golden Valley Citrus) packing plant and the Former Seville Olive Company Facility (facility) located in the northern portion of the City of Strathmore, in Section 32, T20S, R27E, MDB&M. The Seville Olive Company was historically regulated as a food processing facility under Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order 82-074. The WDRs, issued to the Seville Olive Company, were rescinded on 7 December 2012, following the issuance of Cleanup and Abatement Order (CAO) R5-2012-0714 to the Discharger's predecessor (Golden Valley Citrus) on 9 November 2012. The CAO, pursuant to Water Code sections 13304 and 13267, required Golden Valley Citrus to determine the extent of groundwater impact, develop a soil and groundwater remediation plan, and to close and maintain the former surface impoundments. The CAO required that the surface impoundment closure be conducted in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 21400 et seq.

The 4.5-acre facility consists of two unlined, closed surface impoundments covering approximately 0.5 acres. The Seville Olive Company owned and operated the olive and vegetable processing plant from the 1960's through 2006. Olives and vegetables were received in 56-gallon plastic drums containing a brine solution. Prior to April 1982, the excess brine solution was discharged to two shallow, unlined surface impoundments. Brine migration impacted soil and groundwater with significant concentrations of sodium chloride and other constituents. After 1982, brine solution was discharged to the Strathmore Public Utility District sewer system. In 1986, the Seville Olive Company backfilled the two surface impoundments. Golden Valley Citrus subsequently purchased the facility in November 2007 to expand its citrus packing and processing facility. The Discharger purchased the Golden Valley Citrus packing plant and facility and assumed the financial liability and regulatory obligations from Golden Valley Citrus on 5 October 2016. An engineered alternative final cover system for the former surface impoundments, which was demonstrated to be consistent with the performance goals of Title 27 and affords equivalent protection against water quality impairment, was completed in 2016.

The facility is underlain predominantly by clay and silt with occasional, thin, interbedded layers of poorly graded very fine grained sand and/or silty clay. The first encountered groundwater beneath the facility ranges between 79 and 88 feet below ground surface. Groundwater impacted by olive brine waste contains elevated concentrations of chloride, TDS and an elevated EC value; as such, these are the primary analytes that are being monitored. There are 21 groundwater monitoring wells. Eleven wells comprise the groundwater monitoring network, of which six are currently dry.

Analytical results of the most recently collected groundwater samples (2nd quarter 2016) indicate that impacted groundwater beneath the facility has EC values that range as high as 1,470 µmhos/cm, TDS concentrations as high as 890 mg/L, and chloride concentrations that range up to 260 mg/L. The vertical and lateral extent of the groundwater impact has been delineated, in accordance with the CAO. The approved soil corrective action measure was surface impoundment closure and the approved groundwater corrective action measure is monitored natural attenuation.



Former Seville Olive Co. Property
(Now owned by Porterville Citrus, Inc.)

Reinforced Concrete

FORMER SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS

Citrus Cold Storage Facility

Former Golden Valley Citrus, Inc.
Main Building
(Now owned by Porterville Citrus, Inc.)

Map Source:
ESRI's ArcGIS Online Premium Services
Section 32, T20S, R27E, MDB&M



SCALE IN FEET



SITE MAP

ORDER NO. R5-2017-0025
WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
PORTERVILLE CITRUS, INC.
FOR
FORMER SEVILLE OLIVE COMPANY FACILITY
SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS
POST CLOSURE MAINTENANCE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION
TULARE COUNTY



MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS
 ORDER NO. R5-2017-0025
 WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
 PORTERVILLE CITRUS, INC.
 FOR
 FORMER SEVILLE OLIVE COMPANY FACILITY
 SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS
 POST CLOSURE MAINTENANCE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION
 TULARE COUNTY