# STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

-

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER			
State Water Resources Control Board	James Nachbaur	james.nachbaur@waterboards.c	(916) 956-9599			
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400       NOTICE FILE NUMBER         Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life       Z						
<b>A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS</b> Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.						
<ol> <li>Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate</li> <li>a. Impacts business and/or employees</li> <li>b. Impacts small businesses</li> <li>c. Impacts jobs or occupations</li> <li>d. Impacts California competitiveness</li> </ol>	whether this regulation:	ad of performance				
If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement. If box in Item 1.h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.						
2. The State Water Resources Control Back (Agency/Department)	oard estimates that the economic impa	ict of this regulation (which includes the	fiscal impact) is:			
Below \$10 million						
Between \$10 and \$25 million						
Between \$25 and \$50 million						
Ver \$50 million [If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]						
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	68					
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpro	<sub>fits):</sub> Urban retail water suppliers	in California. Please see attachm	nent.			
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	0	•				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be create	ed: 0 eliminated:	0				
Explain: The regulation is not expected to cause entry of new suppliers or the exit of existing ones. See attachment.						
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: 🗴 Statewide						
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 18,000 in 2	and eliminated: 0					
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacte	ed: Top industries experiencing in	ncreased employment are archi	tectural, engineerinc			
services; greenhouse, nursery, and floric						
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here? YES X NO						
If YES, explain briefly: The regulation will	I not affect the ability of Californi	a businesses to compete with o	ther states.			
Households and CII customers purchase	water from their local water suppl	er, and they generally do not hav	e a choice			
between their water service supplier and	d an out-of-state enterprise. Please	see attachment.				

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA -- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

ECONOMIC IMPAC	CT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)					
B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the r	ulemaking record.					
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individu	ials may incur to comply with this regulation over i	its lifetime? \$ 13.5 billion				
	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0					
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 7.5 million (year 2025)	Annual ongoing costs: \$ [1.0, 5.0] million	Years: 15				
	Annual ongoing costs: \$ [1.3, 7.7]					
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Most of the e	estimated costs originate from the impl	ementation of residential				
water use efficiency measures and revenues that would be lost by suppliers. Please see attachment.						
	the second states and the se					
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: Urban retail water suppliers would incur 74% of total						
estimated costs, wastewater management agencies 19%	6, residential customers 7%. Please see att	tachment.				
3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual co Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, ar	sts a typical business may incur to comply with the ad other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork m	ese requirements. oust be submitted. \$ 5,000				
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	NO					
If YES, enter the a	nnual dollar cost per housing unit: \$					
	Number of units:					
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	and the second se					
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence or	f Federal regulations: Assembly Bill (AB) 160	68 and Senate Bill (SB)				
606 of 2018 directed the State Water Board to adopt long						
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be	e due to State - Federal differences: \$					
<b>C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS</b> Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is i	not specifically required by rulemaking law, but en	couraged.				
<ol> <li>Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the Most of the benefits that were estimated health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment:</li> </ol>						
originate from reduced water purchases or reduced water prod	duction by the affected suppliers. They also or	iginate from reduced water use by,				
and thus lower water bills for, the residential customers.	Please see attachment.					
2. Are the benefits the result of: Specific statutory requirements, or	goals developed by the agency based on bro	ad statutory authority?				
Explain: AB 1668 and SB 606 of 2018 directed the State \						
		phers. Hease see attachment				
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifeti	me? \$ 16.0 billion					
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business	within the State of California that would result fror	n this regulation: Landscape				
services, production and manufacturing in greenhouse and nurs						
and plumbing fixture manufacturers will experience gro	wth. See attachment					
<b>D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION</b> Include calculations and as specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	ssumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation o	of the dollar value of benefits is not				
<ol> <li>List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives</li> </ol>	swere considered, explain why not. Staff evalu	uated two alternatives, which				
consider different Landscape Efficiency Factors as well as the ir						
The alternatives also consider different CII water volume		, and the second s				
The alternatives also consider unreferit cirwater volume	unconordo, oce attaciment.					

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

**ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)** 

	Leonomie mil Act Statement (Continued)					
<ol> <li>Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:</li> </ol>						
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ 16.0 billion Cost: \$ 13.5 billion					
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 10.5 billion Cost: \$ 9.9 billion					
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ 18.4 billion Cost: \$ 14.9 billion					
3	. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: The main drivers of the estimated impacts of Alternatives 1 and 2					
	are qualitatively the same as the ones of the proposed regulation. Please see attachment.					
4.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? <b>X</b> YES <b>NO</b> Explain: The regulation does not mandate the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribe specific actions or procedures.					
E.	<b>MAJOR REGULATIONS</b> Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.					
	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to					
	submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.					
1.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? 🗷 YES					
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4					
2.	Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:					
	Alternative 1: Less stringent efficiency factors, irrigation status, and CII water volume thresholds. See attachment.					
	Alternative 2: More stringent efficiency factors, irrigation status, and CII water volume thresholds. See attachment.					
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)					
3.	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:					
	Regulation: Total Cost \$ 13.5 billion Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 2,128/acre-foot					
	Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ 9.9 billion Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 2,406/acre-foot					
	Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ 14.9 billion Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 2,075/acre-foot					
4. '	<ul> <li>4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?</li> <li>Image: YES Image: NO</li> <li>If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in</li> </ul>					
	Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.					
5.	Briefly describe the following:					
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State: This regulation would potentially increase investment in the State, but the					
	magnitude of the investment would be negligible compared to California's economy. Please see attachment.					
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: This regulation would potentially increase incentives for innovation,					
	materials, and processes through increased spending on landscape conservation programs. See the attachment.					
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:					
	originate from reduced water purchases by the affected suppliers and households. Please see attachment.					

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.					
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).					
\$					
a. Funding provided in					
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of			
b. Funding will be requested in the Governo	or's Budget Act of				
	Fiscal Year:				
<b>x</b> 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fi (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Ca	iscal Year which are NOT reir Ilifornia Constitution and Sec	nbursable by the State. (Approxim ctions 17500 et seq. of the Governr	ate) nent Code).		
\$ 11.05 billion (2025-2040)					
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable	and provide the appropriate	information:			
a. Implements the Federal mandate containe	ed in				
b. Implements the court mandate set forth b	by the		Court.		
Case of:		VS	······		
c. Implements a mandate of the people of th	is State expressed in their a	oproval of Proposition No.			
Date of Election:					
d. Issued only in response to a specific reque					
Local entity(s) affected:					
$\mathbf{x}$ e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenu	e, etc. from: Supplier ac	justment to rate structure	s to customers. See attachment.		
Authorized by Section: 17556	0	fthe Government	Code;		
$\mathbf{x}$ f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of	of local government which w	vill, at a minimum, offset any additi	onal costs to each;		
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty	v for a new crime or infractio	n contained in			
x 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)					
\$ 1,944/property-year (attachment)					
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation ma	ikes only technical, non-subst	antive or clarifying changes to curre	ent law regulations.		
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not a	ffect any local entity or progr	am.			
<b>x</b> 6. Other. Explain Aggregate local sales tax rever	nues are estimated to incre	ase by almost \$21 million in 202	5, and between \$500,000 and \$3.6 million		
per year in the following years until 2040. Please see attachment.					

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the Fiscal Y	ear
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ 1,944/property-year (Attachment)	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
x 4. Other. Explain State sales tax revenues are estimated to increase by almost \$16	52 million in 2025, and between \$4 million
and \$28 million per year in the following years until 2040. Please see a	attachment.
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1	through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program	m.
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
All and a second	Jun 1, 2023
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instruction he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an highest ranking official in the organization.	ons in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
	June 5, 2023
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require complete	ion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
	DAGE 5