

**Change Sheet for the Ammonia Site-Specific Objectives  
Tentative Resolution and Proposed Basin Plan Amendment Language**

Page	Location	Action	Added or Deleted Text (additions are underlined, deletions are in strikeout)	Reason for Change
12-288	Basin Plan Amendment	Add	<p>Where <u>deemed necessary</u>, additional receiving water monitoring shall be required of dischargers subject to SSOs ... This additional monitoring shall be required through the discharger's NPDES permit monitoring and reporting program or other Board required monitoring programs.</p> <p>... to ensure that the SSOs are as protective of beneficial uses as the regional objectives are intended to be <u>and downstream standards are achieved</u>.</p>	<p>In response to comments, to ensure that additional monitoring is coordinated, and not redundant, with existing NPDES monitoring and reporting requirements.</p>
12-288	Basin Plan Amendment	Add	<p>... to ensure that the SSOs are as protective of beneficial uses as the regional objectives are intended to be <u>and downstream standards are achieved</u>.</p>	<p>In response to comments, to ensure that additional monitoring will facilitate an evaluation of the SSOs in terms of protection of downstream standards.</p>
12-288	Basin Plan Amendment	Add/ Delete	<p>If <del>additional</del> monitoring indicates toxicity due to <u>ammonia</u> or a change in the waterbody <u>that could impact the calculation or application of the SSOs</u>, including either its chemical characteristics or the aquatic species present, <u>including early life stages of fish</u>, the Regional Board may reconsider the SSOs.</p>	<p>In response to comments, to clarify conditions under which the Regional Board may reconsider the SSOs.</p>
12-289	Basin Plan Amendment	Add	<p>Add to Table 3-X: San Gabriel River, Reaches 2 and 3 (Confluence with San Jose Creek to Firestone Blvd.) <u>(including all San Jose Creek WRP discharges)</u></p>	<p>To clarify that all the SSO for San Gabriel River Reaches 2 and 3 is the appropriate SSO to use for all San Jose Creek WRP discharges. The San Gabriel River Reaches 2 and 3 SSO was developed with receiving water samples influenced by all three San Jose Creek WRP discharges, while the San Jose Creek SSO was developed only with receiving water samples influenced by the upstream Pomona WRP. Furthermore, both San Gabriel</p>

Page	Location	Action	Added or Deleted Text (additions are underlined, deletions are in strikeout)	Reason for Change
12-285	Tentative Resolution, Finding 15	Add/ Delete	The regional one-hour average objective will remain the applicable <u>one-hour objectives</u> for all freshwaters, including those covered by this amendment.	River Reaches 2 and 3 and San Jose Creek are considered ELS Present from April to September. In response to comments, for clarification purposes.
12-286	Tentative Resolution, Resolved 1	Delete	... and supersede "3. Selection of 30-day Average Objective – Early Life Stage Provision" under "Implementation" for these waterbodies.	Correction; the proposed Basin Plan amendment makes more specific parts of the Implementation Provisions for protection of Early Life Stages, but do not supersede these provisions in their entirety.
12-286	Tentative Resolution, Resolved 3	Add/ Delete	<u>Staff should consider downstream objectives when evaluating the need for additional monitoring and should propose, if necessary, additional monitoring stations to ensure that downstream objectives are being achieved. To the extent possible, proposed additional monitoring and reporting requirements should be coordinated with any NPDES permit monitoring and reporting program and/or Executive Officer approved TMDL Monitoring Plan, if available. Proposed additional monitoring requirements should be made available for public review and comment.</u>	In response to comments, to ensure that additional monitoring requirements are (1) sufficient to determine whether downstream objectives are achieved; (2) coordinated with NPDES permit monitoring and reporting programs; and (3) made available for public review and comment.
12-287	Tentative Resolution, Resolved 5	Add	The Regional Board directs staff, when implementing the SSOs through TMDLs and permits, to ensure that beneficial uses, including early life stages of fish, are being protected. If impacts to beneficial uses due to ammonia are identified Regional Board directs staff to bring the SSOs to the Board for reconsideration.	In response to comments, to emphasize that Regional Board staff must ensure that beneficial uses, including early life stages of fish, are protected by the SSOs and to clarify that the SSOs may be reconsidered if there are impacts to beneficial uses due to ammonia.