June 27, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

Dear Mr. Unger:

SUBMITTAL OF NOTICE OF INTENT FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM FOR THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED MANAGEMENT AREA GROUP

Please find attached the Notice of Intent (NOI) for the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) for the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Management Area Group (ULARWMA). The participating permittees of the ULARWMA Group have mutually agreed to a collaborative approach in meeting the requirements of the new MS4 Permit (Order No. R4-2012-0175). Please note that the ULARWMA Group does not have all MS4 permittees in the Upper Los Angeles River watershed participating as some have opted to develop their own strategy in complying with the MS4 Permit. The City of Los Angeles, as lead agency for the ULARWMA Group, has prepared this Notice of Intent on behalf of itself, the County of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and the Cities of Alhambra, Burbank, Calabasas, Glendale, Hidden Hills, La Canada Flintridge, Montebello, Monterey Park, Pasadena, Rosemead, San Gabriel, South Pasadena, San Marino, Temple City. All agencies have reviewed and approved this NOI for submission to your Board, and we appreciate the collaboration by the participating MS4 co-permittees in the preparation of the NOI materials.

The attached document satisfies the requirements for submitting the NOI as provided by Part VI.C.4.b of the MS4 Permit and the CIMP notification requirements as provided by Attachment E Section IV.C.1. We look forward to continuing the process of plan developments for the
ULARWMA group with the Technical Advisory Committee, the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, and other watershed stakeholders.

Should you have any questions about this submittal, please contact me at Shahram.Kharaghani@lacity.org or phone (213) 485-0587 or your staff may contact Alfredo Magallanes at Alfredo.Magallanes@lacity.org or phone (213) 485-3958.

Sincerely

[Signature]

SHAHRAM KHARAGHANI, Ph.D., PE, BCEE
Program Manager

SK:AM:am
WPDCR9047
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NOTICE OF INTENT

Enhanced Watershed Management Program and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program

Submitted by the:

Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group

City of Los Angeles
County of Los Angeles
Los Angeles County Flood Control District
City of Alhambra
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City of Glendale
City of Hidden Hills
City of La Canada Flintridge
City of Montebello
City of Monterey Park
City of Pasadena
City of Rosemead
City of San Gabriel
City of San Marino
City of South Pasadena
City of Temple City

June 28, 2013
1. Introduction
The Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group respectfully submits this Notice of Intent (NOI) to develop an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) for the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed per Part VI.C.4.b.i of Order No. R4-2012-0175 (MS4 Permit). The Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) includes the following entities: the Cities of Los Angeles, Alhambra, Burbank, Calabasas, Glendale, Hidden Hills, La Canada Flintridge, Montebello, Monterey Park, Pasadena, Rosemead, San Gabriel, San Marino, South Pasadena, and Temple City; the County of Los Angeles; and, the Los Angeles County Flood Control District. This NOI includes a statement of the Group’s intent to follow a Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) approach.

The Los Angeles River Watershed encompasses approximately 834 square miles and includes 43 different cities as well as unincorporated Los Angeles County. The 303(d) List has identified the Los Angeles River Watershed as being impaired by several pollutants. Accordingly, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (LARWQCB) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) have adopted and/or established several TMDLs for the receiving waters throughout the Los Angeles River Watershed. The Group proposes the development of an EWMP specifically for the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed as the most effective approach to utilize opportunities to retain and reuse runoff and to address the unique challenges of the watershed. The Group does not include all jurisdictions located within the watershed; thus, this EWMP and CIMP will only apply to those areas governed by the participating entities, delineated in Attachment 1. All drainage infrastructure operated and maintained by the LACFCD within the boundaries shown in Attachment 1 are also covered under this EWMP and CIMP.

The Group has been collaborating since the first Los Angeles River Watershed TMDLs were adopted by the LARWQCB. TMDL-related monitoring in the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed has been implemented in a coordinated manner and is being cost-shared by all Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group members as well as Caltrans.

The City of Los Angeles will act as the lead agency for developing the EWMP and CIMP; however, development of the Work Plan, CIMP, and EWMP Plan will be a collaborative process between all members of the Group, coordinated with the Technical Advisory Committee as well as with watershed stakeholders.

The following sections:
   a) Satisfy the EWMP requirements for NOI submittal as provided by Section VI.C.4.b of the MS4 Permit;
   b) Satisfy the CIMP notification requirements as provided by Attachment E Section IV.C.1.; and,
   c) Provide the LARWQCB with additional information on the approach that the Group intends to follow for EWMP development.

2. Notification of Intent (Section VI.C.4.b.i and Attachment E Section IV.C.1.)
With this NOI, the Group hereby notifies the LARWQCB of their intention to collaboratively develop an EWMP for the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed, request a Work Plan due date of 18 months after the effective date of the MS4 Permit (June 28, 2014), and request a draft EWMP Plan due date of 30 months after the effective date of the MS4 Permit (June 28, 2015).

Additionally, with this NOI, the Group notifies the LARWQCB of their intention to collaboratively develop a CIMP for the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed, and request a draft CIMP due date of 18 months after the effective date of the MS4 Permit (June 28, 2014).

3. Interim and Final TDML Compliance Deadlines (Section VI.C.4.b.ii)
Table 1 lists the TMDLs that apply to the Upper Los Angeles River subwatershed of the Los Angeles River Watershed Management Area. Interim and final compliance deadlines of the Los Angeles River Watershed Trash
TMDL and final compliance deadlines of other TMDLs occurring prior to the anticipated approval date of the EWMP (April 28, 2016) are included in Table 2.

The watershed control measures that will be implemented to meet the requirements of the interim and final trash water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs) and all other final WQBELs are described in more detail in Section 12 of this NOI submittal.

Table 1. TMDLs Applicable to Upper Los Angeles River Watershed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TMDL</th>
<th>LARWQCB Resolution Number</th>
<th>Effective Date and/or EPA Approval Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles River Watershed Bacteria TMDL</td>
<td>R10-007</td>
<td>03/23/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles River and Tributaries Metals TMDL</td>
<td>2007-014</td>
<td>10/29/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legg Lake Trash TMDL</td>
<td>2007-010</td>
<td>03/06/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters Toxic Pollutants TMDL</td>
<td>R11-008</td>
<td>03/23/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Beach City Beaches and Los Angeles River Estuary Bacteria TMDL</td>
<td>USEPA Established TMDL</td>
<td>03/26/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Area Lakes TMDLs for Lake Calabasas, Echo Park Lake, and Legg Lake</td>
<td>USEPA Established TMDL</td>
<td>03/26/2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Interim (Trash) and Final TMDL Compliance Deadlines Prior to EWMP Approval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TMDL</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Interim/Final</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles River Watershed Trash TMDL</td>
<td>20% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>09/30/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>09/30/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>09/30/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>09/30/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>09/30/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>09/30/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>09/30/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>09/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96.7% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>09/30/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>09/30/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legg Lake Trash TMDL</td>
<td>20% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>03/06/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>03/06/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>03/06/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>03/06/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100% reduction of baseline load</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>03/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles River Watershed Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL</td>
<td>100% of MS4 drainage area complies with waste load allocations</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>03/23/2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Geographical Scope (Section VI.C.4.b.iii.(1))

As previously mentioned, this EWMP for the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed only covers the areas associated with the participating entities, known collectively as the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group). The Group includes the Cities of Los Angeles, Alhambra, Burbank, Calabasas, Glendale, Hidden Hills, La Canada Flintridge, Montebello, Monterey Park, Pasadena, Rosemead, San Gabriel, San Marino, South Pasadena, and Temple City; the County of Los Angeles; and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District (LACFCD). Thus, the area...
included in this Upper Los Angeles River Watershed EWMP is approximately 479 square miles. This includes the drainage infrastructure operated and maintained by the LACFCD within the above jurisdictions as well as those within the non-participating Cities of San Fernando, Compton, Irwindale, El Monte, and South El Monte. Attachment 1 provides a map of the watershed boundaries, land areas of the participating MS4 Permittees, and the other entities within the watershed that will be covered in this EWMP.

The Los Angeles River is approximately 55 miles long. The natural hydrology of the Los Angeles River Watershed has been altered by channelization and the construction of dams and flood control reservoirs. The Los Angeles River and many of its tributaries are lined with concrete for most or all of their lengths. Soft-bottomed segments of the Los Angeles River occur where groundwater upwelling prevented armoring of the river bottom.

The Los Angeles River has been divided into six reaches (listed here from upstream to downstream):
- Reach 6 begins at the headwaters of the Los Angeles River (the confluence of Arroyo Calabasas and Bell Creek) and extends to Balboa Boulevard.
- Reach 5 runs from Balboa Boulevard through the Sepulveda Basin.
- Reach 4 runs from Sepulveda Dam to Riverside Drive.
- Reach 3 runs from Riverside Drive to Figueroa Street.
- Reach 2 runs from Figueroa Street to Carson Street.
- Reach 1 runs from Carson Street to the estuary.

In addition, the Los Angeles River is also divided into five segments:
- Segment A includes lower Reach 2 and Reach 1 from Rosecrans Avenue to Willow Street.
- Segment B includes upper and middle Reach 2 from Figueroa Street to Rosecrans Avenue.
- Segment C includes lower Reach 4 and Reach 3 from Tujunga Avenue to Figueroa Street.
- Segment D includes Reach 5 and upper Reach 4 from Balboa Boulevard to Tujunga Avenue.
- Segment E includes Reach 6 from the headwaters of the Los Angeles River to Balboa Boulevard.

Major tributaries to Upper Los Angeles River Watershed include Aliso Creek, Bell Creek, Bull Creek, Tujunga Wash, Burbank Western Channel, Arroyo Seco, Rio Hondo, and Compton Creek.

The total area of the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed is XX square miles; however, this EWMP only covers the areas associated with the Group, encompassing approximately XX square miles. Table 3 provides a summary of the areas associated with the participating MS4 Permittees and other participating agencies. Collectively, the MS4 Permittees in the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed have jurisdiction over 479 square miles or 57.43% of the total watershed area. The Group has no jurisdiction over the land that is owned by the State of California (i.e., California Department of Fish and Wildlife, the State Lands Commission, and Caltrans) and the US Government.
Table 3. Upper LA River Watershed Area Distribution for Entities participating in this EWMP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>Area (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Los Angeles</td>
<td>181,288.00</td>
<td>59.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Los Angeles</td>
<td>40,553.34</td>
<td>13.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County Flood Control District</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Alhambra</td>
<td>4,884.31</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Burbank</td>
<td>11,095.20</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Calabasas</td>
<td>4,005.68</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Glendale</td>
<td>19,587.50</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Hidden Hills</td>
<td>961.03</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of La Canada Flintridge</td>
<td>5,534.46</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Montebello</td>
<td>5,356.38</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Monterey Park</td>
<td>4,951.51</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Pasadena</td>
<td>14,805.30</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Rosemead</td>
<td>3,310.87</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Gabriel</td>
<td>2,644.87</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Marino</td>
<td>2,409.64</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of South Pasadena</td>
<td>2,186.20</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Temple City</td>
<td>2,576.50</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>306,150.79</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Plan Concept (Section VI.C.4.b.iii.(1))**
Collectively, the Group has developed several Implementation Plans that include strategies for demonstrating compliance with the Los Angeles River Watershed Bacteria and Metals TMDLs. The Implementation Plans and strategies for compliance are based on a multi-pollutant approach that maximizes the retention and use of urban runoff as a resource for groundwater recharge and irrigation. The Group has collaborated with key watershed stakeholders to identify the distributed and regional BMPs as part of the Implementation Plans. The Upper Los Angeles River Watershed EWMP will further enhance the TMDL implementation plans; re-evaluate the proposed watershed control measures; identify additional regional projects to maximize opportunities for retaining all non-stormwater runoff and stormwater from the 85th percentile, 24-hour storm event; and, identify additional watershed control measures for those areas in the watershed that cannot be addressed by a regional project.

In order to meet the requirements of the MS4 Permit, the Group will develop the following documents:

- A Work Plan for submittal to the LARWQCB by June 28, 2014. The Work Plan will meet the requirements of the MS4 Permit, provide an understanding of where the agencies are today, and outline a path forward.
- A CIMP for submittal to the LARWQCB by June 28, 2014. The CIMP will address all TMDL monitoring requirements applicable to the Los Angeles River Watershed and all five monitoring elements of the MS4 Permit Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP).
- An EWMP Plan for draft submittal to the LARWCB by June 28, 2015 and final submittal by January 28, 2016. Using the information developed for the Work Plan, the EWMP will meet the requirements of the MS4 Permit.

6. **Cost Estimate (Section VI.C.4.b.iii.(2))**
The Group collaboratively prepared a scope of work and cost estimate for developing the Work Plan, the CIMP, and the EWMP for the participating areas within the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed. It is estimated that the cost for the Work Plan, the CIMP, and the EWMP Plan development is approximately $1.45 million. This estimate assumes that the CIMP and EWMP will, in part, be based on the existing TMDL Coordinated Monitoring Plans and any available Implementation Plans. In addition, the Group will contribute several hundred thousands of dollars in in-kind services and contract administration costs.
7. Memorandum of Understanding (Section VI.C.4.b.iii.(3))
Attachment 2 includes the final draft of the Memoranda of Agreement between the City of Los Angeles, as the lead agency, and the other Group members. All agencies have committed to the execution of these agreements as indicated by the signed letters of intent (Attachment 3). The agreements will be executed before December 28, 2013.

8. Interim Milestones and Deadlines for Plan Development (Section VI.C.4.b.iii.(4))
Table 4 summarizes the interim milestone and deadlines based on the scope of work for developing the Work Plan, CIMP, and EWMP agreed to by the Group. In addition to the monthly agency coordination meetings and coordination meetings with the Technical Advisory Committee, the schedule in Table 4 assumes meetings and/or workshops with local watershed stakeholders. Technical memorandums summarizing information and approaches utilized by the Group in developing the Work Plan, CIMP, and EWMP Plan will be submitted and viewed as interim milestones. It is expected that the draft technical memos will not be finalized per section; rather, the information presented in the memos will be revised based on comments and presented in the final Work Plan, CIMP, and EWMP Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Milestones and Deadlines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Technical Memos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identification of water quality priorities</td>
<td>March 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Existing and future watershed control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measures, identification of potential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regional projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reasonable assurance analysis approach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• BMP selection approaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Work Plan</td>
<td>April 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Work Plan Submitted to the LARWQCB</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Technical Memos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Outfall and receiving water monitoring</td>
<td>March 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Monitoring sites selection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• New development and redevelopment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>effectiveness tracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft CIMP</td>
<td>April 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Draft CIMP Submitted to the LARWQCB</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced Watershed Management Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Technical Memos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Approach to USEPA TMDLs, 303(d) listings,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other exceedances of RWLs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Final selection of regional projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Feasibility analyses of regional projects,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>customization of MCMs, identification of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other BMPs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Project schedules and cost estimates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft EWMP</td>
<td>May 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Draft EWMP Submitted to the LARWQCB</td>
<td>June 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Structural BMP (Section VI.C.4.b.iii.(5))
During the 30-month EWMP Plan development, the Group will be implementing two structural BMPs to demonstrate its responsibility to improving water quality in the watershed. The goal of both projects is to utilize dry- and wet-weather runoff after natural treatment for the beneficial use of surface irrigation or infiltration. The cumulative investment by the County of Los Angeles and the City of Los Angeles for these projects is that of $7.4
million. These two structural BMP projects are summarized below. More information can be found on the Project Fact Sheets included as Attachment 4.

**Brandon Street and Green Street Improvements Project (County of Los Angeles)**
The project will reconstruct approximately 0.16 miles of roadway on Green Street and 0.39 miles on Brandon Street. The design includes several green street elements including permeable pavers, bio-retention planters, sediment filtration catch basins, and an underground infiltration basin. Much of the runoff from the streets and private properties that would have otherwise drained to the Rio Hondo will be directed to the infiltration area. This will help to augment groundwater supplies and prevent pollutants from entering the Los Angeles River.

**Humboldt Greenway Project**
This project will intercept an existing storm drain system and construct a stormwater greenway with a “stream” eco-system through the corridor on Humboldt Street with a pedestrian path connecting Avenue 18 and Avenue 19. The project is adjacent to the Los Angeles River, just north of Civic Center area of the City of Los Angeles. The bioremediation elements include a pollution reduction/infiltration system and an approximately 175-foot long graded swale/open-channel, which is surrounded by a vegetated basin. Work also includes a) an overflow structure; b) a pedestrian bridge; c) an irrigation system; d) landscaping and tree planting; and e) solar lighting.

### 10. LID Ordinance (Sections VI.C.4.b.iii.(6) and VI.C.4.c.iv.(1))
Table 5 summarizes the status of Low Impact Development (LID) ordinances mandated by the Group. As presented in Table 5, greater than 50% of the land area addressed by the geographical scope of the Group is addressed by an LID ordinance that is in place.

**Table 5. Upper LA River Watershed Group EWMP Area Percentage Addressed by LID Ordinances**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Area (percent)</th>
<th>Status LID ordinance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Los Angeles</td>
<td>59.22</td>
<td>Revising Ordinance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Los Angeles</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>Draft Ordinance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACFCD</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Alhambra</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Burbank</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Calabasas</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Glendale</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Hidden Hills</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of La Canada Flintridge</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Montebello</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Monterey Park</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Pasadena</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Rosemead</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Gabriel</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Marino</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of South Pasadena</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Temple City</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
1. **Revising Ordinance.** The City of Los Angeles LID Ordinance became effective on May 12, 2012. The City is currently amending sections of the LID Ordinance, as well as its Stormwater and Urban Runoff Pollution Control Ordinance (L.A.M.C. Chapter VI, Article 4.4) to meet all the MS4 Permit requirements.
2. **Draft Ordinance.** Permittee has completed or will complete by June 28, 2013 the development of a draft LID Ordinance that is in compliance with the MS4 Permit for its portion of the watershed.
3. **In Development.** Permittee initiated development of an LID Ordinance that is in compliance with the requirements of the MS4 Permit for its portion of the watershed.
11. Green Street Policies (Sections VI.C.4.b.iii.(6) and VI.C.4.c.iv.(2))
Table 6 summarizes the status of green street policies observed by the Group. As presented in Table 6, greater than 50% of the land area addressed by the geographical scope of the EMWP is addressed by green streets policies that are in place.

Table 6. Summary of Percent EWMP Area Addressed by Green Street Policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EWMP agency</th>
<th>% EWMP area</th>
<th>Status green street policies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Los Angeles</td>
<td>59.22</td>
<td>In Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Los Angeles</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACFCD</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Alhambra</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Burbank</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Calabasas</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Glendale</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Hidden Hills</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of La Canada Flintridge</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Montebello</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Monterey Park</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Pasadena</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Rosemead</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Gabriel</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Marino</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of South Pasadena</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Temple City</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>In Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
1. **In Effect.** Permittee has adopted a Green Street Policy that is in compliance with the requirements of the MS4 Permit for its portion of the watershed.
2. **Draft Policy.** Permittee has completed or will complete by June 28, 2013 the development of a draft Green Street Policy that is in compliance with the MS4 Permit for its portion of the watershed.
3. **In Development.** Permittee initiated development of a Green Street Policy that is in compliance with the requirements of the MS4 Permit for its portion of the watershed.

12. Implementation of Watershed Control Measures during Plan Development (Section VI.C.4.b.ii)
The Group has developed TMDL Implementation Plans incorporating structural and institutional watershed control measures for a multi-pollutant and multi-benefit approach, as well as the timelines for implementation to meet the water quality limitations of the various TMDLs. Table 7 summarizes the TMDL Implementation Plans that have been developed to date. Three TMDLs have interim and/or final compliance milestones prior to the final approval of the EWMP by April 28, 2016, as shown in Table 2, that will be met through the continued implementation efforts as outlined in the Implementation Plans. The Group will continue their efforts to implement the actions of the TMDL Implementation Plans concurrently with the development of the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed EWMP. Attachment 5 provides more details of the ongoing and planned actions.
Table 7. Implementation Plans for Upper Los Angeles River Watershed TMDLs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Plan</th>
<th>Agencies</th>
<th>Plan Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implementation Plan for Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Metals TMDL</td>
<td>Cities of Los Angeles, Alhambra, Burbank, Calabasas, Glendale, Hidden Hills, La Canada Flintridge, Montebello, Monterey Park, Pasadena, Rosemead, San Gabriel, San Marino, South Pasadena, and Temple City; Caltrans</td>
<td>Final plan submitted 10/07/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Pollutant TMDL Implementation Plan for the Unincorporated County Area of Los Angeles River Watershed</td>
<td>County of Los Angeles</td>
<td>Final plan submitted 10/07/2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three TMDLs have interim and/or final compliance milestones prior to the final approval of the EWMP by April 28, 2016 as summarized in Table 2. The Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group will continue the implementation of watershed control measures concurrently with EWMP Plan development to ensure compliance with these interim and/or final milestones, as follows:

- **Interim and final milestones of the Los Angeles River Watershed Trash TMDL**: Each EWMP agency has developed its own program for compliance with this TMDL. Agency-specific programs and the status of implementation and compliance are provided in Attachment 5.
- **Interim and final milestones of the Legg Lake Trash TMDL**: The County of Los Angeles is in compliance with this TMDL.
- **Final compliance milestone of the Los Angeles River Watershed Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL**: As required by this TMDL, the Monitoring Work Plan to Assess Nutrient Loading from the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System was submitted on March 23, 2005.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>Percent Area from Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alhambra</td>
<td>4,884.31</td>
<td>1.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burbank</td>
<td>11,095.20</td>
<td>3.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calabasas</td>
<td>4,005.68</td>
<td>1.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Los Angeles</td>
<td>181,288.00</td>
<td>59.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale</td>
<td>19,567.50</td>
<td>6.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hidden Hills</td>
<td>961.03</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Canada Flintridge</td>
<td>5,534.46</td>
<td>1.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>41,048.07</td>
<td>13.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montebello</td>
<td>5,356.38</td>
<td>1.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Park</td>
<td>4,951.51</td>
<td>1.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasadena</td>
<td>14,805.30</td>
<td>4.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemead</td>
<td>3,310.87</td>
<td>1.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Gabriel</td>
<td>2,644.87</td>
<td>0.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>2,409.64</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Pasadena</td>
<td>2,186.20</td>
<td>0.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple City</td>
<td>2,576.50</td>
<td>0.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACFCD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group Total: 306,645.53  100%
Attachment 2 – Draft Memorandum of Understanding
MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
BETWEEN
THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, THE CITY OF ALHAMBRA, THE CITY OF BURBANK,
THE CITY OF CALABASAS, THE CITY OF GLENDALE, THE CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS,
THE CITY OF LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE, THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO, THE CITY OF
OF SAN GABRIEL, THE CITY OF SAN MARINO, THE CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA,
THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY, THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
DISTRICT, AND THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
REGARDING THE ADMINISTRATION AND COST SHARING FOR DEVELOPMENT OF
THE ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND THE COORDINATED
INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM FOR THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER
WATERSHED

REGARDING THE ADMINISTRATION AND COST SHARING FOR DEVELOPMENT OF
THE ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND THE COORDINATED
INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM FOR THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER
WATERSHED

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is made and entered into as of the date of the last
signature set forth below by and between: the City of Los Angeles, a municipal corporation; the
City of Alhambra, a municipal corporation; the City of Burbank, a municipal corporation; the
City of Calabasas, a municipal corporation; the City of Glendale, a municipal corporation; the
City of Hidden Hills, a municipal corporation; the City of La Canada Flintridge, a municipal
corporation; the City of Montebello, a municipal corporation; the City of Monterey Park, a
municipal corporation; the City of Pasadena, a municipal corporation; the City of Rosemead, a
municipal corporation; the City of San Gabriel, a municipal corporation; the City of San Marino,
a municipal corporation; the City of South Pasadena, a municipal corporation; the City of
Temple City, a municipal corporation; the Los Angeles County Flood Control District
(LACFCD), a political subdivision of the State of California; and the County of Los Angeles, a
political subdivision of the State of California. Collectively, these entities shall be known herein
as “Parties” or individually as “Party.”

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (“Regional
Board”) adopted National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Municipal Separate Storm
Sewer System Permit Order No. R4-2012-0175 (MS4 Permit); and

WHEREAS, the MS4 Permit became effective on December 28, 2012 and requires that
the LACFCD, County of Los Angeles, and 84 of the 88 cities (excluding Avalon, Long Beach,
Palmdale, and Lancaster) within the County of Los Angeles comply with the prescribed elements
of the MS4 Permit; and
WHEREAS, the MS4 Permit identified the Parties as the MS4 permittees that are responsible for compliance with the MS4 Permit requirements pertaining to the Los Angeles River Watershed Management Area; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to collaborate on the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) for the Los Angeles River Watershed Management Area to comply with certain elements of the MS4 Permit; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that each shall assume full and independent responsibility for ensuring its own compliance with the MS4 Permit despite the collaborative approach of the MOU; and

WHEREAS, the development of an EWMP includes the preparation of a Work Plan, a draft and final Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Plan (“CIMP”), and a draft and final Enhanced Watershed Management Program Plan (“EWMP Plan”), collectively referred to herein as “Plans”; and

WHEREAS, the Parties collaboratively prepared a final Scope of Work and Request for Proposal to obtain a Consultant for preparing the Plans that will satisfy the requirements of the MS4 Permit; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have determined that hiring a Consultant to prepare and deliver the Plans will be beneficial to the Parties and they desire to participate and will provide funding in accordance with the cost allocation on Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that the total cost for developing the Plans shall not exceed $1,593,410.05 including the project administration and management cost but excluding 10% contingency; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to retain the City of Los Angeles to coordinate the services of a Consultant to develop the Plans, the Parties have agreed to share in the cost and pay the City of Los Angeles for these consultant services as provided by Exhibit A of this MOU, and the City of Los Angeles has agreed to act on behalf of all Parties in the preparation of the Plans and the coordination of the consultant services;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual benefits to be derived by the Parties, and of the promises contained in this MOU, the Parties agree as follows:

Section 1. Recitals: The recitals set forth above are fully incorporated into this MOU.

Section 2. Purpose: The purpose of this MOU is to cooperatively fund the preparation and submittal of the Plans to the Regional Board.

Section 3. Cooperation: The Parties shall fully cooperate with one another to attain the purpose of this MOU.
Section 4. Voluntary: This MOU is voluntarily entered into for the purpose of preparing and submitting the Plans to the Regional Board.

Section 5. Term: This MOU shall become effective on the last date of execution by the Parties or December 28, 2013, whichever comes first, and shall remain and continue to remain in effect until June 30, 2016. If a Party does not execute this MOU by December 28, 2013, that Party shall be excluded from this MOU and this MOU shall become effective on December 28, 2013 by execution by the remaining Parties.

Section 6. Assessment for Proportional Cost: The Parties agree to pay the City of Los Angeles for preparation and delivery of the Plans in the amounts shown in Table (4) of Exhibit A, based on the total costs shown in Tables (1) and (2) and the cost allocation formula shown in Table (3) of Exhibit A, attached hereto and made part of this MOU by this reference. The City of Los Angeles will invoice the Parties in two installments upon execution of this MOU as shown in Table (4) of Exhibit A, based on the allocated costs for developing the Plans by the Consultant and the project administration and management costs at a percentage of 10% of the allocated costs for development of the Plans. At the end of each fiscal year, the City of Los Angeles will provide the Parties with a statement with the actual expenditures. Unexpended funds at the termination of this MOU will be returned to the Parties in accordance with the cost allocation formula set forth in Table (3) of Exhibit A.

Section 7. City of Los Angeles agrees:

- a. To solicit proposals for, award and administer a Consultant contract for the preparation and delivery of the Plans. The City of Los Angeles will be reimbursed for the administration and management of the Consultant contract as described in Exhibit A.

- b. To utilize the funds deposited by the Parties only for the administration of the Consultant contract, project management, and the preparation and completion of the Plans.

- c. To provide the Parties with an electronic copy of the technical memos, draft Plans and completed Plans within 10 business days of receipt from the Consultant.

- d. To notify the Parties if the actual cost for the preparation of the Plans will exceed the cost estimates shown on Exhibit A and obtain approval of the increase from the Parties. Upon approval of the cost increase by the Parties, the City of Los Angeles will invoice the Parties per the cost allocation formula on Exhibit A.

- e. To ensure all comments and concerns raised by the Parties during the preparation of the Plans are addressed to the satisfaction of the simple majority of the Parties.

- f. To invoice the Parties in the amounts and according to the schedule shown in Table 4 of Exhibit A.
g. To provide an accounting within 90 days after at the termination of the MOU or within 90 after the early termination of the MOU pursuant to Section 11. The City of Los Angeles shall return the unused portion of all funds deposited with the City of Los Angeles in accordance with the cost allocation formula set forth in Table 3 in Exhibit A.

Section 8. The Parties further agree:

a. To make a full faith effort to cooperate with one another to achieve the purposes of this MOU by providing information about project opportunities, reviewing deliverables in a timely manner, and informing administration and council and appropriate legislative bodies.

b. To fund the cost of the preparation and delivery of the Plans and to pay the City of Los Angeles for the preparation and delivery of the Plans based on the cost allocation shown in Exhibit A. This includes the costs incurred by the City of Los Angeles for administering the Consultant services between awarding the Consultant contract and the execution of this MOU.

c. To grant access rights and entry to the City of Los Angeles and the Consultant during the terms of this MOU to the Parties’ facilities (i.e. storm drains, channels, catch basins, properties, etc.) (“Facilities”) to achieve the purposes of this MOU. Prior to exercising said right of entry, the City of Los Angeles or their Consultant shall provide written notice to the Parties at least 72 hours in advance. For the purposes of this provision, written notice shall include notice delivered via e-mail that has been delivered to the Parties’ representatives identified in Exhibit B. The City of Los Angeles shall require the Consultant retained pursuant to this MOU to agree to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless each Party, its special districts, elected and appointed officers, employees, and agents, from and against any and all liability, including but not limited to demands, claims, actions, fees, costs, and expenses (including attorney and expert fees), arising from or connected with the Consultant's performance of its agreement with the City of Los Angeles. In addition, the City of Los Angeles shall require the Consultant to carry, maintain, and keep in full force and effect an insurance policy or policies, and each Party, its officers, employees, attorneys, and designated volunteers shall be named as additional insured on the policy(ies) with respect to liabilities arising out of the Consultant's work. These requirements will also apply to any subcontractors hired by the Consultant.

Section 9. Invoice and Payment

a. Payment: The Parties shall pay the City of Los Angeles their proportional share of the cost for the preparation and delivery of the Plans and project administration and management as shown in Table 4 of Exhibit A. Payments are due within sixty (60) days of receiving the invoice from the City of Los Angeles.
b. Invoice: The City of Los Angeles will invoice Parties in two installments in the amounts shown in Table 4 of Exhibit A. The first invoice will be sent upon execution of this MOU or in January 2014, whichever comes first. The second invoice will be sent in July 2014.

c. Contingency: The City of Los Angeles will notify the Parties if actual expenditures are anticipated to exceed the cost estimates contained in Exhibits A and obtain approval of such expenditures from all Parties. Upon approval, the Parties agree to reimburse the City of Los Angeles for their proportional share of these additional expenditures at an amount not to exceed 10% of the original cost estimate as shown in Exhibit A. This 10% contingency will not be invoiced, unless actual expenditures exceed the original cost estimate. Expenditures that exceed the 10% contingency will require an amendment of this MOU.

Section 10. Indemnification

a. Each Party shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless each other Party, including its special districts, elected and appointed officers, employees, and agents, from and against any and all liability, including but not limited to demands, claims, actions, fees, costs, and expenses (including attorney and expert witness fees), arising from or connected with the respective acts of each Party arising from or related to this MOU; provided, however, that no party shall indemnify another party for that party's own negligence or willful misconduct.

b. In light of the provisions of Section 895.2 of the Government Code of the State of California imposing certain tort liability jointly upon public entities solely by reason of such entities being parties to an agreement (as defined in Section 895 of said Code), each of the Parties hereto, pursuant to the authorization contained in Section 895.4 and 895.6 of said Code, shall assume the full liability imposed upon it or any of its officers, agents, or employees, by law for injury caused by any act or omission occurring in the performance of this MOU to the same extent that such liability would be imposed in the absence of Section 895.2 of said Code.

c. To achieve the above stated purpose, each Party indemnifies, defends, and holds harmless each other Party for any liability, cost, or expense that may be imposed upon such other Party solely by virtue of said Section 895.2. The provisions of Section 2778 of the California Civil Code are made a part hereof as if incorporated herein.

Section 11. Termination

a. This MOU may be terminated in whole or in part, upon the express written agreement to all Parties by giving 30 day written notice. The terminating Party shall be responsible for its Proportional Costs, which the City of Los Angeles incurred or to which it became bound through the effective date of termination. Such MOU Costs shall include the remaining fees of any Consultant retained by the City of Los Angeles prior to the effective date of termination. Should any Party terminate the
MOU, the remaining Parties’ Proportional Cost allocation shall be adjusted in accordance with the Cost Share Formula. If this MOU is terminated, all Parties must agree on the equitable redistribution of remaining funds deposited, if there are any, or payment of invoices due at the time of termination. Completed work shall be owned by all Parties. Rights to uncompleted work by the Consultant still under contract will be held by the Party or Parties who fund the completion of such work.

b. If a Party fails to comply with any of the terms or conditions of this MOU, that Party shall forfeit its rights to the work completed through this MOU.

Section 12. General Provisions

a) Notices. Any notices, bills, invoices, or reports relating to this MOU, and any request, demand, statement or other communication required or permitted hereunder shall be in writing and shall be delivered to the Representative of the Party at the address set forth in Exhibit B. Parties shall promptly notify each other of any change of contact information, including personnel changes, provided in Exhibit B. Written notice shall include notice delivered via email or fax. A notice shall be deemed to have been received on (a) the date of delivery, if delivered by hand during regular business hours, or by confirmed facsimile or by email; or (b) on the third (3) business day following mailing by registered or certified mail (return receipt requested) to the addresses set forth in Exhibit B.

b) Administration. For the purpose of this MOU, the Parties hereby designate as their respective Party Representatives the persons named in Exhibit B. The designated Party Representatives, or their respective designees, shall administer the terms and conditions of this MOU on behalf of their respective Party. Each of the persons signing below on behalf of a Party represents and warrants that they are authorized to sign this MOU on behalf of such Party.

c) Relationship of Parties. The Parties are and shall remain at all times as to each other, wholly independent entities. No Party to this MOU shall have power to incur any debt, obligation, or liability on behalf of another Party unless expressly provided to the contrary by this MOU. No employee, agent, or officer of a Party shall be deemed for any purpose whatsoever to be an agent, employee or officer of another Party.

d) Binding Effect. This MOU shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of each Party to this MOU and their respective heirs, administrators, representatives, successors and assigns.

e) Amendment. The terms and provisions of this MOU may not be amended, modified or waived, except by an instrument in writing signed by all the Parties. This section applies to, but is not limited to, amendments proposed to address regulatory changes in the MS4 permit, modifications to the Scope of Work, or changes in the number of
Parties to this MOU. For the City of Los Angeles, the Director of Bureau of Sanitation or his/her designee is authorized to execute such amendments.

f) **Waiver.** Waiver by any Party to this MOU of any term, condition, or covenant of this MOU shall not constitute a waiver of any other term, condition, or covenant. Waiver by any Party to any breach of the provisions of this MOU shall not constitute a waiver of any other provision, nor a waiver of any subsequent breach or violation of any provision of this MOU.

g) **Law to Govern; Venue.** This MOU shall be interpreted, construed and governed according to the laws of the State of California. In the event of litigation between the Parties, venue in the state trial courts shall lie exclusively in the County of Los Angeles.

h) **No Presumption in Drafting.** The Parties to this MOU agree that the general rule that an MOU is to be interpreted against the Party drafting it, or causing it to be prepared shall not apply.

i) **Entire Agreement.** This MOU constitutes the entire agreement of the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous agreements, whether written or oral, with respect thereto.

j) **Severability.** If any term, provision, condition or covenant of this MOU is declared or determined by any court or competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remaining provisions of this MOU shall not be affected thereby and this MOU shall be read and constructed without the invalid, void, or unenforceable provision(s).

k) **Counterparts.** This MOU may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be an original, but all of which taken together shall constitute but one and the same instrument, provided, however, that such counterparts shall have been delivered to all Parties to this MOU.

l) All Parties have been represented by counsel in the preparation and negotiation of this MOU. Accordingly, this MOU shall be construed according to its fair language.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this MOU to be executed by their duly authorized representatives and affixed as of the date of signature of the Parties:
THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Date: _____________________                         By: __________________
Capri W. Maddox, President
Board of Public Works

ATTEST:

By: ______________________
June Lagmay
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Carmen Trutanich
City Attorney

By: ______________________
John A. Carvalho
Deputy City Attorney
THE CITY OF ALHAMBRA

Dated: __________________________

CITY OF ALHAMBRA

By ___________________________

Steven Placido, Mayor

ATTEST:

______________________________

Mary Swink, Interim City Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________

Joseph Montes, City Attorney
THE CITY OF BURBANK

Dated: ____________________________  CITY OF BURBANK

By ________________________________

Emily Gabel-Luddy, Mayor

ATTEST:

_______________________________
Mark Scott, City Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_______________________________
Joseph H. McDougall, Senior Assistant City Attorney
Dated: _________________________

CITY OF CALABASAS
By ________________________________
Fred Gaines, Mayor

ATTEST:

______________________________
Maricela Hernandez, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
Scott Howard, Interim City Attorney
THE CITY OF GLENDALE

Dated: ____________________________

CITY OF GLENDALE

By ____________________________

Dave Weaver, Mayor

ATTEST:

______________________________

Scott Ochoa, City Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________

Michael Garcia, City Attorney
THE CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS

Dated: _________________________

CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS

By ________________________________

Steve Freedland, Mayor

ATTEST:

______________________________
Cherie L. Paglia, City Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
Roxanne M. Diaz, City Attorney
Dated: _________________________

CITY OF LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE

By ________________________________
Laura Olhasso, Mayor

ATTEST:

______________________________
Mark R. Alexander, City Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
Mark Sreres, City Attorney
THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO

Dated: _________________________

CITY OF MONTEBELLO

By ________________________________

Christina Cortez, Mayor

ATTEST:

______________________________
Daniel Hernandez, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
Arnold Alvarez-Glasman, City Attorney
CITY OF MONTEREY PARK

Date: _____________________                         By: __________________

Paul Talbot, City Manager

ATTEST:

By: _______________________

Vincent D. Chang, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: _______________________

Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney
CITY OF ROSEMEAD

Dated: _________________________

CITY OF ROSEMEAD

By ________________________________
Jeff Allred, City Manager

ATTEST:

________________________________
Gloria Molleda, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

________________________________
Rachel H. Richman, City Attorney
THE CITY OF SAN GABRIEL

Dated: _________________________

CITY OF SAN GABRIEL

By ______________________________

Steven A. Preston, City Manager

ATTEST:

______________________________

Nina Castruita, Deputy City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________

Robert L. Kress, City Attorney
CITY OF SAN MARINO

Dated: _________________________

CITY OF SAN MARINO

By ________________________________

Richard Ward, Mayor

ATTEST:

_________________________________

John Schaefer, City Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_________________________________

Steve Dorsey, City Attorney
THE CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA

Dated: _________________________

CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA

By __________________________________________

Sergio Gonzalez, City Manager

ATTEST:

_________________________

Sally Kilby, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_________________________

Richard L. Adams II, City Attorney
CITY OF THE TEMPLE CITY

Date: _________________________

CITY OF TEMPLE CITY

By ____________________________
Cynthia Sternquist, Mayor

ATTEST:

______________________________
Peggy Kuo, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
Deputy City Attorney
LOSA GNE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

By ______________________
Chief Engineer

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

John F. Krattli
County Counsel

By: ________________________________
    Deputy

    ________________
    Date
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

By: ___________________________________________ ________________
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EXHIBIT A

Table 1. Estimated Consultant Contract Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract Cost</td>
<td>$1,448,555.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Los Angeles Contract Management Fee (10%)</td>
<td>$144,855.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUB-TOTAL COST</strong></td>
<td>$1,593,410.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAFCD Allocation (10%)¹</td>
<td>$159,341.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL COST TO BE DISTRIBUTED</strong></td>
<td>$1,434,069.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
1. The Los Angeles Flood Control District (LAFCD) has committed to contributing 10% of the Total Cost, including contract management fee, as their allocation in the development of the Plans.

EXHIBIT A

Table 2. Distribution of Estimated Total Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Acres¹²</th>
<th>Percent of Area³</th>
<th>Distributed Total Cost¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alhambra</td>
<td>4,884.31</td>
<td>1.60%</td>
<td>$22,879.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burbank</td>
<td>11,095.20</td>
<td>3.62%</td>
<td>$51,972.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calabasas</td>
<td>4,005.68</td>
<td>1.31%</td>
<td>$18,763.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale</td>
<td>19,587.50</td>
<td>6.40%</td>
<td>$91,751.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hidden Hills</td>
<td>961.03</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
<td>$4,501.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Canada Flintridge</td>
<td>5,534.46</td>
<td>1.81%</td>
<td>$25,924.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>181,288.00</td>
<td>59.22%</td>
<td>$849,187.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>40,553.34</td>
<td>13.25%</td>
<td>$189,959.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montebello</td>
<td>5,356.38</td>
<td>1.75%</td>
<td>$25,090.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Park</td>
<td>4,951.51</td>
<td>1.62%</td>
<td>$23,193.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasadena</td>
<td>14,805.30</td>
<td>4.84%</td>
<td>$69,350.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemead</td>
<td>3,310.87</td>
<td>1.08%</td>
<td>$15,508.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Gabriel</td>
<td>2,644.87</td>
<td>0.86%</td>
<td>$12,389.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>2,409.64</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>$11,287.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Pasadena</td>
<td>2,186.20</td>
<td>0.71%</td>
<td>$10,240.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple City</td>
<td>2,576.50</td>
<td>0.84%</td>
<td>$12,068.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>306,150.79</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td><strong>$1,434,069.05</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
1. The areas owned by Caltrans, State Parks, and U.S. Government have been excluded from the total area of the Upper Los Angeles River watershed.
2. Area (acres) determined by GIS analysis as shown in EXHIBIT C
3. Percent Area = Agency Area / Total Area
4. Total Cost = $1,434,069.05 X Agency Percent of Area
Table 3. Cost Allocation Formula

\[ \text{Distributed Total Cost} = \text{Total Cost} \times \text{Agency Percent of Area} \]

EXHIBIT A

Table 4. City of Los Angeles Invoicing Schedule and Invoice Amounts to Parties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Invoice Schedule</th>
<th>Distributed Total Cost</th>
<th>Contingency (10% (x0.1=\text{(d)}))</th>
<th>TOTAL COST INCLUDING CONTINGENCY (c)+(d)=(=\text{(e)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan. 2014 (\text{(a)})</td>
<td>Jul. 2014 (\text{(b)})</td>
<td>((a)+(b)=(c))</td>
<td>((c)x0.1=(d))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alhambra</td>
<td>$11,439.52</td>
<td>$11,439.52</td>
<td>$22,879.05</td>
<td>$2,287.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burbank</td>
<td>$25,986.02</td>
<td>$25,986.02</td>
<td>$51,972.05</td>
<td>$5,197.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calabasas</td>
<td>$9,381.69</td>
<td>$9,381.69</td>
<td>$18,763.37</td>
<td>$1,876.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale</td>
<td>$45,875.80</td>
<td>$45,875.80</td>
<td>$91,751.61</td>
<td>$9,175.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hidden Hills</td>
<td>$2,250.82</td>
<td>$2,250.82</td>
<td>$4,501.65</td>
<td>$450.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Canada Flintridge</td>
<td>$12,962.24</td>
<td>$12,962.24</td>
<td>$25,924.47</td>
<td>$2,592.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>$424,593.89</td>
<td>$424,593.89</td>
<td>$849,187.78</td>
<td>$84,918.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>$94,979.81</td>
<td>$94,979.81</td>
<td>$189,959.63</td>
<td>$18,995.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montebello</td>
<td>$12,545.16</td>
<td>$12,545.16</td>
<td>$25,090.31</td>
<td>$2,509.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Park</td>
<td>$11,596.91</td>
<td>$11,596.91</td>
<td>$23,193.82</td>
<td>$2,319.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasadena</td>
<td>$34,675.43</td>
<td>$34,675.43</td>
<td>$69,350.87</td>
<td>$6,935.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemead</td>
<td>$7,754.38</td>
<td>$7,754.38</td>
<td>$15,508.75</td>
<td>$1,550.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Gabriel</td>
<td>$6,194.54</td>
<td>$6,194.54</td>
<td>$12,389.08</td>
<td>$1,238.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>$5,643.61</td>
<td>$5,643.61</td>
<td>$11,287.22</td>
<td>$1,128.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Pasadena</td>
<td>$5,120.29</td>
<td>$5,120.29</td>
<td>$10,240.58</td>
<td>$1,024.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple City</td>
<td>$6,034.41</td>
<td>$6,034.41</td>
<td>$12,068.82</td>
<td>$1,206.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACFD</td>
<td>$79,670.50</td>
<td>$79,670.50</td>
<td>$159,341.00</td>
<td>$15,934.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td><strong>$717,034.53</strong></td>
<td><strong>$717,034.53</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,593,410.05</strong></td>
<td><strong>$143,406.91</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
1. Contingency is 10% of the total invoice amount. Contingency will not be invoiced unless there is a need for its expenditure as agreed by all Parties.
## EXHIBIT B

**UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED EWMP/CIMP GROUP**

Responsible Agencies Representatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Address</th>
<th>Agency Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>City of Los Angeles</strong>&lt;br&gt;Department of Public Works&lt;br&gt;Bureau of Sanitation, Watershed Protection Division&lt;br&gt;1149 S. Broadway&lt;br&gt;Los Angeles, CA 90015</td>
<td>Shahram Kharaghani&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:Shahram.Kharaghani@Lacity.org">Shahram.Kharaghani@Lacity.org</a>&lt;br&gt;Phone: (213) 485-0587&lt;br&gt;Fax: (213) 485-3939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County of Los Angeles</strong>&lt;br&gt;Department of Public Works&lt;br&gt;Watershed Management Division, 11th Floor&lt;br&gt;900 South Fremont Avenue&lt;br&gt;Alhambra, CA 91803-1331</td>
<td>Gary Hildebrand&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:GHILDEB@dpw.lacounty.gov">GHILDEB@dpw.lacounty.gov</a>&lt;br&gt;Phone: (626) 458-4300&lt;br&gt;Fax: (626) 457-1526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Los Angeles County Flood Control District</strong>&lt;br&gt;Department of Public Works&lt;br&gt;Watershed Management Division, 11th Floor&lt;br&gt;900 South Fremont Avenue&lt;br&gt;Alhambra, CA 91803-1331</td>
<td>Gary Hildebrand&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:GHILDEB@dpw.lacounty.gov">GHILDEB@dpw.lacounty.gov</a>&lt;br&gt;Phone: (626) 458-4300&lt;br&gt;Fax: (626) 457-1526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City of Alhambra</strong>&lt;br&gt;11 South First Street&lt;br&gt;Alhambra, CA 91801-3796</td>
<td>David Dolphin&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:DDOLPHIN@cityofalhambra.org">DDOLPHIN@cityofalhambra.org</a>&lt;br&gt;Phone: (626) 300-1571&lt;br&gt;Fax:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City of Burbank</strong>&lt;br&gt;P.O. Box 6459&lt;br&gt;Burbank, CA 91510</td>
<td>Alvin Cruz&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:ACruz@burbankca.gov">ACruz@burbankca.gov</a>&lt;br&gt;Phone: (818) 238-3941&lt;br&gt;Fax:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City of Calabasas</strong>&lt;br&gt;100 Civic Center Way&lt;br&gt;Calabasas, CA 91302-3172</td>
<td>Alex Farassati&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:afarassati@cityofcalabasas.com">afarassati@cityofcalabasas.com</a>&lt;br&gt;Phone: &lt;br&gt;Fax:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City of Glendale</strong>&lt;br&gt;Engineering Section, 633 East Broadway, Room 209&lt;br&gt;Glendale, CA 91206-4308</td>
<td>Maurice Oillataguerre&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:moiillataguerre@ci.glendale.ca.us">moiillataguerre@ci.glendale.ca.us</a>&lt;br&gt;Phone: &lt;br&gt;Fax:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City of La Canada Flintridge</strong>&lt;br&gt;1327 Foothill Blvd.&lt;br&gt;La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011-2137</td>
<td>Edward Hitti&lt;br&gt;E-mail: <a href="mailto:EHitti@lcf.ca.gov">EHitti@lcf.ca.gov</a>&lt;br&gt;Phone: &lt;br&gt;Fax:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EXHIBIT B

**UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED EWMP/CIMP GROUP**

Responsible Agencies Representatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Montebello</th>
<th>Norma Salinas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1600 W Beverly Blvd</td>
<td>Nsalinas@city ofmontebello.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montebello, CA 90640</td>
<td>Phone: 323-887-1365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: 323- 887-1410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Monterey Park</th>
<th>Amy Ho</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>320 West Newmark Avenue</td>
<td><a href="mailto:amho@montereypark.ca.gov">amho@montereypark.ca.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Park, CA 91754-2896</td>
<td>Phone: (626) 802-7880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: (626) 802-2297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Pasadena</th>
<th>Stephen Walker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 7115</td>
<td><a href="mailto:SWalker@cityofpasadena.net">SWalker@cityofpasadena.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasadena, CA 91109-7215</td>
<td>Phone: (626) 744-4271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Rosemead,</th>
<th>Elroy Kiepke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8838 East Valley Blvd.</td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:Ekiepke@willdan.com">Ekiepke@willdan.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemead, CA 91770-1787</td>
<td>Phone:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of San Gabriel</th>
<th>Daren Grilley</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>425 South Mission Avenue</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dgrilley@sgch.org">dgrilley@sgch.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Gabriel, CA 91775</td>
<td>Phone:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of San Marino</th>
<th>Kevin Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2200 Huntington Drive</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kjserv@aol.com">kjserv@aol.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino, CA 91108-2691</td>
<td>Phone:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of South Pasadena</th>
<th>Shin Furukawa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1414 Mission Street</td>
<td><a href="mailto:SFurukawa@ci.south-pasadena.ca.us">SFurukawa@ci.south-pasadena.ca.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Pasadena, CA 91020-3298</td>
<td>Phone: (626) 403-7246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Temple City</th>
<th>Mark Persico</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9701 Las Tunas Drive</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mpersico@templecity.us">mpersico@templecity.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple City, CA 9178</td>
<td>Phone:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Temple City</th>
<th>Mikki Klee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9701 Las Tunas Drive</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mklee@jlha.net">mklee@jlha.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple City, CA 9178</td>
<td>Phone: (562) 802-7880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: (562) 802-2297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment 3 – Signed Letters of Intent
June 20, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

Dear Mr. Unger:

CITY OF LOS ANGELES COMMITMENT TO PARTICIPATE IN AND SHARE THE COST FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM FOR THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

The City of Los Angeles submits this letter of intent with our commitment to participate in and share the cost for the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) for the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed as outlined in the Notice of Intent submitted by the City of Los Angeles to meet the requirements of Part VI.C.4.b of the MS4 Permit (Order No. R4-2012-0175) and the CIMP notification requirements specified in Attachment E Section IV.C.1.

The Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group consists of the following MS4 Permittees: The City of Los Angeles, the City of Alhambra, the City of Burbank, the City of Calabasas, the City of El Monte, the City of Glendale, the City of Hidden Hills, the City of La Canada Flintridge, the City of Montebello, the City of Monterey Park, the City of Pasadena, the City of Rosemead, the City of San Gabriel, the City of South Pasadena, the City of San Marino, the City of Temple City, the County of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District. The final draft agreement to fund program development by the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group has been included in the Notice of Intent and the City of Los Angeles is committed to execute this agreement prior to December 28, 2013.

Should you have any questions about this submittal, please contact me at Shahram.Kharaghani@lacity.org or at phone number (213) 485-0587 or Alfredo Magallanes, of my staff, at Alfredo.Magallanes@lacity.org or at phone number (213) 485-3958.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

SHAHRAM KHARAGHANI, Ph.D., PE, BCEE
Program Manager

SK:AM:VD
WPDCR 9040
AN EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER
cc: Renee Purdy, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region  
Ivar Ridgeway, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region  
Enrique Zaldivar, City of Los Angeles, BOS  
Adel Hagekhalil, City of Los Angeles, BOS  
Gary Hildebrand, County of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works  
Alvin Cruz, City of Burbank  
Alex Farassati, City of Calabasas  
Amy Ho, City of Monterey Park  
Armond Ghazarian, County of Los Angeles  
David Dolphin, City of Alhambra  
Daren Grilley, City of San Gabriel  
Edward Hitti, City of La Canada Flintridge  
Elaine Unitake, County of Los Angeles  
Elroy Kiepke, Willdan Engineering  
John Hunter, John L. Hunter and Associates  
Joe Bellomo, City of Hidden Hills  
Jolene Guererro, County of Los Angeles  
Kevin Sales, City of San Marino  
Luis Perez, County of Los Angeles  
Mark Perisco, City of Temple City  
Maurice Oillataguierre, City of Glendale,  
Michelle Marquez-Riley, City of El Monte  
Mikki Klee, John Hunter and Associates  
Norma Salinas. City of Montebello  
Sean Sullivan, City of Rosemead  
Shiela Kennedy, Enfact Solutions  
Shin Furukawa, City of South Pasadena  
Steve Walker, City of Pasadena  
Tona Avalos, County of Los Angeles  
Ying Kwan, City of La Canada Flintridge
June 24, 2013

Mr. Samuel Unger, P.E.
Executive Officer
California Regional Water Quality
Control Board – Los Angeles Region
320 West 4th Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Attention Ms. Renee Purdy

Dear Mr. Unger:

LETTER OF INTENT – COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED
ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
AND COordinated INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM

The County of Los Angeles (County) submits this Letter of Intent to participate in and share the cost of the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and a Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group. This Letter of Intent serves to satisfy the EWMP notification requirements of Section VI.C.4.b.iii(3) of Order No. R4-2012-0175 (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit) and the CIMP requirements of Section IV.C.1 of Attachment E of the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

The Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group consists of the following agencies: City of Los Angeles as the coordinating agency for EWMP and CIMP development, County, Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and cities of Alhambra, Burbank, Calabasas, Glendale, Hidden Hills, La Canada Flintridge, Montebello, Monterey Park, Pasadena, Rosemead, San Gabriel, San Marino, South Pasadena, and Temple City. The Upper Los Angeles River Group has included a final draft Memorandum of Understanding as Attachment 2 of the Notice of Intent. The County intends to submit a final Memorandum of Understanding to its Board of Supervisors for approval prior to December 28, 2013.
If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Angela George at (626) 458-4325 or ageorge@dpw.lacounty.gov.

Very truly yours,

GAIL FARBER
Director of Public Works

cc: City of Alhambra
    City of Burbank
    City of Calabasas
    City of Glendale
    City of Hidden Hills
    City of La Canada Flintridge
    City of Los Angeles
    City of Montebello
    City of Monterey Park
    City of Pasadena
    City of Rosemead
    City of San Gabriel
    City of San Marino
    City of South Pasadena
    City of Temple City
June 24, 2013

Mr. Samuel Unger, P.E.
Executive Officer
California Regional Water Quality
   Control Board – Los Angeles Region
320 West 4th Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Attention Ms. Renee Purdy

Dear Mr. Unger:

**LETTER OF INTENT – LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT**
**UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED**
**ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM**
**AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM**

The Los Angeles County Flood Control District (LACFCD) submits this Letter of Intent to participate in and share the cost of the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and a Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group. This Letter of Intent serves to satisfy the EWMP notification requirements of Section VI.C.4.b.iii(3) of Order No. R4-2012-0175 (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit) and the CIMP requirements of Section IV.C.1 of Attachment E of the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

The Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group consists of the following agencies: City of Los Angeles as the coordinating agency for EWMP and CIMP development, LACFCD, County of Los Angeles, and cities of Alhambra, Burbank, Calabasas, Glendale, Hidden Hills, La Canada Flintridge, Montebello, Monterey Park, Pasadena, Rosemead, San Gabriel, San Marino, South Pasadena, and Temple City. The Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group has included a final draft Memorandum of Understanding as Attachment 2 of the Notice of Intent. The LACFCD intends to submit a final Memorandum of Understanding to the County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors (which is the LACFCD’s governing body) for approval prior to December 28, 2013.
If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Terri Grant at (626) 458-4309 or tgrant@dpw.lacounty.gov.

Very truly yours,

GAIL FARBER
Chief Engineer of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District

cc:  City of Alhambra
     City of Burbank
     City of Calabasas
     City of Glendale
     City of Hidden Hills
     City of La Canada Flintridge
     City of Los Angeles
     City of Montebello
     City of Monterey Park
     City of Pasadena
     City of Rosemead
     City of San Gabriel
     City of San Marino
     City of South Pasadena
     City of Temple City
June 10, 2013

Mr. Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger,

The City of Alhambra, with this letter, pledges to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board. The Upper Los Angeles Watershed Group includes only the following agencies: The City of Los Angeles, the City of Alhambra, the City of Burbank, the City of Calabasas, the City of Glendale, the City of Hidden Hills, the City of La Canada Flintridge, the City of Monterey Park, the City of Pasadena, the City of Rosemead, the City of San Gabriel, the City of South Pasadena, the City of San Marino, the City of Temple City, the County of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

The City of Alhambra further pledges to share the development cost of both the Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP). A cost sharing formula has been agreed upon by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs.
Should you have any questions, please contact my staff, Mary Chavez, Director of Public Works at mchavez@cityofalhambra.org or at (626) 570-5067 or David Dolphin, Environmental Compliance Specialist at ddolphin@cityofalhambra.org or at (626) 300-1571.

Sincerely,

Mary K. Swink
Interim City Manager

cc:
Renee Purdy, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
Ivar Ridgeway, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
Mary Chavez, Director of Public Works
June 11, 2013

Mr. Samuel Unger, P.E.
Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

LETTER OF INTENT TO COMMIT TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger:

This letter represents the City of Burbank's intent to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board.

The Group includes the following agencies:

- City of Los Angeles
- County of Los Angeles
- Los Angeles County Flood Control District
- City of Alhambra
- City of Burbank
- City of Calabasas
- City of El Monte
- City of Glendale
- City of Hidden Hills
- City of La Canada Flintridge
- City of Monrovia
- City of Monterey Park
- City of Pasadena
- City of Rosemead
- City of San Gabriel
- City of San Marino
- City of South Pasadena
- City of Temple City
The City of Burbank further intends to share the development cost of both the EWMP and CIMP. A cost sharing formula has been agreed to by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs, which will be reflected in the Memorandum of Agreement to be signed by all participating entities.

Should you have any questions, please contact Daniel Rynn, Assistant Public Works Director, at (818) 238-3940 or drynn@burbankca.gov.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Teaford
City of Burbank
Public Works Director

cc:
Renee Purdy, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
Ivar Ridgeway, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
June 10, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

SUBJECT: LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger:

The City of Calabasas, with this letter, pledges to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board.

The Upper Los Angeles Watershed Group includes only the following agencies: The City of Los Angeles, the City of Alhambra, the City of Burbank, the City of Calabasas, the City of Glendale, the City of Hidden Hills, the City of La Canada Flintridge, the City of Monterey Park, the City of Pasadena, the City of Rosemead, the City of San Gabriel, the City of South Pasadena, the City of San Marino, the County of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

The City of Calabasas further pledges to share the development cost of both the Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP). A cost sharing formula has been agreed by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs.
June 10, 2013
Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Page 2

Should you have any questions, please contact Dr. Alex Farassati, Environmental Services Supervisor, at (818) 224-1680 or via e-mail at afarassati@cityofcalabasas.com.

Sincerely,

Anthony M. Coroalles
City Manager

c: City Council
    Robert Yalda, Calabasas Public Works Director/City Engineer
    Alex Farassati, Calabasas Environmental Services Supervisor
    Renee Purdy, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
    Ivar Ridgeway, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
May 7, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF
AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED
INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE
UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger:

The City of Glendale, with this letter, pledges to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board.

The Group includes only the following agencies: The City of Los Angeles, the city of Alhambra, the city of Burbank, the city of Calabasas, the city of Glendale, the city of Hidden Hills, the city of La Canada Flintridge, the city of Monterey Park, the city of Pasadena, the city of Rosemead, the city of San Gabriel, the city of South Pasadena, the city of San Marino, the County of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District. The City of Los Angeles, as lead agency for the Group, is preparing the comprehensive Notice of Intent on behalf of the Group.

The City of Glendale further pledges to cost share the development cost of both the EWMP and CIMP. A cost sharing formula has been agreed by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs, which will be reflected in the Memorandum of Agreement to be signed by all participating entities.

Should you have any questions, please contact Maurice Oillataguerre, Senior Environmental Program Specialist, at (818) 937-8219 or moillataguerre@ci.glendale.ca.us.
Sincerely,

[Signature]

Stephen N. Carr
City of Glendale
Public Works Director

cc:
Renee Purdy, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
Ivar Ridgeway, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
June 11, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

RE: LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE
DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED
MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED
MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE
UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger:

The City of Hidden Hills, with this letter, pledges to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board. The Group includes the following agencies: the City of Los Angeles, the City of Alhambra, the City of Burbank, the City of Calabasas, the City of Glendale, the City of Hidden Hills, the City of La Canada Flintridge, the City of Montebello, the City of Monterey Park, the City of Pasadena, the City of Rosemead, the City of San Gabriel, the City of South Pasadena, the City of San Marino, the City of Temple City, Los Angeles County, and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

The City of Hidden Hills further pledges to cost share the development cost of both the EWMP and CIMP. A cost sharing formula has been agreed to by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs.
Should you have any questions, please contact our Water Quality Consultant, Joe Bellomo, at jbellomo@willdan.com or at (805) 279-6856.

Sincerely,

CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS

Steve Freedland
Mayor

SF/dlg

cc:  Cherie L. Paglia, City Manager
     Joe Bellomo, Willdan Engineering
     Renee Purdy, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
     Ivar Ridgeway, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
     Shahram Kharaghani, City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation
     Alfredo Magallanes, City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation
June 26, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

CITY OF LA CAÑADA FLINTRIDGE COMMITMENT TO PARTICIPATE IN AND
SHARE THE COST FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF ENHANCED WATERSHED
MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING
PROGRAM FOR THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger;

The City of La Cañada Flintridge submits this letter of intent with our commitment to participate in and share the cost for the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) for the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed as outlined in the Notice of Intent submitted by the City of Los Angeles to meet the requirements of Part VI.C.4.b of the MS4 Permit (Order No. R4-2012-0175) and the CIMP notification requirements specified in Attachment E Section IV.C.1.

The Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group consists of the following MS4 Permittees: The City of Los Angeles, the City of Alhambra, the City of Burbank, the City of Calabasas, the City of Glendale, the City of Hidden Hills, the City of La Cañada Flintridge, the City of Montebello, the City of Monterey Park, the City of Pasadena, the City of Rosemead, the City of San Gabriel, the City of South Pasadena, the City of San Marino, the City of Temple City, the County of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District. The final draft agreement to fund program development by the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group has been included in the Notice of Intent and the City of Los Angeles is committed to execute this agreement prior to December 28, 2013.

The City of La Cañada Flintridge further pledges to share the cost of both EWMP and CIMP. A cost sharing formula has been agreed upon by all parties on an equitable basis by participating members which will be reflected in the Memorandum of Understanding to be signed by all participating members.

Should you have any questions about this submittal, please contact Edward Hitti, P.E., Public Works Director, at (818) 790-8882 or email him at ehhitti@lcf.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Mark R. Alexander
City Manager
May 28, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger:

The City of Montebello, with this letter, agrees to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board. The Upper Los Angeles Watershed Group included only the following agencies: The City of Los Angeles, the City of Alhambra, the City of Burbank, the City of Calabasas, The City of Glendale, the City of Hidden Hills, the City of La Canada Flintridge, the City of Monterey Park, the City of Pasadena, the City of Rosemead, the City of San Gabriel, the City of South Pasadena, the City of San Marino, the County of Los Angeles, and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

The City of Montebello further agrees to cost share the development cost of both the Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP). A cost sharing formula has been agreed by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs which will be binding once Montebello City Council approves the final Memorandum of Understanding.

Should you have any questions, please contact Norma Salinas at (323) 887-1365 or email her at nsalinas@cityofmontebello.com.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Francesca Tucker-Schuyler
City Administrator

NFS/lg
June 5, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger;

The City of Monterey Park, with this letter, pledges to cooperate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in developing an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board. The Upper Los Angeles Watershed Group includes only the following agencies: The city of Los Angeles; the city of Alhambra; the city of Burbank; the city of Calabasas; the city of Glendale; the city of Hidden Hills; the city of La Canada Flintridge; the city of Monterey Park; the city of Pasadena; the city of Rosemead; the city of San Gabriel; the city of South Pasadena; the city of San Marino; the city of Temple City; the County of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

The City of Monterey Park further agrees to cost share the development cost of both the Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP). A cost sharing formula has been agreed by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs.

Should you have any questions, please contact my staff, Amy Ho, at (626) 307-1383.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Paul L. Talbot
City Manager

Pride in the Past • Faith in the Future
June 12, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger:

The City of Pasadena, with this letter, pledges to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board.

The Group includes only the following agencies: the Cities of Los Angeles, Alhambra, Burbank, Calabasas, Glendale, Hidden Hills, La Canada Flintridge, Monterey Park, Pasadena, Rosemead, San Gabriel, San Marino, South Pasadena, the County of Los Angeles, and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District. The City of Los Angeles, as lead agency for the Group, is preparing the comprehensive Notice of Intent on behalf of the Group.

The City of Pasadena further pledges to share the development cost of both the EWMP and CIMP. A cost sharing formula has been agreed to by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs, which will be reflected in the Memorandum of Agreement to be signed by all participating entities.
If you have any questions, please contact Dan Rix, City Engineer, at drix@cityofpasadena.net or 626-744-4267, or Steve Walker, Principal Engineer, at swalker@cityofpasadena.net or 626-744-4271.

Sincerely,

SIOBHAN FOSTER
Director of Public Works

cc:
Renee Purdy, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
Ivar Ridgeway, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
June 13, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

LETTER OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM AS A MEMBER OF THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger;

The City of Rosemead hereby submits its intention to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP). The development of these programs is authorized by Order No. R4-2012-0175, the MS4 permit for Los Angeles County, which became effective on December 28, 2012.

The Upper Los Angeles Watershed Group includes only the following agencies: The City of Rosemead, the City of Alhambra, the City of Burbank, the City of Calabasas, the City of El Monte, the City of Glendale, the City of Hidden Hills, the City of La Canada Flintridge, the City of Los Angeles, the City of Monterey Park, the City of Pasadena, the City of San Gabriel, the City of South Pasadena, the City of San Marino, the County of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

As a participant in the group, the City of Rosemead intends to share in the development cost of both the Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) as determined by the group. A cost sharing formula, which demonstrates an equitable distribution of project costs, has been agreed upon by all participating members of the Group.
The City's continued participation in the development of these programs is contingent upon the successful procurement of a qualified professional services firm during Fall 2013. Additionally, the City of Rosemead will actively participate in this effort unless any administrative order or judicial action causes the permit, or any portions thereof, to be stayed or invalidated. This intention to participate applies solely to the creation of the Enhanced Watershed Management Program and Coordinated Integrated monitoring Program.

Should you have any questions, please contact staff members Chris Marcarello at (626) 569-2118 or cmarcarello@cityofrosemead.org or Sean Sullivan at (626) 569-2189 or ssullivan@cityofrosemead.org.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jeff Allred
City Manager

Cc:  Ivar Ridgeway, Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
     Renee Purdy, Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
     Rosemead City Council
     Chris Marcarello, City of Rosemead
     Sean Sullivan, City of Rosemead
     Alfredo Magallanes, City of Los Angeles
May 30, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger:

The City of San Gabriel, with this letter, pledges to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board. The Group includes the following agencies: the City of Los Angeles, the County of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County Flood Control District, the City of Alhambra, the City of Burbank, the City of Calabasas, the City of Glendale, the City of Hidden Hills, the City of La Cañada Flintridge, the City of Monterey Park, the City of Pasadena, the City of Rosemead, the City of San Gabriel, the City of South Pasadena, and the City of San Marino.

The City of San Gabriel further pledges to cost share the development cost of both the Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP). A cost sharing formula has been agreed by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs.

Should you have any questions, please contact Daren Grilley, of my staff, at dgrilley@sgch.org or at (626) 308-2806 ext. 4631.

Sincerely,

Steven A. Preston
City Manager

cc:    Rene Purdy, Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
       Ivar Ridgeway, Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
       Jennifer Davis, Community Development Director
       Daren Grilley, City Engineer
       Enrique Zaldivar, City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation
       Shahram Kharaghani, City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation
June 4, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger;

The City of San Marino, with this letter, pledges to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board. The Upper Los Angeles Watershed Group includes only the following agencies: The City of Alhambra, the City of Burbank, The City of Calabasas, the City of Los Angeles, the city of El Monte, the City of Glendale, the City of Hidden Hills, the City of La Canada Flintridge, the County of Los Angeles, the City of Montebello, the City of Monterey Park, the City of Pasadena, the City of Rosemead, the City of San Gabriel, the City of San Marino, the City of South Pasadena, the City of Temple City, and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

The City of San Marino further pledges to cost share the development cost of both the Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP). A cost sharing formula has been agreed by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs.
Sincerely,

John Schaefer
City Manager

cc:
Renee Purdy, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
Ivar Ridgeway, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
Alfredo Magellanes, City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation
June 5, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
320 W. 4th Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

Subject: LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger:

The City of South Pasadena, with this letter, pledges to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board. The Upper Los Angeles Watershed Group includes only the following agencies: The City of Los Angeles, the City of Alhambra, the City of Burbank, the City of Calabasas, the City of Glendale, the City of Hidden Hills, the City of La Canada Flintridge, the City of Monterey Park, the City of Pasadena, the City of Rosemead, the City of San Gabriel, the City of South Pasadena, the City of San Marino, the County of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

The City of South Pasadena further pledges to cost share the development cost of both the Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP). A cost sharing formula has been agreed by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs.

Should you have any questions, please contact Public Works Director Paul Toor at ptoor@ci.south-pasadena.ca.us or (626) 403-7242.
Sincerely

Sergio Gonzalez
City Manager

cc:

Renee Purdy, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
Ivar Ridgeway, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region
Paul Toor, Public Works Director
Shin Furukawa, Deputy Public Works Director
June 17, 2013

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

Attention: Renee Purdy

LETTER OF INTENT PLEDGING COMMITMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND COORDINATED INTEGRATED MONITORING PROGRAM IN COLLABORATION WITH THE UPPER LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERSHED GROUP

Dear Mr. Unger;

The City of Temple City, with this letter, pledges to collaborate with the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Group (Group) in the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) in accordance with the new MS4 Permit by Order No. R4-2012-0175 for submission to your Board. The Upper Los Angeles Watershed Group includes only the following agencies: The City of Los Angeles, the city of Alhambra, the city of Burbank, the city of Calabassas, the city of Glendale, the city of Hidden Hills, the city of La Canada Flintridge, the city of Monterey Park, the city of Pasadena, the city of Rosemead, the city of San Gabriel, the city of South Pasadena, the city of San Marino, the city of Temple City, the County of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

The City of Temple City further pledges to cost share the development cost of both the Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) and Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP). A cost sharing formula has been agreed by all participating members of the Group as to the equitable distribution of costs.
Should you have any questions, please contact me at Mark Persico, AICP, Community Development Director, at (626)285-2171 or mpersico@templecity.us.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jose Pulido
City Manager
Regulatory Background

The Brandon Street and Green Street Improvements Project area drains to Eaton Wash which is tributary to Rio Hondo and then to the Los Angeles River. The Los Angeles River has Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) regulations for Trash, Nutrients, Metals, and Bacteria.

Environmental Benefits

The project will reconstruct approximately 0.16 miles of roadway on Green Street and 0.39 miles on Brandon Street. The design includes several green street elements including permeable pavers, bio-retention planters, sediment filtration catch basins, and an underground infiltration basin.

Approximately 1,800 feet of bioretention planter boxes (bioswales) will be constructed throughout the project limits. In addition, an underground infiltration basin system will be installed at the cul-de-sac of Green Street with 5,800 cubic feet of infiltration capacity. Trees and drought-tolerant plants will also be added throughout the project.

Much of the runoff from the streets and private properties that had previously drained to Rio Hondo untreated will now infiltrate through the permeable sidewalks and gutters, bioswales, and infiltration basin. This will help augment the groundwater and prevent pollutants from entering the Los Angeles River.

Location

The project consists of 0.55 miles of street improvements on Brandon and Green Streets in the unincorporated area of East Pasadena. The surrounding area is predominantly residential with some commercial uses.

Schedule

Award December 2013
Construction Spring 2014 to Fall 2014

Cost

The construction cost is estimated to be $2.9 million.
HUMBOLDT GREENWAY PROJECT
Los Angeles River Watershed

FACT SHEET

PROJECT DESCRIPTION
ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING
The Humboldt Neighborhood Greenway Project is located in the Lincoln Heights neighborhood within the northeastern part of the City of Los Angeles. The project site currently consists of 1.15 acres total area which includes an unpaved portion of the Humboldt Street right-of-way between 18th and 19th Avenue along with four city owned vacant parcels (0.3 acres). The project will intercept and treat street level flows from the Humboldt and Avenue 19 intersection area through a bio-swale within the plaza and storm drain day-lighting as both an aesthetic and functional feature that will help to alleviate local street flooding. The project will also include a fenced area for public use that supports enhanced native habitats along the LA River corridor and will integrate native plant materials within a constructed topography that features signage, trails, passive recreation and an open plaza on site frontage at 19th Street. This project will enhance water quality, provide a natural habitat and community recreational opportunities, and promote the idea that urban streams are a valuable resource to be enjoyed rather than a nuisance to be constructed underground.

DESCRIPTION OF BMP
This project consists of intercepting an existing storm drain system and constructing a stormwater greenway with a "stream" eco-system through the corridor on Humboldt Street with a pedestrian path connecting Avenue 18 and Avenue 19. The elements include a pollution reduction/infiltration system and an approximately 175-foot long graded swale/open-channel, which is surrounded by a vegetated basin. Work also includes a) an overflow structure; b) a pedestrian bridge; c) an irrigation system; d) landscaping and tree planting; e) solar lighting.

PROJECT SCHEDULE AND COST
SCHEDULE
Construction of the project commenced in the Winter of 2012, with an anticipated duration of 14 to 16 months. The project schedule is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Schedule</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COST
The project is estimated to cost approximately $4.5 million, which includes administration, design and construction management, construction, and optimization phase. This project is projected to result in an annual increase of approximately $50,000 in operation and maintenance to the City.
## Monterey Park

Monterey Park has chosen to conduct Daily Generation Rate (DGR) studies to demonstrate compliance with the Trash TMDL. John L. Hunter and Associates (JLHA) has conducted five DGR studies for Monterey Park since 2008. The 2012 studies demonstrate that the city of Monterey Park has met at least a 94% compliance level. This year, JLHA will be conducting a 6th DGR study for Monterey Park. The city has installed approximately 148 full capture CPS inserts in high generating trash areas (commercial and industrial areas).

Compliance reports have been submitted to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board) every year after completion of the DGR studies. The intention of such studies is to demonstrate to the Regional Board that the city is within established levels of the scheduled waste load allocations and has met stormwater discharge compliance requirements.

---

### TMDL Permitees Implementation Plan and Control Measures Status of Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TMDL</th>
<th>Permitees</th>
<th>Implementation Plan and Control Measures</th>
<th>Status of Implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles River Trash TMDL 2007-012</td>
<td>County of Los Angeles</td>
<td>Install Full Capture Systems or other BMPs to reduce baseline by 80%</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Install Full Capture Systems or other BMPs to reduce baseline by 90%</td>
<td>Completion anticipated by September 30, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Install Full Capture Systems or other BMPs to reduce baseline by 96.7%</td>
<td>Completion anticipated by September 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Install Full Capture Systems or other BMPs to reduce baseline by 100%</td>
<td>Completion anticipated by September 30, 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## South Pasadena

South Pasadena has chosen to conduct Daily Generation Rate (DGR) studies to demonstrate compliance with the Trash TMDL. John L. Hunter and Associates (JLHA) has conducted five DGR studies for South Pasadena since 2008. The 2012 studies demonstrate that the city of South Pasadena has met at least a 95% compliance level. This year, JLHA will be conducting a 6th DGR study for South Pasadena. South Pasadena is currently reviewing the possibilities of installing full capture CPS and/or ARS units.

Compliance reports have been submitted to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board) every year after completion of the DGR studies. The intention of such studies is to demonstrate to the Regional Board that the city is within established levels of the scheduled waste load allocations and has met stormwater discharge compliance requirements.

## Temple City

Temple City has chosen to conduct Daily Generation Rate (DGR) studies to demonstrate compliance with the Trash TMDL. John L. Hunter and Associates (JLHA) has conducted five DGR studies for Temple City and has surpassed the targets for each of those years. The 2012 studies demonstrate that the city of Temple City has met at least a 93% compliance level. This year, JLHA will be conducting a 6th DGR study for Temple City. Temple City is currently reviewing the possibilities of installing full capture CPS and/or ARS units.

Compliance reports have been submitted to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board) every year after completion of the DGR studies. The intention of such studies is to demonstrate to the Regional Board that the city is within established levels of the scheduled waste load allocations and has met stormwater discharge compliance requirements.