

**From:** [Dernbach, Lisa@Waterboards](mailto:Dernbach.Lisa@Waterboards)  
**To:** [Genera, Sue@Waterboards](mailto:Genera.Sue@Waterboards)  
**Cc:** [Holden, Anne@Waterboards](mailto:Holden.Anne@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** FW: amended cleanup and abatement order/ page 13, item 4-a&b:Independent Consultants  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 17, 2015 1:12:38 PM

---

Please include this comment with the others for the Draft CAO for PG&E in Hinkley. Thanks.

**From:** elizabeth hernandez [mailto:h████████████████████]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 13, 2015 4:05 PM  
**To:** Dernbach, Lisa@Waterboards  
**Subject:** amended cleanup and abatement order/ page 13, item 4-a&b:Independent Consultants

Under the wire but, I couldn't let this pass. Being on the CAC for the past two years I was able to see the amount of work done to get as far as we have with Dr. Isbicki and the USGS coming to Hinkley to study the Chrome 6 problem. This is the only study that will, I believe, satisfy the majority of people this event has affected.

As for the CAC, well it was because of the people involved in getting the USGS to do this study. I have seen how much work and total dedication it took to accomplish this fete. No others in the community gave of themselves like the CAC members, especially Daron Banks, so I read with disbelief the wording in section b. how the Discharger must develop a formal agreement with the community to implement this requirement.

This is an amendment not the initial order. There should not be a statement from the Water Board as though a Committee was being formed and this is the guidelines of such committee and applicants would be selected and winners would be posted on the information board when chosen.

The CAC has been there since the beginning and has shown nothing but good accomplishments. Why would the Water Board decide to replace them. I can understand the guidelines being set down, but the terminology is that PG&E will choose the Committee. I realize not everyone agrees with the CAC and its decisions, that is to be expected, but of all the so called groups in Hinkley, the CAC is the only one not involved in ANY litigation or business that would profit any member. Our only driving force is to get the truth for the community of Hinkley. That is the only item on our agenda.

I believe the mention of a committee working alongside the Independent consultants should be referred to as the CAC. Anyone who wants to help us with our cause is welcome to our meetings, however, mention of litigation or a future business arising from the demolition of Hinkley will not be acceptable.