PG&E Interpreted Plume Outlines

PG&E does not agree with the contouring requirements set forth by the Water Board in the figures. PG&E is of the opinion that the requirements of the Water Board exceed information contained herein. This information has been developed in consultation with the Water Board and is consistent with the requirements of the Water Board. This information is not intended to constitute or be interpreted as a critique or criticism of the Water Board's requirements. PG&E does not believe that the Water Board's requirements are reasonable or achievable and seek further discussion with the Water Board.
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General PG&E Comment to Figure 5-5

1. An evaluation of available hydrogeologic and groundwater quality data for the Western Area was included in the January 14, 2013, document titled "Site Model for Groundwater Flow and the Occurrence of Chromium in Groundwater of the Western Area Report" (CH2MHILL and Stantec, 2013). The findings of the January 14 report indicate that groundwater in the Western Area contains naturally occurring chromium.

2. PG&E does not believe chromium concentrations north of the contiguous plume can be adequately evaluated with the information available at this time. Natural groundwater flow or PG&E's impact to local groundwater.

3. Some monitoring wells currently used for contouring produce very little water or groundwater flow or PG&E's impact to local groundwater.

4. PG&E believes the chromium concentrations in the MW-196 well cluster reflect local groundwater conditions and are not related to the chromium plume. A discussion regarding these results was presented in the January 30, 2015 document titled "Evaluation of Fourth Quarter 2014 Chromium Results for the MW-196 Monitoring Well Cluster."