MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

PERMITTING & COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE

JOE SERNA, JR., CALEPA BUILDING

1001 I STREET

2ND FLOOR

COASTAL HEARING ROOM

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

MONDAY, AUGUST 6, 2007

1:49 P.M.

KATHRYN S. SWANK, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 13061

ii

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

- Ms. Rosalie Mulé, Chairperson
- Mr. Jeffrey Danzinger
- Ms. Cheryl Peace

BOARD MEMBERS

- Ms. Margo Reid Brown
- Mr. Wesley Chesbro

STAFF

- Mr. Mark Leary, Executive Director
- Mr. Elliot Block, Chief Counsel
- Ms. Julie Nauman, Chief Deputy Director
- Ms. Donnell Duclo, Executive Assistant
- Mr. Ted Rauh, Program Director, Permitting & Enforcement
- Mr. Michael Bledsoe, Staff Counsel (via teleconference)
- Mr. Mark de Bie, Division Chief, Permitting & LEA Support
- Mr. Randy Friedlander
- Mr. Jeff Hackett
- Mr. Robert Holmes
- Ms. Mary Madison Johnson
- Ms. Dianne Ohiosumua
- Mr. Zane Poulson, Supervisor, MSW Facilities & Inspection & Enforcement A

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

iii

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

- Mr. Terry Smith
- Mr. Gino Yekta

ALSO PRESENT

- Ms. Alice Beasley, Riverside County
- Ms. Joan Bird (via teleconference)
- Ms. Ingrid Brostrom, Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment (via teleconference)
- Mr. Francis Church, helphinkley.org (via teleconference)
- Mr. Aaron Conaway (via teleconference)
- Ms. Jackee Conaway (via teleconference)
- Mr. Robert Conaway, (via teleconference)
- Ms. Tammy Coddington (via teleconference)
- Ms. Kimberly Cox, Mojave Water Agency (via teleconference)
- Mr. Lawrence E. Dale, City of Barstow (via teleconference)
- Ms. Virginia Davis (via teleconference)
- Mr. Norman Diaz, helphinkley.org, (via teleconference)
- Mr. Damon De Frates, El Sobrante Landfill
- Ms. Gail Fry (via teleconference)
- Mr. Joe Gomez, City of Barstow (via teleconference)
- Ms. Carrol Greenwood (via teleconference)
- Mr. Robert Hilburn (via teleconference)
- Ms. Sandra Hill Diaz, helphinkley.org (via teleconference)
- Ms. Betty Hulen (via teleconference)

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

iv

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

- Mr. Martin Isaacson (via teleconference)
- Mr. Henry James (via teleconference)
- Ms. Edna Later (via teleconference)
- Mr. Brent Lautzenheiser (via teleconference)
- Ms. Beverly Lowry, Mojave Water Agency (via

teleconference)

- Mr. David Marks, URS Corporation
- Mr. Jeff Meberg, Nursery Products, LLC
- Ms. Judy Miller (via teleconference)
- Ms. Bette Moses (via teleconference)
- Ms. Jessie Orr (via teleconference)
- Mr. Mark Orr (via teleconference)
- Mr. Jim Perkins (via teleconference)
- Mr. Jay Potter (via teleconference)
- Ms. Shirley Ramsey (via teleconference)
- Mr. Edward Riddle (via teleconference)
- Mr. Hector Rodriguez, City of Barstow (via teleconference)
- Ms. Erika Schneider (via teleconference)
- Mr. Chris Seeney, Nursery Products, LLC
- Mr. Steve Smith (via teleconference)
- Mr. Wayne Snively (via teleconference)
- Mr. Fred Stearn (via teleconference)
- Mr. Chuck Tobin, Burrtec Waste
- Mr. Bill Tomlinson (via teleconference)

V

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

Ms. LaVella Tomlinson (via teleconference)

Ms. Norma Wilt (via teleconference)

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

vi

INDEX

	PAGE
Roll Call And Declaration Of Quorum	1
Public Comment	
A. Program Director's Report	2
B. Consideration Of A New Full Solid Waste Facilities Permit (Compostable Materials Handling Facility) For The Nursery Product Hawes Composting Facility, San Bernardino County (August Board Item 1) (Note: The Committee will be accepting remote public testimony for this item at the Barstow Community Center Gymnasium, 841 Barstow Road, Barstow, CA 92311.)	12
Motion Vote	102 102
C. Consideration Of A Revised Full Solid Waste Facilities Permit (Transfer/Processing Facility) For The West Valley Materials Recovery Facility, San Bernardino County (August Board Item 2) Motion	104
Vote	107
D. Consideration Of A New Full Solid Waste Facilities Permit (C&D/Inert Debris Processing Facility) For The Fruitridge C&D Recycling	107
Facility, Sacramento County (August Board Item Motion Vote	111 112
E. Consideration Of A Revised Full Solid Waste Facilities Permit (Disposal Facility) For The El Sobrante Landfill, Riverside County (August Board Item 4)	112
Motion Vote	120 120
F. Consideration Of A Revised Full Solid Waste Facilities Permit (Disposal Facility) For The Fink Road Landfill, Stanislaus County (August Board Item 5)	121
Motion Vote	124 124

vii

INDEX CONTINUED

	PAGE
G. Consideration Of A Revised Full Solid Waste Facilities Permit (Disposal Facility) For The Chicago Grade Landfill, San Luis Obispo County (August Board Item 6)	125
Motion Vote	127 127
H. Consideration Of The Adoption Of A Negative Declaration (State Clearinghouse No. 2007051119) And The Issuance Of A Minor Waste Tire Facility Permit For BJ Used Tire & Rubber Recycling, Inc., San Bernardino County (August Board Item 7)	128
Motion Vote	136 136
I. DELETED (August Board Item 8)	
J. Consideration Of Adoption Of Proposed Regulations Modifying Existing Temporary Waiver Of Terms Regulations (August Board Item 9)	137
Motion	141
Vote	142
Adjournment	142
Reporter's Certificate	143

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

1 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Good afternoon, everybody. 3 Welcome to the August 6th meeting of the Permitting and 4 Compliance Committee. 5 We have agendas on the back table and for those of 6 you that are in Barstow, we -- I understand that we have 7 speaker slips available for you to speak. And then up here in Sacramento as well, if anyone would like to speak 8 to an agenda item, there are speaker slips on the back 9 10 table. Please bring them forward to Donnell, and you will 11 have an opportunity to address the committee. And again, for those of you in Barstow, Laura Hinkley will have you 12 13 hand them to our staff down there. 14 Also, I would like to ask everyone to please 15 either turn off our put into silent mode the cell phones or pagers. And with that, Donnell, would you please call 16 17 the roll. 18 SECRETARY DUCLO: Danzinger? 19 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Here. SECRETARY DUCLO: Peace? 20 21 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Here. SECRETARY DUCLO: Chair Mulé? 22 23 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Here. 24 We're also joined by Board Members, Senator 25 Chesbro as well as our Chair Brown. Thank you for being

- 1 here today. Appreciate it.
- 2 Members, do we have any ex partes to report?
- 3 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: I'm up to date.
- 4 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Up to date.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Up to date. Okay. Everyone is
- 6 up to date.
- 7 First, let's go to our program director's report.
- 8 Ted?
- 9 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 10 Thank you, Chair Mule.
- 11 Ted Rauh, program director for Waste Compliance
- 12 and Mitigation Program. Have just a couple of items I
- 13 would like to bring to your attention.
- One is a quick update on the Angora Fire, which I
- 15 know you are all quite aware of, but thought that members
- 16 of the audience would be interested in hearing the
- 17 progress.
- 18 This past Saturday was our 21st day of debris
- 19 removal. There are 259 known sites that have been
- 20 identified, and 252 are now part of our program. So we
- 21 continue to see an increase, yet slow, but toward the
- 22 ultimate agreement of all to participate.
- 23 At this point, debris has been removed from 119 of
- 24 those locations. And we expect this week to be at the 50
- 25 percent completion for debris removal. And are well on

- 1 point to complete the task within the targeted dates that
- 2 we have set for ourselves.
- 3 Todd Thalhamer and Wes Minderman continue to be
- 4 our point people there representing the Board and staff
- 5 admirably. And of course there are other staff from the
- 6 Board and other Cal/EPA agencies who are supporting us as
- 7 well. But all in all, the effort is going quite well.
- 8 Also, I would like to bring to your attention some
- 9 management changes within the program. We have some new
- 10 branch chief appointments. And again, there's been some
- 11 notification around the Board of these, but I would like
- 12 to, again, make sure everyone else is aware.
- We're extremely pleased to announce Ken Taylor,
- 14 Georgianne Turner, and Sherrie Sala-Moore have accepted
- 15 appointments within the program. Georgianne Turner will
- 16 serve as the branch manager for the Municipal Solid Waste
- 17 Facilities Operations and Evaluation Branch. That in
- 18 itself is a mouthful. There's a lot to do there within
- 19 the Compliance, Evaluation, and Enforcement Division.
- 20 Georgianne has 16 years experience as a supervisor in the
- 21 Tires Program -- and I know the Board is familiar with her
- 22 work in a number of settings -- and also seven years of
- 23 experience with Municipal Solid Waste Facilities
- 24 Permitting and Inspections.
- 25 Kevin Taylor will serve as the branch manager for

- 1 the north branch of Permitting and LEA Support and the
- 2 Permitting and LEA Support Division. Kevin has seven
- 3 years of experience as a supervisor working in the areas
- 4 of organics and business resource efficiency. He also has
- 5 15 years' experience with the Board and in a wide variety
- 6 of assignments and brings a lot of experience to that
- 7 important role.
- 8 Sherrie Sala-Moore will serve as the branch
- 9 manager of the Jurisdiction and Minimum Content Compliance
- 10 Branch in the Compliance and Enforcement Division.
- 11 Sherrie has over three years' experience as a supervisor
- 12 in the Disposal Reporting Section and also a year and a
- 13 half experience as an acting branch manager over the Waste
- 14 Analysis Branch. And also has many years of experience
- 15 within the Board and has some expertise we're looking
- 16 forward to and working with IMB and we're looking to have
- 17 her help us as we develop our computer systems associated
- 18 with our compliance program.
- 19 And one person who's leaving us, Sherrie
- 20 Anderson -- Sharon Anderson is taking a TND to join the
- 21 Air Resources Board. And we're very sorry to see her
- 22 leave as she has provided great leadership for the Board
- 23 in her continuing efforts with LEAs. And I know that the
- 24 LEA leadership will also see a great void that we're going
- 25 to have to fill here as we continue our support to LEAs.

- 1 Anyway, I will finish with that. And that's the
- 2 last of my report. And thank you very much.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you, Ted. I want to
- 4 congratulate everyone on their new appointments. And
- 5 Sharon, you now how I feel. We're all going to miss your
- 6 terribly. I just want to thank you for all of your
- 7 contributions to the LEA program and the training in
- 8 general.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I want to echo that.
- 11 Thanks for everything. Is this the last we're seeing you?
- 12 Is this our farewell to her right now?
- 13 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: I don't know.
- 14 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Gosh. Okay. So we
- 15 don't have to be nice to you yet.
- 16 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 17 Not yet. We'll do more.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Two weeks.
- 19 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Sharon, we're all going
- 20 to miss you. Don't go.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Okay. Thank you, Ted.
- 22 With that, let's just get into our agenda. Our
- 23 first item is Committee Item B.
- 24 And what we're going to do is -- I know we have
- 25 several speakers signed up down south, approximately 28

- 1 speakers. So what we're doing to do is, we're going to
- 2 hear the item from staff. We're going to hear the
- 3 operator's presentation, and I believe we have a
- 4 presentation from the LEA -- three presentations as well?
- 5 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 6 Yes, we understand the LEA will be here.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Okay. And then what we will do
- 8 is then we'll hear from the public and then we'll have a
- 9 O&A. I understand that because of our communications
- 10 limitations that we can't just ask questions back and
- 11 forth; is that correct?
- 12 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 13 That's correct.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: All right. Okay.
- So let's get started on the agenda item.
- 16 Committee Item B.
- 17 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 18 Yes, Madam Chair.
- 19 Item B is Consideration of a New Full Solid Waste
- 20 Facility Permit for Compostable Materials Handling for the
- 21 Nursery Product Hawes Composting Facility in San
- 22 Bernardino County.
- 23 And I would like to note that in the last few
- 24 days, we've received a number of e-mails, and also two
- 25 letters -- first one from the City of Barstow, which we

- 1 received, which was dated on August 2nd. And we
- 2 understand the city of Barstow representative will be at
- 3 the other site to make a presentation. We also received a
- 4 letter dated August 5th from the Center for Race, Poverty,
- 5 and the Environment.
- And beyond those letters, I think we're ready to
- 7 move with the staff presentation. Dianne Ohiosumua will
- 8 make that presentation.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Good afternoon, Dianne.
- 10 COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Good
- 11 afternoon.
- 12 MS. OHIOSUMUA: The proposed permit will allow the
- 13 following: The operations of the compostable material
- 14 handling facility, handling biosolids and green materials;
- 15 a maximum daily tonnage of 2000 wet tons per day; per LEA
- 16 condition 17d, the bulking agents and amendments will not
- 17 exceed 200 tons per day; the maximum traffic of 97
- 18 vehicles per day; per LEA condition 17i, the peak traffic
- 19 volume includes 87 trucks per day and 10 passenger
- 20 vehicles per day. The hours of operations are from
- 21 6:00 a.m. to 8 o'clock p.m.
- The operations will include the mixing of
- 23 biosolids with green material and the incorporation of the
- 24 material into windrows, which will be brought to a
- 25 temperature at or above 133 degrees Fahrenheit for at

- 1 least 15 days. The windrows will be turned a minimum of
- 2 five times to allow all areas of the windrows to be
- 3 exposed to the required temperature to kill pathogenic
- 4 organisms.
- 5 The proposed facility was subject to an
- 6 environmental impact report which concluded that all but
- 7 impacts to air quality would be mitigated to less than
- 8 significant.
- 9 The EIR indicated that volatile -- VOCs would be
- 10 released from the compost process at levels that surpass
- 11 the annual emission thresholds for VOCs for the project.
- 12 Mitigation was found fiscally infeasible.
- 13 The facility operator has received a required land
- 14 use approval and will be required to obtain approval from
- 15 the Air District and the Regional Water Quality Control
- 16 Board and other agencies before starting operations.
- 17 Staff has summarized issues raised by stakeholders
- 18 on page 7 of the agenda item. In addition, Board staff
- 19 has received several e-mails from concerned citizens. On
- 20 Friday, 8/3, and today, I also received one additional
- 21 e-mail. They indicated concerns regarding the project,
- 22 specifically about the past performance of the operator at
- 23 a previous site and questions of the fairness to the
- 24 people of Hinkley who have been impacted by environmental
- 25 impacts in the past and air quality.

- 1 Board staff finds that the LEA has made all of the
- 2 necessary findings relevant to the permit. Board staff
- 3 has determined all of the requirements for the proposed
- 4 permit have been met, as required in the Public Resource
- 5 Code 44009. Therefore, Board staff recommends Option 1,
- 6 that the Board adopt Resolution 2007-0163, and in doing
- 7 so, adopt the CEQA finding and the Statement of Overriding
- 8 Considerations that have been adopted by the lead agency;
- 9 and concur on the issuance of the Solid Waste Facility
- 10 Permit No. 36AA0445.
- 11 Representatives from the San Bernardino County
- 12 Local Enforcement Agency, the operator, as well as the
- 13 consultant who worked on the EIR are present, and they can
- 14 answer any questions that you may have.
- 15 Staff understands that the operator may have --
- 16 the operator does have a presentation to make to the
- 17 Board. However, the LEA does not have a presentation at
- 18 this time.
- 19 That concludes staff's presentation.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you, Dianne. Let's do
- 21 this. Before we get into our public comment period, are
- 22 we going to hear from the operator right now?
- MR. MEBERG: What order do you prefer?
- 24 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: We're going to have you do your
- 25 presentation, and then we'll talk about the procedure for

- 1 the public comments.
- 2 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was
- 3 presented as follows.)
- 4 MR. MEBERG: Hello. My name is Jeff Meberg, and I
- 5 applaud the Board on the efforts to provide the state of
- 6 California and the county of San Bernardino with a new
- 7 option for composting and diverting organics from
- 8 landfills.
- 9 I would like to make three points about this
- 10 project: one, biosolids composting is the act of recycling
- 11 a waste into a beneficial product; two, compost we will
- 12 produce will help Inland Empire farmers cost effectively
- 13 grow crops; and three, this project does not and will not
- 14 adversely affect the community.
- 15 --000--
- MR. MEBERG: Besides providing a water saving
- 17 product, compost, which helps farmers, we will help local
- 18 governments comply with the law.
- 19 Excuse me for a moment. Just got to figure out
- 20 how to work this.
- 21 --000--
- MR. MEBERG: Didn't do well with AV.
- 23 Many municipalities in San Bernardino County are
- 24 having difficulty meeting their AB 939 diversion
- 25 requirements. Our facility will help them achieve this

11

1 goal and reduce the waste going into solid waste

- 2 landfills.
- 3 This is a win-win proposition. Everyone wins by
- 4 saving water from increased compost use. Green waste
- 5 producers like tree trimmers, home builders, and furniture
- 6 manufacturers win by bringing their material to our
- 7 facility for recycling, and local government wins by cost
- 8 effectively complying with the law.
- 9 --000--
- 10 MR. MEBERG: At the Nursery Products facility,
- 11 covered trucks from wastewater treatment plants will
- 12 deliver the biosolids. Biosolids are generally 80 to
- 13 90 percent water.
- 14 The biosolids will be placed into windrows within
- 15 two hours at the receipt at the facility. We use a
- 16 front-end loader mixer to mix the biosolids and green
- 17 material together and shape it into a windrow. This
- 18 method is the standard composting method defined by the
- 19 USEPA.
- 20 The method and process -- this method is the
- 21 standard composting method defined by the USEPA. The
- 22 method and process is highly controlled, highly monitored,
- 23 and tightly regulated. As part of our operating
- 24 procedures, a Nursery Products employee will check and
- 25 record the temperature, moisture, and carbon content in

1 each windrow every day. These records are maintained in

- 2 the log and submitted to regulatory agencies monthly.
- The County and the EPA also make monthly
- 4 unannounced inspections to check temperatures and all our
- 5 records. The entire composting process, which includes
- 6 curing and storage, takes 60 days.
- 7 --00--
- 8 MR. MEBERG: Our location. Distance makes a
- 9 difference. Our location near the old Hawes Airfield is
- 10 on the far left of this slide. As this photo
- 11 demonstrates, Hawes is not near anything. The closest
- 12 community is Hinkley, and it's 8 miles away. To give you
- 13 some perspective, 8 miles is the distance from this
- 14 building to the McClellan Air Force Base. And the project
- 15 is 22 miles from the City of Barstow. It's the same
- 16 distance from here to the city of Folsom. Why Hawes?
- 17 While there's overwhelming evidence and many
- 18 scientific studies that composting is not a risk to
- 19 groundwater or surface water, we feel it is better to be
- 20 extra safe. The proposed site at Hawes was selected for
- 21 many reasons, including the depth to groundwater table.
- 22 It is 280 feet below the surface.
- 23 Also, it is worth noting that the groundwater
- 24 basin below the Hawes site is separate and downhill from
- 25 Hinkley's groundwater basin.

- 1 --000--
- 2 MR. MEBERG: That was the slide that went with my
- 3 last one.
- --000--
- 5 MR. MEBERG: These photos were taken, standing at
- 6 the Nursery Products property. This is what you see if
- 7 you stand in the middle of the site and you look around in
- 8 any direction. It is an arid location with no surface
- 9 water anywhere in the area. And it's not a pristine site.
- 10 Notice the dirt pile on the bottom right of the photos.
- 11 This property in the surrounding area has been used for
- 12 mining, and the dirt pile's left from some prior mining
- 13 operations.
- Our site is far away from people, community, and
- 15 industry.
- --o0o--
- 17 MR. MEBERG: Numerous wastewater treatment
- 18 facilities in the region allow their biosolids to air dry
- 19 outdoors on the plant property, often at locations that
- 20 are close to residents and surface waters including
- 21 rivers.
- 22 For example, at the wastewater treatment plant in
- 23 Barstow, the biosolids generally air dry for months. This
- 24 photo shows the Barstow Wastewater Treatment Plant circled
- on the bottom right. You can see the proximity to

- 1 neighbors, the Mojave River, and downtown Barstow.
- 2 After a few months of open air drying, the
- 3 biosolids are transported from this plant to Kern County.
- 4 The route goes right past our site and continues driving
- 5 an additional 150 miles. The trucks then return those
- 6 150 miles.
- 7 --000--
- 8 MR. MEBERG: As a practice of driving this
- 9 distance to dispose of biosolids is repeated hundreds of
- 10 times each year from Inland Empire communities, more than
- 11 6 million truck miles are traveled, 150 extra miles out
- 12 and 150 extra miles back.
- 13 More importantly, 1 million gallons of diesel fuel
- 14 is consumed and combusted. A million gallons of diesel
- 15 fuel results in a significant amount of diesel exhaust,
- 16 including regulated pollutants and, of course, greenhouse
- 17 gases.
- 18 --000--
- 19 MR. MEBERG: In March of this year, Ms. Judith
- 20 Friedman gave the Board a presentation about the
- 21 importance of integrated waste efforts to divert waste
- 22 from landfills to recycling facilities to reduce
- greenhouse gases.
- 24 As I explained in the previous slide, the opening
- 25 of the Hawes facility will be a part of the solution that

- 1 Ms. Friedman has stressed.
- When our facility opens, every truck that
- 3 currently drives past the Hawes site will drive 300 fewer
- 4 miles per round trip. The Hawes facility will actually
- 5 reduce NOx emissions by over 83 tons per year and CO2
- 6 emissions by over 10,500 tons per year within the Mojave
- 7 Air District Region.
- 8 Additional greenhouse gas emission reductions are
- 9 associated with the diversion of waste to our facility
- 10 instead of going to solid waste landfills, which typically
- 11 emit methane gas.
- --000--
- 13 MR. MEBERG: As you know, projects like this often
- 14 elicit emotional and unsubstantiated responses. We
- 15 respectfully request that you make a decision based on the
- 16 facts. And as we have demonstrated, the facts are clear:
- 17 recycling is an important and an effective diversion from
- 18 landfills; the Hawes site is the perfect location, remote
- 19 and arid; the County's EIR found no significant
- 20 environmental impacts from the project; the resultant
- 21 compost conserves water and enhances crop growth;
- 22 scientific evidence overwhelmingly shows the compost safe;
- 23 traffic impacts are minimal, if any at all; and regional
- 24 air emissions will be reduced with this project.
- 25 So in conclusion, we ask that you approve this

- 1 project as recommended by your staff.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you, Jeff.
- 3 Before we take public comment, I am going to ask
- 4 our chief legal counsel to share with the group, so we are
- 5 all clear as to what the Board's authority is and the
- 6 purview of our authority is in concurring or opposing this
- 7 permit. So Elliot, if you will, please.
- 8 CHIEF COUNSEL BLOCK: Thank you.
- 9 Before we hear public testimony on the agenda item
- 10 today, I wanted to outline the scope of the Board's
- 11 authority on proposed permits and the type of testimony
- 12 that we can accept:
- 13 Statute provides that the Board may only object to
- 14 a proposed solid waste facilities permit if it does not
- 15 meet the requirements of Public Resources Code Section
- 16 44009. And I'm just going to paraphrase that statute.
- 17 The Board can only object to a compost permit if it is not
- 18 consistent with state minimum standards, conformance
- 19 finding requirements, or CEQA.
- 20 Any testimony related to matters that are not
- 21 within our Board's jurisdiction, or to issues other than
- 22 the proposed permit today, are not actually relevant to
- 23 the decision before us today.
- 24 And while we can appreciate the fact that there
- 25 are other issues related to these facilities that are

- 1 important, I wanted to remind those of you that are going
- 2 to provide public testimony to limit your comments to
- 3 facts that might be pertinent to the decision that's
- 4 before the Board today.
- 5 Those of you that are going to testify can tell
- 6 which items are within the Board's jurisdiction by looking
- 7 at the agenda item. The items that had been analyzed by
- 8 staff in that agenda item are the issues that are before
- 9 the Board today.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Okay. Thank you very much,
- 11 Elliot.
- 12 What we're going to do now is, I understand,
- 13 Mr. Bob Davila, you're down in Barstow. And you are going
- 14 to turn on the power, so to speak, so that our public
- 15 speakers can start.
- 16 What I would like to ask is that as the speakers
- 17 approach the dais and the microphone, that you please
- 18 identify yourself by your name and your affiliation. And
- 19 we're requesting -- I think right now, we have a total of
- 20 28 speakers.
- 21 So I'm going to ask if everyone could limit as
- 22 much as possible. Please limit your comments to two
- 23 minutes. And also, I'm going to ask the speakers that you
- 24 do not repeat the same thing that a previous speaker might
- 25 have mentioned. For example, if a previous speaker had

- 1 said, "We object to this permit on the odor issues
- 2 involved with it, " you can just say that, you know, you
- 3 agree with the previous speaker's comments on odors. So
- 4 we're just going to ask everybody to do that.
- 5 However, we do have three speakers that are going
- 6 to probably speak about five minutes each. And that's
- 7 Mr. Diaz, Ingrid Brostrom from the Center for Race,
- 8 Poverty, and the Environment, and a representative from
- 9 the City of Barstow.
- 10 So with that, let's get started.
- 11 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Madam Chairman, this is
- 12 Michael Bledsoe down in Barstow. Our first speaker is
- 13 Mr. Joe Gomez, city council member from the City of
- 14 Barstow.
- MR. GOMEZ: Thank you, Mr. Bledsoe.
- 16 First of all, I would like to thank the committee
- 17 for allowing us to speak here today. The City of Barstow
- 18 is opposed to this facility. And the city council
- 19 unanimously approved a resolution of opposition to the
- 20 construction of the biowaste facility in Hinkley,
- 21 California, by Nursery Products, LLC, on November 8th,
- 22 2006.
- 23 We believe our community was selected for this
- 24 project because we are a rural area with very low median
- 25 income and high Hispanic population, not to mention the

- 1 33 percent that are on some type of public assistance.
- 2 They all knew that we did not have the money to fight such
- 3 a project, but we are relying on the government process
- 4 and you, the Integrated Waste Management Board to stop
- 5 this project.
- 6 In the Solid Waste Facility Permit No. 36AA0445,
- 7 Local Enforcement Agency, LEA, conditions state that
- 8 "Local Enforcement Agency reserves the right to suspend or
- 9 modify waste receiving and handling operation when deemed
- 10 necessary due to an emergency of potential health and a
- 11 creation of a public nuisance."
- 12 We already believe this facility possesses a
- 13 personal health hazard. And I can assure you it's already
- 14 being viewed as a public nuisance. Look at the people
- 15 that are here today opposing the project. The people that
- 16 approve this facility are not people who will be directly
- 17 affected by it. And as a matter of fact, the members at
- 18 the Mojave Desert Air Board, Quality Board, and the County
- 19 Board of Supervisors who supported this project don't even
- 20 live here. And they aren't the public who will be
- 21 affected by it.
- 22 If you want us to demonstrate the facility is
- 23 viewed as a public nuisance, then I challenge you to put
- 24 up a vote of the people that will be impacted by it.
- In conclusion, I am confident that you will see

- 1 that this project is not good for the Hinkley or the
- 2 Barstow area. As a matter of fact, the presenter made a
- 3 statement that it is 22 miles east of Barstow. Well, at
- 4 least 8 miles west of Barstow is a community called Boron.
- 5 They will also be affected.
- I just simply ask that you deny this project.
- 7 Thank you for allowing me to speak.
- 8 (Applause.)
- 9 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Mr. Hector Rodriguez, city
- 10 manager, City of Barstow.
- MR. RODRIGUEZ: First of all, I want to thank the
- 12 Board for taking this opportunity and allowing the
- 13 residents of the Barstow area to speak on this issue.
- 14 Historically, the Board has been very proactive in
- 15 its history. I grew up in L.A. and I remember going out
- 16 to play and not being able to breathe after about a half
- 17 an hour. That is no longer the case today. You can go
- 18 out and play. You can breathe the air. You are free to
- 19 play around. I'd like to keep that here in Barstow.
- 20 I ask you to remain proactive and consider this
- 21 testimony today. I have been a resident for Barstow for
- 22 about a year and a half. People told me about the wind,
- 23 but I really didn't understand the concept of constant and
- 24 consistent winds throughout the year, from about March
- 25 through November, anywhere from 20 to 60 miles an hour,

21

1 they constantly blow. And blow they do. The wind is

- 2 even, again, about March to about November.
- 3 I would like to read into the record parts of a
- 4 letter dated August 2nd, 2007, which you acknowledged this
- 5 morning. My points are as follows: The City of Barstow
- 6 objects to issuance of the proposed permit for the Nursery
- 7 Products Hawes Road composting facility, a facility -- as
- 8 the following analysis indicates, there are project
- 9 deficiencies that support your authority under Public
- 10 Resources Code Section 44009 to object to the permitted
- 11 issuance.
- 12 The City of Barstow hereby requests that the CIWMB
- 13 object to the permit as it is inconsistent with state
- 14 minimum standards. There are viable alternatives to this
- 15 project -- open air windrows which minimizes the impact
- 16 and human contact. The analysis provided by the San
- 17 Bernardino County fails to comply with this matter.
- 18 Bagging or using composting materials to capture VOCs was
- 19 not even considered.
- 20 While the CEQA findings are flawed and
- 21 inconsistent the City hereby requests that the CIWMB adopt
- 22 the Nursery Products Hawes Road findings for the purpose
- 23 to object to the issuance due to the significant,
- 24 unavoidable environmental effects. There are hosts of
- 25 issues with these findings.

- 1 There are other sites that are closer to the
- 2 facility than ours. There are -- green waste, I know,
- 3 smells and creates a lot of flies, something that was
- 4 obviously evident in Adelanto, and we don't want that
- 5 here.
- 6 There is already a storage for high desert
- 7 compost, the Victor Valley Regional Compost Facility in
- 8 Victorville. There are two new biosolids composting
- 9 facilities opening up in San Bernardino County: one in
- 10 Rancho Cucamonga; the other one in the city of Rialto.
- 11 Those will take even more fuel than the facility you hide
- 12 in the middle of the desert, which is not uninhabited.
- 13 Those 22 miles do have residents in between here and
- 14 Barstow.
- 15 In closing, I would ask you to please stop or
- 16 require this project to cover it. Compost facilities are
- 17 important elements in California's solid waste
- 18 infrastructure. The Nursery Products Hawes Road does not
- 19 meet the standards needed to protect public health and
- 20 safety. Approval of this project sends the message that
- 21 waste needs to be handled as acutely as possible without
- 22 regard to human health and our well being.
- Thank you very much.
- 24 (Applause.)
- 25 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Kimberly Cox.

- 1 MS. COX: Thank you, members of the committee.
- 2 It's an honor to have the opportunity to address you
- 3 today. I only wish that this was also a video conference
- 4 so you could see all of the fine people of the desert who
- 5 have come out here to address you with their concerns.
- I bring you greetings from a land that the wind
- 7 blows 365 days a year in any given direction. We live
- 8 here in the desert because we love the desert. We choose
- 9 to live here. And I would like to share some of our
- 10 concerns with you today.
- I speak to you as an elected official for the
- 12 Mojave Water Agency. And with my codirector Beverly
- 13 Lowry, we stand here in opposition of this project as we
- 14 speak to you today. Director Lowry is entering her 22nd
- 15 year in office representing the fine citizens of the
- 16 desert.
- 17 Collectively, we represent over 90,000 people.
- 18 And as our other elected official, Mr. Gomez, we truly
- 19 implore you to do the right thing regarding this project.
- 20 In further way of an introduction, not only am I
- 21 an elected official, but I also serve as a public servant
- 22 and the general manager for the Helendale Community
- 23 Services District.
- 24 This district is likewise affected by this project
- 25 and similar to the folks in the Hinkley community. The

- 1 Helendale community has approximately 8,000 citizens, and
- 2 we reside about 7 miles south of this proposed project.
- 3 As a public employee and as an elected official, I
- 4 understand the ability of a governing body to deny staff's
- 5 recommendation. I implore you to do so. I implore you to
- 6 evaluate the discussions that you hear today on behalf of
- 7 the public who live in this community and modify, or
- 8 outright deny, your staff's recommendation.
- 9 One of the things I have questioned about this
- 10 project is its designation as a "regional facility."
- 11 "Regional" denotes the possibility of the serving the
- 12 citizens within that given area.
- 13 Well, as Mr. Rodriguez pointed out, there is
- 14 already a regional facility located in the Victor Valley,
- 15 and that is called California Bio-Mass. It's located
- 16 approximately 15 miles south of the proposed location.
- 17 If the intent of the applicant is to bring sewer
- 18 sludge from other areas, then I implore you, at the very
- 19 minimum, as a compromise, to require that the project
- 20 proponent cover this facility and protect the residents of
- 21 the high desert.
- One of the slides that the applicant showed you
- 23 was the proximity of the Barstow treatment plant to the
- 24 air drying -- their sewer ponds to the citizens in the
- 25 area. Well, the Helendale Community Services District

- 1 also has a sewer facility. And I am here to tell you that
- 2 when we turn the sludge out of our facility into the
- 3 drying beds, that sludge is extremely wet. We do not turn
- 4 that sludge five times a day, like they will be required
- 5 to turn the windrows in their composting facility.
- 6 For that fact alone, there are no health issues at
- 7 all that could contaminate the neighbors from turning
- 8 sludge out into drying beds. However, turning windrows on
- 9 a regular basis in an extremely windy area can prove
- 10 severe health -- to the nearby residents.
- One of the other concerns is the fact that 87
- 12 trucks a day will be bringing this sludge. And I know
- 13 that is not in the purview of your district. But it is an
- 14 extreme to the members of the Helendale Community.
- 15 I implore you today that you deny your staff's
- 16 recommendation and that you modify the recommendations to,
- 17 at the very least, enclose this facility and help us
- 18 preserve our lifestyle.
- 19 Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak
- 20 with you today.
- 21 (Applause.)
- 22 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Ingrid Brostrom.
- MS. BROSTROM: Thank you very much, ladies and
- 24 gentlemen of the committee.
- I would like first to thank you for transmitting

1 this hearing to Barstow to allow this community to have

- 2 its input today. I come before you today to ask that you
- 3 oppose the Nursery Products LLC Hawes composting facility
- 4 by choosing agenda Option 3 or 4.
- 5 The Board has the authority and the responsibility
- 6 to deny this project based on a significant and
- 7 unavoidable impact to human health and the environment,
- 8 and because it fails to meet state minimum standards on
- 9 bird control, fire equipment, and water supply.
- 10 I hope that the committee members have had the
- 11 opportunity to read the many federal and state agency
- 12 organizational and individual opposition letters in
- 13 response to the EIR.
- 14 As you listen to the following testimony, ask
- 15 yourself if the project's so-called benefit outweighs the
- 16 vast array of health and environmental hazards that will
- 17 result from this project. The question before you today
- 18 is not whether composting is a laudable goal, but rather
- 19 whether this project will be the best way to achieve those
- 20 benefits.
- 21 The benefits relied on by the County include
- 22 efficient biosolids and reverse recycling and to increase
- 23 a local source of compost. However, San Bernardino County
- 24 has no need for this project. The County has already met
- 25 its 50 percent diversion goal. But moreover, there are

27

1 seven other recycling options for sludge that are in

- 2 various phases of permitting and development. These
- 3 include facilities coming in Rancho Cucamonga, Redlands,
- 4 Colton, Rialto, Lost Hills, Nyland, and Orange County.
- 5 Given the availability of alternatives, all of
- 6 which utilize enclosed facility technology, there is no
- 7 need for this type of polluting facility. Moreover,
- 8 composting facilities rarely have markets for the finished
- 9 product because of the growing and widespread concern of
- 10 the state using a sludge project on agricultural or
- 11 residential land.
- The benefits alleged by the County are not
- 13 supported by any evidence in the record nor can it be.
- 14 For this reason, the committee should recommend that the
- 15 permit be denied because of the unmitigated environmental
- 16 impacts of the project.
- 17 Further, the Board must deny the permit because it
- 18 fails to meet state minimum standards and thus control
- 19 such as bird control, fire equipment, and water supply.
- 20 The specific failures are outlined in the letter
- 21 you received this morning. I would just like to reiterate
- 22 a couple of points: The County has provided no analysis
- 23 of dust impacts to the well-traveled highway just over a
- 24 mile away from the proposed project; the County has failed
- 25 to analyze or mitigate the impact of ravens on the site;

- 1 the County has no provisions for adequate fire
- 2 suppression -- no fire hydrants or adequate water supply
- 3 to suppress a fire emergency.
- I would also go like to point out that the
- 5 applicant has demonstrated historical noncompliance with
- 6 conditions for fire standards at the former site, in
- 7 Adelanto.
- 8 To finish up, I received an e-mail this morning,
- 9 telling me that this process is merely a rubber stamp to
- 10 okay the facilities this Board is meant to regulate. I
- 11 sincerely hope that this is not the case.
- 12 I implore you to keep on open mind when you listen
- 13 to the residents who will face real impacts from the
- 14 permitting of this facility. I think in listening to the
- 15 comments today, you will realize that the cost to the
- 16 people of Hinkley and Barstow and the surrounding area
- 17 cannot be offset by any mitigation other than a full
- 18 enclosure.
- 19 Thank you for your time and consideration.
- 20 (Applause.)
- 21 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Mr. Norman Diaz.
- MR. DIAZ: Thank you, everyone. And first of all,
- 23 I want to say -- I'm kind of glad I'm not in Sacramento,
- 24 so I can sit out and look at people I know. And I've
- 25 learned to recognize just about everyone here. And if you

- 1 don't know me, please come up and introduce yourself.
- 2 First I want to thank the Board, the California
- 3 Board, for putting this together. This was something that
- 4 the county would not do for us, something we asked the
- 5 County to do, and they refused. So I think it's important
- 6 that this Integrated Waste Management Board has gone out
- 7 of their way for us to participate in this process. I
- 8 know that they are limited on their -- what they can do
- 9 and their ability to force any sort of enclosure, any sort
- 10 of safety measures that we're trying to get put forth.
- 11 But I think it's important that they are showing the
- 12 effort to try and help us include our views in the
- 13 process, which is something I think that the County and
- 14 the especially the board of supervisors had refused to let
- 15 us do.
- I'm a little concerned about the phone sitting in
- 17 front of the speaker down here. I was hoping for a little
- 18 bit better technology than that. My kids at home have a
- 19 little bit better technology than that with their whatever
- 20 it is. But we'll go beyond that.
- 21 We are not against composting. We are not against
- 22 recycling, obviously. We know about AB 939 is. We have
- 23 all that information; we've done the research. We're
- 24 composters. We are recyclers here in Barstow. That's not
- 25 the issue here.

- 1 The issue is safety. The issue is our future and
- 2 our health and the air quality that all of us come here
- 3 for the desert for.
- 4 I think it's important that you know that we're
- 5 not saying, "Take this to somebody else. Take this out to
- 6 Baker. Take this to Pinon Hills. Take this to some other
- 7 small town that's going to have to fight it off like we
- 8 have the last 15 months." That's not fair; that's not
- 9 what we want to do. We'll take the facility if they
- 10 enclose it.
- 11 That doesn't seem like something they even want to
- 12 talk about. They won't even consider that. It costs too
- 13 much. And then you got Ingrid and other people are going
- 14 to say there is facilities and there is companies that
- 15 will enclose these facilities for a profit. They will do
- 16 it. They will have to wait a little bit to get their
- 17 income back. Yes, they cost millions of dollars as
- 18 opposed to a pile in the desert. But it makes it safe and
- 19 it makes it clean. And that is where we're headed as a
- 20 people and the future of waste.
- 21 I mean, of course, there's waste. Everyone's
- 22 flushing their toilets and the drains are working all the
- 23 time. It's something we're all going to have to deal with
- 24 as we move ahead in this society, especially here in the
- 25 desert. There's a big growth boom here in the desert.

1 What we don't need is to start bringing the more

- 2 populated areas away, to us, out here in the desert. And
- 3 I think that's what we're standing for here.
- 4 And I want to make sure that the Board knows that
- 5 we are aware of the issue of what we got to do with this
- 6 stuff. Obviously, it can't go in the ocean anymore. But
- 7 it certainly shouldn't go in our neighbored.
- 8 When I first started this fight 15 months ago, I
- was told, "You have to exhaust your remedies." I'm 9
- exhausted. Okay? I think we have done that. I think 10
- 11 that's important to note that we have tried to follow the
- rules, we've tried to use all the -- the stuff that's put 12
- 13 there for people to participate in this process. And it
- 14 seems like we keep getting the door slammed in our face.
- 15 I don't think that's fair.
- 16 They also told me, at the beginning, they said,
- "You need local opposition." Well, we have that too. I 17
- mean, I have here a stack of petitions which I will turn 18
- 19 in. I didn't want to count it again. But it's got to be
- close to 3 or 4 thousand. I mean, it's a big stack here. 20
- 21 And I would hate to have the Board members take it back to
- Sacramento. I was going to carry it up there myself. But 22
- I'm afraid, they are going to have to do that. So I will 23
- turn in these petitions. There's some letters in there 24
- 25 too. There's a lot a of pictures and letters that were

1 sent to the board of supervisors, which I wish you guys in

- 2 Sacramento could see, because I think that those people
- 3 spoke fairly well. Those kids and stuff spoke so well.
- 4 And those letters went to the board of supervisors, and
- 5 now it's in some black hole somewhere and no one gets to
- 6 see those anymore.
- 7 I've also gathered resolutions from different
- 8 agencies, and these are elected agencies. We have of
- 9 course, as I said, the Barstow City Council, the Barstow
- 10 School Board, the Mojave Water Agency, the Barstow Heights
- 11 Community Service District, the Newberry Community Service
- 12 District, the Barstow Chamber of Commence, Silver Valley
- 13 School District also, have all signed resolutions against
- 14 this facility, not against composting, not against
- 15 recycling, against an open air dump in the desert in the
- 16 fourth highest wind in the state of California. That's
- 17 what they are against.
- 18 They are thinking about the future of the kids.
- 19 They are thinking about the future of our town and our
- 20 families. And that is what this is about. I think that's
- 21 important.
- I have sent this copy to you guys already. I'm
- 23 going to turn in another copy. I want to make sure you
- 24 guys get that and understand that it's not just a bunch of
- 25 crazy desert people up here, ranting and raving. We are

- 1 educated on the subject; we know what we're talking about.
- 2 And common sense would dictate that this is a bad idea.
- 4 think it is important to know that if our appointed
- 5 supervisor has decided that Hinkley is okay for this,
- 6 what's to keep him from putting one outside of Pinon
- 7 Hills, Oak Hills, Baker, Twentynine Palms. We can become
- 8 the magnet for this thing. Obviously, this is the
- 9 cheapest way of disposing of this material, and they can
- 10 bring a lot. There's a lot of this stuff being produced
- in the more populated areas. We need to deal with this
- 12 correctly and safely. This is not the way to do it.
- I urge this committee to choose 3 or 4 of their
- 14 options. I know you're limited. But I think it's
- 15 important that you consider this. I was very disappointed
- 16 to see that the staff recommendation has now been to
- 17 approve that.
- 18 I can't believe that they would not wait till they
- 19 hear our testimony, when they only waited to hear from the
- 20 LEA and the applicant, and then they make their mind up.
- 21 We don't count, all of a sudden, again? I thought that we
- 22 were here to give testimony so the staff could use that in
- 23 their approval. What happened? I don't understand. That
- 24 really disappoints me.
- 25 But again, I want to thank the Integrated Waste

1 Management Board. Everyone, during the non-disposal phase

- 2 and this phase, has answered my phone calls, has answered
- 3 my e-mails. They have treated me with respect. And
- 4 that's all I ask. And I really appreciate that.
- 5 Something I don't think I got as much of when I was
- 6 dealing with the County. I will end on that note.
- 7 The one thing that they keep telling me, "No dust
- 8 will leave the site." I mean, that alone should kill this
- 9 thing. I mean, how can they say that with a straight
- 10 face? Thank you very much for everyone in Sacramento.
- 11 And again, thank you for everyone here. I really
- 12 appreciate it.
- 13 (Applause.)
- 14 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Mr. Edward Riddle followed
- 15 by Fred Stearn.
- MR. RIDDLE: My name's Ed Riddle. I live on the
- 17 west side.
- 18 It is difficult to imagine that Nursery Products
- 19 bought this property in an area in which they knew that
- 20 they would have no resistance from the County, because no
- 21 permits, no studies, nothing else was done, and they are
- 22 going to purchase this property for a quarter of a million
- 23 dollars. Quarter of a million dollars, they purchased
- 24 this property for, without even finding out if they can
- 25 put something there. But they knew that that didn't

35

1 matter. They knew that their rails were going to be

- 2 greased straight through. And we have been held in
- 3 contempt, time after time, after time, after time. And
- 4 this is the first state agency we've had being presented
- 5 with material that looks reasonable.
- 6 What is reasonable about, between January and
- 7 June, six events of 60- to 70-mile-an-hour winds blowing
- 8 dust through the community so thick that you couldn't even
- 9 see across the street. And these people have done wind
- 10 modeling to make it look all reasonable.
- Now, a model of a B-52 is sure as hell not a B-52.
- 12 It has -- everybody knows, that has looked at these EIRs
- 13 and all of the material that's being presented to this
- 14 Board -- knows that it does not, in any way, represent the
- 15 real world. It represents PR and money and a totally
- 16 different motivation that is really unseen right now.
- 17 That motivation -- they are putting this in the
- 18 community which has been the poster child for chromium
- 19 six, and now we're expected to be the poster children for
- 20 sludge? How much do you want to take? How much do we
- 21 need to give information for to let people know that we
- 22 need this to stop?
- I'm going to add to the wind.
- 24 Www.edwards.af.mil/channel/weather.htm [phonetic]. Wind
- 25 studies at Edwards, 40 miles away, but they are every bit

- 1 as applicable because there's no geologic or
- 2 climatological feature which changes the wind coming from
- 3 that direction. Wind studies going back to December the
- 4 10th, 1941, represent the real world, not a wind model.
- 5 We know that this EIR is a lie. We know it's been
- 6 minimized down to nothing to make hot air seem reasonable.
- 7 That's all I have.
- 8 (Applause.)
- 9 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Mr. Fred Stearn followed
- 10 by Jessie Orr.
- 11 MR. STEARN: My name is Fred Stearn. 29926 Fort
- 12 Cady Road, Newberry Springs.
- 13 Good afternoon, Board Members in Sacramento. The
- 14 primary purpose of government, I think, is to provide for
- 15 and protect the health, safety, and welfare of its
- 16 citizens.
- 17 The Nursery Products Composting Facility, sited
- 18 outdoors, subject to high wind occurrences is loaded with
- 19 serious environmental impacts that have been
- 20 insufficiently addressed or mitigated in the San
- 21 Bernardino County CEQA review process. The applicant has
- 22 somehow convinced our county government that its profits
- 23 are more important than are the health, safety, and
- 24 welfare of our citizens.
- 25 Please carefully consider the health and

1 environmental hazards inherent in this project proposal,

- 2 which the scientific community has pointed out. Yours is
- 3 a position of great responsibility in this matter. If
- 4 there be any chance of error in your final decision,
- 5 please let it be on the side of caution.
- 6 Thank you very much.
- 7 (Applause.)
- 8 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Ms. Jessie Orr followed by
- 9 Mark Orr.
- 10 MS. ORR: Good afternoon. I wasn't going to come
- 11 today because I just got out of the hospital and I'm kind
- 12 of shaky. But I learned something while I was in the
- 13 hospital. By the way, my name is Jessie Orr. I live at
- 14 36714 Hidden River Road. I have lived there for 34 years.
- 15 And I just cannot believe the things they want to
- 16 do to our small community. And the one thing that I --
- 17 that really hit me in the face, when I left the hospital,
- 18 they put me on morphine patches. And they came in and
- 19 they discussed it with me, and they said, "Now when you
- 20 took this patch off every three days, you are to very
- 21 carefully fold it. Don't touch it. Don't put it in the
- 22 trash. It will kill or make your animals very sick.
- 23 Flush it down the toilet."
- 24 And I thought about that and what we're fighting
- $25\,$ here. And they are going to put all this stuff -- I'm not

1 the only one that's going to have morphine patches -- in

- 2 the sewage. And they are going to go out there and they
- 3 are going to turn it what, five times a day, going to take
- 4 15 days to destroy it.
- 5 Well, how are we going to stop the wind from
- 6 blowing in that 15 days? Is somebody going to stand out
- 7 there and say "halt" while we stir this stuff? It's not
- going to work. So that's all I want to say. 8
- 9 I thank everybody for coming. I would like to
- thank Mr. Gomez for reminding the Board and everyone else 10
- 11 that the people who are making these decisions don't even
- live in Hinkley or Barstow. And that's sad. Because you 12
- 13 see all these people are -- there are more people who are
- 14 against this that are unable to even come to these
- 15 meetings. And I just want to remind you that, think of
- us. Think of Hinkley. Think of Barstow. 16
- And another thing, I don't know how they measure 17
- their distance from the site. I have asked them that 18
- 19 numerous times. I live in what is considered Hinkley
- Valley Acres. And if you go by the wind, I'm 5 miles from 20
- 21 where the site is. I don't know where the 8 miles comes
- in. And so I just would like to remind the Board about 22
- 23 that and check on all of this. And all the things that
- 24 everybody has mentioned, they are all good. And none of
- 25 it goes along with what Mr. "whatever-his-name-is" from

- 1 the Nursery Products has been telling us. It's not true.
- 2 (Applause.)
- 3 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Mr. Mark Orr followed by
- 4 Betty Hulen.
- 5 MR. ORR: Hello. Thank you, Board Members, for
- 6 letting me speak and everyone here. I too live at 36714
- 7 Hidden River Road in Hinkley, California.
- 8 The best way to avoid a disaster is to avoid
- 9 entirely the situation that may allow it to occur. The
- 10 heat and wind are inescapable facts in this region, the
- 11 Mojave Desert, which work against presumed science for
- 12 operating this massive open air Hawes facility. 30- to
- 13 60-plus-mile-per-hour winds; 80-plus-miles-per-hour are
- 14 even common.
- 15 But also, the Hawes site is an area known for
- 16 abrasive sandstorms and occasional flash foods. Both high
- 17 winds and heat dry the huge compost mounds. What will
- 18 happen if this massive open area facility catches fire?
- 19 Spontaneous combustion is even a risk.
- 20 I believe the high winds will quickly transport
- 21 sparks and embers into the Hinkley area and beyond.
- 22 Thousands of people and homes exist downwind from the
- 23 Hawes site despite its advertised remote location.
- 24 Watering the windrows more to prevent a fire
- 25 danger will only cause another problem. Moisture and heat

1 won't treat gaseous emissions, causing odors as well as

- 2 VOC, producing methane, carbon dioxide, and dioxins.
- 3 On the CIWMB Web site, I noticed a page titled
- 4 "Global Climate Change." Please show your concern now,
- 5 for I believe the location environment and open air design
- of the Hawes site will ensure it becomes a massive
- 7 contributor to global warming, despite what I heard the
- 8 representative say.
- 9 Making comparisons of the huge compost mounds
- 10 planned for the Hawes site with the manure piles of
- 11 Hinkley would be like comparing mountains to molehills.
- 12 Use of insecticides, that control insects, will
- 13 allow poisonous vectors to transport themselves to
- 14 surrounding people and wildlife. What happens if people
- 15 and domestic animals comes into contact with a large
- 16 number of these insects? What will happen if poultry
- 17 chucker, quail, pigeons, doves, or any of the 250-plus
- 18 species of migratory birds at the nearby Harper Lake
- 19 ingest large numbers of poisoned insects? I believe that
- 20 the tortoise wildlife on an adjacent Fremont/Kramer
- 21 category one endangered desert habitat will be at risk.
- 22 Adding a water well to the Hawes site to assist
- 23 fire control merely allows an easier avenue for
- 24 contaminants to enter the water table. I really have
- 25 little confidence in liners under mounds or ponds.

- On May 4, 2007, a letter was sent to various
- 2 citizens by San Bernardino County Supervisor Brad
- 3 Mitzelfelt who stated, quote, "The groundwater basin at
- 4 Hawes is separated physically from any basins near
- 5 populated areas, including Hinkley, by bedrock formations
- 6 and fault lines, " end quote.
- 7 Now, either the geology of the Mojave Desert has
- 8 miraculously changed or Supervisor Mitzelfelt is unaware
- 9 of the true geology of this region, in my opinion.
- 10 The community -- and I live in West Hinkley, east
- 11 of the Hawes site -- receives a bulk of the groundwater
- 12 from the Sierras and the Panamints. This water traveled
- 13 along hundreds of miles of winding fault lines, Horst and
- 14 Graben Valleys, and layers of clays. Pending overdraft or
- 15 drought, nothing stops this water's progress from distant
- 16 mountains to Hinkley. Once this water descends into the
- 17 Mojave desert, it finds itself trapped within an
- 18 internally draining system. No complex system of rivers
- 19 exist here to dilute contaminants or flush them into the
- 20 ocean. All accumulates into great concentrations, which
- 21 is why we oppose this site. It's a contaminant risk.
- 22 Ending, I would like to say, please, I request the
- 23 CIWMB to defend the health and quality of life of the
- 24 citizens of San Bernardino County, California. In the
- 25 region surrounding the Hawes site -- Hinkley, Barstow

1 included. As citizens of Hinkley, California, I beg that

- 2 you don't let this thing come in.
- 3 I request that the California Integrated Waste
- 4 Management Board not provide or uphold a permit for
- 5 Nursery Products, LLC, at the Hawes site in Hinkley
- 6 California.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 (Applause.)
- 9 CHIEF COUNSEL BLOCK: Ms. Betty Hulen followed by
- 10 Erika Schneider.
- 11 MS. HULEN: Hello. My name is Betty Hulen. I've
- 12 lived in Barstow for 39 years. And when they say that the
- 13 sludge that's coming from this area isn't going to reach
- 14 us because we're 2 miles away, we know that isn't true.
- 15 What Mr. Diaz said at the end of his speech is exactly
- 16 true. And another thing, when we're watching the sludge
- 17 from Sacramento, when they were talking about the sludge
- 18 from our sewer plant here, if anybody that had been past
- 19 that, knows the smell that comes from it and the -- it's
- 20 not very pleasant to be around. Again, I just wish to say
- 21 that I concur with all the previous speakers.
- Thank you.
- 23 (Applause.)
- 24 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Erika Schneider followed
- 25 by Jay Potter.

1 MS. SCHNEIDER: My name is Erika Schneider. And

- 2 I've lived in Hinkley. I've lived there for 48, 49 years.
- 3 I have five children that went to school here. And we
- 4 moved out here, basically because we wanted to be out.
- 5 And I love it out here, and I don't think we need to cause
- 6 our air to be polluted. I think if they put in a
- 7 facility, do it the right way and line it and dome it.
- 8 And if that's what they want to do, all right. But don't
- 9 destroy our air, which is a hundred percent better than
- 10 anywhere else. And we don't have the -- I don't have the
- 11 problems of breathing that I had down below for years.
- 12 And we need to continue to have our fresh air in
- 13 our community for families to grow. And I don't think
- 14 that this kind of facility will help.
- 15 (Applause.)
- 16 CHIEF COUNSEL BLOCK: Jay Potter followed by
- 17 Virginia Davis.
- 18 MR. POTTER: Jay Potter and I'm a resident of
- 19 Hinkley.
- 20 First, I would like to say that I agree with
- 21 what's been said prior to this, but I have to put my two
- 22 cents in. First, I want to thank you for taking the time
- 23 to hear what the residents of Hinkley and the surrounding
- 24 area have to say. I'm here to ask your help to stop this
- 25 sludge dump.

- 1 On the 14th, you will vote on one of the largest
- 2 open air sludge dumps in the state. This facility will be
- 3 a large scale copy to the one Nursery Products ran in the
- 4 town of Adelanto, which was closed by court order.
- 5 Nursery Products has proven they cannot be trusted
- 6 to operate a facility of this type. The facility in
- 7 Hinkley will import 400,000 tons of sewage waste yearly
- 8 from areas that are not specified. This waste will be
- 9 mixed dirt and baked in the sun in the fourth windiest
- 10 area in California. Without cover, this wind will cover
- 11 the dust, smell, and other contaminants 8 miles downwind
- 12 to my children's school and community.
- 13 If a pile that this facility overheats and catches
- 14 fire, they have no plan. They say they will have one
- 15 1,000 gallon water truck. This is nowhere near enough
- 16 water for a fire of this type.
- 17 I'm a member of the Hinkley Fire Department, and I
- 18 can tell you, this is not enough water. This facility
- 19 will do nothing to protect the groundwater or the air
- 20 quality or any part of the environment. It is just a
- 21 dump.
- There are many new technologies that deal with
- 23 this waste in a different way. All are enclosed and on
- 24 concrete with filters for dust and gases and with truck
- 25 washing facilities. This facility has none of these

- 1 things. If this facility was in your area, it would be
- 2 illegal. But in my area, it's still allowed.
- 3 Should my kids have a different level of safety
- 4 than your children? If they must dispose of their waste
- 5 in the desert, please do so responsibly. While most
- 6 counties are banning the importation of sewage sludge, our
- 7 supervisors continue to welcome it despite an overwhelming
- 8 public opposition.
- 9 Please do not allow this dump to be open as it is
- 10 proposed. Thank you.
- 11 (Applause.)
- 12 CHIEF COUNSEL BLOCK: Virginia Davis followed by
- 13 Edna Later.
- 14 Is Virginia Davis here?
- 15 MS. DAVIS: I'm Virginia Davis. I've lived here
- 16 for over 20 years.
- 17 If you go and you bring in sewage, they were
- 18 talking about a place in Barstow where they have the
- 19 sewage there. If you notice, it's on cement. This is
- 20 going to be open ground. Where in town, it's not going to
- 21 seep through, it's going to seep through here. It's also
- 22 going to be in the air, and it's going to have a stench.
- 23 It's going to be bad. It's going to be bad for our
- 24 health, bad for our water, and also for our vegetation.
- 25 We have cattle that eat the food. We have people that

- 1 grow all sorts of cucumbers and stuff like that. We're
- 2 going to have that into our system. And yes, they can
- 3 cause a virus.
- 4 There's been notification, looking at all the
- 5 foods -- a sludge plant. It's going right next door to it
- 6 where people got infected, will be infected. We're 8
- 7 miles. The wind does blow up to over 50 miles per hour.
- 8 That's true.
- 9 But the thing is, is that we have to have it safe
- 10 for our children and for everybody. There's nothing
- 11 different than what we're doing through before. This is
- 12 the same thing. They are just doing it at a cheaper
- 13 price. Life is not cheap. Illness is not cheap. You
- 14 have to consider, we are what's living there. You are not
- 15 living there. And that shows me that you care less for
- 16 none of us; you only care for that almighty dollar. That
- 17 dollar is not going to help us one bit if we all die from
- 18 the situation.
- 19 I want us to have a healthy life. I'm hitting 54.
- 20 I want to be there for 54 more years. Okay? Let's get
- 21 healthy with this situation. If you can fix it, fine. If
- 22 you can't, go away. We don't want you.
- Thank you.
- 24 (Applause.)
- 25 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Edna Later followed by

- 1 Judy Miller.
- MS. LATER: My name is Edna Later, and I'm a
- 3 resident of Hinkley, and I'm also an organic farmer.
- 4 And the sludge or this biowaste, I would not be
- 5 able to use on my organic field, because they are really
- 6 not comparing a place -- I mean, human waste -- what do
- 7 you call it? Human waste with -- human waste with animal
- 8 waste. There's a great difference between the cows
- 9 messing out in the fields and you messing out in the
- 10 field.
- 11 Also, we're -- right now, we're experiencing smoke
- 12 from Santa Barbara winds -- I mean, Santa Barbara's fires,
- 13 right now. And this sludge dump, they said, is going to
- 14 be, what, 8 miles from Hinkley or whatever.
- 15 Well, the Hinkley school, it was going to be with
- 16 the wind, it would take about five to six minutes to get
- 17 to the Hinkley school when they are turning over this
- 18 sludge or whatever you want to call it.
- 19 I disagree with -- I agree with everything that
- 20 most of us have said so far. And we're relying on you to
- 21 stop this health hazard before it gets started.
- Thank you.
- 23 (Applause.)
- 24 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Ms. Judy Miller followed
- 25 by Martin Isaacson.

- 1 MS. MILLER: Hello. My name is Judy Miller, and I
- 2 am a breast cancer survivor. I am a resident at 35650
- 3 Harvey Road in Hinkley. Thank you for listening to us
- 4 today.
- I also want to add, I agree with everything my
- 6 previous cohorts have said. I am very much opposed to
- 7 this permit. Erin Brockovich fought the PG&E giant to
- 8 help the people of Hinkley. Many people died of cancer,
- 9 and many died. We do not want to die, and we won't go
- 10 down without a fight.
- I resent it when the president of Nursery Products
- 12 says, we are merely emotional and have no facts or proof.
- 13 Well, yes, we're emotional. This is our lives. And as
- 14 you can tell, many people here have done their homework
- 15 and have given you much proof and much facts.
- Please help us and not have this plant, dump, here
- 17 in Hinkley, because it does affect our health and our
- 18 welfare.
- 19 Thank you.
- 20 (Applause.)
- 21 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Martin Isaacson followed
- 22 by Joan Bird.
- 23 Mr. Isaacson agrees with everything that everyone
- 24 has said.
- Next speaker is Joan Bird.

- 1 MS. BIRD: Hello. My name is Joan Bird, and I
- 2 will have to agree with the fellow that passed.
- 3 I agree with everything that has been said so far,
- 4 and I think that you should deny this permit. A
- 5 difference between your committee or board and others,
- 6 say, as the water quality, they are concerned with water
- 7 quality, as they should be. The Air Board is concerned
- 8 with air as they should be. However, yours encompasses
- 9 waste management.
- 10 This is going to affect everything else -- air
- 11 quality, water quality, human health, animal health,
- 12 traffic, fire, whatever. So I would appreciate it if you
- 13 would consider this and deny this permit.
- Thank you.
- 15 (Applause.)
- 16 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Mr. Robert Hilburn
- 17 followed by Francis Church.
- 18 MR. HILBURN: My name is Robert Hilburn. I live
- 19 at 820 West Buena Vista in Barstow. I've lived in Barstow
- 20 since 1956, something like 50 years.
- 21 I have studied the geology and hydrology of Mojave
- 22 Desert most of my life. Mojave River is an upside-down,
- 23 backward river. It flows inland and away from the sea.
- 24 The area around Hinkley once was a traditional
- 25 inland Mojave Desert in antiquity. Now, because of the

- 1 way the geology has changed things, water is coming
- 2 through the Sierras and that area percolates through there
- 3 and ends up in the Mojave River. It might take a
- 4 generation, but any heavy-lead metals, mercuries, any of
- 5 those things that are in that sludge, are potentially
- 6 going to impact our wells here in Barstow. Barstow itself
- 7 has problems with its sewage treatment plant. The water
- 8 that they used to water alfalfa fields with, from the
- 9 sewage treatment plant here in town, has contaminated the
- 10 wells on Silt Mine Road to the point where people can't
- 11 even use it anymore.
- Now, I beg you people to defend the health and
- 13 welfare of the people of the Hinkley Valley and the
- 14 Barstow area. Because this sort of thing -- the only way
- 15 you can clean up a toxic waste dump like this is not to
- 16 allow it to occur.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 (Applause.)
- 19 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Francis Church followed by
- 20 Brent Lautzenheiser.
- MR. CHURCH: Hello. As you know, my name is
- 22 Francis Church.
- I would like to say good day to the Board members.
- 24 Good day to the Board members. And I live in Barstow,
- 25 California, and in the fly zone for dust particulate that

- 1 will rain in on everybody when the winds of Barstow
- 2 reaches their usual high speeds.
- 3 This fly zone will includes Edwards Air Force
- 4 Base, Lancaster, Boron, and other points west. Let's not
- 5 forget Daggett, Thermal, Baker, and Las Vegas and points
- 6 east when --
- 7 You want me to speak into this thing?
- 8 Oh, come on now.
- 9 And by the way, this is the fourth windiest place
- 10 in the state of California. Just wanted to point that out
- 11 to anybody who thinks that the wind dies down around here.
- 12 They should be here in the evening.
- 13 By the way, this compost process is an archaic
- 14 method of recycling compost. I mean, there's other ways
- 15 to doing compost. People up in Fort Irwin put it in bags.
- 16 They're a smaller place of course. And the number of bags
- 17 we would have to have, for 400,000 tons, would just be
- 18 outlandish. But there's other ways of doing compost.
- 19 One point is very clear, in my study of the
- 20 situation, is that sewage sludge is definitely hazardous
- 21 to yourself. Congress even pointed that out in 1987 when
- 22 they said -- or 1975, Congress has long recognized that
- 23 sludge is hazardous to the environment. Congress has
- 24 always been very clear about its intent. It is the
- 25 national view that the discharge and pollutants to sewage,

- 1 sewage sludge, and radioactive material into navigatable
- 2 [sic] waters will be eliminated. Taking sludge out of the
- 3 treatment plant and dumping it on farmland where the toxic
- 4 hazards could run off into the waters was not an option
- 5 congress considered when it said, "It is a national policy
- 6 that discharge of toxic pollutants, toxic, hazardous
- 7 chemicals and pathogens in toxic dumps should be
- 8 prohibited." Congress knew it.
- 9 Land application and sewage sludge written in the
- 10 U.S. Regulations by Alan Harrison, Cornell University,
- 11 thank you, she [sic] said it's bad. One of our local
- 12 registered nurses said it was bad. And she gave us the
- 13 spores that would be blowing in on us, and we could
- 14 breathe them and take it in.
- 15 I would also like to say that -- I have one more
- 16 point. Just one more point, please, that Nursery Products
- 17 LLC is not without being tried in court to lose. And they
- 18 were taken into court. And one of the rules, PRC 44300,
- 19 said that an applicant for a license who applies to the
- 20 license, the agency determines the applicant has, during
- 21 the previous three years, been convicted of or issued a
- 22 final order for one of the violations of this division.
- 23 The regulations adopts it pursuant to the terms and
- 24 conditions of the permit. And a violation meets both of
- 25 the following criteria. And I won't go into the criteria.

- 1 They can read it themselves. They know the rules.
- 2 Thank you.
- 3 So I would just like to thank everybody for
- 4 listening to me ramble on.
- 5 I would appreciate the Board object to the
- 6 issuance of the proposed permit as submitted by the LEA,
- 7 PRC Section 440900.
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 (Applause.)
- 10 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Mr. Lautzenheiser concurs
- 11 with the previous speakers.
- 12 Ms. LaVella Tomlinson. And while she's on the way
- 13 up, the court reporter has relayed a message to us. For
- 14 those of you folks who have written statements that you
- 15 are reading, if you could give me either today or later in
- 16 the week, a copy of that, it would help our court
- 17 reporter.
- 18 So if you could get those maybe to Mr. Diaz. My
- 19 cards are on the table. You can give them directly --
- 20 e-mail them directly to me. Either way.
- 21 Thank you.
- MS. TOMLINSON: My name is LaVella Tomlinson. I
- 23 live at 531 Lance Drive, Barstow, California. And I
- 24 recognize the effects of the sewage sludge dump does not
- 25 respect politically-drawn community line.

- 1 I would like to thank you for this chance to
- 2 speak. I am a registered nurse with certification in both
- 3 critical care and public health nursing.
- 4 A problem solved with a scientific viewpoint:
- 5 When considering permits for projects that have
- 6 environmental impact, the data to make informed decisions
- 7 should be appropriate and have adequate scientific data.
- 8 From the EIR reports for this open air sewage
- 9 sludge dump, I have my doubts in several areas: One
- 10 example of inadequate data is in the air quality reports.
- 11 The annual average wind speed at Daggett is reported at 15
- 12 miles per hour in the EIR. But the desert is notorious
- 13 for its high winds. Using an average isn't the problem in
- 14 this decision making. This occurred last June 7th. The
- 15 average wind speed reported to the National Weather
- 16 Service from Daggett was 10 miles per hour on June 7th.
- 17 However, during the day the wind exceeded 60 miles an
- 18 hour. There was a wall of dust that obscured visibility
- 19 on the highway. However, the wind died down in the
- 20 evening and the average came out 10 miles per hour.
- 21 A couple of weeks before this, the wind on the
- 22 freeway was 60 to 80 miles per hour. It triggered a chain
- 23 reaction accident that people died in. And so this is not
- 24 unusual in this community.
- 25 This average data is what is misleading. The EIR

- 1 requires that the operator may use the wind velocity once
- 2 a day. It doesn't even require them to measure it before
- 3 they turn the windrows. Then the operator reports this
- 4 data to the LEA once a month.
- Now, my problem is, this is the same operator and
- 6 the same LEA agency that monitored in Adelanto. And over
- 7 a thousand complaints in Adelanto, the LEA never confirmed
- 8 any one of them as being valid, even though residents'
- 9 reports and the L.A. Department of Water and Power
- 10 Measurement said otherwise. I feel that this should be
- 11 taken in consideration before approving a permit for this
- 12 company.
- 13 At the PowerPoint presentation last year, Nursery
- 14 Products representative had a bag of Kellogg's compact,
- 15 which they said represented their finished product. It
- 16 was totally dry. This dry product will be stored on the
- 17 site for up to two years. And I cannot believe that our
- 18 60-mile-an-hour winds will not blow it.
- 19 The other thing this wind blows is the aspergillus
- 20 fungus. It survives the composting process. Cornell
- 21 University says it is the worst pathogen in sewage sludge.
- 22 As a nurse, I can tell you that anyone downwind can be
- 23 affected and have a health and life threatening disease
- 24 caused by this aspergilla. I would like to know why an
- 25 enclosed facility that controls the pathogen and airborne

- 1 emission is not being required.
- 2 Thank you very much.
- 3 (Applause.)
- 4 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Mr. Snively followed by
- 5 Henry James.
- 6 MR. SNIVELY: Good afternoon to the Board of
- 7 Integrated Waste Management and to everybody here in this
- 8 hall that has come up to support helphinkley.org.
- 9 My name is Wayne L. Snively, professional
- 10 engineer. I live at 47323 Armlink Grove in Newberry
- 11 Springs, California.
- 12 I oppose this permit to your Board 100 percent. I
- 13 have listened to all the other speakers. So I will now
- 14 enumerate all the excellent points they have put on the
- 15 table for you that are factual, logical, and backward
- 16 facts.
- 17 I resent Mr. Meberg badmouthing us, professionally
- 18 calling us names behind our back and at the amount of
- 19 professional weight toward us.
- I would like to refer to Agenda Item No. 1 for
- 21 your Board Meeting August 14th about the Nursery Products
- 22 product in Adelanto. They didn't repair that location.
- 23 They were evicted by court law order. They were evicted
- 24 twice, not once, but twice. And they are like getting --
- 25 (speaker's comments through teleconference were

57

1 unintelligible) -- in charge of our services and our

- 2 security forces.
- 3 Number 2 is that -- (speaker's comments through
- 4 teleconference were unintelligible) -- Department of
- 5 Health Service, San Bernardino, does not enforce any of
- 6 these ordinances. So once you would say yes, we will have
- 7 no enforcement. And Newberry Springs -- (speaker's
- 8 comments through teleconference were unintelligible). I'm
- 9 sorry.
- 10 I don't know why San Bernardino took this forward.
- 11 But it is, I guess, paying the supervisor \$16,000 to hide
- 12 other locations and then they hire some high -- (speaker's
- 13 comments through teleconference were unintelligible). It
- 14 is not good.
- 15 And I would just like to go back to what I
- 16 submitted. And in my opinion, the Department of
- 17 Environmental Health Services and Land Use Services,
- 18 environmental impact report, the planning commission, the
- 19 board of supervisors, did not review any of the
- 20 documentary evidence, testimony, or presentations made by
- 21 and submitted by project opponents. You need to check
- 22 their scientific credentials, because of problems with the
- 23 sewage sludge operation and hazardous industrial waste.
- In closing, as a civil engineer, we are not a
- 25 toxic waste dump here in Hinkley. We are not a toxic

- 1 waste dump here in the desert.
- 2 L.A. can put a digestive plant to digest all this
- 3 stuff. Get it down to proper size. If they can do more
- 4 testing, not volunteer testing, and then they can decide
- 5 whether this is toxic or not.
- 6 And I think that you have to deny this permit on a
- 7 technical basis. And thank you for listening to me. And
- 8 I'm just trying to be factual because everybody here has
- 9 taken their time to represent Hinkley and this process.
- 10 Thank you for letting me speak.
- 11 (Applause.)
- 12 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Henry James followed by
- 13 Norma Wilt.
- 14 MR. JAMES: Thank you, Board Members, for
- 15 listening to us today and above and beyond what our county
- 16 did for us, and not listen to us at all.
- 17 I just want to make a few little short points
- 18 here. And first off, they say this stuff is going to be
- 19 dry, but at a hundred truck loads a day, going there, and,
- 20 melting down at 130 degrees, and they'll be rolling it
- 21 over in the wind, that stuff is never going to melt or dry
- 22 out or go away. It's just going to blow downwind.
- 23 Number two is, is when they were in Adelanto, with
- 24 their wonderful Nursery Products, they didn't have one
- 25 buyer for any of their product. It just sat there and

- 1 just stunk. And the whole town was covered with black
- 2 flies and it shut down the whole business community in
- 3 downtown Adelanto.
- 4 What really disturbs me is the Ventura fire that
- 5 we're having right now, that's over 200 miles away, and
- 6 the smoke is right at ground level and is blowing right
- 7 through Hinkley and Barstow as we speak.
- 8 And they think only 8 miles away, we're not going
- 9 to smell it? Well, you can smell a forest fire here at
- 10 200 miles away. And right now, we have flies as thick as
- 11 they can be because they moved up the dairies from
- 12 Ontario, California, up to here to housing tracts down
- 13 below.
- 14 And so now we have all their flies. And you can
- 15 smell the refuse from the dairy farms, which is a heck of
- 16 a lot better than human waste. Also, the state of
- 17 California has said, well, it's all right to use human
- 18 waste on our vegetable crops. And not more than a year
- 19 ago, do you remember the spinach? People were getting
- 20 sick, and three or four people died of that. And it keeps
- 21 happening now, again and again and again.
- 22 And so, in closing remarks, this sludge that they
- 23 are proposing and saying how safe it is and all that stuff
- 24 for your gardens, it is just a fallacy and it's just an
- 25 idea -- it's just their idea to get in the door and

1 pollute at will. And the state of California leads the

2 nations in sewage spills right now, because these private

- 3 companies get in here and there's no standards and that's
- 4 why the beaches are closed once a week. And it's all
- 5 because of the last standards. Once we give them that
- 6 approval, they just throw all the rules and regulations
- 7 away.
- 8 So again, please don't let this company go here
- 9 unless they have an enclosed facility. And then we'll be
- 10 more than happy to do that and then they could make gas
- 11 out of it for their -- for the public transportation.
- 12 Thank you very much.
- 13 (Applause.)
- 14 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Ms. Norma Wilt followed by
- 15 Robert Conaway.
- MS. WILT: Hello. My name is Norma Wilt. I live
- 17 in Barstow. I moved up here two years ago from a place
- 18 that they didn't take care of the sewage and whatever it
- 19 is. And black mold grew on the walls, rats were
- 20 crawling -- (speaker's comments through teleconference
- 21 were unintelligible).
- 22 Right now, you know, because some people, you see
- 23 no dumping in Barstow. People are dumping. I have to
- 24 take Atarax or whatever because I get rashes on me and
- 25 whatnot. And I think -- if you could see the people that

- 1 are sitting in the room right now, a lot of people are
- 2 elderly and handicapped and stuff like that. And I don't
- 3 care -- God made this earth, you know, and it's getting
- 4 destroyed, you know. And to have an open sewage plant,
- 5 you know, I can smell smoke. I drive down to a hill, you
- 6 know. The cars slide all over the road and they think
- 7 this stuff isn't going to spread into the water? The
- 8 water's terrible now. I mean, you pick it off.
- 9 The stuff that's in the water, the chromium and
- 10 everything else, I pray to God, I pray to God, that you
- 11 consider that this is -- this -- people live in the
- 12 desert. Don't you have sympathy and understanding for
- 13 people that are farmers out here that want and have
- 14 animals? And people out here in the desert, we love our
- 15 animals. We love our animals. And we love our children
- 16 and we love our elderly.
- 17 We also have an Army base out here that are
- 18 training for the war. So please, please, in Sacramento,
- 19 please, in the name of God, please, take consideration of
- 20 this bill that you are trying to pass.
- 21 And I thank the council, for what you said, and
- 22 all the people. I don't live in Hinkley. You know, I
- 23 wish more people were here from Barstow. I'm sure they
- 24 want to, but that's okay. And please consider as I
- 25 said -- you know, I listen to what everybody has said and

1 I hope and pray to God that this does not happen here in

- 2 Hinkley.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 (Applause.)
- 5 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Robert Conaway followed by
- 6 Bette Moses.
- 7 MR. CONAWAY: Again, I would like to thank the
- 8 Board for giving us an opportunity for input. My name is
- 9 Bob Conaway. I live at 22562 Quires Road in Hinkley.
- 10 I want to draw the Board's attention to their own
- 11 agenda item, Item 1, which talks about background. And I
- 12 think it's very important that the Board acknowledge this
- 13 and reconsider its decision.
- 14 It says that the facility anticipates
- 15 approximately half of the material process will be
- 16 biosolids and half will be green waste. But the ratio is
- 17 not set in the permit. So any combination of biosolids
- 18 and green waste up to 2000 tons per day is acceptable.
- 19 You are giving the keys to the henhouse to the
- 20 fox. Basically, you are talking about 2000 pounds of
- 21 sludge per day that they could bring in. They could turn
- 22 this into a spreading operation not unlike what they had
- 23 toward California City in the area of Kent Hill.
- 24 This is going to have a hardened surface
- 25 underneath it. If we allow them without a specific set

- 1 regulation of what the mix of biosolids and sludge is
- 2 going to be basically brought in this terms of green
- 3 waste, you are going to give them the opportunity to
- 4 basically make this a health hazard. And this is
- 5 extremely important because contrary to what was suggested
- 6 by the Nursery Products representative, letters have gone
- 7 out to the LEA which have said exactly what and why this
- 8 is dangerous.
- 9 We heard from a nurse today. I have submitted,
- 10 previously, to the LEA the University of Pennsylvania
- 11 Biosolids Study and the Cornell Biosolids Study. And they
- 12 are very important, because they have limited windrows.
- 13 Three to six feet in the University of Pennsylvania were
- 14 turned. These windrows are going to be as much as 12 feet
- 15 tall and 30 feet at the base.
- So we're dealing with a much larger windrow that's
- 17 going to be turned. You have more chemistry. You have
- 18 more dynamics, and you have more issues involved. If you
- 19 don't establish the ratio -- and of course, there is
- 20 nothing that Nursery Products has ever fessed up to in
- 21 terms of biosolids and in terms of the green waste, you
- 22 will create more nitrogen, you will create ammonia, you
- 23 will create more of a hazard which will create these types
- of secondary pathogens.
- 25 And one of those secondary pathogens have nothing

- 1 to do with the windrow process, have nothing to do with
- 2 the static pile process, but have to do with the finished
- 3 product.
- 4 If you don't maintain a 45-degree Centigrade,
- 5 which is about 113 degrees throughout the year -- just a
- 6 moment, and I'll be finished here. You will clear the
- 7 second pathogen as tolerant to the heats. It's called
- 8 aspergillus fumigatus, which is called Brown Lung Disease.
- 9 And this is what the product is that's going to be taken
- 10 to other communities.
- 11 So one of the arguments about the overriding
- 12 considerations as being the basis for the LEA approving
- 13 this grant is that you are going to produce a safe
- 14 product. It's not going to produce a safe product because
- 15 this permit does not mandate any particular blend or ratio
- 16 of what these items are.
- 17 And also, I want to add one other thing. I don't
- 18 know about the rest of you, but we have 8 degree
- 19 temperatures here in the winter. So I don't know how long
- 20 this facility can stay open in the wintertime. It gets
- 21 really cold here and that interferes with the chemistry
- 22 process.
- 23 Final point. The prohibitions of Section 14 of
- 24 the Solid Waste Facility Permit, it says that the
- 25 permittee is prohibited from accepting hazardous,

- 1 radioactive, medical, liquid, designated, or other waste
- 2 requiring special treatment or handling.
- 3 There's nobody to test this. There's no
- 4 requirement in this permit that every load be given a
- 5 broad spectrum test for these materials. Result: We
- 6 don't know what's going to be there.
- 7 In fact, we've been told by the LEA and by Nursery
- 8 Products that they don't even have to have a full-time
- 9 on-site supervisor, much less a qualified one. It's bad
- 10 business, bad policy. And you're going to create a hazard
- 11 which you wish you never did.
- 12 (Applause.)
- 13 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Ms. Bette Moses followed
- 14 by Shirley Ramsey.
- MS. MOSES: Good afternoon. My name is Bette
- 16 Moses. I'm a Realtor in Barstow, and I want to thank you
- 17 for having this hearing.
- 18 I came to Barstow from Corona Del Mar in Orange
- 19 County in August 2004. I'm in many local organizations
- 20 and dearly love this city. We are called "Windy City,"
- 21 which tells you that the strong winds usually blowing from
- 22 the west will carry all the thickness and pollution from
- 23 the biosolids and destroy this town as well as the
- 24 surrounding areas.
- 25 Barstow is growing, but no one will come here if

- 1 the composting facility is allowed. Not only that, many
- 2 will have to leave. And that will be a financial
- 3 hardship. Huge investments will be sacrificed. And think
- 4 of the marine base and then the national guard. They
- 5 can't leave and their family will be subject to the
- 6 illness. Fort Irwin is probably far enough way --
- 7 (speaker's comments through teleconference were
- 8 unintelligible.) I'm not sure though.
- 9 California's real estate market is in difficulty.
- 10 Barstow will go to zilch. I implore you to object to the
- 11 issuance of the proposed and not subject to the -- and not
- 12 subject the residents of Hinkley to further illnesses, as
- 13 they have had to deal with in the past.
- 14 Thank you for your time.
- 15 (Applause.)
- 16 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Shirley Ramsey followed by
- 17 Jackee Conaway.
- 18 MS. RAMSEY: Good afternoon. I'm new to this
- 19 town. I just moved here three years ago.
- 20 I feel what is happening here is a crime. They
- 21 should be punished for what they are trying to do to us.
- 22 And they are only doing it because we're a small place and
- 23 they are just dumping everything they want to up here, and
- 24 it's not right. And you think it's not going to affect
- 25 Barstow, but it will. It will affect your houses, your

- 1 children, the schools, everything, the air we breathe.
- 2 And talking about the birds, now, them birds will
- 3 take that stuff and bring it to your yard, to your trees,
- 4 they will drop it on your sidewalk, on your porch, so
- 5 there you have it. And you have children out in that yard
- 6 and they will pick that up. So it's possible.
- 7 And the businesses, when they come here, they will
- 8 look out there in the desert and say, "What's that thing
- 9 out there in the desert?"
- 10 We'll say, "Oh, that's the sludge. You will have
- 11 a flyswatter in one hand and a mask in the other so you
- 12 can put it over your nose so you can breathe."
- 13 So I think they should be horse whipped and kicked
- 14 out of town is the way I look at it. Put then on a train,
- 15 horse-feather them, and put them on a train, and ship them
- 16 where they belong, down the hill, which they don't care
- 17 what they do to us.
- 18 Down the hill, all they are interested in is the
- 19 money. Just how much can we get away with without paying
- 20 anybody anything? And you think they could cover it or
- 21 something. Well, that would cost money. Could they cover
- 22 it, enclose it? They won't do that because that will cost
- 23 money. It's all about the money and what they can get
- 24 away with.
- 25 And I resent the fact that they can come up here

- 1 and do this to our town. And I think -- I think they
- 2 should be punished.
- 3 That's all I have to say.
- 4 (Applause.)
- 5 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Jackee Conaway followed by
- 6 Carrol Greenwood.
- 7 MS. CONAWAY: Hi. I'm Jackee Conaway. I live in
- 8 Hinkley. And I'm opposed to this, of course. I don't
- 9 feel like having E. coli. I don't feel like having flies.
- 10 I don't feel like -- everything that everybody said today,
- 11 I think you should listen to all the scientific knowledge
- 12 that we have here. I just -- I'm overwhelmed. I don't
- 13 want to stand here and reiterate everything that was said.
- I think it's a really bad idea to give Nursery
- 15 Products a permit. I think that they should just go away
- and we should have a state-of-the-art facility, somebody
- 17 that wants to spend the money and do it right. Okay?
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 (Applause.)
- 20 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Carrol Greenwood followed
- 21 by Aaron Conaway.
- MS. GREENWOOD: My name is Carrol Greenwood, and I
- 23 live in Hinkley. I've been there over 40 years.
- 24 And everything that has been said is true. I have
- 25 nothing to add except one item which has not been

- 1 specifically stated. And that is the fact that it's
- 2 already been determined, our air quality will be affected
- 3 and there is no mitigation for the problem.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 (Applause.)
- 6 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Aaron Conaway followed by
- 7 Steve Smith.
- 8 MR. CONAWAY: Thank you. My name is Aaron
- 9 Conaway. I live in Barstow. And I want to say thank you
- 10 to the Board for taking the time to hear our testimony
- 11 today.
- 12 And I agree with everybody here that's opposed to
- 13 this and why. And moreso because we have to remember that
- 14 we -- that we're the people, we're the ones who decide
- 15 what is best for ourselves through government, and to
- 16 remember that we're a nation, we're a public. And if you
- 17 break that up into two -- (speaker's comments through
- 18 teleconference were unintelligible). We're what's
- 19 important. And our testimony is vastly greater than what
- 20 our government thinks. And it needs to take that into
- 21 context when it's making a decision that it's what's best
- 22 for the people.
- Thank you.
- 24 (Applause.)
- 25 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Steve Smith followed by

- 1 Gail Fry.
- 2 MR. SMITH: Hello. My name is Steve Smith. I
- 3 live in beautiful downtown Barstow.
- 4 And I'm a helpful sort. And I have been reading
- 5 your paperwork, CIWMB, your paperwork, and you basically
- 6 need a reason to deny this. And I have a reason for you.
- 7 And in Section 3 of the agenda item, it says here, the
- 8 consistency with state minimum standards. And it doesn't
- 9 meet state minimum standards. I contend, it doesn't even
- 10 meet federal standards.
- 11 The process that Nursery Products used for the
- 12 sludge is called static pile processing. I also call it
- 13 haul and dump. Basically, it's a composting process where
- 14 they don't do anything with it. They just take it out in
- 15 the desert and dump it. This process is illegal due to
- 16 503 federal EPA regulations.
- 17 They were supposed to submit this to a place
- 18 called the Pathogen Equivalency Committee and have an
- 19 approved process. The Pathogen Equivalency Committee set
- 20 this. They never have done this. So by that fact, it's
- 21 illegal by federal law.
- 22 Also, the static pile process does not meet
- 23 California regulations. California regulations say that
- 24 you have to turn the piles five times per cycle and five
- 25 times per 60 days, if memory serves. This facility will

- 1 not turn the piles.
- Now, you won't be reading about static pile
- 3 processing in the EIR or any of the paperwork because
- 4 Nursery Products knows this. County knows this, and they
- 5 want to get it through underneath your nose.
- 6 And this also raises a good point. Our LEA, our
- 7 county representatives, in this portion, we do not trust
- 8 them. We don't do not believe them.
- 9 First off, the person that was proposing this
- 10 facility, and the very first was Bill Postman [phonetic],
- 11 our supervisor. And according to the L.A. Times, he's
- 12 received \$16,000 along with his hand puppet Mitzelfelt
- 13 from Nursery Products. So this puts the information in a
- 14 little bit of weird light in the beginning.
- 15 But the LEA has showed nothing but contempt and
- 16 rudeness to our people. During the planning commission
- 17 meeting in November, Judy Riorson [phonetic], who is our
- 18 representative from the LEA at the time, wrote a letter
- 19 written by the LEA saying basically, because we exercise
- 20 our God-given rights to peaceful assembly, we should be
- 21 ignored and that we don't know what we're talking about.
- 22 So anything that the LEA tells you about this
- 23 facility should be taken with a healthy dose of salt.
- 24 Thank you very much.
- 25 (Applause.)

- 1 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Gail Fry followed by Tammy
- 2 Coddington.
- 3 MS. FRY: Good afternoon. Thanks to the Waste
- 4 Management Board for allowing us to be here, including our
- 5 public comment. I hope that they consider it with the
- 6 importance that it should have.
- 7 I would like to, of course, remind them of their
- 8 responsibility to protect the health and safety and
- 9 welfare to the community as well as everybody in the high
- 10 desert, because these biowaste solids do not stay still.
- 11 There's wind, there's water. So I believe that the entire
- 12 high desert will be affected by this plan if it is
- 13 approved as it is. Obviously, I oppose the approval as it
- 14 is. It should be enclosed.
- 15 We have obviously a growing problem with handling
- of sludge and obviously a growing sludge problem because
- 17 there's more development. So we, as a community, and our
- 18 government and the businesses need to come up with a safe
- 19 way of handling this sludge other than allowing an open
- 20 facility like this, where it will be spread around by the
- 21 air and the water. No doubt about it, it will affect
- 22 large amounts of people.
- 23 Don't experiment with the health and safety of
- 24 this community and its people and its children. They are
- 25 not an experiment. You can't put a price on life. And

1 you can't put a price on good health. There are children

- 2 here involved. Their whole lives could be affected if
- 3 they breathe in or they eat, or you know how children are,
- 4 they get into things. So this is a very important factor
- 5 involved here.
- 6 So one of the issues that has not really been
- 7 talked about by the prior speakers is the safety of the
- 8 travelers on the road. We have 400,000 tons of biosolids
- 9 that are going to be coming up here per year. How many
- 10 trucks is that per day?
- 11 The roads here are not sufficient to handle this
- 12 in a safe manner. You have two-lane highways that are not
- 13 divided. You can not tell me that there aren't going to
- 14 be more very serious accidents because of all those trucks
- 15 that are going to be traveling back and forth from this
- 16 facility. That is a very big issue that -- I mean, was it
- 17 just a month ago that five people died over there at
- 18 Kramer Junction, and we didn't even have these additional
- 19 trucks involved at this point. But that highway and
- 20 freeways need to be up graded substantially before you
- 21 approve anything like this.
- In addition, the facility is not sufficient to
- 23 protect the community around it. It needs to be enclosed,
- 24 and we need to have a safer method of dealing with this
- 25 sludge. Please do not approve the facility as is.

- 1 Thank you very much.
- 2 (Applause.)
- 3 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: Tammy Coddington.
- 4 MS. CODDINGTON: Hi. My name is Tammy Coddington.
- 5 I live at 37862 Petra Road, Hinkley.
- I have been in the Hinkley area for many years. I
- 7 don't like to live in Hinkley. That's why I keep moving
- 8 around. That's why the people in Hinkley stay there.
- 9 I have traveled to different areas and I've seen
- 10 what things do. What would happen if the one of those
- 11 trucks go down the road, there's a collision, there's a
- 12 spill, cleanup time. Well, again, here comes the flies,
- 13 like one individual said. They travel, they land on us.
- 14 They get in the house, the crevices, whatever, and they
- 15 plant their eggs. They don't plant them outside. They
- 16 plant them anywhere they can get. And this is something I
- 17 really want to make sure is clear. Please, I really am
- 18 against this.
- 19 My mother is going through cancer, skin cancer.
- 20 This thing can affect the ozone layer so bad that skin
- 21 cancer can multiply three or four times than it is now.
- 22 And it still travels down there, down the -- towards the
- 23 hill and everything because we get theirs; they get ours.
- 24 Everything travels as a vicious circle.
- 25 Losing family members from cancer and anything

- 1 else, we don't need this anymore. So we need to stand our
- 2 ground as strong as possible.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 (Applause.)
- 5 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: There are no further
- 6 speakers.
- 7 In addition to the folks who talked -- there's one
- 8 more speaker who's on his way.
- 9 Mr. Coffee [phonetic], you're here. I was told
- 10 you were not here. Please come up and make your comments.
- 11 We have a letter from Mr. Coffee. He's going to give us a
- 12 summary of that letter. We also have a letter from Fred
- 13 Stearn.
- MR. TOMLINSON: My name is Bill Tomlinson. I've
- 15 been a resident here in Barstow for approximately 30
- 16 years, and I'm with the helphinkley.org. And I was going
- 17 to apologize for covering subjects that everybody else has
- 18 here. But now I don't think I'm going to because this
- 19 needs to be covered again and again and again and again.
- 20 It can't stop.
- 21 We have to let you know, yeah, we're all aware
- 22 that sewage has become a problem. It has to be addressed
- 23 by some means other than dumping them into the ocean or
- 24 the rivers or any other place, harmful and unacceptable
- 25 places.

- 1 And other solutions to this problem have been used
- 2 for a long, long time. Is composting one of these?
- 3 Possibly.
- 4 But how? By controlled circumstances.
- 5 However, the plan proposed by Nursery Products in
- 6 its present form is equally harmful and unacceptable,
- 7 especially in light of the technology available and used
- 8 today in several locations such as Rancho Cucamonga and
- 9 Redlands.
- 10 Emission controls are a sensible answer whose time
- 11 has come. And, yes, such plants are obviously more
- 12 expensive to build and operate than simply dumping sewage
- or sludge on the open ground.
- 14 Let us please remember that we are not a third
- 15 world country who's been getting rid of human and other
- 16 waste in the same local manner for centuries. We have a
- 17 responsibility to process these materials in a way that is
- 18 least harmful to those living near such a site.
- 19 In my opinion, failure to use the covered
- 20 emissions controlling system would be similar to
- 21 discontinuing the air-conditioning and other safety and
- 22 comfort devices in our automobiles, simply because the
- 23 cost factor would be driven down and the profit margin
- 24 would increase greatly.
- 25 Composting and other sewage disposal facilities

1 should not be dealt with in terms of cost, but with the

- 2 health and well being of the people in its operating area.
- 3 Based on the subject of open air composting
- 4 operations versus the sensible and emission-controlling
- 5 coverage facilities, I'm respectfully asking you to deny
- 6 the permits requested by Nursery Products until such time
- 7 as an up-to-date covered operation can be put in place.
- 8 Thank you very much for your understanding and
- 9 hopefully positive action on our request for denial of
- 10 these permits.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 (Applause.)
- 13 STAFF COUNSEL BLEDSOE: We're switching back to
- 14 Sacramento now.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Okay. Well, first of all,
- 16 thank you, Michael for coordinating all the speakers. And
- 17 I do want to thank all of the speakers. All, I think I
- 18 counted 34 of you who did speak and made your way to
- 19 Barstow today to speak on behalf of this permit. And I
- 20 also want to commend all of you.
- 21 You did a pretty darn good job of keeping to that
- 22 two-minute limit. So thank you all.
- I think what we want to do at this point is, we
- 24 want to see if the LEA or the operator or staff would
- 25 please respond to some of the issues that were raised. I

1 mean, there were a number of issues raised, most, I think,

- 2 dealing with dust, the testing of loads. So I'm just
- 3 hoping that we can have you briefly address these issues
- 4 so that we can then deliberate and take a vote.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 7 Mark De Bie with the permitting side of things.
- 8 My take is a lot of the issues that were brought
- 9 up were certainly issues that were discussed in the
- 10 Environmental Impact Report, that staff relied on in
- 11 making our determinations relative to the site as well as
- 12 the permit application provided by the operator.
- 13 Staff certainly heard issues relative to the wind,
- 14 fire issues, some issues associated with some of the
- 15 pathogens, and the methodologies used at the site to
- 16 control and deal with potential pathogen issues at the
- 17 site.
- 18 If I could suggest that perhaps we ask the
- 19 operator to address some of the key issues as well as the
- 20 consultant for the EIR. I think they can focus you in
- 21 where that information was looked at and to what extent it
- 22 was. And then certainly staff can come back and share
- 23 additional perspective on those issues.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: That would be great. Thank
- 25 you. I would appreciate that.

- 1 I'd ask either the operator or the EIR consultant
- 2 want to come up and address some of the these issues.
- 3 MR. MEBERG: How do you want to coordinate this
- 4 portion of it? Should we all just stand here and answer
- 5 questions?
- 6 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: That's fine. I think you can
- 7 both address, or whoever's here, your team, can address
- 8 these issues. I just think it's important that some of
- 9 these issues be addressed. Mark, do you just want to go
- 10 through the list of issues?
- 11 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 12 Yeah, we can start with dust, certainly. I think we all
- 13 heard many of the speakers indicate that, perhaps, the
- 14 evaluation underestimated the wind speed, the occurrence
- 15 of the wind, the potential for that wind to pick up dust
- 16 and the particles associated with that dust and carry it
- 17 into populated areas.
- 18 So certainly, if the operator and the consultant
- 19 would like to speak to that issue, I think that would be a
- 20 very important one to start with.
- 21 MR. MEBERG: I can have the URS Corporation, who
- 22 did the EIR, address the wind and dust first, if that's
- 23 all right.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Would you please state your
- 25 name for the record?

- 1 MR. MARKS: Yes, my name is David Marks with the
- 2 URS Corporation. I'm the project manager for the
- 3 Environmental Impact Report.
- 4 I have a little bit of background. I ran the LEA
- 5 in San Diego County for 12 years before joining URS in
- 6 1991.
- 7 The Environmental Impact Report contains a
- 8 complete air quality analysis that used air quality
- 9 modeling with the screening level of health risk
- 10 assessment to assess potential impacts from toxics from
- 11 the facility.
- 12 The health risk assessment does include, modeling
- 13 and we use meteorological data, a complete data set of
- 14 meteorological data. That didn't just take the averages
- 15 but took, I believe, hourly readings of the -- the hourly
- 16 meteorologic data to do the health risk screening model.
- 17 Contrary to some of the statements that were made,
- 18 actually, the worst conditions occurred during a very
- 19 non-windy, almost, inversion layer-type condition. I
- 20 think all of you probably experienced that when you were
- 21 in San Jose or Los Angeles. The cleanest, clearest days
- 22 are after lots of wind and storms and rains. And the
- 23 dirtiest air typically happens when the air is very still
- 24 during an inverse condition.
- 25 And the analysis that we did basically concludes,

- 1 similarly, that the worst case conditions would be in
- 2 these very low level windy days or non-windy days, if you
- 3 will.
- 4 And even though that is the worst case scenario,
- 5 the residents of Hinkley, certainly of Barstow, are too
- 6 far away to experience exposure to any significant
- 7 contaminants from the project that would result in any
- 8 significant health effects.
- 9 The VOCs from the project, as we indicated in the
- 10 Environmental Impact Report, would exceed the Mojave Air
- 11 Quality District's standards for a new project. And
- 12 that's why a statement of overriding consideration was
- 13 necessary with respect to the VOC decisions.
- 14 MEMBER CHESBRO: What about the question of dust?
- 15 Because I'm not sure which you just described -- is the
- 16 kinds of things that is general to smog. But what about
- 17 the question of the wind moving dust?
- 18 MR. MARKS: Certainly, in the desert, the wind
- 19 does move dust. There are conditions that the windrows
- 20 can't be turned. The mitigation measure in the
- 21 Environmental Impact Report -- that the windrows cannot be
- 22 turned when winds are in excess of 30 miles an hour. And
- 23 then the applicant has to apply water to the windrows and
- 24 other measures to control dust. That doesn't mean that
- 25 under every circumstance the dust won't leave the project

82

1 site. It certainly will under the right set of

- 2 conditions.
- 3 However, the conclusion in the Environmental
- 4 Impact Report by our team was that those impacts would not
- 5 be significant.
- 6 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: When you talk about --
- 7 you said some of the pathogens could be covered by --
- 8 carried by the wind. When you talk about pathogens and
- 9 this aspergilla virus -- that was brought up several
- 10 times -- is that something that can be carried by vectors
- 11 or birds?
- MR. MARKS: There's very little documentation
- 13 about how that particular disease is transmitted. It does
- 14 occur very rarely. As we indicated, there's a fairly
- 15 complete writeup about that in the Environmental Impact
- 16 Report.
- 17 It has been, you know -- it's been observed very
- 18 infrequently. And the connection between aspergillus and
- 19 composting projects or sewage sludge management is pretty
- 20 speculative at this point.
- 21 When you consider the number of individuals at
- 22 sewage treatment plants that are way closer and exposed
- 23 much more significantly to sewage and sludge than
- 24 composting operations, and the fact that we don't see any
- 25 epidemiological evidence that sewage treatment or

- 1 wastewater treatment plant employees have a higher level
- 2 or a higher occurrence of the disease than other workers
- 3 in the industrial environment or in the population at
- 4 large. It's difficult to draw a conclusion that there's a
- 5 connection.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you.
- 7 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 8 Just a staff observation relative to your question, Member
- 9 Peace, is, at these kinds of facilities handling biosolids
- 10 and green waste, we don't see a lot of bird activity.
- 11 There isn't a food source. There they are not going to
- 12 feed on the sludge and the green materials. Certainly, in
- 13 a food composting facility, you do see some bird vector
- 14 situations.
- 15 So the main vector issue would be with flies and
- 16 those sorts of insects. And with proper management of the
- 17 windrow turning heat, you would reduce the likelihood of
- 18 flies, but it does take proactive measures to stay on top
- 19 of that. You can't just let your pile go, and it will
- 20 eventually set up a scenario where you could have fly
- 21 breeding. So you need to monitor for that and stay on top
- 22 of that.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you.
- I think another issue that was discussed was fire
- 25 and water capacity at the --

- 1 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 2 And before Mr. Marks steps in, Board staff did receive
- 3 some edits to the operating document that we did consider
- 4 in making our final recommendation to the Board, which did
- 5 expand upon the original submittal relative to fire.
- 6 There is better documentation now on all of the
- 7 available water sources beyond what was previously
- 8 provided to us in the form of just a water truck. There's
- 9 some tank storage that's going to be there. There's a
- 10 well that will have a certain flow rate. We're going to
- 11 certainly rely and depend upon the fire authority to, you
- 12 know, determine whether those measures are adequate. But
- 13 relative to our requirement to address those kinds of
- 14 issues, those have been addressed.
- 15 I think staff does take issue to some extent on
- 16 some of the conclusions. And certainly, Mr. Marks can
- 17 speak to this, about the frequency of fires at compost
- 18 sites. It's been our experience on a statewide basis that
- 19 they do occur; they can occur at sludge composting.
- 20 There's a number of examples.
- 21 And so we take the fire issue very, very
- 22 important, or find it to be a very important issue that we
- 23 need to focus on.
- 24 With the edits that have been provided by the
- 25 operator, we think we have a good confidence that, you

- 1 know, proactive steps are being taken. Again, we're going
- 2 to rely on the fire authority to make a determination and
- 3 the operator can tell us what their status is on that
- 4 submittal.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you.
- 6 Mr. Marks, do you want to address that?
- 7 MR. MARKS: Essentially, the Environmental Impact
- 8 Report draws similar conclusions. Fire, though infrequent
- 9 at composting facilities, do occur at composting
- 10 facilities, similar to the way the infrequently occur at
- 11 landfills. It's typically proper management practices.
- 12 The EIR does require fire breaks be installed in the event
- 13 to a fire does occur. It does require the application --
- 14 the mitigation measures requires the applicant to develop
- 15 a fire plan to the satisfaction of the county fire
- 16 marshall so that the facility's design and operation right
- 17 from the getgo is as compliant as possible in fire
- 18 prevention techniques that are applicable for a desert
- 19 facility.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Right. So they couldn't
- 21 operate if they didn't have that approval from the fire
- 22 department for their fire plan; correct?
- MR. MARKS: It's my understanding that that is a
- 24 condition of the county use permit.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Right. Okay. Thank you.

- 1 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 2 I believe another issue that I wrote down was some
- 3 questions about the processing method and whether it's
- 4 approved, the static pile. Staff's understanding in
- 5 reading the application, that the process will be an
- 6 active windrow process with turning. That meets both the
- 7 state standard and the federal requirements.
- 8 There is some language in the submittal that says
- 9 that at some time in the future they may propose to do a
- 10 static pile, which is something that they have done in the
- 11 past, at a previous site. But that -- that process will
- 12 need to be evaluated to ensure that it can meet the
- 13 pathogen and metal requirements of the pathogen
- 14 requirements in state regulations.
- 15 MEMBER CHESBRO: The way I read the description in
- 16 the Board item made it sound like it was going to be
- 17 windrows that were turned for a certain number of -- I
- 18 think for 45 days, and then a static pile for 15 days. I
- 19 think it was a combination of the two as opposed to moving
- 20 from one technique to another. It described it as a
- 21 combination.
- 22 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Yeah, yeah. It
- 23 sounds like the modified static --
- 24 MEMBER CHESBRO: I think I heard two different
- 25 things.

- 1 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 2 On page 6 of the RCSI -- I don't know if you have that
- 3 available to you, but certainly, we can make it
- 4 available -- there is a section that talks about
- 5 processing. Initially, it talks about the windrow process
- 6 that matches the state requirements as well as the federal
- 7 requirement. And then there's a paragraph that says, "In
- 8 the future, a modified static pile may be implemented."
- 9 That will be a 60-day process. And that talks about the
- 10 45-day turning and that sort of thing.
- 11 Again, that will -- that process will need to be
- 12 demonstrated to meet the requirements of the regulations
- 13 before they can fully implement that.
- 14 That would be an alternative to the regulations
- 15 and the way our regulations are set up is that the
- 16 operator would need to demonstrate that that process can
- 17 meet the requirements in state regs.
- 18 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I'm sorry. Mark,
- 19 then let me ask. So you are saying that by the permit
- 20 before us today, they can do the windrows, and then if
- 21 they want to do the combination later of the windrow and
- 22 the modified static pile, where you got the 30 and 45 days
- 23 and the 15 days at static, that's what would require
- 24 another permitting hurdle to be cleared at some later
- 25 point?

- 1 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 2 It would not necessarily require a permit action but it
- 3 would definitely require the LEA to review that request,
- 4 because that's an alternative to the regulatory
- 5 requirement. And the way the regs are set up is, the LEA
- 6 needs to review and approve those alternative methods.
- 7 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: So right now it's the
- 8 windrow and how often did they turn? Did I hear
- 9 correctly, like a half dozen times a day or something?
- 10 How often is the turning? How often is the turning under
- 11 this?
- 12 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 13 What's being described matches the regulation, and it's a
- 14 15-day period at temperature. And within that 15-day
- 15 period, there's a minimum of five turns. And that's to
- 16 require all the all of the material is exposed to the high
- 17 temperatures during that 15-day period.
- 18 MR. MARKS: I would also like to make the point
- 19 that the Environmental Impact Report to address both
- 20 operational techniques so that the analysis that was
- 21 conducted, particularly the air quality analysis,
- 22 considered operating in a static pile mode as well as an
- 23 active windrow mode.
- 24 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I was just confused,
- 25 because, you know, if you read CEQA -- I don't know if

- 1 you're referring to the same thing, Mark, in the RCSI.
- 2 When you read page 6, it talks about the combination as a
- 3 fait accompli.
- 4 And it basically says, the project will use a
- 5 combination of windrow and modified static pile. And then
- 6 it goes out to explain the process, that you just said,
- 7 would have to be reviewed later by the LEA. So I just
- 8 wanted to be clear on that.
- 9 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 10 And again, it's our understanding -- and the LEA can
- 11 correct me if I'm off -- but I believe we did have
- 12 communication with the LEA about where they were with the
- 13 static pile process and they had indicated that they had
- 14 not yet reviewed or approved that aspect of the proposed
- 15 project.
- 16 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I'm also curious, it
- 17 references the sampling taken from the windrows that goes
- 18 to a lab certified by DHS. How often does that happen?
- 19 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 20 I'm going to have to ask the operator if he has something
- 21 different than in the regulations. But it's, I believe,
- 22 every 5,000 cubic yards need to be tested before it leaves
- 23 the site. So it's by volume. So it's not a daily or a
- 24 monthly. It's every 5,000 cubic yards need to go through
- 25 testing.

- 1 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: So that's often.
- 2 That's going to be at least once a day; right? Possibly
- 3 twice.
- 4 MR. SEENEY: That's correct.
- 5 Chris Seeney with Nursery Products. I was
- 6 operations manager in Adelanto for four and a half years.
- 7 And prior to that, I was a health and safety manager with
- 8 General Motors for about five years. And I'm also a
- 9 registered professional environmental engineer in the
- 10 state of California. And I live in the unincorporated
- 11 area of San Bernardino County.
- 12 And the sampling, as he stated, will be just the
- 13 same as what it states in the regulations.
- 14 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Okay.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Board Member Peace?
- 16 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: This could wait. But
- 17 since we have the project manager from Adelanto, a number
- 18 of the commenters brought up concerns about Adelanto and
- 19 how that facility had a number of problems. I just
- 20 thought maybe you could maybe say what some of those
- 21 problems were and how you expect that there will not be
- 22 problems at the facility. What you are going to be doing
- 23 differently so that you won't have those problems?
- MR. SEENEY: Yes, I was hired a few months after
- 25 the facility opened. And initially, what had happened,

1 just prior to me starting, the facility took in an excess,

- 2 a lot of curbside green waste. And so we had some excess
- 3 flies that came along with that curbside green waste. And
- 4 you know, so we learned a lot about the process and how to
- 5 properly operate that facility in Adelanto.
- 6 So after I started, we sort of worked out, you
- 7 know, the proper composting mix at the facility, and it
- 8 did improve drastically.
- 9 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: You are not going to be
- 10 taking any curbside green waste at the Hawes facility. So
- 11 it's going to be, like, commercial green waste, like
- 12 landscaping green waste?
- 13 MR. SEENEY: There will be some -- primarily, it's
- 14 the wood-type, high carbon, wood green material that we'll
- 15 be taking.
- 16 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 17 I believe, again, there were multiple issues. But the
- 18 ones that we heard several speakers share with us was
- 19 relative to the quality of the material, the load checking
- 20 material. You just heard the site supervisor talk about
- 21 being selective in terms of the type of carbon material,
- 22 the green waste material coming in. But perhaps, you
- 23 know, the operator or, again, the consultant for the EIR
- 24 could share the issue relative to the type of sludge
- 25 coming in and, you know, if it's testing coming in or what

- 1 the protocol is relative to the sludge aspect.
- 2 MR. SEENEY: I think he's referring to sort of the
- 3 load checking, I believe.
- 4 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 5 Yes, load checking.
- 6 MR. SEENEY: I'm a certified hazardous materials
- 7 manager and certified to do the load checking on a daily
- 8 basis. And that's what I did in Adelanto as well.
- 9 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 10 Could you describe your methodologies for the committee,
- 11 please?
- MR. SEENEY: Every day, I physically, you know,
- 13 look in every load that comes in the site and you record
- 14 at least one -- you know, that you checked load that came
- 15 into the facilities. So I looked at every load into the
- 16 site and, you know, you do the load checking and write it
- 17 down appropriately.
- 18 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 19 And if a load was received that was....
- 20 MR. SEENEY: It would be rejected if it had, say,
- 21 excess trash above 1 percent, say litter.
- MEMBER CHESBRO: Madam Chair?
- 23 BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Can you just explain a little
- 24 bit further. I mean, you're not exactly describing what
- 25 you are looking for in your load check. And I think it

- 1 would be important for the people down in our remote
- 2 location for you to explain, when you do a load check,
- 3 what do you check for? What is admissible and what is
- 4 rejected in order to determine what you will take and what
- 5 you won't take?
- 6 MR. SEENEY: Okay. As far as the green material,
- 7 I physically look inside the trailer and check, like I
- 8 said, for above 1 percent contaminants, trash, say,
- 9 plastic in the material. And then I have the right to
- 10 reject a load if it doesn't meet that tight criteria at
- 11 the facility.
- 12 As far as biosolids, we receive an analytical
- 13 prior to accepting it, review it, and have to approve it
- 14 prior to receiving --
- 15 MEMBER CHESBRO: What is an analytical? Describe
- 16 what an analytical is.
- 17 MR. SEENEY: Oh, the lab analysis from a plant.
- 18 Say, each load of biosolids will receive on a monthly or
- 19 every six-month basis an up-to-date analytical from the
- 20 wastewater treatment plant.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: You will not accept a load from
- 22 after you have received, reviewed, and approved this lab
- 23 analysis; is that correct.
- MR. SEENEY: Correct.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you.

- 1 Board member Chesbro?
- 2 MEMBER CHESBRO: Going back to Board Member
- 3 Peace's question about the problems at Adelanto, your
- 4 answer described how you solved the problems. But we've
- 5 heard various descriptions from some of the testimony --
- 6 and admittedly, I don't know if it was a staff's
- 7 presentation, so it may be hearsay -- but about why the
- 8 facility shut down at Adelanto, and it's been described as
- 9 having lots of problems. So I'm hearing two different
- 10 things. I'm hearing you saying we have problems early and
- 11 we solved them, and we've also heard allegations that the
- 12 facility had to shut down because of the problems that it
- 13 was having.
- 14 MR. MEBERG: It's Jeff Meberg again, and I'm going
- 15 to take over because Chris is being polite about himself.
- 16 We let the original operator at the site go. We
- 17 brought Chris in to fix the problem that we had when we
- 18 first opened Adelanto. And I will kind of reiterate and
- 19 expand a little bit more about what he was talking about
- 20 when we first opened. In Adelanto, we took a lot of
- 21 curbside green waste, too much. And we let it sit. And
- 22 when we dug into the green waste, once we started
- 23 receiving the biosolids, it smelled. It smelled really
- 24 bad.
- 25 And there was too much grass in the green waste.

- 1 So even when we mixed it in with the biosolids, the
- 2 moisture content was too high, and it made bad compost and
- 3 we couldn't get the temperatures as quick as we needed.
- 4 So we realized we needed to change the carbon source to
- 5 make better compost, and we needed to quit importing flies
- 6 into the facility, which was coming in with the curbside
- 7 green waste.
- 8 So when we brought Chris in, he figured out -- and
- 9 I'm a little embarrassed that he figured out -- quit
- 10 taking in curbside green waste and concentrate on just the
- 11 local wood waste or green material that's generated in the
- 12 high desert in San Bernardino County, and use that as our
- 13 carbon source.
- 14 So that's what we changed within the first eight,
- 15 ten months of Adelanto. All that was under watchful eye
- of the LEA, because they wanted us to change that carbon
- 17 source as well.
- 18 The -- we were not kicked out of Adelanto. We
- 19 weren't sued by Adelanto. We had a neighbor, right across
- 20 the street in Adelanto, Department of Water and Power.
- 21 They were 200 feet across the street.
- 22 MEMBER CHESBRO: Which department of water and
- 23 power? City of Los Angeles?
- 24 MR. MEBERG: City of Los Angeles Department of
- 25 Water and Power. It was one of their main switching

- 1 stations that was coming from Uta and heading into Los
- 2 Angeles.
- 3 And we made the mistake of opening the compost
- 4 site literally right next door to the Department of Water
- 5 and Power plant.
- 6 And one of the reasons I emphasized the
- 7 "difference makes a difference" in the PowerPoint
- 8 presentation is, in talking with San Bernardino County
- 9 about where we should relocate the new compost site, it
- 10 was clear, we need to be extremely far away from people,
- 11 not 200 feet or even within a mile.
- 12 So the legal issues with the City of Adelanto
- 13 didn't have anything to do with the operations. It had to
- 14 do -- it was a federal action brought by Nursery Products
- 15 against Department of Water and Power.
- And we settled, and I agreed to relocate. We
- 17 weren't kicked out. It never happened.
- 18 MEMBER CHESBRO: Thank you.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you. I think that about
- 20 concludes the major issues that we wanted to review and
- 21 address.
- 22 So what I'm going to ask now is if our committee,
- 23 if you have any comments that you want to make. Board
- 24 Member Danzinger?
- 25 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I want to make a few

- 1 comments. I don't have any other questions.
- 2 First, from a process standpoint, I don't want to
- 3 repeat certainly what our counsel has stated time and
- 4 again, with regard to our permitting role, our authority,
- 5 the statutory requirements relating to our decision
- 6 making. I think that's been covered quite well today and
- 7 in the days leading up to this meeting.
- 8 I do want to briefly emphasize this point though
- 9 by reminding everyone that in the course of doing our
- 10 business and enforcing the law, our actions are governed
- 11 by law just as well. The statutory provisions guiding
- 12 concurrence and nonconcurrence and solid waste facilities
- 13 permits are quite clear and clearly by design. The
- 14 criteria takes into account, among other things, the
- 15 extensive and exhaustive local process that must be
- 16 engaged first, usually over a period of several years.
- 17 And the scrutiny and approval required by other regional
- 18 and state regulatory agencies which, in total, cover all
- 19 the impacts -- air, water, everything.
- 20 Now, on this particular item, I can find nothing
- 21 inconsistent with the state standards and the applicable
- 22 sections in the law. It appears that the applicant's done
- 23 a decent job of being responsive to the surrounding
- 24 community, in part by changing its location a number of
- 25 times, and now is in about as remote a local as one might

- 1 reasonably expect of them. In fact, they may have done an
- 2 especially good job, and I think this will have to work
- 3 out in time, of striking a balance of being far enough way
- 4 from residential areas, but not so far that they cannot
- 5 improve upon the alternatives that would require waste to
- 6 travel distances that add measurably to traffic,
- 7 pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, and more.
- 8 And finally, while we don't relax our standards
- 9 for anyone, any permit, any operation, nothing, we are
- 10 also charged with the duty to encourage the expansion of
- 11 responsible infrastructure, the public facilities that can
- 12 help communities take care of their own waste and divert
- 13 materials away from landfills and toward better and higher
- 14 uses in society.
- 15 And it's especially troubling when organic
- 16 materials go to the landfills, absorbing precious landfill
- 17 space, contributing to GHGs, and denying us excellent
- 18 source material for clean alternative uses like compost.
- 19 The people who would be served by this facility
- 20 are generating well more than 200,000 tons of biosolids a
- 21 year, discarded waste that must be handled and processed.
- 22 If run responsibly, this will be a public benefit
- 23 facility, not a smelting plant or open air incinerator or
- 24 glue factory. If they consistently meet operations
- 25 standards, they can have a positive impact on the Inland

- 1 Empire and the state, I believe.
- Now, as we can see from the opponents' comments,
- 3 there are strong preferences of how this project should be
- 4 operated. But they are among choices that are each
- 5 legally available to the operator under the law. And as
- 6 proposed, this facility would operate not unlike other
- 7 successful composting operations, co-composting
- 8 operations, in the state. You can't build up a strawman
- 9 of operational preferences and declare that anything less
- 10 than that or anything other than that to be inadequate and
- 11 in violation of the law.
- 12 We know from CEQA, from the statement of
- 13 overriding considerations -- and I have read it from cover
- 14 to cover, and I hope that everyone impacted by this
- 15 facility, living in the surrounding community, reads it as
- 16 closely as well. I mean, it covers a lot of things.
- 17 There are countless mitigation measures that will be in
- 18 place, and I am certain that the applicant knows that at
- 19 every step along the way through the construction and
- 20 operational phases, they will be under several watchful
- 21 eyes. And of course, we know that they still have many
- 22 permitting hurdles still to clear, focusing on all the
- 23 remaining issues and impacts like air and water.
- 24 For now, though, I believe the applicant has done
- 25 everything that's been asked of them by the law, on the

- 1 issues, under our purview. And I think the permit is
- 2 worthy of concurrence.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you, Board Member
- 4 Danzinger.
- 5 Board Member Peace?
- 6 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: I just agree with
- 7 everything that Board Member Danzinger just said.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Any other Board members, would
- 9 you like to comment?
- 10 I just have a few things I want to say. I do
- 11 concur with the statement that Board Member Danzinger had
- 12 just made. Our actions are covered under the law.
- 13 And another issue that I do want to address that I
- 14 don't -- and I don't think you addressed as clearly as I
- 15 might, but we are also charged with a mandate here, at the
- 16 Integrated Waste Board, and that is to implement AB 939.
- 17 And while legally, we may -- jurisdictions have to meet a
- 18 diversion rate of only 50 percent, or 50 percent, we as a
- 19 Board have made a conscious decision to ask people to do
- 20 more.
- 21 And so we feel that if people just meet that legal
- letter of the law, that may not necessarily be enough.
- 23 And so we're constantly looking for ways for jurisdictions
- 24 to divert materials to beneficial use. And one of the
- 25 struggles that we do come up with is how do we develop and

- 1 maintain that precious infrastructure to take those
- 2 materials and divert them from landfills to beneficial
- 3 use?
- 4 And as we will see today, we have a number of
- 5 other permits before us. And it's constantly a struggle
- 6 for us to make that balance of providing the
- 7 infrastructure for 939 and also protecting public health
- 8 and safety. But I will tell you that this Board always
- 9 puts public health and safety first and foremost. That
- 10 just goes without saying. I mean, we don't make a
- 11 decision if it's not going to be in the public's -- public
- 12 health and safety's best interest.
- 13 So another thing I do want to say is, for all of
- 14 you in Barstow and Hinkley, I did take the opportunity to
- 15 drive out to the facility site about a week ago. I did
- 16 spend some time out there in the hot afternoon sun and I
- 17 will say that it is -- while it is a remote location -- I
- 18 mean, it is remote, at least for me. It's a remote
- 19 location. I drove a lot further than I thought I was
- 20 going to have to, to get there. And then I did clock how
- 21 close the nearest home was, how close the second nearest
- 22 home was, and it was 1.5 and 2.5 miles. And I say that
- 23 because I did talk to staff quite a bit about ensuring
- 24 that the proper mitigation measures will be in place for
- 25 this facility, to make sure that you're operating in a

- 1 manner that protects public health and safety.
- 2 And with that being said, I know that the LEA as
- 3 well as our Board staff will make sure that those measures
- 4 will be in place. And if they are not working, that we
- 5 will put in place additional mitigation measures.
- 6 Again, I just want to say to all of you out there
- 7 in Hinkley and Barstow, we do have your health and safety
- 8 first and foremost in our minds.
- 9 And again, I have reviewed all of the documents,
- 10 and I will be concurring with this permit. I do know that
- 11 there are a number of other permits that is required of
- 12 the operator, so I know that we are not -- we are far from
- 13 the last hurdle, if you will, in terms of approving the
- 14 permits.
- So with that, there's no other comments.
- 16 Do I have a motion?
- 17 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I hope I'm looking at
- 18 the right one, because we've had a couple of revisions,
- 19 2007-163, Revision 2.
- 20 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Second.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: We have a motion by Board
- 22 Member Danzinger and that's seconded by Board Member
- Peace.
- Donnell, please call the roll.
- 25 SECRETARY DUCLO: Members Danzinger?

- 1 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Aye.
- 2 SECRETARY DUCLO: Peace?
- 3 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Aye.
- 4 SECRETARY DUCLO: Chair Mulé?
- 5 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Aye.
- 6 That does pass unanimously. We will move that
- 7 forward, though, to the full Board, if the committee
- 8 concurs, just for discussion at the full board meeting.
- 9 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Yes.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: All right. Good. Okay.
- Moving on.
- 12 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 13 Our thanks to Michael Bledsoe and John Bell, Dob Davila,
- 14 and Lanny down south. I think it worked quite well on our
- 15 end, and I hope it worked for the individuals down in
- 16 Barstow.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Yes, thank you, staff, down
- 18 south. We appreciate all your help and work on this as
- 19 well.
- 20 And again, I want to thank the public for coming
- 21 out and providing testimony on this item.
- We're going to take a five-minute break and then
- 23 we'll be back.
- 24 (Thereupon a break was taken in
- 25 proceedings.)

104 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Let's reconvene here. 1 2 We have several other item s that we need to get 3 through, and we would like to do it as quickly as we can. 4 Our next item is Committee Item C. 5 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH: 6 Ted Rauh again. 7 And Item C is Consideration of a Revised Full 8 Solid Waste Facility Permit for the West Valley Materials Recovery Facility in San Bernardino County. 9 10 And Dianne is here to present again. 11 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: You're busy today, aren't you? MS. OHIOSUMUA: Yes, I am. 12 13 I will be briefing you on this item. This 14 proposed permit will allow the following, and will allow 15 an increase in traffic count; an increase in maximum daily tonnage, an increase in design capacity; a change in the 16 17 hours of operations for the public; an update to the 18 transfer processing report; an expansion of the material 19 recovery facility building; and some minor modifications

22 Board staff finds that the LEA has made all the

correction to a section of the proposed permit.

and deletions of the LEA's enforcement conditions and a

23 necessary findings relative to the permit. Board staff

24 recommends that the Board adopt Solid Waste Facility

25 Permit Decision No. 2007-0164, concurring with the

20

- 1 issuance of the Solid Waste Facility Permit No. 36AA0341.
- 2 There is a representative from the operator, and
- 3 the San Bernardino County LEA is also here if you have any
- 4 questions.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you, Dianne.
- 6 Thank you for being here, operator and LEA.
- 7 And does anybody have any questions?
- 8 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Just a curiosity
- 9 question. What's the diversion rate of the facility, and
- 10 what do you expect it to be with the expansion?
- MS. OHIOSUMUA: I will ask the operator to come in
- 12 and answer that question. Thank you.
- MR. TOBIN: I am Chuck Tobin, Burrtec Waste.
- 14 Actually, that is what underlies the request
- 15 before you today, which is that we're trying to get ready
- 16 for whatever may happen next. The diversion rate is
- 17 significant if you look -- we run a source separated. We
- 18 run a select commercial. We have a significant, on our
- 19 floor, sorting. You know, so it depends on which of those
- 20 you are counting.
- 21 But the source separated, again, there, that's in
- 22 the 90 percent range. In the commercial, we're doing
- 23 60 percent plus, and the floor sorting usually adds
- 24 several hundred tons a day. We run a big green waste
- 25 operation. We run a big inert operation.

- 1 So actually, your Board has approved three --
- 2 hopefully will be the third facility in the last year that
- 3 we have done these kinds of upgrades on, one here in San
- 4 Bernardino, one in Riverside, and one out in the desert.
- 5 So thank you very much.
- 6 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Terrific.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you.
- 8 Any other questions?
- 9 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: I know these areas --
- 10 Fontana, Ontario, Ranch Cucamonga -- they are really
- 11 expanding and growing. So I'm sure they do need an
- 12 expansion facility.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Right. And as we -- as I
- 14 mentioned in our previous item, I mean, it's very
- 15 difficult to site new facilities.
- 16 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Love MRF expansions.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Yeah.
- 18 Anything we can do to increase diversion,
- 19 especially in the rapidly growing Inland Empire, is
- 20 something that I support.
- 21 So with that, do I have a motion?
- 22 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Sure. I will move
- 23 Resolution 2007-164.
- 24 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Second.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Moved by Board Member Danzinger

- 1 and seconded by Board Member Peace.
- 2 Donnell, please call the roll.
- 3 SECRETARY DUCLO: Members Danzinger?
- 4 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Aye.
- 5 SECRETARY DUCLO: Peace?
- 6 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Aye.
- 7 SECRETARY DUCLO: Chair Mulé?
- 8 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Aye.
- 9 That passes unanimously, and we will put that on
- 10 consent.
- 11 Our next item is D.
- 12 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 13 Yes, the next item is Consideration of a New Full Solid
- 14 Waste Facilities Permit for C&D and Inert Debris
- 15 Processing for the Fruitridge C&D Recycling Facility in
- 16 Sacramento County.
- 17 And here to present the item is Mary Madison
- 18 Johnson.
- MS. JOHNSON: Good afternoon.
- The proposed permit is to allow the operation of a
- 21 new large volume construction and demolition inert
- 22 processing facility.
- The location of this operation is where a
- 24 California Concrete crushing recycling facility was. The
- 25 operation was sold to Mr. Gary Kwong, the owner of

- 1 Fruitridge C&D. And the facility for California Concrete
- 2 was surrendered and the operation seized.
- 3 The proposed facility will operate as the
- 4 previously permitted California Concrete facility. At the
- 5 time the item was prepared, staff had yet to determine the
- 6 following: Consistency of the facility design and
- 7 operations with state minimum standards; consistency of
- 8 the project with CEQA; and completeness of the CDI
- 9 facility report.
- 10 Since the last preparation of the agenda item, the
- 11 following developments took place pertaining to the
- 12 application package: On July 26th, 2007, the LEAs
- 13 submitted a modified proposed permit and amendments to the
- 14 facility report. The amendments addressed the state
- 15 minimum standards and the facility report completeness.
- 16 However, the environmental review documentation
- 17 was not yet clear and straightforward. Board staff worked
- 18 with the LEA and operator to develop an approach that
- 19 required a revision to the proposed permit.
- 20 On August 1st, 2007, the LEA submitted the final
- 21 proposed permit for our -- for the Board's consideration.
- 22 Copies of the modified version of the proposed
- 23 permit were provided late last week, and the agenda item
- 24 was updated on August 2nd. The updated versions of the
- 25 item and resolution were posted last week on BODS.

- 1 We subsequently found a typo, however, in the
- 2 resolution in the third paragraph on page -- third
- 3 paragraph, line four, which should read, "May 25, 2000,"
- 4 instead of "May 25, 200." We will make that change to the
- 5 resolution before the Board meeting.
- 6 An updated agenda item of resolution now reflect
- 7 that all requirements for the proposed permit have been
- 8 met, as indicated on page 3 of the item.
- 9 Therefore, staff recommends that the Board adopt
- 10 Solid Waste Facilities Permit Decision No. 2007-165,
- 11 concurring in the issuance for the Proposed Permit No.
- 12 34AA0220.
- 13 The LEA and operator are here to assist with any
- 14 questions that you might have. And this concludes staff's
- 15 presentation.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you, Mary.
- Do we have any questions for Mary? Jeff?
- 18 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: No. Just a couple of
- 19 quick comments. You know, we talk a lot about the gaps in
- 20 diversion infrastructure. And C&D is clearly preeminent
- 21 among those gaps. So it's good to see this here,
- 22 happening.
- 23 On the community outreach section, that was, like,
- 24 unusually detailed, not that I'm not pleased with the way
- 25 that that section appears in other items. But does that

- 1 $\,$ reflect that we did -- did we actually have somebody on
- 2 our staff who was there, or is that how it was provided to
- 3 us?
- 4 MS. JOHNSON: There's a community -- in that
- 5 community, there are several solid waste facilities and so
- 6 there's a community group that are very vocal when it
- 7 comes to anything relating to those facilities. And I
- 8 think the notes were taken by LEA staff.
- 9 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: It's just
- 10 informative. I appreciate that.
- 11 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 12 Member Danzinger, if I may.
- 13 With the change in regulations, the LEA is now
- 14 required to submit, with the proposed permit package, a
- 15 summary of all comments at that meeting, and so we, as
- 16 staff, expect that we will start seeing a lot more detail
- 17 and can certainly continue to roll in the pertinent
- 18 comments into the agenda item.
- 19 But in the past, it was not clear that they had to
- 20 provide us the specific summary, and now they do.
- 21 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: That's good insight
- 22 on what the local public is thinking and focused on. So
- that's good.
- 24 My only comment was just in looking at the
- 25 identified operator in the item, it's clear that the Edgar

- 1 professionals are a burgeoning dynasty in the world of
- 2 recycling and waste diversion.
- 3 I'm going to assume that's a good thing.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Board Member Peace?
- 5 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: The only comment I had is
- 6 that we only had about 30 days to review this permit, less
- 7 with the subsequent revisions.
- 8 So do you feel like you really had adequate time
- 9 to review this, especially with the number of concerns
- 10 that we got from the public regarding the environmental
- 11 review and the operations?
- 12 MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Member Peace. We have actually
- 13 been working with the LEA for quite a while before they
- 14 actually submitted the proposed. And we've been going
- 15 through the environmental review and all the proposed
- 16 documents to support this application, and we're
- 17 comfortable with the recommendation.
- 18 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Thank you.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Okay. Without any further
- 20 questions, do I have a motion?
- 21 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: I would like to move
- 22 Resolution No. 2007-165, Revised.
- 23 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I will
- 24 enthusiastically second that.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: That was moved by Board Member

- 1 Peace and that was seconded by Board Member Danzinger.
- 2 And if it's okay with the board -- board
- 3 committee, we will substitute the previous roll and we
- 4 will put that one on consent as well.
- 5 Thank you all for being here.
- 6 Our next committee item is Committee Item E.
- 7 Ted?
- 8 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 9 Yes, thank you.
- 10 Item E is Consideration of a Revised Solid Waste
- 11 Facilities permit for a Disposal Facility, the El Sobrante
- 12 Landfill in Riverside County.
- 13 And here to present the item is Zane Poulson.
- 14 MSW FACILITIES & ED INSPECTION & ENFORCEMENT
- 15 A SUPERVISOR POULSON: Good afternoon, Committee
- 16 Chair and Committee Members.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Good afternoon.
- 18 MSW FACILITIES & ED INSPECTION & ENFORCEMENT
- 19 A SUPERVISOR POULSON: The proposed revised permit
- 20 is to allow for the following: change in the landfill
- 21 disposal footprint from 495 to 481 acres, established
- 22 based on a recent survey; and limit green materials,
- 23 processed and unprocessed, received at the site to a
- 24 minimum -- I'm sorry, to a maximum rate of 2,284 tons per
- day or 14,788 tons per week.

- 1 As indicated on the table on page 4 of the revised
- 2 agenda item, all the requirements for the proposed revised
- 3 permit have been met. Therefore, staff recommends that
- 4 the Board adopt Resolution No. 2007-166 concurring in the
- 5 issuance of Solid Waste Facility Permit No. 33AA0217.
- 6 Representatives for the LEA and the operator are
- 7 available to answer any questions.
- 8 This concludes the staff's presentation.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you, Zane.
- 10 Do we have any questions?
- Board Member Peace?
- 12 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Do you feel that they do
- 13 have the gas problem now under control?
- 14 MSW FACILITIES & ED INSPECTION & ENFORCEMENT
- 15 A SUPERVISOR POULSON: Yes, they did -- we
- 16 actually have staff that went out and reinspected. And as
- 17 indicated now in the revised item, they did find that it
- 18 was under control. And actually one of the staff, Dino,
- 19 is here if you want like to ask him any questions.
- 20 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: The question I had is,
- 21 this is a big landfill. Is the gas flared or captured?
- Is the gas flared or is it captured somehow?
- 23 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Could you please state your
- 24 name for the record, please.
- 25 MR. YEKTA: My name is Gina Yekta. I work for

- 1 Closure at the Waste Board.
- 2 I can tell you that on the day that we were at the
- 3 site, the gas probe number nine was in compliance.
- 4 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I think the question,
- 5 we were curiously -- the gas that's being generated there,
- 6 is it being flared or is it being captured?
- 7 MR. YEKTA: It's being captured.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: No, not all of it. So I think
- 9 we might have the operator come on up.
- 10 Do you want to come up and share with us what
- 11 you're doing?
- 12 MR. DE FRATES: My name is Damon De Frates. I am
- 13 the district manager for the El Sobrante Landfill.
- 14 In response to your question, we have three
- 15 generators on site. We produce 3.84 megawatts of
- 16 electricity from the landfill gas. What cannot be used
- 17 for gas or for electrical generation is flared through a
- 18 low-NOx flare. That was just installed a couple months
- 19 ago.
- 20 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: This is a big landfill.
- 21 I'm so glad you are generating electricity.
- MR. DE FRATES: Right. It's our hope, as the
- 23 landfill grows -- it's relatively small now. It only
- 24 represents around a hundred acres. But as we grow into
- 25 our footprint, we hope to be able to produce more power,

- 1 either gas or fuel, from the landfill gas.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Any other questions?
- 3 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: It also says in the
- 4 permit that the landfill receives commercial industrial
- 5 construction demolition waste. So do you have a CDI
- 6 facility at the site?
- 7 MR. DE FRATES: Currently, we do not have a
- 8 separate facility for processing construction demolition
- 9 waste.
- 10 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Does this go into --
- 11 MR. DE FRATES: What comes in, a lot of waste is
- 12 diverted in the Riverside area, not as much as we would
- 13 like to have diverted. But what does come in is landfill
- 14 right now.
- 15 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Have you ever thought
- 16 about putting in a CDI facility?
- 17 MR. DE FRATES: Yes, we have. We actually did one
- 18 when Board Member Mulé was with us. At that time, we
- 19 actually did a little study and hope to continue to do
- 20 that. And we are looking at something in the Riverside
- 21 area, currently.
- 22 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: And do you have any idea
- 23 how many tons of C&D is brought in?
- MR. DE FRATES: No, I do not. It's not separated
- 25 out. Riverside County actually controls the scale house

- 1 and does the reports. So off the top of my head, don't
- 2 know how their coding is working, whether we a good job of
- 3 capturing C&D versus MSW. A lot of it comes in comingled.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: But my understanding is that
- 5 they separate out what they can and divert it from the
- 6 landfill. So there's a lot of diversion going on before
- 7 it gets to the landfill, but it needs to be source
- 8 separated material. So you can have your asphalt
- 9 separated, your concrete separated, but if it's mixed,
- 10 currently it's going to the landfill.
- 11 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: You don't separate out
- 12 any of that then to use for beneficial reuse?
- MR. DE FRATES: Not at the landfill itself, no.
- 14 Board member Mulé is correct in that a lot of --
- 15 we get very little asphalt, very little concrete. That's
- 16 usually segregated well before we get it. What we get is
- 17 maybe some mixed loads of wood and other types of debris.
- 18 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: How far away is the
- 19 nearest C&D facility? Do you know?
- 20 MR. DE FRATES: Not off the top of my head. I
- 21 know that there's PPG John [phonetic] out and Roman Land
- 22 [phonetic], but I don't recall.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: You talked about having --
- 24 having the infrastructure. The Inland Empire is woefully
- 25 inadequate when it comes to having mixed C&D processing

- 1 facilities. It's one of those issues that --
- 2 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: And combined with a
- 3 lot of growth, it's really exacerbating the problem.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Right.
- 5 But it's very difficult to site a C&D facility or
- 6 any type of facility in the Inland Empire because of the
- 7 growth pressures. So it's kind of like a double whammy
- 8 that they are dealing with.
- 9 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: And also as part of the
- 10 permit, to limit the green materials, where it says it's
- 11 going to be 2,284 tons a day, is this total green waste,
- or is this what you use for ADC?
- MR. DE FRATES: That's what's used for ADC.
- 14 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Well, my calculation, you
- 15 can use 21 percent of what you take in, can be ADC?
- MR. DE FRATES: That was -- yes, that's possible.
- 17 It's either ADC or can be used for erosion control on
- 18 interim slopes. We don't currently take any --
- 19 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Staff, is that normal, or
- 20 is that high, that 21 percent ADC?
- 21 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 22 That number was of great debate among staff and the
- 23 operator and the LEA. And the ratio was a concern and we
- 24 went around and around and around on that number and that
- 25 ratio. And it's staff's view that that reflects a

- 1 maximum, sort of, worst case, scenario, the most they
- 2 would ever be able to receive in any given day. It's the
- 3 weekly amount that's key.
- 4 But we did want -- we did work with the operator
- 5 and the LEA to construct the permit so they have the
- 6 greatest amount of flexibility.
- 7 It's staff's understanding that much of that
- 8 material goes to mulching activities associated with the
- 9 slope stability aspect and not to necessarily to ADC.
- 10 And again, we spent a lot of time looking at that
- 11 number and looking at the CEQA analysis relative to it and
- 12 are pretty confident that it's a supportable number. But,
- 13 yes, the ratio does look extreme when you look at the
- 14 maximums. But it's our understanding that that's the
- 15 worst case scenario. So the daily amount would be much
- 16 less.
- 17 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: 21 percent of what they
- 18 take in is diversion?
- 19 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 20 Yes, if they do end up using it as ADC and mulching our
- 21 slopes, it would be something that would not count towards
- 22 disposal.
- 23 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: And the other question I
- 24 had, when they did their community outreach meeting, they
- 25 did it at 10:00 a.m. I realize, it's not like a big, big

- 1 change that they are asking for, but why did you cite
- 2 10:00 a.m. in the morning and not in the evening, when
- 3 more people could go?
- 4 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 5 That's the LEA that sets that timing. So if the LEA is
- 6 present, perhaps they could speak to that.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Is the LEA here or a
- 8 representative? Would you like to come up and respond to
- 9 Board Member Peace's question?
- 10 Please state your name for the record.
- 11 MS. BEASLEY: Hi. I'm Alice Beasley. I'm with
- 12 Riverside County, Environmental Health.
- 13 And we did set up the public hearing. I'm not
- 14 sure why that particular time was set. I really don't
- 15 know.
- 16 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: It's kind of a hard time
- 17 for people to get to a public hearing at 10:00 a.m. in the
- 18 morning. Wouldn't it make more sense to have one at 6:00.
- 19 MS. BEASLEY: Well, certainly we'll keep that in
- 20 mind.
- 21 I think that when we did have this public hearing,
- 22 I believe it was the first one we had done, at least the
- 23 first one that I had done, after the law had changed and
- 24 required the public hearing. Since that time, I've done
- 25 several of them and we have done them in the evening, and

- 1 so we will probably continue to do that.
- 2 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Okay. Thank you.
- 3 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 4 And Member Peace, again, we do -- we changed the regs.
- 5 This was a meeting that was based on statute. The regs do
- 6 encourage the LEA to take steps that would encourage a
- 7 large amount of involvement by the community, and we do
- 8 suggest the timing in there.
- 9 We don't require specific timing, but we do
- 10 suggest it to conduct meetings in a manner that will allow
- 11 for full participation.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Good. Any other questions?
- Do I have a motion?
- 14 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I will move
- 15 Resolution 2007-166, Revised.
- 16 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Even though I don't like
- 17 the fact that 21 percent of the stuff is going there could
- 18 be ADC and get diversion credit for it, staff says they
- 19 have looked at it, I will go ahead and send it.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: We have a motion by Board
- 21 Member Danzinger, and that was seconded by Board Member
- Peace.
- Donnell, let's call the roll.
- 24 SECRETARY DUCLO: Members Danzinger?
- 25 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Aye.

- 1 SECRETARY DUCLO: Peace?
- 2 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Aye.
- 3 SECRETARY DUCLO: Chair Mulé?
- 4 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Aye.
- 5 That passes. We'll put that on the consent
- 6 agenda.
- 7 Thank you all for being here.
- 8 Our next item is Committee Item F.
- 9 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 10 Yes, Madam Chair.
- 11 Item F is Consideration of a Revised Full Solid
- 12 Waste Facility Disposal Permit for the Fink Road Landfill
- in Stanislaus County.
- 14 To make the presentation, Randy Friedlander.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Hi, Randy.
- MR. FRIEDLANDER: Hi. Good afternoon, Madam Chair
- 17 and Committee Members.
- 18 The Fink Road Landfill is an existing facility
- 19 located 20 miles southwest of the city of Modesto, 5.5
- 20 miles west of Crows Landing and approximately 8 miles west
- 21 of the city of Patterson.
- 22 Surrounding land use includes Interstate 5 to the
- 23 east, agricultural and orchard land and a Covanta
- 24 waste-to-energy facility to the south; open space to the
- 25 west and north. It is owned and operated by the County of

- 1 Stanislaus, Department of Environmental Resources.
- 2 The landfill is currently permitted for 2400 tons
- 3 per day, identifying 1500 tons per day of non-hazardous,
- 4 non-designated waste, and 900 tons per designated waste,
- 5 ash, generated by the adjacent Covanta waste-to-energy
- 6 facility.
- 7 The landfill currently accepts an average of 409
- 8 tons per day for disposal and has reached -- received a
- 9 maximum of 1500 tons per day.
- 10 The landfill has waste diversion programs for
- 11 tires, CRTs, and appliances such as white goods. The Fink
- 12 Road Landfill receives self-haul and permit
- 13 franchise-collected waste from the greater Modesto area
- 14 and western Stanislaus County.
- 15 The proposed changes associated with this permit
- 16 revision include the use of authorized alternative daily
- 17 covers as specified in Board regulations. They would like
- 18 to retain existing 2400 tons per day total permitted daily
- 19 tonnage, but eliminate the limitations based on types of
- 20 waste received to address the scheduled or unscheduled
- 21 outages at the adjacent Covanta, Stanislaus,
- 22 waste-to-energy facility. Thus, the facility may receive
- 23 any combination of MSW and ash not exceeding the 2400 tons
- 24 per day.
- 25 Also, acceptance of treated wood waste, pursuant

- 1 to Assembly Bill 1353, and a change in the landfill
- 2 closure date from 2011 to 2023.
- 3 The EA, the enforcement agency, has found the
- 4 proposed permit to be consistent with the California
- 5 Environmental Quality Act and completed May 26th -- I'm
- 6 sorry, May 2006, negative declaration for the proposed
- 7 operational changes, State Clearinghouse No. 2006052033.
- 8 For community outreach, the EA conducted a public
- 9 hearing at 5:30 p.m. on April 11, 2007, at the Patterson
- 10 City Library to satisfy the requirements of AB 1497.
- 11 The Notice of Public Hearing was published in the
- 12 Modesto Bee. Additionally, the notice was mailed to 90
- 13 local residents. Eight people attended the meeting of
- 14 which three were citizens, the rest were from local
- 15 government. Questions included the handling and disposal
- of treated wood waste and estimated closure date.
- 17 The EA explained the regulatory conditions to the
- 18 operator -- the regulatory conditions, and the operator
- 19 explained operational methods of handling treated wood
- 20 waste plus the use of ADC and diversion activities.
- The EA received no written comments.
- In summary, Board staff has concluded that all of
- 23 the requirements have been fulfilled and Board staff
- 24 recommends that the Board adopt Resolution No. 2007-167,
- 25 concurring with the issuance of Solid Waste Facilities

- 1 Permit No. 50AA0001.
- 2 And that is the end of my presentation.
- 3 The landfill operator is here if you have any
- 4 additional questions.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you, Randy.
- 6 Any questions for Randy? The operator?
- 7 And since our EA is us, Sue Markie is here as well
- 8 to answer any questions we may have.
- 9 Board Member Peace, any questions?
- 10 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: No.
- 11 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I'm certainly not
- 12 going to challenge the competency of the EA on this item.
- 13 I'm very happy with it.
- I will be happy to move it. I will move
- 15 Resolution 2007-167.
- 16 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: Second.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: That was moved by Member
- 18 Danzinger, seconded by Board Member Peace.
- 19 We'll substitute the previous roll. Put that one
- 20 on consent.
- 21 Thank you all for being here.
- Our next committee item is Committee Item G.
- 23 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 24 Yes, Madam Chair.
- 25 Item G is Consideration of a Revised Full Solid

4 -- . - 131. - 1. 6 .3 .31

- 1 Waste Facility Permit for the Chicago Grade Landfill in
- 2 San Luis Obispo County.
- 3 And Jeff Hackett is here to present the item.
- 4 MR. HACKETT: Good afternoon.
- 5 This is another jurisdiction where the Board is
- 6 serving as the enforcement agency.
- 7 The Chicago Grade Landfill is an existing Class 3
- 8 landfill located in the Atascadero and Templeton area in
- 9 northern San Luis Obispo County. Surrounding land uses
- 10 include agricultural and rural residential.
- 11 The proposed changes associated with the permit
- 12 revision include expanded permitted facility boundaries
- 13 from 45.4 acres to 188 acres; expand the disposal area
- 14 from 38.44 acres to 76.4 acres; increase the site capacity
- 15 from 2,700,000 cubic yards to 8,900,000 cubic yards;
- 16 change the landfill's estimated closure date from 2018 to
- 17 2042; reduce the final elevation from 1,400 feet mean sea
- 18 level to 1,360 feet mean sea level for modules 1 through
- 19 4; and 1,303 feet mean sea level for modules 6 and 7.
- 20 And we're also going to incorporate existing
- 21 construction, demo, and inert debris processing facility.
- 22 That's currently under a registration permit into the
- 23 landfill permit.
- 24 On June 21st, 2007, Board staff conducted a public
- 25 informational meeting at 6:00 p.m. at the Atascadero

- 1 Public Library, pursuant to the Department's AB 1497. The
- 2 Notice of Public Information Meeting was published in the
- 3 Tribune and mailed to approximately 50 residents. Six
- 4 people attended the meeting.
- 5 Questions discussed during the meeting included
- 6 litter along roads leading to the landfill, groundwater
- 7 concerns, and the estimated closure date.
- 8 Board staff explained the regulatory conditions
- 9 and regulatory authority. And the operator explained
- 10 operational aspects in response to their questions.
- 11 No written comments were received prior to or
- 12 during the hearing.
- 13 However, as indicated in the agenda item, Board
- 14 staff did receive a phonecall on June 25th from a local
- 15 resident who was not able to attend the hearing,
- 16 indicating that she opposed the expansion and planned to
- 17 submit written comments. An e-mail notice was sent on
- 18 July 20th to the caller and each of the meeting attendees
- 19 about this hearing and the availability of the agenda item
- 20 on the Board's Web page. However, no comments have been
- 21 received to date.
- 22 Staff has reviewed the supporting documentation,
- 23 prepared a proposed permit, and determined that the permit
- 24 and supporting documentation are acceptable for the
- 25 Board's consideration of concurrence. In conclusion,

- 1 staff recommends the Board adopt Resolution No. 2007-168,
- 2 concurring with the issuance of a Solid Waste Facilities
- 3 Permit, 40AA0008.
- 4 Mike Hoover of Chicago Grade Landfill is also here
- 5 to answer any questions you may have.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Thank you very much.
- 7 Do we have any questions of staff or of the
- 8 operator who's here with us today?
- 9 Thank you for making the trip.
- 10 Any questions?
- 11 Do we have a motion?
- 12 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: I would like to move
- 13 Resolution No. 2007-168.
- 14 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Second.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: That was moved by Board Member
- 16 Peace seconded by Board Member Danzinger.
- 17 We'll substitute the previous roll, and we will
- 18 put that one on consent as well.
- 19 Committee Item H. We have a waste tire permit
- 20 before us.
- 21 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 22 Yes, we do, Madam Chair. And the environmental document
- 23 for you to certify as well.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Okay.
- 25 //

- 1 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 2 This item is Consideration of the Adoption of a Negative
- 3 Declaration, State Clearinghouse No. 2007051119, and the
- 4 Issuance of a Minor Waste Tire facility for BJ Used Tire
- 5 and Rubber Recycling, Incorporated, of San Bernardino
- 6 County.
- 7 And here to present the item is Terry Smith.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Good afternoon, Terry.
- 9 MR. SMITH: Good morning. I was ready for this to
- 10 start off in the morning. And I'm kind of stuck on that
- 11 right now.
- 12 Good afternoon. I'm going to see if I can make
- 13 this mouse work. Okay. There we go.
- 14 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was
- presented as follows.)
- 16 MR. SMITH: The BJ Tire Facility is located at
- 17 14212 Santa Ana Avenue in Fontana, which was first
- 18 discovered by our enforcement staff in November 1st, 2005.
- 19 The operator was issued a violation for operating a
- 20 facility without a minor waste tire facility permit and
- 21 for hauling tires and not being a registered hauler.
- 22 They submitted an application to us on December
- 23 the 19th, 2005. And the application that they submitted
- 24 was missing a conditional use permit -- it actually was
- 25 missing the conditional use permit, and it didn't have a

- 1 negative declaration.
- 2 I can't get this thing to work. So I might give
- 3 up on it. I might not.
- 4 Okay. Staff has contacted San Bernardino County
- 5 to find out what was going on as far as the conditional
- 6 use permit goes, in several times in 2006. And we asked
- 7 them if -- what the status of the project was, and when
- 8 they thought they would be completed with the conditional
- 9 use permit.
- 10 And the County told us that the conditional use
- 11 permit was on track, that they prepared a negative
- 12 declaration for the operation that evaluated the effects
- 13 of the tire storage facility.
- 14 So we continued working with the County, but the
- 15 only thing that happened that was -- that didn't work out
- 16 very good, was they told us that they prepared a negative
- 17 declaration. They told us that they had done all the
- 18 legwork for it. And when they issued the conditional use
- 19 permit, finally, in 2007, January 2007, we found out that
- 20 they had lost the negative declaration.
- 21 So we had to prepare our own CEQA document --
- --00--
- 23 MR. SMITH: -- and as lead agency, we worked with
- 24 them, trying to find it for three or four months and then
- 25 gave up.

- 1 We decided we're going to have a prepare our own
- 2 CEQA documents. And we became lead agency and went ahead
- 3 and started preparing that document.
- 4 We picked the document and we circulated it for
- 5 review through the State Clearinghouse. We placed it on
- 6 the CIWMB Web site. We made it available for review for
- 7 Cal/EPA headquarters here, at the library on the second
- 8 floor. We also made it available down in Southern
- 9 California at the L.A. office. And we put a notice in a
- 10 local paper, in the San Bernardino Sun, and we
- 11 contacted -- we put out a notice of intent to adopt and
- 12 mailed it to all of the adjacent property owners
- 13 surrounding the proposed site. And we -- the public
- 14 review period ran from May 22nd through June 20th, 2007.
- --o0o--
- --o0o--
- MR. SMITH: We ended up on June 19th, 2007,
- 18 amending the negative declaration and we recirculated the
- 19 document. We recirculated it -- amended the negative
- 20 declaration, because we found out, there were a couple
- 21 residents across the street from the facility, and we
- 22 hadn't mentioned that in the first negative dec that we
- 23 put out for public review.
- 24 The County allows accessory residential dwelling
- 25 in a commercial industrial zone only after review and

- 1 verification that there is a genuine need for those types
- 2 of residences. And the needs include to maintain or
- 3 operate and/or secure the nonresidential land use.
- 4 The amended negative dec was circulated and the
- 5 comment period was extended through July 20th. So really
- 6 they had -- people had 60 days to review the document that
- 7 we put out there. During the CEQA review process, we
- 8 received three comments, three written comments, and we
- 9 responded to each comment. None of the comments received
- 10 identified any -- identified any potential effects related
- 11 to the project approval.
- 12 And now I will go to that next one.
- --000--
- MR. SMITH: Now, this one is a -- I thought this
- 15 would help you put in perspective what kind of zone the
- 16 place was in. It's surrounded by industrial land uses.
- 17 I got this off Google. And the pin, up at the top
- 18 of the screen, right up here, is where the tire process
- 19 takes place. And I put a ruler on it. And it's about
- 20 450 feet to the first residence across the street. And
- 21 there's another one sitting right here.
- 22 And could you go one forward, please.
- --000--
- 24 MR. SMITH: This gives you a perspective of the
- 25 site. It's 2.5 acres. And all of the land uses in this

- 1 area are pretty much the same.
- 2 Let's go to the next one.
- 3 --000--
- 4 MR. SMITH: In summary, the negative dec prepared
- 5 evaluates the environmental impacts associated with the
- 6 storage issues and concludes that potential -- potentially
- 7 significant impacts will be reduced to a less than
- 8 significant level if we approve this project as proposed.
- 9 --000--
- 10 MR. SMITH: The BJ tire operation consists mainly
- of collecting tires and picking them up from various
- 12 places in Southern California and transporting them to
- 13 their facility.
- Once the tires are there, they unload the trucks
- 15 and they store and grade the tires. And the good use
- 16 tires and the casings are set aside and set up for resale.
- 17 Any waste tires that are found are taken away and
- 18 supposedly taken to the recycling center.
- 19 Right now, I think they take the tires to Bass
- 20 Recycling and they chop up and crumb the tires and make
- 21 them into tire-derived products. If they can't take
- 22 out -- Bass doesn't takes all the tires -- sometimes they
- 23 only take a certain amount of them. So they will take
- 24 them to the landfill too.
- 25 --000--

- 1 MR. SMITH: In conclusion, this tire facility has
- 2 met all the applicable requirements to obtain a permit,
- 3 including the local requirements. And the application --
- 4 our application, 500 through 503, they have submitted
- 5 those, and they are complete and accurate.
- 6 The waste tire storage standards were confirmed to
- 7 be met on the last inspection. And with the Board's
- 8 approval of this negative declaration, they will be in
- 9 compliance with the CEQA requirement.
- 10 --00o--
- 11 MR. SMITH: Therefore, staff recommends that we
- 12 adopt permit decision -- or adopt the negative dec by
- 13 adopting Resolution No. 2007-169 and approve the issuance
- of the permit by adopting Resolution 2007-170.
- 15 --00o--
- 16 MR. SMITH: This concludes staff's presentation.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: That's great. Thank you very
- 18 much, Terry.
- 19 Do we have any questions?
- 20 Any questions, Board Member Peace?
- 21 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: From the time you found
- 22 the violation of not having a permit to today, it's almost
- 23 two years to get that permit. It doesn't sound like they
- 24 were dragging their feet. It's just how long that took.
- MR. SMITH: Well, yes. They dragged their feet a

1 little bit. Actually we fined them for a while. You

- 2 know, we found them in 2005. And I found it in the
- 3 documentation when they were working with the planning
- 4 department that they were there a little bit longer than
- 5 that.
- 6 But as soon as we found them and wrote them a
- 7 violation in November, in December, actually, sooner than
- 8 that, in November, they sent me a draft application, and
- 9 in December they got us a complete application.
- 10 The only thing they didn't have in it was the
- 11 conditional use permit, which they had turned everything
- 12 in, that they could, to the County and CEQA. And the
- 13 whole time, we talked to the County, and we were told that
- 14 their process didn't allow them to show us a negative dec
- 15 before they issued the certificate of conditional use
- 16 permit which I reminded them that we are a responsible
- 17 agency. And under CEQA, by law, they were supposed to
- 18 provide us a copy of the environmental document that they
- 19 did.
- But anyway, you're right. It was a while.
- 21 When we went back to the site the second time, if
- 22 you will notice, they started getting better and better.
- 23 They turned in an application, although it wasn't
- 24 complete. They started lowering the amount of tires each
- 25 time. They got permitted -- I mean, they got a hauler

- 1 registration and they started manifesting the tires. And
- 2 within one year, they got their conditional use permit.
- 3 So that's a long time, but -- are you looking at -- is
- 4 that the question you have? Did I answer that?
- 5 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: I was just saying, it
- 6 takes almost two years to get a permit but it doesn't
- 7 really sound like they were not trying to get it. That's
- 8 just how long it takes.
- 9 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 10 If I may, Mark de Bie.
- I think it probably takes some shorter time period
- 12 to get one of these permits. But basically, they went
- 13 through two CEQA processes because the one they did for
- 14 the local didn't include us as responsible agency. And so
- 15 we ended up, through Terry's efforts, recirculating a
- 16 document. And there's time frames associated with that,
- 17 and so that slowed things down a little bit.
- 18 But certainly, our tire permitting process, you
- 19 know, does not take a full two years. But there were some
- 20 issues locally that we had to correct for, and that
- 21 extended the time frames.
- 22 And we did send a letter to the planning entity,
- 23 indicating our concerns about those past actions and
- 24 asking them to look at their processes and see if they
- 25 could adjust for them, because in this case it didn't work

- 1 for us as a responsible agency.
- 2 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: You got them all in
- 3 compliance and everything?
- 4 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 5 Yes.
- 6 MR. SMITH: Actually, we're working on that
- 7 letter.
- 8 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 9 Sorry.
- 10 MR. SMITH: And also the State Clearinghouse has
- 11 told us that if we CC them, they will send them a letter
- 12 too.
- 13 PERMITTING & LEA SUPPORT DIVISION CHIEF DE BIE:
- 14 I just saw a draft. I didn't see the final letter.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Good work. Thank you for being
- 16 so persistent on this one.
- Do I have a motion first for a Resolution
- 18 2007-169?
- 19 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: I would like to move
- 20 Resolution No. 2007-169.
- 21 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Second.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Okay. That was moved by Member
- 23 Peace, seconded by Member Danzinger.
- 24 We'll substitute the previous roll. And that will
- go on consent.

- 1 And to the next one?
- 2 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: I can move Resolution No.
- 3 2007-170.
- 4 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Second.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Again, moved by Member Peace,
- 6 seconded by Member Danzinger.
- 7 We'll substitute the previous roll and put that on
- 8 consent as well.
- 9 Our last item of the day is Item J.
- 10 Item I was deleted. So Item J it is.
- 11 PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR RAUH:
- 12 Item J, Madam Chair, is Consideration of Adoption of the
- 13 Proposed Regulations Modifying Existing Temporary Waiver
- 14 of Terms in the Regulations.
- 15 And this regulatory process has gone through
- 16 several stages and 15-day reviews. And we're really down
- 17 to only one issue remaining. And Bob Holmes is here to
- 18 briefly describe that for you.
- 19 MR. HOLMES: I don't think it will be lengthy.
- 20 Good evening, Madam Chair and Committee Members.
- 21 This is an item to request your consideration of
- 22 adoption of these -- this focused revision to the
- 23 temporary waiver of regulations that allow an enforcement
- 24 agency to waive permit conditions during a temporary
- emergency.

- 1 This rulemaking got off the ground in June of
- 2 '06 with the P&E Committee direction to start the formal
- 3 rulemaking process. A 45-day public comment period ran
- 4 from January 26th through March 12th of '07. We received
- 5 four comment letters during the 45-day comment period.
- 6 Board staff held a public hearing on April 9th, 2007. And
- 7 on June 2007, the P&C Committee directed staff to make
- 8 changes and conduct an additional 15-day comment period,
- 9 which we did. That comment period ran from
- 10 June 14th through June 29th, 2007.
- 11 And we received one comment letter during the
- 12 15-day comment period and one comment letter subsequent to
- 13 the end of the comment period, which was essentially the
- 14 same two comments. And so our responses will be the same
- 15 to both those comments.
- So you're down to, well -- we're down to two
- 17 issues, one having to do with the definition that's
- 18 contained in Section 17211.1B relating to labor
- 19 controversies, and the proposed changes to that section of
- 20 law. That's the definition of temporary emergency.
- 21 We had inserted some language on lines 15 through
- 22 17 of the proposed text -- that's on the front page --
- 23 explaining that labor controversies cannot be a temporary
- 24 emergency for those facilities that are directly involved
- 25 in the labor controversy.

- 1 But the point of clarification is that facilities
- 2 that are not connected -- connected to the labor
- 3 controversy still can't use these regulations as a
- 4 temporary emergency and waiver of standards. So the
- 5 commenter was asking for clarification of that, either in
- 6 the regulations or in the FSOR which we do.
- 7 In addition, they also ask for clarity that the --
- 8 once the labor controversy ends, the strike is over, it's
- 9 declared over, and there's still a public health risk
- 10 posed, lasting from the labor controversy, the waste is
- 11 still in the streets and there's a public health concern,
- 12 then again they are eligible to use these regulations in
- 13 that circumstance. And they also asked for clarification
- 14 for that in the FSOR, which we will do.
- So that leaves us to the last, kind of,
- 16 outstanding issue from these two letters having to do with
- 17 section 17211.9, which is titled Board Review of
- 18 Stipulated Agreements. This is the section where the
- 19 executive officer is granted power to suspend or modify
- 20 the use of a stipulated agreement or temporary waiver for
- 21 cause.
- 22 Existing regulations set up a unfair standard
- 23 whereby the director has to find actual causation of harm
- 24 in order to take action. We are adjusting that trigger so
- 25 that if the executive director finds that the use of a

temporary waiver may adversely affect public health and 1

- 2 safety in the environment, or the LEA did not follow the
- 3 proper processing procedures in making all the findings
- 4 necessary for a temporary waiver, then he may also be able
- 5 to take action.
- 6 So with that regard, staff are not proposing any
- 7 additional changes to the regulations. We are proposing
- 8 that the language as it appears in the attached --
- attached to the agenda item is the final regulations that 9
- we would ask the committee to recommend that the full 10
- 11 board adopt and continue with the rulemaking process.
- 12 So with that --
- 13 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: You are going to include
- 14 "and/or."
- 15 MR. HOLMES: Correct. The -- to clearly explain
- the commenter's question, they would like, on line 38 of 16
- 17 the proposed text, we have an existing "and" slash "or"
- statement, whereby the director can take action if the 18
- 19 temporary -- usually the temporary waiver is not
- 20 consistent with the procedural requirements or that he
- 21 finds that it may adversely affect public health and
- safety in the environment. 22
- 23 We are removing the "and" so he can take action
- 24 either or. The commentor would like to put the "and" back
- 25 in so that they have -- he would have to have both of

- 1 those actions in order to take action.
- 2 So we are not agreeing with that.
- 3 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: Separate
- 4 recommendation for just "or."
- 5 MR. HOLMES: Correct. We would strike the "and"
- 6 and the slash, so it would be a clearly "or" statement.
- 7 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: If it was "and," it would
- 8 almost be going back to the way we were.
- 9 MR. HOLMES: Correct.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Okay. Do we have any questions
- 11 for staff?
- 12 COMMITTEE MEMBER PEACE: I would just like to say
- 13 thank you, thank you, staff. And I think I was
- 14 the one that actually kind of started this whole process
- 15 to amend the regulations because of its misuse at one time
- 16 by an LEA. So thank you very much.
- 17 And I would be very happy to move resolution
- 18 No. 2007-171.
- 19 COMMITTEE MEMBER DANZINGER: I would second that
- 20 with a comment that this is once again another giant
- 21 process that we engage for these kinds of things. The
- 22 open collaborative process over time, takes a little
- 23 longer maybe. But we make sure that we get a good product
- 24 in the end. And sometimes the best people can hope for is
- 25 something we can live with. Right? I hear that quite

142 often. I think sometimes we do a little bit better than 1 2 that. 3 So I second. 4 CHAIRPERSON MULÉ: Agreed. That was moved by 5 Board Member Peace. That was seconded by Member 6 Danzinger. 7 We will substitute the previous roll for that, and put that on consent as well. 8 9 And Bob, I want to thank you for all of your work on this. I know how hard you worked on this. I know 10 11 there was a lot of back and forth and negotiation, but as Board Member Danzinger said, I think we all came up with a 12 13 much better product in the end. 14 So thank you. Any other comments from the public? Seeing none, 15 this meeting is adjourned. 16 17 (Thereupon the California Integrated Waste Management Board Permitting and Compliance 18 Committee meeting adjourned at 5:17 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

143 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 I, KATHRYN S. SWANK, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: 3 4 That I am a disinterested person herein; that 5 the foregoing California Integrated Waste Management 6 Board, Permitting & Compliance meeting, was reported in 7 shorthand by me, Kathryn S. Swank, a Certified Shorthand 8 Reporter of the State of California, and thereafter 9 transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or 10 11 attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting. 12 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 14 this 19th day of August, 2007. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 KATHRYN S. SWANK, CSR 24 Certified Shorthand Reporter 25 License No. 13061