CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER INSPECTION REPORT (State Board Order 2009-0009-DWQ, NPDES No. CAS000002)

WDID NUMBER:	INSP	ECTOR(S): (Selec	t)					
INSPECTION DATE:	WEATHER CONDITION:		ARRIVAL TIME:		DEPARTURE TIME:			
NAME OF LRP REP. PRESENT DURING THE INSPECTION:			E:	PHONE NO:				
WAS CONSENT GIVEN ?: TO AC TO TA	CESS THE SITE: KE PHOTOS:	NAME OF PER	SON GIVING CONSE	NT IF DIFFERENT	FROM THE LRP REP.ABOVE			
DESIGN STORM (5 YR/24 HR): _	PHOTOS A	TTACHED: II	F "NO", WHY?:					
CONSTRUCTION SITE NAME		CONST	RUCTION SITE ADD	RESS AND ZIP COI	DE			
PERMITTED CONST. AREA:		DISTURBED A	REA:					
COMPANY		LEGALLY RESPON	SIBLE PARTY'S NAM	E (LRP)	PHONE NO			
PRIMARY DEVELOPER		DEVELOPER CONT	FACT NAME		PHONE NO			
				IS QSD CERTIFIC	CATION ACTIVE?:			
NAME OF QSD		CERTIFIC/	ATION NO		CATION ACTIVE?:			
NAME OF QSP		CERTIFIC	ATION NO					
COI NEEDED?: NO	EXPLAIN							
TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION: (Sel	ect) - IF LUP, WH	IAT TYPE: (Select)		RISK LEVEL: (Select)			
PREVIOUSLY INSPECTED ?:	IF "YES", WH	IEN?:		A. Have you verif	ied the risk level?			
PREVIOUS ENFORCEMENT		IF "YES", WHEN?	- ?:	B. Is it correct? C. If "NO", what i D. Has the LRP t	s the correct risk level? been notified?			
	P		NSPECTION					
		(Selec IF "OTHER", SPEC	,					
CONCLUSION/COMMENTS								

RECOMMENDATION												
	OTHER: NEXT INSPECTION DATE :											
(Select)												
INSPECTOR'S NAME		SIGNATURE	REPORT DATE									
(Select)												
REVIEWER'S NAME		SIGNATURE	REVIEW DATE									

RES												
Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan	Permit section	Y e s	N o	N / A	U N	COMMENTS						
1. Is the SWPPP on site during the construction?	Order-XIV.(C)											
2. Is SWPPP prepared prior to start of soil disturbance?	Order-II.(B)											
3. Are the PRDs documents included in the SWPPP?	Attachment B.(J)											
4. Has LRP listed in the SWPPP the name of any Approved Signatory with a copy of the written agreement or other mechanism that provides this authority from LRP?	Order-VII.(B)(4)											
5. Is the SWPPP written and certified by a QSD?	Order-VII.(B)(1)											
6. Is the QSD information included?	Order VII.(B)(2)											
7. Is the QSP information included??	Order VII.(B)(3)											
8. Are all the information listed about the contractors, subcontractors?	Order VII.(B)(5)											
9. Does calculation in Appendix 1 support the risk assessment?	Order VIII											
10. Are Post-Construction Requirements included in the SWPPP?	Order I.(L)											
11. Is the SWPPP amendments (if any) signed by the QSD?	Order VII.(B)(6)											
12. Is the SWPPP complete?	Order XIV.(A)											

Are the following site specific BMPs (listed in the SWPPP) implemented?									
Franker Ormfrak		ATTACHMENT						U	COMMENTS
Erosion Control:	LUP	Risk 1	Risk 2	Risk 3	e s	0	A	Ν	
Wind									
Effective soil cover	A.(j).(4)	C.(D)	D.(D)	E.(D)					
Solar resistant plastic cover material									

		ATTAC	HMENT		Y	N	N	U N	COMMENTS
Sediment Control:	LUP	Risk 1	Risk 2	Risk 3	e s	0	/ A	IN	
Perimeter control									
Tracking	A.(J)	C.(E)	D.(E)	E.(E)					
Sediment basin									
Linear sediment controls along the toe, face and at grade breaks of exposed slopes	Type 2 & 3 (Table 2)		(Tab	le 1)					
Traffic limited to protected entrances and exits	Туре	N/A	(5	5)					
Are immediate access roads free of sediment and other construction materials	2 & 3		(7)						
Run-On and Run-off Controls	Type 2 & 3 *	C.(F)	D.(F)	E.(F)					
Opend Cite		ATTAC	HMENT	-	Y e	N o	N /	U N	COMMENTS
Good Site Management	LUP	Risk 1	Risk 2	Risk 3	s	U	Å		
"Housekeeping":	A.(J) (2)	C.(B)	D.(B)	E.(B)					
Are all the inspection, maintenance repair and sampling performed or supervised by the QSP?	A.(J) (7)	C.(G) (1-3)	D.(G) (1-3)	E.(G) (1-3)					
Are good housekeeping documents in REAP(s)?	N/A	N/A	D.(B) (7)	E.(B)					

* Run-on and runoff controls are not required for Type 1 LUPs unless the evaluation of quantity and quality of run-on and runoff deems them necessary or visual inspections show that the site requires such controls.

Monitoring		Attachment							N o	N /	N	
	quirements:	1	LUP 2	3	Risk 1	Risk 2	Risk 3	S		A		
	tine daily and kly inspections		A. (N	1)	C. (I)	D. (I)	E. (I)					
	m Event ection:		1	1		1						
	Pre											
	During	N/A	A.	A.	C.(I)	D. (I)	E. (I)					
-	After		(M)	(M)	U.(I)	D. (I)	L. (I)					
Sam	ple Collection:							т				
	Storm water discharge		A. (M)									
	Receiving water	N/A	N/A	A.	C.(I)	D. (I)	E. (I)					
	Non visible pollutants	A. (M)	A. (M)	_ ////								
Bioa	assessment		N/A		N/A	N/A	E(I) (17)					
wat	n-storm ter nagement :			/.(A).(′	ttachme	sk 2	Risk 3 E(C)	Y e s	N 0	N / A	U N	
			er		5(-	2(0)	Y	N	N	U	
	<u>porting</u>	Ord	er – \		ttachme	nt	e s	0	/ A	N	I	
Rec	quirements:	LU	JP				Risk 3	Ĩ				
NAL	exceedances:	F(3))	N/A	D(I)(15)	E(I)(15)					
	rterly non-SW harge reporting	N/A		C(I)(6 (a)) D(I)	E(I)					
Ann	ual reporting	Ord - X		Order - XVI		der. (VI	Order. - XVI					
	ient Standards neric):	2-3 A. (N/A	D.	(A)	E. (A)					
			Attac	hment	Y	N o		U N				
<u>RE</u>	<u>AP:</u>				R	isk 2	Risk 3	e s	0	/ A		
	REAPs prepared ses of the constru			P for a	ill D	(H)	E(H)					

2009-0009-DWQ Construction Inspection Form

<u>Po</u>	st-construction:	Order	Y e s	N o	N / A	U N	COMMENTS
Pha	ise l				-		
	A. Have LIDs been implemented?						
	B. Are the LIDs effective/adequate?						
	C. If "NO", has LRP or City/County been contacted?	ХШ					
	D. Final stabilization: 70% RUSLE/RUSLE2 Custom						
Pha	se II						
	A. Is the water balance calculation included						
	B. Is the post-const runoff volume equal or less than pre-const runoff volume?	XIII					
	C. Final stabilization 70% RUSLE/RUSLE2 Custom						
TMDL		Appendix 4					

VERIFY THE SITE'S STORMWATER DISCHARGE ROUTE (CHECK NOI):

STORM DRAIN: ENTER SYSTEM'S OWNER NAME:

DIRECTLY TO WATERS OF U.S.: NAME WATER BODY:

□ INDIRECTLY TO WATERS OF U.S: NAME TRIBUTARY: _____

ON 303 (D) LIST?

IF YES, WHAT POLLUTANTS:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS