1	KAMALA D. HARRIS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	[Exempt from fees pursuant to Government Code Section 6103]
2	GARY E. TAVETIAN SUPERVISING DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL	-
3	NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER (SBN 217556)	IVED COURT
4	NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER (SBN 217556) DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL 300 SOUTH SPRING STREET, 11TH FLOOR-NORTHURA SUP LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90013 TELEPHONE: (213) 897-2614	EKION
5		7 2014
6	FAX: (213) 897-2802 E-mail: Noail.goldenkrasner@doj.ca.gov	
7	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	
8	CALIFORNIA EX REL. REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, LOS ANGELES REGION	
9	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF	
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF	VENTURA
12	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX	Case No. 56-2010-00371686-CU-
13	REL. REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, LOS ANGELES REGION,	MC-SIM
14	Plaintiff,	AMENDED AND RESTATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	v.	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES; [PROPOSED] ORDER (Wat. Code, Division 7,
16	THE BOEING COMPANY,	Chapter 5.5.)
17		Judge: Hon. Tari L. Cody Courtroom: 20
18	Defendant.	Courtoon. 20
19		
20		
21	This Amended and Restated Consent Judgment ("C	Consent Judgment") supersedes the
22	consent judgment entered in the above-captioned case on	June 2, 2010 ("2010 Consent
23	Judgment") and is entered into by Plaintiff the PEOPLE	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex
24	rel. REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOAI	RD, LOS ANGELES REGION
25	(Regional Board), and Defendant The Boeing Company	(Boeing). For purposes of this Consent
26	Judgment, the Regional Board and Boeing shall be referr	red to collectively as the "Parties," and
27	individually as "Party."	
28	1	
	Amended and Restated Consent Judgment Pursuant To	Stipulation of the Parties and [Proposed]

Order

INTRODUCTION

This Consent Judgment relates to Boeing's failure to comply with the terms of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CA0001309 at its Santa Susana Field Laboratory. As set forth in the Complaint filed concurrently with the 2010 Consent Judgment, the Regional Board alleges that Boeing failed to comply with its NPDES permit by discharging pollutants in excess of the effluent limits set by the terms of the NPDES permit into navigable waters of the United States, in violation of Water Code sections 13376 and 13385.

The Parties engaged in extended settlement negotiations prior to the initiation of litigation.

In these negotiations, the Regional Board was represented by the Attorney General of the State of California. Boeing was represented by Paul Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP.

The Parties agreed to settle this matter without litigation pursuant to the terms in the 2010 Consent Judgment. The Regional Board filed a Complaint simultaneously with the lodging of the 2010 Consent Judgment. The Parties entered into the 2010 Consent Judgment pursuant to a compromise and settlement of the allegations in the Complaint.

Pursuant to the 2010 Consent Judgment, Boeing paid a civil penalty of \$200,000.

Additionally, the 2010 Consent Judgment required that Boeing fund a Supplemental

Environmental Project ("SEP") in the amount of \$300,000 to assist in the development of a Los

Angeles Region Watershed Modeling Project and an optimal set of Best Management Practices
that stormwater management agencies can use to achieve reductions in stormwater runoff
volumes and related pollutant loading. These obligations, which are reflected in Sections 4 and 5
herein, have been completed by Boeing.

Boeing also agreed in the 2010 Consent Judgment to the imposition of stipulated penalties for future violations of Boeing's NPDES permit from the period of January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2014. The amendments in this Consent Judgment extend the period for the

imposition of stipulated penalties for future violations of Boeing's NPDES Permit through December 31, 2016 and extend the expiration date of the Consent Judgment to June 30, 2017. The amendments are reflected in modifications to Sections 6 and 25 herein.

This Consent Judgment also adds a new Section 27 regarding public notice and comment of the Consent Judgment prior to entry by the Court.

The Parties believe that the resolution embodied in the 2010 Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is reasonable, fulfills the Regional Board's enforcement objectives, and that entry of this Consent Judgment is fair and in the best interest of the public.

The Parties, after opportunity for review by counsel, therefore stipulate and consent to the entry of this Consent Judgment as set forth below.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

CONSENT JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION

1. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Consent Judgment, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with Chapter 5.5 of the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, Water Code sections 13370 et seq. and the regulations promulgated under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 40 C.F.R. 100 et seq.

2. <u>COMPLAINT</u>

The Complaint in this action alleges that Boeing violated provisions of Chapter 5.5 of Division 7 of the Water Code and the regulations applying thereto.

3. **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

The Parties agree that the Superior Court of California, County of Ventura, has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this Consent Judgment, and that the Superior Court for the County of Ventura is the proper venue of this action.

2	4.	PAYMENT OF CIVIL PENALTIES AND INVESTIGATION AND
		ENFORCEMENT COSTS
4	4.1	Total Penalties
(On er	ntry of this Consent Judgment, Boeing shall be liable for a total of five hundred
thousa	ınd do	ollars (\$500,000) in civil penalties.
4	4.2	Civil Penalty Payment
•	Withi	in sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Boeing shall pay a civil penalty
of two	hunc	dred thousand dollars (\$200,000), with a check payable to the State Water Pollution
Cleanu	ıp an	d Abatement Account. If Boeing fails to make payment of this amount within sixty
(60) da	ays, I	Boeing shall pay a stipulated penalty of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for each day
payme	ent is	overdue.
Boeing	g shal	Il deliver these payments to:
		Resources Control Board ON: ACL PAYMENT
Divisio	on of	Administrative Services, Accounting Branch
1001 I P.O. B		et, 18 th Floor, [95814] 888
		o, California 95812-1888
With a	a copy	y of the payments to:
_		Vater Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
		ON: HUGH MARLEY Fourth Street, Suite 200
		s, California 90013
2	4.3	Suspended Penalties and Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP)
2	4.3.a	SEP Funding
1	Addit	tional penalties in the amount of three hundred thousand dollars (\$300,000) shall be
suspen	nded.	These suspended penalties ("Suspended Civil Liability") shall be deemed satisfied
once B	Boein	g funds a SEP in the amount of three hundred thousand dollars (\$300,000) and the
		4
Amen	nded a	and Restated Consent Judgment Pursuant To Stipulation of the Parties and [Proposed]

Order

25

26

27

28

SEP is completed by the Southern California Coastal Waters Research Project (SCCWRP) in accordance with the SEP Workplan, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Within sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Boeing shall deliver \$300,000 to:

Southern California Coastal Waters Research Project 3535 Harbor Blvd, Suite 110

Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Attention: Steve Weisberg

If Boeing fails to make payment to SCCWRP of this amount within sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, then Boeing shall provide such payment to the Regional Board with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the Regional Board as set forth in Section 4.2 above. The Regional Board shall seek the payment by serving and filing a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 ("Enforcement Motion") pursuant to Sections 4.5. and 7.1. If the Court grants such motion, Boeing shall pay the Regional Board an additional one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for each day the payment is overdue with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the Regional Board as set forth in Section 4.2 above. Additional provisions regarding the SEP are set forth in Section 5 below.

4.3.b SCCWRP

SCCWRP is a joint-powers agency formed in 1969 to conduct research on the coastal ecosystems of Southern California, from watersheds to the ocean. SCCWRP was formed by fourteen agencies, including municipalities that discharge treated wastewater to the ocean and the regulators that oversee them. Through impartial research overseen by the SCCWRP Commission (comprised of the top executives of member agencies), SCCWRP seeks to enhance the scientific understanding of linkages among human activities, natural events, and the health of the Southern California coastal environment; to communicate this understanding to decision makers and other

stakeholders; and to suggest strategies for protecting the coastal environment for this and future generations.

4.3.c SEP Description

The SEP will assist in the development, by SCCWRP, of a Los Angeles Region Watershed Modeling Project and an optimal set of Best Management Practices (BMPs) that decision makers in stormwater management agencies may use to achieve reductions in stormwater runoff volumes and pollutant loads throughout the Los Angeles Region. One goal of the SEP is to develop the information needed to develop a Los Angeles Region Watershed Modeling Project that will be valuable to decision makers in the Los Angeles Region.

The SEP will consist of the building of a watershed modeling system, analyzing BMP designs, and reporting. The SEP and its specific milestones ("Milestone Requirements") are described further in the SEP Workplan.

4.4 Attorney Fees, Staff Investigation Costs, and SEP Oversight Costs

Within sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Boeing shall pay seventy-five thousand five hundred dollars (\$75,500) for attorneys' fees and staff investigation costs and SEP oversight costs, delivered as set forth in Section 4.2 above and with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account. If Boeing fails to make payment of this amount within sixty (60) days, Boeing shall pay a stipulated penalty of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for each day payment is overdue with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the Regional Board as set forth in Section 4.2 above.

4.5 Disputes Pertaining to Payment of Penalties

Should any disagreement arise pertaining to Boeing's failure to pay civil penalties, attorneys fees, staff investigation costs, SEP oversight costs, or SEP funding, the Regional Board

may move the Court to award such payment(s) by serving and filing a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 ("Enforcement Motion"). Boeing may file an opposition, and the Regional Board may file a reply. At least ten days before filing an Enforcement Motion, the Regional Board must meet and confer in good faith with Boeing to attempt to resolve the dispute without judicial intervention. The court retains, in addition to the above-described enforcement procedures, its power to enforce the Consent Judgment through contempt.

5. SEP OBLIGATIONS

SCCWRP has agreed by letter, attached hereto as Exhibit B, that SCCWRP shall upon its acceptance of the \$300,000, be obligated to implement and complete the Project as set forth in the SEP workplan, among other obligations set forth in Exhibit B.

5.1 Submittal of Progress Reports

Boeing shall submit to the Designated Regional Board Representative, who shall be Paula Rasmussen, or her designated replacement to receive notice under Section 9 below, quarterly reports of progress of the SEP, including (a) SCCWRP's implementation of, and compliance with, the SEP Milestone Requirements and (b) SCCWRP's expenditures on the SEP to date. SCCWRP may submit these quarterly reports on Boeing's behalf. The first quarterly report, for the fourth quarter of 2010, shall be due no later than February 1, 2011. The subsequent quarterly reports shall be due no later than the first day of May, August, November, and February of each year.

If Boeing, or SCCWRP on Boeing's behalf, fails to submit a quarterly report on or before the due date and Boeing or SCCWRP has not previously obtained an extension of time in which to submit the report from the Designated Regional Board Representative, Boeing shall pay an additional stipulated penalty of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per day that the Final Report is

overdue with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the Regional Board as set forth in Section 4.2 above.

5.2 Audits and Certification of Environmental Project

5.2.a Certification of Expenditures.

On or before March 31, 2013, or a later revised date set by the Regional Board on its own motion or upon a showing of good cause for delay by Boeing and/or the Southern California Coastal Waters Research Project (SCCWRP) ("SEP Completion Date"), Boeing shall submit a certified statement documenting the expenditures by Boeing and SCCWRP during the completion period for the SEP. The expenditures by SCCWRP may be external payments to outside vendors or contractors implementing the SEP. If applicable, the expenditures by SCCWRP may include the costs of internal Environmental Management resources and internal Business Unit resources, provided that such expenditures are directly related to development and implementation of the SEP. In making such certification, the official may rely upon normal company project tracking systems that capture employee time expenditures and external payments to outside vendors such as environmental and information technology contractors or consultants. The Certification of Expenditures need not address any costs incurred by the Regional Board for oversight. SCCWRP may submit the Certification of Expenditures on Boeing's behalf.

If Boeing, or SCCWRP on Boeing's behalf, fails to submit a Certification of Expenditures on or before the SEP Completion Date, Boeing shall pay an additional stipulated penalty of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per day that the Final Report is overdue with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the Regional Board as set forth in Section 4.2 above.

Boeing, and/or SCCWRP on Boeing's behalf, shall provide, to the best of their ability, any additional information requested by the Regional Board staff which is reasonably necessary

5.2.b Certification of Performance of Work

On or before the SEP Completion Date, Boeing shall submit a Final Report, under penalty of perjury, stating that the SEP has been completed in accordance with the terms of this Consent Judgment. Such documentation may include photographs, invoices, receipts, certifications, and other materials reasonably necessary for the Regional Board to evaluate the completion of the SEP and the costs incurred by Boeing. SCCWRP may submit the Certification of Performance of Work on Boeing's behalf.

If Boeing, or SCCWRP on Boeing's behalf, fails to submit this Final Report on or before the SEP Completion Date, Boeing shall pay an additional stipulated penalty of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per day that the certified statement is overdue with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the Regional Board as set forth in Section 4.2 above.

5.2.c Third Party Audit

If at any time prior to December 31, 2014, the Regional Board obtains information that causes it to reasonably believe that Boeing or SCCWRP has not expended money in the amounts claimed by Boeing, or has not adequately completed any of the work in the SEP Workplan, the Designated Regional Board Representative, at her discretion may require, and Boeing shall submit, at its sole cost, a report prepared by an independent third party(ies) acceptable to the Regional Board staff providing such party(ies)'s professional opinion that Boeing and/or SCCWRP has expended money in the amounts claimed by Boeing. Such information shall be provided to the Designated Regional Board Representative within three (3) months of the request by the Designated Regional Board Representative. The audit need not address any costs incurred by the Regional Board for oversight.

If Boeing fails to submit a third party audit within three (3) months of the request, Boeing shall pay an additional stipulated penalty of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per day that the certified statement is overdue with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the Regional Board as set forth in Section 4.2 above.

5.3 Regional Board Acceptance of Completed SEP

Upon Boeing's satisfaction of its obligations under this Consent Judgment for the completion of the SEP and any audits, and the Regional Board's agreement that Boeing's SEP obligations are complete, the Regional Board shall issue a "Satisfaction of Supplemental Environmental Project." The issuance of this document shall terminate any further obligations of Boeing for the SEP pursuant to this Consent Judgment and satisfy the Suspended Civil Liability.

5.4 Failure To Expend All Suspended Civil Liability Funds On The Approved SEP

In the event that Boeing is not able to demonstrate to the reasonable satisfaction of the Regional Board that the \$300,000 has been spent to complete the SEP (as described herein and in the SEP Workplan), Boeing shall pay the difference between the Suspended Civil Liability and the amount Boeing can demonstrate was actually spent on the SEP, as a civil liability. A showing in the Section 5.2.a Certification of Expenditures that Boeing has expended \$300,000 to SCCWRP for the SEP and that SCCWRP has expended the \$300,000 to complete the SEP shall constitute a satisfactory demonstration of such expenditure. If Boeing fails to pay the difference, the Regional Board shall use the procedures set forth in Section 7 below to enforce this term.

5.5 Failure To Complete The SEP

In the event the SEP is not fully implemented as required by this Consent Judgment or there has been a material failure to satisfy a SEP Milestone Requirement, Boeing shall pay, as a civil liability, the difference between the Suspended Civil Liability and the amount Boeing and/or

SCCWRP can demonstrate was actually spent on the SEP in meeting one or more SEP Milestone Requirement(s). Such payment shall be made by check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account and delivered to the Regional Board as set forth in Section 4.2 above. The Regional Board may enforce this provision by using the procedures set forth in Section 7 below.

5.6 Publicity

Whenever Boeing or its agents or subcontractors or SCCWRP publicizes one or more elements of the SEP, they shall state in a **prominent manner** that the project is being undertaken as part of the settlement of an enforcement action by the Regional Board against Boeing.

6. STIPULATED PENALTIES FOR FUTURE VIOLATIONS

Boeing shall comply with its NPDES Permit. Should Boeing fail to comply with its NPDES Permit, the parties consent to stipulated penalties as described below. These stipulated penalties apply to Boeing's violations of its NPDES Permit(s) from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2016. Should Boeing violate any NPDES Permit terms after December 31, 2016, the Regional Board shall not be constrained in any way by the terms of this agreement, and may seek to recover any penalties or enforce the terms of the NPDES Permit as permitted by law. For any NPDES permit violation occurring between (and including) January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2016 that is not a type of violation subject to stipulated penalties as set forth in section 6.1 below, the Regional Board shall not be constrained in any way by the terms of this agreement, and may seek to recover any penalties or enforce the terms of the NPDES Permit as permitted by law. For any NPDES permit violation occurring between (and including) January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2016, that is a type of violation subject to stipulated penalties as set forth in Section 6.1 below, Boeing shall be subject to the following stipulated penalties:

5 6

7

4

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

26 27

28

6.1 **Types of Penalties**

Boeing shall pay stipulated penalties for: 1) each NPDES permit violation that constitutes a "serious violation" requiring the imposition of mandatory minimum penalties, as defined in Water Code section 13385, subdivision (h), or 2) each permit violation that requires the imposition of mandatory minimum penalties, as defined in Water Code section 13385, subdivision (i). The violations shall be placed in three categories: Group 1 violations (violations involving Group 1 pollutants), Group 2 violations (violations involving Group 2 pollutants), and TCDD (also known as dioxin) violations. Group 1 and 2 pollutants are defined here as they are in the 2002 State Water Resources Control Board's Water Quality Enforcement Policy, Appendices 1 and 2 (a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C). However, the following constituents shall be included in the Group 1 pollutants category: 1) Temperature; 2) pH; 3) Settleable solids; 4) Turbidity; and, 5) Conductivity. In addition, TCDD violations shall not be considered to be either Group 1 or Group 2 violations.

6.2 Civil Penalties for Each Group 1 Violation Occurring Between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2016

Boeing shall be automatically penalized for Group 1 NPDES violations occurring between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2016. The amount of the penalty for each violation shall vary, depending on the number of prior violations during that time period. The first through fifth violations of Group 1 pollutants shall result in a penalty of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) per violation. The sixth through tenth violations of Group 1 pollutants shall result in a penalty of three thousand three hundred dollars (\$3,300) per violation. The eleventh through fifteenth violations of Group 1 pollutants shall result in a penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000) per violation. The sixteenth through twentieth violations of Group 1 pollutants shall result in a penalty of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) per violation. The twenty-first through twenty-fifth violations of Group 1 pollutants shall result in a penalty of seven thousand dollars (\$7,000) per

violation. The twenty-sixth violation, and any violation of Group 1 pollutants thereafter, shall result in a penalty of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) per violation. The amount of the penalty per violation for each violation of Group 1 pollutants is also set forth in Exhibit D attached hereto.

6.3 Civil Penalties for Each Group 2 Violation Occurring Between January 1,2010, and December 31, 2016

Boeing shall be automatically penalized for Group 2 NPDES violations occurring between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2016. The amount of the penalty for each violation shall vary, depending on the number of prior violations during that time period. The first through fifth violations of Group 2 pollutants shall result in a penalty of five thousand dollars (\$5000) per violation. The sixth through tenth violations of Group 2 pollutants shall result in a penalty of five thousand five hundred dollars (\$5,500) per violation. The eleventh through fifteenth violations of Group 2 pollutants shall result in a penalty of six thousand two hundred fifty dollars (\$6,250) per violation. The sixteenth through twentieth violations of Group 2 pollutants shall result in a penalty of seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500) per violation. The twenty-first through twenty-fifth violations of Group 2 pollutants shall result in a penalty of nine thousand dollars (\$9,000) per violation. The twenty-sixth through thirtieth violations of Group 2 pollutants shall result in a penalty of eleven thousand five hundred dollars (\$11,500) per violation. The thirtyfirst violation, and any violation of Group 2 pollutants thereafter, shall result in a penalty of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) per violation. The amount of the penalty per violation for each violation of Group 2 pollutants is also set forth in Exhibit E attached hereto.

6.4 Civil Penalties for Each TCDD Violation Occurring Between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2016

Boeing shall be automatically penalized for TCDD NPDES violations occurring between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2016. The amount of the penalty for each violation shall be a

total of seven thousand dollars (\$7,000) per violation. Violations pertaining to TCDD shall not be exempt from stipulated penalties by Water Code section 13385 subdivision (j)(1)(B).

6.5 Determination of Violations

Boeing shall continue to monitor and report each violation of Group 1 pollutants, Group 2 pollutants, and TCDD, as it is required to do under its current NPDES permit and under any other permit(s) under which it operates from January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2016. If Boeing fails to monitor or report as required by its permit(s), then the Regional Board retains the right to enforce against Boeing for those violations to the full extent the law permits.

6.6 Time for Payment and Form of Payment of Stipulated Penalties

Boeing shall pay to the Regional Board the amount of money owed based on the self-reported violations that meet the mandatory minimum penalty definition stated above within sixty (60) days of reporting the violations with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board), with a copy to the Regional Board, as set forth in Section 4.2 above. If any stipulated penalty is not paid within sixty (60) days of reporting the exceedances, Boeing shall pay an additional stipulated penalty of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per day that the money is overdue with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the State Board, with a copy to the Regional Board as set forth in Section 4.2 above.

6.7 Additional Penalties for Each Violation

The Regional Board may move the court to award penalties in excess of the stipulated penalty amounts listed above, up to the limit allowed by law, by filing and serving a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 ("Enforcement Motion") within 180 days after Boeing has paid stipulated penalties for the violation at issue. These excess penalties may be sought only where: 1) the permit violations are the result of

intentional or willful misconduct by Boeing, or 2) where the penalty provided for above does not recover Boeing's economic benefits from its failure to adequately operate or maintain existing stormwater management equipment or Best Management Practices (BMPs), which failure causes or contributes to the violation. In evaluating such economic benefits, the Regional Board shall evaluate Boeing's reduced remediation costs, reduced BMP costs, and other costs saved from its failure to adequately operate or maintain existing stormwater management equipment or BMPs. Boeing may file an opposition, and the Regional Board may file a reply. At least ten days before filing an Enforcement Motion, the Regional Board must meet and confer in good faith with Boeing to attempt to resolve the demand for additional penalties in excess of the agreed-to minimum penalty without judicial intervention. The court retains, in addition to the above-described enforcement procedures, its power to enforce the Consent Judgment through contempt.

6.8 Disputes Pertaining to Boeing's Failure to Pay Stipulated Penalties

Should any disagreement arise pertaining to Boeing's failure to pay a stipulated penalty, or any monies owed under this Judgment, or should Boeing disagree with any stipulated penalty amount it has paid or contend that it should not have paid for a reported violation, either party may move the court for a resolution of the matter by filing and serving a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 ("Enforcement Motion"). Either party may file an opposition to the motion, and the moving party may file a reply. At least ten days before filing an Enforcement Motion, the moving party must meet and confer in good faith with the other party to attempt to resolve the dispute without judicial intervention. The court retains, in addition to the above-described enforcement procedures, its power to enforce the Consent Judgment through contempt.

7. <u>ENFORCEMENT AND PENALTIES</u>

7.1. Procedure

The Regional Board may move this Court to enforce any provision of this Consent Judgment and to award other appropriate relief, including penalties as provided in Sections 7.2., by serving and filing a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 ("Enforcement Motion"). Boeing may file an opposition, and the Regional Board may file a reply, both also in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005. At least ten (10) business days before filing an Enforcement Motion under this Consent Judgment, the Regional Board must meet and confer with Boeing to attempt to resolve the matter without judicial intervention. To ensure that the "meet and confer" is as productive as possible, the Regional Board will identify, as specifically as the available information allows, the specific instances and dates of non-compliance and the actions that the Regional Board believes Boeing must take to remedy that non-compliance. As a part of this enforcement process, the Court shall have the discretion to allow discovery to take place and/or to allow live testimony of witnesses.

7.2. Remedies and Sanctions

The Court has the authority to impose a reasonable penalty for any violation of this Consent Judgment. Any penalty paid pursuant to this section shall be paid within sixty (60) days of the Court's order with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the State Board, with a copy to the Regional Board, as set forth in Section 4.2 above. Boeing shall pay an additional penalty of one thousand dollars (\$1000) per day that the money is overdue with a check payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account delivered to the State Board, with a copy to the Regional Board, as set forth in Section 4.2 above.

8. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all "Covered Matters." "Covered Matters" include all claims, violations or causes of action alleged by the Regional Board in the Complaint, and of all claims, violations or causes of action which

could have been asserted by the Regional Board against Boeing, based on the facts that are the subject of the Complaint and reports sent by Boeing to the Regional Board pertaining to all exceedances of its NPDES permit up to and including exceedances on December 31, 2009.

- **8.2** The Parties reserve the right to pursue any claim that is not a Covered Matter ("Reserved Claim") and to defend against any Reserved Claim. Any claims, violations or causes of action that constitute a Reserved Claim are not resolved, settled or covered by this Consent Judgment.
- **8.3** Boeing and its officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys covenant not to sue or pursue any civil or administrative claims against the Regional Board or other departments or agencies of the State of California, or their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys arising out of or related to Covered Matters, except for the purpose of enforcing Plaintiff's obligations under this Consent Judgment.
- **8.4** In any subsequent action that may be brought by the Regional Board based on any Reserved Claims, Boeing agrees that it will not assert that failing to pursue the Reserved Claims as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting, laches or is otherwise inequitable. This Paragraph does not prohibit Boeing from asserting any statute of limitations that may be applicable to any Reserved Claims.
- 8.5 Boeing hereby specifically reserves any rights, and by this settlement does not waive its rights, to challenge any permit, permit condition, or Regional Board action not otherwise resolved pursuant to this settlement, including but not limited to administrative and/or judicial challenges to the conditions set forth in any NPDES permit or other Order issued to Boeing for the Santa Susana Field Laboratory.
- **8.6** The provisions of sections 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, and 8.4 are effective on the date of the entry of the Consent Judgment.
- **8.7** Sections 8.1, 8.2, 8.3 and 8.4 shall not bar the Regional Board's right to enforce the terms of the Consent Judgment in this or another proceeding.

1	9. NOTICE	
2		and notices required by this Consent Judgment shall be sent to:
3	For Regional Board:	
4	S	
5		Hugh Marley Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
6		320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200 Los Angeles, CA 90013
7		Noah Golden-Krasner
8		Deputy Attorney General
9		Office of the Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
10		Los Angeles, California 90013
11	For Boeing:	Kathleen H. Wong
12		Senior Counsel Office of the General Counsel
13		The Boeing Company 2201 Seal Beach Boulevard, M/C 110-SB33
14		Seal Beach, CA 90740-1515
15		Peter H. Weiner
16		Paul Hastings LLP 55 Second Street, Suite 2400
17		San Francisco, CA 94105
18	Any Party may o	change its notice name and address by informing the other Party in writing,
19		ive until it is received. All notices and other communications required or
20		•
21	•	onsent Judgment that are properly addressed as provided in this Paragraph
22	-	very if delivered personally or by overnight mail, or are effective five (5)
23		t in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by mail.
		TTY FOR WRITTEN APPROVALS
24	11	d decisions of the Regional Board under the terms of this Consent
25		nmunicated to Boeing in writing. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or
26	comments by employe	es or officials of Plaintiff regarding submissions or notices shall be
27		
28		18

Amended and Restated Consent Judgment Pursuant To Stipulation of the Parties and [Proposed]
Order

construed to relieve Boeing of its obligation to obtain any final written approval required by this Consent Judgment.

11. <u>EFFECT OF JUDGMENT</u>

Except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment, nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended nor shall it be construed to preclude the Regional Board, or any state, county, or local agency, department, board or entity, or any CUPA, from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation.

12. LIABILITY OF REGIONAL BOARD

The Regional Board shall not be liable for any injury or damage to persons or property resulting from acts or omissions by Boeing, its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Judgment, nor shall the Regional Board be held as a party to or guarantor of any contract entered into by Boeing, its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors, in carrying out the requirements of this Consent Judgment.

13. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the Regional Board to enforce any provision of this Consent Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Consent Judgment. The failure of the Regional Board to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Consent Judgment. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in this Consent Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Consent Judgment.

14. <u>FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES</u>

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall excuse Boeing from meeting any more stringent requirements that may be imposed by changes in the applicable law.

15. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Regional Board and Boeing, and their employees, agents, successors, and assigns.

16. AUTHORITY TO ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment, to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

17. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 17.1 The Parties agree that this Court has exclusive jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the Consent Judgment. The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Consent Judgment. The Parties shall meet and confer prior to the filing of any motion relating to this Consent Judgment, including any Enforcement Motion as contemplated by Paragraphs 4.3, 4.5, 6.7, 6.8, and 7.1, and shall negotiate in good faith in an effort to resolve any dispute without judicial intervention.
- 17.2 This Consent Judgment shall go into effect immediately upon entry thereof. Entry is authorized by Stipulation of the Parties upon filing.

18. NON-DISCHARGEABILITY OF OBLIGATIONS

Boeing agrees that it will not seek to discharge in bankruptcy any payment obligations required by this Consent Judgment.

19. ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

On reasonable notice and subject to all of the defenses Boeing would have to requests for documents made by subpoena or other formal legal process or discovery, Boeing shall permit any duly authorized representative of the Regional Board to inspect and copy Boeing's records and documents, and to enter and inspect Boeing's facilities to determine the nature and extent of Boeing's compliance with or violation of its NPDES permit. Nothing in this Paragraph is intended to require access to or production of any documents that are protected from production

or disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege afforded to Boeing under law.

20. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

Boeing shall pay its own attorney fees and costs and all other costs of litigation and investigation incurred to date.

21. <u>INTERPRETATION</u>

This Consent Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties. The Parties agree that the rule of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting Party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Consent Judgment.

22. COUNTERPART AND FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterparts and facsimiles, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

23. INTEGRATION

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for in the Consent Judgment.

24. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by the Court, or upon written consent by the Parties and the approval of the Court.

25. TERMINATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment will expire and be of no further effect after June 30, 2017, or after Boeing has reported any and all violations of its NPDES permit through December 31, 2016 and has paid all stipulated penalties resulting from any such violations, whichever comes last.

26. FINAL JUDGMENT

Upon approval and entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, this Consent Judgment shall constitute a Final Judgment by the Court as to the Parties.

27. PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT This Consent Judgment shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than 30 days for public notice and comment in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 123.27(d) and the State Board's Water Quality Enforcement Policy. The Regional Board reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent if the comments regarding the Consent Judgment disclose facts or considerations indicating that the Consent Judgment is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. STIPULATION AND APPROVALS OF THE PARTIES. IT IS SO STIPULATED.

	Plaintiff Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region:
	Dated: 10/29, 2014 Samuel Oyan SAMUEL UNGER
	Executive Officer
	Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
	Approved as to form:
	Dated: 10/29 , 2014
	NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER
	Deputy Attorney General for the State of California Attorneys for Plaintiff
	Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angele Region
.	
,	
)	
)	
2	
3	
1	
5	
5	
7	
, 8	23

1	Defendant The Boeing Company:	
2	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SI I Sheld
3	Dated: <i>Nov.</i> 3, 2014	Steven L. Shestag
4		Director, Enterprise Remediation Environment, Health & Safety
5		The Boeing Company
6		
7		e e
8		
10		
11		e y
12		a a
13	8	
14		
15	×	
16		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
17	2 g = 1	
18	9	
19		#
20		
21	§	
22	, #	
23 24		*
25	355 9	
26	~	
27		
28	2	24 .
		47

Amended and Restated Consent Judgment Pursuant To Stipulation of the Parties and [Proposed]
Order

1 2	Dated: Nov. 3, 2014		KATHLEEN H. WONG	
3			Senior Counsel Office of the General Counsel	
5			The Boeing Company	
6				
7				
8				
9			в — в — в	
10			×	
11				
12	w B			
13				
14 15				
16			g	
17				
18	į ž			
19	¥			
20				
21			-	
22			~	
23				
24	,		-	
25				
26				
27				
28	Amended and Pactoted Concor	it Indomen	25 t Pursuant To Stimulation of the Parties and [Proposed]	
	Amended and Restated Consent Judgment Pursuant To Stipulation of the Parties and [Proposed]			

Order

1	
2	Approved as to form:
3	At the leaves
4	Dated: ///3 , 2014 PETER H. WEINER
5	Paul Hastings LLP Attorney for Defendant
6	The Boeing Company
7	
8	
9	8
10	
11	
12	*
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
20	e -
21	a variable de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	Amended and Restated Consent Judgment Pursuant To Stipulation of the Parties and [Proposed]
	Order

Dated:	, 2014	
	,	Hon. Tari L. Cody Judge of the Superior Court
		sauge of the superior court

Order