STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER			
State Water Resources Control Board	Melissa Hall	melissa.hall@waterboards.ca.go	(916) 323-0373			
ESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 NOTICE FILE NUMBER evised Total Coliform Rule Regulations Z 2020-						
			Z 2020-			
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and assumptions	in the rulemaking record.				
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:					
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes reporting require					
⋈ b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes prescriptive inste	ead of performance				
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts individuals					
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (Expla	in below):				
	a through g is checked, complete this s checked, complete the Fiscal Impac					
State Water Resources Control	Board					
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the economic imp	act of this regulation (which includes the	fiscal impact) is:			
⊠ Below \$10 million						
Between \$10 and \$25 million						
Between \$25 and \$50 million						
The same the second of the same to the same and the same to the same that the same to the	is over \$50 million, agencies are required to su	hmit a Standardized Regulatory Impact As	ssessment			
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]						
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	7,499					
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	orofits): Public water systems (PWS)	are not small businesses. Gov. C	lode § 11342.610(b)(8			
Enter the number or percentage of total	0					
businesses impacted that are small businesses:	0					
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	ated: Unknown eliminated:	0				
•						
Explain: Businesses that rely on potable drinking water for either their customers, employees, or processes/operations.						
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: X Statewide						
Local or regional (List areas):						
Local of regional (cist aleas).						
6. Enter the number of jobs created: Unknown	and eliminated: 0					
D	lobs for businesses that rely	on notable drinking water for a	oithor thoir			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: Jobs for businesses that rely on potable drinking water for either their customers, employees, or processes/operations. This impact cannot be estimated.						
customers, employees, or processes, operations. This impact curinot be estimated.						
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with						
other states by making it more costly to produc	ce goods or services here? YES	X NO				
If YES, explain briefly:						
· · ·						

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.					
1	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 4,243,000					
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ N/A Annual ongoing costs: \$ N/A Years: N/A					
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$103 per impacted PWS Annual ongoing costs: \$621 Years: 20					
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0.03 (see b.) Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0.16 (see b.) Years: 20					
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Costs apply to impacted PWS and to the individuals served by them. Regulation is					
	on-going; 20 years is used as projection. Cost savings are not captured in the responses.					
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: Impacted industries include all served by impacted PWS.					
	For other businesses that rely on PWS for their stakeholders or processes/operations, impact cannot be estimated.					
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$27.87 (Avg.)					
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES NO					
	If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$					
	Number of units:					
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations? X YES NO					
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: State regulations improve the federal RTCR					
	through more effective monitoring for microbial contamination in groundwater sources and the distribution system.					
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ 0					
c.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.					
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: The proposed regulation applies to public water systems and increases public health protection through the reduction of potential fecal contamination into water					
	distribution systems, and monitoring for the presence of microbial contamination.					
2.	Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requirements, or 😾 goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?					
	Explain: Authority by: HSC 116270(f) and (h), 116365(b), 116375; clarity and efficiency in regulating are goals					
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ Non-quantifiable					
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: Businesses providing laboratory analytical services or various types of sample delivery service may experience increased demand.					
	The extent of possible expansion of businesses cannot be predicted.					
_						
D	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.					
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: The State Water Board considered the					
33	alternative of adoption only the federal Revised Total Coliform Rule without the additional state-only requirements.					

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2. Su	mmarize the t	total statewide cos	sts and benefits fr	om this regula	tion and each alt	ernative considered	d:			
R	egulation:	Benefit: \$ Nor	n-quantifiabl	Cost: \$ 4,24	3,000	-				
А	Iternative 1:	Benefit: \$ Nor	n-quantifiabl	Cost: \$ 0		-				
А	lternative 2:	Benefit: \$	N/A	Cost: \$ N/A	ii M	_				
		ny quantification is osts and benefits				ative represents	s curre	ntly impler	mented federal I	RTCR
r	egulations	. The propose	ed regulation	adds a one	e-time cost of	\$63,000 and \$2	209,00	0/annually	v. (Projected 20	-year cost)
re ac	gulation man tions or proce	v requires agencie Idates the use of s edures. Were perf	specific technolo ormance standa	gies or equipn rds considered	nent, or prescrib I to lower compl	es specific iance costs? 🔀 \	YES	□ NO		
E. M.	AJOR REGUI	LATIONS Include	calculations and	d assumptions	in the rulemaki	ng record.				
				_) boards, offices de section 57005,				
1. W	ill the estimate	ed costs of this reg	gulation to Califor	rnia business e	nterprises excee	d \$10 million?	YES	X NO		
				If	YES, complete If NO, skip					
2. Br	iefly describe	each alternative, o	or combination of	falternatives, f	or which a cost-e	ffectiveness analysis	s was pe	rformed:		
Α	lternative 1: _									
Α	lternative 2: _									
(A	ttach addition	al pages for other o	alternatives)							
э г	artha ragulati	ion and each alter	rnativo lust doscri	had antartha	actimated total	ost and overall cost	t offoctiv	anacc ratio		
						ratio: \$				
		Fotal Cost \$				ratio: \$				
	Iternative 2: T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ratio: \$	- //			
		10 111 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	350 360							es in California
4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?										
	YES [NO								
		are required to sub ode Section 11346.3		197		(SRIA) as specified in of Reasons.	1			
5. Br	riefly describe	the following:					<u>.</u>	• %	71.	ar.
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The proposed regulations are not expected to cause an increase or decrease									
C	of investments in the State. Any changes would be negligible.									
Т	he incentive fo	or innovation in p	roducts, materials	or processes:	The propo	osed regulation	ns are r	ot expecte	ed to create inc	entive for
					Any changes	would be negli	igible.			
						h, safety, and welfar ny other benefits ide			: Improves the	e clarity of
t	he regulati	ion and benef	its the health	, safety, an	d welfare of	California reside	ents.			

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.						
1. Additional expenditures in the current State (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the		able by the State. (Approximate) tions 17500 et seq. of the Government Code	≘).			
\$						
a. Funding provided in						
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	-			
b. Funding will be requested in the Gove	ernor's Budget Act of					
	Fiscal Year:					
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).						
\$ 175,000	_					
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimburse	able and provide the appropriate in	nformation:				
a. Implements the Federal mandate con	tained in		<u> </u>			
b. Implements the court mandate set for	rth by the		Court.			
Case of:		vs				
c. Implements a mandate of the people	of this State expressed in their ap	oproval of Proposition No.				
Date of Election:						
d. Issued only in response to a specific re	equest from affected local entity((s).				
Local entity(s) affected:						
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, re	venue, etc. from: Public wate	er systems can pass on the costs to	o their customers.			
Authorized by Section:	0	f the	Code;			
f. Provides for savings to each affected of	unit of local government which v	vill, at a minimum, offset any additional cos	ts to each;			
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the pe	enalty for a new crime or infraction	on contained in				
X 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)						
\$ 33,500						
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.						
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.						
6. Other. Explain						

BAGE 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assequent Fiscal Years.	sumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ <u>12,300</u>	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
⋈ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ <u>4,500</u>	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain For response 1., a one-time cost of \$2,300 has been included. This cost on	ly applies to the first fiscal year;
subsequent 2 years will be \$10,000. Savings is not impacted.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attaimpact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ch calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain This regulation is not expected to impact any federal funding of any State a	gency or program, either in the
current year, or in the two subsequent Fiscal Years.	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
Ryan M. Wilson Digitally signed by Ryan M. Wilson Date: 2021.03.24 15:24:00 -07'00'	
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM secti the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Jared Blumenfeld Digitally signed by Jared Blumenfeld Date: 2021.03.26 14:54:00 -07'00'	
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im,	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE 4/9/21