

BASIN PLAN AMENDMENT TO  
DETERMINE CERTAIN BENEFICIAL USES ARE NOT APPLICABLE IN  
AND ESTABLISH WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES FOR SULPHUR CREEK

ERRATA SHEET

The purpose of this errata sheet is to change the language in the October 2006 draft staff report and CEQA checklist referring to “all beneficial that relate to the human consumption of organisms” to “the human consumption of aquatic organisms”. Details of where this change was necessary are listed below.

**Changes to the October 2006 Staff Report**

Page i, Executive Summary, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: Modify third sentence to read: “The proposed amendment would recognize that the municipal and domestic supply (MUN) beneficial use and the human consumption of aquatic organisms do not exist and are not attainable in Sulphur Creek.”

Page 2, Section 1.5, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: Modify the first sentence to read: “Central Valley Water Board staff proposes to amend the Basin Plan to determine that the municipal and domestic supply (MUN) beneficial use and the human consumption of aquatic organisms do not exist and are not attainable in Sulphur Creek.”

Page 5, Section 3: Modify section heading to read: “Use Attainability Analysis for the MUN Beneficial Use and the Human Consumption of Aquatic Organisms”

Page 5, Section 3.1, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: Modify the first sentence to read “The purpose of the proposed amendment is to recognize that the MUN beneficial use and the human consumption of aquatic organisms do not exist and cannot be attained in Sulphur Creek.”

Page 8, Section 3.3.2: Modify section heading to read: “Human Consumption of Aquatic Organisms”

Page 8, Section 3.3.2, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: Modify the last sentence to read “As such, human consumption of aquatic organisms from Sulphur Creek is not an existing or attainable use.”

Page 9, Section 4.1, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: Modify the first sentence to read “Because the MUN beneficial use and the human consumption of aquatic organisms do not exist and are not attainable in Sulphur Creek, none of the promulgated water quality criteria for mercury apply to protect the aquatic life uses that do exist in Sulphur Creek and on which to support the TMDL.”

Page 12, Section 5, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: Modify the first sentence to read “The proposed amendment would determine that the MUN beneficial use and the human consumption of aquatic organisms are not applicable to Sulphur Creek.”

Page 12, Section 5.1, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: Modify the last sentence to read “Nevertheless, staff has considered a “no action” alternative and an alternative to determine that the MUN beneficial use and the human consumption of aquatic organisms do not apply to Sulphur Creek without establishing site-specific water quality objectives for mercury.”

Page 12, Section 5.1.2, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: Modify the first sentence to read “If the Central Valley Water Board determines that the MUN beneficial use and the human consumption of aquatic organisms do not apply, then none of the existing water quality objectives for mercury apply.”

Appendix A, Surface Water Section: Modify first bullet to read “*MUN and the human consumption of aquatic organisms do not apply to Sulphur Creek (Colusa County) from its headwaters to the confluence with Bear Creek*”

### **Changes to the CEQA Checklist**

Page 2, Section VIII, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: Modify the third sentence to read “The proposed amendment would recognize that the municipal and domestic supply (MUN) beneficial use and the human consumption of aquatic organisms do not exist and are not attainable in Sulphur Creek.”

Page 6, Section I. Aesthetics: Modify explanation to read “The proposed project will establish that MUN and the human consumption of aquatic organisms are not applicable in Sulphur Creek.”

Page 16, Section XIV. Recreation: Modify the second sentence in the explanation to read “Establishing that the human consumption of aquatic organisms does not apply in Sulphur Creek will not impact recreation because those uses do not exist and are not attainable.”

Page 19, Section XVII. Mandatory Findings of Significance: Modify the first sentence of the explanation to read “The proposed Basin Plan amendment recognizes that the MUN beneficial use and the human consumption of aquatic organisms do not exist and cannot be attained in Sulphur Creek.”