



CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD  
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

**Final Staff Report on Recommended  
Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**



*14 December 2001*

*State of California*  
*California Environmental Protection Agency*  
**REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD**  
**CENTRAL VALLEY REGION**

*Robert Schneider, Chair*  
*Karl Longley, Vice Chair*  
*Beverly Alves, Member*  
*Alson Brizard, Member*  
*Christopher Cabaldon, Member*  
*Robert Fong, Member*  
*Cher Kablanow, Member*  
*Mark Salvaggio, Member*

---

*Gary M. Carlton, Executive Officer*

---

3443 Routier Road, Suite A  
Sacramento, California 95827-3003

---

Phone: (916) 255-3000  
CalNet: 8-494-3000

**DISCLAIMER**

*This publication is a technical report by staff of the  
California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region.  
No policy or regulation is either expressed or intended.*

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD  
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

**Final Staff Report on Recommended  
Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

*December 2001*

**REPORT PREPARED BY:**

JOE KARKOSKI, SENIOR WATER RESOURCES CONTROL ENGINEER  
JERRY BRUNS, ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM MANAGER  
GENE DAVIS, ASSOC. ENGINEERING GEOLOGIST  
DEBBIE DANIELS, STUDENT ASSISTANT  
MARK GOWDY, WATER RESOURCES CONTROL ENGINEER  
GREG MARQUIS, SANITARY ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN TRAINEE  
DANNY McCLURE, WATER RESOURCES CONTROL ENGINEER  
MARY MENCONI, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST  
RIK RASMUSSEN, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST  
CHRISTY SPECTOR, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST  
STACY STANISH, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST  
PAT VELLINES, ENGINEERING GEOLOGIST  
MICHELLE WOOD, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California’s Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

**Table of Contents**

1	Executive Summary .....	2
2	Public Solicitation and Documents Reviewed.....	15
3	Factors Considered in Recommending Changes to the 303(d) List .....	16
3.1	Listing Factors .....	16
3.2	Delisting Factors .....	17
3.3	Other Changes.....	18
4	Evaluation Criteria .....	18
5	Priority Ranking.....	19
6	Scheduling.....	21
7	Documentation.....	22
8	Public Participation.....	23
9	Response to Comments Received During the Solicitation of Information.....	23
10	Rationale for Modification of Recommendations in Draft Report .....	30
11	References.....	31
11.1	Documents and References Used to Support Recommended Changes to the 303(d) List.....	31
11.2	Documents and References Reviewed that Did Not Provide Information to Support Changes to the 303(d) List .....	41
Appendix A	Numeric Criteria Fact Sheets (Appendix A is a separate document)	
Appendix B	Fact Sheets for Recommended Changes to the 303(d) List (Appendix B is a separate document)	

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

## **1 Executive Summary**

Each of California's nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards has been asked to assist the State Water Resources Control Board in preparing an update to the State's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) list (SWRCB, 2001). The 303(d) list identifies surface waters that do not, or are not expected to, attain water quality standards.

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region (Regional Board) staff began the process for developing the 303(d) list by conducting a public solicitation for information, which lasted from 21 February 2001 to 15 May 2001 (CRWQCB-CVR, 2001b). Three public workshops were held during the public solicitation period. Over 70 documents were received from 28 individuals or groups.

Regional Board staff reviewed those documents, as well as over 200 other documents available in the Regional Board files. In reviewing the available information, Regional Board staff evaluated whether applicable water quality objectives adopted by the Regional Board, State Board, or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency were being attained. In those cases in which numeric water quality objectives were not available for a particular pollutant and/or waterbody, Regional Board staff interpreted narrative water quality objectives. Regional Board staff used applicable criteria and guidelines developed by other state and federal agencies, guidelines developed by the National Academy of Sciences and the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, and results of toxicity tests and bioassays to interpret the narrative water quality objectives. In the absence of new information or criteria, Regional Board staff generally recommended keeping those currently listed water bodies on the 303(d) list. Fact sheets were developed to describe the basis for recommended additions, deletions, or changes to the 303(d) list.

Regional Board staff published a report, "Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List" (Draft Report), which was released on 27 September 2001. The public was given until 2 November 2001 to comment on the Draft Report.

Based on comments submitted on, and further review of, the Draft Report, Regional Board staff has modified the recommended changes to the 303(d) list. Regional Board staff are no longer recommending the addition of Del Puerto Creek, Ingram/Hospital Creek, and Orestimba Creek for impairment by parathion; the lower Calaveras River and Walker Slough for impairment by diazinon; and San Luis Reservoir for impairment by copper. The rationale for the modified recommendations is provided in Section 10 of this report.

The Regional Board staff-recommended changes to the 303(d) list include the addition of 53 new water bodies and pollutants to the list; removal of 3 water bodies and pollutants from the list; and changes to the descriptions of most other water bodies currently listed (e.g. refinement of identified impaired reaches, changes in priority, schedule etc).

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

Regional Board staff has also identified some water bodies and pollutants that should be assessed further in order to determine whether water quality objectives are being met. The staff-recommended 2002 303(d) list for waters in the Central Valley region is shown in Table 1. Recommended additions to the 303(d) list are in **bold** and recommended deletions are shown as strikethrough.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

**Table 1. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Staff-Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List**

<b>Water body</b>	<b>Pollutant/Stressor</b>	<b>Affected Size<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>TMDL End Date (Year)<sup>2</sup></b>
American River, Lower	Group A Pesticides <sup>3</sup>	23	Miles	Low	12/11
	Mercury	23	Miles	<del>Medium</del> Low	12/11 After 2015
	Unknown Toxicity	23	Miles	Low	12/11 After 2015
Arcade Creek	Chlorpyrifos	10	Miles	<del>Medium</del> High	12/11 2003
	Diazinon	10	Miles	<del>Medium</del> High	12/11 2003
	Copper	10	Miles	Low	After 2015
Avena Drain	Ammonia	6.5	Miles	Low	After 2015
	Pathogens	6.5	Miles	Low	After 2015
Bear Creek	Mercury	15	Miles	High	2005
Bear River, Lower	Diazinon	18	Miles	Medium	2006
Bear River, Upper	Mercury	8	Miles	Medium	2015
Berryessa Lake	Mercury	20,700	Acres	<del>High</del> Low	2005 After 2015
Black Butte Reservoir	Mercury	4,500	Acres	Medium	2008
Butte Slough	Diazinon	7.5	Miles	Medium	2009
	Molinate	7.5	Miles	Low	After 2015
Cache Creek	Mercury	35 81	Miles	High	12/2005 2004
	Unknown Toxicity	35 81	Miles	<del>Medium</del> Low	12/11 After 2015
Calaveras River, Lower	Low Dissolved Oxygen	5	Miles	Low	After 2015
	Pathogens	5	Miles	Low	After 2015
Camanche Reservoir	Aluminum	7,622	Acres	Low	After 2015
	Copper <sup>5</sup>	7,622	Acres	Low	After 2015
	Zinc <sup>5</sup>	7,622	Acres	Low	After 2015
Camp Far West Reservoir	Mercury	2,002	Acres	Medium	2015

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

<b>Water body</b>	<b>Pollutant/Stressor</b>	<b>Affected Size<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>TMDL End Date (Year)<sup>2</sup></b>
Chicken Ranch Slough	Chlorpyrifos	5	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>2003</b>
	Diazinon	5	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>2003</b>
Clear Lake	Mercury	43,000	Acres	High	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2002</b>
	Nutrients	43,000	Acres	<del>Low</del> <b>Medium</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>2008</b>
<b>Clover Creek</b>	<b>Fecal Coliform</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
Colusa Drain	<b>Azinphos-methyl</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2015</b>
	Carbofuran/ Furadan	70	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Diazinon</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2015</b>
	Group A Pesticides	70	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Malathion	70	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Methyl Parathion	70	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Molinate</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	Unknown Toxicity	70	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Davis Creek Res	Mercury	290	Acres	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
<b>Del Puerto Creek</b>	<b>Chlorpyrifos</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Diazinon</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
Delta Waterways	Chlorpyrifos	<del>480,000</del> <b>48,000</b>	Acres	High	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2004</b>
	DDT	<del>480,000</del> <b>48,000</b>	Acres	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Diazinon	<del>480,000</del> <b>48,000</b>	Acres	High	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2004</b>
	Electrical Conductivity	16,000	Acres	Medium	<del>12/11</del> <b>2015</b>
	Group A Pesticides	<del>480,000</del> <b>48,000</b>	Acres	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Mercury	<del>480,000</del> <b>48,000</b>	Acres	High	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2004</b>
	Organic Enrichment/ Low DO	75 <b>1,461</b>	Acres	High	<del>12/11</del> <b>2005</b>

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

<b>Water body</b>	<b>Pollutant/Stressor</b>	<b>Affected Size<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>TMDL End Date (Year)<sup>2</sup></b>
Delta Waterways	Unknown Toxicity	480,000 <b>48,000</b>	Acres	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Dolly Creek	Copper	1	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	1	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
<b>Don Pedro Lake</b>	<b>Mercury</b>	<b>12,960</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
Dunn Creek	Mercury	9 <b>1</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Metals	9 <b>1</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Elder Creek	Chlorpyrifos	10	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2003</b>
	Diazinon	10	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2003</b>
Elk Grove Creek	Diazinon	5	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2003</b>
Fall River (Pit)	Sedimentation/ Siltation	25 <b>9.5</b>	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Feather River, Lower	Diazinon	60	Miles	High	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2003</b>
	Group A Pesticides	60	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Mercury	60	Miles	Medium	2011
	Unknown Toxicity	60	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Five Mile Slough	Chlorpyrifos	1	Miles	Medium	<del>12/11</del> <b>2012</b>
	Diazinon	1	Miles	Medium	<del>12/11</del> <b>2012</b>
	<b>Low Dissolved Oxygen</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Pathogens</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
French Ravine	Bacteria	1	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Grasslands Marshes	Electrical Conductivity	8,224	Acres	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Selenium	8,224	Acres	High	12/98

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Pollutant/Stressor</b>	<b>Affected Size<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>TMDL End Date (Year)<sup>2</sup></b>
Harding Drain (Turlock Irr Dist Lateral #5)	Ammonia	7	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Chlorpyrifos	7	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Diazinon	7	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Unknown Toxicity	7	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Harley Gulch	Mercury	8	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>2005</b>
Horse Creek	Cadmium	2 <b>1</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Copper	2 <b>1</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Lead	2 <b>1</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	2 <b>1</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Humbug Creek	Copper	9 <b>3</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Mercury	9 <b>3</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Sedimentation/ Siltation	9 <b>3</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	9 <b>3</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
<b>Ingram/ Hospital Creek</b>	<b>Chlorpyrifos</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Diazinon</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
<b>Jack Slough</b>	<b>Diazinon</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2006</b>
James Creek	Mercury	6 <b>8.5</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Nickel	6 <b>8.5</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Kanaka Creek	Arsenic	7	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Pollutant/Stressor</b>	<b>Affected Size<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>TMDL End Date (Year)<sup>2</sup></b>
Keswick Res	Cadmium	200	Acres	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Copper	200	Acres	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	200	Acres	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Kings River, Lower	Electrical Conductivity	30	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Molybdenum	30	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Toxaphene	30	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
<b>Lake Combie</b>	<b>Mercury</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Lake Englebright</b>	<b>Mercury</b>	<b>815</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2011</b>
Little Backbone Creek	Acid Mine Drainage	1	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Cadmium	1	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Copper	1	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	1	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Little Cow Creek	Cadmium	1	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Copper	1	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	1	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
<b>Little Deer Creek</b>	<b>Mercury</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
Little Grizzly Creek	Copper	10	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>12/02</del> <b>2005</b>
	Zinc	10	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>12/02</del> <b>2005</b>
Lone Tree Creek	Ammonia	15	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Biological Oxygen Demand	15	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Electrical Conductivity	15	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Pollutant/Stressor</b>	<b>Affected Size<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>TMDL End Date (Year)<sup>2</sup></b>
Marsh Creek	Mercury	24 <b>16.5</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Metals	24 <b>8.5</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Marsh Creek Res	Mercury	375	Acres	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Merced River, Lower	Chlorpyrifos	60	Miles	<del>High</del> <b>Medium</b>	<del>12/05</del> <b>2006</b>
	Diazinon	60	Miles	<del>High</del> <b>Medium</b>	<del>12/05</del> <b>2006</b>
	Group A Pesticides	60	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Mokelumne River, Lower	<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	Copper	28	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	28	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Morrison Creek	Diazinon	20	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2003</b>
<b>Mormon Slough</b>	<b>Low Dissolved Oxygen</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Mile</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Pathogens</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2012</b>
Mosher Slough	Chlorpyrifos	2	Miles	Medium	<del>12/11</del> <b>2012</b>
	Diazinon	2	Miles	Medium	<del>12/11</del> <b>2012</b>
	<b>Low Dissolved Oxygen</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Pathogens</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
Mud Slough	Boron	16	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Electrical Conductivity	16	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Pesticides	16	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Selenium	16	Miles	<del>High</del> <b>Medium</b>	<del>12/00</del> <b>2011</b>
	Unknown Toxicity	16	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Natomas East Main Drain	Diazinon	5	Miles	Medium	<del>12/11</del> <b>2015</b>
	PCBs <sup>4</sup>	12	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Pollutant/Stressor</b>	<b>Affected Size<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>TMDL End Date (Year)<sup>2</sup></b>
<b>Newman Wasteway</b>	<b>Chlorpyrifos</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Diazinon</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
<b>Oak Run Creek</b>	<b>Fecal Coliform</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
Orestimba Creek	<b>Azinphos Methyl</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2010</b>
	Chlorpyrifos	10	Miles	Medium	<del>12/11</del> 2010
	Diazinon	10	Miles	Medium	<del>12/11</del> 2010
	<b>DDE</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
Panoche Creek	Unknown Toxicity	3	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Mercury	25	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Sedimentation/ Siltation	40	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Pit River	Selenium	40	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Nutrients	100	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Organic Enrichment/Low Dissolved Oxygen	100	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Putah Creek, Lower	Temperature	100	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Mercury</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Unknown Toxicity</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
<b>Putah Creek, Upper</b>	<b>Unknown Toxicity</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
<b>Rollins Reservoir</b>	<b>Mercury</b>	<b>840</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2010</b>
Sacramento River (Red Bluff to Delta)	Diazinon	30	Miles	High	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2003</b>
	Mercury	30	Miles	<del>High</del> <b>Medium</b>	12/05 2006
	Unknown Toxicity	185	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Sacramento River (Shasta Dam to Red Bluff)	Cadmium	40	Miles	High	<del>12/01</del> <b>2001</b>
	Copper	40	Miles	High	<del>12/01</del> <b>2001</b>
	Unknown Toxicity	50	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	40	Miles	High	<del>12/01</del> <b>2001</b>

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Pollutant/Stressor</b>	<b>Affected Size<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>TMDL End Date (Year)<sup>2</sup></b>
Sacramento Slough	Diazinon	1	Miles	Medium	<del>12/11</del> <b>2009</b>
	Mercury	1	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Salt Slough	Boron	15	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Chlorpyrifos	15	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Diazinon	15	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Electrical Conductivity	15	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	<del>Selenium</del>	<del>15</del>	<del>Miles</del>	<del>High</del>	<del>12/98</del>
	Unknown Toxicity	15	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
San Carlos Creek	Mercury	<del>1</del> <b>4</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
San Joaquin River	Boron	130	Miles	High	<del>12/99</del> <b>2002</b>
	Chlorpyrifos	130	Miles	High	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2003</b>
	DDT	130	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Diazinon	130	Miles	High	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2003</b>
	Electrical Conductivity	130	Miles	High	<del>12/99</del> <b>2002</b>
	Group A Pesticides	130	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Mercury</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2013</b>
	Selenium	50	Miles	High	<del>12/00</del> <b>2001</b>
	Unknown Toxicity	130	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
<b>Scotts Flat Reservoir</b>	<b>Mercury</b>	<b>725</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>Medium</b>	2012
Shasta Lake	Cadmium	20	Acres	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Copper	20	Acres	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	20	Acres	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Pollutant/Stressor</b>	<b>Affected Size<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>TMDL End Date (Year)<sup>2</sup></b>
<b>Smith Canal</b>	<b>Low Dissolved Oxygen</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Organo-phosphorus Pesticides</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2015</b>
	<b>Pathogens</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
<b>South Cow Creek</b>	<b>Fecal Coliform</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
Spring Creek	Acid Mine Drainage	5	Miles	<del>High</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Cadmium	5	Miles	<del>High</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Copper	5	Miles	<del>High</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	5	Miles	<del>High</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Stanislaus River, Lower	Diazinon	<b>58</b>	Miles	High	12/2000 <b>2004</b>
	Group A Pesticides	<b>58</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Mercury</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	Unknown Toxicity	<b>58</b>	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Stockton Deep Water Channel	Dioxin	2	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	Furans	2	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	PCBs	2	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>
	<b>Pathogens</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2014</b>
Strong Ranch Slough	Chlorpyrifos	5	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>12/2005</del> <b>2003</b>
	Diazinon	5	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>High</b>	<del>2005</del> <b>2003</b>
Sulfur Creek	Mercury	7	Miles	High	2005
<b>Sutter Bypass</b>	<b>Diazinon</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2012</b>
Temple Creek	Ammonia	10	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Electrical Conductivity	10	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Pollutant/Stressor</b>	<b>Affected Size<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>TMDL End Date (Year)<sup>2</sup></b>
Town Creek	Cadmium	1	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Copper	1	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Lead	1	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	1	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Tuolumne River, Lower	Diazinon	<b>42</b>	Miles	<del>High</del> <b>Medium</b>	<del>12/05</del> <b>2006</b>
	Group A Pesticides	<b>54</b>	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Unknown Toxicity	<b>54</b>	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
<b>Walker Slough</b>	<b>Pathogens</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>2014</b>
West Squaw Creek	Cadmium	2	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Copper	2	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Lead	2	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	2	Miles	<del>Medium</del> <b>Low</b>	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Whiskeytown Res	High Coliform Count	100	Acres	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
Willow Creek (Whiskeytown)	Acid Mine Drainage	3	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Copper	3	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
	Zinc	3	Miles	Low	<del>12/11</del> <b>After 2015</b>
<b>Wolf Creek</b>	<b>Fecal Coliform</b>	<b>14.5</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>After 2015</b>

<sup>1</sup>Affected Size = Portion of the waterbody not meeting water quality standards.

<sup>2</sup>TMDL End Date = the date by which the TMDL and associated program of implementation are expected to be considered by the Regional Board, generally as part of a Basin Plan Amendment. The end dates for High and Medium priority listings are considered a maximum based on the funding assumptions described below.

<sup>3</sup>Group A Pesticides = One or more of the Group A Pesticides. The Group A Pesticides include: aldrin, dieldrin, chlordane, endrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, hexachlorocyclohexane (including lindane), endosulfan and toxaphene.

<sup>4</sup>PCBs = Polychlorinated biphenyls.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

<sup>5</sup> The listing for copper and zinc in Camanche Reservoir had previously been included as part of the lower Mokelumne River. The Regional Board determined that separate identification of the Camanche Reservoir and the lower Mokelumne River is appropriate for 303(d) list purposes.

Scheduling Assumptions - 1) available TMDL funds for TMDL development and implementation (\$1.7 MM/year for staff in 2001 dollars); 2) TMDL development cost (per listed water body and pollutant equals \$250,000 - includes implementation planning and Basin Planning); 3) after 2004, 1/2 of TMDL staff funds will be used for implementation of adopted TMDLs.

Note – TMDLs for selenium in Salt Slough and selenium in the Grassland Marshes were approved by U.S. EPA in 1999 and 2000, respectively.

Regional Board staff identified a number of water bodies and pollutants that should be assessed further prior to making a recommendation to list (or delist) those water bodies (see Table 2 below). In general, further assessment is needed under one or more of the following conditions: 1) the number of data points available or number of years of sample collection does not allow staff to determine whether a potential water quality problem is recurring; 2) recent and historic studies are not directly comparable due to different sampling protocols (e.g. the type of fish collected differ); 3) a sufficient historic data set exists with few exceedances, but more recent information does not indicate exceedances; or 4) control measures are in place that should result in reduction of the pollutant below criteria.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

**Table 2 – Suggested Sites and Parameters for Further Assessment**

<b>Water body</b>	<b>Pollutant</b>
American River, Lower	Pathogens
Arcade Creek	Malathion
Butte Slough	Malathion
Butte Slough	Thiobencarb
Calaveras River, Lower	Diazinon
Colusa Basin Drain	Chlorpyrifos
Colusa Basin Drain	Dicamba
Del Puerto Creek	Malathion
Delta (lower San Joaquin River)	Pathogens
Delta Waterways	DDT
Delta Waterways	Group A Pesticides
Feather River	Group A Pesticides
French Camp Slough	Pathogens
Fresno River	Nutrients/Pathogens
Hensley Lake	Nutrients/Pathogens
Ingram/Hospital Creek	Carbaryl
Kaweah River	Nutrients/Pathogens
Kern River	Nutrients/Pathogens
Lake Isabella	Nutrients/Pathogens
Lake Kaweah	Nutrients/Pathogens
Lake Success	Nutrients/Pathogens
Merced River	Mercury
Mormon Slough	Diazinon
Orestimba Creek	Methidathion
Salt Slough	Malathion
San Luis Reservoir	Copper
Ten Mile Creek (South Fork Kings River)	Nutrients/Pathogens
Tule River	Nutrients/Pathogens
Tuolumne River	Mercury
Walker Slough	Diazinon
Yuba River	Pathogens

## **2 Public Solicitation and Documents Reviewed**

Regional Board staff distributed a letter to the public requesting information for the update of the 303(d) list on 21 February 2001. Approximately 3,500 letters were distributed. The Regional Board's Basin Planning and NPDES mailing lists were used, along with the mailing list for the Sacramento River Watershed Program. The

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

solicitation notice was also posted on the Regional Board's web site. The public was given until 15 May 2001 to provide information for the update of the 303(d) list.

During the public solicitation time period, three workshops were held: 1) on 21 March in Fresno; 2) on 28 March in Sacramento; and 3) on 6 April in Redding. There were 2 members of the public at the Fresno meeting, 8 at the Sacramento meeting, and 6 at the Redding meeting.

By the 15 May 2001 deadline, the Regional Board had received over 70 documents from 28 different individuals and organizations.

Regional Board staff also reviewed over 200 documents/data sources readily available within the Regional Board offices. Staff working in the NPDES permit program (for both storm water and non-storm water permits) provided information on potential problems in surface waters receiving NPDES permitted discharges.

The documents reviewed, from both the public solicitation and internally, are listed in Section 11.

### **3 Factors Considered in Recommending Changes to the 303(d) List**

The factors below were generally considered in recommending changes to the 303(d) list. The specific application of these factors can be found in the appropriate Fact Sheets in the appendix.

#### ***3.1 Listing Factors***

Water bodies and associated pollutants were generally recommended for addition to the 303(d) list if any one of these factors were met:

1. Effluent limitations or other pollution control requirements [e.g., Best Management Practices (BMPs)] are not stringent enough to assure protection of beneficial uses and attainment of SWRCB and RWQCB objectives, including those implementing SWRCB Resolution Number 68-16 "Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California" [see also 40 CFR 130.7(b)(1)]. This does not apply to non-attainment related solely to discharge in violation of existing WDR's or NPDES permit.
2. Fishing, drinking water, or swimming advisory currently in effect. This does not apply to advisories related to discharge in violation of existing WDR's or NPDES permit.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

3. Beneficial uses are impaired or are expected to be impaired within the listing cycle (i.e. in next four years). Impairment is based upon evaluation of chemical, physical, or biological integrity. Impairment will be determined by "qualitative assessment", physical/ chemical monitoring, bioassay tests, and/or other biological monitoring. Applicable Federal criteria and the Regional Board's Basin Plan water quality objectives determine the basis for impairment status.
4. The water body is on the previous 303(d) list and either: (a) monitoring continues to demonstrate a violation of objective(s) or (b) monitoring has not been performed.
5. Data indicate tissue concentrations in consumable body parts of fish or shellfish exceed applicable tissue criteria or guidelines. Criteria or guidelines related to protection of human and wildlife consumption include, but are not limited to, U.S. Food and Drug Administration Action Levels, National Academy of Sciences Guidelines, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency tissue criteria.

### ***3.2 Delisting Factors***

Water bodies were generally removed from the list for specific pollutants or stressors if any one of these factors was met:

1. Objectives were revised (for example, Site Specific Objectives), and the exceedence is thereby eliminated.
2. Faulty data led to the initial listing. Faulty data include, but are not limited to, typographical errors, improper quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures, or limitations related to the analytical methods that would lead to improper conclusions regarding the water quality status of the water body.
3. It has been documented that the objectives are being met and beneficial uses are not impaired based upon an evaluation of available monitoring data. This evaluation includes foreseeable changes in hydrology, land use, or product use and why such changes should not lead to future exceedance.
4. A TMDL has been approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for that specific water body and pollutant (see 40 CFR 130.7(b)(4)).
5. There are control measures in place which will result in protection of beneficial uses. Control measures include permits, clean up and abatement orders, and Basin Plan requirements which are enforceable and include a time schedule (see 40 CFR 130.7(b)(1)(iii)).

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

### ***3.3 Other Changes***

Other changes that have been recommended include:

1. Extent of impairment – a review of available data for existing listings may indicate that a change in the defined extent of impairment should be made. In some cases the miles (or area) of the impaired segment may be changed and in other cases the specific impacted segment is redefined.
2. Priority Ranking – a review of the Regional Board's priorities for TMDL development (based on the Regional Board's criteria discussed below) may result in a change to the existing priority ranking for a water body/pollutant combination.

## **4 Evaluation Criteria**

Regional Board staff had a significant amount of information related to mercury, metals, pathogens, and pesticides. Fact sheets for each of the above categories of pollutant were prepared. The fact sheets describe the criteria used to evaluate the data and information and can be found in Appendix A.

For other pollutants not included in the above categories, Regional Board staff generally used the following hierarchy in evaluating data relative to applicable water quality objectives:

1. Applicable numeric water quality objectives (contained in the Basin Plan ) or water quality standards (contained in the federal California and National Toxics Rules). Both the Basin Plan and federal rules governing a specific parameter were evaluated to determine any site specific applications or exceptions.
2. Criteria developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, California Department of Fish, and the California Department of Health Services and other applicable criteria developed by government agencies. Such criteria were used to interpret narrative water quality objectives. In those cases in which criteria were available from several agencies, preference was given to criteria developed for California or the most recently derived criteria. Toxicity test results and bioassay study results were also used to determine attainment of objectives.
3. Guidance or guidelines developed by agencies/entities such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, National Academy of Sciences, and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry and the California Department of Health Services. Guidelines developed by other agencies

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

were thoroughly reviewed before applied, since the assumptions and risk factors considered may not be consistent with Regional Board water quality objectives.

4. Criteria or standards developed in other states, regions, or countries. Such criteria were evaluated to determine if the environmental setting, assumptions, and risk factors considered were consistent with Regional Board water quality objectives.

Other than described for the pollutant fact sheets in Appendix A, there were no specific minimum data requirements or a specific frequency of exceedance for making a finding that water quality objectives are not attained. In general, more data was needed to interpret environmental results that are very specific to time and geography. Less data were needed to make a determination based on environmental results that serve as integrators over space or time. For example, more water column chemistry data would generally be needed to determine impairment than fish tissue chemistry data. Also less water column chemistry data may be needed to make an impairment determination (or lack of impairment determination) if there is other information to support that determination (e.g. correlations could be made between pesticide use patterns and the presence of pesticides in surface water).

Regional Board staff generally limited their consideration of environmental data to those organizations that conduct monitoring studies using documented quality assurance/quality control procedures. For data produced by citizen monitoring groups, Regional Board staff considered data from those groups whose sampling programs and protocols had been reviewed by the State Water Resource Control Board's citizen monitoring coordinators.

## **5 Priority Ranking**

A priority ranking is required for listed waters to guide TMDL planning pursuant to 40 CFR 130.7. TMDLs were ranked into high (H), medium (M), and low (L) priority categories based on:

1. water body significance (such as importance and extent of beneficial uses, threatened and endangered species concerns and size of water body)
2. degree of impairment or threat (such as number of pollutants/stressors of concern, and number of beneficial uses impaired)
3. conformity with related activities in the watershed (such as existence of watershed assessment, planning, pollution control, and remediation, or restoration efforts in the area)
4. potential for beneficial use protection or recovery

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

5. degree of public concern and involvement
6. availability of funding and information to address the water quality problem
7. overall need for an adequate pace of TMDL development for all listed waters
8. other water bodies and pollutants have become a higher priority

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires the State to take "...into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of such waters" [i.e. waters identified on the 303(d) list]. Factors 2 and 4 take into account the severity of pollution and factors 1 and 4 take into account the uses to be made of the water.

Regional Board staff identified water body/pollutant combinations as a high priority for TMDL development for those instances in which activities are currently underway to develop TMDLs. In most cases, the water bodies identified as high priority are significant waters of the State providing critical environmental, recreational, municipal, industrial, and agricultural uses. The degree of impairment is also significant with multiple stressors impacting the high priority waters. In general, the potential for beneficial use protection or recovery is high and there is a great deal of public involvement. In some cases, the overall need for an adequate pace of TMDL development is considered. A high priority is given to some water bodies that are less significant from a state-wide perspective, but are either well characterized or tributary streams to other high priority water bodies that will be addressed as a single water quality management strategy.

Regional Board staff identified water body/pollutant combinations as a medium priority for TMDL development for water bodies that are tributary to, and/or have a similar impairment as, a high priority water body. The tributaries are often significant water bodies and have a greater degree of impairment, since they are often the primary source of pollutant loads. The Regional Board will be able to take advantage of information developed to address the high priority water bodies in developing TMDLs for medium priority water bodies and, in general, efforts will already be underway in the tributary water bodies to reduce pollutant loads to the main stem river or stream.

Regional Board staff identified water body/pollutant combinations as a low priority for all other water body/pollutant combinations. In many cases, the water body may have a high priority for further assessment or regulatory activity through other Regional Board programs, which lessens the immediate need to begin TMDL development. For water bodies impaired by "Unknown Toxicity", a low priority is given since identification of the toxicant(s) causing impairment is expected prior to the initiation of the TMDL development process.

It should also be noted that for both medium and low priority water body/pollutant combinations, the priority (and schedule) might change during the next 303(d) list update.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

## **6 Scheduling**

As part of the preparation of the 303(d) list, Regional Board staff prepared a proposed schedule for the completion of TMDLs for all listed water bodies. For scheduling purposes, the completion date represents the date that Regional Board staff will present a Basin Plan Amendment for Regional Board consideration.

In most cases, the Basin Plan Amendment will describe a comprehensive water quality management strategy to correct the problems associated with the listed waters and pollutants. The comprehensive strategy will include a program of implementation, water quality objectives (if necessary), new or refined beneficial use designations (if necessary), and elements of the TMDL. The work load associated with a more comprehensive strategy, together with the administrative procedural requirements of basin planning, require a greater investment of time and resources than would be required to solely address federal Clean Water Act requirements for a TMDL.

The schedule provided is based on receiving a similar level of staff and contract resources as is currently available for both TMDL development and implementation of the adopted Basin Plan Amendment. The amount of funds currently available for TMDL development and implementation is \$1.7 MM. For purposes of projecting TMDL timelines, it is assumed that those funds will be available primarily for TMDL development, implementation planning and Basin Planning through 2004. After 2004, it is assumed that half of the funds will be needed for implementation of the adopted Basin Plan Amendments. It is also assumed that the average cost of developing a water quality management strategy for each listed water body and pollutant is \$250,000. Based on these funding and cost assumptions, the time to complete water quality management strategies for all listed waters and pollutants is approximately 50 years.

Federal regulations (40 CFR §130.7(b)(4) ) require the identification of "...waters targeted for TMDL development in the next two years." All waterbody/pollutant combinations identified for completion by 2004 are targeted for TMDL development over the next two years.

Schedules for water bodies and pollutants that are to be completed after 2004 are tentative. Regional Board staff has not reviewed the data and information available for those water bodies, so the actual scope and timeline for completing the water quality management strategy is not known.

In general, Regional Board staff assigned a high priority (and near term schedule) to water bodies and pollutants for which TMDLs are currently being developed (i.e. information is being collected and analyzed for those water body/pollutant combinations-factors 1-7 from Section 5 apply). Medium priority was assigned (and schedules up to 2015) to those TMDLs that can most effectively build on the experience gained through development of the high priority TMDLs. In many cases, the medium priority TMDLs

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

are tributaries to the water bodies that have been assigned a high priority for TMDL development.

Regional Board staff did not provide specific dates for low priority water bodies, which would be scheduled for completion after 2015. The 303(d) list will likely be revised several times between now and 2015, so providing dates for TMDL completion for currently listed low priority water bodies would be highly speculative. Also Regional Board staff anticipates some gain in efficiency in completely both the technical and administrative aspects of TMDL development, but that efficiency improvement is difficult to gauge at this time.

It should be noted that a water body that is a low priority for TMDL development might be a high priority for the Regional Board for: further assessment, funding of watershed activities that can contribute to addressing the beneficial use impairment, or other regulatory action.

## **7 Documentation**

A 303(d) update fact sheet was prepared for each discrete 303(d) listing or delisting recommendation. The fact sheets can be found in Appendix B.

### Fact Sheets for Listing Decisions

Each fact sheet for decisions to add water bodies and pollutants to the 303(d) list includes the following information: Waterbody name, hydrologic unit number, total water body size, pollutant(s)/stressor(s) causing impairment, likely sources; the latitude and longitude of the upstream and downstream impaired stream segment and/or a specific narrative description of the impaired segment; a description of the characteristics of the watershed; the specific water quality objective(s) not being met; a summary of the data assessment that led to the decision to list; the criteria applied to the decision to list.

### Fact Sheets for Delisting Decisions

Each fact sheet for decisions to delete water bodies and pollutants from the 303(d) list includes the following information: the water body name, pollutant(s)/stressor(s) previously identified as having caused an impairment; a summary of the data or information that lead to the decision to delist; and the criteria applied to the decision to delist.

### Fact Sheets to Document Changes to Currently Listed Water bodies/Pollutants

Fact sheets were used to document changes to currently listed water body/pollutant combinations. A single fact sheet is used, in some cases, to document changes that are common to a group of water bodies.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

**8 Public Participation**

Regional Board staff conducted 3 workshops during the time frame for solicitation of information. The workshops were in Fresno, Sacramento, and Redding. Regional Board staff made the Draft Report available on 27 September 2001 and considered comments submitted by 2 November 2001. Notification of the availability of the staff report was sent to over 3,500 individuals or groups. A summary of public participation is given below.

303(d) Update Step	Public Outreach
Solicitation of Information	Mailing to 3,500 people/groups on 21 February 2001
Solicitation of Information	Workshops held in Fresno, Sacramento, and Redding
Solicitation of Information	Receive data/information through 15 May 2001
Draft 303(d) List Staff Report	Noticed availability on 27 September 2001
Draft 303(d) List Staff Report	Information Item at 19 October 2001 Regional Bd. Meeting
Draft 303(d) List Staff Report	Receive comments through 2 November 2001
Final 303(d) List Staff Report	Notice availability and post to web site December 2001.

**9 Response to Comments Received During the Solicitation of Information**

In addition to data and information, the Regional Board received some comments recommending additions to or deletions from the 303(d) list during the solicitation of information. The responses to those comments which recommended specific changes to the 303(d) list are given below.

**Commenter 1: Julie Roth, Executive Director, Davis South Campus Superfund Oversight Committee**

“We request that the CVRWQCB list Putah Creek as impaired because of excessive mercury concentrations in some of the fish that are used as food.”

**Response 1:** Regional Board staff has reviewed the data in the reports submitted by the commenter. Based on this review, Regional Board staff recommends the addition of lower Putah Creek to California's 303(d) list for impairment due to elevated mercury levels in fish. The basis for this determination can be found in the “Lower Putah Creek, Mercury” fact sheet in Appendix B.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

**Commenter 2: Barbara Vlamis, Executive Director, Butte Environmental Council**

The commenter recommended the addition of several waterbodies to the 303(d) list, including:

1. Butte Creek based on “one toxic reading” from NAWQA [the U.S. Geological Survey's National Water Quality Assessment];
2. Comanche Creek based on measurements by the local Isaac Walton League “exceeding State standards for copper, lead, and zinc”;
3. Little Chico Creek based on monitoring conducted by Metcalf & Eddy in a storm drain system of total suspended solids, nutrients, total copper, and total zinc;
4. Dead Horse Slough based on elevated levels of lead in the sediment relative to Little Chico Creek to which it is tributary; and
5. Little Butte Creek based on a toxicity test result showing fathead minnow mortality.

**Response 2:**

1. Regional Board staff contacted U.S. Geological Survey NAWQA staff (Domagalski, 2001) and found that Butte Creek was not sampled, although Butte Slough was sampled. Based on data available for Butte Slough, Regional Board staff are recommending the addition of Butte Slough to the 303(d) list due to elevated levels of diazinon and molinate.
2. No data was supplied to support the recommended listing and Regional Board staff are not aware of the availability of the referenced data in Regional Board files.
3. The Metcalf and Eddy study was referenced, but was not provided. The comment references the results from the study of a storm drainage system. Regional Board staff is not recommending listing drains constructed for the specific purpose of conveying storm water drainage.
4. Regional Board staff is currently investigating the Humboldt Road Burn Dump, the site that appears to be impacting Dead Horse Slough. The investigation is following the National Contingency Plan with the Regional Board as the Administering Agency. The Remedial Investigation Reports have been submitted and are being reviewed. Since the source of the lead is likely from the site under investigation, the Regional Board should have sufficient regulatory authority to oversee clean-up at that site and in the slough (should such clean-up be needed). Based on the above information, Regional Board staff believes, identification of Dead Horse Slough on the 303(d) list is not necessary.
5. Regional Board staff is following up on the issue of fathead minnow toxicity test results as a part of a CALFED funded study. The goal of the study is to determine the cause and significance of pathogen related toxicity that has been observed in fathead minnow toxicity tests. Until the CALFED study is completed, no recommendations for additions to the 303(d) list will be made based on pathogen-related fathead minnow toxicity test results.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

**Commenter 3: Stephan Orme, Data Specialist, Pesticide Action Network North America (PANNA)**

“I am writing to submit the enclosed data from the Department of Pesticide Regulation's (DPR) Pesticide Surface Water Database for your consideration in updating the 303(d) list. Each of the records attached below documents an exceedance of a water quality guideline by a pesticide detection in California surface waters.”

The documents provided by the commenter included records of exceedances as determined by the commenter as well as a description of the methodology as to how the U.S. EPA AQUIRE database was used to establish criteria.

**Response 3:** A description of how Regional Board staff considered water column pesticide data is included in the “Pesticide Numeric Criteria Fact Sheet” in Appendix A. That description identifies the criteria or guidelines used to interpret the Regional Board’s narrative toxicity and pesticide water quality objectives. Regional Board staff used DPR’s surface water database, as well as other data sources, to make a determination as to whether a water body and associated pesticide should be added to the 303(d) list. Regional Board staff review of the data resulted in the recommended addition of a number of water bodies to the 303(d) list as not attaining water quality objectives for certain pesticides (see Table 1). In general, PANNA identified exceedances did result in a recommended listing under the following conditions: 1) the exceedances identified were for water bodies not already currently listed; 2) the identified exceedances were not for storm drains specifically constructed to convey urban runoff or drainage canals specifically constructed to convey agricultural drainage; 3) greater than one exceedance was identified; 4) sufficient total sampling events were available to determine whether a potential water quality problem is recurring; and 5) criteria applied by the Regional Board to interpret exceedance of the narrative toxicity objective were exceeded.

**Commenter 4: Phil Chang, Watershed Coordinator, Sierra Nevada Alliance**

The commenter recommended that a number of Sierran watersheds be added to the “Priority Category I Watersheds” list. The commenter mentions some potential mercury and arsenic problems in the middle fork of the American River watershed and the south fork of the Feather River watershed. The commenter also states that the “surrounding watersheds in the Feather, Yuba, Bear, and American River basins have been listed in part for these same concerns.” Based on a recommendation to create Aquatic Diversity Management Areas as part of the Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project, the commenter recommends that “that the Middle Fork Feather, Upper Kern, Upper Merced, Upper Kings, Upper Merced, Upper Tuolumne, Upper Stanislaus, and Upper Mokelumne watersheds be prioritized in the 303(d) list development in 2001.” Based on their importance as a drinking water source, the commenter recommends that “the upper Feather, American, Mokelumne, and Tuolumne watersheds should also be on the Priority Category I list.”

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

**Response 4:** The commenter appears to be referring to the Unified Watershed Assessment process conducted in 1997 (see <http://www.ca.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/cwap.html>). The Federal government used the results of that process to prioritize funding of work related to watershed protection and restoration. Although the 303(d) list was used as a criteria to identify "Category I priority" watersheds, other criteria were also applied. Regional Board staff has reviewed the mercury information referred to by the commenter that is available for several Sierran streams and reservoirs. Based on that review, Regional Board staff are recommending the addition a number of waterbodies to the 303(d) list due to high levels of mercury in fish tissue. The recommended designation of Aquatic Diversity Management Areas does not appear to identify specific pollutants causing exceedances of water quality objectives, so Regional Board staff do not recommend adding the identified watersheds to the 303(d) list. The importance of a watershed as a drinking water source is not a sufficient basis for listing a waterbody, so Regional Board staff does not recommend adding to the 303(d) list those watersheds identified as important drinking water sources.

**Commenter 5: Alexander R. Coate, Manager of Regulatory Compliance, East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD)**

"Data to recommend delisting of the Lower Mokelumne River for impairment due to copper and zinc and listing Rich Gulch as impaired for arsenic are provided for your consideration."

**Response 5:** Regional Board staff reviewed the data provided by EBMUD and are recommending that the Lower Mokelumne River remain on the 303(d) list for impairment due to copper and zinc. The 1998 303(d) list included Camanche Reservoir as part of the Lower Mokelumne River. The data does indicate that substantive improvements in water quality have occurred and that it is likely that water quality objectives are being attained for zinc in the Lower Mokelumne River and Camanche Reservoir as well as copper in Camanche Reservoir. The limited data set (1 year) available for Camanche Reservoir (post-remediation) is not sufficient to demonstrate that objectives are being met over a variety of water year types. Copper data for the Lower Mokelumne River still indicates that there are periodic exceedances. No recent data on zinc levels in the Lower Mokelumne River is available. A more detailed review of the data provided can be found in the Fact Sheets for the Lower Mokelumne River and Camanche Reservoir. Regional Board staff is not recommending the addition of Rich Gulch to the 303(d) list for impairment due to arsenic. The data provided was for a single storm event. Regional Board staff has learned that the Gwin Mine was the most likely source of the arsenic and that the mine portal was open for an exploratory survey in January 1997. The portal has since been closed, so storm water discharges from the mine are unlikely.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

**Commenter 6: William E. Templin**

“I am especially interested in any information that might help me understand the observations I have made for the past 2 years in early spring runoff (pre-peak) in the South Fork Kings River and Ten Mile Creek, both in the Sequoia National Forest, downstream of Sequoia-Kings Canyon National Park. We have observed major algal blooms and phosphate pillows (2 foot tall soap suds) in areas that would seem to be relatively pristine.”

**Response 6:** No other data or information was provided, so no recommended changes to the 303(d) list are being made. Regional Board staff in Fresno will be conducting nutrient and pathogen monitoring in Ten Mile Creek (see Table 2). The comment has been forwarded to the Fresno office of the Regional Board for follow-up.

**Commenter 7: Will Doleman, A Call for Water Sanity! Monitoring Group**

The commenter provided information on issues in a number of creeks and ditches in Nevada County.

**Response 7:** No recommendations for changes to the 303(d) list were made based on the information provided in the letter. Based on the information in the report provided, Regional Board staff was not able to determine the quality assurance/quality control and sample collection procedures used. The commenter did provide some information that could indicate a potential water quality problem. Regional Board NPDES staff will follow-up and sample a number of the creeks identified by the commenter.

**Commenter 8: Mary Berglund, President, Kern County Neighbors for Quality Air, Water and Growth**

The commenter provided information and observations related to the Kern River, Buena Vista Lake, Caliente Creek, and Tehachapi Creek, as well as the EPC – Eastside Landfill. The commenter requests that the Regional Board investigate the sites mentioned.

**Response 8:** No recommendations for changes to the 303(d) list were made based on the information provided in the letter. The information was limited to a few observations, but no data was provided. The letter has been forwarded to the Fresno office for follow-up.

**Commenter 9: Lynell Garfield, River Science Dir., South Yuba River Citizen's League (SYRCL)**

The commenter recommends listing Shady Creek for excessive sediment. Information was also provided on E. coli levels in Humbug Creek and the Upper Yuba River.

**Response 9:** The commenter states that SYRCL has no data for the recommended listing of Shady Creek. Regional Board staff does not recommend listing water bodies based

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

solely on anecdotal information. Regional Board staff has reviewed the information provided on E. coli levels in Humbug Creek and the Upper Yuba River. Analytical results for total coliform and E. coli do not indicate exceedances of Department of Health Services criteria, therefore, Regional Board staff do not recommend listing Humbug Creek and the Upper Yuba River.

**Commenter 10: Bill Jennings, DeltaKeeper**

**Response 10**

The commenter recommended approximately 101 additions to California's 303(d) list for non-attainment of standards in Central Valley waters. In addition to the specific waterbodies and pollutants identified below, DeltaKeeper recommended adding a number of specific waterbodies to the 303(d) List for temperature.

Staff recommends that waterbodies not be added to the 303(d) List for temperature. The Regional Board's Basin Plan includes the following temperature narrative objective "The natural receiving water temperature of intrastate waters shall not be altered unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Regional Water Board that such alteration in temperature does not adversely affect beneficial uses. ....At no time or place shall the temperature of COLD or WARM intrastate waters be increased more than 5°F above natural receiving water temperature. Temperature changes due to controllable factors shall be limited for the water bodies specified as described in Table III-4. To the extent of any conflict with the above, the more stringent objective applies. In determining compliance with the water quality objectives for temperature, appropriate averaging periods may be applied provided that beneficial uses will be fully protected."

As stated, the temperature objective would require the Regional Board to determine the "natural receiving water temperature" in order to determine whether the temperature has been altered in a manner that affects beneficial uses or to determine whether temperature has been increased by greater than 5°F above natural receiving water temperature. The determination of the "natural receiving water temperature" for the Central Valley streams and rivers would require a scientific investigation and modeling effort that is beyond the scope of the 303(d) list update process. Staff, therefore, does not recommend the addition of any water bodies to the 303(d) list as impaired due to temperature.

Appendix A of this report describes how Regional Board staff evaluated available information for metals, mercury, pathogens, and pesticides. Based on information submitted by the commenter, other readily available information, and the procedures outlined in Appendix A, Regional Board staff determined whether water quality objectives were being attained for the recommended additions to the 303(d) list. Regional Board staff evaluation of recommended additions for other contaminants (other than metals, mercury, pathogens, and pesticides) is described below.

The commenter recommended addition of the Delta to the 303(d) list for impairment due to exotic species. Regional Board staff agree that exotic species are a problem in the Delta, but do not believe that exotic species are a "pollutant" as defined by the Clean

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

Water Act and therefore should not be included on the 303(d) list. Regional Board staff will consider identifying exotic species on the 305(b) report.

The commenter also recommended the addition of a number of parameters and water bodies to the 303(d) list based on exceedance of certain drinking water guidelines. A description of the metals drinking water criteria considered by staff is given in section A.2.4 of this report.

The commenter recommended the addition of the Sacramento River to the 303(d) list as impaired by dieldrin. Dieldrin is an organo-chlorine pesticide that is considered to have an additive toxic effect with a number of other organo-chlorine pesticides (see footnote 3 to Table 1). This group of organo-chlorine pesticides is referred to as Group A pesticides. Regional Board staff applied the National Academy of Sciences (NAS, 1973) guidelines for Group A pesticides and the Food and Drug Administration guidelines (USFDA, 1984) of 100 ng/g and 300 ng/g respectively in evaluating the available information. Based on those guidelines and the available information, Regional Board staff does not recommend adding the Sacramento River to the 303(d) list for impairment by dieldrin.

The commenter recommended the addition of the Sacramento River, North Delta, South Delta and Smith Canal to the 303(d) list for impairment by PCBs. Regional Board staff applied the National Academy of Sciences (NAS, 1973) guidelines and the Food and Drug Administration guidelines (USDA-FDA, 1984) of 500 ng/g and 2000 ng/g respectively in evaluating the available information. Based on those guidelines and the available information, Regional Board staff does not recommend adding the Sacramento River, North Delta, South Delta and Smith Canal to the 303(d) list for impairment by PCBs.

The commenter recommended the addition of Mosher Slough, Five-Mile Slough, the Calaveras River, Smith Canal, Mormon Slough, and French Camp Slough to the 303(d) list as impaired by low dissolved oxygen. Regional Board staff recommends adding Mosher Slough, Five-Mile Slough, the Calaveras River, Smith Canal, and Mormon Slough to the 303(d) list as impaired by dissolved oxygen. The limited data set for French Camp Slough did not indicate the potential for a recurring dissolved oxygen problem.

The commenter recommended adding the Colusa Basin Drain to the 303(d) list as impaired by high electrical conductivity. The commenter states that the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the available data is above an agricultural water quality goal of 700  $\mu$ mhos/cm. Electrical conductivity is an indicator of pollutants (e.g. sodium, chloride) that can impact salt sensitive crops at high enough levels. Regional Board staff are not aware of any information from users of the Colusa Basin Drain that the salinity levels are impacting crops, therefore, Regional Board staff do not recommend adding the Colusa Basin Drain to the 303(d) list as impaired by high electrical conductivity.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

The commenter recommended adding the San Joaquin River to the 303(d) list for impairment due to high ammonia levels. Regional Board staff believe that ammonia issues will be addressed by NPDES permits that are currently in place and, therefore, do not recommend adding the San Joaquin River to the 303(d) list for impairment due to high ammonia levels.

## **10 Rationale for Modification of Recommendations in Draft Report**

Based on comments received from the public, discussions with Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) staff, and review of the Draft Report, Regional Board staff is no longer recommending the addition of several water bodies and associated pollutants to the 303(d) list.

The Draft Report included the recommended additions of Del Puerto Creek, Ingram/Hospital Creek, and Orestimba Creek for impairment by parathion. The registration for the use of parathion (the common name used to refer to ethyl parathion) was cancelled in the early 1990's. Data used by Regional Board staff in making the draft recommended addition was from the early 1990's. More recent data for those water bodies was not available but, based on the cancellation of the use of ethyl parathion, Regional Board staff do not believe ethyl parathion is present in those waters at levels that would exceed water quality objectives.

The Draft Report included the recommended additions of the lower Calaveras River and Walker Slough for impairment by diazinon. The recommended additions were based on a report (Lee and Jones-Lee, 2001a) included in comments submitted by Bill Jennings of Delta Keeper (Jennings, 2001). The Lee and Jones-Lee (2001a) report was preliminary and included data attributed to both the Calaveras River and Walker Slough that was actually collected in storm drains tributary to those water bodies (City of Stockton, 2001). The Lee and Jones-Lee final report corrected the attribution of the storm drain data to the Calaveras River and Walker Slough (Lee and Jones-Lee, 2001b). Based on this modification to the data set, there was not enough information to determine whether the Calaveras River or Walker Slough were impaired by diazinon.

The Draft Report included the recommended addition (in Table 1) of the San Luis Reservoir for copper. This recommendation was partially based on information submitted after the 15 May 2001 deadline for submission of information of the 303(d) list update. Without that data, no conclusion could be made as to whether the copper levels in the San Luis Reservoir exceeded standards. Regional Board staff have recommended (see Table 2) that copper levels in the San Luis Reservoir continue to be monitored since recent data appears to indicate that California Toxic Rule (CTR) criteria are not being met for the protection of aquatic life.

The Draft Report did not include the recommended addition of the Avena Drain for pathogens. Data submitted by Bill Jennings of Delta Keeper (Jennings, 2001) included total coliform and e. coli results for Avena Drain that were above criteria (see Appendix B for the fact sheet describing this data).

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

## **11 References**

### ***11.1 Documents and References Used to Support Recommended Changes to the 303(d) List***

- Alpers, C.N. and M.P. Hunerlach. 2000. *Mercury Contamination from Historic Gold Mining in California*. U.S. Geological Survey. Fact Sheet FS-061-00. May 2000.
- Bailey, H.C., L. Deanovic, E. Reyes, T. Kimball, K. Larsen, K. Cortright, V. Connor, and D. Hinton. 2000. *Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos in Urban Waterways in Northern California*. USA. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (19) 82-87.
- Bailey, H.C., J.L. Miller, M.J. Miller, L.C. Wiborg, L. Deanovic, and T. Shed. 1997. *Joint Acute Toxicity of Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos to Ceriodaphnia dubia*. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (16) 2304-2308.
- Brodberg, R. K. and G.A. Pollock. 1999. *Prevalence of Selected Target Chemical Contaminants in Sport Fish from Two California Lakes: Public Health Designed Screening Study*. California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.
- Buer, S.M., S.R. Phillippe, and T.R. Pinkos. 1979. *Inventory and Assessment of Water Quality Problems related to Abandoned and Inactive Mines in the Central Valley Region of California*. CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region).
- California Rice Commission. 2001. *CA Rice*. [www.calrice.org/environment/balance-cheet/chap3.html](http://www.calrice.org/environment/balance-cheet/chap3.html)
- CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 1991. Appendix IX- A protocol for the derivation of water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life (April 1991). In: Canadian water quality guidelines, Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers, 1987. Prepared by the Task Force on Water Quality Guidelines. [Updated and reprinted with minor revisions and editorial changes in Canadian environmental quality guidelines, Chapter 4, Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1999, Winnipeg.]
- CDM (Camp Dresser & McKee Inc). 1999. *Assessment of Water Quality Data from Smith Canal*. July 27, 1999. (Appendix B-2 to City of Stockton & San Joaquin County Storm Water Management Program).
- CCR (California Code of Regulations). Title 17 §7958 *Bacteriological Standards*.
- CDFG (California Department of Fish and Game). 1991. *Lower Mokelumne River Fisheries Plan*. The Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Game, Streamflow Requirements Program. November 1991.
- CDHS (California Department of Health Services). 2000. *Draft Guidance for Fresh Water Beaches*. July 27, 2000.
- CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 1997. *Information on Rice Pesticides-Submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board*. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program. December 23 1997.
- CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 2000a. *Surface Water Database (SWDB)*, as of July 15, 2000.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 2000b. *Pesticide Use Report (PUR) Database*. Preliminary 2000 Pesticide Use Data.

CDWR (California Department of Water Resources). 1993. *Dams within Jurisdiction of the State of California*. DWR Bulletin 17. As presented by the Berkeley Digital Library Project. Accessed on August 23, 2001. Accessed: (<http://elib.cs.berkeley.edu/dams/about.html>).

CDWR (California Department of Water Resources). 1998. *Aquatic Monitoring and Assessment for the Upper Fall River, Memorandum Report*. May 1998.

Chen C. and W. Tsai. 1999. *Application of Stockton's Water Quality Model to Evaluate Stormwater Impact on Smith Canal*. February 23, 1999. (Attachment to March 17, 1999 letter from City of Stockton, G. Birdzell).

Chilcott, J. 1992. *Agenda Item #11 for Meeting of California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region*. September 25, 1992. Fresno, CA. Staff Report on Consideration of Water Body Designations to Comply with Provisions of the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California. Appendix B.

CH2MHILL. 2000a. *Closure Report: Penn Mine Environmental Restoration Project*. Prepared for: East Bay Municipal Utility District and Regional Water Quality Control Board-Central Valley Region. Oakland, California. December 2000.

CH2MHILL. 2000b. *(Draft) Post-Restoration Final Effectiveness Report: Penn Mine Environmental Restoration Project*. Prepared for: East Bay Municipal Utility District and Regional Water Quality Control Board-Central Valley Region. Oakland, California. September 2000.

City of Grass Valley. 2000. *Discharger self-monitoring reports (DSMRs) for Grass Valley Waste Water Treatment Plant*.

City of Grass Valley. 2001. *Discharger self-monitoring reports (DSMRs) for Grass Valley Waste Water Treatment Plant*.

Cortright, K., L. Deanovic, H. Bailey, and D. Hinton. 1995. *Stockton Urban Runoff April 1995-June 1995 Report- Prepared for: Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board*.

CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1978. *Waste Discharge Requirements for Mount Diablo Quicksilver Mine, Contra Costa County*. Sacramento, Ca: CRWQCB.

CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1982. *Fall River Water Quality Monitoring*.

CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1995. *Futures Foundation, New Idria Mine File*. 1971-1995 Electronic database of all water sampling results for San Carlos Creek and New Idria Mine drainage. Mercury data for water samples collected June 1971 to December 1995.

CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1998. *The Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region — The Sacramento River Basin and the San Joaquin River Basin*. Fourth Edition. CRWQCB-CVR, Sacramento, Ca. <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/~rwqcb5/bsnplnab.pdf>

CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1999a. (Enclosure 5) *Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order No. 99-052, Madera County Maintenance*

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

- District 22A, Oakhurst Wastewater Treatment Facility, Madera County*, (with Supporting Data and Analyses). 30 April 1999 (Rescinded on 17 April 2000).
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1999b. (Enclosure 6), January 1996-January 2000, *Fresno River Water Quality Data from Self-Monitoring Reports, Madera County Maintenance District 22A, Oakhurst Wastewater Treatment Facility, Madera County*.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 2001a. *Avena Drain File*. File Containing Regional Board Staff Field notes and lab results from Avena Drain and surrounding dairies.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 2001b. *Public Solicitation of Water Quality Information* letter. Sacramento, California. 21 February 2001.
- CRWQCB-SFB (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region), State Water Resources Control Board, and California Department of Fish and Game. 1995. *Contaminant Levels in Fish Tissue from San Francisco Bay: Final Report*. CRWQCB-SFB, Oakland, CA.
- Davis, J.A., M.D. May, G. Ichikawa, and D. Crane. 2000. *Contaminant Concentrations in Fish from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Lower San Joaquin River – 1998*. San Francisco Estuary Institute report. Richmond, California. September 2000.
- DeLorme. 1998. *Northern California Atlas and Gazetteer- Detailed Topographic Maps*. 1:150,000 Scale. Fourth Edition. (<http://www.delorme.com>.)
- Dileanis, P.D., J.L. Domagalski, and K.P. Bennett. 2000. *Occurrence and Transport of Diazinon in the Sacramento River and its Tributaries During Three Winter Storms, January-February 2000*. U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations draft report. Sacramento, CA. As presented in *Water Quality Management Strategy for Diazinon in the Sacramento and Feather Rivers*. Sacramento River Watershed Program. Organophosphate Focus Group. March 30, 2000.
- Domagalski, J.L. 2000. *Pesticide Monitoring in the Sacramento River Basin for the USGS National Water Quality Assessment Program*. Report in prep. USGS. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.
- Domagalski, J.L., 2001. Telephone conversation between Joe Domagalski (Sacramento River Basin NAWQA Study Unit, Chief, U.S. Geological Survey) and Joe Karkoski (Sacramento River Watershed TMDL Unit, Chief, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region) on 4 September 2001, regarding sampling sites included in NAWQA studies.
- EBMUD (East Bay Municipal Utility District). 2000. *All About EBMUD*. EBMUD Public Affairs Office publication. [http://www.ebmud.com/pubs/annual/allaboutebmud\\_2000.pdf](http://www.ebmud.com/pubs/annual/allaboutebmud_2000.pdf). Last accessed: August 2, 2001.
- EBMUD (East Bay Municipal Utility District). 2001. Unpublished dissolved copper concentration data for the lower Mokelumne River downstream of Camanche Dam, generated as part of EBMUD's NPDES requirements. Provided electronically by Alexander R. Coate (Manger of Regulatory Compliance, EBMUD) to Michelle L. Wood (Environmental Specialist, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board) on August 2, 2001.
- EDAW, Inc. 1992. *Draft EIS/EIR for the Updated Water Supply Management Program, Volume III, Technical Appendices B1 and B2*. Prepared for: East Bay Municipal Utility District. Oakland, California. December 1992.
- Foe, C. 1995. *Insecticide Concentrations and Invertebrate Bioassay Mortality in Agricultural Return*

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

*Water from the San Joaquin Basin.* Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. Sacramento, CA December 1995.

Foe, C. and W. Croyle. 1998. *Mercury Concentrations and Loads from the Sacramento River and from Cache Creek to the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary.* California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region Report. Sacramento, Ca. June 1998.

Fujimura, R. 1991a. *Chemical and Toxicity Test Results from the San Joaquin River at Three Sites from July 2 to September 13, 1991.* Memorandum to Lisa Ross, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. November 6, 1991. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Fujimura, R. 1991b. *Chemical and Toxicity Test Results from the San Joaquin River and Tributaries During March 4 to April 26, 1991.* Memorandum to Lisa Ross, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. November 6, 1991. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Fujimura, R. 1993a. *Chemical Analyses and Bioassay Test Results for Samples Collected from December 29 to February 25., 1993.* Memorandum to Brian Finlayson, Pesticide Investigations Unit, California Department of Fish and Game. Rancho Cordova, CA. March 26, 1993. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Fujimura, R. 1993b. *Chemical Analyses and Bioassay Test Results for Samples Collected from July 9 to September 9, 1992.* Memorandum to Brian Finlayson, Pesticide Investigations Unit, California Department of Fish and Game. Rancho Cordova, CA. March 23, 1993. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Fujimura, R. 1993c. *Chemical Analyses and Bioassay Test Results for Samples Collected from March 16 to April 30, 1992.* Memorandum to Brian Finlayson, Pesticide Investigations Unit, California Department of Fish and Game. Rancho Cordova, CA. March 22, 1993. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Fujimura, R. 1993d. *Chemical Analyses and Bioassay Test Results for Samples Collected from December 23, 1991 to February 27, 1992.* Memorandum to Brian Finlayson, Pesticide Investigations Unit, California Department of Fish and Game. Rancho Cordova, CA. February 23, 1993. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Gorder, N.K.N. and J.M. Lee. 1995. *Information on rice pesticides submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region.* Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, CA. December 28, 1995.

Gorder, N.K.N. and J.M. Lee. 1997. *Information on rice pesticides submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region.* Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, CA. December 23, 1997.

Gorder, N.K.N., J.M. Lee, and K. Newhart. 1996. *Information on rice pesticides submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region.* Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, CA.

Gorder, N.K.N. and K. Newhart. 1998. *Information on rice pesticides submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region.* Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, CA. December 31, 1998.

Hannaford, M.J., and North State Institute for Sustainable Communities. 2000. Preliminary Water Quality Assessment of Cow Creek Tributaries. Department of Fish and Game. May 15, 2000.  
(<http://www.delta.dfg.ca.gov/afpr/documents/cowcrk.rpt.pdf>).

Harrington, J.M. 1990. *Hazard Assessment of the Rice Insecticides Molinate and Thiobencarb to Aquatic Life in the Sacramento River System.* California Department of Fish and Game. Environmental Services Division. Administrative Report 90-1. Sacramento, CA

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

Holmes, R., C. Foe, and V. de Vlaming. 2000. *Sources and Concentrations of Diazinon in the Sacramento Watershed During the 1994 Orchard Dormant Spray Season*. California Regional Water Quality Control Board – Central Valley Region. Sacramento, CA. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Horizons Technology, Inc. 1997. Sure! MAPS® RASTER Map Sets (U.S. Geological Survey 7.5' Topographic Quadrangles), Version 2.1.2.

Iovenitti, J.L., Weiss Associates, and J. Wessman. 1989. *Mount Diablo Mine: Surface Impoundment Technical Report*. Pleasant Hill, Ca.

Jennings, B. 2001. *Letter from Bill Jennings (DeltaKeeper A Project of San Francisco BayKeeper) to Mr. Jerry Bruns and Mr. Joe Karkoski (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region) dated May 14, 2001, regarding DeltaKeeper comments on section 303(d) list update*. May 14, 2001.

Larry Walker Associates. 2001a. SRWP 99-00 Database. Unpublished Data.

Larry Walker Associates. 2001b. *Sacramento River Watershed Program Annual Monitoring Report: 1999-2000*. Prepared for the Sacramento River Watershed Program by Larry Walker Associates, Davis, California.

Larsen, K., K.A. Cortright., P.Young, V. Connor, L.A.Deanovic, D.E. Hinton. 1998. *Stockton Fish Kills Associated With Urban Storm Runoff: The Role of Low Dissolved Oxygen*. CRWQCB-CVR. June 1998.

Larsen, K., M. McGraw, V. Connor, L. Deanovic, T. Kimball, and D. Hinton. 2000. *Cache Creek and Smith Canal Watersheds Toxicity Monitoring Results: 1998-1999* Final Report. November 2000.

Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 2000a. *Dissolved Oxygen Depletion in the Stockton Sloughs*. August 2000. (Prepared for DeltaKeeper).

Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 2000b. *Issues in Developing the San Joaquin River Deep Water Ship Channel DO TMDL*. Report to San Joaquin River Dissolved Oxygen Total Maximum Daily Load Steering Committee and the CRWQCB-CVR. August 17, 2000.

Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 2001a. *Review of the City of Stockton Urban Stormwater Runoff Aquatic Life Toxicity Studies Conducted by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, DeltaKeeper, and the University of California, Davis, Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory between 1994 and 1999*. Draft Report. April 2001. G. Fred Lee & Associates. El Macero, CA. (Prepared for DeltaKeeper).

Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 2001b. *Review of the City of Stockton Urban Stormwater Runoff Aquatic Life Toxicity Studies Conducted by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, DeltaKeeper, and the University of California, Davis, Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory between 1994 and 1999*. Final Report. November 2001. G. Fred Lee & Associates. El Macero, CA. (Prepared for DeltaKeeper).

Marshack, J.B. 2000. *A Compilation of Water Quality Goals*. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region Report. August 2000, updated October 11, 2000; December 5, 2000; February 8, 2001; April 18, 2001; and July 26, 2001.

May, J.T., R.L. Hothem, C.N. Alpers, and M.A. Law. 2000. *Mercury Bioaccumulation in Fish in a Region Affected by Historic Gold Mining: The South Yuba River, Deer Creek, and Bear River Watersheds, California, 1999*. U.S. Geological Survey. Sacramento, CA. 2000.

McKee and Wolf. 1971. *Water Quality Criteria*. Publication 3-A. California State Water Control Board. Sacramento, California.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

Menconi, M. and S. Gray. 1992. *Hazard Assessment of the Insecticide Carbofuran to Aquatic Organisms in the Sacramento River System*. California Department of Fish and Game, Environmental Services Division. Administrative Report 92-3. Sacramento, CA.

Menconi, M. and J.M. Harrington. 1992. *Hazard Assessment of the Insecticide Methyl Parathion to Aquatic Life in the Sacramento River System*. California Department of Fish and Game. Environmental Services Division. Administrative Report 92-1. Sacramento, CA

Montoya, B. and X. Pan. 1992. *Inactive Mine Drainage in the Sacramento Valley, California*. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region Report. July 1992.

NAS (National Academy of Science). 1973. *A Report of the Committee on Water Quality: Water Quality Criteria, 1972*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Academy of Science-National Academy of Engineers (NAS). EPA R3-73-033.

NCWA (Northern California Water Association). 2001. *The Lower Butte Creek Project*. ([http://norcalwater.org/lower\\_butte\\_creek\\_project.htm](http://norcalwater.org/lower_butte_creek_project.htm)). Last updated 4 September 2001.

Nevada County. 2000. *Press Release, Three County Environmental Health Agencies Issue Interim Public Health Notification on Mercury in Fish*. Nevada County, Department of Environmental Health. ([http://www.co.nevada.ca.us/ehealth/hg/press\\_release\\_10-03-00.htm](http://www.co.nevada.ca.us/ehealth/hg/press_release_10-03-00.htm)).

Newhart, K. and K. Bennett. 1999. *Information on Rice Pesticides-Submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board*. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program. December 31, 1999.

Newhart, K., D. Jones, and S. Ceesay. 2000. *Information on Rice Pesticides-Submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board*. California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program. December 31, 2000.

Nichols, J., S. Bradbury, and J. Swartout. 1999. *Derivation of wildlife values for mercury in Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*: 325-355.

Nordmark, C. 1998. *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the Sacramento River Watershed, Winter 1997-98*. Memorandum to Don Weaver, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. July 31, 1998. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Nordmark, C. 1999. *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the Sacramento River Watershed, Winter 1998-99*. Memorandum to Don Weaver, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, CA. May 26, 1999. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Nordmark, C. 2000. In prep. *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the Sacramento River Watershed, Winter 1999-00*. Memorandum to Don Weaver, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management, Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

Nordmark, C.E., K.P. Bennett, H. Feng, J. Hernandez, and P. Lee. 1998. *Occurrence of aquatic toxicity and dormant spray pesticide detections in the Sacramento River watershed*. Winter 1996-97. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. Report EH98-01. February, 1998. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

North State Resources and T. Holmes (prepared for the Fall River Resource Conservation District). *A study of the Habitat Characteristics of the Aquatic Vegetation of the Upper Fall River: Final Report*. Redding, Ca. December 8, 1997.

OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). 1987. *Methyl Mercury In Northern Coastal Mountain Lakes: Guidelines for Sport Fish Consumption For Clear Lake ( Lake County), Lake Berryessa (Napa County), And Lake Herman (Solano County)*. James W. Stratton, Daniel Smith, Anna M. Fan, and Steven Book. Hazard Evaluation Section and the Epidemiological Studies and Surveillance Section, Berkeley, California.

OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). 1999. *Health Risk Categories and Cancer Risk. Values for Chemicals without California Public Health Goals: molinate*.  
[www.oehha.ca.gov/risk/ChemicalDB/withoutPHG.asp?name=molinate&number=221267](http://www.oehha.ca.gov/risk/ChemicalDB/withoutPHG.asp?name=molinate&number=221267)

OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). 2000. *Draft Evaluation of Potential Health Effects of Eating Fish From Black Butte Reservoir (Glenn and Tehama Counties): Guidelines for Sport Fish Consumption*, Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Section, California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.

OMR (Office of Mine Reclamation). 2000. *California's Abandoned Mines – A Report on the Magnitude and Scope of the Issue in the State*. California Department of Conservation, Office of Mine Reclamation, Abandoned Mine Lands Unit (OMR). Sacramento, CA. June 2000.

PANNA (Pesticide Action Network, North America). 2000. *DPR surface water database with exceedances indicated*. 9/24/00. PANNA

Panshin, S.Y., N.M. Dubrovsky, J.M. Gronberg and J.L. Domagalski. 1998. *Occurrence and distribution of dissolved pesticides in the San Joaquin River Basin, California*. U.S. Geological Survey. Water Resources Investigations Report 98-4032. National Water Quality Assessment Program. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Ross, L. 1992. *Preliminary Results of the San Joaquin River Study; Summer, 1991*. Memorandum to Kean Goh. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. May 21, 1992.

Ross, L. 1993. *Preliminary Results of the San Joaquin River Study; Summer, 1992*. Memorandum to Kean Goh. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. September 22, 1993. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Ross, L., J. Stein, J. Hsu, J. White, and K. Hefner. 1996. *Distribution and Mass Loading of Insecticides in the San Joaquin River, California: Winter 1991-92 and 1992-93*. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. Report EH 96-02. November, 1996. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

Ross, L., J. Stein, J. Hsu, J. White, and K. Hefner. 1999. *Distribution and Mass Loading of Insecticides in the San Joaquin River, California: Spring 1991 and 1992*. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch. California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Sacramento, CA. Report EH 99-01. April, 1999. As presented in CDPR, 2000a.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

Russick, K. 2001. *Characterization of OP Pesticides in Sacramento Urban Runoff and Receiving Waters*. Unpublished Draft CALFED Repot. Russik Environmental Consultant, Elk Grove, California.

SCH EIR. 1996. *Draft EIR for The Penn Mine Site, Long-Term Solution Project*. Prepared for: East Bay Municipal Utility District and Regional Water Quality Control Board-Central Valley Region. SCH EIR No. 95103036. May 1996.

Siepmann, S. and B.J. Finlayson. 2000. *Water Quality Criteria for Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos*. California Department of Fish and Game. Office of Spill Prevention and Response. Administrative Report 00-3. Sacramento, CA.

Siepmann, S. and M.J. Jones. 1998a. *Hazard Assessment of the Insecticide Carbaryl to Aquatic Life in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River System*. California Department of Fish and Game. Office of Spill Prevention and Response. Administrative Report 98-1. Sacramento, CA.

Siepmann, S. and S.B. Slater. 1998b. *Hazard Assessment of the Insecticide Malathion to Aquatic Life in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River System*. California Department of Fish and Game. Office of Spill Prevention and Response. Administrative Report 98-2. Sacramento, CA.

Slotton, D.G., S.M. Ayers, and J.E. Reuter. 1996a. *Marsh Creek Watershed: 1995 Mercury Assessment Project—Final Report March 1996*. Report Prepared for Contra Costa County, March 1996.

Slotton, D.G., S.M. Ayers, J.E. Reuter, and C.R. Goldman. 1995. *Gold Mining Impacts on Food Chain Mercury in Northwestern Sierra Nevada Streams*. Technical Completion Report for the University of California Resources Center, Project W-816. University of California, Davis, Division of Environmental Studies. August 1995.

Slotton, D.G., S.M. Ayers, J.E. Reuter, and C.R. Goldman. 1996b. *Gold Mining Impacts on Food Chain Mercury in Northwestern Sierra Nevada Streams (1996 Revision)*. University of California, Davis, Division of Environmental Studies. December 1996.

Slotton, D.G., S.M. Ayers, J.E. Reuter, and C.R. Goldman. 1997. *Cache Creek Watershed Preliminary Mercury Assessment, Using Benthic Macro-Invertebrates – Final Report, June 1997*. University of California, Davis, Division of Environmental Studies, June 1997.

Slotton, D.G., S.M. Ayers, J.E. Reuter, C.R. Goldman. 1999. *Lower Putah Creek 1997-1998 Mercury Biological Distribution Study. February 1999*. Dept. of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis. February 1999.

Slotton, D.G., T.H. Suchanek, and S.M. Ayers. 2000. *Delta Wetlands Restoration and the Mercury Question: Year 2 Findings of the CALFED UC Davis Mercury Study. IEP Newsletter. 13(4): 34-44*.

SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 1990. *Water Quality Problems Associated with Operation of Pardee and Camanche Reservoir*. State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Quality staff report.

SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 1995. *Toxic Substances Monitoring Program: Freshwater Bioaccumulation Monitoring Program: Data Base*. As presented in TSMP database (Metals\_Wet).

SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 1999. *1998 California 303(d) List and Priority Schedule*. Approved by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9. May 12, 1999. (<http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/docs/303d98.pdf>).

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

- SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 2001. Undated memorandum from Stan Martinson, Chief, Division of Water Quality. *Solicitation of Water Quality Information*. E-mail sent 14 February 2001.
- Tetra Tech, Inc (for the Fall River Resource Conservation District). 1998. *Analysis of Sedimentation and Action Plan Development for the Upper Fall River, Shasta County, California*. San Francisco, Ca. May 20, 1998.
- USBR (U.S. Bureau of Reclamation). 2001. *U.S. Bureau of Reclamation DataWeb: Power Plants, Dams & Reservoirs*. Accessed on August 22, 2001 (<http://dataweb.usbr.gov/>).
- USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture), River Basin Planning Staff, in cooperation with Fall River Resource Conservation District. 1983. *Fall River Watershed Area Study, Summary Report*. Davis, Ca. June 1983.
- USDHHS-ATSDR (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1994. *Public Health Statement for DDT, DDE, and DDD*. May 1994. (<http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/phs8908.html>)
- USDHHS-ATSDR (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1995. *ToxFAQs - DDT, DDE, and DDD*. September 1995. (<http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/tfacts35.html>)
- USDHHS-ATSDR (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1997. *Fish Sampling in Putah Creek, 1996, Laboratory for Energy Related Health Research, Davis, Yolo County California, Cerclis No. CA2890190000*. Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry (ATSDR). April 1997.
- USDHHS-ATSDR (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1998. *Health Consolation, Fish Sampling in Putah Creek (Phase II), Laboratory for Energy Related Health Research, Davis, Yolo County California, Cerclis No. CA2890190000*. Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry. September 1998.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1976. *Quality Criteria for Water (The Red Book)*.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1986a. *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria*. EPA # 44015-84-002.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1995. *Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative Technical Support Document for Wildlife Criteria*. EPA-820-B-95-009. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. March 1995.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1997a. *Mercury Study Report to Congress, Vol. 6. An Ecological Assessment for Anthropogenic Mercury Emissions in the United States*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning & Standards and Office of Research and Development. Washington, DC.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1997b. *National Clarifying Guidance For 1998 State and Territory Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Listing Decisions*. USEPA Office of Water. August 17, 1997. (<http://www.epa.gov/owow/tmdl/lisgid.html>)
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1998. *Bacterial Water Quality Standards Status Report*. EPA-823-R-98-003. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Report. May 1998.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1999. *National Recommended Water Quality Criteria – Correction*. EPA 822-Z-99-001. April 1999. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. Washington, DC. (<http://www.epa.gov/ost/pc/revcom.pdf>).

USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2000a. *Water Quality Standards; Establishment of Numeric Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants for the State of California; Rule*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 40 CFR, Part 131, in Federal Register, Volume 65, No. 97. Thursday, May 18, 2000.

USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2000b. *Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories. Volume I, Fish Sampling and Analysis, Third Edition*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. EPA 823-B-00-007. November, 2000.

USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2001a. *Protocol for Developing Pathogen TMDLs*. EPA 841-R-00-002. Office of Water Report (4503F). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. January 2001.

USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2001b. *Water Quality Criterion for Protection of Human Health: Methylmercury*. EPA-823-R-01-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Science and Technology. January 2001.

USFDA (U.S. Food and Drug Administration). 1984. *Shellfish Sanitation Interpretation: Action Levels for Chemical and Poisonous Substances*. USFDA, Shellfish Sanitation Branch. Washington, DC. June 1984.

USFDA (U.S. Food and Drug Administration). 1995. *Mercury in Fish: Cause for Concern?*. In *FDA Consumer* magazine, September 1994, with revisions made in May 1995. Washington, DC. As found at <http://www.fda.gov/fdac/reprints/mercury.html>. November, 2001.

USFWS (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service). 1992. *Before the State Water Resources Control: In the Matter of the Water Rights Hearing for the Lower Mokelumne River – Closing Statement, Enclosure 2 (EBMUD Data – Aluminum, Cadmium, Zinc, Iron and Zinc)*. Prepared by J.W. Burke, III (Regional Solicitor, USFWS Pacific Southwest Region) and Lynn Cox (Assistant Regional Solicitor, USFWS Pacific Southwest Region).

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 1958-2000. California 7.5' Topographic Quadrangle, as presented by TopoZone.com (© 2000 Maps a la carte, Inc.). Accessed on March 13, 2001 (<http://www.topozone.com/default.asp>) *Ciervo Mountain* (1969), *Idria* (1969), *San Benito Mountain* (1981), and *Tumey Hills* (1971), *La Grange* (1987), *Westley* (1991), and *Brush Lake* (1969), *Chiles Valley*(1980), *Aetna Springs* (1987), *Walter Springs* (1987b.), *Desert Reservoir* (1997).

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 2001. *National Water Information System*. <http://water.usgs.gov/nwis/> (August 28, 2001).

Westcot, D.W., C.A. Enos, and P.A. Lowry. 1991. Preliminary Estimate of Salt and Trace Element Loading to the San Joaquin River by Ephemeral Streams Draining the Eastern Slope of the Coast Range (Diablo Range). CRWQCB-CVR. Sacramento, Ca.

WHO (World Health Organization). 1993. *Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Geneva, WHO. [www.who.int/water\\_sanitation\\_health/GDWQ/Chemicals/molinatesum.htm](http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/GDWQ/Chemicals/molinatesum.htm).

Woodward-Clyde. 1992. *Source Identification and Control Report, December 1, 1992*. Report prepared for the Santa Clara Valley Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program by Woodward-Clyde Consultants, Oakland, California.

Young, K.D. and E.L. Thackston. 1999. *Housing density and bacterial loading in urban streams*. *Journal of Environmental Engineering* December:1177-1180. As cited in USEPA 2001a.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

**11.2 Documents and References Reviewed that Did Not Provide Information to Support Changes to the 303(d) List**

Alpers C.N., H.E. Anweiler, and Howard. 1998. *Metals Transport in the Sacramento River, California, 1996-97: Vol 2 Interpretation of Metals Loads*. USGS.

Alpers C.N., H.E. Taylor, and J.L. Domagalski. 2000. *Metals Transport in the Sacramento River, California, 1996-1997, Volume 1: Methods and Data*. USGS.

Alpers C.N., Antweiler, H.E. Taylor, P.D. Dileanis, and J.L. Domagalski. 2000. *Metals Transport in the Sacramento River, California, 1996-1997, Volume 2: Interpretation of Metal Loads*. USGS.

Ames, M. 2001. *Cover letter from M. Ames to Joe Karkoski*. City of Redding. 5/14/01.

Amy, G., R. Bull, K. Kerri, and S. Regli. 1998. *Bay Delta Driking Water Quality Bromide Ion (Br) and Formation of Brominated Disinfections By-Products (DBPs)*. CALFED.

Archibald & Wallberg Consultants. 1997. *Sacramento Stormwater Management Program: 1996 / 1997 Annual Monitoring Report*. Sacramento Stormwater Management Program.

Archibald & Wallberg Consultants, Montgomery Watson. 1998. *American River Watershed Sanitary Survey: 1998 Update*. City of Sacramento.

Austin, S.A. 1999. *Update on the Tradable Loads Program in the Grasslands Drainage Area, To all interested parties, Re: Economic Incentives Project to Improve Water Quality in the Grasslands*. San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority. 25-Aug-99.

Azimi-Gaylon, S. 2000. *Quality Assurance Project Plan: Monitoring Organophosphorus Pesticides in the Lower San Joaquin Basin*. CRWQCB-CVR. 1-Feb-00.

Barry, T. 1999. *Ecological Risk Assessment: Key Concepts/California Examples*. CDPR.

Bennett K.P., N. Singhasemanon, N. Miller, and R. Gal. 1998. *Rice Pesticides Monitoring in the Sacramento Valley, 1995*. CDPR.

Bennett, K. P., C. E. Nordmark, J. Schuette, H. Feng, J. Hernandez, and P. Lee. *Occurrence of aquatic toxicity and dormant-spray pesticide detections in the San Joaquin River watershed, Winter 1996-97*.

Bialy, H. 1998. *Data Report: Acute Copper Toxicity to Salmonids in Surface Waters in the Vicinity of the Iron Mountain Mine, California - Vol 1*. California office of the Attorney General.

Block Environmental Services (BES). Grasslands Bypass Project (GBP). *Toxicity Program laboratory toxicity testing program*. ([www.blockenviron.com/gbp/](http://www.blockenviron.com/gbp/)).

Brodberg, R.K. G.A Pollock. 1999. *Prevalence of Selected Target Chemical Contaminants in Sport Fish from Two California Lakes: Public Health Designed Screening Study*. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Section. June 1999.

Brown, L.R. 1997. *Concentrations of Chlorinated Organic Compounds in Biota and Bed Sediment in Streams of the San Joaquin Valley, California* in Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. USGS. May 1997.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

- Brown, L.R. 1998. *Assemblage of Fishes and Their Associations with Environmental Variables, Lower San Joaquin River Drainage, California*: USGS Open File Report 98-77. USGS.
- Brown, L.R. 1998. *Concentrations of Chlorinated Organic Compounds in Biota and Bed Sediment in Streams of the Lower San Joaquin River Drainage, California*. USGS.
- Brown L. and J. May. 1999. *Macroinvertebrate Assemblages On Woody Debris and their Relationships with Environmental Variables In the Lower Sacramento and San Joaquin River Drainages, California*. USGS.
- Brown L. and J. May. 2000. *Benthic Macroinvertebrate Assemblages and their Relationships with Environmental Variables In the Lower Sacramento and San Joaquin River Drainages, California*. USGS.
- Brunetti, J. 1999. *Pesticide Use Report Data For 1990 Through 1998*. CDPR. December 1999.
- Burke, J.W. and L. Cox. *In the Matter of the Water Rights Hearing for the Lower Mokelumne River, Closing Statement US FWS for the SWR Controls*. USFWS.
- Cain D.J., J.L. Cater, S.V. Fend, Sa. 1998. *Metal Exposure to a Benthic Invertebrate, Hydropsyche californica, in the Sacramento River Downstream of Keswick Reservoir, California*. USGS.
- CalEPA, Department of Toxic Substances Control. *Abandoned Mine Lands Preliminary Assessment Handbook*. 1998. Cal EPA, Department of Toxic Substances Control.
- CALFED. 1999. *Watershed Program Plan: Draft Programmatic EIS/EIR Technical Appendix*. CALFED Bay-Delta Program. June 1999.
- CALFED. 2000. *Science Conference 2000: Abstracts*. CALFED.
- CALFED. 2000. *Sources And Magnitudes Of Water Quality Constituents Of Concern In Drinking Water Supplies Taken From The Sacramento - San Joaquin Delta*. Sept 2000. CALFED.
- CALFED. 2000. *Water Quality Program Plan: Final Programmatic EIS/EIR Technical Appendix*. CALFED. July 2000.
- Camp, Dresser, and McKee, Inc. 1996. *1995-96 NPDES Stormwater Monitoring Program*. Report prepared for the City of Stockton Department of Municipal Utilities by Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc./ Camp, Dresser, and McKee, Inc. August 1996.
- CDFG (California Department of Fish and Game). 1998. *Test 132: 96-hour acute Ceriodaphnia dubia test for diazinon*. Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, Elk Grove.
- CDFG (California Department of Fish and Game). 1999. *Identification and Control of Pollution Sources in the Upper Sacramento River*. February 1999.
- CDFG (California Department of Fish and Game). 2000. *Biological Assessment Of Auburn Ravine, Placer County, California*.
- CDHS (California Department of Health Services). 1997. *Health Consultation: McCormick and Baxter Creosoting Company, Stockton, San Joaquin County, California, Cerclis No. CAD009106527*. 15 January 1997.
- CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 1997. *Memo dated 6 June 1997*.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

- CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 1997. *Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the San Joaquin River and Sacramento River Watersheds, Winter 1996-97*. June 1997.
- CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 1998. *SWDB Study 32. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation*. February 1998.
- CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the Sacramento River Watershed*. Memorandum, Winter 1998-1999.
- CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). *Surface Water Monitoring in San Joaquin River Watershed*. 1996-1997.
- CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 2000. *Surface Water Database (CD-Rom)*. 15 July 2000.
- CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). 2001. Memo to CRWQCB-CVR listing web sites. Memorandum. April 2001.
- CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the Sacramento River Watershed*. Memorandum.
- CDWR (California Department of Water Resources). 1998. *Municipal Water Quality Investigation Program. Aquatic Monitoring and Assessment for the Upper Fall River*, Memorandum Report. CDWR. May 1998.
- CDWR (California Department of Water Resources). *Clear Lake Sampling data (core sampling)*. Submitted to CRWQCB-CVR by County of Lake.
- CDWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2000. *Impacts of the South Delta Temporary Barriers Project, Year 2000 Operations on Steelhead, Spring- and Winter-run Chinook Salmon*. January 2000.
- Chen, C. W. and W. Tsai. *Evaluation of Alternatives to Meet the Dissolved Oxygen Objectives of the Lower San Joaquin River*. San Ramon, CA.
- Chen, C. W., and W. Tsai. 2000. *Rough Loading Calculation for Dissolved Oxygen Sinks in Lower San Joaquin River*. CRWQCB-CVR. January 2000.
- Chilcott, J. 2000. *Review of Selenium Concentrations in Wetland Water Supply Channels in the Grassland Watershed*. CRWQCB-CVR. May 2000.
- Chilcott J.E., L.F. Grober, J.L. Eppinger, and A. Ramirez. 1998. *Water Quality of the Lower San Joaquin Rivers: Lander Avenue to Vernalis October 1995 - September 1997 (Water Years 1996-1997)*. CRWQCB-CVR. December 1998.
- Chilcott, J.E., L.F. Grober, J.L. Eppinger, and A. Ramirez. 1998. *Agricultural Drainage Contribution to Water Quality in the Grassland Watershed of Western Merced County, California: October 1995 - September 1997. (Water Years 1996 and 1997)*. CRWQCB-CVR. December 1998.
- Chilcott, J.E., L.F. Grober, A. Vargas, and J.L. Eppinger. 2000. *Agricultural Drainage Contribution to Water Quality in the Grassland Watershed of Western Merced County, California: October 1997 - September 1999*. CRWQCB-CVR. May 2000.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

Chilcott, J.E., L.F. Grober, A. Vargas, and J.L. Eppinger. 2000. *Water Quality of the Lower San Joaquin Rivers: Lander Avenue to Vernalis October 1997 - September 1998*. CRWQCB-CVR. May 2000.

City of Stockton. 2001. *Comments on Recommended Changes to the Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List*. Letter from Lorris L. Allen to Joe Karkoski (CRWQCB-CVR). November 2, 2001.

Clark, S.L., J. Bruns, V. Connor, and Jan. 1998. Metal Concentrations, Loads, and Toxicity Assessment in the Sacramento/San Joaquin Delta: 1993 - 1995. CRWQCB-CVR.

Coate, A.R. 2001. Cover letter to Mr. Karkoski from A.R. Coate. East Bay Municipal Utility District. April 2001.

Colusa County Resource Conservation District. 2000. *Sand and Salt Creek Watershed Project: Final Report*. Colusa County Resource Conservation District. February 2000.

Colusa, City of. 2001. *Powell Slough Water Sample Data, 1993-1997*. City of Colusa. March 2001.

Colusa, City of. 2001. *Report of Waste Discharge Application for the City of Colusa (Submitted to Kyle Erickson, CRWQCB-CVR)*. City of Colusa. March 2001.

Commandatore A.M., Herren, Main, Santillan, Connor, Grovhoug, and Horford. 1998. *1997 Compendium of Water Quality Investigations in the Sacramento River Watershed, Sacramento-SJ Delta, and SF Bay Area*. CDWR.

Connor, V. 1994. *Toxicity and Diazinon Levels Associated with Urban Storm Runoff*. CRWQCB-CVR memorandum. Sacramento, CA. 15 February 1994.

Connor, V. 1994. *Status of Urban Storm Runoff Projects*. CRWQCB-CVR memorandum. Sacramento, CA. 30 January 1994.

Connor, V. *Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos in Urban Creeks and Sloughs*. In prep. CRWQCB-CVR. Sacramento, CA.

Contra Costa Water District. 1997. *Salinity Survey of the Contra Costa Canal, Report to CDHS*. Contra Costa Water District. 30 May 1997.

Contra Costa Water District. 1997. *Your Drinking Water, Annual Water Quality Report 1997*. Contra Costa Water District.

Contra Costa Water District. 1998. *Your Drinking Water, A Report on the Quality of Your Tap Water, Annual Water Quality Report 1998*. Contra Costa Water District.

Contra Costa Water District. 1999. *Your Drinking Water, Annual Water Quality Report 1999*. Contra Costa Water District.

Contra Costa Water District. 2001. *Adverse impacts to CCWD caused by increased salinity and concentrations of organic carbon and other constituents of concern at CCWD's intakes*. Contra Costa Water District. 15 May 2001.

Contra Costa Water District. 2001. *Municipal water quality investigation, independent data at a variety of CCWD locations*. Contra Costa Water District. 15 May 2001.

Cooke, J. and V. Connor. 1998. *Toxicants in Surface Waters of the Sacramento River Watershed*. CRWQCB-CVR. Sacramento, Ca.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

- Cortright, K., L. Deanovic, and D. Hinton. 1998. *Sacramento River Watershed Program Toxicity Testing Results: Quarterly Report October 1997 - December 1997*. Prepared for CRWQCB-CVR by Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis.
- Cortright, K., L. Deanovic, and D. Hinton. 1998. *Sacramento River Watershed Program Toxicity Testing Results: Quarterly Report January - February 1998*. Prepared for CRWQCB-CVR by Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis.
- Coulston, P.J. 1997. *Interagency Ecological Program for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary: 1993 Annual Report*. CDWR
- CRA (California Resources Agency). 1998. *California Watershed Information Technical System – A Project of CERES: Geographic Information by Hydrologic Region, Watershed Data and Information for the lower Feather River Basin*. August 21, 1998 (Copyright © 1997).
- CRMP (Panoche/Silver Creek Coordinated Resource Management Plan) TRC (Technical Review Committee). 1996. *Draft Water Quality Report*. 29 February 1996.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1997. *Upper Fall River Water Quality Monitoring*. CRWQCB-CVR. Summer 1997.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 1997. Memo: Metal Toxicity To EPA Bioassay Species In Water Samples Collected Downstream From Keswick Reservoir (1988 - 93). CRWQCB-CVR. January 1997.
- CRWQCB-CVR (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region). 2000. *Clear Lake TMDL for Mercury Numeric Target Report- Preliminary Draft*. CRWQCB-CVR. August 2000.
- CRWQCB-CVR. 2000. *Selenium TMDL for Grasslands Marshes*. CRWQCB-CVR.
- Davis, J.A., M.D. May, G. Ichikawa, and D. Crane. 1998. *Contaminant Concentrations in Fish from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Lower San Joaquin River, 1998*. San Francisco Estuary Institute. Richmond, CA. September 1998.
- de Vlaming, V., V. Connor, DiGiorgio, Bailey, L. Deanovic, and D. Hinton. 2000. Journal In Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, vol. 19: pp 42-62.
- Deanovic, L. 1997. *Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta bioassay monitoring report. 1994-1995*. Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory. University of California, Davis.
- Deanovic, L. 1998. *Sacramento- San Joaquin Delta Bioassay Monitoring Report 1994-1995*, In Prep. Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory. University of California, Davis.
- Deanovic L, K. Cortright, K. Larsen, E. Reyes, H. Bailey, and D. Hinton. 1998. *Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Bioassay Monitoring Report: 1994-1995, Second Annual Report to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board*. Prepared for CRWQCB-CVR by Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory. University of California, Davis.
- Deanovic L, K. Larson, V. Connor, and D. Hinton. 1998. *Sacramento River Watershed Program Toxicity Testing Results: Quarterly Report January-February 1998*. Prepared for CRWQCB-CVR by Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory. University of California, Davis.
- Deanovic L, K. Cortright, K. Larsen, and E. Reyes, H. Bailey, and D. Hinton. 1998. *Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Bioassay Monitoring Report 1994-1995 (Draft)*. Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

Deanovic L., K. Cortright, K. Larsen, and Da. 1998. *Stockton Fish Kills Associated with Urban Storm Runoff: The Role of Low Dissolved Oxygen (Draft) June 1998*. Prepared for CRWQCB-CVR by Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis.

Deanovic L., D. Hinton, and K. Larsen. 1998. *Sacramento River Watershed Program Toxicity Testing Results: Quarterly Report March - May 1998*. Prepared for CRWQCB-CVR by Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis.

DeltaKeeper. *Appendix F: Summary Statistics for Monitoring Data: SRWP, USGS NAWQA, Sacramento River CMP, and City of Redding*.

DeltaKeeper. 1998. *Pollution Alert, to Louis Pratt, Dairy Discharge- high EC reading*. Delta Keeper. 1-June 1998.

Doleman, W. 2001. Letter to Joe Karkoski (CRWQCB-CVR). ACFWS (A Call For Water Sanity) monitoring. May 2001.

Doleman, W. 2001. Various reports from ACFWS in paper form in response to CRWQCB-CVR request for information. May 2001.

Domagalski, J.L. 1997. *Results of a Prototype Surface Water Network Design for Pesticides Developed for the San Joaquin River Basin, California* in Journal of Hydrology. USGS.

Domagalski, J.L. 1998. *Occurrence of Transport of Total Mercury and Methyl Mercury in the Sacramento River Basin, California* in Journal of Geochemical Exploration. June 1998.

Domagalski, J.L. and L. Brown. 1998. *National Water Quality Assessment Program-The Sacramento River Basin*. USGS.

Domagalski, J.L. and P.D. Dileanis. *Water-Quality Assessment of the Sacramento River Basin, California--Water Quality of Fixes Sites, 1996-1998*. USGS.

Domagalski, J.L., P.D. Dileanis, D.L. Knifong, C.M. Munday, J.T. May, B. J. Dawson, J.L. Shelton, and C.N. Alpers. 2000. *National Water-Quality Assessment: Water-Quality, Sediment and Tissue Chemistry, and Biological Data, 1995-1998*. U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-391. <http://ceres.ca.gov/watershed/geographic/sacramento.html>.

Domagalski, J.L., P.D. Dileanis, D.L. Knifong, C.M. Munday, J.T. May, B.J. Dawson, J.L. Shelton, and C.N. Alpers. 2000. *Water-Quality Assessment of the Sacramento River Basin, Water-Quality, Sediment and Tissue Chemistry and Biological Data, 1995-1998*. USGS - [water.wr.usgs.gov/sac\\_nawqa/waterindex.html](http://water.wr.usgs.gov/sac_nawqa/waterindex.html).

Domagalski, J.L., N.M. Dubrovski, and C.R. Kratzer. 1997. *Pesticides in the San Joaquin River, CA: Inputs from Dormant Sprayed Orchards*. J. of Environ. Quality, 26:454-465.

Domagalski, J.L., D.L. Knifong, P.D. Dileanis, L.R. Brown, J.T. May, V. Connor, and C.N. Alpers. 2000. *Water Quality in the Sacramento River Basin, California, 1994-98*: USGS Circular 1215.

Domagalski, J.L., D.L. Knifong, D.E. MacCoy, P.D. Dileanis, B.J. Dawson, and M.S. Majewski,. 1998. *Water Quality Assessment of the Sacramento River Basin, California - Environmental Setting and Study Design*. USGS.

Dubrovski, N.M., C.R. Kratzer, L. Brown, J. Gronberg, and K. Burow. 1998. *Water Quality in the San Joaquin-Tulare Basins, California, 1992-95*. USGS Circular 1159.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

- Foe, C. 2000. *Progress report for CALFED Task 1A: Methyl mercury budget for the freshwater side of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary*. In *Mid-Project Meeting of the Scientific Review Committee for the CALFED Project: An Assessment of Ecological and Human Health I*. December 2000.
- Foe C., L. Deanovic, and D. Hinton. 1998. *Toxicity Identification Evaluations of Orchard Dormant Spray Storm Runoff*. CRWCB-CVR. December 1998.
- Fox Environmental Management, Archibald & Wallberg. 1997. *Aquatic Toxicity and Pesticides in Surface Waters of the Central Valley: Final Report*. California Urban Water Agencies.
- Friends of Deer Creek. 2001. *Deer Creek Monitoring Program, Dec 2000- April 2001*. Friends of Deer Creek.
- Friends of Deer Creek. 2001. *Letter in response to CRWQCB-CVR request for information*.
- Fuji, Ranalli, Aiken, and Bergamaschi. 1998. *Dissolved Organic Carbon Concentrations and Compositions, and Trihalomethane Formation Potentials in Waters from Agricultural Peat Soils, Sac-SJ Delta, Ca: Implications for Drinking-Water Quality* (Report 98-4147). USGS, CDWR.
- Ganapathy, C. 1999. *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the Sacramento River Watershed, Winter 1997-98*. CDPR. 5 January 1999.
- Ganapathy, C. 1999. *Preliminary results of acute and chronic toxicity testing of surface water monitored in the San Joaquin River watershed, winter 1997-98*. Memorandum to Don Weaver, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management, CDPR, Sacramento. 5 January 1999.
- Ganapathy, C. 1999. *Preliminary results of acute and chronic toxicity testing of surface water monitored in the San Joaquin River watershed, winter 1998-99*. Memorandum to Don Weaver, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management, CDPR, Sacramento. 20 July 1999.
- Ganapathy, C., C. Nordmark, K. Bennett, A. Bradley, H. Feng, J. Hernandez, and J. White. 1997. *Temporal distribution of insecticide residues in four California Rivers*. Report EH97-06. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, CDPR, Sacramento, CA.
- Ganguli, P., R.P. Mason, K.E. Abu-saba, R.S. Anderson, and R. Flegal. 2000. *Mercury speciation in mine drainage from the New Idria Quicksilver Mine, California in Environmental Science and Technology*.
- Goodbred, S.L., R.J. Gilliom, T.S. Gross, N.P. Denslow, W.L. Bryant, and T.R. Schoeb. 1997. *Reconnaissance of 17b-Estradiol, 11-Ketotestosterone, Vitellogenin, and Gonad Histopathology in Common Carp of United States Streams: Potential for Contaminant-Induced Endocrine Disruption*.
- Grassland Bypass Project Oversight Committee. 1998. *Grassland Bypass Project Annual Report*. 12 May 1998.
- Grassland Bypass Project Oversight Committee. 1999. *Project Description and Update*. 1 March 1999.
- Grassland Bypass Project Oversight Committee. 1999. *Grassland Bypass Project*. 1 June 1999.
- Grasslands Basin Steering Committee. 1999. *Rule Enforcing Selenium Load Allocation and Establishing a Tradeable Loads Program for Water Year 1999 DRAFT*. CRWQCB, Grasslands Basin Steering Committee. 6 January 1999.
- Gregorio, D. 2000. *Field Report, Kings River Sampling*. State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Quality.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

Gronberg, J.M., N.M. Dubrovski, Brown, and Burow. 1998. *Environmental Setting of the San Joaquin-Tulare Basins, California*. USGS.

Grover, J. 2000. *Dormant Spray Project Quarterly Report Data Appendix: December 1999 - February 2000*. Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis. June 2000.

Harrington, J.M., P. Ode, A. Montalvo, D. Post, and C. A. *Water Quality Inventory Series: Biological and Physical/Habitat Assessment of California Water Bodies; Russian River Index of Biological Integrity (RRIBI) for First to Third Order Tributary Streams*. May 1999.

Hinton, D.E. 1998. *Multiple Stressors in the Sacramento River Watershed*. Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, UC Davis.

Holmes R., V. de Vlaming, and C. Foe. 2000. Sources And Concentrations Of Diazinon In The Sacramento Watershed During The 1994 Orchard Dormant Spray Season. July 2000. CRWQCB-CVR. Sacramento, CA.

Hunt J.W., B.S. Anderson, B.M. Phillips, and R.S. Tjee. 1999. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment: Patterns of Aquatic Toxicity in an Agriculturally Dominated Coastal Watershed in California*. Institute of Marine Sciences, UC Santa Cruz. Mar, 1999.

IEP. 2000. *IEP Newsletter*, Vol. 13, No. 3.

IEP.2000. *IEP Newsletter*, Vol. 13, No. 4.

Jardini R. and L. Deanovic. 1999. *SRWP Toxicity Monitoring & CALFED Fathead Minnow Mortality And Algae Toxicity Investigation: Sept - Nov 1999*. Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis. November 1999.

Jardini R. and L. Deanovic. 2000. Sacramento River Watershed Program Toxicity Monitoring and CALFED Fathead Minnow Mortality and Algae Toxicity Investigation. Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis. March 2000.

Jones, D. 2000. *Preliminary results of acute and chronic toxicity testing of surface water monitored in the San Joaquin River watershed, winter 1999-00*. Memorandum to Don Weaver, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management, CDPR, Sacramento, Ca.

Jones & Stokes Associates. 2000. *Submission of Stockton Regional Water Control Facility Data Collected for Fall 1999*. January 2000.

Kern County Neighbors for Quality Air, Water and Growth. 2001. *Public comments on water quality information*. Kern County Neighbors for Quality Air, Water and Growth. May 2001.

Kern County Water Agency. *LETTER and DISK with information in response to the CRWQCB-CVR request for information*. Apr-01. Kern County Water Agency.

Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc. 1998. *1997-98 NPDES Stormwater Monitoring Program*. Report prepared for the City of Stockton Department of Municipal Utilities by Kinnetic Laboratories, Inc.. August 1998.

Kratzer, C.R. 1997. *Transport of Diazinon in the San Joaquin River Basin, California*. USGS National Water-Quality Assessment Program, open-file report 97-411.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

Kratzer, C.R. 1998. *Pesticides in storm runoff from agricultural and urban areas in the Tuolumne River basin in the vicinity of Modesto, California*. USGS National Water-Quality Assessment Program, water-resources investigations report 98-4017.

Kratzer, C.R. 1999. *Transport of Sediment-Bound Organochlorine Pesticides to the San Joaquin River, California in Journal of the American Water Resources Association*. USGS. August 1999.

Kratzer, C.R. 1999. *Transport of diazinon in the San Joaquin River Basin, California in Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 35(2): 379-395.

Kratzer, C.R. and J.L. Shelton. 1998. *Water Quality Assessment of the San Joaquin - Tulare Basins, California: Analysis of Availability Data on Nutrients and Suspended Sediment in Surface Water, 1972 - 1990*. USGS.

Lake, County of. 1997. *Scotts Creek Watershed Project (319h)*.

Lake, County of. 1999. *Clear Lake Watershed Analysis (205j)*.

Lake, County of. 1999. *Clear Lake Watershed Assurance (QA) Plan for the Clear Lake Basin Watershed Assessment (205j) Project*.

Lake, County of. *Clear Lake Core Data from DWR Clear Lake Sampling Sites*.

Lake, County of. *Creek Water Quality Samples/Stream Sampling Data for Lake County, Ca*.

Lake, County of. *Methods and Information about the County Clear Lake Database: D:\water\lakedata\lakedata.mdb (Access 97)*.

Lake, County of, and Mendocino National Forest. *Watershed Analysis Report, Upper Lake Watershed*.

Larry Walker Associates. 1997. *Sacramento River Mercury Control Planning Project: Final Project Report*. Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District.

Larry Walker Associates. 1997. *Sacramento River Mercury Control Planning Project*. March 1997.

Larry Walker Associates. 2000. *Sacramento River Watershed Program Annual Monitoring Report: 1998-1999*. 23 June 2000.

Larry Walker Associates. 2000. *Annual Monitoring Report: 1998 - 1999 (Final)*. SRWP, Larry Walker Associates. June 2000.

Larry Walker Associates. 2000. *Sacramento River Coordinated Monitoring Program, 1999-2000 Annual Report*. SRWP, Larry Walker Associates. November 2000.

Larsen, K. 1998. *Sacramento River Watershed Project Toxicity Monitoring Results: 1997-98. Final Report*. Prepared for the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District. November 1998.

Larsen, K. 1998. *Sacramento River Watershed Program Toxicity Testing Results: 1997-1998*. Prepared for CRWQCB-CVR by Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis.

Larsen K. and V. Connor. 1998. *SRWP: Toxicity Monitoring Results: 1996 - 1997*. Prepared for CRWQCB-CVR by Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis.

Larsen K. and L. Deanovic. 1999. *Deltakeeper Project Quarterly Report Data Appendix: March - May 1999*. Prepared for CRWQCB-CVR by Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

Larsen K., L. Deanovic, and D.E. Hinton. 1999. *Cache Creek and Putah Creek Project Quarterly Report Reference Toxicant Data and Data Appendix: January - March 1999*. Prepared for CRWQCB-CVR by Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, University of California, Davis.

Larsen K. and J. Perez. 2000. *Sacramento River Watershed Program Toxicity Testing Data Results Summary: 1998-99*. CRWQCB-CVR. February 2000.

Larsen, S.J., Capal, and M. Majewski. 1997. *Pesticides in Surface Waters: Distribution, Trends, and Governing factors*. Chelsea, Michigan.

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Report and DISK with information, in response to the CRWQCB-CVR request for information.

Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 1997. *Comments on Follow up Sampling and Analysis Guidelines for Fish, Sediment, and Water Sampling from the Putah Creek Adjacent to the Former Laboratory for Energy-Related Health Research, Davis, CA, Draft 2, dated September 17, 1997*. G. Fred Lee & Assoc. October 1997.

Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 1998. *Comments on US Department of Health and Human Services Public Health Service, Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry, Draft Health Consultation, Fish Sampling of Putah Creek (Phase II) for the LEHR National Superfund Site*. G. Fred Lee & Assoc. 16 September 1998.

Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 1998. *Letter to Gary Carlton, Executive Director, CRWQCB-CVR from G. Fred Lee*. G. Fred Lee & Assoc. October 1998.

Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 1999. *Preliminary report: Conclusions from review of the City of Stockton Urban Stormwater Runoff Aquatic Life Toxicity Studies Conducted by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, DeltaKeeper, City of Stockton and UCD Aquatic Toxicology Lab*. G. Fred Lee & Assoc. 4 June 1999.

Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 1999. *To individuals interested in hazardous chemical bioaccumulation in Putah Creek fish* letter. G. Fred Lee & Assoc. April 1999.

Lee, G.F. and A. Jones-Lee. 2000. G. Fred Lee & Assoc. Publications On Drinking Water (Various Studies). G. Fred Lee & Assoc.

Lee, G. F. and A. Jones-Lee. 2001a. *Review of the City of Stockton Urban Stormwater Runoff Aquatic Life Toxicity Studies Conducted by the CVRWQCB, DeltaKeeper and the University of California, Davis, Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory between 1994 and 2000*. Draft report. G. Fred Lee & Associates. El Macero, CA. April 2001.

Litton, G.M. 2000. *Summary Report for: Sediment Oxygen Demand, Sediment Deposition Rates, Biochemical Oxygen Demand Kinetics*. Jan-00. University Of the Pacific, Stockton, CA.

Lucas, R. 2000. *Report of Dissolved Oxygen Steering Committee to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Board*. CRWQCB-CVR. August 2000.

MacCoy, D.E. and J.L. Domagalski. 1999. *Trace Elements and Organic Compounds in Streambed Sediment and Aquatic Biota for the Sacramento River Basin, California, October and November 1995*. National Water-Quality Assessment Program. USGS.

Majewski, M. 2000. *Atmospheric Transport of Pesticides into and from an Urban Environment: Project Update for 1996*. Draft Report to the California Department of Pesticide Regulation. 23 August 2000.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

Malacha Hydro Limited Partnership. 2000. *Much Valley Hydroelectric Project: Water Surface Records, Monthly Summary, Pit River/Afterbay H<sub>2</sub>O quality Averages for 1994-1995 to 1997 to 1998*. Malacha Hydro Limited Partnership. June 1999.

Miller J. and M. Miller. 2000. *Identification Of Diazinon And Chlorpyrifos Toxicity To Ceriodrapnia In Samples Of Surface Water Using Antibody – Mediated Chemical – Specific Removal Processes: VOL. 1 OF 3: Report And Appendacies*. Sept 2000. SWRCB, CalEPA, Aqua – Sciences

Montoya, B.L. 1999. *Water Quality Assessment of the State Water Project, 1996-1997*. CDWR.

Montoya, B.L. 2000. *Water Quality Assessment of the State Water Project, 1998-99*. CDWR.

Municipal Water Quality Investigations Program. 1999. *Compendium of Water Quality Investigations in the Sacramento River Watershed, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, and San Francisco Bay Area*. CDWR. August 1999.

MWD (Municipal Water District). 2000. *MTBE Survey Results (Data and Memo to Mike Paulucci)*. MWD. May 2000.

Nordmark, C. 1997. *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the San Joaquin River Watershed, Winter 1996-97*. CDPR. 6 June 1997.

Nordmark, C. 1998. *Preliminary results of acute and chronic toxicity testing of surface water monitored in the Sacramento River watershed, winter 1997-98*. Memorandum to Don Weaver, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management, CDPR, Sacramento, CA. 31 July 1998.

Nordmark, C. 1999. *Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in the Sacramento River Watershed, Winter 1998-99*. CDPR. 26 May 1999.

Nordmark, C., K.P. Bennett, H. Feng, J. Hernandez, P. Lee. 1998. *Occurrence of Aquatic Toxicity and Dormant-Spray Pesticide Detections in the Sacramento River Watershed, Winter 1996-97*. CDPR. February 1998.

Nordmark C.E., K.P. Bennett, H. Feng, and J. Hernandez. 1999. *Memorandum to Don Weaver, Preliminary Results of Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing of Surface Water Monitored in The Sacramento River Watershed, Winter 1998-99*. CDPR. May 1999.

Novartis Crop Protection, Inc. 1997. *An Ecological Risk Assessment of Diazinon in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins*. Novartis Crop Protection, Inc. Greensboro, NC. Environmental and Public Affairs Department. October 1997.

Oakhurst WWTF. 1999. *WDR Order No. 99-052, Madera County Maintenance District No 22A, Oakhurst WWTF, Madera County*. CRWQCB-CVR.

Poletika, N.N. and C.K. Robb. 1998. *Monitoring Study to Characterize Chlorpyrifos Concentration Patterns and Ecological Risk in an Agriculturally Dominated Tributary of the San Joaquin River*. Dow AgroSciences. November 98.

Poletika, N.N. and C.K. Robb. 1999. *A Monitoring Study to Characterize Chlorpyrifos Concentration Patterns and Ecological Risk in an Agriculturally Dominated Tributary of the San Joaquin River: Part 2*. Dow AgroSciences LLC. December 1999.

Redding, City of. 2001. *Data Set: Ca, Cu, and Zn in Sacramento River (graphs and data)*. City of Redding. 14 May 2001.

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region  
Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act  
Section 303(d) List**

Reuter, J.E. , D.G. Slotton, S. M. Ayers, and C.R. Goldman. 2000. *Mercury Distribution in the Sediment and Biota of Davis Creek and Davis Creek Reservoir – McLaughlin Gold Mine Project, Yolo County, CA.* August 2000.

Reyes E., L. Deanovic, H. Bailey, and D. Hinton. 1994. *Stockton Urban Runoff 10/6/94-12/4/94.* Prepared for the CRWQCB-CVR. Aquatic Toxicity Laboratory, UC Davis.

Reyes E., L. Deanovic, H. Bailey, and D. Hinton. 1994. *Stockton Urban Runoff 1994 Event Report.* Prepared for the CRWQCB-CVR. Aquatic Toxicity Laboratory, UC Davis.

Reyes E., H. Bailey, D. Hinton, V. Connor. 1998. *The Role Of Microorganisms and Zinc In the Ceriodaphnia Mortality Observed in Sacramento River Bioassay* in VM Medicine and Epidemiology.

Ross L., R. Stein, J. Hsu, J. White, and K. Hefner. 2000. *Insecticide Concentrations in the San Joaquin River Watershed, California Summer 1991 and 1992.* C DPR. August 2000.

Roth, J. 1997. *Letter to Gary Carlton from Julie Roth.* DSCSOC. October 1997.

Roth, J. 1998. *Letter to William Taylor, PhD, Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry, from Julie Roth.* DSCSOC. September 1998.

Roth, J. 2000. *Letter to Mr. and Mrs. Corsella from Julie Roth.* DSCSOC. February 2000.

Roth, J. 2001. *Cover letter to Joe Karkoski from Julie Roth.* DSCSOC. April 2001.

Roth, D.A., H.E. Taylor, J. Domagalski, and P. Dileanis. 1998. *Distribution of Inorganic Mercury in Sacramento River Water and Sediment.*

Sacramento, City of. 2000. *Toxicity of Arcade Creek Stormwater to Ceriodaphnia dubia.*

San Joaquin River Exchange, Contractors Water Authority. *Special Issue Exchange Perspective: Unreasonable Water Quality Standards Proposed* (and letter preface).

SFEI (San Francisco Estuary Institute). 1997. *San Francisco Estuary Regional Monitoring Program for Trace Substances: 1996 Annual Report.* San Francisco Estuary Institute, Richmond, CA. December 1997.

SFEI (San Francisco Estuary Institute). 1999. *San Francisco Estuary Regional Monitoring Program for Trace Substances: 1997 Annual Report.* San Francisco Estuary Institute, Richmond, CA. June 1999.

SFEI (San Francisco Estuary Institute). 1999. *Annual Monitoring Report: San Francisco Estuary Regional Monitoring Program for Toxic Substances. Report, Chapter 8.* <http://www.sfei.org/rmp/1997/>

SFEI (San Francisco Estuary Institute). 2000. *Contaminant Concentrations in Fish from the Sac-SJ Delta and Lower SJR, 1998.* September 2000.

SFEI (San Francisco Estuary Institute). *Grassland Bypass Project, monthly monitoring report from October 1996 to February 2001.* <http://www.sfei.org/grassland/reports/gbpdfs.htm>.

SFEP (SF Estuary Project)/CALFED. 2000. *State of the Estuary 2000, Restoration Primer, SF Bay-Sac-SJ Delta Estuary.*

Sierra Nevada Alliance. 2001. *Disk/letter of information in response to CRWQCB-CVR request for information.*

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

SYRCL (South Yuba River Citizens' League). 2001. Letter of recommendations from SYRCL *in response to CRWQCB-CVR request for information*. August 2000.

SYRCL (South Yuba River Citizens' League). *Water Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan (and letter summary)*. August 2000.

State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). 1999. *Consolidated Toxic Hot Spots Cleanup Plan*. Volume II: Regional Cleanup Plans.

Steensen, J. Chilcott, L. Grober, J. Eppinger, and A. Ramirez. 1998. *Compilation of Electrical Conductivity, Boron, and Selenium Water Quality Data for the Grassland Watershed and SJR, May 1985-September 1995*. CRWQCB-CVR. Sacramento, CA. February 1998.

Stockton, City of. 1997. *NPDES, Ambient Water Quality Monitoring Program: Results of sampling Sutter Basin agricultural drain for suspected phenoxy compounds*. City of Stockton, Sutter County Department of Agriculture. Sutter County Department of Agriculture (personal communication). 26 June 1997.

Templin, B. 2000. *Photo-documentation of Ten Mile Creek*, Friends of the South Fork Kings River, April 28, 2000. (<http://www.fix.net/sfkings.com>)

TetraTech, Inc. 1998. *Analysis of Sedimentation and Action Plan Development for the Upper Fall River, Shasta County, California* (for Fall River Resources Conservation District). May 1998.

Thibeau, D. 1997. *Quality Assurance Project Plan (Category III) Clear Lake Basin Watershed Assessment*.

Thomas, C. and T. Maurer. 1999. *Contaminant Concentrations in Water Samples from Selected Sites on the San Joaquine River National Wildlife Refuge*. USFW. November 1999.

Thompson B., R. Hoenicke, J. Davis, and A. Gunther. 2000. *An Overview Of Contaminant-Related Issues Identified by Monitoring in San Francisco Bay*. San Francisco Estuary Regional Monitoring Program.

Tierney D., J. Giddings, L. Hall, **Ke**. *An Ecological Risk Assessment of Diazinon in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins*. Novartis Crop Production, Inc.

Tomko, J.J. and K.M. Russick. 2000. *Evaluation of Effects of Existing Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos Levels Within Arcade Creek in Sacramento, CA*. Report to the Sacramento Area Stormwater Permittees. September 2000.

Truong, P. and S. Dabney. 2000. *Cache Creek Pollution Solution Forum*. Agricultural Research Service of USDA. May 2000.

UCD (University of California, Davis), Division of Environmental Studies. 1997. *Cache Creek Watershed Preliminary Mercury Assessment, Using Benthic Macro-Invertebrates*.

UCD (University of California, Davis). 1999. *Toxicity of urban runoff in Modesto, California*. UCD Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory. May 25, 1999. As presented in CDPR 2000a.

USACOE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) October 1996, *Sanitary Survey of The Hensley Lake Watershed*, Sacramento District.

USACOE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, *Annual Water Quality Report, Lake Monitoring Program*, Sacramento District (Enclosures 1, 2, 3, and 4)(Also accessible on the internet at <http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/cespk-ed/env>).

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region**  
**Draft Staff Report on Recommended Changes to California's Clean Water Act**  
**Section 303(d) List**

USACOE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) 8 May 2001, Armbruester, Edward, Park Manager Hensley Lake. Verbal communication with Jack Yamauchi, CRWQCB-CVR.

USDHHS-ATSDR (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1997. *Health Consultation, Fish Sampling in Putah Creek 1996, Laboratory for Energy-Related Health Research, Davis, California* dated April 4, 1997. Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry. April 1997.

USDHHS-ATSDR (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1998. *Health Consultation, Fish Sampling in Putah Creek 1996, Laboratory for Energy-Related Health Research, Davis, California* dated September 17, 1998. Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry. September 1998.

USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1997. *Chlorpyrifos and Diazinon Contamination of Surface Waters to EPA Region IX*. EPA Office of Standards and Permits. July 1997.

USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1999. *1999 Update of Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia*. Dec, 1999. USEPA Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 1998. *Occurrence and Distribution of Dissolved Pesticides in the San Joaquin River Basin, California*.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 1998. *Water Quality in the San Joaquin-Tulare Basins: California 1992-95*.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 2000. *Pesticides in Surface Water Measured at Select Sites in the Sacramento River Basin, California, 1996-1998*. Water-Resources Investigations Report 00-4203.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 2000. *Metals Transport in the Sacramento River, CA, 1996 - 97, Vol 1: Interpretation of Metals Loads*.

USGS-NAWQA (U.S. Geological Survey, National Water Quality Assessment). *Water-Quality Assessment of the Sacramento River Basin, California: Water-Quality, Sediment and Tissue Chemistry, and Biological Data, 1995-1998, Sacramento River Trace Metals Study*.  
([http://ca.water.usgs.gov/sac\\_nawqa/sacmetals.html](http://ca.water.usgs.gov/sac_nawqa/sacmetals.html)).

vanderVeen, J. *Report of Storm Drain Data Fall/Winter of 99/00*. Friends of Deer Creek.

Vorster, A. 1990. *Water Quality Problems Associated with Operation of Pardee and Camanche Reservoir*. SWRCB. July 1990.

Walker, W.J. 1997. *Notice of Emergency Remediation Measures Preliminary Report*. SECOR International, Inc. January 1997.

William Abbott and Assoc. 1997. *Letter to Greg Vaughn, CRWQCB-CVR, Re: Notice of Emergency Remediation Measures Gwin Mine, Calaveras County, California*. January 1997.

Wyels, W. 1987. *Regional Mercury Assessment*. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region. March 1987.

Yuba City, City of. 2001. *Report Prepared for Water Treatment Plant*. 7 May 2001.