



April 14, 2024

Via Email

State Water Resources Control Board 1001 | St. Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: 2024 Cooperative Solution - H&H Land and Livestock Company LLC

To Deputy Director:

7025

As authorized by 23 CCR §§ 875(f)(4)(D) (the "Regulation"), the H&H Land and Livestock Company LLC ("H&H") is providing this letter to describe its proposed local cooperative solutions ("LCS") for the 2024 irrigation season for agricultural real property owned and operated by the H&H and located at APN's (collectively, the "H&H Property").

LCS Proposal

In 2022, an LCS (the "2022 LCS") was previously submitted by H&H and approved by the State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB"). The fields, irrigation methods, crops and farming practices described in the 2022 LCS all remain unchanged. As described in the 2022 LCS, the H&H utilizes three general irrigation practices.

- Center Pivots with LEPA Systems (as described and approved in the 2022 LCS). 230
 Acres (the "Pivot Fields").
- 2. Wheelines (as described and approved in the 2022 LCS). 25 Acres (the "Wheelline Field")
- Corners (as described and approved in the 2022 LCS). 65 acres. Irrigated with a combination of K-Line, big guns and handline (the "Corners)

The H&H proposes that the Pivot Fields be subject to a Best Management Practice ("BMP") LCS and the Wheelline Field and the Corners be each subject to separate individual Percent Reduction LCSs (i.e. three separate LCS's). However, in the event the Department of Water Resources ("DWR") May snow water equivalent measurements are less than 80% and additional limits on irrigation are triggered based on said measurement, then the H&H reserves the right, at its discretion by written notice to the Coordinating Entity, to (i) convert the BMP LCS for the Pivot Fields immediately to a percent reduction LCS and (ii) merge the revised Pivot Field LCS with the Wheeline LCS and/or the Corners LCS (an "LCS Merger").1

In the event of an LCS Merger or in the event of termination by the H&H of any LCS, the H&H will reduce its irrigation hours and amend its irrigation schedule (a water savings strategy previously approved by SWRCB in the 2022 LCS) to achieve the required percentage reduction requirements under the Regulation, all to the reasonable satisfaction of the Goordinating Entity.

Baseline Irrigation Amounts

As the H&H and others discovered in 2022, reducing irrigation levels below certain critical levels causes permanent damage to perennial pasture crops and therefore an existential economic threat to our operations. In addition to direct pasture damage, under-irrigating pasture causes us to use significantly more herbicide to control the weeds (including noxious weeds) that overtake stressed pasture grasess, as well as use more diesel for unnecessary tilling, replanting and other operations. The proposed baseline irrigation level for pasture in the Regulation of 30 inches applied water per year is simply not tenable. It is 25% below the evapotranspiration rate ("ET") for healthy pasture in Scott Valley and does not consider reasonable losses attributable to irrigation efficiency. The H&H proposes 46 inches for the pasture in the Pivot Fields and 49.5 inches for pasture in the Corners and Wheeline Field as described in the attached support.

Meters

The H&H has purchased flow meters and is awaiting delivery. Model numbers and specifications can be found at https://www.technoflo.com/seametrics-ag90-irrigation-solution
The H&H will endeavor to install all meters prior to June 1, 2024 and will report data, all in accordance with the Regulation.

Coordinating Entity

Siskiyou Resource Conservation District (binding agreement pending).

Conclusion

Please note that this proposal is offered in good faith in connection with the 2024 irrigation season only. All rights, claims and defenses with regard to the matters described herein are

¹ The LCS program was originally advertised as a program to provide more <u>certainty</u> to irrigators to make critical financial decisions with regard to planting, stocking and other operational matters. There is no certainty in a Regulation that requires LCS application submission on April 15 but uses later snow measurements to trigger additional later season limits on irrigation under a BMP LCS. The potential later season irrigation limits have a material impact on whether a BMP LCS is the right choice for any irrigator.

hereby expressly reserved. Moreover, and as this plan is offered voluntarily (without any current legal obligation to undertake the matters described herein), should any governmental or NGO funds later become available for any forbearance or improvement efforts to which the H&H Land and Livestock Co LLC would otherwise be entitled, nothing herein shall be construed to limit the availability of such funds to the H&H Land and Livestock Co LLC provided that we materially perform the 2024 undertakings described herein.

Please timely advise as to your decision on the acceptability of this plan in lieu of regulatory curtailment as contemplated by 23 CCR §§ 875 and thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions.

Regards,

The H&H Land and Livestock Company LLC

Brian Heffernan, Manager

Calculating Baseline Irrigation Application Amounts for Scott Valley Irrigated Pasture

Scott Valley Agriculture Water Alliance

4/13/24

Sources:

- California Water Exchange Center. Department of Water Resources. Monthly average precipitation at Fort Jones, CA. <u>Dam Profile for (ca.gov)</u>
- Orloff, S. et al. UC Cooperative Extension Siskiyou County and LAWR UC Davis. Alfalfa Water Use in the Scott Valley: Resolving the Discrepancy Between Theory and Practice.
- 3. University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources. <u>Drought Tip: Field Irrigation Water Management in a Nutshell</u>. September 2019.
- 4. Zaccaria, Daniele, PhD. Agriculture Water Management Specialist, UC Davis. Personal communication, 4/12/24.

Overview: Approximate irrigation baselines for Scott Valley irrigated pasture can be determined based on three factors:

- The evapotranspiration (ET) of pasture (how much water the plants use) during growing season.
- 2. Irrigation application efficiency rates for different irrigation systems.
- Rainfall occurring during the growing season (and resulting infiltrated rainfall into the crop root zone).

Approximate baseline for water application can be determined by dividing crop ET by the application efficiency rate, then subtracting 75 percent of the total rain that occurred during the growing season (Zaccaria, personal communication).

Establishing Pasture evapotranspiration (ET): Pasture ET was determined in 8 fields across 4 years in the Scott and Shasta valleys by Orloff et al. (2007-2010). See Figure 1 below. Because "Reference ET" (far right column) is a determination of well-watered, unstressed, irrigated grass pasture, it can be used synonymously with "pasture ET." The average cumulative pasture ET for Scott and Shasta was on average 40 inches for the growing season over the course of the study period. This is the amount of water the irrigated grass pasture used during the growing season under well-watered, non-stressed conditions.

Region	Site	Year	Age of	Seasonal ET (inches)	Reference ET (inches)
-	EN	2007	2	39.6	44
	EN	2008	3	32.8	42.6
-	EN	2009	4	33.8	40.4
1 - 1 - 1	FI	2009	5	36.1	37.4
	SH	2009	4	38.8	40.4
Scott	AP	2010	5	37.3	37.4
Valley/Shasta	Fl	2010	2	34.7	37.4
Valley	FA	2010	6	38.8	41.1
				Ave: 36.5	Ave. 40.1

Figure 1. Orloff et al recordings of Alfalfa ET and Reference grass ET (ETo) for Scott and Shasta valleys at 8 sites between 2007-2010.

Establishing application efficiency: The UC Davis Drought Tips Fact Sheet titled "Irrigation water management in a nutshell" outlines application efficiency rates for various irrigation systems. See Figure 2 below. Efficiencies range from 90 percent (LEPA pivot systems) to 45 percent (furrow irrigation). "Side-roll" refers to "wheel line" systems.

Box 1 - Application Efficiency

Some extra water must be added to the soil in addition to the amount needed to adequately replenish water used by the crop since the last irrigation or rainfall. Such extra water is required to

compensate for losses from the irrigation systems that occur through deep percolation, surface runoff, evaporation, wind-drift, and nonuniform water application. Because of losses occuring during irrigation application, application efficiency is always less than 100 percent.

Application efficiency is defined as the ratio of water beneficially used by the crop to the total water applied, where "beneficial use" includes water used for crop evapotranspiration, frost protection, salt leaching, canopy cooling, etc. Application efficiency provides an indication of how well an irrigation system performs its objective of applying water in adequate amounts and uniformly throughout the field, and allowing it to be stored in the crop root zone to meet the crop water requirements. No irrigation system can achieve 100% application efficiency, but adequate system design, regular maintenance, and careful irrigation management can minimize water losses. thus increasing the relative portion of applied water that is beneficially used by plants. Some irrigation methods perform relatively better than others in terms of the water application rate matching the soil intake rate and for the evenness with which water is distributed throughout the field (distribution uniformity). Table 3 shows potential values of application efficiency for properly-designed and well-managed irrigation systems.

Table 3. Ranges of potential application efficiency (Eff_A) of well-designed and well-managed irrigation systems

Irrigation method/system	Potential Eff _A (%)
Sprinkler	
LEPA	80-90
linear move	75-85
center pivot	75-90
traveling gun	65–75
side-roll	65–85
hand-move	65-85
solid-set	70-85
Surface	
furrow (conventional)	45-65
furrow (surge)	55-75
furrow (with tailwater reuse)	60-80
basin	60-75
precision level basin	6580
Microirrigation	
bubbler (low head)	80-90
microspray	85-90
micropoint source	8590
microline source	85-90
surface drip	8595
subsurface drip	90-95
Source: Adapted from Howell 20	003.

Figure 2. Application efficiency rates as found in UC-ANR Drought Tips Fact Sheet published in 2019.

Establishing total water needs of pasture: The equation for calculating total water needs during the growing season is: pasture ET / application efficiency, minus 75 percent of total rainfall (not all rain will percolate into the soil; some will run off. This is referred to as "Effective Rainfall") (Zaccaria, personal communication, 4/12/24).

Establishing effective rainfall for Scott Valley during growing season: According to California Data Exchange Center, average rainfall occurring during the growing season is 5.33 inches. Effective Rainfall is generally calculated as 75% of total rainfall. Thus: $5.33 \times .75 = 4$ inches.

Calculating applied water needs for pasture: ET / application efficiency rate, minus Effective Rainfall).

Scenario 1: pasture irrigated by wheel line sprinkler system.

Crop ET: 40 inches

Application efficiency rate: 75%

Total water need for growing season: 53.5 inches (40/0.75)

Effective Rainfall to subtract: 4 inches

Total irrigation water needed for growing season: 53.5 - 4 = 49.5 inches

Scenario 2: pasture irrigated by center pivot sprinkler system.

Crop ET: 40 inches

Application efficiency rate: 80%

Total water need for growing season: 50 inches (40/0.80)

Effective Rainfall to subtract: 4 inches

Total irrigation water needed for growing season: 50 - 4 = 46 inches

Scenario 3: pasture irrigated by flood irrigation (basin irrigation)*

Crop ET: 40 inches

Application efficiency rate: 55 %

Total water need for growing season: 73 inches (40/0.55)

Effective Rainfall to subtract: 4 inches

Total irrigation water needed for growing season: 73 – 4 = 69 inches

*Note that flood irrigation often applies more water, but has no wind drift and can have low evaporation loss. If runoff rates are low, then a high percentage of water unused as ET will percolate back into the water table.

Scenario 4: pasture corners irrigated by K-line or traveling gun.

Crop ET: 40 inches

Application efficiency rate: 75%

Total water need for growing season: 53.5 inches (40/0.75)

Effective Rainfall to subtract: 4 inches

Total irrigation water needed for growing season: 53.5 - 4 = 49.5 inches



Application Form for 2024 Local Cooperative Solution for Overlying or Adjudicated Groundwater Rights in Scott River and Shasta River Watersheds

Please complete this form if you plan to implement a groundwater local cooperative solution (LCS) for the 2024 irrigation season under the Scott River and Shasta River watersheds <u>emergency regulation</u>. A separate application should be submitted for each type of groundwater LCS proposal. The form and attachments are due by April 15, 2024.

How to Submit: To submit your application and associated required materials (see Section 2) you can:

- Use the online form
- Email: DWR-ScottShastaDrought@waterboards.ca.gov
- Mail

State Water Resources Control Board Division of Water Rights - Instream Flows Unit 1 1001 | Street - 14th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

Section 1: Applicant Information

Name	Brian Heffernan
Name of Farm, Ranch, or Business	H&H Land and Livestock Co LLC
Phone Number	
Email Address	796

By typing or signing your name below and submitting this form to the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) you hereby certify that the submitted information is true and correct to the best of your knowledge.

			2	
Name: E	Brian Heffernan	Date:	4/14/	24
-				

Section 2: Application Checklist

Below is a list of items to include with your application form:

- Application Form (paper or email submittal accepted).
- If working with a Coordinating Entity (Section 4 of application), submit a signed Binding Agreement (paper or email submittal accepted).
- Supporting Information (electronic submittal only). Submit the applicable information based on selected groundwater LCS.
 - Best Management Practices Groundwater LCS (see Section 7 of application)
 - Description of how you will implement of all required components.
 - Map(s) with each well and field labeled.
 - o Graduated Groundwater Cessation Schedule LCS (see Section 8 of application)
 - Description of how you will reduce irrigation compared to standard practices on the property (e.g., practice in a similar unregulated year).
 - Map(s) designating the area where diversions will cease by the required dates and well location(s).
 - Percent Reduction Groundwater LCS (see Section 9 of application)
 - Description of verifiable water reduction actions that will be implemented.
 - Spreadsheet with monthly pumping volumes for baseline year and current year. Use one row per irrigation method per field.
 - Map(s) with each well and field labeled.
- A description of metering (Section 6 of application) in place for groundwater well extractions and an agreement to record such extractions daily and report monthly to your Coordinating Entity and/or State Water Board.
- Groundwater Well Information (see Section 5 of application) (paper or email submittal accepted).
- List of Fields, Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs), and Water Rights (see Section 10 of application) (paper or email submittal).

Section 3: Requirements for All Groundwater LCS Proposals

- Deadline: Proposals must be submitted to the State Water Board by April 15, 2024.
- Implementation: Proposals must be implemented during the entirety of the irrigation season (including prior to approval), unless the applicant withdraws the application.
- Metering: Proposals must include a description of metering that will be used to
 measure groundwater well extractions and information on how extractions will be
 recorded daily and reported monthly to the Deputy Director or Coordinating Entity, as
 applicable. Please note the Coordinating Entity is required to provide this data to the
 State Water Board.
 - <u>Funding for Meters</u>: The State Water Board has funding and technical support available for some amount of metering and those interested in such assistance should promptly contact State Water Board staff using the "Contact Information" at the end of this application.
 - <u>Time Schedule for Metering</u>: If a meter is not currently installed and may not be installed prior to the start of the irrigation season, the applicant must provide information that substantiates the applicant's efforts and actions taken to get a meter installed, and a timeline for meter installation.
 - <u>Waivers</u>: Proposals may include information requesting waiver of the metering provisions in the following instances:
 - Groundwater wells that irrigate less than 30 acres. Information supporting the request to waive metering provisions must be provided, including distance of the groundwater well to surface water. The State Water Board may require other information in lieu of monitoring.
 - Metering is not feasible. Substantiation for the infeasibility of installing a meter must be provided.

Section 4: Coordinating Entity

Select only one (1) box below. Please note to Coordinating Entity is not selected, parties we provide metering data and ensure performant For more information on Coordinating Entity emergency regulation.	vill wor nce of	k directly with the State Water Board to the groundwater local cooperative solution.
California Department of Fish & Wildlife Contact: Crystal Robinson (530) 340-0767 crystal.robinson@wildlife.ca.gov		Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District Contact: Rod Dowse (530) 598-1253 rdowse@svrcd.org
Siskiyou Resource Conservation District Contact: Evan Senf (530) 643-1585 evan@siskiyourcd.com		Scott River Water Trust Contact: Chris Voigt (916) 396-0131 chrisb.voigt@gmail.com
		I select not to work with a coordinating entity.

Section 5: Groundwater Well Information

Complete the table below or upload an attachment for groundwater wells that are part of the proposed groundwater LCS.

Previosuly provided in 2022 LCS

For assistance in finding well coordinates, you can use Google Maps (www.google.com/maps).

Upload Well Information

Section 6: Metering Information

Please describe the metering for all groundwater wells covered by this groundwater LCS. Fill in the box below, upload an attachment, or email a document or spreadsheet with this information.

Include a description of all water uses associated with each groundwater well that is part of this groundwater LCS.
For example, "the ranch manager will log meter readings at Well 1 and Well 2 and take a picture of the meters each week. They will note what the water is being used for - Well 1 will irrigate 50 acres of grain on fields A and B, 100 acres of pasture on fields E, G, and Z, and Well 2 will irrigate 75 acres of alfalfa on field Y. The manager will send the logs and photos to the Water Board around the first of each month."
Will be logged daily by employeees and reported in accordance with the regulation
For groundwater wells that are NOT currently metered, please describe the time schedule and plan to install meters and efforts to obtain a meter before the nitiation of groundwater diversions covered by this groundwater LCS. If you want to file for a waiver to the metering requirement please use the box below and include nformation on why metering of your well(s) should be waived. Be sure to include total rrigated acres, distance of the well(s) from surface water, description of why metering is nfeasible, if applicable, and any additional information that supports your waiver request.
See attached letter.
Upload Attachment
Select the type of groundwater LCS you are applying for and complete the corresponding sections of the application.
Best Management Practices Groundwater LCS - Complete sections 7 and 10
raduated Groundwater Cessation Schedule LCS - Complete sections 8 and 10
Percent Reduction Groundwater LCS - Complete sections 9 and 10

Section 7: Best Management Practices Groundwater LCS

- 1. Provide the total amount of all irrigated acreage (with units) covered under your proposal for a Best Management Practices Groundwater LCS: 230
- 2. Upload an attachment, write in the box, and/or email a description of the irrigation system that will be used under this proposal, specifying details of your low-energy precision application system, soil moisture sensors, and any corners that will be irrigated. (Refer to Section 875(f)(4)(D)(vii) of the emergency regulation.)

see 2022 LCS	

3. Provide a map(s) of each field with labels for well(s). type of best management practice, and field crop type. Upload as an attachment or email.

Upload Map(s)

4. Certify the following by initialing or checking each box:

a. I certify the use of a low-energy precision application (LEPA) system on all irrigated acreage covered under this groundwater LCS.

I certify to not use end guns for irrigation for the duration of the season.

I certify to cease irrigation of corners after June 15, 2024.

d. I certify to use soil moisture sensors to inform irrigation timing, and maintenance of such records, which I will make available for inspection by the Coordinating Entity, if applicable, and/or the State Water Board.

I certify that I will further limit irrigation based on water year, in the event of the hydrologic condition noted in i or ii below. If this requirement is triggered, the State Water Board will inform all Best Management Practices Groundwater LCS applicants for the applicable watershed(s). Please note. a yes certification is required for a Groundwater Best Management Practices LCS to be accepted.

- i. Scott River Watershed: Snow pack of 80% or less of the Department of Water Resources California Data Exchange Center's first May snow water equivalent station average (or the average of the first April measurement if May snow pack measurements are not gathered) in Scott River watershed.
- ii. Shasta River watershed: A water year determination of dry or very dry in the Shasta River watershed, as determined under Table 2 of the March 2021 Montague Water Conservation District water operation plan.

Section 8: Graduated Groundwater Cessation Schedule LCS

A Graduated Groundwater Cessation Schedule LCS may be approved if the applicant provides evidence that irrigated acreage is reduced compared to standard practice on the property (e.g., practice in a similar unregulated year). If applicable, please take crop rotation and number of alfalfa cuttings into account. Under this groundwater LCS type, the applicant must select one of two potential irrigation schedules, listed below. See section 875(f)(4)(D)(vi) of the emergency regulation.

1.	Provide the total amount of irrigated acreage (with units) under your proposal for a Graduated Groundwater Cessation Schedule LCS:
2.	Select the irrigation schedule you certify to implement.
	 btion 1: By the dates below, pumping to irrigate the following percentages of gated acres shall cease: 15% by July 15, 50% by August 15, and 90% by August 31, with a maximum of 8 inches of water to be applied to the remaining 10% of irrigated acres during the remainder of the irrigation season. This 10% can be on land previously fallowed.
	 Option 2: By the dates below, pumping to irrigate the following percentages of irrigated acres shall cease: 20% by July 20, 50% by August 20, and 95% by September 5, with a maximum of 6 inches of water to be applied to the remaining 5% of irrigated acres during the remainder of the irrigation season. This 5% can be on land previously fallowed.
de pra	Please upload an attachment, write in the box, or email a description that monstrates that the proposal reduces irrigation as compared to standard actices on the property (e.g., practice in a similar unregulated year). If applicable, ease take crop rotation and number of alfalfa cuttings into account.
	Upload Attachment

Upload Map(s)

5. Please upload or email a map(s) that identifies which well(s) and field(s) are

associated with each cessation date covered by this groundwater LCS.

Section 9: Percent Reduction Groundwater LCS

The applicable percent reduction in groundwater pumping noted below must be demonstrated for the Percent Reduction Groundwater LCS consistent with section 875(f) (4)(D)(v) of the <u>emergency regulation</u>, and summarized below.

- Scott River Watershed: A net groundwater pumping reduction of 30% throughout the irrigation season (April 1 – October 31) and a monthly reduction of 30% between July 1 through October 31.
- Shasta River Watershed: A net groundwater pumping reduction of 15% throughout the irrigation season (March 1 November 1) and a monthly reduction of 15% between June 1 through September 30.

 The relevant water use reduction shall be based on a comparison to a baseline irrigation season (i.e., 2020, 2021, 2022, or 2023).

 BUT, if the previous year baseline is higher than the following applied water rates:

- 33 inches per year for alfalfa,
- > 14 inches per year for grain, or
- > 30 inches per year for pasture

Then the above values shall be used as the baseline UNLESS the applicant provides sufficient additional information supporting an alternative baseline.

Please provide the total amount of irrigated acreage (with units) under your proposal for a Percent Reduction Groundwater LCS.

 If you are proposing a Percent Reduction Groundwater LCS, attach or email the following files to the State Water Board and your Coordinating Entity.

 A description of practices that reduces groundwater pumping and how the State Water Board (or Coordinating Entity, if applicable) can verify those actions.

see 2022 LCS			

Upload Attachment

b. A spreadsheet with monthly pumping volumes for the selected baseline year and current year. Use one row per irrigation method per field.

Upload Baseline Pumping

c. Map(s) with each field labelled.

Upload Map(s)

Section 10: List of Fields, APNs, and Water Rights

List the fields associated with this groundwater LCS application, if each property is owned or leased, and the assessor's parcel number (APN) that contains each field. If a field is on multiple parcels, provide the APN that contains the majority of the field. Alternatively, you may also electronically submit a document or spreadsheet with this information. Each field can only have **one** (1) type of groundwater LCS associated with it.

Irrigated Field Name(s) or Number(s)	Is the parcel owned or leased?	Assessor Parcel Number(s)	Water Right(s)	Groundwater LCS Type
See 2022 LCS				

Upload Attachment

Submission of Groundwater LCS Proposal to State Water Board

A groundwater LCS may require the applicant to attach or email additional information, such as descriptions, spreadsheets, maps, or other relevant information. State Water Board staff request descriptions be submitted as Microsoft Word (.docx, .doc) or Adobe PDF (.pdf) files as these file formats are easiest for staff to work with applicants to review and revise, if needed. For the same reasons, staff request that applicants submit spreadsheets as Microsoft Excel files (.xlsx, .xls).

Submitting documents in other formats, such as photographs of narratives or narratives via traditional mail may lengthen the review process. If you need assistance, please contact your Coordinating Entity (see Section 4) or State Water Board staff identified in the Contact Information section below.

To submit your application with all required materials (see Section 2), you can:

- Use the online form
- Submit
- Email DWR- ScottShastaDrought@Waterboards.ca.gov
- Mail

State Water Resources Control Board Division of Water Rights - Instream Flows Unit 1001 I Street - 14th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

Contact Information for State Water Board Staff

Kevin DeLano

Phone: (916) 319-0631

Email: Kevin.DeLano@waterboards.ca.gov

Shahab Araghinejad

Phone: (916) 319-0975

Email: shahab.araghinejad@waterboards.ca.gov

· Division of Water Rights - Scott-Shasta Phone Line and Email

Phone: (916) 327-3113

Email: ScottShastaDrought@waterboards.ca.gov

What's Next?

State Water Board staff will review each groundwater LCS application. If staff identify errors, a need for additional information, or changes that need to be made, they will contact the applicant. Once staff determine the application is substantially complete, it will be posted as pending on the State Water Board's <u>Local Cooperative website</u> for the Scott River and Shasta River watersheds emergency regulation.

SUMMARY
Total impated Sessonal Pasture Acreage
Pivot Acreage
Comer Acreage
Whrelline Acreage

	8817	268 1	103 1
Baseline Total (AF)	3.8	41	4.1
Baseine (A⊏)			
Baseline (Inches)	46	49.5	49.5
No.	230	65	25

Total Comers Acres		65.00		
Corners % Total Acres		20.31%		
Corners Seasonal shift from 24 hour sets to 16 hour sets - savings $\%$		33.33%		
Corners July-October		0.00%		
Corners Total Annual (AF) April-October Corners Monthly Average (AF) April-October		268.125 38.30		
	Corners AF (baseline)	2024 Corners Reduction AF	-	Total Corners Reduction %
April		19.15	6.38	33.33%
May		28.73	9.57	33.33%
June		38.30	12.77	33.33%
July		52.67	17.55	33.33%
August		62.24	20.75	33.33%
September		47.88	15.96	33.33%
October		19.15	6.38	33.33%
		268.125	89.37	

WHEELLINE Total Wheelline Acres Wheelline % Total Acres	25	25.00 7.81%		
Wheelline Savings (%) (reduce daily irrigation time from 24 to 16 hrs - (i.e. 2×8 hour sets))	33.30%	%0		
WheellineTotal Annual (AF) April-October Wheelline Monthly Average (AF) April-October	103.125 14.73	03.125 14.73		
Wheelline AF (baseline)	(baseline)	2024 Wheelline AF Reduction	Wheelline % Reduction	
April	7	7.37	2.45 33.30%	%0
May		.05	3.68	%0
June	4	14.73	4.91	%0
July	20	.26	6.75 33.30%	%0
August	23	23.94	7.97	%0
September	18	18.42	6.13	%0
October	7	7.37	2.45 33.30%	%0
	103.125	25	34.34	



P.O. Box 591 " Etna, CA 96027 530-643-2395 scottwatertrust@gmail.com

Month, Day, Year

Binding Agreement

Contractor Contact Information:

Business:	Scott River Water Trust	
Contact Person:	Chris Voigt	
Address:	9933 South State Highway 3, Callahan CA	
Phone:	(916) 396-0131	
Email:	chrisb.voigt@gmail.com	

Landowner Contact Information:

Business:	H&H land and livestock
Contact Person:	Brian heffernan
Address:	
Phone:	
Email:	

Background

On December 19, 2023, the State Water Board adopted a new emergency regulation for the Scott and Shasta River Watersheds. The Office of Administrative Law approved the emergency regulation on January 27, 2025 and is in effect for one year, unless re-adopted or rescinded. Under the 2021 drought emergency regulation instated by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) that established drought emergency minimum flows in the Scott River, a Local Cooperative Solution (LCS) may be proposed by individuals or groups to submit by petition to the Deputy Director of the SWRCB as an alternative means of reducing water use to meet or preserve drought emergency minimum flows and provide fishery benefits, in lieu of curtailment. This binding agreement between the (Landowner) Scott River Water Trust (SRWT) will monitor the SRWCB approved LCS to achieve 1) a net reduction of water use of 30 percent throughout the irrigation season; and 2) a monthly reduction of at least 30 percent in the July through October 31 period, as compared to 2020. 2021. 2022 or 2023.

Recitals

- Local cooperative solutions by individuals or groups may be proposed by petition to the Deputy
 Director as an alternative means of reducing water use to meet or preserve drought emergency
 minimum flows, or to provide other fishery benefits (such as cold-water refugia, localized fish
 passage, or redd protection), in lieu of curtailment as described in this section.
 - (A) Petitions to implement local cooperative solutions that coordinate diversions, share water, strategically manage groundwater and/or surface water for fisheries benefits, reduce annual water use, or engage in similar activities may be submitted to the Deputy Director at any time, except as noted in subsection (f)(4)(D)(ii).
 - (G) A coordinating entity for the purposes of this section shall refer to an entity which possesses the expertise and ability to evaluate and require performance of the commitments made in a local cooperative solution, and which commits that:
 - (i) Evaluation of local cooperative solution proposals and inspections shall be conducted by representatives who lack a financial or close personal interest in the outcome, and
 - (ii) Information collected on compliance with local cooperative solutions is provided to the State Water Board monthly and upon request. The entity shall undertake data collection (including metering data) and inspections, either by itself or in coordination with State Water Board staff, sufficient to ensure implementation of local cooperative solutions, including inspection or data collection targeted within two weeks of completion of commitments to cease pumping as of a date certain.
- 2. For overlying or adjudicated groundwater diversions for irrigated agriculture described under in section 875.5, subdivision (a)(1)(A)(ix) [Scott River] or section 875.5, subdivision (b)(1)(C) [Shasta River] the Deputy Director may approve a groundwater basin-wide, groundwater-sub-basin-wide, or any number of individual local cooperative solutions where:
 - (i) The proposal may be based on a binding agreement made with a coordinating entity with primary responsibility to verify implementation of the local cooperative solution.
 - (ii) For individual proposals, the proposal must be submitted no later than April 15 and must be implemented during the entirety of the irrigation season (including during pendency of approval), unless the proponent withdraws.
 - (iii) The proposal includes a description of metering in place for groundwater well extractions, and a proposal to meter and record such extractions daily and report monthly to the Deputy Director or the coordinating entity, as applicable, except as described below. The State Water Board has funding and technical support available to

support some amount of metering, and those interested in such assistance are encouraged to promptly contact the State Water Board.

- 3. For percent-based reduction in pumping local cooperative solutions:
 - a. For the Scott River: The proposal provides at least:
 - A net reduction of water use of 30 percent throughout the irrigation season (April 1 – October 31); and
 - (ii) A monthly reduction of 30 percent in the July through October time period.
 - b. The relevant water use reduction shall generally be based on a comparison to the 2020, 2021, 2022, or 2023 irrigation season, and may be demonstrated by evidence that provides a reasonable assurance that the change in farming practice or other action results in at least the relevant proportionate reduction in water use. Such evidence may include but is not limited to: pumping reports; actions that will be taken to reduce water use; estimation of water saved from conservation measures or changes in irrigation or planting decisions; and electric bills. However, if evidence for the amount of water applied for the 2020, 2021, 2022, or 2023 irrigation seasons indicates a base rate of applied water that is higher than 33 inches per year for alfalfa, 14 inches per year for grain, or 30 inches per year for pasture, then the base rate of applied water shall be the aforementioned values unless the proponent makes an additional showing that a higher base rate number is an appropriate comparison in light of relevant information that can include but is not limited to multi-year practices, soil type, and irrigation methods.

Proposed Local Cooperative Solution: (Specific action plan to be completed by landowner, see attached LCS application form and/or specific landowner curtailment plan)

Binding Agreement Terms

The Landowner is required to adhere to the LCS, as approved by SWRCB. The Landowner has requested that SRWT serve as the coordinating entity. As such, both parties agree to the following:

- For the duration of this binding agreement where SRWT is the coordinating entity, the Landowner shall
 give SRWT the right to reasonably access the included parcels for the limited purpose of verifying
 execution of the LCS. Any individual not directly employed or contracted by SRWT shall provide prenotification to, and shall obtain approval by the Landowner before accessing the property,
- SRWT will strive to notify the Landowner a day in advance of visiting the parcels and shall provide the Landowner or designee the ability to participate in monitoring activities,
- It is anticipated that SRWT representatives will visit the property approximately twice per month to
 monitor the approved LCS, unless inadequacies are discovered, in which case additional field visits will
 occur until inadequacies are rectified. A monitoring inspection may include verification of any or all of
 the actions described in the conservation plan and may include inspection checklist/notes/reports and
 photo verification.
- In the (unexpected) event that staffing levels at SRWT are insufficient, SRWT may coordinate with the Landowner and State Water Board staff to allow State Water Board staff to conduct some of the inspections,
- SRWT will submit the information regarding the verification materials and actions described in this
 agreement, and conservation plan incorporated by reference, to the State Water Board upon request,
 for the purposes of verifying compliance with the LCS,
- This binding agreement is not intended to preclude, harm, or otherwise interfere with the landowner's
 ability to secure any funding to mitigate the financial impacts imposed by the emergency regulation or
 proposed conservation practices. SRWT supports the use of funding programs to ameliorate the costs
 of implementing the conservation practices described in the proposed conservation plan: planning and
 cooperation under a voluntary LCS should not undermine the ability to receive such funding,
- This binding agreement may be terminated by either party at any time. Both parties agree to take reasonable measures to resolve any concerns related to the performance of the LCS, negative interpersonal interaction, or any unforeseen circumstance prior to invoking termination,
- As the irrigation season unfolds, there may be reason to change the terms of the LCS or this binding
 agreement with respect to its implementation and verification. Any such changes to the LCS or service
 agreement will need to be agreed upon by the landowner and SRWCB requests SRWTassistance with
 an updated LCS, the SRWT and Landowner will enter into a new Binding Agreement and,

Payment

\$75.00 per hour for initial consultation and \$75.00 per hour for all services rendered after signing of the binding agreement.

Expenses

The Landowner will reimburse SRWT for expenses that are attributable directly to work performed under this Agreement. Any expenses incurred will be approved by the Landowner beforehand. SRWT will submit an itemized statement of Contractor's expenses attached with invoicing.

Terms of Payment

Upon completion of SRWT services under this binding agreement, SRWT will submit an invoice. The Landowner will pay SRWT the compensation described within 30 days of receiving SRWT's invoice.

Term of Agreement

This agreement will become effective when signed by both parties and will terminate on:

- November 1, 2025, or
 - · The date a party terminates the binding agreement.
 - Monitoring information will be collected by the SRWT and shared with State Water Board as a field report in accordance with their reporting schedule or upon request
 - SRWT is not authorized to and will not distribute data or other information regarding work done
 under this contract to any third party without previous written approval by the Landowner
 - Landowner agrees that water saved under the LCS will not be transferred to parcels not included under the LCS, and Landowner will not knowingly or intentionally otherwise take actions outside of the LCS that diminish, in any material way, the overall thirty percent reduction establish by the actions described ion the LCS

Landowner