ORDER NO. R1-2018-0032  
NPDES NO. CA0024490  
WDID NO. 1B82084OHUM  

WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS  
AND  
WATER RECYCLING REQUIREMENTS  
FOR THE  
MCKINLEYVILLE COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT  
WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITY  
HUMBOLDT COUNTY  

The following Permittee is subject to waste discharge requirements (WDRs) and water recycling requirements set forth in this Order:

Table 1. Permittee Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permittee</th>
<th>McKinleyville Community Services District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Facility</td>
<td>Wastewater Management Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Address</td>
<td>675 Hiller Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>McKinleyville, CA 95519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humboldt County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Facility</td>
<td>Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Design Flow</td>
<td>1.37 million gallons per day (mgd) (average dry weather treatment capacity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.69 mgd (average wet weather flow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.08 mgd (peak wet weather treatment capacity)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Discharge Locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge Point</th>
<th>Effluent Description</th>
<th>Discharge Point Latitude (North)</th>
<th>Discharge Point Longitude (West)</th>
<th>Receiving Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Secondary treated wastewater</td>
<td>40° 55’ 28”</td>
<td>124° 7’ 13”</td>
<td>Mad River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>Secondary treated wastewater</td>
<td>40° 55’ 41”</td>
<td>124° 7’ 38”</td>
<td>Percolation Ponds/ Groundwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>Secondary treated wastewater</td>
<td>40° 55’ 50”</td>
<td>124° 7’ 20”</td>
<td>Water Recycling / Groundwater (Lower Fischer Ranch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>Secondary treated wastewater</td>
<td>40° 56’ 57”</td>
<td>124° 7’ 20”</td>
<td>Water Recycling / Groundwater (Upper Fischer Ranch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>Secondary treated wastewater</td>
<td>40° 56’ 35”</td>
<td>124° 7’ 6”</td>
<td>Land Discharge (Hiller Storm Water Treatment Wetland and Forested Area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>Secondary treated wastewater</td>
<td>40° 55’ 35”</td>
<td>124° 7’ 25”</td>
<td>Water Recycling / Groundwater (Pialorsi Ranch)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Administrative Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This Order was adopted on:</td>
<td>September 6, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This Order shall become effective on:</td>
<td>November 1, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This Order shall expire on:</td>
<td>October 31, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Permittee shall file a Report of Waste Discharge as an application for</td>
<td>November 1, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reissuance of WDRs in accordance with title 23, California Code of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulations, (CCR) and an application for reissuance of a National</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit no later than:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and the California</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region have classified this</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discharge as follows:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and the California</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region have classified this</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discharge as follows:</td>
<td>Major</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that Waste Discharge Requirements (WDR) Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ and Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) No. 2011-0008-DWQ, are rescinded upon the effective date of this Order except for enforcement purposes, and in order to meet the provisions contained in division 7 of the California Water Code (Water Code) (commencing with section 13000) and regulations and guidelines adopted thereunder, and the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and regulations and guidelines adopted thereunder, the Permittee shall comply with the requirements of this Order. This action in no way prevents the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) from taking enforcement action for past violations of the previous permit.

I, Matthias St. John, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that this Order with all attachments is a full, true, and correct copy of the Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region, on September 6, 2018.

Digitally signed by Matthias St. John
Date: 2018.09.11 15:09:08 -07'00'

Matthias St. John, Executive Officer
Limitations and Discharge Requirements

Order No. R1-2018-0032
McKinleyville Community Services District
NPDES No. CA0024490
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I. FACILITY INFORMATION

Information describing the McKinleyville Community Services District (Permittee), Wastewater Management Facility (Facility) is summarized in Table 1 and in sections I and II of the Fact Sheet (Attachment F). Section I of the Fact Sheet also includes information regarding the Facility's permit application.

II. FINDINGS

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region (Regional Water Board), finds:

A. Legal Authorities. This Order also serves as WDRs pursuant to article 4, chapter 4, division 7 of the California Water Code (commencing with section 13260). This Order is also issued pursuant to section 402 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and implementing regulations adopted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and chapter 5.5, division 7 of the California Water Code (commencing with section 13370). It shall serve as a NPDES permit authorizing the Permittee to discharge into waters of the United States at the discharge location described in Table 2 and subject to Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs).

B. Basis and Rationale for Requirements. The Regional Water Board developed the requirements in this Order based on information submitted as part of the Permittee’s application, through monitoring and reporting programs, and other available information. The Fact Sheet (Attachment F), which contains background information and rationale for the requirements in this Order, is hereby incorporated into this Order and constitutes Findings for this Order. Attachments A through E and Attachment G are also incorporated into this Order.

C. Provisions and Requirements Implementing State Law. The provisions/requirements in subsections III.E, III.F, III.J, IV.B – IV.D., V.B., and VI.C.5.a, VI.C.5.d. and VI.C.5.e of this Order and sections VI, VII, VIII.C, and IX.A. of the Monitoring and Reporting Program are included to implement state law only. These provisions/requirements are not required or authorized under the federal CWA; consequently, violations of these provisions/requirements are not subject to the enforcement remedies that are available for NPDES violations.

D. Notification of Interested Parties. The Regional Water Board has notified the Permittee and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe WDRs for the discharge and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations. Details of the notification are provided in the Fact Sheet.

E. Consideration of Public Comment. The Regional Water Board, in a public meeting, heard and considered all comments pertaining to the discharge. Details of the Public Hearing are provided in the Fact Sheet.
III. DISCHARGE PROHIBITIONS

A. The discharge of any waste not disclosed by the Permittee or not within the reasonable contemplation of the Regional Water Board is prohibited.

B. Creation of pollution, contamination, or nuisance, as defined by section 13050 of the Water Code is prohibited.

C. The discharge of sludge or digester supernatant is prohibited, except as authorized under section VI.C.5.c of this Order (Sludge Disposal and Handling Requirements).

D. The discharge or recycling use of untreated or partially treated waste (receiving a lower level of treatment than described in section II.A of the Fact Sheet) from anywhere within the collection, treatment, or disposal systems is prohibited, except as provided for in Attachment D, Standard Provisions G (Bypass) and H (Upset).

E. Any sanitary sewer overflow (SSO) that results in a discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater to (a) waters of the state or (b) land and creates pollution, contamination, or nuisance, as defined in Water Code section 13050(m) is prohibited.

F. The discharge of waste to land that is not owned by the Permittee, governed by District ordinance, or under agreement to use by the Permittee, or for which the Permittee has explicitly permitted such use, is prohibited, except for use for fire suppression as provided in title 22, section 60307(b) of the CCR.

G. The discharge of waste at any point not described in Finding II.B of the Fact Sheet or authorized by a permit issued by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) or another Regional Water Board is prohibited.

H. The discharge of treated wastewater from the Facility to the Hiller storm water treatment wetlands (Discharge Point 005) is prohibited during the period from September 21 through June 21 of the following calendar year.

I. The average dry weather flow of waste through the Facility shall not exceed 1.37 mgd. The average wet weather flow of waste through the Facility shall not exceed 1.69 mgd. The peak daily wet weather flow of waste through the Facility shall not exceed 3.08 mgd, measured daily. Compliance with this prohibition shall be determined as defined in sections VII.K and VII.L and VII.M. of this Order.

J. The discharge of waste to the Mad River and its tributaries is prohibited during the period from May 15 through September 30 of each year. This prohibition shall not be interpreted to prohibit discharge to the Hiller storm water treatment wetlands (Discharge Point 005) or to the percolation ponds (Discharge Point 002).

K. During the period from October 1 through May 14, discharges of secondary treated wastewater to the Mad River shall not exceed one percent of the flow of the Mad River, as measured at United States Geological Survey (USGS) Gage No. 11-4810.00 in the Mad River at the Highway 299 overpass. For the purposes of this Order, compliance with this discharge prohibition shall be determined as follows:

1. The discharge of secondary treated wastewater shall be adjusted at least once daily to avoid exceeding, to the extent practicable, one percent of the most recent daily flow measurement
of the Mad River. Daily flow shall be based on flow meter comparisons reasonably read between the hours of 12:01 am and 12:00 midnight; and

2. In no case shall the total volume of secondary treated wastewater discharged in a calendar month exceed one percent of the total volume of the Mad River in the same calendar month. At the beginning of the discharge season, the monthly flow volume comparisons shall be based on the date when the discharge commenced to the end of the calendar month. At the end of the discharge season, the monthly flow volume shall be based on the first day of the calendar month to the date when the discharge ceased for the season.

L. During the period from October 1 through May 14, discharges of secondary treated wastewater to the Mad River may occur only when the flow of the Mad River, as measured at USGS Gauge No. 11-4810.00 in the Mad River at the Highway 299 overpass, is greater than 200 cubic feet per second.

M. The discharge of any radiological, chemical, or biological warfare agent into waters of the state is prohibited under Water Code section 13375.

N. The acceptance of septage to a location other than an approved septage receiving station is prohibited.

IV. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS

A. Effluent Limitations – Discharge Point 001

1. Final Effluent Limitations – Discharge Point 001

   a. The discharge of secondary treated wastewater shall maintain compliance with the following effluent limitations at Discharge Point 001, with compliance measured at Monitoring Location EFF-001 as described in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) (Attachment E).

Table 4. Effluent Limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day @ 20°C (BOD₅)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>s.u.</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>ml/L</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine, Total Residual²</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia Impact Ratio</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1.0³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobromomethane</td>
<td>ug/L</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Limitations and Discharge Requirements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Notes:
1. See Definitions in Attachment A and Compliance Determination discussion in section VII of this Order.
2. See section VII.N of this Order regarding compliance with chlorine residual effluent limitations.
3. The Ammonia Impact Ratio (AIR) is calculated as the ratio of the ammonia concentration in the effluent and the applicable ammonia standard (AMEL and MDEL). Attachment I is a PDF example of the calculator that will be sent to the Permittee to determine compliance with the AMEL/MDEL AIR. For each of the applicable ammonia standards, Attachment H includes two tables that provide the variable AMEL and MDEL ammonia standards used in calculating the AIR. The AIR is the ammonia effluent limit and must be reported in the self-monitoring reports in addition to ammonia, pH and temperature values. Monitoring for ammonia, pH and temperature must be conducted concurrently in order for the AIR to be calculated properly. Compliance determination will be based on the receiving water data and ammonia effluent data taken on the day.

b. **Percent Removal.** The average monthly percent removal of BOD₅ and TSS shall not be less than 85 percent. Percent removal shall be determined from the monthly average value of influent wastewater concentration in comparison to the monthly average value of effluent concentration for the same constituent over the same time period as measured at Monitoring Locations INF-001 and EFF-001, respectively.

c. **Disinfection.** Disinfected effluent discharged from the Facility through Discharge Point 001 to the Mad River shall not contain total coliform bacteria exceeding the following concentrations, as measured at Monitoring Location EFF-001:
   i. The median concentration shall not exceed a Most Probable Number (MPN) of 23 per 100 milliliters (mL) in a calendar month; and
   ii. No sample shall exceed an MPN of 240 total coliform bacteria per 100 milliliters.

d. **Acute Toxicity.** There shall be no acute toxicity in treated wastewater discharged to the Mad River. The Permittee will be considered in compliance with this limitation when the survival of aquatic organisms in a 96-hour bioassay of undiluted effluent complies with the following:
   i. Minimum for any one bioassay: 70 percent survival; and
   ii. Median for any three or more consecutive bioassays: at least 90 percent survival.

Compliance with these effluent limitations shall be determined in accordance with section VII.I of this Order and section V.A of the MRP (Attachment E).

e. **Chronic Toxicity:** As measured at Monitoring Location EFF-001, there shall be no chronic toxicity in the effluent when discharging to the Mad River. Compliance with this narrative chronic toxicity effluent limitation shall be determined in accordance with section VII.J of this Order and sections V.B and V.C of the MRP (Attachment E).

2. **Interim Effluent Limitations – Not Applicable**

This Order does not establish interim effluent limitations or schedules for compliance with final limitations.

B. **Land Discharge Specifications – Discharge Point 002**

1. **Land Discharge Specifications and Requirements**
a. The Permittee shall maintain compliance with the following land discharge specifications at Discharge Point 002, with compliance measured at Monitoring Location LND-001, as described in the attached MRP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Discharge Specifications¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day @ 20°C (BOD₅)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>s.u.</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Notes:
1. See Definitions in Attachment A and Compliance Determination discussion in section VII of this Order.

b. Disinfection. Disinfected effluent discharged from the Facility through Discharge Point 002 to the percolation ponds shall not contain total coliform bacteria exceeding the following concentrations, as measured at Monitoring Location LND-001:

i. The median concentration shall not exceed an MPN of 23 per 100 milliliters (mL) in a calendar month; and

ii. No sample shall exceed an MPN of 240 total coliform bacteria per 100 milliliters.

C. Water Recycling Specifications and Requirements – Discharge Points 003, 004, 005, and 006

1. Water Recycling Specifications

a. The Permittee shall maintain compliance with the following limitations at Discharge Points 003, 004, 005, and 006, with compliance measured at Monitoring Location REC-001, as described in the attached MRP.

Table 6. Recycling Discharge Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Discharge Specifications¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day @ 20°C (BOD₅)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>s.u.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Notes:
1. See Definitions in Attachment A and Compliance Determination discussion in section VII of this Order.

b. Disinfection. Disinfected effluent discharged from the Facility through Discharge Points 003, 004, 005, and 006 shall not contain total coliform bacteria exceeding the following concentrations, as measured at Monitoring Location REC-001:
i. The number of total coliform bacteria shall not exceed an MPN of 23 per 100 milliliters, in more than one sample in any 30-day period; and

ii. No sample shall exceed an MPN of 240 total coliform bacteria per 100 milliliters.

2. **Water Recycling Requirements**

a. This Order authorizes the Permittee to reuse treated municipal wastewater that complies with effluent limitations contained in section IV of the Order for uses that have been addressed in an approved title 22 Engineering Report and for which recycled water user agreements have been negotiated.

b. Recycled water production, distribution, and use shall be in compliance with all of the following requirements:

   i. All requirements of this Order, including Attachment G to this Order;

   ii. Water Code sections 13500 – 13577 (Water Reclamation);

   iii. Regulations related to recycled water (including its subsequent revisions) contained in California Code of Regulations, title 17, sections 7583 – 7586, sections 7601 – 7605, and California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 60001 – 60355.

   iv. An approved Title 22 Engineering Report that demonstrates or defines compliance with the Uniform Statewide Recycling criteria (and any future amendments thereto);

   v. Any applicable Salt and Nutrient Management Plan adopted by the Regional Water Board as a Basin Plan amendment;

   vi. Any applicable water quality related CEQA mitigation measure;

   vii. Water Code section 1211 for facilities where the changes to the discharge are necessary to accomplish water recycling and will result in changes in flow in a watercourse; and


D. **Other Requirements**

1. **Total Residual Chlorine, Monitoring Location INT-001.** As measured at the end of the chlorine contact chamber at Monitoring Location INT-001, the total residual chlorine concentration shall be maintained at a level that ensures the discharge meets the total chlorine effluent limitation at the end of the disinfection process for discharges to Discharge Points 001 through 006.

V. **RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS**

A. **Surface Water Limitations**

Receiving water limitations are based on water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan, and are a required part of this Order. Receiving water conditions not in conformance with the limitation are not necessarily a violation of this Order. Compliance with receiving water limitations shall be measured at monitoring locations described in the MRP (Attachment E). The
Regional Water Board may require an investigation to determine cause and culpability prior to asserting that a violation has occurred.

1. The discharge shall not cause the dissolved oxygen concentration of the receiving water to be depressed below 9.0 mg/L

In those waterbodies for which the aquatic life-based DO requirements are unachievable due to natural conditions¹, site-specific background DO requirements can be applied² as water quality objectives by calculating the daily minimum DO necessary to maintain 85% DO saturation during the dry season and 90% DO saturation during the wet season under site salinity, site atmospheric pressure, and natural receiving water temperature³. In no event may controllable factors reduce the daily minimum DO below 6.0 mg/L

For the protection of estuarine habitat (EST), the dissolved oxygen concentration of enclosed bays and estuaries shall not be depressed to levels adversely affecting beneficial uses as a result of controllable water quality factors.

2. The discharge shall not cause the specific conductance (micromhos⁴) concentration of the receiving waters to increase above 150 micromhos 50 percent of the time, or above 300 micromhos more than 10 percent of the time.

3. The discharge shall not cause the total dissolved solids concentrating of the receiving waters to increase above 90 mg/L more than 50 percent of the time, or above 160 mg/L more than 90 percent of the time.

4. The discharge shall not cause the pH of receiving waters to be depressed below 6.5 nor raised above 8.5. Within this range, the discharge shall not cause the pH of the receiving waters to be changed at any time more than 0.5 units from that which occurs naturally.

5. The discharge shall not cause the turbidity of receiving waters to be increased more than 20 percent above naturally occurring background levels.

6. The discharge shall not cause receiving waters to contain suspended material in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

7. The discharge shall not cause receiving waters to contain floating materials, including solids, liquids, foams, and scum, in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

8. The discharge shall not cause receiving waters to contain taste- or odor-producing substances in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to fish flesh or other edible products of aquatic origin, that cause nuisance, or that adversely affect beneficial uses.

¹ Natural conditions are conditions or circumstances affecting the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of water that are not influenced by past or present anthropogenic activities.
² Upon approval from the Regional Water Board Executive Officer
³ The method(s) used to estimate natural temperatures for a given waterbody or stream length must be approved by the Executive Officer and may include, as appropriate, comparison with reference streams, simple calculation, or computer models.
⁴ Measured at 77°F.
9. The discharge shall not cause coloration of receiving waters that causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.

10. The discharge shall not contain substances in concentrations that result in deposition of material in receiving waters to the extent that such deposits cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

11. The discharge shall not cause receiving waters to contain concentrations of biostimulatory substances that promote objectionable aquatic growth to the extent that such growth causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.

12. The discharge shall not cause receiving waters to contain toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in humans, plants, animals, or aquatic life. Compliance with this objective will be determined by use of indicator organisms, analyses of species diversity, population density, growth anomalies, bioassays of appropriate duration, or other appropriate methods, as specified by the Regional Water Board.

13. The discharge shall not cause a measurable temperature change in the receiving water at any time.

14. The discharge shall not cause an individual pesticide or combination of pesticides to be present in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses. The discharge shall not cause bioaccumulation of pesticide concentrations in bottom sediments or aquatic life.

15. The discharge shall not cause receiving waters to contain concentrations of pesticides in excess of Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) established for these pollutants in title 22, division 4, chapter 15, 5.5 of the CCR.

16. The discharge shall not cause receiving waters to contain oils, greases, waxes, or other materials in concentrations that result in a visible film or coating on the surface of the water or on objects in the water, that cause nuisance, or that otherwise affect beneficial uses.

17. The discharge shall not cause a violation of any applicable water quality standard for receiving waters adopted by the Regional Water Board or the State Water Board, as required by the federal Clean Water Act and regulations adopted thereunder. If more stringent applicable water quality standards are promulgated or approved pursuant to section 303 of the Clean Water Act, or amendments thereto, the Regional Water Board will revise and modify this Order in accordance with such more stringent standards.

18. The discharge shall not cause concentrations of chemical constituents to occur in excess of MCLs and secondary MCLs (SMCLs) established for these pollutants in title 22, division 4, chapter 15, articles 4, section 64431, article 5.5, section 64444, and article 16, section 64449 of the CCR.

19. The discharge shall not cause receiving waters to contain radionuclides in concentrations which are deleterious to human, plant, animal or aquatic life, nor which result in the accumulation of radionuclides in the food web to an extent which presents a hazard to human, plant, animal or indigenous aquatic life, nor in excess of the MCLs and SMCLs established for these pollutants in title 22, division 4, chapter 15, article 5, sections 64442 and 64443 of the CCR.
B. **Groundwater Limitations**

1. The collection, treatment, storage, and disposal of wastewater or use of recycled water shall not cause a statistically significant degradation of groundwater quality unless a technical evaluation is performed that demonstrates that any degradation that could reasonably be expected to occur, after implementation of all regulatory requirements (e.g., Basin Plan) and reasonable BMPs, will not violate groundwater quality objectives or cause impacts to beneficial uses of groundwater.

2. The collection, treatment, storage, and disposal of wastewater or use of recycled water shall not cause alterations of groundwater that contain chemical concentrations in excess of the MCLs and SMCLs established for these pollutants in title 22, division 4, chapter 15, article 4, sections 64435 (Tables 2 and 3) 64431, and article 5.5, section 64444, and article 16 section 64449 and the Basin Plan.

3. The collection, treatment, storage, and disposal of wastewater or use of recycled water shall not cause groundwater to contain levels of radionuclides in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses, nor in excess of the MCLs and SMCLs established in title 22, division 4, chapter 15, article 5, sections 64442 and 64443 of the CCR.

4. The collection, treatment, storage, and disposal of wastewater or use of recycled water shall not cause groundwater to contain taste- or odor-producing substances in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

5. In groundwaters used for domestic or municipal supply (MUN), the collection, treatment, storage, and disposal of wastewater or use of recycled water shall not cause the median of the most probable number of coliform organisms over any 7-day period to exceed 1.1 MPN/100 mL or 1 colony/100 mL.

6. Groundwaters shall not contain toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in humans, or that adversely affects beneficial uses. This limitation applies regardless of whether the toxicity is caused by a single substance or the synergistic effect of multiple substances.

VI. **PROVISIONS**

A. **Standard Provisions**

1. **Federal Standard Provisions.** The Permittee shall comply with all Standard Provisions included in Attachment D of this Order.

2. **Regional Water Board Standard Provisions.** The Permittee shall comply with the following Regional Water Board standard provisions. In the event that there is any conflict, duplication, or overlap between provisions specified by this Order, the more stringent provision shall apply:

   a. Failure to comply with provisions or requirements of this Order, or violation of other applicable laws or regulations governing discharges from this Facility, may subject the Permittee to administrative or civil liabilities, criminal penalties, and/or other enforcement remedies to ensure compliance. Additionally, certain violations may subject the Permittee to civil or criminal enforcement from appropriate local, state, or federal law enforcement entities.
b. In the event the Permittee does not comply or will be unable to comply for any reason, with any prohibition, final effluent limitation, recycling specification, other specification, receiving water limitation, or provision of this Order that may result in a significant threat to human health or the environment, such as inundation of treatment infrastructure, breach of pond containment, recycled water main break or equivalent release, irrigation runoff, etc., that results in a discharge to a drainage channel or a surface water, the Permittee shall notify Regional Water Board staff within 24 hours of having knowledge of such non-compliance. Spill notification and reporting shall be conducted in accordance with Section V.E of Attachment D and X.E of the Monitoring and Reporting Program.

B. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Requirements

The Permittee shall comply with the MRP, included as Attachment E of this Order, and future revisions thereto.

C. Special Provisions

1. Reopener Provisions

a. Standard Revisions. If applicable water quality standards are promulgated or approved pursuant to Section 303 of the CWA, or amendments thereto, the Regional Water Board may reopen this Order and make modifications in accordance with such revised standards.

b. Reasonable Potential. This Order may be reopened for modification to include an effluent limitation, if monitoring establishes that the discharge causes, or has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to, an excursion above a water quality criterion or objective applicable to the receiving water.

c. Whole Effluent Toxicity. As a result of a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE), this Order may be reopened to include a narrative or numeric chronic toxicity limitation, a new acute toxicity limitation, and/or a limitation for a specific toxicant identified in the TRE. Additionally, if a numeric chronic toxicity water quality objective is adopted by the State Water Board, this Order may be reopened to include a numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitation based on that objective.

d. 303(d)-Listed Pollutants. If an applicable total maximum daily load (TMDL) (see Fact Sheet, section III.D) program is adopted, this Order may be reopened and effluent limitations for the pollutant(s) that are the subject of the TMDL may be modified or imposed to conform this Order to the TMDL requirements.

e. Water Effects Ratios (WERs) and Metal Translators. A default WER of 1.0 has been used in this Order for calculating CTR criteria for applicable priority pollutant inorganic constituents, except for copper, which a site-specific WER of 30.5 has been used as further described in section IV.C.3.c of the Fact Sheet. In addition, default dissolved-to-total metal translators have been used to convert water quality objectives from dissolved to total recoverable. If the Permittee performs studies to determine site-specific WERs and/or site-specific dissolved-to-total metal translators and submits a report that demonstrates that WER or translator studies were performed in...
accordance with U.S. EPA or other approved guidance, this Order may be reopened to modify the effluent limitations for the applicable constituents.

f. **Nutrients.** This Order contains effluent limitations for ammonia and effluent monitoring for nutrients (ammonia, nitrate, and phosphorus). If new water quality objectives for nutrients are established, if monitoring data indicate the need for new or revised effluent limitations for any of these parameters, or if new or revised methods for compliance with effluent limitations for any of these parameters are developed, this Order may be reopened and modified to include new or modified effluent limitations or other requirements, as necessary.

g. **Salt and Nutrient Management Plans (SNMPs).** The Recycled Water Policy adopted by the State Water Board on February 3, 2009, and effective May 14, 2009, recognizes the fact that some groundwater basins in the state contain salts and nutrients that exceed or threaten to exceed water quality objectives in the applicable Basin Plans, and that not all Basin Plans include adequate implementation procedures for achieving or ensuring compliance with the water quality objectives for salt or nutrients. The Recycled Water Policy finds that the appropriate way to address salt and nutrient issues is through the development of regional or subregional SNMPs rather than through imposing requirements solely on individual recycled water projects. This Order may be reopened to incorporate provisions consistent with any SNMP(s) adopted by the Regional Water Board.

h. **Title 22 Engineering Report**\(^5\). This Order implements title 22 requirements to protect public health. If future revisions to the Permittee’s title 22 engineering report require modifications to this Order to adequately implement title 22, this Order may be reopened and modified as necessary.

2. **Special Studies, Technical Reports and Additional Monitoring Requirements**

a. **Ammonia Study.** The Permittee shall conduct a study to determine the presence of freshwater mussels in the receiving water to support implementation of the water quality criteria for ammonia in the April 2013 *Aquatic Life Ambient Water Quality for Ammonia – Freshwater 2013* (EPA-822-R-13-001). The Permittee may conduct literature searches of historical mussel surveys and/or conduct a site-specific mussel survey to evaluate the presence/absence of mussels in the receiving water. The study shall be conducted in accordance with the August 2013 *Technical Support Document for Conducting and Reviewing Freshwater Mussel Occurrence Surveys for the Development of Site-Specific Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia* (EPA-800-R-13-003). The Permittee shall submit a work plan for conducting the study by October 1, 2020. The study shall be initiated within 3 years of the permit effective date and a final report summarizing the results of the study shall be submitted by the Regional Water Board in conjunction with the Report of Waste Discharge by November 1, 2022.

3. **Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention**

\(^5\)The Division Of Drinking reviewed and approved the Permittee's Title 22 Engineering Report on May 7, 2018.
a. **Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP)**

i. The Permittee shall, as required by the Executive Officer, develop and conduct a PMP as further described below when there is evidence (e.g., sample results from analytical methods more sensitive than those methods required by this Order, presence of whole effluent toxicity, health advisories for fish consumption, results of benthic or aquatic organism tissue sampling) that a priority pollutant is present in the effluent above an effluent limitation and either:

(a) The concentration of the pollutant is reported as “Detected, but Not Quantified” (DNQ) and the effluent limitation is less than the reporting limit (RL);

(b) A sample result is reported as non-detect (ND) and the effluent limitation is less than the method detection limit (MDL), using definitions described in Attachment A and reporting protocols described in MRP section X.B.5.

ii. The PMP shall include, but not be limited to, the following actions and submittals acceptable to the Regional Water Board:

(a) An annual review and semi-annual monitoring of potential sources of the reportable priority pollutant(s), which may include fish tissue monitoring and other bio-uptake sampling;

(b) Quarterly monitoring for the reportable priority pollutant(s) in the influent to the wastewater treatment system;

(c) Submittal of a control strategy designed to proceed toward the goal of maintaining concentrations of the reportable priority pollutant(s) in the effluent at or below the effluent limitation;

(d) Implementation of appropriate cost-effective control measures for the reportable priority pollutant(s), consistent with the control strategy; and

(e) An annual status report that shall be submitted as part of the Annual Facility Report due **March 1st** to the Regional Water Board and shall include:

(1) All PMP monitoring results for the previous year;

(2) A list of potential sources of the reportable pollutant(s);

(3) A summary of all actions undertaken pursuant to the control strategy; and

(4) A description of actions to be taken in the following year.

b. **BMPs for Lower Fisher Ranch Swale.** The Permittee shall continue to implement BMPs to prevent to the extent practicable the creation of runoff that leads to the discharge of reclaimed water to the swale that bisects the lower Fisher Ranch. BMPs shall include, but not be limited to, irrigation setback distances, where necessary to prevent discharge of recycled water to the swale, timely inspections of the swale and the recycled water use areas in accordance with section IX.A of the MRP, and routine inspections of existing structural BMPs, when installed. The Permittee shall implement or supplement the BMPs as needed to improve the quality of recycled water discharges,
to reduce the risk of recycled water discharges to state waters, reduce contamination or recycled water after it is produced, or when directed by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer.

4. Construction, Operation and Maintenance Specifications
   a. This Order (Attachment D, Standard Provision I.D) requires that the Permittee at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the Permittee to achieve compliance with this Order. Proper operation and maintenance includes adequate laboratory quality control and appropriate quality assurance procedures.
   
   b. The Permittee shall maintain an updated Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Manual for the operational components of the Facility. The Permittee shall update the O&M Manual, as necessary, to conform to changes in operation and maintenance of the Facility. The Permittee shall operate and maintain the Facility in accordance with the most recently updated O&M Manual. The O&M Manual shall be readily available to operating personnel onsite and for review by state or federal inspectors. The O&M Manual shall include the following.
      i. Description of the Facility's organizational structure showing the number of employees, duties and qualifications and plant attendance schedules (daily, weekends and holidays, part-time, etc.). The description should include documentation that the personnel are knowledgeable and qualified to operate the Facility so as to achieve the required level of treatment at all times.
      ii. Detailed description of safe and effective operation and maintenance of treatment processes, process control instrumentation and equipment.
      iii. Description of laboratory and quality assurance procedures.
      iv. Process and equipment inspection and maintenance schedules.
      v. Description of safeguards to assure that, should there be reduction, loss, or failure of electric power, the Permittee will be able to comply with requirements of this Order.
      vi. Description of preventive (fail-safe) and contingency (response and cleanup) plans for controlling accidental discharges, and for minimizing the effect of such events. These plans shall identify the possible sources (such as loading and storage areas, power outage, waste treatment unit failure, process equipment failure, tank and piping failure) of accidental discharges, untreated or partially treated waste bypass, and polluted drainage.

5. Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTWs Only)
   a. Wastewater Collection Systems
      i. Statewide General WDRs for Sanitary Sewer Systems
         The Permittee has coverage under, and is separately subject to, the requirements of State Water Board Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ, Statewide General WDRs for Sanitary Sewer Systems, as amended by Order No.WQ 2013-0058-EXEC. As such,
the Permittee provides notification and reporting of SSOs in accordance with the requirements of Order Nos. 2006-0003-DWQ and WQ 2013-0058-EXEC and any revisions thereto for operation of its wastewater collection system.

b. Source Control and Pretreatment Provisions

   i. The Permittee shall perform source control functions and provide a summary of source control activities conducted in the Annual Report (due March 1st to the Regional Water Board). Source control functions and requirements shall include the following:

   (a) Implement the necessary legal authorities to monitor and enforce source control standards, restrict discharges of toxic materials to the collection system and inspect facilities connected to the system.

   (b) If waste haulers are allowed to discharge to the Facility, establish a waste hauler permit system, to be reviewed by the Executive Officer, to regulate waste haulers discharging to the collection system or Facility.

   (c) Industrial Waste Survey (IWS)

      (1) The Permittee shall conduct an IWS of all the industrial users (IUs) in the service area of the Facility to determine whether any IUs are subject to pretreatment standards specified in 40 C.F.R. part 403. The Permittee shall also perform a priority pollutant scan of the influent to the Facility. At a minimum, the IWS must identify the following for each industrial user and zero-discharging categorical industrial user: whether it qualifies as a significant user; the average and peak flow rates; the SIC code; any pretreatment being implemented by each industrial user; and whether or not the Permittee has issued a permit to any of the identified industrial users. The IWS and priority pollutant monitoring is required during the 12-month period that begins on January 1, 2019.

      (2) The results of the IWS and priority pollutant monitoring shall be submitted to the Regional Water Board in a written report no later than March 1, 2020. The written report shall include a certification report indicating whether the Facility receives pollutants from any IU that would require the Permittee to establish a pretreatment program in accordance with 40 C.F.R. part 403.

   (d) Perform public outreach to educate industrial, commercial, and residential users about the importance of preventing discharges of industrial and toxic wastes to the wastewater treatment plant, at least once per year.

---

6 The priority pollutant scan shall include California Toxics Rule (CTR) and title 22 pollutants. CTR pollutants are those pollutants identified in the California Toxics Rule at 40 C.F.R. section 131.38, and title 22 pollutants are those pollutants for which DDW has established MCLs at title 22, division 4, chapter 15, sections 64431 (Inorganic Chemicals) and 64444 (Organic Chemicals) of the CCR. Duplicate analyses are not required for pollutants that are identified as CTR and title 22 pollutants.
(e) Perform on-going inspections and monitoring, as necessary, to ensure adequate source control.

(f) General Prohibitions. Pollutants introduced into WWTFs by a non-domestic source shall not pass through [40 CFR 403.3(n)] the WWTF or interfere [40 CFR 403.3(j)] with the operation or performance of the works. These general prohibitions and the specific prohibitions in paragraph (g) of this provision apply to all non-domestic sources introducing pollutants into a WWTF whether or not the source is subject to other National Pretreatment Standards or any national, state, or local pretreatment requirements.

(g) Specific Prohibitions. In addition, the following pollutants shall not be introduced into a WWTF:

1. Pollutants that create a fire or explosion hazard in the WWTF;
2. Pollutants that will cause corrosive structural damage to the WWTF, but in no case discharges with pH lower than 5.0, unless the WWTF is specifically designed to accommodate such discharges;
3. Solid or viscous pollutants in amounts that will cause obstruction to the flow in the WWTF resulting in interference;
4. Any pollutant, including oxygen demanding pollutants (BOD, etc.) released in a discharge at a flow rate and/or pollutant concentration that will cause interference with the WWTF;
5. Heat in amounts that will inhibit biological activity in the WWTF resulting in interference, but in no case heat in such quantities that the temperature at the WWTF exceeds 40ºC (104ºF) unless the Regional Water Board, upon request of the Permittee, approves alternate temperature limits;
6. Petroleum oil, non-biodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause interferences or pass through; and
7. Pollutants that result in the presence of toxic gases, vapors, or fumes within the WWTF in a quantity that may cause acute worker health and safety problems.

ii. In the event that the Permittee identifies industrial wastes subject to regulation under the NPDES Pretreatment Program being discharged to the wastewater treatment plant, or the Regional Water Board or its Executive Officer determines that circumstances warrant pretreatment requirements in order to prevent interference [40 C.F.R. §403.3(j)] with the wastewater treatment Facility or Pass Through [40 C.F.R. §403.3(n)], then:

(a) The Permittee shall notify the Regional Water Board within 30 days after there are discharges that trigger the pretreatment requirements;
(b) The Permittee shall submit a revised ROWD and the pretreatment program for the Regional Water Board’s review and approval as soon as possible, but
not more than one year after the Permittee’s notification to the Regional Water Board of the need for pretreatment requirements being triggered;

(c) The Permittee shall enforce the federal categorical pretreatment standards on all categorical industrial users (CIUs);

(d) The Permittee shall notify each CIU of its discharge effluent limits. The limits must be as stringent as the pretreatment standards contained in the applicable federal category (40 C.F.R. part 400-699). The Permittee may develop more stringent, technology-based local limits if it can show cause; and

(e) The Permittee shall notify the Regional Water Board if any CIU violates its discharge effluent limits.

iii. The Regional Water Board retains the right to take legal action against an industrial user and/or the Permittee where a user fails to meet the approved applicable federal, state, or local pretreatment standards.

iv. The Regional Water Board may amend this Order, at any time, to require the Permittee to develop and implement an industrial pretreatment program pursuant to the requirements of 40 C.F.R. part 403 if the Regional Water Board finds that the Facility receives pollutants from an IU that is subject to pretreatment standards, or if other circumstances so warrant.

c. Sludge Disposal and Handling Requirements7

i. Sludge, as used in this Order, means the solid, semisolid, and liquid residues removed during primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment processes. Solid waste refers to grit and screenings generated during preliminary treatment. Biosolids refers to sludge that has been treated, tested, and demonstrated to be capable of being beneficially and legally used pursuant to federal and state regulations as a soil amendment for agriculture, silviculture, horticulture, and land reclamation activities.

ii. All collected sludges and other solid waste removed from liquid wastes shall be removed from screens, sumps, ponds, and tanks as needed to ensure optimal plant operation and disposed of in accordance with applicable federal and state regulations.

iii. Treatment and storage of sludge shall be confined to the Facility property, and shall be conducted in a manner that precludes infiltration of waste constituents into soil in a mass or at concentrations that would adversely affect beneficial uses of groundwater, or cause an exceedance of any applicable Basin Plan water quality objectives for groundwater or surface water.

iv. The use and disposal of biosolids shall separately comply with all the land application and disposal requirements in 40 C.F.R. part 503, which are enforceable

---

7 The Permittee will not be required to enroll under Order No. 2004-0012-DWQ for land disposal of biosolids from the rehabilitation of the percolation ponds. If the Permittee desires to land apply biosolids from any other treatment process, they are required to enroll under Order No. 2004-0012-DWQ.
by the U.S. EPA, not the Regional Water Board. If during the life of this Order, the state accepts primacy for implementation of 40 C.F.R. part 503, the Regional Water Board may also initiate enforcement where appropriate.

v. Sludge or biosolids that are disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill or used as daily landfill cover shall meet the applicable requirements of 40 C.F.R. part 258. In the annual self-monitoring report, the Permittee shall report the amount of sludge placed in a landfill and the landfill(s) which received the sludge or biosolids.

vi. The Permittee shall take all reasonable steps to prevent and minimize any sludge use or disposal in violation of this Order that may adversely affect human health or the environment.

vii. Sludge that is applied to agricultural land, forested land, or reclamation sites as soil amendment shall, at a minimum, be required to meet class B pathogen and vector attraction reduction requirements specified in 40 CFR part 503.

viii. Solids and sludge treatment, storage, and disposal or reuse shall not create a nuisance, such as objectionable odors or flies, and shall not result in groundwater contamination.

ix. Residual sludge, and solid waste shall be disposed of in a manner approved by the Regional Board Executive Officer and consistent with requirements within Title 27, Division 2 of the CCR (Consolidated Requirements for Treatment, Storage, Processing, or Disposal of Solid Waste).

x. Solids and sludge treatment and storage sites shall have facilities adequate to divert surface water runoff from adjacent areas, to protect the boundaries of the site from erosion, and to prevent drainage from the treatment and storage site. Adequate protection is defined as protection from a design storm with a 100-year recurrence interval and 24-hour duration.

xi. The discharge of sewage sludge and solids shall not cause waste material to be in a position where it is, or can be, conveyed from the treatment and storage sites and deposited in the waters of the state.

xii. All sludge applied to land must meet the ceiling concentrations for pollutants listed in the first column of Table 2-1 of 40 C.F.R. 503. The ceiling concentrations are the maximum concentration limits for 10 heavy metal pollutants in biosolids; specifically, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, and zinc. If a limit for any one of the pollutants is exceeded, the sludge cannot be applied to land until such time as the ceiling concentrations limits are no longer exceeded.

xiii. Use and disposal of sludge shall comply with the USEPA Part 503 Biosolids Rule (40 CFR 503)

d. Operator Certification

Supervisors and operators of municipal wastewater treatment facilities shall possess a certificate of appropriate grade in accordance with title 23, CCR, section 3680. The
State Water Board may accept experience in lieu of qualification training. In lieu of a properly certified wastewater treatment facility operator, the State Water Board may approve use of a water treatment facility operator of appropriate grade certified by the Division of Drinking Water (DDW) where water recycling is involved.

e. Adequate Capacity

If the Facility will reach capacity within 4 years, the Permittee shall notify the Regional Water Board. A copy of such notification shall be sent to appropriate local elected officials, local permitting agencies, and the press. Factors to be evaluated in assessing reserve capacity shall include, at a minimum, (1) comparison of the wet weather design flow with the highest daily flow, and (2) comparison of the average dry weather design flow with the lowest 30-day flow. The Permittee shall demonstrate that adequate steps are being taken to address the capacity problem. The Permittee shall submit a technical report to the Regional Water Board showing how flow volumes will be prevented from exceeding capacity, or how capacity will be increased, within 120 days after providing notification to the Regional Water Board, or within 120 days after receipt of Regional Water Board notification that the Facility will reach capacity within 4 years. The time for filing the required technical report may be extended by the Regional Water Board. An extension of 30 days may be granted by the Executive Officer, and longer extensions may be granted by the Regional Water Board itself. [CCR title 23, section 2232].

6. Other Special Provisions

a. Storm Water

For the control of storm water discharge from the Facility, if required, the Permittee shall seek separate authorization to discharge under the requirements of the State Water Board’s Water Quality Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ, NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001, General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activities (or subsequent renewed versions of the NPDES General Permit CAS000001), which is not incorporated by reference in this Order.

7. Compliance Schedules – Not Applicable

This Order does not establish interim effluent limitations or schedules of compliance for final numeric effluent limitations.

VII. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

Compliance with the effluent limitations contained in section IV of this Order will be determined as specified below.

A. General

Compliance with effluent limitations for priority pollutants, when effluent limitations have been established, shall be determined using sample reporting protocols defined in the MRP and Attachment A of this Order. For purposes of reporting and administrative enforcement by the Regional and State Water Boards, the Permittee shall be deemed out of compliance with effluent limitations if the concentration of pollutant in the monitoring sample is greater than the effluent limitation and greater than or equal to the reported minimum level (ML).
B. **Multiple Sample Data**

When determining compliance with an AMEL for priority pollutants, and more than one sample result is available, the Permittee shall compute the arithmetic mean unless the data set contains one or more reported determinations of “Detected, but Not Quantified” (DNQ) or “Not Detected” (ND). In those cases, the Permittee shall compute the median in place of the arithmetic mean in accordance with the following procedure.

1. The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.

2. The median value of the data set shall be determined. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an even number of data points, then the median is the average of the two middle values unless one or both of the points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two data points where DNQ is lower than a value and ND is lower than DNQ and a value of zero shall be used for the ND or DNQ value in the median calculation for compliance purposes only. Using a value of zero for DNQ or ND samples does not apply when performing reasonable potential or antidegradation analyses.

C. **Average Monthly Effluent Limitation (AMEL)**

If the average (or when applicable, the median determined by subsection B above for multiple sample data) of daily discharges over a calendar month exceeds the AMEL for a given parameter, this will represent a single violation, though the Permittee will be considered out of compliance for each day of that month for that parameter (e.g., resulting in 31 days of non-compliance in a 31-day month). If only a single sample is taken during the calendar month and the analytical result for that sample exceeds the AMEL, the Permittee will be considered out of compliance for that calendar month. The Permittee will only be considered out of compliance for days when the discharge occurs. If there are ND or DNQ results for a specific constituent in a calendar month, the Permittee shall calculate the median of all sample results within that month for compliance determination with the AMEL as described in section VII.B, above.

D. **Average Weekly Effluent Limitation (AWEL)**

If the average (or when applicable, the median determined by subsection B above for multiple sample data) of daily discharges over a calendar week exceeds the AWEL for a given parameter, this will represent a single violation, though the Permittee will be considered out of compliance for each day of that week for that parameter, resulting in 7 days of non-compliance. If only a single sample is taken during the calendar week and the analytical result for that sample exceeds the AWEL, the Permittee will be considered out of compliance for that calendar week. The Permittee will only be considered out of compliance for days when the discharge occurs. If there are ND or DNQ results for a specific constituent in a calendar week, the Permittee shall calculate the median of all sample results within that week for compliance determination with the AWEL as described in section VII.B, above.

E. **Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation (MDEL)**

If a daily discharge (or when applicable, the median determined by subsection B, above, for multiple sample data of a daily discharge) exceeds the MDEL for a given parameter, the Permittee
will be considered out of compliance for that parameter for that 1 day only within the reporting period.

F. **Instantaneous Minimum Effluent Limitation**

If the analytical result of a single grab sample is lower than the instantaneous minimum effluent limitation for a parameter, the Permittee will be considered out of compliance for that parameter for that single sample. Non-compliance for each sample will be considered separately (e.g., the results of two grab samples taken within a calendar day that both are lower than the instantaneous minimum effluent limitation would result in two instances of non-compliance with the instantaneous minimum effluent limitation).

If the Permittee monitors pH continuously, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 401.17, the Permittee shall be in compliance with the pH limitation specified herein provided that both of the following conditions are satisfied: (1) the total time during which the pH values are outside the required range of pH values shall not exceed 7 hours and 26 minutes in any calendar month; and (2) no individual excursion from the range of pH values shall exceed 60 minutes.

G. **Instantaneous Maximum Effluent Limitation**

If the analytical result of a single grab sample is higher than the instantaneous maximum effluent limitation for a parameter, the Permittee will be considered out of compliance for that parameter for that single sample. Non-compliance for each sample will be considered separately (e.g., the results of two grab samples taken within a calendar day that both exceed the instantaneous maximum effluent limitation would result in two instances of non-compliance with the instantaneous maximum effluent limitation).

If the Permittee monitors pH continuously, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 401.17, the Permittee shall be in compliance with the pH limitation specified herein provided that both of the following conditions are satisfied: (1) the total sum of time during which the pH values are outside the required range of pH values shall not exceed 7 hours and 26 minutes in any calendar month; and (2) no individual excursion from the range of pH values shall exceed 60 minutes.

H. **Bacteriological Limitations (Total Coliform)**

1. **Median.** The median is the central tendency concentration of the pollutant. The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the ND concentrations lowest, followed by quantified values. The median value is determined based on the number of data points in the set. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an even number of data points, the median is the average of the two middle values, unless one or both points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two middle data points. DNQ is lower than a detected value, and ND is lower than DNQ.

2. Compliance with the monthly median will be determined on a monthly basis by calculating the median of the samples collected during the month, as described in VII.H.1, immediately above.

I. **Acute Toxicity Limitations**

Compliance with the three-sample median acute toxicity effluent limitation shall be determined when there is a discharge, by calculating the median percent survival of the three most recent
consecutive samples meeting all test acceptability criteria collected from Monitoring Location EFF-001.

Compliance with the accelerated monitoring and TRE provisions shall constitute compliance with the acute toxicity requirements, all specified in the MRP (Attachment E, sections V.A and V.C).

J. **Chronic Toxicity**

Compliance with the accelerated monitoring and TRE provisions specified in the MRP (Attachment E, sections V.B.8 and V.C) shall constitute compliance with the narrative chronic toxicity requirement specified as Effluent Limitation IV.A.1.e. The MRP, section V.B.6.a, further describes how a determination of Pass/Fail shall be made.

K. **Average Dry Weather Flow**

Compliance with the average dry weather flow prohibition in section III.I of this Order will be determined once each calendar year by evaluating all flow data collected in a calendar year. The flow through the facility, measured daily and averaged monthly, must be 1.37 mgd or less for the month with the lowest average monthly flow.

L. **Average Wet Weather Flow**

Compliance with the average wet weather flow prohibition in section III.H of this Order will be determined once each month by evaluating all flow data collected during each calendar month. The flow through the Facility, measured daily and averaged monthly, must be 1.69 mgd or less for the calendar year.

M. **Peak Daily Wet Weather Flow**

The peak daily wet weather flow is the maximum flow rate that occurs over a 24-hour period. Compliance with the peak daily wet weather flow prohibition in section III.I of this Order will be determined daily by measuring the daily average flow at Monitoring Point INF-001. If the measured daily average flow exceeds 3.08 mgd, the discharge is not in compliance with Prohibition III.I of this Order.

N. **Chlorine Residual Effluent Limitations**

1. Compliance with the chlorine residual effluent limitations shall be based on daily chlorine monitoring at Monitoring Location EFF-001 to demonstrate that the discharge has been adequately dechlorinated. Continuous monitoring analyzers for chlorine residual or for dechlorination agent residual in the effluent are appropriate methods for compliance determination. A positive residual dechlorination agent in the effluent indicates that chlorine is not present in the discharge, which demonstrates compliance with the effluent limitations. This type of monitoring can also be used to prove that some chlorine residual exceedances are false positives. Continuous monitoring data showing either a positive dechlorination agent residual or a chlorine residual at or below the prescribed limit are sufficient to show compliance with the total residual chlorine effluent limitation prescribed in section IV.A.1.a, Table 4, provided that the instruments are maintained and calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations.

2. The Permittee shall calibrate continuous analyzers (e.g., chlorine residual, bisulfite residual) against grab samples as frequently as necessary to maintain accurate and reliable operation.
3. The Permittee shall report from discrete readings of the continuous monitoring every hour on the hour. Compliance shall be based on an average of these discrete hourly readings on a daily basis. The Permittee shall retain continuous monitoring readings for at least three years. The Regional Water Board retains the right to use all continuous monitoring data for discretionary enforcement.

4. Any excursion above the chlorine residual effluent limitations specified in section IV.A.1.a, Table 4, of this Order is a violation. If the Permittee conducts continuous monitoring and the Permittee can demonstrate through data collected from a back-up monitoring system, that a chlorine spike recorded by the continuous monitor was not actually due to chlorine, then any excursion resulting from the recorded spike will not be considered an exceedance, but rather reported as a false positive. Records supporting validation of false positives shall be maintained in accordance with Attachment D, section IV Standard Provisions.
ATTACHMENT A – DEFINITIONS

Arithmetic Mean (µ)
Also called the average is the sum of measured values divided by the number of samples. For ambient water concentrations, the arithmetic mean is calculated as follows:

\[ \text{Arithmetic mean} = \mu = \frac{\Sigma x}{n} \]

where: \( \Sigma x \) is the sum of the measured ambient water concentrations, and \( n \) is the number of samples.

Average Monthly Effluent Limitation (AMEL)
The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.

Average Weekly Effluent Limitation (AWEL)
The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday), calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar week divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that week.

Bioaccumulative Pollutants
Substances taken up by an organism from its surrounding medium through gill membranes, epithelial tissue, or from food and subsequently concentrated and retained in the body of the organism.

Coefficient of Variation (CV)
A measure of the data variability and is calculated as the estimated standard deviation divided by the arithmetic mean of the observed values.

Daily Discharge
Daily Discharge is defined as either: (1) the total mass of the constituent discharged over the calendar day (12:00 am through 11:59 pm) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling (as specified in the permit), for a constituent with limitations expressed in units of mass or; (2) the unweighted arithmetic mean measurement of the constituent over the day for a constituent with limitations expressed in other units of measurement (e.g., concentration).

The daily discharge may be determined by the analytical results of a composite sample taken over the course of one day (a calendar day or other 24-hour period defined as a day) or by the arithmetic mean of analytical results from one or more grab samples taken over the course of the day.

For composite sampling, if 1 day is defined as a 24-hour period other than a calendar day, the analytical result for the 24-hour period will be considered as the result for the calendar day in which the 24-hour period ends.

Detected, but Not Quantified (DNQ)
Sample results that are less than the reported Minimum Level, but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL. Sample results reported as DNQ are estimated concentrations.
**Dilution Credit**
The amount of dilution granted to a discharge in the calculation of a water quality-based effluent limitation, based on the allowance of a specified mixing zone. It is calculated from the dilution ratio or determined through conducting a mixing zone study or modeling of the discharge and receiving water.

**Effective Concentration (EC)**
A point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause an adverse effect on a quantal, “all or nothing,” response (such as death, immobilization, or serious incapacitation) in a given percent of the test organisms. If the effect is death or immobility, the term lethal concentration (LC) may be used. EC values may be calculated using point estimation techniques such as probit, logit, and Spearman-Karber. EC25 is the concentration of toxicant (in percent effluent) that causes a response in 25 percent of the test organisms.

**Effluent Concentration Allowance (ECA)**
A value derived from the water quality criterion/objective, dilution credit, and ambient background concentration that is used, in conjunction with the coefficient of variation for the effluent monitoring data, to calculate a long-term average (LTA) discharge concentration. The ECA has the same meaning as waste load allocation (WLA) as used in U.S. EPA guidance (Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control, March 1991, second printing, EPA/505/2-90-001).

**Enclosed Bays**
Indentations along the coast that enclose an area of oceanic water within distinct headlands or harbor works. Enclosed bays include all bays where the narrowest distance between headlands or outermost harbor works is less than 75 percent of the greatest dimension of the enclosed portion of the bay. This definition includes but is not limited to: Humboldt Bay, Bodega Harbor, Tomales Bay, Drakes Estero, San Francisco Bay, Morro Bay, Los Angeles Harbor, Upper and Lower Newport Bay, Mission Bay, and San Diego Bay.

**Estimated Chemical Concentrations**
The estimated chemical concentration that results from the confirmed detection of the substance by the analytical method below the ML value.

**Estuaries and Coastal Lagoons** are waters at the mouths of streams that serve as mixing zones for fresh and ocean waters during a major portion of the year. Mouths of streams that are temporarily separated from the ocean by sandbars shall be considered as estuaries. Estuarine waters will generally be considered to extend from a bay or the open ocean to the upstream limit of tidal action but may be considered to extend seaward if significant mixing of fresh and salt water occurs in the open coastal waters. The waters described by this definition include but are not limited to the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta as defined by Section 12220 of the California Water Code, Suisun Bay, Carquinez Strait downstream to Carquinez Bridge, and appropriate areas of the Smith, Klamath, Mad, Eel, Noyo, Russian, San Diego, and Otay Rivers. Estuaries do not include inland surface waters or ocean waters.

**Inhibition Concentration (IC)**
The IC25 is typically calculated as a percentage of effluent. It is the level at which the organisms exhibit 25 percent reduction in biological measurement such as reproduction or growth. It is calculated statistically and used in chronic toxicity testing.
Inland Surface Waters
All surface waters of the State that do not include the ocean, enclosed bays, or estuaries.

Instantaneous Maximum Effluent Limitation
The highest allowable value for any single grab sample or aliquot (i.e., each grab sample or aliquot is independently compared to the instantaneous maximum limitation).

Instantaneous Minimum Effluent Limitation
The lowest allowable value for any single grab sample or aliquot (i.e., each grab sample or aliquot is independently compared to the instantaneous minimum limitation).

Lowest Observed Effect Concentration (LOEC)
The lowest concentration of an effluent or toxicant that results in adverse effects on the test organism (i.e., where the values for the observed endpoints are statistically different from the control).

Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation (MDEL)
The highest allowable daily discharge of a pollutant, over a calendar day (or 24-hour period). For pollutants with limitations expressed in units of mass, the daily discharge is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the daily discharge is calculated as the arithmetic mean measurement of the pollutant over the day.

Median
The middle measurement in a set of data. The median of a set of data is found by first arranging the measurements in order of magnitude (either increasing or decreasing order). If the number of measurements \( n \) is odd, then the median = \( X_{(n+1)/2} \). If \( n \) is even, then the median = \( (X_{n/2} + X_{(n/2)+1})/2 \) (i.e., the midpoint between the \( n/2 \) and \( n/2+1 \)).

Method Detection Limit (MDL)
The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero, as defined in 40 C.F.R. part 136, Attachment B, revised as of July 3, 1999.

Minimum Level (ML)
The concentration at which the entire analytical system must give a recognizable signal and acceptable calibration point. The ML is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, assuming that all the method specified sample weights, volumes, and processing steps have been followed.

Mixing Zone
A limited volume of receiving water that is allocated for mixing with a wastewater discharge where water quality criteria can be exceeded without causing adverse effects to the overall water body.

Not Detected (ND)
Those sample results less than the laboratory’s MDL.
No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC)
The highest tested concentration of an effluent or a test sample at which the effect is no different from the control effect, according to the statistical test used (see LOEC). The NOEC is usually the highest tested concentration of an effluent or toxicant that causes no observable effects on the aquatic test organisms (i.e., the highest concentration of toxicity at which the values for the observed responses do not statistically differ from the controls). It is determined using hypothesis testing.

Persistent Pollutants
Substances for which degradation or decomposition in the environment is nonexistent or very slow.

Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP)
PMP means waste minimization and pollution prevention actions that include, but are not limited to, product substitution, waste stream recycling, alternative waste management methods, and education of the public and businesses. The goal of the PMP shall be to reduce all potential sources of a priority pollutant(s) through pollutant minimization (control) strategies, including pollution prevention measures as appropriate, to maintain the effluent concentration at or below the water quality-based effluent limitation. Pollution prevention measures may be particularly appropriate for persistent bioaccumulative priority pollutants where there is evidence that beneficial uses are being impacted. The Regional Water Board may consider cost effectiveness when establishing the requirements of a PMP. The completion and implementation of a Pollution Prevention Plan, if required pursuant to Water Code section 13263.3(d), shall be considered to fulfill the PMP requirements.

Pollution Prevention
Any action that causes a net reduction in the use or generation of a hazardous substance or other pollutant that is discharged into water and includes, but is not limited to, input change, operational improvement, production process change, and product reformulation (as defined in Water Code section 13263.3). Pollution prevention does not include actions that merely shift a pollutant in wastewater from one environmental medium to another environmental medium, unless clear environmental benefits of such an approach are identified to the satisfaction of the State or Regional Water Board.

Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW)
A treatment works as defined in section 212 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), which is owned by a State or municipality as defined by section 502(4) of the CWA. [Section 502(4) of the CWA defines a municipality as a city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body created by or pursuant to State law and having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, or other wastes]. This definition includes any devices and systems used in the storage, treatment, recycling, and reclamation of municipal sewage or industrial wastes of a liquid nature. It also includes sewers, pipes and other conveyances only if they convey wastewater to a POTW Treatment Plant. The term also means the municipality as defined in section 502(4) of the CWA, which has jurisdiction over the Indirect Discharges to and the discharges from such a treatment works.
Recycled Water
Water which, as a result of treatment of municipal wastewater, is suitable for a direct beneficial use or a controlled use that would not otherwise occur and is therefore considered a valuable resource (Water Code section 13050). The terms “recycled water” and “reclaimed water” have the same meaning (Water Code section 26).

Reporting Level (RL)
The ML (and its associated analytical method) used for reporting and compliance determination. The MLs included in this Order correspond to approved analytical methods for reporting a sample result that are selected by the Regional Water Board either from Appendix 4 of the SIP in accordance with section 2.4.2 of the SIP or established in accordance with section 2.4.3 of the SIP. The ML is based on the proper application of method-based analytical procedures for sample preparation and the absence of any matrix interferences. Other factors may be applied to the ML depending on the specific sample preparation steps employed. For example, the treatment typically applied in cases where there are matrix-effects is to dilute the sample or sample aliquot by a factor of ten. In such cases, this additional factor must be applied to the ML in the computation of the RL.

Septage
Defined as the liquid or solid material removed from a septic tank, cesspool, portable toilet, type III marine sanitation device, recreational vehicle’s sanitation tank, or similar storage or treatment works that receives domestic waste.

Source of Drinking Water
Any water designated as municipal or domestic supply (MUN) in a Regional Water Board Basin Plan.

Standard Deviation (σ)
A measure of variability that is calculated as follows:

\[ \sigma = \left( \frac{\sum(x - \mu)^2}{(n - 1)} \right)^{0.5} \]

where:
- \( x \) is the observed value;
- \( \mu \) is the arithmetic mean of the observed values; and
- \( n \) is the number of samples.

Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE)
A study conducted in a step-wise process designed to identify the causative agents of effluent or ambient toxicity, isolate the sources of toxicity, evaluate the effectiveness of toxicity control options, and then confirm the reduction in toxicity. The first steps of the TRE consist of the collection of data relevant to the toxicity, including additional toxicity testing, and an evaluation of facility operations and maintenance practices, and best management practices. A Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) may be required as part of the TRE, if appropriate. (A TIE is a set of procedures to identify the specific chemical(s) responsible for toxicity. These procedures are performed in three phases (characterization, identification, and confirmation) using aquatic organism toxicity tests.)
Test of Significant Toxicity (TST)

The statistical approach described in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Test of Significant Toxicity Implementation Document (EPA 833-R10-003, 2010). TST was developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for analyzing WET and ambient toxicity data. Using the TST approach, the sample is declared toxic if there is greater than or equal to a 25% effect in chronic tests, or if there is greater than or equal to a 20% effect in acute tests at the permitted instream waste concentration (IWC) (referred to as the toxic regulatory management decision (RMD)). The sample is declared non-toxic if there is less than or equal to a 10% effect at the IWC in acute or chronic tests (referred to as the non-toxic RMD).
Attachment B – Map
ATTACHMENT C – FLOW SCHEMATIC
ATTACHMENT D – STANDARD PROVISIONS

I. STANDARD PROVISIONS – PERMIT COMPLIANCE

A. Duty to Comply

1. The Permittee must comply with all of the conditions of this Order. Any noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the California Water Code and is grounds for enforcement action, for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(a); Wat. Code, §§ 13261, 13263, 13265, 13268, 13000, 130001, 13304, 13350, 13385.)

2. The Permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the CWA for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under Section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions, even if this Order has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(a)(1).)

B. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a Permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(c).)

C. Duty to Mitigate

The Permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this Order that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(d).)

D. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The Permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the Permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems that are installed by a Permittee only when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(e).)

E. Property Rights

1. This Order does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privileges. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(g).)

2. The issuance of this Order does not authorize any injury to persons or property or invasion of other private rights, or any infringement of state or local law or regulations. (40 C.F.R. § 122.5(c).)

F. Inspection and Entry

The Permittee shall allow the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, U.S. EPA, and/or their authorized representatives (including an authorized contractor acting as their representative),
upon the presentation of credentials and other documents, as may be required by law, to (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(b); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383):

1. Enter upon the Permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records are kept under the conditions of this Order (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(b)(i); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(1); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383);

2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this Order (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(b)(ii); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(2); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383);

3. Inspect and photograph, at reasonable times, any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this Order (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(b)(ii); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(3); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383); and

4. Sample or monitor, at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring Order compliance or as otherwise authorized by the CWA or the Water Code, any substances or parameters at any location. (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(b); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(4); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383.)

G. Bypass

1. Definitions
   a. “Bypass” means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(1)(i).)
   b. “Severe property damage” means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities, which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources that can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(1)(ii).)

2. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The Permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause exceedances of effluent limitations, but only if it is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions listed in Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.3, I.G.4, and I.G.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(2).)

3. Prohibition of bypass. Bypass is prohibited, and the Regional Water Board may take enforcement action against a Permittee for bypass, unless (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)):
   a. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A));
   b. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass that occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(B)); and
   c. The Permittee submitted notice to the Regional Water Board as required under Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(C).)
4. **Burden of Proof.** In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the bypass defense has the burden of proof.

5. The Regional Water Board may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Regional Water Board determines that it will meet the three conditions listed in Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.3 above. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(ii).)

6. **Notice**
   
a. **Anticipated bypass.** If the Permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit a notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(3)(i).)
   
b. **Unanticipated bypass.** The Permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Standard Provisions - Reporting V.E below (24-hour notice). (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(3)(ii).)

H. **Upset**

Upset means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(1).)

1. **Effect of an upset.** An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.H.2 below are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(2).)

2. **Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset.** A Permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)):
   
   a. An upset occurred and that the Permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(i));
   
   b. The permitted facility was, at the time, being properly operated (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(ii));
   
   c. The Permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.E.2.b below (24-hour notice) (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(iii)); and
   

3. **Burden of proof.** In any enforcement proceeding, the Permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(4).)
II. STANDARD PROVISIONS – PERMIT ACTION

A. General

This Order may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the Permittee for modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any Order condition. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(f).)

B. Duty to Reapply

If the Permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this Order after the expiration date of this Order, the Permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(b).)

C. Transfers

This Order is not transferable to any person except after notice to the Regional Water Board. The Regional Water Board may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the Order to change the name of the Permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the CWA and the Water Code. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(3); § 122.61.)

III. STANDARD PROVISIONS – MONITORING

A. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(1).)

B. Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures under 40 C.F.R. part 136 for the analyses of pollutants unless another method is required under 40 C.F.R. chapter 1, subchapters N or O, Monitoring must be conducted according to sufficiently sensitive test procedures (i.e., methods) approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136 for the analysis of pollutants or pollutant parameters or required under 40 C.F.R. chapter 1, subchapter N or O. For the purposes of this paragraph, a method is “sufficiently sensitive” when:

1. The method minimum level (ML) is at or below the level of the effluent limitation established in the permit for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter, and, either the method ML is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter or the method ML is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in a facility’s discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in the discharge; or

2. The method has the lowest ML of the analytical methods approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136 or required under 40 C.F.R. chapter 1, subchapter N or O for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter.

In the case of pollutants for which there are no approved methods under 40 C.F.R. part 136 or otherwise required under 40 C.F.R. subchapters N or O, monitoring must be conducted according to a test procedure specified in this Order for such pollutants. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(4); § 122.44(i)(1)(iv).)

In the case of sludge use or disposal approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136, monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures in part 503 unless otherwise specified in 40 C.F.R. or other test procedures have been specified in this Order.
IV. STANDARD PROVISIONS – RECORDS

A. Except for records of monitoring information required by this Order related to the Permittee's sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five years (or longer as required by 40 C.F.R. part 503), the Permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this Order, and records of all data used to complete the application for this Order, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Regional Water Board Executive Officer at any time. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(2).)

B. Records of monitoring information shall include:
   1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(i));
   2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(ii));
   3. The date(s) analyses were performed (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(iii));
   4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(iv));
   5. The analytical techniques or methods used (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(v)); and
   6. The results of such analyses. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(vi).)

C. Claims of confidentiality for the following information will be denied (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)):
   1. The name and address of any permit applicant or Permittee (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)(1)); and
   2. Permit applications and attachments, permits and effluent data. (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)(2).)

V. STANDARD PROVISIONS – REPORTING

A. Duty to Provide Information

The Permittee shall furnish to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or U.S. EPA within a reasonable time, any information which the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or U.S. EPA may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this Order or to determine compliance with this Order. Upon request, the Permittee shall also furnish to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or U.S. EPA copies of records required to be kept by this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(h); Wat. Code, § 13267.)

B. Signatory and Certification Requirements

1. All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, and/or U.S. EPA shall be signed and certified in accordance with Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2, V.B.3, V.B.4, and V.B.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(k).)

2. All permit applications shall be signed by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this provision, a principal executive officer of a federal agency includes: (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer...
having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrators of U.S. EPA). (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(a)(3)).

3. All reports required by this Order and other information requested by the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or U.S. EPA shall be signed by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above, or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
   a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(1));
   b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.) (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(2)); and
   c. The written authorization is submitted to the Regional Water Board and State Water Board. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(3).)

4. If an authorization under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.3 above is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.3 above must be submitted to the Regional Water Board and State Water Board prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications, to be signed by an authorized representative. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(c).)

5. Any person signing a document under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 or V.B.3 above shall make the following certification:

   “I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.” (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(d).)

6. Any person providing the electronic signature for documents described in Standard Provisions – V.B.1, V.B.2, or V.B.3 that are submitted electronically shall meet all relevant requirements of Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B, and shall ensure that all relevant requirements of 40 C.F.R. part 3 (Cross-Media Electronic Reporting) and 40 C.F.R. part 127 (NPDES Electronic Reporting Requirements) are met for that submission. (40 C.F.R § 122.22(e).)

C. Monitoring Reports
1. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E) in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4).)

2. Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form or forms provided or specified by the Regional Water Board or State Water Board for reporting results of monitoring, sludge use, or disposal practices. As of December 21, 2016, all reports and forms must be submitted electronically to the initial recipient defined in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.J and comply with 40 C.F.R. part 3, 40 C.F.R. section 122.22, and 40 C.F.R. part 127. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(i).)

3. If the Permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order using test procedures approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 C.F.R. subchapters N or O, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR or sludge reporting form specified by the Regional Water Board. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(ii).)

4. Calculations for all limitations, which require averaging of measurements, shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(iii).)

D. Compliance Schedules

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this Order, shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(5).)

E. Twenty-Four Hour Reporting

1. The Permittee shall report any noncompliance that may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the Permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) days of the time the Permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(i).)

For noncompliance events related to combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or bypass events, these reports must include the data described above (with the exception of time of discovery) as well as the type of event (i.e., combined sewer overflow, sanitary sewer overflow, or bypass event), type of overflow structure (e.g., manhole, combined sewer overflow outfall), discharge volume untreated by the treatment works treating domestic sewage, types of human health and environmental impacts of the event, and whether the noncompliance was related to wet weather.

As of December 21, 2020, all reports related to combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or bypass events must be submitted to the Regional Water Board and must be submitted electronically to the initial recipient defined in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.J. The reports shall comply with 40 C.F.R. part 3, 40 C.F.R. section 122.22, and 40 C.F.R. part 127. The Regional Water Board may also require the Permittee to electronically submit
reports not related to combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or bypass events under this section. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(i).)

2. The following shall be included as information that must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)):
   
a. Any unanticipated bypass that exceeds any effluent limitation in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(A).)
   
b. Any upset that exceeds any effluent limitation in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(B).)

3. The Regional Water Board may waive the above-required written report under this provision on a case-by-case basis if an oral report has been received within 24 hours. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(iii).)

F. Planned Changes

The Permittee shall give notice to the Regional Water Board as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required under this provision only when (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)):

1. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in section 122.29(b) (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)(i)); or

2. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants that are not subject to effluent limitations in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)(ii).)

3. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the Permittee’s sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)(iii).)

G. Anticipated Noncompliance

The Permittee shall give advance notice to the Regional Water Board or State Water Board of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity that may result in noncompliance with this Order’s requirements. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(2).)

H. Other Noncompliance

The Permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.C, V.D, and V.E above at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in Standard Provision – Reporting V.E above. For noncompliance events related to combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or bypass events, these reports shall contain the information described in Standard Provision – Reporting V.E and the applicable required data in appendix A to 40 C.F.R. part 127. The Regional Water Board may also require the Permittee to electronically submit reports not related to combined
sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or bypass events under this section. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(7).)

I. Other Information
When the Permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or U.S. EPA, the Permittee shall promptly submit such facts or information. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(8).)

J. Initial Recipient for Electronic Reporting Data
The owner, operator, or the duly authorized representative is required to electronically submit NPDES information specified in appendix A to 40 C.F.R. part 127 to the initial recipient defined in 40 C.F.R. section 127.2(b). U.S. EPA will identify and publish the list of initial recipients on its website and in the Federal Register, by state and by NPDES data group [see 40 C.F.R. section 127.2(c)]. U.S. EPA will update and maintain this listing. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(9).)

VI. STANDARD PROVISIONS – ENFORCEMENT
The Regional Water Board is authorized to enforce the terms of this permit under several provisions of the Water Code, including, but not limited to, sections 13385, 13386, and 13387.

VII. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS – NOTIFICATION LEVELS
A. Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)
All POTWs shall provide adequate notice to the Regional Water Board of the following (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(b)):

1. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger that would be subject to sections 301 or 306 of the CWA if it were directly discharging those pollutants (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(b)(1)); and

2. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of adoption of this Order (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(b)(2)).

3. Adequate notice shall include information on the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW as well as any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW. (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(b)(3)).
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ATTACHMENT E – MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (MRP)

The Code of Federal Regulations (40 C.F.R. § 122.48) requires that all NPDES permits specify monitoring and reporting requirements. California Water Code section 13383 also authorizes the Regional Water Board to require technical and monitoring reports. This MRP establishes monitoring and reporting requirements that implement federal and California regulations.

I. GENERAL MONITORING PROVISIONS

A. Wastewater Monitoring Provision. Composite samples may be taken by a proportional sampling device approved by the Executive Officer or by grab samples composited in proportion to flow. In compositing grab samples, the sampling interval shall not exceed 1 hour.

B. Supplemental Monitoring Provision. If the Permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, using test procedures approved by 40 C.F.R. part 136 or as specified in this Order, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the monthly and annual discharge monitoring reports.

C. Data Quality Assurance Provision. Laboratories analyzing monitoring samples shall be certified by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board), DDW in accordance with the provisions of Water Code section 13176, and must include quality assurance / quality control data with their analytical reports.

D. Instrumental and Calibration Provision. All monitoring instruments and devices used by the Permittee to fulfill the prescribed monitoring program shall be properly maintained and calibrated as necessary to ensure their continued accuracy. All flow measurement devices shall be calibrated no less than the manufacturer's recommended intervals or one year intervals, (whichever comes first) to ensure continued accuracy of the devices.

E. Minimum Levels (ML) and Reporting Levels (RL). Unless otherwise specified by this MRP, all monitoring shall be conducted according to test procedures established at 40 C.F.R. 136, Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for Analysis of Pollutants. All analyses shall be conducted using the lowest practical quantitation limit achievable using U.S. EPA approved methods. For the purposes of the NPDES program, when more than one test procedure is approved under 40 C.F.R., part 136 for the analysis of a pollutant or pollutant parameter, the test procedure must be sufficiently sensitive as defined at 40 C.F.R. 122.21(3) and 122.44(i)(1)(iv). Where effluent limitations are set below the lowest achievable quantitation limits, pollutants not detected at the lowest practical quantitation limits will be considered in compliance with effluent limitations. Analysis for toxics listed by the California Toxics Rule (CTR) shall also adhere to guidance and requirements contain in the Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (2005) (SIP). However, there may be situations when analytical methods are published with MLs that are more sensitive than the MLs for analytical methods listed in the SIP. For instance, U.S. EPA Method 1631E for mercury is not currently listed in SIP Appendix 4, but it is published with an ML of 0.5 ng/L that makes it a sufficiently sensitive analytical method. Similarly, U.S. EPA Method 245.7 for mercury is published with an ML of 5 ng/L.
### F. Discharge Monitoring Report Quality Assurance (DMR-QA) Study

The Permittee shall ensure that the results of the DMR-QA Study or the most recent Water Pollution Performance Evaluation Study are submitted annually to the State Water Board at the following address:

State Water Resources Control Board  
Quality Assurance Program Officer  
Office of Information Management and Analysis  
1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

### II. MONITORING LOCATIONS

The Permittee shall establish the following monitoring locations to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitations, discharge specifications, and other requirements in this Order:

**Table E-1 Monitoring Station Locations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge Point Name</th>
<th>Monitoring Location Name</th>
<th>Monitoring Location Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>INF-001</td>
<td>Influent at the headworks of the wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) prior to treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>INT-001</td>
<td>Location for monitoring effluent from the chlorine contact chamber prior to dechlorination for purposes of measuring chlorine residual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>EFF-001&lt;sup&gt;1,2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Location for monitoring effluent from the chlorine contact chamber following dechlorination and prior to discharge to the Mad River.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>LND-001&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Location for monitoring effluent from the chlorine contact chamber prior to discharge to the Mad River percolation ponds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003, 004, 005, and 006</td>
<td>REC-001&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Location for monitoring treated effluent from the chlorine contact chamber prior to water recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>RSW-001</td>
<td>In the Mad River at the Highway 101 Bridge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>RSW-002</td>
<td>The North Bank of the Mad River as close as possible to Discharge Point 001 under the Hammond Trail bridge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>GW-001</td>
<td>Well M-1, adjacent to Fisher Road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>GW-002</td>
<td>Well M-2, on the southwest corner of the intersection of School and Fisher Roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>GW-006</td>
<td>Well M-6, south of W-9 and west of W-7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>GW-007</td>
<td>Well M-7, in the upper portion of the Fisher parcel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>GW-009</td>
<td>Well M-9, adjacent to School Road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>GW-019</td>
<td>Well within the West Pialorsi Ranch irrigation area (Historically GW-016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>BIO-001</td>
<td>A representative sample of the sludge or biosolids generated when removed for disposal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table Notes:**

1. Monitoring Locations EFF-001, LND-001, and REC-001 are the same location, the sampling point in the chlorine contact basin. Different discharge point names and monitoring location names have been assigned due to differences in monitoring requirements at Discharge Points 001 (discharge to the Mad River following dechlorination), 002 (discharge to the percolation ponds), and 003 through 006 (discharge to the water recycling system).
2. Effluent flow shall be measured at the point of discharge to the Mad River under the Hammond Trail railroad bridge.
III. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. The Permittee shall monitor influent to the Facility at Monitoring Location INF-001 as follows:

Table E-2. Influent Monitoring – Monitoring Location INF-001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Influent Flow¹</td>
<td>mgd</td>
<td>Meter</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day @ 20°C (BOD₅)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Standard Methods²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Standard Methods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Notes:
1. Each quarter, the Permittee shall report average daily and average monthly flows.
2. Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the North Coast Regional Water Board or State Water Board.

IV. EFFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Monitoring Location EFF-001

1. During periods of surface water discharge, the Permittee shall monitor effluent to be discharged to the Mad River at Monitoring Location EFF-001 as follows:

Table E-3. Effluent Monitoring – Monitoring Location EFF-001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effluent Flow¹</td>
<td>Mgd</td>
<td>Meter</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution Rate</td>
<td>% of stream flow</td>
<td>Calculation</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day @ 20°C (BOD₅)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
<td>Weekly²</td>
<td>--³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
<td>Weekly²</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>s.u.</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Daily⁴</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>ml/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly²</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Daily⁵</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliform Bacteria</td>
<td>MPN/100 mL</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly²</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Residual Chlorine</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Daily⁴</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness, Total (as CaCO₃)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia Nitrogen, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly⁶</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia Impact Ratio¹⁴</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Calculation</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate Nitrogen, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus, Total (as P)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly⁶</td>
<td>GCMS (ML 5 µg/L)⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly⁶</td>
<td>GC (ML 0.5 µg/L)⁷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Monitoring and Reporting Program E-6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>GC (ML 0.5 µg/L) GCMS (ML 2 µg/L)²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorodibromomethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>GC (ML 0.5 µg/L)²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobromomethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>GC (ML 0.5 µg/L)²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>GC (ML 0.5 µg/L)²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR Priority Pollutants³⁴</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>24-hour composite⁹</td>
<td>Once Per Permit Term¹⁰</td>
<td>--¹¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Toxicity¹²</td>
<td>% Survival, Pass or Fail, and % Effect</td>
<td>24-hour composite</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>See Section V below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Toxicity¹²</td>
<td>Pass or Fail and % Effect</td>
<td>24-hour composite</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>See Section V below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Notes:

1. Each quarter, the Permittee shall report the daily average and monthly average flows.
2. Accelerated Monitoring (weekly monitoring frequency). If two consecutive weekly test results exceed an effluent limitation, the Permittee shall take two samples each of the two weeks following receipt of the second sample result. During the intervening period, the Permittee shall take steps to identify the cause of the exceedance and take steps to return to compliance.
3. Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the North Coast Regional Water Board or State Water Board.
4. Accelerated Monitoring (daily monitoring frequency). If a test result exceeds an effluent limitation, the Permittee shall increase monitoring frequency to a minimum of twice a day for 24 hours to evaluate whether the exceedance is persisting. If two or more samples in a week exceed an effluent limitation, the Permittee shall take steps to identify the cause of the exceedance and take steps needed to return to compliance.
5. pH and temperature monitoring must coincide with monthly monitoring for ammonia.
6. Accelerated Monitoring (monthly monitoring frequency). If a test result exceeds an effluent limitation the Permittee shall take two more samples, one within 14 days and one within 21 days following receipt of the initial sample result. During the intervening period, the Permittee shall take steps to identify the cause of the exceedance and take steps needed to return to compliance.
7. GC = Gas Chromatography
   GCMS = Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry
8. Those pollutants identified by the California Toxics Rule at 40 C.F.R. section 131.38. The Permittee is not required to sample and analyze for asbestos. Hardness shall be monitored concurrently with the priority pollutant sample.
9. CTR pollutant samples shall be collected using 24-hour composite sampling except for pollutants that are volatile. Samples for volatile pollutants may be collected as grab samples.
10. CTR priority pollutant annual sampling shall be completed no later than May 15, 2022, during a period of discharge to the Mad River. If receiving water monitoring for CTR pollutants has not been completed, receiving water monitoring shall occur concurrently.
11. The Permittee shall make an effort to collect the annual samples in a month not previously sampled.
12. Analytical methods must achieve the lowest minimum level (ML) specified in Appendix 4 of the SIP and, in accordance with section 2.4 of the SIP, the Permittee shall report the ML and MDL for each sample result.
13. Whole effluent acute and chronic toxicity shall be monitored in accordance with the requirements of section V of this Monitoring and Reporting Program.
14. Monitoring for pH and Temperature at RSW-001 must be conducted concurrently with the ammonia effluent sample in order for the AMEL and MDEL AIR to be calculated properly. The AIR shall be calculated using the pH and temperature sample taken on the same day as the ammonia sample.
V. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING REQUIREMENTS

A. Acute Toxicity Testing

The Permittee shall conduct acute whole effluent toxicity testing (WET) in accordance with the following acute toxicity testing requirements.

1. Test Frequency. The Permittee shall conduct acute WET testing in accordance with the schedule established by this MRP while discharging at Discharge Point 001, as summarized in Table E-3, above.

2. Discharge In-stream Waste Concentration (IWC) for Acute Toxicity. The IWC for this discharge is 100 percent effluent.

3. Sample Volume and Holding Time. The total sample volume shall be determined by the specific toxicity test method used. Sufficient sample volume shall be collected to perform the required toxicity test. All toxicity tests shall be conducted as soon as possible following sample collection. No more than 36 hours shall elapse before the conclusion of sample collection and test initiation.

4. Freshwater Test Species and Test Methods. The Permittee shall conduct the following acute toxicity tests in accordance with species and test methods in Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms (U.S. EPA Report No. EPA-821-R-02-012, 5th edition or subsequent editions). In no case shall these species be substituted with another test species unless written authorization from the Executive Officer is received.

   a. A 96-hour static renewal toxicity test with an invertebrate, the water flea, Ceriodaphnia dubia (Survival Test Method 2002.0).

   b. A 96-hour static renewal toxicity test with a vertebrate, the rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss (Survival Test Method 2019.0).

5. Species Sensitivity Screening. Species sensitivity screening shall be conducted during this permit's first required sample collection. The Permittee shall collect a single effluent sample and concurrently conduct two acute toxicity tests using the invertebrate and fish species identified in section V.A.4, above. This sample shall also be analyzed for the parameters required for the discharge. The species that exhibits the highest “Percent (%) Effect” at the discharge IWC during species sensitivity screening shall be used for routine acute toxicity monitoring during the permit term.

6. Quality Assurance and Additional Requirements. Quality assurance measures, instructions, and other recommendations and requirements are found in the test methods manual referenced in section V.A.4, above. Additional requirements are specified below.

   a. The discharge is subject to determination of "Pass" or "Fail" and "Percent (%) Effect" from acute toxicity tests using the Test of Significant Toxicity (TST) approach described in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Test of Significant Toxicity Implementation Document (EPA 833-R10-003, 2010), Appendix A, Figure A-1, and Table A-1. The null hypothesis (H₀) for the TST approach is: Mean discharge IWC

---

1 The acute toxicity test shall be conducted using 100 percent effluent collected at Monitoring Location EFF-001.
response \(0.80 \times \text{Mean control response}\). A test result that rejects this null hypothesis is reported as "Pass". A test result that does not reject this null hypothesis is reported as "Fail". The relative "Percent (%) Effect" at the discharge IWC is defined and reported as:
\[
\frac{\text{((Mean control response - Mean discharge IWC response) ÷ Mean control response))} \times 100.
\]

b. If the effluent toxicity test does not meet the minimum effluent test acceptability criteria (TAC) specified in the referenced test method, then the Permittee shall re-sample and re-test within 7 days.

c. Dilution water and control water shall be laboratory water prepared and used as specified in the test methods manual. If dilution water and control water is different from test organism culture water, then a second control using culture water shall also be used.

d. Test procedures related to pH control, sample filtration, aeration, temperature control and sample dechlorination shall be performed in accordance with the U.S. EPA method and fully explained and justified in each acute toxicity report submitted to the Regional Water Board. The control of pH in acute toxicity tests is allowed, provided the test pH is maintained at the effluent pH measured at the time of sample collection, and the control of pH is done in a manner that has the least influence on the test water chemistry and on the toxicity of other pH sensitive materials such as some heavy metals, sulfide and cyanide.

e. **Ammonia Toxicity.** The acute toxicity test shall be conducted without modifications to eliminate ammonia toxicity.

7. **Notification.** The Permittee shall notify the Regional Water Board verbally within 72 hours and in writing 14 days after receipt of test results exceeding the acute toxicity effluent limitation during regular or accelerated monitoring. The notification shall describe actions the Permittee has taken or will take to investigate and correct the cause(s) of toxicity. It may also include a status report on any actions required by this Order, with a schedule for actions not yet completed. If no actions have been taken, the reasons shall be given.

8. **Accelerated Monitoring Requirements.** If the result of any acute toxicity test fails to meet the single test minimum limitation (70 percent survival), and the testing meets all TAC, the Permittee shall take two more samples, one within 14 days and one within 21 days following receipt of the initial sample result. If any one of the additional samples do not comply with the three sample median minimum limitation (90 percent survival), the Permittee shall initiate a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) in accordance with section V.C of the MRP. If the two additional samples are in compliance with the acute toxicity requirement and testing meets all TAC, then a TRE will not be required. If the discharge stops before additional samples can be collected, the Permittee shall contact the Executive Officer within 21 days with a plan to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitation.

9. **Reporting.** The Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) shall include a full laboratory report for each toxicity test (WET report). The Wet report shall be prepared using the format and content of section 12 (Report Preparation) of *Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms* (U.S. EPA Report No. EPA-821-R-02-012, 5th edition or subsequent editions), including:
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B. Chronic Toxicity Testing

The Permittee shall conduct chronic toxicity testing in accordance with the following chronic toxicity testing requirements:

1. Test Frequency. The Permittee shall conduct chronic toxicity testing in accordance with the schedule established by this MRP while discharging at Discharge Point 001, as summarized in Table E-3, above.

2. Discharge In-stream Waste Concentration (IWC) for Chronic Toxicity. The chronic toxicity IWC for this discharge is 100 percent effluent. The chronic toxicity test shall be conducted using a series of five dilutions and a control. The series shall consist of the following dilutions: 12.5, 25, 50, 75, and 100 percent. Compliance determination will be based on the IWC (100 percent effluent) and a control as further described in Fact Sheet section IV.C.5.c.

3. Sample Volume and Holding Time. The total sample volume shall be determined by the specific toxicity test method used. Sufficient sample volume shall be collected to perform the required toxicity test. All toxicity tests shall be conducted as soon as possible following sample collection. For toxicity tests requiring renewals, a minimum of three 24-hour composite samples shall be collected. The lapsed time (holding time) from sample collection to first use of each sample must not exceed 36 hours.

4. Freshwater Test Species and Test Methods. The Permittee shall conduct the following chronic toxicity tests in accordance with species and test methods in Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Water to Freshwater Organisms (U.S. EPA Report No. EPA-821-R-02-013, or subsequent editions). In no case shall these species be substituted with another test species unless written authorization from the Executive Officer is received.

   a. A 7-day static renewal toxicity test with a vertebrate, the fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (Larval Survival and Growth Test Method 1000.0).

   b. A static renewal toxicity test with an invertebrate, the water flea, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (Survival and Reproduction Test Method 1002.0).

   c. A 96-hour static non-renewal toxicity test with a plant, the green algae, *Selenastrum capricornutum* (also named *Raphidocelis subcapitata*) (Growth Test Method 1003.0).

5. Species Sensitivity Screening. Species sensitivity screening shall be conducted during this permit’s first required sample collection. The Permittee shall collect a single effluent sample
and concurrently conduct three chronic toxicity tests using the fish, the invertebrate, and the algae species identified in section V.B.4, above. This sample shall also be analyzed for the parameters required for the discharge. The species that exhibits the highest “Percent (%) Effect” at the discharge IWC during species sensitivity screening shall be used for routine monitoring during the permit term.

6. **Quality Assurance and Additional Requirements.** Quality assurance measures, instructions, and other recommendations and requirements are found in the test methods manual previously referenced. Additional requirements are specified below.

   a. The discharge is subject to determination of “Pass” or “Fail” and “Percent (%) Effect” for chronic toxicity tests using the TST approach described in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Test of Significant Toxicity Implementation Document (EPA 833-R10-003, 2010), Appendix A, Figure A-1, and Table A-1. The null hypothesis ($H_0$) for the TST approach is: Mean discharge IWC response = 0.75 × Mean control response. A test result that rejects this null hypothesis is reported as “Pass”. A test result that does not reject this null hypothesis is reported as “Fail”. The relative “Percent (%) Effect” at the discharge IWC is defined and reported as: \(\left(\frac{\text{Mean control response} - \text{Mean discharge IWC response}}{\text{Mean control response}}\right) \times 100\). The IWC for the chronic toxicity test is 100 percent effluent.

   b. If the effluent toxicity test does not meet the minimum effluent or reference toxicant TAC specified in the referenced test method, then the Permittee shall re-sample and re-test within 14 days.

   c. Dilution water and control water shall be laboratory water prepared and used as specified in the test methods manual. If dilution water and control water is different from test organism culture water, then a second control using culture water shall also be used.

   d. Monthly reference toxicant testing is sufficient. All reference toxicant test results should be reviewed and reported.

   e. The Permittee shall perform toxicity tests on final effluent samples. Chlorine and ammonia shall not be removed from the effluent sample prior to toxicity testing, unless explicitly authorized under this section of the MRP and the rationale is explained in the Fact Sheet (Attachment F).

   f. **Ammonia Removal.** Except with prior approval from the Executive Officer of the Regional Water Board, ammonia shall not be removed from bioassay samples. The Permittee must demonstrate the effluent toxicity is caused by ammonia because of increasing test pH when conducting the toxicity test. It is important to distinguish the potential toxic effects of ammonia from other pH sensitive chemicals, such as certain heavy metals, sulfide, and cyanide. The following may be steps to demonstrate that the toxicity is caused by ammonia and not other toxicants before the Executive Officer would allow for control of pH in the test.

   i. There is consistent toxicity in the effluent and the maximum pH in the toxicity test is in the range to cause toxicity due to increased pH.
ii. Chronic ammonia concentrations in the effluent are greater than 4 mg/L total ammonia.

iii. Conduct graduated pH tests as specified in the toxicity identification evaluation methods. For example, mortality should be higher at pH 8 and lower at pH 6.

iv. Treat the effluent with a zeolite column to remove ammonia. Mortality in the zeolite treated effluent should be lower than the non-zeolite treated effluent. Then add ammonia back to the zeolite-treated samples to confirm toxicity due to ammonia.

When it has been demonstrated that toxicity is due to ammonia because of increasing test pH, pH may be controlled using appropriate procedures which do not significantly alter the nature of the effluent.

7. Notification. The Permittee shall notify the Regional Water Board verbally within 72 hours and in writing within 14 days after the receipt of a result of “Fail” during routine or accelerated monitoring.

8. Accelerated Monitoring Requirements. Accelerated monitoring for chronic toxicity is triggered when a chronic toxicity test, analyzed using the TST approach, results in “Fail” and the “Percent Effect” is ≥0.50. Within 24 hours of the time the Permittee becomes aware of a summary result of “Fail”, the Permittee shall implement an accelerated monitoring schedule consisting of four toxicity tests—consisting of 5-effluent concentrations (including the discharge IWC) and a control—conducted at approximately 2 week intervals, over an 8 week period. If each of the accelerated toxicity tests results is “Pass,” the Permittee shall return to routine monitoring for the next monitoring period. If one of the accelerated toxicity tests results is “Fail”, the Permittee shall immediately implement the TRE Process conditions set forth in section V.C, below.

9. Reporting

a. Routine Reporting. Chronic toxicity monitoring results shall be submitted with the monthly SMR for the month that chronic toxicity monitoring was performed. Routine reporting shall include the following in order to demonstrate compliance with permit requirements:

i. WET test reports shall include the contracting laboratory's complete report provided to the Permittee and shall be in accordance with the appropriate “Report Preparation and Test Review” sections of the methods manual and this MRP. The WET test reports shall contain a narrative report that includes details about WET test procedures and results, including the following:

(a) Receipt and handling of the effluent sample that includes a tabular summary of initial water quality characteristics (e.g., pH, dissolved oxygen, temperature, conductivity, hardness, salinity, chlorine, ammonia);

(b) The source and make-up of the lab control/diluent water used for the test;

(c) Any manipulations done to lab control/diluent and effluent such as filtration, nutrient addition, etc.;
(d) Tabular summary of test results for control water and each effluent dilution and statistics summary to include calculation of the NOEC, TUc, and IC25;

(e) The toxicity test results for the TST approach, reported as “Pass” or “Fail” and “Percent (%) Effect” at the chronic toxicity IWC for the discharge.

(f) Identification of any anomalies or nuances in the test procedures or results;

(g) WET test results shall include, at a minimum, for each test:

   (1) Sample date(s);
   (2) Test initiation date;
   (3) Test species;
   (4) Determination of “Pass” or “Fail” and “Percent Effect” following the Test of Significant Toxicity hypothesis testing approach in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Test of Significant Toxicity Implementation Document (EPA 833-R-10-003, 2010). The “Percent Effect” shall be calculated as follows:
   
   \[
   \text{“Percent Effect” (or Effect, in \%) = (\left(\frac{\text{Control mean response} - \text{IWC mean response}}{\text{Control mean response}}\right) \times 100}
   \]
   
   (5) End point values for each dilution (e.g., number of young, growth rate, percent survival);
   (6) NOEC value(s) in percent effluent;
   (7) IC15, IC25, IC40, and IC50 values (or EC15, EC25...etc.) in percent effluent;
   (8) TUc values (100/NOEC);
   (9) Mean percent mortality (±s.d.) after 96 hours in 100 percent effluent (if applicable);
   (10) NOEC and LOEC values for reference toxicant test(s);
   (11) IC50 or EC50 value(s) for reference toxicant test(s);
   (12) Available water quality measurements for each test (e.g., pH, DO, temperature, conductivity, hardness, salinity, ammonia);
   (13) Statistical methods used to calculate endpoints;
   (14) The statistical program (e.g., TST calculator, CETIS, etc.) output results, which includes the calculation of percent minimum significant difference (PMSD); and
   (15) Results of applicable reference toxicant data with the statistical output page identifying the species, NOEC, LOEC, type of toxicant, dilution water used, concentrations used, PMSD and dates tested; the reference toxicant control charts for each endpoint, to include summaries of reference toxicant tests performed by the contracting laboratory; and
any information on deviations from standard test procedures or problems encountered in completing the test and how the problems were resolved.

b. **TRE/TIE results.** The Executive Officer shall be notified no later than 30 days from completion of each aspect of TRE/TIE analyses. TRE/TIE results shall be submitted to the Regional Water Board within 60 days of completion.

C. **Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Process**

1. **TRE Work Plan.** The Permittee submitted a TRE Work Plan to the Regional Water Board in September 2, 2009. The Permittee shall review the TRE Work Plan by **July 1, 2019** and update the Work Plan as necessary in order to remain current with permit requirements and applicable to the discharge and discharge facilities. The Permittee’s TRE Work Plan shall be reviewed once every five years.

The Permittee shall notify the Regional Water Board of this review and submit any revisions of the TRE Work Plan within 90 days of the notification, to be ready to respond to toxicity events. The TRE Work Plan shall describe the steps the Permittee intends to follow if toxicity is detected, and should include at least the following items:

a. A description of the investigation and evaluation techniques that would be used to identify potential causes and sources of toxicity, effluent variability, and treatment system efficiency.

b. A description of the facility’s methods of maximizing in-house treatment efficiency, good housekeeping practices, and a list of all chemicals used in the operation of this Facility.

c. If a toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) is necessary, an indication of the person who would conduct the TIEs (i.e., an in-house expert or an outside contractor).

2. **Preparation and Implementation of a Detailed TRE Work Plan.** If one of the accelerated toxicity tests described in section V.A.8, above, does not comply with the three sample median minimum limitation (90 percent survival) or in section V.B.8 (above) results in “Fail”, the Permittee shall immediately initiate a TRE using EPA manual *Generalized Methodology for Conducting Industrial Toxicity Reduction Evaluations* (EPA/600/2-88/070, 1989) and within 30 days of receipt submit the accelerated monitoring result to the Regional Water Board Executive Officer a Detailed TRE Work Plan, which shall follow the generic TRE Work Plan revised as appropriate for the toxicity event described in section V.A.8 or V.B.8 of this MRP. The Detailed TRE Work Plan shall include the following information, and comply with additional conditions set by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer:

a. Further actions by the Permittee to investigate, identify, and correct causes of toxicity.

b. Actions the Permittee will take to mitigate effects of the discharge and prevent the recurrence of toxicity.

c. A schedule for these actions, progress reports, and the final report.

3. **TIE Implementation.** The Permittee may initiate a TIE as part of a TRE to identify the causes of toxicity using the same species and test methods and, as guidance, EPA manuals:

4. Many recommended TRE elements parallel required or recommended efforts for source control, pollution prevention, and storm water control programs. TRE efforts should be coordinated with such efforts. As toxic substances are identified or characterized, the Permittee shall continue the TRE by determining the sources and evaluating alternative strategies for reducing or eliminating the substances from the discharge. All reasonable steps shall be taken to reduce toxicity to levels consistent with toxicity evaluation parameters.

5. The Permittee shall conduct routine effluent monitoring for the duration of the TRE process. Additional accelerated monitoring and TRE work plans are not required once a TRE has begun.

6. The Regional Water Board recognizes that toxicity may be episodic and identification of the causes and reduction of sources of toxicity may not be successful in all cases. The TRE may be ended at any stage, pending Regional Water Board Executive Officer approval, if monitoring finds there is no longer toxicity.

VI. LAND DISCHARGE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Monitoring Location LND-001

1. The Permittee shall monitor treated effluent prior to discharge to the percolation ponds at Monitoring Location LND-001, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effluent Flow^1</td>
<td>mgd</td>
<td>Meter</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day @ 20°C (BOD₅)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Standard Methods^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Standard Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>s.u.</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Standard Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliform Bacteria</td>
<td>MPN/100 mL</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Standard Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Standard Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate Nitrogen, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Standard Methods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table Notes:
1. Each month, the Permittee shall report the daily average and monthly average flows.
2. In accordance with the current edition of Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (American Public Health Administration) or current test procedures specified in 40 C.F.R. part 136.
3. The Permittee wants to rehabilitate the percolation ponds into Coho habitat and plan to decommission the ponds by 2021. Upon verification that the Permittee will move forward with the restoration project, and no further discharge to LND-001 will occur, the Permittee may cease monitoring as required in Table E-4.

VII. RECYCLING MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Monitoring Location REC-001

1. The Permittee shall monitor treated, disinfected wastewater that will be recycled prior to discharge to the water recycling system at Monitoring Location REC-001 as follows:

Table E-5 Recycled Water Monitoring – Monitoring Location REC-001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effluent Flow</td>
<td>mgd</td>
<td>Meter</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day @ 20°C (BOD₅)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>-²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24-hr Composite</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>s.u.</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliform Bacteria</td>
<td>MPN/100 mL</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia Nitrogen, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate Nitrogen, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite Nitrogen, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Nitrogen (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Observations</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Effluent flow includes only the volume of wastewater that will be treated and disinfected prior to discharge to the water recycling system.
² Multiple samples at the same time.
³ Weekly samples must be taken on consecutive days.
⁴ Visual observations include the inspection of the treated wastewater for color, odor, and any unusual changes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Table Notes:**
1. Each month, the Permittee shall report the daily average and monthly average flows.
2. In accordance with the current edition of *Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater* (American Public Health Administration) or current test procedures specified in 40 C.F.R. part 136.
3. If animals that produce milk for human consumption are allowed to graze in the pasture areas where recycled water is applied for reuse, coliform sampling shall be increased to a minimum of daily sampling.
4. During periods of discharge to the recycling system, visual observations shall be conducted at least monthly for agronomic applications to verify compliance with recycled water requirements in Order section IV.C, Recycling Specifications and Requirements. The inspection frequency shall be increased for use sites with a history of non-compliance with water recycling requirements established in this Order. Visual monitoring shall confirm proper operations of the recycled water system and associated BMPs. The Permittee shall include a record of any malfunctions or findings of improper operations, including but not limited to odors, evidence of surface runoff, or ponding that exceeds 24 hours. Visual observations may be performed by the recycled water users in accordance with the Permittee’s user agreements. The quarterly recycled water report shall include the daily volume of treated wastewater discharged to the irrigation system and any observations indicating non-compliance with the provisions of the recycling requirements.

2. The Permittee shall comply with Water Recycling Specifications and Requirements contained in section IV.C of this Order.

**B. Recycled Water Production and Use**

1. Recycled water quality characteristics and precipitation data shall be used to ascertain nitrogen loading rates at each recycled water use site. The following information shall be reported for each use site or use site type.

2. Reporting of the required monitoring shall be provided annually in the Annual Recycled Water Report to the Regional Water Board and annually to recycled water users.

**Table E-6 Recycled Water Production and Use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume of Recycled Water(^{1,2})</td>
<td>acre-feet</td>
<td>Meter</td>
<td>Monthly(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Area of Application</td>
<td>acres</td>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Nitrogen Application Rate(^{4,5})</td>
<td>lbs/acre-month</td>
<td>Calculation</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table Notes:**
1. Estimation of the volume of the recycled water shall not include other potable or non-potable “make-up” water used in conjunction with recycled water.
2. May be estimated based on daily percentage of recycled water supplied via a non-potable water supply system.
3. May be based on available data (e.g., meters read every other month or quarterly).
4. Nitrogen application rate shall consider nutrients contained in the recycled water, based on analytical data obtained by the Permittee.
5. Nitrogen concentrations shall be calculated and reported “as N”. For example, nitrate-nitrogen = 27 mg/L as NO\(_3\) shall be converted and reported as nitrate-nitrogen = 6 mg/L as N.

**VIII. RECEIVING WATER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS – SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER**

**A. Monitoring Location RSW-001**

1. The Permittee shall monitor the Mad River at Monitoring Location RSW-001 during periods of discharge as follows:
Table E-7. Receiving Water Monitoring – Monitoring Location RSW-001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow(^2)</td>
<td>mgd</td>
<td>Meter</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>s.u.</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly(^3)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C</td>
<td>µmhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness, Total (as CaCO(_3))</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly(^3)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia Nitrogen, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR Priority Pollutants(^4,5)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Once per permit term</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Notes:
1. Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the North Coast Regional Water Board or State Water Board.
2. The flow rate shall be measured at United State Geological Survey (USGS) Gauge No. 11-4810.00 in the Mad River at the Highway 299 overpass.
3. pH and temperature monitoring must coincide with monthly effluent monitoring for ammonia.
4. Those pollutants identified by the California Toxics Rule at 40 C.F.R. section 131.38. The Permittee is not required to sample and analyze for asbestos. Hardness shall be monitored concurrently with the priority pollutant sample. Monitoring shall occur simultaneously with effluent monitoring for CTR priority pollutants required by section IV.A of this MRP.
5. Analytical methods must achieve the lowest minimum level (ML) specified in Appendix 4 of the SIP and, in accordance with section 2.4 of the SIP, the Permittee shall report the ML and MDL for each sample result.

B. Monitoring Location RSW-002

1. The Permittee shall monitor the Mad River at Monitoring Location RSW-002 during periods of discharge as follows:

Table E-8. Receiving Water Monitoring – Monitoring Location RSW-002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>s.u.</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly(^2)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity at 25°C</td>
<td>µmhos/cm</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness, Total (as CaCO(_3))</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Weekly(^2)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Sample Type</td>
<td>Minimum Sampling Frequency</td>
<td>Required Analytical Test Method¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia Nitrogen, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table Notes:**
1. Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the North Coast Regional Water Board or State Water Board.
2. pH and temperature monitoring must coincide with monthly effluent monitoring for ammonia.

### C. Groundwater Monitoring Locations GW-001, GW-002, GW-006, GW-007, GW-009, and GW-019

1. The Permittee shall monitor groundwater at Monitoring Locations GW-001, GW-002, GW-006, GW-007, GW-009, and GW-019 as follows:

**Table E-9. Groundwater Monitoring – Monitoring Locations GW-001, GW-002, GW-006, GW-007, GW-009, and GW-019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate Nitrogen, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Elevation²</td>
<td>Inches</td>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Measurement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table Notes:**
1. Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136 or by methods approved by the North Coast Regional Water Board or State Water Board.
2. Groundwater Elevation shall be referenced to mean sea level as the datum.

### IX. OTHER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

#### A. Monitoring Location INT-001

1. The Permittee shall monitor the discharge from the chlorine contact chamber prior to dechlorination at Monitoring Location INT-001 as follows:

**Table E-10. Internal Effluent Monitoring – Monitoring Location INT-001**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Minimum Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Required Analytical Test Method¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine, Total Residual</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>Meter</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Standard Methods¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table Notes:**
1. In accordance with the current edition of Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (American Public Health Administration) or current test procedures specified in 40 C.F.R. part 136.

#### B. Visual Monitoring (Monitoring Locations EFF-001, LND-001, RSW-001, and RSW-002)

1. Visual observations of the discharge and the receiving water shall be recorded monthly and on the first day of each intermittent discharge. Visual monitoring shall include, but not be limited to, observations for floating materials, coloration, objectionable aquatic growths, oil
and grease films, and odors. Visual observations shall be recorded and included in the Permittee’s quarterly SMRs.

C. Sludge Monitoring (Monitoring Location BIO-001)

1. Sludge sampling shall be conducted according to the requirements specified by the location and type of disposal activities undertaken.

2. Sampling records shall be retained for a minimum of 5 years. A log shall be maintained for sludge quantities generated and of handling and disposal activities. The frequency of entries is discretionary, however, the log must be complete enough to serve as a basis for developing the Sludge Handling and Disposal report that is required as part of the Annual Report.

X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements

1. The Permittee shall comply with all Standard Provisions (Attachment D) related to monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping.

B. Self-Monitoring Reports (SMRs)

1. The Permittee shall submit electronic Self-Monitoring Reports (eSMRs) using the State Water Board’s California Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program Web site (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ciwqs/index.html). The CIWQS Web site will provide additional directions for SMR submittal in the event there will be a planned service interruption for electronic submittal. The Permittee shall maintain sufficient staffing and resources to ensure it submits eSMRs that are complete and timely. This includes provision of training and supervision of individuals (e.g., Permittee personnel or consultant) on how to prepare and submit eSMRs.

2. The Permittee shall report in the SMR the results for all monitoring specified in this MRP under sections III through IX. The Permittee shall submit monthly SMRs including the results of all required monitoring using U.S. EPA approved test methods or other test methods specified in this Order. SMRs are to include all new monitoring results obtained since the last SMR was submitted. If the Permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculations and reporting of the data submitted in the SMR.

3. All monitoring results reported shall be supported by the inclusion of the complete analytical report from the laboratory that conducted the analyses.

4. Monitoring periods and reporting for all required monitoring shall be completed according to the following schedule:
Table E-11. Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Frequency</th>
<th>Monitoring Period Begins On…</th>
<th>Monitoring Period</th>
<th>SMR Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Permit effective date</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>First day of second calendar month following the end of each quarter (February 1, May 1, August 1, November 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Permit effective date</td>
<td>(Midnight through 11:59 PM) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling.</td>
<td>First day of second calendar month following the end of each quarter (February 1, May 1, August 1, November 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Sunday following permit effective date or on permit effective date if on a Sunday</td>
<td>Sunday through Saturday</td>
<td>First day of second calendar month following the end of each quarter (February 1, May 1, August 1, November 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>First day of calendar month following permit effective date or on permit effective date if that date is first day of the month</td>
<td>First day of calendar month through last day of calendar month</td>
<td>First day of second calendar month following the end of each quarter (February 1, May 1, August 1, November 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Closest of January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1 following (or on) permit effective date</td>
<td>January through March April through June July through September October through December</td>
<td>First day of second calendar month following the end of each quarter (February 1, May 1, August 1, November 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semianually</td>
<td>Closest of January 1 or July 1 following (or on) permit effective date</td>
<td>January through June July through December</td>
<td>September 1, each year March 1, each year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>January 1 following (or on) permit effective date</td>
<td>January 1 through December</td>
<td>March 1, each year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once per permit term</td>
<td>Permit effective date</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>March 1 following the year that monitoring is completed (with annual report) and at least 180 days prior to permit expiration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Notes:
1. Quarterly monitoring periods are as follows: January 1 through March 31; April 1 through June 30; July 1 through September 30; and October 1 through December 31.

5. Reporting Protocols. The Permittee shall report with each sample result the applicable ML, the RL, and the current Method Detection Limit (MDL), as determined by the procedure in 40 C.F.R. part 136.
The Permittee shall report the results of analytical determinations for the presence of chemical constituents in a sample using the following reporting protocols:

- **a.** Sample results greater than or equal to the reported ML shall be reported as measured by the laboratory (i.e., the measured chemical concentration in the sample).

- **b.** Sample results less than the reported ML, but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL, shall be reported as “Detected, but Not Quantified,” or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.

  For the purposes of data collection, the laboratory shall write the estimated chemical concentration next to DNQ as well as the words “Estimated Concentration” (may be shortened to “Est. Conc.”). The laboratory may, if such information is available, include numerical estimates of the data quality for the reported result. Numerical estimates of data quality may be percent accuracy (± a percentage of the reported value), numerical ranges (low to high), or any other means considered appropriate by the laboratory.

- **c.** Sample results less than the laboratory's MDL shall be reported as “Not Detected,” or ND.

- **d.** The Permittee is to instruct laboratories to establish calibration standards so that the ML value (or its equivalent if there is differential treatment of samples relative to calibration standards) is the lowest calibration standard. At no time is the Permittee to use analytical data derived from extrapolation beyond the lowest point of the calibration curve.

**6. Self-Monitoring Reports.** The Permittee shall submit SMRs in accordance with the following requirements:

- **a.** The Permittee shall arrange all reported data in a tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with interim and/or final effluent limitations. The reported data shall include calculation of all effluent limitations that require averaging, taking of a median, or other computation. The Permittee is not required to duplicate the submittal of data that is entered in a tabular format within CIWQS. When electronic submittal of data is required and CIWQS does not provide for entry into a tabular format within the system, the Permittee shall electronically submit the data in a tabular format as an attachment.

- **b.** The Permittee shall attach a cover letter to the SMR. The information contained in the cover letter shall clearly identify:
  
  - **i.** Facility name and address;
  
  - **ii.** WDID number;
  
  - **iii.** Applicable period of monitoring and reporting;
  
  - **iv.** Violations of the WDRs (identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation);
  
  - **v.** Corrective actions taken or planned; and
  
  - **vi.** The proposed time schedule for corrective actions.
c. SMRs must be submitted to the Regional Water Board, signed and certified as required by the Standard Provisions (Attachment D), to the CIWQS Program Web site (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ciwqs/index.html). In the event that an alternate method for submittal of SMRs is required, the Permittee shall submit the SMR electronically via e-mail to NorthCoast@waterboards.ca.gov or on disk (CD or DVD) in Portable Document Format (PDF) file in lieu of paper-sourced documents. The guidelines for electronic submittal of documents can be found on the Regional Water Board website at http://waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast.

C. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)

1. DMRs are U.S. EPA reporting requirements. The Permittee shall electronically certify and submit DMRs together with SMRs using Electronic Self-Monitoring Reports module eSMR 2.5 or any upgraded version. Electronic submittal of DMRs will be in addition to electronic submittal of SMRs. DMRs shall be submitted quarterly on the first day of the second calendar month following the end of each quarter (February 1, May 1, August 1, November 1). Information about electronic submittal of DMRs is available at the Discharge Monitoring Report web site at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/discharge_monitoring/.

D. Other Reports

1. Special Study Reports and Progress Reports. As specified in the Special Provisions contained in section VI of the Order, special study and progress reports shall be submitted in accordance with the following reporting requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table E-12. Reporting Requirements for Special Provisions Reports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Order Section</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Provision VI.C.2.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Provision VI.C.2.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Provision VI.C.3.a.i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Provision VI.C.3.a.ii(e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Provision VI.C.5.b.i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Provision VI.C.5.b.i.(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Provision VI.C.5.b.ii(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Provision VI.C.5.b.ii(b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attachment E – Monitoring and Reporting Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order Section</th>
<th>Special Provision Requirement</th>
<th>Reporting Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Provision VI.C.5.f</td>
<td>Adequate Capacity, Technical Report</td>
<td><strong>Within 120 days</strong> of notification that the Facility will reach capacity within 4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP General Monitoring Provision I.F</td>
<td>DMR-QA Study Report</td>
<td><strong>Annually, by March 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP Effluent Monitoring Requirement IV.A.1, Table E-3, Footnote 10 and MRP Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements VIII.A.1, Table E-7</td>
<td>CTR Priority Pollutant monitoring</td>
<td><strong>Not later than May 15, 2022</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP Effluent Monitoring Requirement V.B.9.b</td>
<td>Notification of TRE/TIE Results</td>
<td><strong>No later than 30 days</strong> from completion of each aspect of the TRE/TIE analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP Effluent Monitoring Requirement V.B.9.b</td>
<td>TRE/TIE Results</td>
<td><strong>Within 60 days</strong> of completion of TRE/TIE analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP Effluent Monitoring Requirement V.C.1</td>
<td>Revised TRE Work Plan</td>
<td><strong>July 1, 2019</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP Effluent Monitoring Requirement V.C.2</td>
<td>Detailed TRE Work Plan</td>
<td><strong>Within 30 days</strong> of an accelerated monitoring test that results in &quot;Fail&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP Reporting Requirement X.E</td>
<td>Notification of spills and unauthorized discharges</td>
<td>Oral reporting <strong>within 24 hours</strong> and written report <strong>within 5 days</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Annual Report.** The Permittee shall submit an annual report to the Regional Water Board for each calendar year through the CIWQS Program Web site. In the event that a paper copy of the annual report is required, the Permittee shall submit the report to the email address in section X.B.6.c., above. The report shall be submitted by **March 1st** of the following year. The report shall, at a minimum, include the following:

   a. Both tabular and, where appropriate, graphical summaries of the monitoring data and disposal records from the previous year. If the Permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, using test procedures approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136 or as specified in this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and report of the data submitted SMR.

   b. A comprehensive discussion of the Facility’s compliance (or lack thereof) with all effluent limitations and other WDRs, and the corrective actions taken or planned, which may be needed to bring the discharge into full compliance with the Order.

   c. The names and general responsibilities of all persons employed at the Facility;

   d. The names and telephone numbers of persons to contact regarding the Facility for emergency and routine situations; and
e. A statement certifying when the flow meter(s) and other monitoring instruments and devices were last calibrated, including identification of who performed the calibration.

f. **Source Control Activity Reporting.** The Permittee shall submit, as part of its Annual Report to the Regional Water Board, a description of the Permittee's source control activities, as required by Special Provision VI.C.5.b.i, during the past year. This annual report is due on **March 1st** of each year, and shall contain:
   
i. A copy of the source control program and/or standards, including a table presenting local limits.
   
ii. A description of the waste hauler permit system; if applicable.
   
iii. A summary of the compliance and enforcement activities, if any, taken by the Permittee during the past year, which ensures industrial user compliance. The summary shall include the names and addresses of any industrial or commercial users under surveillance by the Permittee, an explanation of whether they were inspected, sampled, or both, the frequency of these activities at each user, and the conclusions or results from the inspection or sampling of each user.
   
iv. An updated list of industrial users (by North American Industrial Classification/Standard Industrial Classification categories) which were issued permits and/or enforcement orders, and a status of compliance for each user.
   
v. The name and address of each user that received a discharge limit.
   
vi. A summary of any industrial waste survey results.
   
vii. A summary of public outreach activities to educate industrial, commercial, and residential users about the importance of preventing discharges of industrial and toxic wastes to the Facility.

---

**Sludge Handling and Disposal Activity Reporting.** The Permittee shall submit, as part of its annual report to the Regional Water Board, a description of the Permittee's solids handling, disposal and reuse activities over the previous 12 months. At a minimum, the report shall contain:

i. Annual sludge production, in dry tons and percent solids;

ii. Sludge monitoring results;

iii. A schematic diagram showing sludge handling facilities (e.g., digesters, thickeners, drying beds, etc.), if any and a solids flow diagram;

iv. Methods of final disposal of sludge:
   
   (a) For any portion of sludge discharged to a sanitary landfill, the Permittee shall provide the volume of sludge transported to the land fill, the names and locations of the facilities receiving sludge, the Regional Water Board's WDRs order number for the regulated landfill, and the landfill classification.

   (b) For any portion of sludge discharged through land application, the Permittee shall provide the volume of biosolids applied, the date and locations where biosolids were applied, the Regional Water Board's WDRs order number for
the regulated discharge, a demonstration that the discharge was conducted in compliance with applicable permits and regulations, and, if applicable, corrective actions taken or planned to bring the discharge into compliance with WDRs.

(c) For any portion of sludge further treated through composting, the Permittee shall provide a summary of the composting process, the volume of sludge composted, and a demonstration and signed certification statement that the composting process and final product met all requirements for Class A biosolids.

v. Results of internal or external third-party audits of the Biosolids Management System, including reported program deficiencies and recommendations, required corrective actions, and a schedule to complete corrective actions.

3. Water Recycling System

a. Water Recycling Operations Reporting. The Permittee shall submit reports pertaining to the operation, performance, monitoring, and other activities related to water recycling as follows:

i. Quarterly Recycled Water Report. The Permittee shall submit a quarterly recycled water report, as required by section 13523.1(b)(4) of the Water Code and other requirements of this Order, containing the following information:

(a) Name, location, and acreage of each recycled water use site;

(b) Total volume of recycled water supplied to each recycled water user and the amount of acreage irrigated for each month of the reporting period; and

(c) A summary of recycled water use site inspections conducted by the Permittee or recycled water users. The Permittee shall report:

(1) Inspection dates;

(2) All observations of recycled water over-application, such as ponding and irrigation runoff, including the length of time that such conditions existed, and measures taken to correct the cause of over-application;

(3) A summary of operational problems, irrigation equipment malfunctions and any diversion of recycled water which does not meet the requirements specified in the Order;

(4) All equipment or process failures initiating an alarm, as well as any corrective and preventative actions taken; and

(5) Documentation of notifications to users if any recycled water was delivered that did not meet the requirements specified in the Order.

ii. Annual Recycled Water Report. The annual report shall include but not be limited to the following:

(a) A compliance summary and discussion of the compliance record for the prior calendar year, including:
(1) In the event of noncompliance, the report shall also discuss the corrective actions taken and planned to bring the recycled water program into full compliance with this Order;  
(2) Certification that all reasonable BMPs and management practices were implemented to ensure efficient and compliant operation of the recycled water system; and  
(3) Identification of any other problems that occurred in the recycled water system during the prior year, including repeated occurrences of incidental runoff of which the Permittee is aware, and plans to rectify those problems in the coming year.

(b) A summary of major repairs scheduled or completed that affected the recycled water system appurtenances and irrigation areas;  
(c) Enforcement and monitoring activities that occurred during the previous year, and identification of any problems and how the problems were addressed;  
(d) If applicable, a summary of all cross-connection testing and back-flow prevention activities (inspections, maintenance) and a summary of any problems identified, or certification that no problems occurred; and  
(e) A description of agronomic rate compliance, pursuant to section VII.B of the MRP.

E. Spill Notification

1. Spills and Unauthorized Discharges. Information regarding all spills and unauthorized discharges (except SSOs) that may endanger health or the environment shall be provided orally to the Regional Water Board\(^3\) within 24 hours from the time the Permittee becomes aware of the circumstances and a written report shall also be provided within five (5) days of the time the Permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, in accordance with Section V.E of Attachment D.

Information to be provided verbally to the Regional Water Board includes:

   a. Name and contact information of caller;  
   b. Date, time, and location of spill occurrence;  
   c. Estimates of spill volume, rate of flow, and spill duration, if available and reasonably accurate;  
   d. Surface water bodies impacted, if any;  
   e. Cause of spill, if known at the time of the notification;

---

\(^3\) The contact number of the Regional Water Board during normal business hours is (707) 576-2220. After normal business hours, spill reporting to the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services Warning Center (CalOES) will satisfy the 24 hour spill reporting requirement for the Regional Water Board. The contact number for spill reporting for the CalOES is (800) 852-7550.
f. Cleanup actions taken or repairs made at the time of the notification; and

g. Responding agencies.

2. **Sanitary Sewer Overflows.** Notification and reporting of sanitary sewer overflows is conducted in accordance with the requirements of Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ (Statewide General WDRs for Sanitary Sewer Systems), which is not incorporated herein by reference, and any revisions thereto.

3. **Recycled Water Spills.** Notification and reporting of spills and unauthorized discharges of recycled water discharged in or on any waters of the state, as defined in Water Code section 13050, shall be conducted in accordance with the following:

a. **Secondary Recycled Water**
   
i. For unauthorized discharges of more than 1,000 gallons of secondary recycled water, the Permittee shall immediately notify the Regional Water Board as soon as (a) the Permittee has knowledge of the discharge or probable discharge, (b) notification is possible, and (c) notification can be provided without substantially impeding cleanup or other emergency measures.

---

4 Secondary Recycled Water means “disinfected secondary recycled water” as defined by DDW or wastewater receiving advanced treatment beyond disinfected secondary recycled water.
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ATTACHMENT F – FACT SHEET

As described in section I, the Regional Water Board incorporates this Fact Sheet as findings of the Regional Water Board supporting the issuance of this Order. This Fact Sheet includes the legal requirements and technical rationale that serve as the basis for the requirements of this Order.

This Order has been prepared under a standardized format to accommodate a broad range of discharge requirements for dischargers in California. Only those sections or subsections of this Order that are specifically identified as “not applicable” have been determined not to apply to this Permittee. Sections or subsections of this Order not specifically identified as “not applicable” are fully applicable to this Permittee.

I. PERMIT INFORMATION

The following table summarizes administrative information related to the facility.

Table F-1. Facility Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WDID</th>
<th>1B820840HUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permittee</td>
<td>McKinleyville Community Services District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Facility</td>
<td>Wastewater Management Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Address</td>
<td>675 Hiller Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>McKinleyville, CA 95519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humboldt County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Contact, Title and Phone</td>
<td>Greg Orsini, General Manager, (707) 839-3251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorized Person to Sign and Submit Reports</td>
<td>Greg Orsini, General Manager, (707) 839-3251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address</td>
<td>P.O. Box 2037, McKinleyville, CA 95519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billing Address</td>
<td>Same as Mailing Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Facility</td>
<td>Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major or Minor Facility</td>
<td>Major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat to Water Quality</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment Program</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Requirements</td>
<td>Producer and user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Permitted Flow</td>
<td>1.37 mgd (average dry weather flow capacity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.69 mgd (average wet weather flow capacity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.08 mgd (peak wet weather flow capacity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Design Flow</td>
<td>1.37 mgd (average dry weather flow capacity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.69 mgd (average wet weather flow capacity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.08 mgd (peak wet weather flow capacity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed</td>
<td>Blue Lake Hydrologic Area within the Mad River Hydrologic Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Water</td>
<td>Mad River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Water Type</td>
<td>Inland surface water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. The McKinleyville Community Services District (hereinafter Permittee) is the owner and operator of the McKinleyville Wastewater Management Facility (hereinafter Facility), a POTW. For the purposes of this Order, references to the "discharger" or "permittee" in applicable federal and state laws, regulations, plans, or policy are held to be equivalent to references to the Permittee herein.

The Permittee is authorized to discharge subject to waste discharge requirements in this Order at the discharge locations described in Table 2 on the cover page of this Order. The Code of Federal Regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.46 limits the duration of NPDES permits to be effective for a fixed term not to exceed five years. Accordingly, Table 3 of this Order limits the effective period for the discharge authorized by this Order. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2235.4, the terms and conditions of an expired permit are automatically continued pending issuance of a new permit if all requirements of the federal National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) regulations on continuation of expired permits are complied with.

B. The Facility discharges secondary treated wastewater to the Mad River, a water of the United States. The Permittee was previously regulated by Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CA0024490 adopted on April 19, 2011, and expired on April 18, 2016, and administratively continued through the effective date of this Order. Attachment B provides a map of the area around the Facility. Attachment C provides a flow schematic of the Facility.

C. The Permittee filed a report of waste discharge (ROWD) and submitted an application for reissuance of its WDRs and NPDES permit on August 11, 2015. The Permittee was required to submit a Title 22 Engineering Report to DDW in April of 2017. The Permittee submitted the report to DDW on March 2, 2018, and DDW approved the report on May 7, 2018. The application was deemed complete on May 7, 2018.

II. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The Permittee owns and operates a municipal wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) and associated wastewater collection, recycling, and disposal facilities that serve a population of 16,900 residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural and municipal users in the unincorporated town of McKinleyville.

A. Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment and Controls

The Facility is located to the west of McKinleyville, California just east of the Mad River and serves the unincorporated town of McKinleyville. Treated wastewater is discharged from Discharge Point 001 to the Mad River when flows in the Mad River exceed 200 cubic feet per second (cfs) as measured at United States Geological Survey (USGS) gauge at Highway 299. During dry weather, effluent is discharged to percolation ponds or recycled for dry-weather maintenance of the Hiller storm water treatment wetland, the adjacent forested area, or irrigation of agricultural lands. The Permittee also has the option of recycling effluent through irrigation on the northern portion of the former Fisher parcel north of the railroad bridge, on the lower Fisher Ranch, or on the West Pialorsi Ranch.

Collection System

The Permittee's wastewater collection system consists of approximately 64 miles of gravity sewer mains, 2 miles of pressure force mains, 1,017 sanitary manholes, and 5 pump stations.
Gravity sewer lines range in size from 6-inch diameter to 24-inch diameter, but most are 6-inch diameter lines.

**Wastewater Treatment**

The Facility is designed to treat an average dry weather flow of 1.37 mgd and a maximum peak wet weather flow of 3.08 mgd. Wastewater is conveyed to the Facility through two 12-inch diameter force mains from the Fisher Road and Hiller Road lift stations to the headworks. The combined capacity of these lift stations is 3.5 mgd. The headworks includes a magnetic-type flow meter to measure influent flow, a mechanically cleaned screen, a bypass channel with a bar screen, an influent sampler, and two cutthroat flumes to provide even flow distribution to the two aeration basins.

Secondary treatment consists of two aeration basins providing a complete mix activated sludge process using extended retention of biological solids. The aeration basins have floating aerator assemblies mounted to floating headers. Each header has a motorized control valve to regulate air to the submerged diffusers. A programmable logic controller (PLC) is used to automatically actuate the motorized valves to create separate oxic and anoxic zones along the length of the basins. The repeated cycling of environments nitrifies and denitrifies the wastewater without recycle pumping or additional external basins. With the separate zones, the aeration system can achieve biological nitrogen removal. The PLC is also used to control the blowers based on continuous dissolved oxygen monitoring in the basins.

Two 50-feet diameter, 16-feet side-water-depth secondary clarifiers remove the biomass associated with the secondary treatment process and produce a clarified effluent ready for disinfection and final discharge. Secondary clarification also concentrates the biomass for return to the aeration basins.

The clarifiers include a second center well, the flocculation well, that improves clarifier performance. The flocculation well reduces short-circuiting between the influent feed well and the effluent launder and permits natural flocculation of the biomass contained in the mixed liquor. As the sludge settles, clarified liquid passes over the effluent weir and flows into the effluent launder where it leaves the clarifier for disinfection. Hydraulic-type sludge collection equipment is used to ensure rapid return of settled biomass to the aeration basins. A single sludge collection header is used to maintain adequate velocities within the header to avoid clogging and also provide greater turndown capability for return activated sludge (RAS) pumping. At a collection header tip speed of 6 feet per minute (ft/min), the collection arm will sweep the entire secondary clarifier invert once every 26 minutes. A tip speed of 6 ft/min is within the allowable speed range to avoid undue disturbance of the sludge blanket. The 26-minute rotation time is short enough to prevent problems such as denitrification that are associated with long sludge detention times within the secondary clarifier. Peripheral effluent launderers are used to facilitate cleaning of the effluent weirs and to reduce capital cost. Baffles are provided to reduce the potential for solids short-circuiting.

Two RAS pumps at each clarifier return the settled sludge to the activated sludge process in order to maintain a viable population of the microorganisms responsible for the removal of the organic compounds present in wastewater. RAS from the two clarifiers is combined into a common line for conveyance to the aeration basins. The RAS pumps are variable-speed submersible type. Variable-speed pumps offer the most operational flexibility allowing precise control of RAS flow rates and the ability to closely balance RAS flow rates between multiple...
clarifiers. Submersible pumps have the advantage of requiring no cover or building to house the pumps and they are easy to remove for maintenance.

A waste activated sludge (WAS) pump draws WAS from the RAS discharge piping and pumps the WAS to the biosolids storage basin. The pump is a rotary lobe type with a variable speed drive to provide the ability to vary the solids wasting rate. A constant-speed submersible pump will be used to pump scum from the secondary clarifiers directly to the biosolids storage basin. The scum pump is located in a sump adjacent to the secondary clarifiers and receives scum from the clarifiers by gravity. Secondary effluent can either flow by gravity or be pumped to the chlorine contact basins where chlorine solution is used to achieve disinfection and sulfur dioxide solution is used to dechlorinate.

**Biosolids**

Solids handling consists of pumping WAS from the secondary clarifiers to a biosolids storage basin. The basin has been sized to provide a loading rate of less than 20 pounds volatile suspended solids per 1,000 square feet of basin water surface area. The biosolids storage basin has been designed with a biosolids storage depth of 9 feet, a water cap depth of 3 feet, and a freeboard depth of 1.5 feet. The estimated time to fill the basin with biosolids is 6.9 years. Once full, the stored biosolids are dredged, dewatered, and hauled to an off-site disposal site.

**B. Discharge Points and Receiving Waters**

1. The Facility discharges to the Mad River at Discharge Point 001 (40° 55’ 28” N latitude and 124° 7’ 13” W longitude) in the Blue Lake Hydrologic Area within the Mad River Hydrologic Unit.

2. The Facility discharges treated wastewater to percolations ponds at Discharge Point 002 when not discharging to the Mad River or water recycling system. The percolation ponds include two separate basins that are alternated in use. The Permittee plans to use the percolation ponds as needed until the summer of 2021 when they will be repurposed.

3. The Facility discharges recycled water to the lower Fisher Ranch at Discharge Point 003, upper Fisher Ranch at Discharge Point 004, Hiller storm water treatment wetland and forested area at Discharge Point 005, and the Pialorsi Ranch at Discharge Point 006. Fisher Ranch and Pialorsi Ranch are located south of School Road and west of Fisher Road in McKinleyville. The Fisher Ranch and Pialorsi Ranch irrigation areas constitute the primary application sites. The upper Fisher Ranch site receives both flood irrigation and spray irrigation, whereas the Lower Fisher site receives just spray irrigation. The Upper Fisher site has 36 acres available for irrigation: 14 by flood irrigation and 22 by spray irrigation. The Lower Fisher and West Pialorsi sites receive spray irrigation and are 45 and 35 acres, respectively.

**C. Summary of Existing Requirements and Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) Data**

Effluent limitations contained in Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ for discharges from Discharge Point 001 and representative monitoring data from the term of Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ are as follows:
**Table F-2. Historic Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data – Discharge Point 001**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitation</th>
<th>Monitoring Data (May 2011 – September 2015)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
<td>Average Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day @ 20°C (BOD₅)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>45 65 --</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lbs/day¹</td>
<td>604 873 --</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>65 -- --</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>83 -- --</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lbs/day¹</td>
<td>1,108 --</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>65 -- --</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>s.u.</td>
<td>-- -- 6.5 – 8.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>ml/L</td>
<td>0.1 -- 0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Chlorine Residual</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>0.01 -- 0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate Nitrogen, Total (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>10 -- --</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4-DDT</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>0.00059 -- 0.0027</td>
<td>&lt;0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>1.8 -- 3.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliform Organisms</td>
<td>MPN/100 mL</td>
<td>23³ -- 230</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Toxicity</td>
<td>% Survivall</td>
<td>70⁵/90⁶ --</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table Notes:**
1. Based on permitted average monthly flow of 1.61 mgd.
2. Minimum observed percent removal.
3. The median of all samples collected in a 30-day period.
4. Maximum observed result.
5. Minimum for any one bioassay.
6. Median for any three or more consecutive bioassays.
7. Minimum observed percent survival.

**D. Compliance Summary**

1. The Permittee was not assessed any administrative civil liability during the term of Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

**E. Planned Changes**

The Permittee plans on decommissioning the percolation pond and creating coho habitat and expanding the water recycling system on the 53-acre East Pialorsi Ranch by 2021.
III. APPLICABLE PLANS, POLICIES, AND REGULATIONS

The requirements contained in this Order are based on the requirements and authorities described in this section.

A. Legal Authorities

This Order also serves as Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) pursuant to article 4, chapter 4, and division 7 of the California Water Code (commencing with section 13260). This Order is also issued pursuant to section 402 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and implementing regulations adopted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and chapter 5.5, division 7 of the California Water Code (commencing with section 13370). It shall serve as a NPDES permit authorizing the Permittee to discharge into waters of the U.S. at the discharge location described in Table 2 subject to the WDRs in this Order.

B. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

Under Water Code section 13389, this action to adopt an NPDES permit is exempt from the provisions of Chapter 3 of CEQA, (commencing with section 21100) of Division 13 of the Public Resources Code.


1. Water Quality Control Plan. The Regional Water Board adopted a Water Quality Control Plan for the North Coast Region (hereinafter Basin Plan) that designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and contains implementation programs and policies to achieve those objectives for all waters addressed through the plan. In addition, the Basin Plan implements State Water Board Resolution No. 88-63, which establishes state policy that all waters, with certain exceptions, should be considered suitable or potentially suitable for municipal or domestic supply. Beneficial uses applicable to the Mad River within the Blue Lake Hydrologic Area of the Mad River Hydrologic Unit are summarized in Table F-3, below:
Table F-3. Basin Plan Beneficial Uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge Point</th>
<th>Receiving Water Name</th>
<th>Beneficial Use(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Mad River within the Blue Lake Hydrologic Area of the Mad River Hydrologic Unit</td>
<td>Existing: Municipal and domestic supply (MUN); Agricultural supply (AGR); Industrial service supply (IND); Industrial process supply (PRO); Groundwater recharge (GWR); Freshwater replenishment (FRSH); Navigation (NAV); Water contact recreation (REC-1); Non-contact water recreation (REC-2); Commercial and sport fishing (COMM); Cold freshwater habitat (COLD); Wildlife habitat (WILD); Rare, threatened, or endangered species (RARE); Migration of aquatic organisms (MIGR); Spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (SPWN); Estuarine habitat (EST); Aquaculture (AQUA); and Native American culture (CUL).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001 – 006</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Existing: Municipal and domestic supply (MUN); Agricultural supply (AGR), and Industrial service supply (IND).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the beneficial uses set out in the Basin Plan, there are several implementation plans that include actions intended to meet water quality objectives and protect beneficial uses of the North Coast Basin. For the Mad River and its tributaries, no point source waste discharges are allowed during the period of May 15 through September 30, and during all other periods when the waste discharge flow is greater than one percent of the receiving stream’s flow.

Requirements of this Order implement the Basin Plan.

previously adopted NTR criteria that were applicable in the state. The CTR was amended on February 13, 2001. These rules contain federal water quality criteria for priority pollutants.

3. **State Implementation Policy.** On March 2, 2000, the State Water Board adopted the *Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California* (State Implementation Policy or SIP). The SIP became effective on April 28, 2000, with respect to the priority pollutant criteria promulgated for California by the U.S. EPA through the NTR and to the priority pollutant objectives established by the Regional Water Board in the Basin Plan. The SIP became effective on May 18, 2000, with respect to the priority pollutant criteria promulgated by the U.S. EPA through the CTR. The State Water Board adopted amendments to the SIP on February 24, 2005, that became effective on July 13, 2005. The SIP establishes implementation provisions for priority pollutant criteria and objectives and provisions for chronic toxicity control. Requirements of this Order implement the SIP.

4. **Domestic Water Quality.** In compliance with Water Code section 106.3, it is the policy of the State of California that every human being has the right to safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes. This Order promotes that policy by requiring discharges to meet maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) implemented by the Basin Plan that are designed to protect human health and ensure that water is safe for domestic use.

5. **Compliance Schedules and Interim Requirements.** The State Water Board adopted Resolution No. 2008-0025 on April 15, 2008, titled *Policy for Compliance Schedules in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permits*, which includes compliance schedule policies for pollutants that are not addressed by the SIP. This Policy became effective on August 27, 2008. This Order does not include a compliance schedules or interim effluent limitations.

6. **Antidegradation Policy.** Federal Regulation 40 C.F.R. section 131.12 requires that the state water quality standards include an antidegradation policy consistent with the federal policy. The State Water Board established California’s antidegradation policy in State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16 (*Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California*). Resolution No. 68-16 is deemed to incorporate the federal antidegradation policy where the federal policy applies under federal law. Resolution No. 68-16 requires that existing water quality be maintained unless degradation is justified based on specific findings. The Regional Water Board’s Basin Plan implements, and incorporates by reference, both the state and federal antidegradation policies. The permitted discharge must be consistent with the antidegradation provision of 40 C.F.R. section 131.12 and State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16. As discussed in detail in section IV.D.2 of this Fact Sheet, the permitted discharge is consistent with the antidegradation provision of 40 C.F.R. section 131.12 and State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16.

7. **Anti-Backsliding Requirements.** Sections 402(o)(2) and 303(d)(4) of the CWA and federal regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(l) restrict backsliding in NPDES permits. These anti-backsliding provisions require that effluent limitations in a reissued permit must be as stringent as those in the previous permit, with some exceptions in which limitations may be relaxed. Some effluent limitations in this Order have been removed or are less stringent than those in the previous Order. As discussed in detail in section IV.D.1 of this Fact Sheet,
removal or relaxation of effluent limitations is consistent with the anti-backsliding requirements of the CWA and federal regulations.

8. **Endangered Species Act Requirements.** This Order does not authorize an act that results in the taking of a threatened or endangered species or any act that is now prohibited, or becomes prohibited in the future, under either the California Endangered Species Act (Fish and Game Code sections 2050 to 2097) or the Federal Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C.A sections 1531 to 1544). This Order requires compliance with effluent limits, receiving water limits, and other requirements to protect the beneficial uses of waters of the State, including protecting rare, threatened and endangered species. The Permittee is responsible for meeting all requirements of the applicable Endangered Species Act.

D. **Impaired Water Bodies on CWA 303(d) List**

Section 303(d) of the federal CWA requires states to identify waterbodies that do not meet water quality standards and are not supporting their beneficial uses after implementation of technology-based effluent limitations on point sources. Each state must submit an updated list, the 303(d) List of Impaired Waterbodies every two years. In addition to identifying the waterbodies that are not supporting beneficial uses, the 303(d) list also identifies the pollutant or stressor causing impairment and establishes a schedule for developing a control plan to address the impairment. The CWA requires development of a total maximum daily load (TMDL) or alternate program of implementation for each 303(d) listed pollutant and water body to remedy the impairment. TMDLs establish the maximum quantity of a given pollutant that can be added to a water body from all sources without exceeding the applicable water quality standard for that pollutant and determine wasteload allocations (the portion of a TMDL allocated to existing and future point sources) and load allocations (the portion of a TMDL attributed to existing and future nonpoint sources).

On June 26, 2015, the U.S. EPA provided final approval of the 2012 303(d) list of impaired water bodies for the North Coast Region prepared by the state. The list identifies the Mad River within the Mad River Hydrologic Unit as impaired by aluminum, sedimentation/siltation, temperature, and turbidity. Pursuant to CWA section 303(d), the Regional Water Board will develop a TMDL or alternate program of implementation to address these impairments, which will be implemented through various programs, including through provisions of NPDES permits. The TMDLs for aluminum and temperature in the Mad River are scheduled to be completed in 2025. On December 21, 2007, the U.S. EPA approved the Mad River Total Maximum Daily Loads for Sediment and Turbidity.

Regarding both sediment and turbidity, the TMDL establishes a maximum loading of 120 percent of the natural sediment loading basinwide and further defines that loading rate as 2.9 tons of sediment per square mile of watershed per day on a long term basis. The TMDL determines that controlling the sediment load to the watershed will also control the turbidity, as the two are linked. Thus the turbidity TMDL is set equal to the sediment loading of 120 percent of the natural suspended sediment loading basinwide and further defines that loading rate at 2.7 tons of sediment per square mile of watershed per day on a long term basis. It is important to note that the sediment loads for the turbidity TMDLs are subsets of the sediment TMDL and are not additive, e.g., the sediment TMDL basinwide is 2.9 tons/mi²/day, and of that total sediment load, 2.7 tons/mi²/day is set as the suspended sediment load for the turbidity TMDL.
The TMDL also sets turbidity TMDLs for each subarea expressed as a suspended sediment load, based on 120% natural loading. The Facility is within the Lower Mad River subarea; the sediment load is 1.0 tons/mi²/day and the turbidity load is 1.0 tons/mi²/day. Although nonpoint sources were found to be primarily responsible for excessive sediment loadings to the Mad River, the TMDL establishes WLAs for area wastewater treatment facilities corresponding to existing permit limitations for suspended solids (monthly average 95 mg/L) and settleable solids (monthly average 0.1 ml/L). Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ included a monthly average effluent limitation for settleable solids of 0.1 ml/L, which is retained in this Order. Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ included a monthly average suspended solids effluent limitation, based on equivalent to secondary treatment, of 83 mg/L. This was based on statistically calculated 95th percentile historical data. With the upgrade of the treatment plant to secondary treatment, this Order implements the secondary treatment technology based effluent limitation of 30 mg/L.

E. Other Plans, Policies and Regulations

1. On May 2, 2006, the State Water Board adopted State Water Board Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ, Statewide General WDRs for Sanitary Sewer Systems and on August 6, 2013, adopted Order No. WQ 2013-0058-EXEC Amending Monitoring and Reporting Program for Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems. Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ requires that all public agencies that currently own or operate sanitary sewer systems apply for coverage under the General WDRs. The deadline for dischargers to apply for coverage was November 2, 2006. The Permittee applied for coverage and is subject to the requirements of Order Nos. 2006-0003-DWQ and WQ 2013-0058-EXEC and any future revisions thereto for operation of its wastewater collection system.

2. Storm water falling within the Facility either percolates into the ground or is retained within the treatment system. State Water Board Water Quality Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ, NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001, General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activities (Industrial Storm Water General Permit) does not require facilities to obtain coverage if storm water is captured and treated and/or disposed of within the facility's NPDES permitted process wastewater or if storm water is disposed of evaporation ponds, percolation ponds, or combined sewer systems. Therefore, coverage under the Industrial Storm Water General Permit is not required for this Facility.

3. On February 3, 2009, the State Water Board adopted the Recycled Water Policy (State Water Board Resolution No. 2009-0011) for the purpose of increasing the use of recycled water from municipal wastewater sources in a manner that implements state and federal water quality laws. The Recycled Water Policy became effective on May 14, 2009. The Recycled Water Policy provides direction to the Regional Water Boards regarding the appropriate criteria to be used in issuing permits for recycled water projects and describes permitting criteria intended to streamline, and provide consistency for, the permitting of the vast majority of recycled water projects. Pertinent provisions and requirements of the Policy have been incorporated into this Order to address conditions specific to the Permittee’s plan to implement water recycling.

The Recycled Water Policy recognizes the fact that some groundwater basins in the state contain salts and nutrients that exceed or threaten to exceed water quality objectives in the applicable Basin Plans, and that not all Basin Plans include adequate implementation procedures for achieving or ensuring compliance with the water quality objectives for salt...
or nutrients. The Recycled Water Policy further recognizes that these conditions can be caused by natural soils/conditions, discharges of waste, irrigation using surface water, groundwater or recycled water, and water supply augmentation using surface or recycled water, and that regulation of recycled water alone will not address these conditions. It is the intent of the Recycled Water Policy that salts and nutrients from all sources be managed on a basin-wide or watershed-wide basis in a manner that ensures attainment of water quality objectives and protection of beneficial uses. The Recycled Water Policy finds that the appropriate way to address salt and nutrient issues is through the development of regional or subregional Salt and Nutrient Management Plans (SNMPs) rather than through imposing requirements solely on individual recycled water projects.

This Order is consistent with the requirements of the Recycled Water Policy to implement an SNMP. The Recycled Water Policy currently requires monitoring for priority pollutants annually. This Order implements this requirement through the annual CTR priority pollutant monitoring requirement in the MRP that is required of the Permittee pursuant to the SIP.

4. On July 22, 2004, the State Water Board adopted State Water Board Order No. 2004-0012-DWQ, General Waste Discharge Requirements for the Discharge of Biosolids to Land for Use as a Soil Amendment in Agricultural, Silvicultural, Horticultural, and Land Reclamation Activities. The Permittee must obtain coverage under Order No. 2004-0012-DWQ prior to any removal of biosolids from the Facility that will be land disposed on property owned or controlled by the Permittee.

IV. RATIONALE FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS

The CWA requires point source dischargers to control the amount of conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants that are discharged into the waters of the United States. The control of pollutants discharged is established through effluent limitations and other requirements in NPDES permits. There are two principal bases for effluent limitations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(a) requires that permits include applicable technology-based limitations and standards; and 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d) requires that permits include WQBELs to attain and maintain applicable numeric and narrative water quality criteria to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving water where a reasonable potential to exceed those criteria exist.

A. Discharge Prohibitions

1. **Discharge Prohibition III.A.** The discharge of any waste not disclosed by the Permittee or not within the reasonable contemplation of the Regional Water Board is prohibited.

This prohibition is based on the Basin Plan, Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ, and State Water Board Order No. WQO 2002-0012 regarding the petition of WDRs Order No. 01-072 for the East Bay Municipal Utility District and Bay Area Clean Water Agencies. In State Water Board Order No WQO 2002-0012, the State Water Board found that this prohibition is acceptable in orders, but should be interpreted to apply only to constituents that are either not disclosed by the Permittee, and are not reasonably anticipated to be present in the

---

2The Permittee will not be required to enroll under Order No. 2004-0012-DWQ for land disposal of biosolids from the rehabilitation of the percolation ponds. If the Permittee desires to land apply biosolids from any other treatment process, they are required to enroll under Order No. 2004-0012-DWQ.
discharge. It specifically does not apply to constituents in the discharge that do not have “reasonable potential” to exceed water quality objectives.

The State Water Board has stated that the only pollutants not covered by this prohibition are those which were "disclosed to the permitting authority and...can be reasonably contemplated." [In re the Petition of East Bay Municipal Utilities District et al., (State Water Board, 2002) Order No. WQO 2002-0012, p. 24.] In that Order, the State Water Board cited a case which held the Permittee is liable for the discharge of pollutants "not within the reasonable contemplation of the permitting authority...whether spills or otherwise..." [Piney Run Preservation Assn. v. County Commissioners of Carroll County, Maryland (4th Cir. 2001) 268 F. 3d 255, 268.] Thus the State Water Board authority provides that, to be permissible, the constituent discharged (1) must have been disclosed by the Permittee and (2) can be reasonably contemplated by the Regional Water Board.

2. **Discharge Prohibition III.B.** Creation of pollution, contamination, or nuisance, as defined by section 13050 of the Water Code is prohibited.

This prohibition has been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ and is based on section 13050 of the Water Code and section 5411 of the California Health and Safety Code.

3. **Discharge Prohibition III.C.** The discharge of sludge or digester supernatant is prohibited, except as authorized under section VI.C.5.c of this Order (Sludge Disposal and Handling Requirements).

This prohibition has been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ and is based on restrictions on the disposal of sewage sludge found in federal regulations [40 C.F.R. part 503 (Biosolids), part 527, and part 258] and title 27 of the CCR.

4. **Discharge Prohibition III.D.** The discharge or recycling use of untreated or partially treated waste (receiving a lower level of treatment than described in section II.A of the Fact Sheet) from anywhere within the collection, treatment, or disposal systems is prohibited, except as provided for in Attachment D, Standard Provisions G (Bypass) and H (Upset).

This prohibition has been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ and is based on the Basin Plan to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving water from unpermitted discharges, and the intent of the Water Code sections 13260 through 13264 relating to the discharge of waste to waters of the state without filing for and being issued an Order. This prohibition applies to spills not related to sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) and other unauthorized discharges of wastewater within the collection, treatment, and disposal facilities. The discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater from the collection, treatment, or disposal facility represents an unauthorized bypass pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 122.41(m) or an unauthorized discharge which poses a threat to human health and/or aquatic life, and therefore is explicitly prohibited by this Order.

5. **Discharge Prohibition III.E.** Any SSO that results in a discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater to (a) waters of the state or (b) land that creates pollution, contamination, or nuisance, as defined in Water Code section 13050(m) is prohibited.

This prohibition is retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ with a minor modification. A reference to groundwater has been removed because groundwater is captured in the broader term, “waters of the state”. This prohibition applies to spills related to SSOs and is
Based on state standards, including section 13050 of the Water Code and the Basin Plan. This prohibition is consistent with the state’s antidegradation policy as specified in State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16 (Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Water in California) in that the prohibition imposes conditions to prevent impacts to water quality, the degradation of water quality, negative effects on receiving water beneficial uses, and lessening of water quality beyond that prescribed in State Water Board or Regional Water Board plans and policies.

This prohibition is stricter than the prohibitions stated in State Water Board Order 2006-0003-DWQ, Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems. Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ prohibits SSOs that result in the discharge of untreated or partially treated wastewater to waters of the United States and SSOs that cause a nuisance, compared to Prohibition III.E of this Order, which prohibits SSO discharges that create nuisance or pollution to waters of the state and land for a more complete protection of human health. The rationale for this prohibition is because of the prevalence of high groundwater in the North Coast Region, and this Region’s reliance on groundwater as a drinking water source.

6. **Discharge Prohibition III.F.** The discharge of waste to land that is not owned by the Permittee, governed by District ordinance, or under agreement to use by the Permittee, or for which the Permittee has explicitly permitted such use, is prohibited, except for use for fire suppression as provided in title 22, section 60307(b) of the CCR.

This prohibition is retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ, with minor modifications. Land used for the application of wastewater must be owned by the Permittee or be under the control of the Permittee by contract so that the Permittee maintains a means for ultimate disposal of treated wastewater.

7. **Discharge Prohibition III.G.** The discharge of waste at any point not described in Finding ILB of the Fact Sheet or authorized by a permit issued by the State Water Board or another Regional Water Board is prohibited.

This prohibition is established in this Order. This prohibition is a general prohibition that allows the Permittee to discharge waste only in accordance with WDRs. It is based on sections 301 and 402 of the federal CWA and section 13263 of the Water Code.

8. **Discharge Prohibition III.H.** The recycling of treated wastewater from the Facility to the Hiller storm water treatment wetlands (Discharge Point 005) is prohibited during the period from September 21 through June 21 of the following calendar year.

This prohibition is retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ and is intended to reduce release of residual pollutants to Mad River tributaries following water recycling to sustain Hiller storm water treatment wetland rushes through each summer. Water recycling during wetter seasons may impair storm water treatment efficiency of the wetlands. This prohibition corresponds to a recycling water wetland irrigation schedule suggested by the Permittee.

9. **Prohibition III.I.** The average dry weather flow of waste through the Facility shall not exceed 1.37 mgd, measured daily and averaged over a calendar month. The average wet weather flow of waste through the Facility shall not exceed 1.69 mgd. The peak daily wet weather flows through the Facility shall not exceed 3.08 mgd, measured daily. Compliance
with this prohibition shall be determined as defined in sections VII.K, VII.L, and VII.M of this Order.

Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ included a prohibition of flows in excess of 3.3 mgd, which was based on the peak wet weather treatment capacity of the Facility. The Facility has undergone upgrades and new design flows were submitted with the Report of Waste Discharge for the upgraded Facility. The design flows in this permit reflect the design flows for the upgraded facility.

This Order specifies that the peak daily wet weather flow of waste through the Facility shall not exceed 3.08 mgd. Additionally, this Order establishes an average dry weather flow and average wet weather flow prohibitions based on the treatment capacity of the Facility. Exceedance of the peak daily wet weather flow capacity on a daily basis may result in effluent violations and/or the need to by-pass untreated effluent blended with treated effluent, which is prohibited.

10. **Discharge Prohibition III.J.** The discharge of waste to the Mad River and its tributaries is prohibited during the period from May 15 through September 30 of each year. This prohibition shall not be interpreted to prohibit discharge to the Hiller storm water treatment wetlands (Discharge Point 005) or to the percolation ponds (Discharge Point 002).

This prohibition retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ and is required by the Basin Plan. The Basin Plan prohibits discharges to the Mad River and its tributaries during the period May 15 through September 30 (Chapter 4, Waste Discharge prohibitions for the North Coastal Basin). The explanation regarding storm water treatment wetlands is to avoid confusion about water recycling to sustain Hiller wetland rushes through the summer. The explanation regarding percolation ponds is intended to clarify the Regional Water Board’s historical interpretation of discharges to percolation ponds in river flood plain alluvium.

11. **Prohibition III.K.** During the period from October 1 through May 14, discharges of secondary treated wastewater to the Mad River shall not exceed one percent of the flow of the Mad River, as measured at United States Geological Survey (USGS) Gauge No. 11-4810.00 in the Mad River at the Highway 299 overpass. For the purposes of this Order, compliance with this discharge prohibition shall be determined as follows:

a. The discharge of secondary treated wastewater shall be adjusted at least once daily to avoid exceeding, to the extent practicable, one percent of the most recent daily flow measurement of the Mad River. Daily flow shall be based on flow meter comparisons reasonably read between the hours of 12:01 am and 12:00 midnight; and,

b. In no case shall the total volume of secondary treated wastewater discharged in a calendar month exceed one percent of the total volume of the Mad River in the same calendar month. At the beginning of the discharge season, the monthly flow volume comparisons shall be based on the date when the discharge commenced to the end of the calendar month. At the end of the discharge season, the monthly flow volume shall be based on the first day of the calendar month to the date when the discharge ceased for the season.

This prohibition has been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ with a minor modification, and is required by the Basin Plan (Chapter 4, North Coastal Basin Discharge
Prohibition No. 3). The Basin Plan prohibits discharges to the Mad River and its tributaries when the waste discharge flow is greater than one percent of the receiving water’s flow.

Basin Plan Prohibition No. 3 does not specify how compliance with the one percent flow requirement should be determined. This prohibition, set forth in Provision III.K of this Order, specifies that the discharge may comply with the one percent requirement as a monthly average for the surface water discharge season if USGS Gage No. 11-4810.00 is read at least once daily, and the discharge flow rate shall not be set for greater than one percent of the flow of the river at the time of the daily reading.

12. Prohibition III.L. During the period from October 1 through May 14, discharges of secondary treated wastewater to the Mad River may occur only when the flow of the Mad River, as measured at USGS Gauge No. 11-4810.00 in the Mad River at the Highway 200 overpass, is greater than 200 cubic feet per second.

This prohibition is retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ and is necessary to prevent discharges during periods of low flow in the Mad River.

13. Prohibition III.M. The discharge of any radiological, chemical, or biological warfare agent or high-level radioactive waste into waters of the state is prohibited.

This prohibition is established in this Order and is based on the discharge prohibitions contained in and section 13375 of the Water Code.

14. Prohibition III.N. The acceptance of septage to a location other than an approved septage receiving station is prohibited.

This prohibition is newly established by this Order and is necessary to ensure that septage is not accepted in the absence of a septage management program to ensure that pollutants associated with domestic septage do not pass through or interfere with the operation or performance of the Facility.

B. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

1. Scope and Authority

Section 301(b) of the CWA and implementing U.S. EPA permit regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.44 require that permits include conditions meeting applicable technology-based requirements at a minimum, and any more stringent effluent limitations necessary to meet applicable water quality standards. The discharge authorized by this Order must meet minimum federal technology-based requirements based on Secondary Treatment Standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133 in accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 125.3.

In addition, 40 C.F.R. §122.45(d)(2) states that technology-based permit limits shall be stated as average weekly and average monthly discharge limitations, unless impracticable, for POTWs. 40 C.F.R. § 103.102 provides detailed specifications for establishing effluent limitations for the technology-based constituents, BOD, TSS, and pH. Effluent limitations for BOD, TSS, and pH in Effluent Limitations IV.A.1.a, Table 4 and IV.A.1.b of this Order were established as required by 40 C.F.R. § 103.102, and have been updated from equivalent to secondary standards to secondary standards in the Proposed Permit.
Regulations promulgated in 40 C.F.R. section 125.3(a)(1) require technology-based effluent limitations for municipal dischargers to be placed in NPDES permits based on Secondary Treatment Standards or Equivalent to Secondary Treatment Standards.

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (PL 92-500) established the minimum performance requirements for POTWs [defined in section 304(d)(1)]. Section 301(b)(1)(B) of that Act requires that such treatment works must, as a minimum, meet effluent limitations based on secondary treatment as defined by the U.S. EPA Administrator.

Based on this statutory requirement, U.S. EPA developed secondary treatment regulations, which are specified in 40 C.F.R. part 133. These technology-based regulations apply to all municipal wastewater treatment plants and identify the minimum level of effluent quality attainable by secondary treatment in terms of BOD$_5$, TSS, and pH, as follows:

a. **BOD$_5$ and TSS**
   i. The 30-day average shall not exceed 30 mg/L.
   ii. The 7-day average shall not exceed 45 mg/L.
   iii. The 30-day average percent removal shall not be less than 85%.

b. **pH**
   i. The pH shall be maintained within the limits of 6.0 to 9.0.
   ii. The effluent limitation for pH required to meet the water quality objective for hydrogen ion concentration (pH) is contained in the Basin Plan, Table 3-1.

In addition, 40 C.F.R. section 122.45(f) requires the establishment of mass-based effluent limitations for all pollutants limited in Orders, except for 1) pH, temperature, radiation, or other pollutants, which cannot be appropriately expressed by mass, 2) when applicable standards and limitations are expressed in terms of other units of measure.

2. **Applicable Technology-Based Effluent Limitations**

The effluent limitations in this Order for BOD$_5$, TSS, and pH not only meet the technology-based requirements for secondary treatment set forth in section 133.102, but they also are required to meet the water quality-based requirements set forth in the Basin Plan.

a. **BOD$_5$ and TSS.** As described above, the secondary treatment standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133 establish the minimum level of effluent quality attainable by secondary treatment in terms of BOD$_5$, TSS, and pH. Numeric effluent limitations for BOD$_5$ and TSS, including the percent removal requirements, have been updated from Order No. R1-2011-0008-DWQ and reflect the secondary treatment standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133.

b. **pH.** The secondary treatment regulations at 40 C.F.R. part 133 require that pH be maintained between 6.0 and 9.0 standard units. Note that a more stringent effluent limitation range of 6.5 – 8.5 for pH is required to meet the water quality objective for hydrogen ion concentration (pH) in the Mad River contained in Basin Plan, Table 3-1.

c. **Mass-Based Effluent Limitations.** Federal regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.45(f) require that, except under certain conditions, all permit limits, standards, or
prohibitions be expressed in terms of mass units. Among the conditions exempting the application of mass-based limitations is section 40 C.F.R. section 122.45(f)(1)(i), which states “for pH, temperature, and radiation, or other pollutants which cannot appropriately be expressed by mass” And 40 C.F.R. section 122.45(f)(1)(ii), which states “when applicable standards and limitations are expressed in terms of other units of measure.”

Regional Water Board staff conducted an I/I analysis utilizing the definitions of excessive I/I in the federal regulation at 40 C.F.R sections 35.2005(b) and 133.103(d)
Using influent flow data collected between April 19, 2011 and January 31, 2017 and a population of 16,900 as reported in the ROWD, the Regional Water Board conducted an analysis of per capita flows for comparison with the definitions of “excessive I/I” in 40 C.F.R section 35.2005(b)(28) and 133.103(d) (i.e., greater than 275 gpd per capita per day). Effluent flows never exceeded 275 gpd per capita. Therefore, mass-based effluent limitations for BOD\textsubscript{5} and TSS are not included in this Order.

In addition, the methodology in a report titled Recommended Standards for Wastewater Treatment Facilities, Policy for the Design, Review, and Approval of Plans and Specifications for Wastewater Collection and Treatment Facilities, 2014 Edition, A Report of the Wastewater Committee of the Great Lakes-Upper Mississippi River Board of State and Provincial Public Health and Environmental Managers was used to calculate a peaking factor, above which excessive infiltration is indicated. Using Figure 1 of this methodology report, a peaking factor of 1.1 is the maximum rate of wastewater flow that is calculated for a population of 16,900. The analysis revealed four exceedances of the peaking factor out of 2,472 data points. The exceedances ranged from 1.14 to 1.26.

This Order does not include mass-based effluent limitations for the following pollutants pursuant to the exception in 40 C.F.R. sections 122.45(f)(1)(i) and (ii):

i. BOD\textsubscript{5} and TSS, because these two parameters are expressed in terms of concentration and percent removal; and

ii. pH, because this parameters cannot appropriately be expressed by mass.

C. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)

1. Scope and Authority

CWA Section 301(b) and 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d) require that permits include limitations more stringent than applicable federal technology-based requirements where necessary to achieve applicable water quality standards.

Section 122.44(d)(1)(i) of 40 C.F.R. requires that permits include effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at levels that have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard, including numeric and narrative objectives within a standard. Where reasonable potential has been established for a pollutant, but there is no numeric criterion or objective for the pollutant, WQBELs must be established using: (1) U.S. EPA criteria guidance under CWA section 304(a), supplemented where necessary by other relevant information; (2) an indicator parameter for the pollutant of concern; or (3) a calculated numeric water quality criterion, such as a proposed state
criterion or policy interpreting the state’s narrative criterion, supplemented with other relevant information, as provided in section 122.44(d)(1)(vi).

The process for determining reasonable potential and calculating WQBELs when necessary is intended to protect the designated uses of the receiving water as specified in the Basin Plan, and achieve applicable water quality objectives and criteria that are contained in other state plans and policies, or any applicable water quality criteria contained in the CTR and NTR.

2. Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives

   a. Beneficial Uses. Beneficial use designations for receiving waters for discharges from the Facility are presented in section III.C.1 of this Fact Sheet.

   b. Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives. In addition to the specific water quality objectives indicated above, the Basin Plan contains narrative objectives for color, tastes and odors, floating material, suspended material, settleable material, oil and grease, biostimulatory substances, sediment, turbidity, pH, dissolved oxygen, bacteria, temperature, toxicity, pesticides, chemical constituents, and radioactivity that apply to inland surface waters, enclosed bays, and estuaries, including the Mad River and its tributaries. For waters designated for use as MUN, the Basin Plan establishes as applicable water quality criteria the MCLs established by the DDW for the protection of public water supplies at title 22 of the CCR section 64431 (Inorganic Chemicals) and section 64444 (Organic Chemicals).

   c. SIP, CTR, and NTR. Water quality criteria and objectives applicable to this receiving water are established by the CTR, established by the U.S. EPA at 40 C.F.R. section 131.38; and the NTR, established by the U.S. EPA at 40 C.F.R. section 131.36. Criteria for most of the 126 priority pollutants are contained within the CTR and the NTR.

   The SIP, which is described in section III.C.3 of this Fact Sheet, includes procedures for determining the need for, and the calculation of, WQBELs and requires Permittees to submit data sufficient to do so.

   At title 22, division 4, chapter 15 of the CCR, DDW has established MCLs for certain pollutants for the protection of drinking water. Chapter 3 of the Basin Plan establishes these MCLs as water quality objectives applicable to receiving waters with the beneficial use designation of municipal and domestic supply.

   Aquatic life freshwater and saltwater criteria are identified as criterion maximum concentrations (CMC) and criterion continuous concentrations (CCC). The CTR defines the CMC as the highest concentration of a pollutant to which aquatic life can be exposed for a short period of time without deleterious effects and the CCC as the highest concentration of a pollutant to which aquatic life can be exposed for an extended period of time (4 days) without deleterious effects. The CMC is used to calculate an acute or 1-hour average numeric effluent limitation and the CCC is used to calculate a chronic or 4-day average numeric effluent limitation. Aquatic life freshwater criteria were used for the RPA.

   Human health criteria are further identified as "water and organisms" and "organisms only". “Water and organism” criteria are designed to address risks to human health
from multiple exposure pathways. The criteria from the “water and organisms” column of CTR were used for the RPA because the Basin Plan identifies that the receiving water, the Mad River, has the beneficial use designation of municipal and domestic supply.

3. Determining the Need for WQBELs

NPDES regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d) require effluent limitations to control all pollutants which are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any state water quality standard.

For water quality-based effluent limitations for toxic pollutants, Section 5.2.3 of the EPA Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxic Controls states “in lieu of an Average Weekly Limit (AWL) for POTWs, EPA recommends establishing an Maximum Daily Limit (MDL) (or a maximum test result for chronic toxicity) for toxic pollutants and pollutant parameters in water quality permitting. This is appropriate for at least two reasons. First, the basis for the 7-day average for POTWs derives from the secondary treatment requirements. This basis is not related to the need for assuring achievement of water quality standards. Second, a 7-day average, which could comprise up to seven or more daily samples, could average out peak toxic concentrations and therefore the discharge’s potential for causing acute toxic effects would be missed. A MDL, which is measured by a grab sample, would be toxicologically protective of potential acute toxicity impacts.”

Section 1.4 of the State Implementation Policy (SIP) states that maximum daily effluent limitations shall be used for POTWs in place of average weekly effluent limitations for Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations. The SIP procedure of calculating an AMEL and an MDEL applies to all CTR pollutants, both those that are for protection of aquatic life and those that are for the protection of human health.

RPAs for this Facility were conducted as follows.

a. Non-Priority Pollutants

i. pH. The effluent limitation for pH of 6.5 to 8.5 is retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ. This limitation is based on the water quality objective for all surface waters established in Chapter 3, Table 3-1 of the Basin Plan. Federal technology-based requirements prescribed in 40 C.F.R. part 133 are not sufficient to meet these Basin Plan water quality standards.

ii. Chlorine Residual. The Regional Water Board considers any chlorinated discharge as having the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to exceedances of this water quality objective for toxicity, and therefore this Order establishes effluent limitations for chlorine. U.S. EPA has established the following criteria for chlorine-produced oxidants for protection of freshwater aquatic life in Quality Criteria for Water 1986 (The Gold Book, 1986, EPA 440/5-86-001).

| Chronic Criterion | Acute Criterion |
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Consistent with Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ, the water quality criteria for total chlorine residual recommended by U.S. EPA have been translated to an AMEL of 0.01 mg/L and an MDEL of 0.02 mg/L in this Order.

### iii. Total Coliform Bacteria

Coliform bacteria are a pollutant of concern in all wastewaters of domestic origin, and therefore this Order retains the effluent limitations for total coliform bacteria from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ. These effluent limitations will ensure that water quality objectives for bacteria, as established by Chapter 3 of the Basin Plan, will be maintained. These effluent limitations reflect standards for secondary treated recycled water in the Basin Plan (Section 4, Implementation Plans) and as established by DDW at title 22, CCR, division 4, chapter 3.

### iv. Settleable Solids

High levels of settleable solids can have an adverse effect on aquatic habitat. Untreated or improperly treated wastewater can contain high amounts of settleable solids. The Mad River and its tributaries are listed as impaired for sediment and settleable solids. Monthly average and maximum daily effluent limitations for settleable solids of 0.1 ml/L and 0.2 ml/L have been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ. These limitations reflect levels of treatment attainable by secondary treatment facilities. This limitation is based on the water quality objective prohibiting bottom deposits for all surface waters of the North Coast Region established by the Basin Plan.

### v. Nitrogen Compounds

Untreated domestic wastewater contains ammonia nitrogen. Nitrification is a biological process that converts ammonia to nitrite and nitrate. Denitrification is a process that converts nitrate to nitrogen gas, which is then released to the atmosphere. Inadequate or incomplete nitrification may result in the discharge of ammonia to the receiving stream and inadequate or incomplete denitrification may result in the discharge of nitrate to the receiving stream. The Facility is designed to use nitrification to remove ammonia from the waste stream and denitrification to reduce nitrate in the waste stream, culminating in an overall reduction in total nitrogen.

(a) **Nitrate**. Nitrate is known to cause adverse health effects in humans. For waters designated as domestic or municipal supply, the Basin Plan (Chapter 3) adopts the MCLs, established by DDW for the protection of public water supplies at title 22 of the CCR, sections 64431 (Inorganic Chemicals) and 64444 (Organic Chemicals), as applicable water quality criteria. The MCL for nitrate (10 mg/L as N) is therefore applicable as a water quality criterion. The Permittee sampled its discharge monthly between May 2011 and April 2017. Nitrate was detected once in the effluent at a concentration of 1 mg/L based on 87 samples. Nitrate was not detected in the remaining samples. Because nitrate levels in effluent have been measured at concentrations lower than 10 mg/L N, the Regional Water Board concludes that discharges from the Facility do not have a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to exceedances of applicable water quality criteria for the receiving water for...
nitrate. Therefore, this Order does not retain the effluent limitation for nitrate from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

(b) **Ammonia.** Ammonia is known to cause toxicity to aquatic organisms in surface waters. The Basin Plan establishes a narrative water quality objective for toxicity, stating that “[a]ll waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.” Due to concerns regarding ammonia toxicity, the Regional Water Board relies on U.S. EPA’s recommended water quality criteria for ammonia to interpret the Basin Plan’s narrative objective for toxicity. For freshwater, the recommended criteria are from the April 2013 *Aquatic Life Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia – Freshwater*, EPA 822-R-13-001 (2013 Freshwater Criteria).

The 2013 Freshwater Criteria document recommends acute (1-hour average) and chronic (30-day average) criteria that vary based on pH and temperature. The 2013 Freshwater Criteria document also recommends that no 4-day average concentration should exceed 2.5 times the 30-day chronic criterion. The 2013 Freshwater Criteria document takes into account data for several sensitive mussel species and non-pulmonate snails that had not previously been tested.

U.S. EPA found that as pH and temperature increased, both the acute and chronic toxicity of ammonia increased for invertebrates. However, U.S. EPA found that only pH significantly influenced acute and chronic ammonia toxicity for fish. Therefore, the 2013 acute NAWQC for ammonia is primarily based on the ammonia effects on species in the genus *Oncorhyncus* (salmonids) at lower temperatures and invertebrates at higher temperatures. However, due to the significant sensitivity unionid mussels have to the chronic toxicity effects of ammonia, the 2013 chronic criterion for ammonia is determined primarily by the effects of mussels.

The 2013 Freshwater Criteria recommends acute and chronic water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life, including salmonids and sensitive freshwater mussel species in the Family Unionidae that are more sensitive to ammonia than salmonids. Adequate information is not available to determine if these freshwater mussels are present in the receiving water. The 2013 Freshwater Criteria document states, “In the case of ammonia, where a state demonstrates that mussels are not present on a site-specific basis, the recalculation procedure may be used to remove the mussel species from the national criteria dataset to better represent the species present at the site.” This Order includes a special study requirement in Special Provision VI.C.2.a requiring the Permittee to conduct a study to determine the presence of mussels in the receiving water. Until the study is completed, the Regional Water Board will implement the 2013 Freshwater Criteria with the assumed presence of salmonids and assumed absence of mussels to interpret the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective.
For this Order, the Regional Water Board has changed its approach for evaluating ammonia toxicity. This Order establishes an Ammonia Impact Ratio (AIR) for determining compliance with ammonia effluent limitations. The AIR is calculated as the ratio of the ammonia value in the effluent to the applicable 2013 Freshwater Criteria. See Attachment I of this Order for a sample log to help calculate and record the AIR values and Attachment H for applicable pH and temperature dependent criteria. The Permittee is required to perform an Ammonia Study, as stated in section VI.C.2.a of this Order, to determine the presence of freshwater mussels.

The maximum observed effluent ammonia concentration from the Facility was 56 mg/L, based on 54 samples collected between May 2011 and May 2018. The maximum observed receiving water ammonia concentration was 7.5 mg/L, based on 69 samples collected between May 2011 and April 2017. 66 of the 69 receiving water ammonia samples resulted in a non-detect.

Because ammonia levels in the effluent and receiving water have been measured at concentrations greater than U.S. EPA’s 2013 Freshwater Criteria, the Regional Water Board concludes that discharges from the Facility have a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to exceedances of the Basin Plan’s applicable narrative water quality criterion for toxicity. Therefore, this Order includes effluent limitations for ammonia for the protection of aquatic life. This Order establishes an average monthly effluent limitations (AMEL) and maximum daily effluent limitations (MDEL) for total ammonia, expressed as N, through the use of an AIR. Calculations of the applicable multipliers are included in section IV.C.4 of this Fact Sheet.

vi. **Biostimulatory Substances (Phosphorus and Nitrogen).** The Basin Plan contains a narrative water quality objective for biostimulatory substances that states “[w]aters shall not contain biostimulatory substances in concentrations that promote aquatic growths to the extent that such growths cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.” The Regional Water Board is increasingly concerned about the biostimulatory properties of discharges to surface waters in the North Coast Region. Nutrients, such as phosphorus and nitrogen containing compounds, in treated wastewater stimulate biological growth, thereby depleting dissolved oxygen and advancing eutrophication of receiving waters. At present, for interpretation of the Basin Plan’s narrative water quality objective for biostimulatory substances, U.S. EPA has established recommended water quality criteria for nutrients in *Nutrient Criteria Documents for Lakes and Rivers and Nutrient Criteria Documents for Rivers and Streams*. U.S. EPA has defined 14 “ecoregions” and further categorized surface waters as lakes and reservoirs or rivers and streams for purposes of defining applicable numeric water quality criteria for nutrients. The State and Regional Water Boards continue to examine other methods of interpreting the Basin Plan’s narrative water quality objective for biostimulatory substances. When the Boards determine that U.S. EPA’s recommended criteria are appropriate for implementing the Basin Plan objectives, or when a more appropriate and meaningful method is established, the need for limiting nutrients in relation to biostimulatory properties, including phosphorus...
and nitrogen-containing compounds, in all discharges in the Region will be reassessed. In the meantime, the RPA for nutrients in relation to biostimulatory properties, performed for development of this Order, is inconclusive. The Order establishes monitoring requirements for phosphorus and retains monitoring requirements for nitrogen containing compounds in discharges from the Facility to allow a determination of reasonable potential at such time as the State and Regional Water Boards select an appropriate method for interpretation of the Basin Plan’s narrative objective.

b. **Priority Pollutants**

The SIP establishes procedures to implement water quality criteria from the NTR and CTR and for priority, toxic pollutant objectives established in the Basin Plan. The implementation procedures of the SIP include methods to determine reasonable potential (for pollutants to cause or contribute to excursions above state water quality standards) and to establish numeric effluent limitations, if necessary, for those pollutants showing reasonable potential.

Section 1.3 of the SIP requires the Regional Water Board to use all available, valid, relevant, and representative receiving water and effluent data and information to conduct an RPA. During the term of Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ, priority pollutant sampling was conducted on February 23, 2012, May 8, 2013, April 1, 2014 and January 7, 2015 (effluent only) and May 11, 2011 (effluent and receiving water). All of this data was used for the RPA.

**Hardness:** The CTR and the NTR contain water quality criteria for seven metals that vary as a function of hardness; the lower the hardness, the lower the water quality criteria. The SIP requires water quality criteria be properly adjusted for hardness, using the hardness of the receiving water. The hardness-dependent metal criteria include cadmium, copper, chromium (III), lead, nickel, silver, and zinc. The receiving water hardness in the Mad River ranged from 46 mg/L to 77 mg/L based on 20 samples collected between May 2011 and September 2015. For the RPA, the minimum observed receiving water hardness of 46 mg/L was used to calculate the criteria.

To conduct the RPA, Regional Water Board staff identified the maximum effluent concentration (MEC) and maximum background (B) concentration for each priority, toxic pollutant from effluent and receiving water data provided by the Permittee, and compared this information to the most stringent applicable water quality criterion (C) for each pollutant with applicable water quality criteria from the NTR, CTR, and the Basin Plan. Section 1.3 of the SIP establishes three triggers for a finding of reasonable potential.

**Trigger 1.** If the MEC is greater than C, there is reasonable potential, and an effluent limitation is required.

**Trigger 2.** If B is greater than C, and the pollutant is detected in effluent (MEC > ND), there is reasonable potential, and an effluent limitation is required.

**Trigger 3.** After a review of other available and relevant information, a permit writer may decide that a WQBEL is required. Such additional information may include, but is
not limited to: the facility type, the discharge type, solids loading analyses, lack of
dilution, history of compliance problems, potential toxic impact of the discharge, fish
tissue residue data, water quality and beneficial uses of the receiving water, CWA
303(d) listing for the pollutant, and the presence of endangered or threatened species
or their critical habitat.

c. **Reasonable Potential Determination**

The RPA demonstrated reasonable potential for discharges of dichlorobromomethane,
carbon tetrachloride, and ammonia from the Facility to cause or contribute to
exceedances of applicable water quality criteria. Reasonable potential could not be
determined for all pollutants, as there are not applicable water quality criteria for all
pollutants. The RPA determined that there is either no reasonable potential or there
was insufficient information to conclude affirmative reasonable potential for 124 of the
126 priority pollutants.

Table F-6 summarizes the RPA for each pollutant that was reported in detectable
concentrations in the effluent or the receiving water. The MECs, most stringent water
quality objectives/water quality criteria (WQO/WQCs), and background concentrations
(B) used in the RPA are presented, along with the RPA results (Yes or No and which
trigger) for each toxic pollutant analyzed. No other pollutants with applicable, numeric
water quality criteria from the NTR, CTR, and the Basin Plan were measured above
detectable concentrations during the monitoring events conducted by the Permittee.
Attachment F-1 to this Order summarizes the RPA for all 126 priority pollutants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTR #</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>C or Most Stringent WQO/WQC</th>
<th>MEC or Minimum DL¹</th>
<th>B or Minimum DL</th>
<th>RPA Results²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00566</td>
<td>0.00199</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>Yes (Trigger 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Chlorodibromomethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.08</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>UD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Dichlorobromomethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>Yes (Trigger 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Methyl Chloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
<td>UD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR #</td>
<td>Pollutant</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>C or Most Stringent WQO/WQC</td>
<td>MEC or Minimum DL</td>
<td>B or Minimum DL</td>
<td>RPA Results²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Bis (2-Ethylhexyl) Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>&lt;2.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Butylbenzyl Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Diethyl Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Di-n-Butyl Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Di-n-Octyl Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>UD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>UD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>0.54³</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Yes (Trigger 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrate (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table Notes:**
1. The Maximum Effluent Concentration (MEC) or maximum background concentration (B) is the actual detected concentration unless it is preceded by “<”, in which case the value shown is the minimum detection level as the analytical result was reported as not detected (ND).
2. RPA Results:
   = Yes, if MEC > WQO/WQC, or B > WQO/WQC and MEC is detected.
   = No, if MEC and B or < WQO/WQC or all effluent data are undetected.
   = Undetermined (UD).
3. Ammonia criteria are determined on a sliding scale based upon temperature and pH. The criterion represented in this table is based upon chronic exposure and a temperature of 10.7°C and a pH of 8.6.

Additional details regarding priority pollutant constituents for which reasonable potential was found are included in the following paragraphs:

**Carbon Tetrachloride.** The CTR establishes a water quality objective for the protection of human health for carbon tetrachloride of 0.25 µg/L. The Permittee sampled the effluent for carbon tetrachloride 17 times during the term of Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ between May 2011 and September 2015. Carbon tetrachloride was detected in the effluent at a concentration of 3 µg/L in the May 11, 2011 sample. Carbon tetrachloride was not detected (MDL 0.1 µg/L; RL 1 µg/L) in the receiving water during a single sampling event on May 11, 2011. A determination of reasonable potential has been made based on the MEC of 3 µg/L exceeding the most stringent water quality objective of 0.25 µg/L.

**Dichlorobromomethane (DCBM).** The CTR establishes a water quality objective for the protection of human health for DCBM of 0.56 µg/L. The Permittee sampled the effluent for DCBM 18 times during the term of Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ. DCBM was detected at a concentration of 0.81 µg/L on October 6, 2014. DCBM was not detected in the receiving
water based on one sample. A determination of reasonable potential has been made based on the MEC of 0.81 µg/L exceeding the most stringent water quality objective of 0.56 µg/L.

Additional details regarding constituents for which reasonable potential no longer exists are included in the following paragraphs.

**4,4-DDT.** Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ included effluent limitations for 4,4-DDT. The most stringent water quality objective for 4,4-DDT is 0.0039 µg/L. Since 4,4-DDT was not detected in the effluent, there is no longer reasonable potential for 4,4-DDT. Therefore, effluent limitations for 4,4-DDT have not been retained in this Order.

Additional details regarding constituents for which reasonable potential was not found but warrant further explanation are included in the following paragraph:

**Copper.** The CTR includes hardness dependent criteria for the protection of freshwater aquatic life for copper. The criteria for copper are presented in dissolved concentrations. U.S. EPA recommends conversion factors to translate dissolved concentrations to total concentrations. The U.S. EPA default conversion factors for copper in freshwater are 0.96 for both the acute and the chronic criteria. The default water effects ratio (WER) used for calculating criteria for copper is 1.0. The Permittee conducted a WER study to determine the site-specific toxicity of copper in the receiving water at the point of discharge. The Permittee’s study concluded that a site specific WER of 30.5 for total recoverable copper and 10.5 for dissolved copper apply to the discharge. Using the worst-case measured hardness from the receiving water (46 mg/L) the U.S. EPA recommended dissolved-total translator of 0.96, and the site-specific WER, the applicable chronic criterion (maximum 4-day average concentration) is adjusted to 147 and the applicable acute criterion (maximum 1-hour average concentration) is adjusted to 205. The MEC measured for copper was 13.8 µg/L based on five results obtained between May 2011 and September 2015. Therefore, copper in the effluent does not exhibit reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of water quality objectives.

### 4. WQBEL Calculations

Final WQBELs have been determined using the methods described in section 1.4 of the SIP.

**Step 1:** To calculate the effluent limits, an effluent concentration allowance (ECA) is calculated for each pollutant found to have reasonable potential using the following equation, which takes into account dilution and background concentrations:

\[
\text{ECA} = C + D (C - B),
\]

Where:

C = the applicable water quality criterion (adjusted for effluent hardness and expressed as the total recoverable metal, if necessary)

D = dilution credit (here D= 0, as the discharge does not qualify for a dilution credit)

B = background concentration

Here, no credit for dilution is allowed, which results in the ECA being equal to the applicable criterion (ECA = C).
Step 2: For each ECA based on an aquatic life criterion/objective (ammonia), the long term average discharge condition (LTA) is determined by multiplying the ECA by a factor (multiplier), which adjusts the ECA to account for effluent variability. The multiplier depends on the coefficient of variation (CV) of the data set and whether it is an acute or chronic criterion/objective. Table 1 of the SIP provides pre-calculated values for the multipliers based on the values of the CV. When the data set contains less than 10 sample results, or when 80 percent or more of the data set is reported as non-detect (ND), the CV is set equal to 0.6. Derivation of the multipliers is presented in Section 1.4 of the SIP.

The SIP procedure assumes a 4-day averaging period for calculating the LTA. However, U.S. EPA recommends modifying the procedure for calculating permit limits for ammonia using a 30-day averaging period for the calculation of the LTA corresponding to the 30-day CCC. Therefore, while the LTAs corresponding to the acute and 4-day chronic criteria were calculated according to SIP procedures, the LTA for ammonia corresponding to the 30-day CCC was calculated assuming a 30-day averaging period.

From Table 1 of the SIP, the ECA multipliers for ammonia are 0.41 (acute multiplier), 0.61 (chronic 4-day multiplier), and 0.830 (chronic 30-day multiplier). The LTAs are determined as follows in Table F-7.

Table F-5. Determination of Long Term Averages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>ECA</th>
<th>ECA Multiplier</th>
<th>LTA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Chronic 4-Day</td>
<td>Chronic 30-Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (as N)</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 3: WQBELs, including an AMEL and MDEL, are calculated using the most limiting (lowest) LTA. The LTA is multiplied by a factor that accounts for averaging periods and exceedance frequencies of the effluent limitations, and for the AMEL, the effluent monitoring frequency. Here, the CV is set equal to 0.45 for ammonia, and the sampling frequency is set equal to 4 \((n = 4)\) for the acute criterion and chronic 4-day criterion, and 30 \((n = 30)\) for the chronic 30-day criterion. The 99th percentile occurrence probability was used to determine the MDEL multiplier and a 95th percentile occurrence probability was used to determine the AMEL multiplier. From Table 2 of the SIP, the MDEL multiplier for ammonia is 2.47, and the AMEL multiplier is 1.40. Final WQBELs for ammonia are determined by calculating the AIR for each of the ammonia standards (AMEL and MDEL). Attachment H of this Order includes two tables that display the AMEL and MDEL ammonia standards. The ammonia standards are calculated by taking the variable ammonia criteria and multiplying it by the ECA multiplier and the appropriate AMEL and MDEL multiplier. The 2013 ammonia criteria are dependent on the pH and temperature of the receiving water. For example:

**AMEL Ammonia Standard** = \((2013 \text{ Acute Ammonia Criteria (ECA)} \times \text{AMEL Multiplier (1.40)} \times \text{ECA Multiplier (0.41)})\)

**MDEL Ammonia Standard** = \((2013 \text{ Acute Ammonia Criteria (ECA)} \times \text{MDEL Multiplier (2.47)} \times \text{ECA Multiplier (0.41)})\)
The AIR, or final WQBEL, is determined by dividing the ammonia sample by the appropriate ammonia standard (AMEL and MDEL). If the AIR is greater than 1.0 then the Permittee is not in compliance with the AIR effluent limitation.

**Step 4:** When the most stringent water quality criterion/objective is a human health criterion/objective (as for carbon tetrachloride and dichlorobromomethane), the AMEL is set equal to the ECA. From Table 2 of the SIP, for carbon tetrachloride, the CV = 1.75, the MDEL multiplier at the 99th percentile occurrence probability equals 7.8, and the AMEL multiplier at the 95th percentile occurrence probability equals 2.6. For dichlorobromomethane, the CV = 0.95, the MDEL multiplier at the 99th percentile occurrence probability equals 4.7 and the AMEL multiplier at the 95th percentile occurrence probability equals 1.9. The MDEL for protection of human health is calculated by multiplying the ECA by the ratio of the MDEL multiplier to the AMEL multiplier. Final WQBELs for dichlorobromomethane and carbon tetrachloride are determined as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>ECA (µg/L)</th>
<th>MDEL/AMEL</th>
<th>MDEL (µg/L)</th>
<th>AMEL (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobromomethane</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A summary of WQBELs established by this Order is given in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
<td>Average Weekly</td>
<td>Maximum Daily</td>
<td>Instantaneous Minimum</td>
<td>Instantaneous Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobromomethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settleable Solids</td>
<td>ml/L</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Residual Chlorine</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia Impact Ratio</td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliform Bacteria</td>
<td>MPN/100 mL</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Notes:
1. The median value of total coliform bacteria shall not exceed an MPN of 23 per 100 mL, in any 30-day period.

5. **Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)**

Monitoring and effluent limitations for whole effluent toxicity protect the receiving water from the aggregate effect of a mixture of pollutants that may be present in effluent. There are two types of WET tests – acute and chronic. An acute toxicity test is conducted over a short time period and measures mortality. A chronic test is conducted over a longer period of time and may measure mortality, reproduction, and/or growth.
WET requirements are derived from the CWA and the Basin Plan. The Basin Plan establishes a narrative water quality objective for toxicity that states “All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, or aquatic life.” Detrimental responses may include, but are not limited to, decreased growth rate, decreased reproductive success of resident or indicator species, and/or significant alterations in population, community ecology, or receiving water biota. For compliance with the Basin Plan’s narrative toxicity objective, this Order requires the Permittee to conduct WET testing for acute and chronic toxicity, as specified in the MRP (Attachment E, section V).

a. **Acute Aquatic Toxicity**

   Consistent with Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ, this Order includes an effluent limitation for acute toxicity in accordance with the Basin Plan, which requires that the average survival of test organisms in undiluted effluent for any three consecutive 96-hour bioassay tests be at least 90 percent, with no single test having less than 70 percent survival.

   The Order implements federal guidelines (Regions 9 and 10 Guidelines for Implementing Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Programs) by requiring the Permittee to conduct acute toxicity tests on a fish species and on an invertebrate species to determine the most sensitive species. According to the U.S. EPA manual, *Methods for Estimating the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms* (EPA/600/4-90/-27F), the acceptable vertebrate species for the acute toxicity test are the fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* and the rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. The acceptable invertebrate species for the acute toxicity test are the water flea, *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, *Daphnia magna*, and *D. pulex*. This Order requires the Permittee to conduct a screening test using a vertebrate and invertebrate species. After the screening test is completed, monitoring can be reduced to the most sensitive species. Attachment E of this Order requires monthly acute WET monitoring.

b. **Chronic Aquatic Toxicity**

   The SIP requires the use of short-term chronic toxicity tests to determine compliance with the narrative toxicity objectives for aquatic life in the Basin Plan. The SIP requires that the Permittee demonstrate the presence or absence of chronic toxicity using tests on the fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas*, the water flea, *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, and the freshwater alga, *Selenastrum capricornutum* (also named *Raphidocelis subcapitata*). Attachment E of this Order requires routine chronic WET monitoring 2 times during the first discharge season (October 1 to May 14) after the effective date of this permit and annually thereafter to demonstrate compliance with the narrative toxicity objective.

   The Permittee conducted annual chronic toxicity testing using *P. promelas*, *C. dubia*, and *S. capricornutum*. The following table summarizes the chronic toxicity testing results from April 2011 through November 2016.
Table F-8. Summary of Chronic Toxicity Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Pimephales promelas</th>
<th>Ceriodaphnia dubia</th>
<th>Selenastrum capricornutum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Survival (TUc)</td>
<td>Growth (TUc)</td>
<td>Survival (TUc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 24, 2012</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 6, 2012</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 28, 2013</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2014</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2015</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to elevated toxicity in the effluent, reasonable potential to exceed the Basin Plan's narrative toxicity objective for chronic toxicity has been determined and effluent limitation has been established in this Order.

Numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitations have not been included in the Order for consistency with the SIP, which implements narrative toxicity objectives in basin plans and specifies use of a numeric trigger for accelerated monitoring and implementation of a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) in the event that persistent toxicity is detected. The SIP contains implementation gaps regarding the appropriate form and implementation of chronic toxicity limits. This has resulted in the petitioning of a NPDES permit in the Los Angeles Region that contained numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitations. To address the petition, the State Water Board adopted WQO 2003-0012 directing its staff to revise the toxicity control provisions in the SIP. The State Water Board states the following in WQO 2003-012, “In reviewing this petition and receiving comments from numerous interested persons on the propriety of including numeric effluent limitations for chronic toxicity in NPDES permits for publicly-owned treatment works, that discharge to inland waters, we have determined that this issue should be considered in a regulatory setting, in order to allow for full public discussion and deliberation. We intend to modify the SIP to specifically address the issue. We anticipate that review will occur within the next year. We therefore decline to make a determination here regarding the propriety of the final numeric effluent limitations for chronic toxicity contained in these permits.” The process to revise the SIP is underway. Proposed changes include clarifying the appropriate form of effluent toxicity limits in NPDES permits and general expansion and standardization of toxicity control implementation related to the NPDES permitting process. Since the toxicity control provisions in the SIP are under revision, it is infeasible to develop numeric effluent limitations for chronic toxicity at this time. The SIP revision may require a permit modification to incorporate new statewide toxicity criteria established by the upcoming SIP revision.

This Order includes a reopener that allows the Regional Water Board to reopen the permit and include a numeric chronic toxicity limitation, a revised acute toxicity limitation, and/or a limitation for a specific toxicant identified in the TRE.
To ensure compliance with the narrative effluent limitation and the Basin Plan's narrative toxicity objective, the Permittee is required to conduct chronic toxicity testing twice during the first discharge season (October 1 to May 14) and annual chronic WET testing thereafter at Discharge Point 001, as specified in the MRP (Attachment E, section V). Furthermore, the MRP (Attachment E, section V.C) requires the Permittee to investigate the causes of, and identify and implement corrective actions to reduce or eliminate effluent toxicity. If the discharge demonstrates toxicity exceeding the numeric toxicity monitoring trigger, the Permittee is required to initiate a TRE in accordance with an approved TRE work plan. The numeric toxicity monitoring trigger is not an effluent limitation; it is the toxicity threshold at which the Permittee is required to perform accelerated chronic toxicity monitoring, as well as the threshold to initiate a TRE if a pattern of effluent toxicity has been demonstrated.

c. **Test of Significant Toxicity (TST)**

Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ established a numeric chronic toxicity trigger of 1.0 TUC = 100/NOEC, using a five-concentration hypothesis test. In 2010, U.S. EPA endorsed the peer-reviewed *Test of Significant Toxicity (TST) two-concentration hypothesis testing approach in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Test of Significant Toxicity Implementation Document* (EPA 833-R-10-003, 2010) as an improved hypothesis-testing tool to evaluate data from U.S. EPA's toxicity test methods. The TST hypothesis testing approach more reliably identifies toxicity—in relation to the chronic (0.25 or more) mean response of regulatory management concern—than the NOEC hypothesis-testing approach. The TST hypothesis testing approach more reliably identifies toxicity—in relation to the acute (0.20 or more) mean responses of regulatory management concern—than the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) approach used previously to establish effluent limitations for acute toxicity.

Since the TST approach has not previously been applied for determining reasonable potential or establishing effluent limitations for acute or chronic toxicity, this Order does not include effluent limitations for acute or chronic toxicity based on the TST approach. However, this Order does require the Permittee to monitor and report results in a manner that will allow the Regional Water Board to conduct an RPA in accordance with the TST approach at the time of the next permit renewal.

The State Water Board is developing a toxicity amendment to the *Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California* that will standardize the regulation of aquatic toxicity for all non-oceanic surface waters. U.S. EPA’s TST approach is an essential component of this draft toxicity amendment as it forms the basis for utilizing numeric water quality objectives and acts as the primary means of determining compliance with the proposed effluent limitations.

In a letter dated February 12, 2014, the State Water Board submitted an alternative test process (ATP) request to U.S. EPA Region 9 for the statewide use of a two-concentration toxicity test design when using the TST approach. This two-concentration test design is composed of a single effluent concentration and a control concentration. U.S. EPA approved the ATP request on March 17th, 2014. In June 2014, the approval was challenged in court on procedural grounds under the Administrative Procedures Act by the Southern California Alliance of Publicly Owned Treatment Works
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(SCAP) and the Central Valley Clean Water Association (CVCWA). The U.S. EPA withdrew the approval and notified State Water Board in a memo dated February 11, 2015.

It is important to note that U.S. EPA's rescission of its approval of the ATP is not based on the substantive TST statistical analysis or the scientific validity of a two-concentration test design. The withdrawal letter also states that currently there is a proposed rulemaking to change the language in the ATP regulations at 40 C.F.R. part 136.

The benefits of requiring the TST in new or amended permits include improving the statistical power of the toxicity test, and simplifying the analysis as compared to the traditional hypothesis statistical approaches or point estimates. The calculations are straightforward and provide a clear pass/fail result. With the withdrawal of the two-concentration test design approval, an NPDES permit can still require the TST for statistical analyses. Toxicity tests shall be run using a multi-concentration tests design in accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 136.3, and the TST shall be utilized with the biological responses from the permitted in-stream waste concentration (IWC) and the control (effluent concentration of zero). However, even with only two of the five concentration biological responses being used, cost savings in the form of time and effort are still realized for the statistical analysis and data interpretation carried out by the Permittee, lab, and permit manager. This Order requires application of TST for statistical analysis of whole effluent toxicity data.

**Tests of Significant Toxicity Design**

The TST's null hypothesis for chronic toxicity is:

\[ H_0: \text{Mean response (In-stream Waste Concentration (IWC) in \% effluent)} \leq 0.75 \text{ mean response (control)} \]

Results are analyzed using the TST approach and an acceptable level of chronic toxicity is demonstrated by rejecting the null hypothesis and reporting “Pass” or “P”.

The chronic IWC (in \% effluent) for Discharge Point 001 is 100%. The chronic toxicity trigger for Discharge Point 001 is expressed as a null hypothesis (H_0) and regulatory management decision (b value) of 0.75 for the chronic toxicity methods in the MRP. The null hypothesis for this discharge is:

\[ H_0: \text{Mean response (100\% effluent)} \leq 0.75 \text{ mean response (control)} \]

Results shall be analyzed using the TST hypothesis testing approach in section V.B.6.a of the MRP. Compliance with this chronic toxicity limitation is demonstrated by rejecting the null hypothesis and reporting “Pass” or “P”.

When the chronic toxicity test results in a “Fail” or “F,” the Permittee must initiate accelerated monitoring as specified in the MRP (Attachment E, section V). After accelerated monitoring, if conditions of chronic toxicity are found to persist, the Permittee will be required to conduct a TRE, as described by the MRP.

Notification requirements for chronic WET testing include a 72-hour verbal notification requirement and a 14 day written report requirement, if test results indicate toxicity.
The 14 day written notification is established in the U.S. EPA WET Guidance documents cited in the MRP. The 72-hour verbal notification requirement is being added to provide the Regional Water Board with knowledge of the toxicity in advance of the written report. The 72-hour requirement is intended to give the Permittee sufficient time to make a telephone call to Regional Water Board staff and accounts for non-working days (e.g., weekends). Verbal notification of WET test exceedances may be left by voice mail if the Regional Water Board staff person is not immediately available by telephone.

This Order includes a requirement for the Permittee to conduct a screening test using at least one vertebrate, invertebrate, and plant species. After the screening test is completed, monitoring can be reduced to the most sensitive species.

This Order also includes a narrative chronic toxicity limitation as required by State Board Order No. WQO 2003-012.

D. Final Effluent Limitation Considerations

1. Anti-Backsliding Requirements

Sections 402(o) and 303(d)(4) of the CWA and federal regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(l) prohibit backsliding in NPDES permits. These anti-backsliding provisions require effluent limitations in a reissued permit to be as stringent as those in the previous permit, with some exceptions where limitations may be relaxed. All effluent limitations in this Order are at least as stringent as the effluent limitations in Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ, with the exception of mass-based effluent limitations for BOD$_5$ and TSS, and effluent limitations for 4,4-DDT, nitrate, and total coliform.

Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ established final mass-based effluent limitations for BOD$_5$ and TSS. Historically, the Regional Water Board routinely incorporated mass-based limits (in addition to concentration-based limits) for BOD$_5$ and TSS in NPDES permits to encourage correction of infiltration and inflow (I&I). Applied in this way, mass-based limitations effectively restrict a POTW’s wet-weather influent flows to less than or equal to the treatment facility’s design capacity in situations where POTW’s experience excessive I&I as a result of climate conditions and/or aging infrastructure. The application of mass-based effluent limitations for BOD$_5$ and TSS is not necessary to limit wet-weather inflow into the Permittee’s Facility because I&I is not a significant problem and the Permittee is not in danger of exceeding treatment capacity for reasonably anticipated flows.

Mass limitations for BOD$_5$ and TSS for discharges of treated wastewater have been removed because Regional Water Board staff misinterpreted the exception of 40 C.F.R. section 122.45(f)(2), which states that mass limitations are not required “when applicable standards and limitations are expressed in terms of other units of measure.” Equivalent to secondary treatment standards for BOD$_5$ and TSS in 40 C.F.R. 133.105, on which the effluent limitations in previous permits were based, are expressed in concentration and percent removal (i.e., other units of measure). The relaxation of effluent limitations for BOD$_5$ and TSS in this Order is permissible under CWA section 402(o)(2)(B), because Regional Water Board staff has determined that mass-based limitations for BOD$_5$ and TSS were applied in the previous permits as a result of a mistaken interpretation of law when issuing those previous permits.
In addition, Regional Water Board staff previously held that anti-backsliding regulations prevented the removal of mass-based limitations for BOD$_5$ and TSS because they were appropriate and necessary to protect water quality and prevent water quality degradation in receiving waters. While it is conceivable that the absence of mass-based limitations for these pollutants may result in an increased pollutant loading to surface waters, recent self-monitoring reports indicate that compliance with concentration-based effluent limitations for BOD$_5$ and TSS effectively maintain the Permittee’s mass emission rates for BOD$_5$ and TSS well below permitted mass-based limitations. In addition, even if there is a resulting increase in pollutant loading, there is no evidence that the increase will result in degradation of water quality. Therefore, relaxation of effluent limitations for BOD$_5$ and TSS in this Order is also permissible under CWA section 402(o)(2)(B), based on new information available to the Regional Water Board.

Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ included effluent limitations for 4,4-DDT based on the CTR human health criteria. 4,4-DDT was not detected in the effluent based on 18 results collected between May 2011 and September 2015 and it was not detected in the receiving water based on one result gathered in May 2011. The data demonstrate that the discharge no longer demonstrates reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of the water quality objectives. The updated effluent data for 4,4-DDT constitutes new information, which permits the removal of effluent limitations consistent with CWA section 402(o)(2)(B). Therefore, this Order does not retain effluent limitations for 4,4-DDT.

Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ included effluent limitations for nitrate based on the MCL of 10 mg/L. Nitrate was not detected in the effluent based on 87 results collected between May 2011 and September 2015 and was detected in one result at 1 mg/L. The data demonstrate that the discharge no longer demonstrates reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of the water quality objectives. The updated effluent data for nitrate constitutes new information, which permits the removal of effluent limitations consistent with CWA section 402(o)(2)(B). Therefore, this Order does not retain effluent limitations for nitrate.

Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ included effluent limitations for total coliform that included a maximum daily effluent limitation of 230 MPN/100 mL. This Order revises the maximum daily total coliform effluent limitation to be 240 MPN/100 mL, based on the title 22 requirements for disinfected secondary treated effluent. The existing coliform effluent limit constitutes a technical mistake in interpretation of the title 22 requirements, which permits the relaxation of effluent limitations consistent with CWA section 402(o)(2)(B)(ii).

2. **Antidegradation Policies**

   This Order is consistent with applicable federal and state antidegradation policies, as it does not authorize the discharge of increased concentrations of pollutants or increased volumes of treated wastewater beyond that which was permitted to discharge in accordance with Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

3. **Stringency of Requirements for Individual Pollutants**

   This Order contains both technology-based effluent limitations and WQBELs for individual pollutants. The technology-based effluent limitations consist of restrictions on BOD$_5$ and TSS. Restrictions on these pollutants are discussed in section IV.B of this Fact Sheet. This...
Order’s technology-based pollutant restrictions implement the minimum, applicable federal technology-based requirements. In addition, this Order contains effluent limitations for ammonia, carbon tetrachloride, chlorine residual, pH, settleable solids, and total coliform bacteria that are more stringent than the minimum, federal technology-based requirements but are necessary to meet water quality standards. These requirements are discussed in section IV.C.3 of the Fact Sheet.

WQBELs have been derived to implement water quality objectives that protect beneficial uses. Both the beneficial uses and the water quality objectives have been approved pursuant to federal law and are the applicable federal water quality standards. Most beneficial uses and water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan were approved under state law and submitted to and approved by U.S. EPA prior to May 30, 2000. Any water quality objectives and beneficial uses submitted to U.S. EPA prior to May 30, 2000, but not approved by U.S. EPA before that date, are nonetheless “applicable water quality standards for purposes of the CWA” pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 131.21(c)(1). Collectively, this Order’s restrictions on individual pollutants are no more stringent than required to implement the requirements of the CWA.

The Regional Water Board has considered the factors in Water Code section 13263, including the provisions of Water Code section 13241, in establishing these requirements.

E. Interim Effluent Limitations – Not Applicable

This Order does not establish interim effluent limitations or schedules for compliance with final limitations.

F. Land Discharge Specifications

1. Scope and Authority

Section 13263 of the Water Code requires the Regional Water Board to prescribe requirements for proposed discharges, existing discharges, or material changes in an existing discharge based upon the conditions of the disposal area or receiving waters upon or into which the discharge is made or proposed. The prescribed requirements shall implement any relevant water quality control plans that have been adopted, and shall take into consideration the beneficial uses to be protected, the water quality objectives reasonably required for that purpose, other waste discharges, the need to prevent nuisance, and the provisions of Water Code section 13241. In prescribing requirements, the Regional Water Board is not obligated to authorize the full waste assimilation capacities of the receiving water.

Here, the Regional Water Board considered all of these factors when developing the WDRs for the land discharge. Limitations for BOD$_5$, TSS, and pH were scientifically derived to implement water quality objectives that protect beneficial uses. Both beneficial uses and the water quality objectives have been approved pursuant to state law. In addition, discharge prohibitions were included to prohibit the land discharge of untreated or partially treated waste, in order to protect public health and prevent nuisance.

In addition, the Regional Water Board considered the factors set forth in Water Code section 13241, including the consideration of past, present, and probable future beneficial uses of the receiving water, which the Regional Water Board anticipates to be the same as set forth
in the Basin Plan. The Regional Water Board considered the environmental characteristics, including water quality of the Blue Lake Hydrologic Area of the Mad River Hydrologic Unit, the water bearing capacity of groundwater basins in the vicinity of the discharge, and the need to maintain a land discharge. The Permittee did not submit any evidence regarding whether the WDRs for discharges to land would interfere with the development of needed housing within the region or the costs of compliance, particularly anything to show that the costs of compliance with the Order would be unmanageable.

2. Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives
   a. **Beneficial Uses.** Beneficial use designations for groundwater established in the Basin Plan include municipal and domestic supply (MUN), industrial service supply (IND), industrial process supply (PRO), agricultural supply (AG), native American culture (CUL), aquaculture (AQUA), and freshwater replenishment to surface waters (FRSH).
   b. **Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives.** The Basin Plan contains narrative objectives for taste and odor, bacteria, radioactivity, and chemical constituents (including those chemicals that adversely affect agricultural water supply) that apply to groundwater.

3. Determining the Need for Requirements for Discharges to Land
   The Regional Water Board considered the following when determining the need for requirements for discharges to the percolation ponds at Discharge Point 002.
   a. **BOD.** The secondary treatment standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133 establish the minimum level of effluent quality attainable by secondary treatment in terms of BOD₅. Numeric discharge specifications for BOD₅ have been updated from Order No. R1-2011-0008-DWQ and reflect the secondary treatment standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133.
   b. **TSS.** The secondary treatment standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133 establish the minimum level of effluent quality attainable by secondary treatment in terms of TSS. Numeric discharge specifications for TSS have been updated from Order No. R1-2011-0008-DWQ and reflect the secondary treatment standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133.
   c. **pH.** This Order establishes discharge specifications for pH of 6.0 to 9.0 based on technology-based effluent limitations required by U.S. EPA pursuant to 40 C.F.R. part 133. These pH limits are included in the Order to ensure that pH levels are appropriate for protection of groundwater when discharging to land.
   d. **Nitrate.** Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ included an average monthly discharge specification for nitrate of 10 mg/L based on the MCL established by DDW for the protection of public water supplies at title 22 of the CCR, sections 64431 (Inorganic Chemicals) and 64444 (Organic Chemicals). Nitrate was detected once in the effluent at a concentration of 1 mg/L based on 87 samples. Nitrate was not detected in the remaining samples. However, groundwater monitoring data shows that groundwater has been impacted due to elevated levels of ammonia in the discharge to land. The Permittee has upgraded the Facility and the new process was designed to meet a 10 mg/L effluent limitation. The upgraded Facility was also designed to provide ammonia treatment of < 1 mg/L. Ammonia is converted to Nitrate through nitrification. To ensure adequate denitrification occurs, to reduce nitrate concentrations below 10
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mg/L, this Order retains the discharge specification for nitrate from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

e. **Total Coliform Bacteria.** The Order includes discharge specifications for total coliform bacteria of 23 MPN/100 mL as a monthly median and 240 MPN/100 mL as a daily maximum. These limitations are based on regulations for secondary-23 recycled water contained in title 22, division 4, chapter 3 of the CCR to ensure that the quality of the water discharged to land is protective of human health. Although the Permittee’s percolation pond discharge has been characterized as a land discharge rather than water recycling, title 22 secondary-23 requirements are appropriate for this use to ensure protection of public health. These limitations can be reasonably achieved through proper operation of the Permittee’s wastewater treatment facilities and are retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

G. **Water Recycling Specifications and Requirements**

This Order authorizes the Permittee to reuse treated municipal wastewater to the lower and upper Fisher Ranch, the Hiller storm water treatment wetland, and the Pialorsi Ranch that complies with the Water Recycling Specifications and Requirements contained in section IV.C of the Order. This Order includes recycling specifications based on the technical capabilities of the wastewater treatment system and levels required by the Basin Plan and title 22 and expanded use area requirements for water recycling as required by title 22, article 4, section 60310.

1. **Scope and Authority**

Section 13263 of the Water Code requires the Regional Water Board to prescribe requirements for proposed discharges, existing discharges, or material change in an existing discharge based upon the conditions of the disposal area or receiving waters upon or into which the discharge is made or proposed. The prescribed requirements shall implement any relevant water quality control plans that have been adopted, and shall take into consideration the beneficial uses to be protected, the water quality objectives reasonably required for that purpose, other waste discharges, the need to prevent nuisance, and the provisions of Water Code section 13241. In prescribing requirements, the Regional Water Board is not obligated to authorize the full waste assimilation capacities of the receiving water.

Water Code section 13241 requires the Regional Water Board to establish water quality objectives in water quality control plans as in its judgment will ensure the reasonable protection of beneficial uses and prevention of nuisance, recognizing that it may be possible for the quality of water to be changed to some degree without unreasonably affecting beneficial uses. The Basin Plan establishes water quality objectives specific to the North Coast Region for the protection of past, present, and probable future beneficial uses of water. Factors required for consideration during development of applicable water quality objectives, such as the characteristics of the hydrographic unit under consideration, economic considerations, and other factors required in accordance with section 13241 were considered during the Basin Planning and adoption process.

Here, the Regional Water Board considered all of these factors when developing waste discharge requirements for the recycled water discharge. Limitations for BOD\textsubscript{5} and TSS were derived based upon the treatment capability of the Facility in order to implement
water quality objectives that protect the beneficial uses of both surface and groundwater. Both beneficial uses and the water quality objectives have been approved pursuant to state law, and then submitted to and approved by U.S. EPA. In addition, discharge prohibitions were included to prohibit the use of untreated or partially treated wastewater for recycling.

The Regional Water Board considered the factors set forth in Water Code section 13241, including the consideration of past, present, and probable future beneficial uses of the receiving water, which the Regional Water Board anticipates to be the same as set forth in the Basin Plan. The Regional Water Board considered the environmental characteristics, including water quality of the Blue Lake Hydrologic Area of the Mad River Hydrologic Unit, the coordinated control of all factors which affect water quality in the area, and the need to develop and use recycled water, which this Order supports. The Permittee did not submit any evidence regarding whether the waste discharge requirements for recycled water discharges would interfere with the development of needed housing within the region or the costs of compliance, particularly anything to show that the costs of compliance with the Order would be unmanageable.

2. Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives

a. Beneficial Uses. Beneficial use designations for groundwater established in the Basin Plan include municipal and domestic supply (MUN), industrial service supply (IND), industrial process supply (PRO), agricultural supply (AG), native American culture (CUL), aquaculture (AQUA), and freshwater replenishment to surface waters (FRSH).

b. Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives. The Basin Plan contains narrative objectives for tastes and odors, bacteria, radioactivity, and chemical constituents (including those chemicals that adversely affect agricultural water supply) that apply to groundwater.

3. Determining the Need for Requirements for Water Recycling

a. The Water Recycling Specifications are established in this Order to conform to requirements contained in the CCR, title 22, division 4, chapter 3 for the use of disinfected secondary 23 recycled water. The Permittee is required to comply with applicable state and local requirements regarding the production and use of recycled water, including requirements of Water Code sections 13500 – 13577 (Water Reclamation) and DDW regulations at title 22, sections 60301 – 60357 of the CCR (Water Recycling Criteria).

The Recycling Specifications and Requirements are established in this Order to conform to requirements contained in the CCR, title 22, division 4, chapter 3 for the recycled water use of disinfected secondary-23 recycled water. Specific water recycling requirements are enumerated in Order section IV.C, Water Recycling Specifications and Requirements to this Order. The requirement to comply with title 22 requirements is retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

b. Biochemical Oxygen Demand. The secondary treatment standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133 establish the minimum level of effluent quality attainable by secondary treatment in terms of BOD$_5$ (30 mg/L and 45 mg/L). Numeric recycling specifications for BOD$_5$ have been updated from Order No. R1-2011-0008-DWQ and reflect the secondary treatment standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133.
c. **Total Suspended Solids.** The secondary treatment standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133 establish the minimum level of effluent quality attainable by secondary treatment in terms of TSS (30 mg/L and 45 mg/L). Numeric recycling specifications for TSS have been updated from Order No. R1-2011-0008-DWQ and reflect the secondary treatment standards at 40 C.F.R. part 133.

d. **pH.** The Order establishes discharge specifications for pH of 6.0 to 9.0 based on technology-based effluent limitations required by U.S. EPA pursuant to 40 C.F.R. part 133. These pH limits are included in the Order to ensure that pH levels are appropriate for protection of groundwater for discharges of recycled water.

e. **Coliform Bacteria.** The Order includes discharge specifications for total coliform bacteria of 23 MPN/100 mL as a monthly median and 240 MPN/100 mL as a daily maximum. These specifications are based on regulations for secondary-23 recycled water contained in title 22, division 4, chapter 3 of the CCR to ensure that the quality of the water discharged to land is protective of human health. These limitations can be reasonably achieved through proper operation of the Permittee’s wastewater treatment facilities and are retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

H. **Other Requirements**

1. **Residual Chlorine.** This Order eliminates the minimum chlorine residual requirement from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ. Instead, this Order requires the Permittee to maintain a chlorine residual concentration that ensures the discharge meets the total coliform effluent limitations at the end of the disinfection process so that adequate pathogen reduction is continuously achieved.

V. **RATIONALE FOR RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS**

A. **Surface Water**

CWA section 303(a-c) requires states to adopt water quality standards, including criteria where they are necessary to protect beneficial uses. The Regional Water Board adopted water quality criteria as water quality objectives in the Basin Plan. The Basin Plan states that “[t]he numerical and narrative water quality objectives define the least stringent standards that the Regional [Water] Board will apply to regional waters in order to protect the beneficial uses.” The Basin Plan includes numeric and narrative water quality objectives for various beneficial uses and water bodies. This Order contains Receiving Surface Water Limitations based on the Basin Plan numerical and narrative water quality objectives for biostimulatory substances, bacteria, chemical constituents, color, dissolved oxygen, floating material, oil and grease, pH, pesticides, radioactivity, sediment, settleable material, suspended material, tastes and odors, temperature, toxicity, and turbidity.

The dissolved oxygen limitation in this Order reflects the new Basin Plan dissolved oxygen limit that was adopted by the Regional Water Board on June 18, 2015, and effective beginning April 24, 2017, after receiving approval from U.S. EPA. The new Basin Plan dissolved oxygen limitation specifies limits for the WARM, COLD, and SPWN beneficial uses. The COLD and SPWN beneficial uses occur in the Mad River and its tributaries. This Order includes only the SPWN limitations because it is the most restrictive and protective limit and the SPWN beneficial use is present throughout the entire discharge season.
B. Groundwater

Groundwater limitations are included in the Order to protect the beneficial uses of the underlying groundwater. The beneficial uses of the underlying groundwater are municipal and domestic supply, industrial service supply, industrial process supply, agricultural supply, and freshwater replenishment to surface waters. Discharges from the Facility shall not cause exceedance of applicable water quality objectives or create adverse impacts to beneficial uses of groundwater. Groundwater data must be evaluated using appropriate statistical tools to determine when groundwater degradation is occurring.

The Basin Plan further requires that groundwaters shall not contain toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in humans, or that adversely affects beneficial uses. This limitation applies regardless of whether the toxicity is caused by a single substance or the synergistic effect of multiple substances.

VI. RATIONALE FOR PROVISIONS

A. Standard Provisions


Standard Provisions, which apply to all NPDES permits in accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 122.41, and additional conditions applicable to specified categories of permits in accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 122.42, are provided in Attachment D to the Order. The Permittee must comply with all standard provisions and with those additional conditions that are applicable under 40 C.F.R. section 122.42. The rational for the special conditions contained in the Order is provided in section VI.B, below.

Sections 122.41(a)(1) and (b) through (n) of 40 C.F.R. establish conditions that apply to all state-issued NPDES permits. These conditions must be incorporated into the permits either expressly or by reference. If incorporated by reference, a specific citation to the regulations must be included in the Order. Section 123.25(a)(12) allows the state to omit or modify conditions to impose more stringent requirements. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 123.25, this Order omits federal conditions that address enforcement authority specified in 40 C.F.R. sections 122.41(j)(5) and (k)(2) because the enforcement authority under the Water Code is more stringent. In lieu of these conditions, this Order incorporates by reference Water Code section 13387(e).


a. Order Provision VI.A.2.a identifies the state's enforcement authority under the Water Code, which is more stringent than the enforcement authority specified in the federal regulations (e.g., 40 C.F.R. sections 122.41(j)(5) and (k)(2)).

b. Order Provision VI.A.2.b requires the Permittee to notify Regional Water Board staff, orally and in writing, in the event that the Permittee does not comply or will be unable to comply with any Order requirement. This provision requires the Permittee to make direct contact with a Regional Water Board staff person.
B. Special Provisions

1. Reopener Provisions
   a. Standard Revisions (Special Provision VI.C.1.a). Conditions that necessitate a major
      modification of a permit are described in 40 C.F.R. section 122.62, which include the
      following:
      i. When standards or regulations on which the permit was based have been changed
         by promulgation of amended standards or regulations or by judicial decision.
         Therefore, if revisions of applicable water quality standards are promulgated or
         approved pursuant to Section 303 of the CWA or amendments thereto, the
         Regional Water Board will revise and modify this Order in accordance with such
         revised standards.
      ii. When new information that was not available at the time of permit issuance
          would have justified different permit conditions at the time of issuance.
   b. Reasonable Potential (Special Provision VI.C.1.b). This provision allows the
      Regional Water Board to modify, or revoke and reissue, this Order if present or future
      investigations demonstrate that the Permittee governed by this Permit is causing or
      contributing to excursions above any applicable priority pollutant criterion or
      objective, or adversely impacting water quality and/or the beneficial uses of receiving
      waters.
   c. Whole Effluent Toxicity (Special Provision VI.C.1.c). This Order requires the
      Permittee to investigate the causes of, and identify corrective actions to reduce or
      eliminate effluent toxicity through a TRE. This Order may be reopened to include a
      numeric chronic toxicity limitation, new acute toxicity limitation, and/or a limitation
      for a specific toxicant identified in the TRE.
   d. 303(d)-Listed Pollutants (Special Provision VI.C.1.d). This provision allows the
      Regional Water Board to reopen this Order to modify existing effluent limitations or
      add effluent limitations for pollutants that are the subject of any future TMDL action.
   e. Water Effects Ratios (WERs) and Metal Translators (Special Provision VI.C.1.e).
      This provision allows the Regional Water Board to reopen this Order if future studies
      undertaken by the Permittee provide new information and justification for applying a
      WER or metal translator to a water quality objective for one or more priority
      pollutants.
   f. Nutrients (Special Provision VI.C.1.f). This Order contains effluent limitations for
      ammonia and effluent monitoring for nutrients (ammonia, nitrate, and phosphorus).
      This provision allows the Regional Water Board to reopen this Order if future
      monitoring data indicates the need for new or revised effluent limitations for any of
      these parameters.
   g. Salt and Nutrient Management Plans (SNMPs) (Special Provision VI.C.1.g). This
      provision allows the Regional Water Board to reopen this Order if it adopts a regional
      or subregional SNMP that is applicable to the Permittee.
h. **Title 22 Engineering Report (Special Provision VI.C.1.h).** This provision allows the Regional Water Board to reopen this Order to adequately implement title 22, if future modifications to the Permittee’s title 22 engineering report occur.

2. **Special Studies and Additional Monitoring Requirements**
   a. **Ammonia Study (Special Provision VI.C.2.a).** The 2013 Freshwater Criteria for ammonia vary based on pH and temperature, and reflect the latest scientific knowledge on the toxicity of ammonia to freshwater aquatic life, including new data on sensitive freshwater mussels and gill-breathing snails. Under most conditions, the 2013 Freshwater Criteria are more stringent than the 1999 Freshwater Criteria when mussels are present in the receiving water. Adequate information is not available to determine if these freshwater mussels are present in the receiving water. The 2013 Freshwater Criteria document states, “In the case of ammonia, where a state demonstrates that mussels are not present on a site-specific basis, the recalculation procedure may be used to remove the mussel species from the national criteria dataset to better represent the species present at the site.” The 2013 Freshwater Criteria document contains recalculation procedures for situations where mussels are not present in the receiving water. This Order requires the Permittee to conduct a study to determine the presence of mussels in the receiving water. The Regional Water Board shall use the results of this study to inform the determination of ammonia effluent limitations, if necessary, during the next permit renewal.

3. **Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention**
   a. **Pollutant Minimization Program (Special Provision VI.C.3.a).** This provision is included in this Order pursuant to section 2.4.5 of the SIP. The Regional Water Board includes standard provisions in all NPDES permits requiring development of a Pollutant Minimization Program when there is evidence that a toxic pollutant is present in the effluent at a concentration greater than an applicable effluent limitation.
   b. **BMPs for Lower Fisher Ranch Swale (Special Provision VI.C.3.b).** Consistent with Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ, this provision is included in this Order to ensure that the Permittee continues to implement BMPs to prevent to the extent practicable the creation of runoff that leads to the discharge of recycled water to the swale that bisects the lower Fisher Ranch.

4. **Construction, Operation, and Maintenance Specifications**
   a. **Operation and Maintenance (Special Provisions VI.C.4.a and b).** 40 C.F.R. section 122.41(e) requires proper operation and maintenance of permitted wastewater systems and related facilities to achieve compliance with permit conditions. An up-to-date operation and maintenance manual, as required by Provision VI.C.4.b of this Order, is an integral part of a well-operated and maintained facility.

5. **Special Provisions for Municipal Facilities (POTWs Only)**
   a. **Wastewater Collection Systems (Special Provision VI.C.5.a)**
      i. **Statewide General WDRs for Sanitary Sewer Systems.** On May 2, 2006, the State Water Board adopted General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems, Water Quality Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ (General Order). The
General Order requires public agencies that own or operate sanitary sewer systems with greater than 1 mile of pipes or sewer lines to enroll for coverage under the General Order. The General Order requires agencies to develop sanitary sewer management plans (SSMPs) and report all SSOs, among other requirements and prohibitions. The Permittee has enrolled under the General Order as required.

On February 20, 2008, the State Water Board adopted Order No. WQ 2008-0002-EXEC Adopting Amended Monitoring and Reporting Requirements for Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems, to ensure adequate and timely notifications are made to the Regional Water Board and appropriate local, state, and federal authorities in case of sewage spills. On August 6, 2013, the State Water Board adopted Order No. WQ 2013-0058-EXEC Amending Monitoring and Reporting Program for Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems. Order No. WQ 2013-0058-EXEC addressing compliance and enforceability of the Monitoring and Reporting Program and superseding the amendments in Order No. WQ-2008-0002-EXEC. Notification and reporting of SSOs is conducted in accordance with the requirements of Order Nos. 2006-0003-DWQ and WQ 2013-0058-EXEC, and any revisions thereto for operation of its wastewater collection system.

b. **Source Control and Pretreatment Provisions (Special Provision VI.C.5.b).**

Pursuant to Special Provision VI.C.5.b.i, the Permittee shall implement the necessary legal authorities to monitor and enforce source control standards, restrict discharges of toxic materials to the collection system, and inspect facilities connected to the system.

40 C.F.R. section 403.8(a) requires POTWs with a total design flow greater than 5 mgd and receiving pollutants which pass through or interfere with the operation of the POTW to establish a POTW Pretreatment Program. The Regional Water Board may also require that a POTW with a design flow of 5 mgd or less develop a POTW Pretreatment Program if the nature or volume of the industrial influent, treatment process upsets, violations of POTW effluent limitations, contamination of municipal sludge, or other circumstances warrant in order to prevent interference or pass through. The Permittee did not report any known industrial wastes subject to regulation under the NPDES Pretreatment Program being discharged to the Facility in the ROWD and the permitted flow of the Facility is less than 5 mgd; therefore, the Order does not require the Permittee to develop a pretreatment program that conforms to federal regulations.

Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ states that there has been unexplained effluent deterioration beginning in 2002 and identification of industrial priority pollutants in discharges from this primarily residential and commercial community. In order to prevent interference with the POTW or pass through of pollutants to the receiving water, the Order requires the Permittee to conduct an industrial waste survey to identify all non-domestic facilities in the service area that might discharge pollutants that could pass through or interfere with the operation or performance of the Facility and to monitor the influent for priority pollutants. If the results of the industrial waste survey or influent monitoring indicate that a pretreatment program is necessary, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 403.8(3), the Regional Water Board may reopen this permit to require the Permittee to develop a pretreatment program.
Water Code section 13263.3(d)(1) allows the Regional Water Board to require a discharger to complete and implement a pollution prevention plan if pollution prevention is necessary to achieve a water quality objective, to include, pursuant to Water Code section 13263.3(d)(3), an analysis of the methods that could be used to prevent the discharge of the pollutants into the POTW. These methods can include application of local limits to industrial or commercial dischargers, pollution prevention techniques, public education and outreach, or other innovative and alternative approaches to reduce discharges of pollutants to the POTW. The analysis also shall identify sources, or potential sources, not within the ability or authority of the POTW to control, such as pollutants in the potable water supply, airborne pollutants, pharmaceuticals, or pesticides, and estimate the magnitude of those sources, to the extent feasible. This Order includes requirements for the Permittee to implement a source identification and reduction program.

A key component of an effective source control program is the identification and location of possible industrial users within the POTW's wastewater collection system. This information is typically obtained by the POTW through industrial waste surveys. The following types of resources can be consulted in compiling a master list of industrial users:

i. Water and sewer billing records
ii. Applications for sewer service
iii. Local telephone directories
iv. Chamber of Commerce and local business directories
v. Business license records
vi. POTW and wastewater collection personnel and field observations
vii. Business associations
viii. The internet
ix. Industrial and non-residential sewer use permit records

In addition, the Regional Water Board recognizes that some form of source control is prudent to ensure the efficient operation of the Facility, the safety of Facility staff, and to ensure that pollutants do not pass through the treatment Facility to impair the beneficial uses of the receiving water. The proposed Order includes prohibitions for the discharge of pollutants that may interfere, pass through, or be incompatible with treatment operations, interfere with the use of disposal of sludge, or pose a health hazard to personnel.

c. Sludge Disposal and Handling Requirements (Special Provision VI.C.5.c). This Order provides permit coverage for the disposal of sludge/biosolids to land on the Permittee’s property from the biosolids removed from the percolation ponds as part of the coho restoration project. The disposal or reuse of wastewater treatment

---

2 Biosolids removed from the treatment process, other than biosolids removed from the percolation ponds rehabilitation, is not authorized under this Order.
screenings, sludges, or other solids removed from the liquid waste stream is regulated by 40 C.F.R. parts 257, 258, 501, and 503, and the State Water Board promulgated provisions of title 27 of the CCR.

d. **Operator Certification (Special Provision VI.C.5.e).** This provision requires the Facility to be operated by supervisors and operators who are certified as required by title 23, section 3680 of the CCR.

e. **Adequate Capacity (Special Provision VI.C.5.f).** The goal of this provision is to ensure appropriate and timely planning by the Permittee to ensure adequate capacity for the protection of public health and water quality.

6. **Other Special Provisions**

   a. **Storm Water (Special Provision VI.C.6.a).** This provision requires the Permittee, if applicable, to obtain coverage under the State Water Board’s Water Quality Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ, NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001, for Discharges of Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activities (or subsequent renewed versions of the NPDES General Permit CAS000001). Currently, the Facility is exempted from these requirements because all storm water either percolates into the ground or is retained within the pond treatment system.

7. **Compliance Schedules – Not Applicable**

   This Order does not establish interim effluent limitations or schedules of compliance for final numeric effluent limitations.

VII. **RATIONALE FOR MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

Section 122.48 of 40 C.F.R. requires that all NPDES permits specify requirements for recording and reporting monitoring results. Water Code section 13383 authorizes the Regional Water Board to require technical and monitoring reports. The MRP, Attachment E, establishes monitoring and reporting requirements that implement federal and state requirements. The following provides the rationale for the monitoring and reporting requirements contained in the MRP for this Facility.

A. **Influent Monitoring**

1. Influent monitoring requirements at Monitoring Location INF-001 for BOD₅ and TSS are retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ and are necessary to determine compliance with the Order’s 85 percent removal requirement for these parameters.

1. Influent monitoring requirements for flow at Monitoring Locations INF-001 are retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

B. **Effluent Monitoring**

1. Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ established effluent monitoring requirements at Monitoring Location M-001, located in the chlorine contact chamber following dechlorination, for discharges to the Mad River (Discharge Point 001), the percolation ponds (Discharge Point 002), and the water recycling system (Discharge Points 003 through 006). Additionally, Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ established flow monitoring for discharges to the Mad River, the percolation ponds, and the water recycling system at Monitoring Locations M-002 through M-008. To be consistent with the standard naming conventions for monitoring locations and
in order to distinguish between the types of discharge (i.e., surface water discharge, land discharge, recycled water discharge) and associated monitoring requirements, this Order establishes revised monitoring location names. Discharges to the Mad River, the percolation ponds, and the water recycling system shall be monitored at Monitoring Locations EFF-001, LND-001, and REC-001, respectively; however, the Permittee may continue to sample for these parameters at the current location at the end of the chlorine contact chamber following dechlorination. This Order does not specify monitoring location names for the individual recycled water use areas (previous Monitoring Locations M-004 through M-008). Instead, this Order requires the Discharger to provide information on recycled water production and use in the annual recycled water report, as required in section VII.B of the MRP.

2. Effluent monitoring requirements are necessary to determine compliance with prohibitions and/or effluent limitations established by the Order. Monitoring at Monitoring Location EFF-001 is necessary to demonstrate compliance with effluent limitations and demonstrate whether or not the discharge poses reasonable potential for a pollutant to exceed any numeric or narrative water quality objectives.

a. Effluent monitoring frequencies and sample types for flow, BOD₅, TSS, pH, total coliform bacteria, settleable solids, temperature, total chlorine residual, ammonia (total), nitrate, bromoform, chlorodibromomethane, dichlorobromomethane, and chloroform have been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

b. The effluent monitoring frequency and sample type for chlorine residual has been changed from a daily grab sample to continuous monitoring with a meter. Regional Water Board staff recognizes that the Permittee has done an admirable job in limiting the formation of chlorine disinfection by-products. However, reasonable potential was found for dichlorobromomethane and a max sample of 0.4 ug/L for dibromochlormethane is just below the Water Quality Objective. Therefore, Section IV.D of the Order requires the Permittee to establish continuous chlorine residual monitoring at INT-001 to demonstrate that the appropriate chlorine residual concentration is maintained in the effluent at INT-001 at all times.

c. Monitoring data collected over the term of Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ demonstrated that the discharge exhibits reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of water quality criteria for carbon tetrachloride, and this Order includes effluent limitations for these constituents. Therefore, this Order establishes monthly monitoring requirements for carbon tetrachloride to determine compliance with the applicable effluent limitations.

d. Effluent monitoring for phosphorus has been established in this Order to better characterize the effluent.

e. Effluent monitoring data indicates that the discharge does not exhibit reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of water quality objectives for alpha-BHC, 4,4-DDT, boron, or total dissolved solids. Therefore, this Order discontinues effluent monitoring requirements for these constituents from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.
f. Effluent monitoring requirements for the CTR priority pollutants at Monitoring Location EFF-001 have been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ. Priority pollutant monitoring during the term of Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ demonstrated that there is no reasonable potential for any priority pollutant, except dichlorobromomethane and carbon tetrachloride to exceed the applicable water quality criteria.

C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements

Whole effluent toxicity (WET) monitoring requirements are retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ and are included in this Order to protect the receiving water quality from the aggregate effect of a mixture of pollutants in the effluent. Acute toxicity testing measures mortality in 100 percent effluent over a short test period and chronic toxicity testing is conducted over a longer time period and may measure mortality, reproduction, and/or growth.

In addition to routine toxicity monitoring, this Order requires the Permittee to maintain and update their TRE Workplan, in accordance with appropriate U.S. EPA guidance to ensure that the Permittee has a plan to immediately move forward with the initial tiers of a TRE in the event effluent toxicity is encountered in the future. The TRE is initiated by evidence of a pattern of toxicity demonstrated through the additional effluent monitoring provided as a result of an accelerated monitoring program.

D. Land Discharge Monitoring Requirements

1. Land discharge monitoring requirements are necessary to determine compliance with prohibitions and/or effluent limitations established by the Order. Monitoring at Monitoring Location LND-001 is necessary to demonstrate compliance with land discharge specifications in section VI.B of the Order and demonstrate whether or not the discharge poses reasonable potential for a pollutant to exceed any numeric or narrative water quality objectives.

2. Monitoring requirements for flow, BOD₅, TSS, pH, total coliform bacteria, total dissolved solids, and nitrate have been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ. Monitoring requirements for temperature, settleable solids, ammonia, and boron have been eliminated because there are no applicable drinking water MCLs for these pollutants and monitoring data generated during the last 5 years has demonstrated that concentrations of bromoform, chlorodibromomethane, dichlorobromomethane, and chloroform are well below applicable drinking water MCL for total trihalomethanes.

E. Recycled Water Monitoring Requirements

This Order requires that the Permittee comply with applicable state and local requirements regarding the production and use of recycled water. Recycled water monitoring requirements at Monitoring Location REC-001 for flow, BOD₅, TSS, pH, total coliform bacteria, ammonia, nitrate, total dissolved solids, and boron have been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

Section 13523.1(b)(4) of the Water Code requires quarterly recycled water reporting. Section X.D.3.a.i of the MRP requires quarterly recycled water reporting.

The Order includes several new recycled water monitoring requirements including:
1. Monitoring requirements have been added for nitrite and organic nitrogen because it is necessary to determine the total nitrogen concentration of the effluent in order to ensure application of recycled water at nutrient agronomic rates. Monitoring is required monthly.

2. Monitoring requirements for chloride, boron, and sodium have been added to determine whether any of these constituents are present in the effluent at concentrations that may exceed water quality objectives for these constituents to address concerns of the statewide Recycled Water Policy. Total dissolved solids is a direct measure of salinity, which can affect underlying groundwater quality as it relates to drinking water and agricultural supply beneficial uses. Secondary MCLs for taste and odor in drinking water have been established by DDW for total dissolved solids (500 mg/L), chloride (250 mg/L) and sodium (60 mg/L). Agricultural water quality thresholds for total dissolved solids (450 mg/L) and boron (0.7 mg/L) have been established by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Monitoring is required monthly.

3. Visual monitoring of recycled water use sites has been established. The purpose of visual monitoring is to identify any indicators, such as surface runoff, ponding, broken sprinkler heads, or sprinklers operating when the ground is saturated, that could result in a violation of permit conditions and to implement any needed corrective measures.

F. Receiving Water Monitoring

1. Surface Water
   a. Receiving water monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with the Receiving Water Limitations.
   b. Monitoring requirements at Monitoring Location RSW-001 for flow, temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, ammonia (nitrogen), hardness, turbidity, and CTR pollutants have been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.
   c. Monitoring requirements at Monitoring Location RSW-002 for temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, ammonia (nitrogen), hardness, and turbidity have been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

2. Groundwater
   a. Monitoring requirements at Monitoring Locations GW-001, GW-002, GW-006, GW-007, GW-009, and GW-019 for total dissolved solids, nitrate, and groundwater elevation have been retained from Order No. 2011-0008-DWQ.

G. Other Monitoring Requirements

1. Monitoring Location INT-001. Internal monitoring at the end of the chlorine contact chamber is required to measure chlorine residual in lieu of daily coliform monitoring to assure adequate disinfection on a daily basis.

2. Visual Monitoring. Visual monitoring for effluent (EFF-001) and receiving water (RSW-001 and RSW-002) requirements are retained from the previous Order and are necessary to ensure compliance with receiving water limitations in section V. of the Order.
3. **Sludge Monitoring.** New sludge monitoring requirements at Monitoring Location BIO-001 serve as a basis for the Permittee to develop the sludge Handling and Disposal report that is required as part of the Annual Report pursuant to section X.D.3.g of the MRP.

4. **Discharge Monitoring Report Quality Assurance (DMR-QA) Study Program.** Under the authority of section 308 of the CWA (33 U.S.C. § 1318), U.S. EPA requires major permittees under the NPDES Program to participate in the annual DMR-QA Study Program. The DMR-QA Study evaluates the analytical ability of laboratories that routinely perform or support self-monitoring analyses required by NPDES permits. There are two options to satisfy the requirements of the DMR-QA Study Program: (1) The Permittee can obtain and analyze a DMR-QA sample as part of the DMR-QA Study; or (2) Per the waiver issued by U.S. EPA to the State Water Board, the Permittee can submit the results of the most recent Water Pollution Performance Evaluation Study from its own laboratories or its contract laboratories. A Water Pollution Performance Evaluation Study is similar to the DMR-QA Study. Thus, it also evaluates a laboratory's ability to analyze wastewater samples to produce quality data that ensure the integrity of the NPDES Program. The Permittee shall ensure that the results of the DMR-QA Study or the results of the most recent Water Pollution Performance Evaluation Study are submitted annually to the State Water Board. The State Water Board's Quality Assurance Program Officer will send the DMR-QA Study results or the results of the most recent Water Pollution Performance Evaluation Study to U.S. EPA's DMR-QA Coordinator and Quality Assurance Manager.

5. **Accelerated Monitoring Requirements.** Table E-4 includes accelerated monitoring requirements for parameters that are required to be monitored daily, weekly, monthly, and annually.

6. **Flow Monitoring.** Section I.D of the MRP requires proper installation, calibration, operation, and maintenance of flow metering devices.

7. **Spill Notification.** The MRP that is part of this Order establishes requirements for reporting spills and unauthorized discharges, with the exception of SSOs which must be reported in accordance with the requirements of State Water Board Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ and WQ 2013-0058-EXEC.

**VIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region (Regional Water Board) is considering the issuance of waste discharge requirements (WDRs) that will serve as a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the McKinleyville Community Services District Wastewater Management Facility. As a step in the WDR adoption process, the Regional Water Board staff has developed tentative WDRs. The Regional Water Board encourages public participation in the WDR adoption process.

A. **Notification of Interested Parties**

The Regional Water Board notified the Permittee and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for the discharge and provided an opportunity to submit written comments and recommendations. Notification was provided through the following posting on the Regional Water Board’s Internet site at: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/board_decisions/tentative_orders/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/board_decisions/tentative_orders/) and through publication in the Press Democrat and Eureka Times Standard on May 25, 2018.
B. **Written Comments**

Interested persons were invited to submit written comments concerning these tentative WDRs as provided through the notification process. Comments were due to the Regional Water Board Executive Office electronically via e-mail to NorthCoast@waterboards.ca.gov or on disk (CD or DCD) in Portable Document Format (PDF) file in lieu of paper-sourced documents. The guidelines for electronic submittal of documents can be found on the Regional Water Board website at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast.

To be fully responded to by staff and considered by the Regional Water Board, the written comments were due at the Regional Water Board office by 5:00 p.m. on **June 25, 2018**.

C. **Public Hearing**

The Regional Water Board will hold a public hearing on the draft WDRs during its regular Board meeting on the following date and time and at the following location:

- **Date:** September 6, 2018
- **Time:** 8:30 a.m. or as announced in the Regional Water Board’s agenda
- **Location:** Regional Water Quality Control Board
  David C. Joseph Room
  5550 Skylane Blvd., Suite A
  Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Interested persons were invited to attend. At the public hearing, the Regional Water Board heard testimony pertinent to the discharge, WDRs, and permit. For accuracy of the record, important testimony was requested in writing.

Please be aware that dates and venues may change. Our Web address is http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast where you can access the current agenda for changes in dates and locations.

D. **Waste Discharge Requirements Petitions**

Any aggrieved person may petition the State Water Board to review the decision of the Regional Water Board regarding the final WDRs. The petition must be received by the State Water Board at the following address within 30 calendar days of the Regional Water Board’s action:

- **State Water Resources Control Board**
  Office of Chief Counsel
  P.O. Box 100, 1001 I Street
  Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

For instruction on how to file a petition for review see http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/petitions/water_quality/wqpetition_instr.shtml

E. **Information and Copying**

The Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD), related documents, tentative effluent limitations and special provisions, comments received, and other information are on file and may be inspected at the address identified in section VIII.C, above, at any time between 8:30 a.m. and 4:45 p.m., Monday through Friday. Copying of documents may be arranged through the Regional Water Board by calling (707) 576-2220.
F. **Register of Interested Persons**

Any person interested in being placed on the mailing list for information regarding the WDRs and NPDES permit should contact the Regional Water Board, reference this facility, and provide a name, address, and phone number.

G. **Additional Information**

Requests for additional information or questions regarding this order should be directed to Justin McSmith at Justin.McSmith@waterboards.ca.gov or (707) 576-2082.
### Attachment F-1 – McKinleyville Community Services District RPA Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>MEC</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>CMC</th>
<th>CCC</th>
<th>Water &amp; Org</th>
<th>Org. Only</th>
<th>MCL</th>
<th>Reasonable Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (III)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>919.3</td>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (VI)</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>146.5</td>
<td>205.4</td>
<td>146.5</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>0.00566</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>243.2</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos</td>
<td>MF/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8 TCDD</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.5x10⁻⁷</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.3x10⁻⁸</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.3x10⁻⁸</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.0x10⁻⁵</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorodibromomethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Chloroethylvinyl ether</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constituent</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Qualifier</td>
<td>MEC</td>
<td>Qualifier</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>CMC</td>
<td>CCC</td>
<td>Water &amp; Org</td>
<td>Org. Only</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>Reasonable Potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobromomethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichloropropylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Bromide</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Chloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6,800</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Trans-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Chlorophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dichlorophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dimethylphenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methyl-4,6-Dinitrophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dinitrophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Nitrophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Nitrophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl 4-Chlorophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constituent</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Qualifier</td>
<td>MEC</td>
<td>Qualifier</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>CMC</td>
<td>CCC</td>
<td>Water &amp; Org</td>
<td>Org. Only</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>Reasonable Potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,6-Trichlorophenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9,600</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>9,600</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(a)Anthracene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(a)Pyrene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(b)Fluoranthene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(ghi)Perylene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(k)Fluoranthene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Methane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butylbenzyl Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Chloronaphthalene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibeno(a,h)Anthracene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Below the RL

Attachment F – Fact Sheet  F-56
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>MEC</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>CMC</th>
<th>CCC</th>
<th>Water &amp; Org</th>
<th>Org. Only</th>
<th>MCL</th>
<th>Reasonable Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,3-Dichlorobenzidine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>313,000</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>313,000</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-n-Butyl Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-Dinitrotoluene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6-Dinitrotoluene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-n-Octyl Phthalate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Diphenylhydrazine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoranthene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorocyclopentadiene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachloroethane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isophorone</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Nitrosodimethylamine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.00069</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.00069</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Nitrosodiphenylamine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenanthrene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constituent</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Qualifier</td>
<td>MEC</td>
<td>Qualifier</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>CMC</td>
<td>CCC</td>
<td>Water &amp; Org</td>
<td>Org. Only</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>Reasonable Potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldrin</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-BHC</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta-BHC</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gamma-BHC</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delta-BHC</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>No Criteria</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlordane</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4’-DDT</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.00059</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.00059</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4’-DDE</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.00059</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.00059</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4’-DDD</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.00083</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.00083</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dieldrin</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-Endosulfan</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta-Endosulfan</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosulfan Sulfate</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endrin</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endrin Aldehyde</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptachlor</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptachlor Epoxide</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBs sum</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxaphene</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.00073</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT G - RECYCLED WATER FINDINGS, USE REQUIREMENTS, PROVISIONS, AND TECHNICAL REPORT REQUIREMENTS

The Recycled Water Findings, Use Requirements, Provisions, and Technical Report Requirements in this Attachment apply to the Permittee’s recycled water system, including storage, distribution, and use.

B. Recycled Water Findings

The North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) finds that:

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. “Recycled water” means water which, as a result of treatment of waste, is suitable for a direct beneficial use or a controlled use that would not otherwise occur and is therefore considered a valuable resource. (Wat. Code, § 13050(n).)

2. In 1977, the State Water Board adopted Resolution No. 77-1, titled “Policy with Respect to Water Reclamation in California” (Resolution No. 77-1). Resolution No. 77-1, in part, encourages the use of recycled water in the state.

3. In 1996, the State Water Board and DDW set forth principles, procedures, and agreements to which the agencies committed themselves, relative to the use of recycled water in California, in a document titled Memorandum of Agreement between the Department of Health Services and the State Water Resources Control Board on the Use of Reclaimed Water (MOA). This Order is consistent with the MOA.

4. Prior to July 1, 2014, CDPH provided public health recommendations to the Water Boards through review and approval of Title 22 Engineering Reports prepared pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 60323. The Water Boards then issue permits. Effective July 1, 2014, the administration of the Drinking Water Program, including responsibility for review of Title 22 Engineering Reports was transferred from the CDPH to the State Water Board.

5. On February 3, 2009, the State Water Board adopted Resolution No. 2009-0011, “Adoption of a Policy for the Water Quality Control of Recycled Water” (Recycled Water Policy) (Revised January 22, 2013, effective April 25, 2013). The goal of Resolution No. 2009-0011 is to increase the use of recycled water from municipal wastewater sources that meets the definition in Water Code section 13050(n), as identified in Finding A.1, above. In accordance with the Recycled Water Policy, activities involving recycled water use that could impact high quality waters are required to implement best practicable treatment or control of the discharge necessary to ensure that pollution or nuisance will not occur, and the highest water quality consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the state will be maintained.
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water supplies and encourages recycled water projects by maintaining a streamlined approach in permitting new recycled water users through a water recycling program. This Order (Order No. R1-2018-0001) incorporates language from the General Order and supports the streamlined approach that allows the Permittee to add recycled water projects through the Permittee’s recycled water program and in accordance with requirements of this Order.

7. The Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria was established for the protection of public health and are codified in the California Code of Regulations, title 22, division 4, chapter 3 (herein referred to as Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria). Approved uses of recycled water under the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria depend on the level of treatment and potential for public contact. Under the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria, recycled water is categorized based on treatment levels. There are four categories of recycled water relevant to this General Order; they are listed here and defined in the indicated regulations section:

d. Disinfected tertiary recycled water (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 22, § 60301.230.)

An approved Title 22 Engineering Report addressing protection of public health is required before authorization to use recycled water is granted by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer.

8. Recycled water shall only be used consistent with the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria and requirements specified in this Order, including:

a. Written approval of a Title 22 Engineering Report prior to delivery of recycled water for all use types proposed by the Permittee;

b. Use of recycled water are subject to backflow prevention, cross connection tests, and setback requirements to surface impoundments, wells, etc. as contained in the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria and the California Code of Regulations, title 17, division 1, article 2.

9. New uses of recycled water not identified at the time that this Order is adopted, may be authorized after Order adoption, as long as such new uses meet the requirements of this Order and an approved Title 22 Engineering Report.

10. When used in compliance with the Recycled Water Policy, the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria, and all applicable state and federal water quality laws, the Regional Water Board finds that recycled water is safe for approved uses, and strongly supports recycled water as a safe alternative to raw and potable water supplies for approved uses.

11. This Order authorizes beneficial, non-potable recycled water uses consistent with the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria and any additional requirements specified in the Permittee’s ROWD and approved by DDW.
12. There are many sources of salts and nutrients in surface and groundwater, including leaching of naturally occurring salts in soils as a result of irrigation and precipitation, animal wastes, fertilizers and other soil amendments, municipal use including water softeners, and industrial wastewater.

13. Use of recycled water has the potential to increase nutrients in groundwater supplies. In order to minimize the nutrient loading, this Order requires that recycled water used for irrigation purposes be applied at agronomic rates.

14. The use of recycled water for irrigation has the potential to increase salts and other constituents in groundwater, but is not expected to be a significant source of salt loading relative to other potential sources, particularly when recycled water is used in the same watershed in which it would otherwise be discharged. Basin-specific salt and nutrient management plans, however, will provide definitive information on where assimilative capacity is available.

15. The Recycled Water Policy calls on local water and wastewater entities together with other stakeholders who contribute salt and nutrients to a groundwater basin or sub-basin, to fund and develop Salt and Nutrient Management Plans to comprehensively address all sources of salts and nutrients. The State Water Board herein reasserts the need for comprehensive salt and nutrient management planning and directs that salinity and nutrient increases should be managed in a manner consistent with the Recycled Water Policy. It is the intent of the Recycled Water Policy that every groundwater basin/sub-basin in California ultimately has a consistent Salt and Nutrient Management Plan. The appropriate way to address salt and nutrient issues is through the development of regional or subregional Salt and Nutrient Management Plans.

16. According to Paragraph 7(b)(4) of the Recycled Water Policy, irrigation projects are not required to conduct project-specific receiving water and groundwater monitoring unless otherwise required by an applicable salt and nutrient management plan. This Order requires the Permittee to comply with any future salt and nutrient management plan adopted by the Regional Water Board. Until a salt and nutrient management plan is adopted, groundwater monitoring could be required as needed for development of the salt and nutrient management plan or if necessary to assess impacts of effluent disposal to the recycled water system.

17. The Recycled Water Policy includes monitoring requirements for Constituents of Emerging Concern1 (CECs) for the use of recycled water for groundwater recharge by surface and subsurface application methods. The monitoring requirements and criteria for evaluating monitoring results in the Recycled Water Policy are based on recommendations from a

---

1 For this Policy, CECs are defined to be chemicals in personal care products, pharmaceuticals including antibiotics, antimicrobials; industrial, agricultural, and household chemicals; hormones; food additives; transformation products, inorganic constituents; and nanomaterials.
Because this General Order is limited to non-potable uses and does not authorize groundwater replenishment activities, monitoring for CECs is not required.

18. The Recycled Water Policy requires permits for landscape irrigation with recycled water to include priority pollutant monitoring at the recycled water production facility. Annual monitoring is required for design production flows greater than one million gallons per day; a five year monitoring frequency is required for flows less than one million gallons per day. Priority pollutants are listed in Appendix A of 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 423.

19. This Order requires the Permittee to minimize the potential for surface runoff of recycled water, but recognizes that even with diligent implementation of best management practices (BMPs), incidental runoff events may occur on occasion. Incidental runoff is defined as unintended small amounts (volume) of runoff from recycled water use areas where agronomic rates and appropriate best management practices are being implemented. Examples of incidental runoff include unintended, minimal over-spray from sprinklers that escapes the recycled water use area or accidental breakage of a sprinkler head on a properly maintained irrigation system. Water leaving a recycled water use area is not considered incidental if it is part of the facility design, if it is due to excessive application, if it is due to intentional overflow or application, or if it is due to negligence. Incidental runoff events are typically infrequent, low volume, accidental, not due to a pattern of neglect or lack of oversight, and are promptly addressed. The Regional Water Board recognizes that such minor violations are unavoidable and present a low risk to water quality. All runoff incidents, including incidental runoff, shall be summarized in the Permittee’s quarterly recycled water monitoring report. Enforcement action shall be considered for runoff that is not incidental, inadequate response by the Permittee to incidental runoff incidents, repeated runoff incidents that were within the Permittee’s control, where incidental runoff directly causes violations of water quality objectives, incidents that create a condition of pollution or nuisance, and discharges that reach surface water in violation of Discharge Prohibitions in section III of the Order and/or Recycled Water Requirements in Attachment G, section B.4 or B.6.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY ISSUES

20. Pursuant to Water Code section 13523, the Regional Water Board, after consulting with and receiving the recommendation of the State Water Board DDW, may prescribe water reclamation requirements for water that is used or proposed to be used as recycled water. The requirements shall be established in conformance with the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria pursuant to Water Code section 13521. Pursuant to Water Code section 13523 (b), the requirements for use of recycled water not addressed by the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria will be considered on a case-by-case basis by Regional Water Boards, after consulting with and receiving the recommendations of the State Water Board

---

2 The Science Advisory Panel was convened in accordance with provision 10.b of the Recycled Water Policy. The panel’s recommendations were presented in the report; Monitoring Strategies for Chemicals of Emerging Concern (CECs) in Recycled Water - Recommendations of a Science Advisory Panel, dated June 25, 2010.
DDW. The State Water Board DDW provides such recommendations through acceptance letters for Title 22 Engineering Reports.

21. This Order implements Water Code section 13523.1, which authorizes issuance of a Master Recycled Water Permit to suppliers or distributors, or both, of recycled water in lieu of issuing individual recycled water requirements to each recycled water user.

22. Effluent limitations included in Order No. R1-2018-0001 will assure compliance with requirements contained in title 22 and the DDW/State Water Board MOA.

23. Recycled water shall only be used on areas that have been evaluated in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Future CEQA documents must evaluate the potential environmental impacts of recycled water use on a proposed use site and identify mitigation measures for the protection of water quality to be implemented. Mitigation measures and BMPs must be clearly identified in an Operations and Management Plan as identified in Recycled Water Technical Report Requirement D.2.

24. The uses of recycled water authorized by this Order are exempt from the requirements of Consolidated Regulations for Treatment, Storage, Processing, or Disposal of Solid Waste in California Code of Regulations, title 27, division 2, subdivision 1, section 20005, et seq. The activities are exempt from the requirements of title 27 so long as the activity meets, and continues to meet, all preconditions listed below. (Cal Code Regs., tit. 27, § 20090.)

a. Sewage—Discharges of domestic sewage or treated effluent which are regulated by WDRs issued pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 23, division 3, chapter 9, or for which WDRs have been waived, and which are consistent with applicable water quality objectives, and treatment or storage facilities associated with municipal wastewater treatment plants, provided that residual sludge or solid waste from wastewater treatment facilities shall be discharged only in accordance with the applicable State Water Board promulgated provisions of this division. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 20090(a).)

b. Wastewater—Discharges of wastewater to land, including but not limited to evaporation ponds, percolation ponds, or subsurface leach fields if the following conditions are met: (1) the applicable Regional Water Board has issued WDRs, reclamation requirements, or waived such issuance; (2) the discharge is in compliance with the applicable water quality control plan; and (3) the wastewater does not need to be managed according to, California Code of Regulations, title 22, division 4.5, chapter 11, as a hazardous waste. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 20090(b).)

c. Reuse – Recycling of other use of materials salvaged from waste or produced by waste treatment, such as scrap metal, compost, and recycled chemicals, provided that discharges of residual wastes from recycling or treatment operations to land shall be according to applicable provisions of Title 27 regulations. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 20090(h).)

25. Pursuant to Water Code section 106.5, it is the policy of the State of California that every human being has the right to safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes. This Order promotes that policy by encouraging uses of recycled water. Such uses must be consistent with the requirements of
California Code of Regulations (including the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria). This Order furthers the human right to water by encouraging use of recycled water, thus reducing demand on other sources, including use of potable water used for non-potable uses where recycled water is available.

26. The Regional Water Board consulted with DDW, the Sonoma County Health Department, and the Marin Sonoma Mosquito and Vector Control District and considered any recommendations regarding public health aspects for this use of recycled water.

C. **Recycled Water Use Requirements**

1. The use of recycled water shall not result in unreasonable waste of water.

2. The use of recycled water shall not create a condition of pollution or nuisance as defined in Water Code section 13050(m).

3. The incidental runoff of recycled water shall not result in water quality less than that prescribed in water quality control plans or policies unless authorized through time schedule provisions in WDRs, waivers of WDRs, or conditional prohibitions regulating agricultural discharges from irrigated lands.

4. All recycled water provided pursuant to this Order shall be treated and managed in conformance with all applicable provisions of the Recycled Water Policy.

5. The Permittee shall be responsible for ensuring that recycled water meets the quality standards of section IV.C of the Order and that all users of recycled water comply with the terms and conditions of this Order and with any rules, ordinances, or regulations adopted by the Permittee.

6. The Permittee shall discontinue delivery of recycled water during any period in which there is reason to believe that the quality of the delivered recycled water is not meeting the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria and any other requirements specified in this Order. Notification requirements are as follows:

   a. The Permittee shall notify recycled water users if recycled water that does not meet the recycled water quality requirements of this Order is released into the recycled water system.

   b. The Permittee shall notify the Regional Water Board and State Water Board DDW within one (1) business day of determining that delivery of off-specification recycled water has taken place.

   c. In circumstances where the emergency requires termination of delivery to recycled water users, the Permittee shall copy the Regional Water Board and State Water Board DDW on any correspondence concerning non-compliance between the Permittee and its users. This notification does not supersede any notifications requirements contained in Order Provision VI.2.b and Attachment D section V.E.

   d. The delivery of recycled water shall not resume until all conditions have been corrected.
7. The Permittee shall require each recycled water user to report all violations of recycled water regulations identified in this Order, including runoff incidents. All reported violations of recycled water regulations shall be included in the Permittee’s quarterly recycled water monitoring report, including incidental runoff events that the Permittee is aware of.

8. Uses of recycled water with frequent or routine application (i.e., agricultural or landscape irrigation uses) shall be at agronomic rates and shall consider soil, climate, and plant demand. In addition, application of recycled water and use of fertilizers shall be at a rate that takes into consideration nutrient levels in recycled water and nutrient demand by plants. The Permittee is required to maintain and update an Implementation or Operations and Management Plan specifying agronomic rates and nutrient application for the use area(s) and a set of reasonably practicable measures to ensure compliance with this General Order.
   a. Hydraulic loading to any individual recycled water use site shall be at reasonable agronomic rates designed to minimize percolation of wastewater constituents below the evaporative and root zone.
   b. The Permittee must communicate to recycled water users the nutrient levels in the recycled water at least monthly during the irrigation season so that the recycled water users can appropriately evaluate fertilizer needs prior to application of fertilizers. If the Permittee demonstrates that the recycled water nutrient concentrations are low and consistent from month to month, then the Permittee may reduce the frequency of notifications upon approval by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer.

9. Uses of recycled water that are infrequent (i.e., dust control, frost protection, firefighting, hydrostatic testing, etc.) shall be addressed by a set of reasonably practicable measures within an Implementation or Operations and Management Plan.

10. Recycled water shall not be applied on water-saturated or frozen ground or during periods of precipitation such that runoff is induced.

11. Recycled water shall not be allowed to escape the recycled use area(s) as surface flow that could either pond and/or enter surface waters. [CCR title 22, section 60310(e)] However, incidental runoff of recycled water, such as unintended, minimal over-spray from sprinklers that escapes the recycled water use area, or accidental breakage of a sprinkler head on a properly maintained irrigation system, is not a violation of this Order. Practices and strategies to prevent the occurrence of runoff shall include, where appropriate, but not be limited to:
   a. All new recycled water use sites shall include a 100-foot setback to all surface waters or provide written documentation of appropriate BMPs that will be implemented to prevent or minimize the potential for runoff discharging to surface water;
   b. Urban recycled water use sites shall maintain appropriate setbacks to the street gutter and other inlets to the storm drain system based on site conditions or implement alternative means to prevent the discharge of runoff to surface waters [Urban];
c. Implementation of an Operations and Management Plan that provides for detection of leaks (for example from sprinkler heads), and correction within 72 hours of learning that runoff, or prior to release of 1,000 gallons, whichever comes first;
d. Proper design and aim of sprinkler heads;
e. Proper design and operation of the irrigation system;
f. Refraining from application during precipitation events;
g. Application of recycled water at an agronomic rate that does not exceed the water or nutrient demand of the crop or vegetation being irrigated;
h. Use of repeat start times and multiple water days to increase irrigation efficiency and reduce runoff potential;
i. Maintenance of recycled water infrastructure (pipelines, pumps, etc.) to prevent and minimize breakage and leaks; and
j. Adequate protection of all recycled water reservoirs and ponds against overflow, structural damage, or a reduction in efficiency resulting from a 25-year, 24-hour storm or flood event or greater, and notification of the Regional Water Board Executive Officer, if a discharge occurs.

12. Use areas that are spray irrigated and allow public access shall be irrigated during periods of minimal use. Consideration shall be given to allow maximum drying time prior to subsequent public use.

13. Direct or windblown spray, mist, or runoff from irrigation areas shall not enter dwellings, designated outdoor eating areas, or food handling facilities, roadways, or any other area where the public would accidentally be exposed to recycled water. [CCR title 22, section 60310(e)(3)]

14. Drinking water fountains shall be protected against contact with recycled water spray, mist, or runoff. [CCR title 22, section 60310(e)(3)]

15. All recycled water equipment, pumps, piping, valves, and outlets shall be appropriately marked to differentiate them from potable facilities.

16. The Permittee shall implement the requirements of the California Health and Safety Code (CHSC), section 116815 regarding the installation of purple pipe. CHSC section 116815 requires that “all pipes installed above or below the ground, on or after June 1, 1993, that are designed to carry recycled water, shall be colored purple or distinctively wrapped with purple tape.” Section 116815 also contains exemptions that apply to municipal facilities that have established a labeling or marking system for recycled water used on their premises and for water delivered for agricultural use. The Permittee shall document compliance with this requirement on an annual basis in its annual monitoring report. The Permittee shall continue to implement the requirements of CHSC section 116815 during the term of this Order. [Urban]

17. The portions of the recycled water piping system that are in areas subject to access by the general public shall not include any hose bibs. Only quick couplers that differ from those...
used on the potable water system shall be used on the portions of the recycled water piping system in areas subject to public access. [CCR title 22, section 60310(l)]

18. There shall be no cross-connection between potable water supply and piping containing recycled water. [22 CCR, section 60310(h)] All Users of recycled water shall provide for appropriate backflow protection for potable water supplies as specified in California Code of Regulations, title 17, section 7604 or as determined by the State Water Board on a case-by-case basis to protect public health.

19. Disinfected tertiary recycled water shall not be irrigated within 50 feet of any domestic water supply well or domestic water supply surface intake, unless the technical requirements specified in CCR title 22, section 60310(a) have been met and approved by DDW.

20. The use of recycled water shall not cause degradation of any water supply.

21. Areas irrigated with recycled water shall be managed to prevent ponding and conditions conducive to the proliferation of mosquitoes and other disease vectors, and to avoid creation of a public nuisance or health hazard. Irrigation water shall infiltrate completely within a 24-hour period.

22. All areas where recycled water is used that are accessible to the public shall be posted with signs that are visible to the public, in a size no less than 4 inches high by 8 inches wide that include the following wording: ‘RECYCLED WATER – DO NOT DRINK’. [CCR title 22, section 60310(g)] Each sign shall display an international symbol similar to that shown in CCR title 22, Figure 60310-A. These warning signs shall be posted at least every 500 feet with a minimum of a sign at each corner and access road. DDW may accept alternative signage or wording, or an educational program, provided that the applicant demonstrates to DDW that the alternative approach will assure an equivalent degree of public notification.

23. DDW Guidance Memo No. 2003-02: Guidance Criteria for Separation of Water Mains and Non-Potable Pipelines provides guidance for the separation of new potable water mains and recycled water pipelines which shall be implemented as follows: [Urban]

a. There shall be at least a 4-foot horizontal separation between all pipelines transporting recycled water those transporting disinfected tertiary recycled water and new potable water mains.

b. There shall be at least a 1-foot vertical separation at crossings between all pipelines transporting recycled water and potable water mains, with the potable water main above the recycled water pipeline, unless approved by DDW.

c. All portions of the recycled water pipeline that cross under a potable water main shall be enclosed in a continuous sleeve.

d. Recycled water pipelines shall not be installed in the same trench as new water mains.

e. When site conditions make it impossible to comply with the above conditions, any variation shall be approved by DDW and comply with alternative construction criteria for separation between sanitary sewers and potable water mains as described in the
24. A minimum freeboard, consistent with pond design, but not less than 2 feet, shall be maintained under normal operating conditions in any reservoir or pond containing recycled water. When extraordinary operating conditions necessitate a freeboard of less than 2 feet, the Permittee will document the variance in the monthly self-monitoring report. The report will include an explanation of the circumstances under which the variance is required, the estimated minimum freeboard during the extraordinary period, and any permit violations occurring as a result of the variance.

25. The use of recycled water for dust suppression shall only occur during periods of dry weather, shall be limited to periods of short duration, and shall be limited to areas under the control of the Permittee.

26. The Permittee shall comply with any SNMP that is adopted by the Regional Water Board in the future.

C. Recycled Water Provisions

4. The Permittee shall manage recycled water, and shall develop, establish and enforce administrative procedures, engineering standards, rules, ordinances and/or regulations governing the design and construction of recycled water systems and use facilities and the use of recycled water in accordance with the criteria established in CCR title 22 and this Order. The Permittee shall develop user agreements requiring user compliance with CCR title 22 and this Order. Recycled water engineering standards, rules, ordinances and/or regulations shall be approved by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer and DDW. Upon approval of the Permittee’s procedures, engineering standards, rules, ordinances, and/or regulations, the Permittee may authorize specific additional recycled water projects, in accordance with the approved program and agreements and in accordance with the technical report requirements in section D of this attachment (Attachment G).

5. The Permittee shall conduct periodic inspections of the recycled water use areas, facilities, and operations to monitor and assure compliance with the conditions of this Order. The Permittee shall take whatever actions are necessary, including termination of delivery of recycled water, to correct any user violations.

6. Where dual-plumbed systems are utilized, the Permittee shall, upon prior notification to the user, conduct regular inspections to assure cross-connections are not made with potable water systems and DDW approved backflow prevention devices are installed and operable. Reports of testing and maintenance shall be maintained by the Permittee. The Permittee may use a third party agent to perform cross-connection testing, however, the Permittee is solely responsible for compliance with conditions of this Order and the approved water recycling program.

7. The Permittee shall be responsible for ensuring that recycled water meets the quality standards of this Order and for the operation and maintenance of transport facilities and associated appurtenances. If an entity other than the Permittee has actual physical and ownership control over the recycled water transport facilities, the Permittee may delegate...
operation and maintenance responsibilities for such facilities to that entity through use agreements. The Permittee shall require the use of the recycled water to be in accordance with the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria and to comply with all requirements of this Order, including requirements to apply only at agronomic rates and not cause unauthorized degradation, pollution, or nuisance. If not the same entity, the Permittee shall provide water quality data and communicate to recycled water users the nutrient levels in the recycled water.

8. All persons involved in the operation and/or maintenance of the recycled water system shall attend training regarding the safe and efficient operation of recycled water use facilities.

9. The Permittee shall require recycled water users to comply with the Permittee's use area conditions. Use area requirements shall be consistent with requirements identified in this Attachment (Attachment G).

10. If recycled water will be transported by truck for uses consistent with the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria such as dust control, the Administrator shall provide notification and control measures for Users consistent with the provisions of the approved Title 22 Engineering Report that addresses protection of public health.

11. A copy of the Water Recycling Use Permit must be provided to recycled water users by the Permittee (electronic format is acceptable). The recycled water users must have the documents available for inspection by State and Regional Water Board staff, State/County officials, and/or the Permittee.

12. The Permittee shall comply with the recycled water monitoring and reporting requirements in Attachment E of this Order. This monitoring program shall be consistent with any applicable Salt and Nutrient Management Plan for the basin/sub-basin. The Permittee is responsible for collecting reports from the recycled water users. Where applicable, recycled water users are responsible for submitting on-site observation reports and use data to the Permittee, who will compile and file an annual report with the Regional Water Board. The Permittee, at its discretion, may assume any of its recycled water users' responsibility for on-site observation reports and use data.

13. The Permittee and Users shall maintain in good working order and operate as efficiently as possible any facility or control system to achieve compliance with this General Order. The Administrator may use a third party agent to perform this task, however, the Administrator is solely responsible for compliance with conditions of this permit and the approved water recycling program.

14. The Permittee shall require that personnel receive training to assure proper operation of recycling facilities, worker protection, and compliance with this General Order. The Permittee shall require Recycled Water Supervisor(s)\(^3\) to be familiar with the Administrator permit conditions.

15. The Permittee shall assure that all above ground equipment, including pumps, piping, storage reservoir, and valves which may at any time contain recycled water are identified

\(^3\) A person designated by the Permittee that acts as the coordinator between the Permittee (as the supplier of recycled water) and the recycled water users.
with appropriate notification as required by the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria and California Health and Safety Code section 116815. The Permittee may use a third party agent to perform this task, however, the Permittee is solely responsible for compliance with conditions of this permit and the approved water recycling program.

16. If, in the opinion of the Regional Water Board Executive Officer, recycled water use at proposed new locations cannot be adequately regulated under the Master Recycled Water Permit, a Report of Waste Discharge may be requested and individual Recycled Water Requirements may be adopted.

17. If the Permittee delivers recycled water to any dual-plumbed recycled water system(s), the Permittee shall notify DDW and the Regional Water Board of any incidents of backflow from the dual-plumbed recycled water system into the potable water system within 24 hours of the discovery of the incident. [Urban]

18. If the Permittee delivers recycled water to any dual-plumbed recycled water system(s), any backflow prevention device installed to protect the public water system serving the dual-plumbed recycled water system shall be inspected and maintained in accordance with section 7605 of title 17, CCR. [Urban]

D. Recycled Water Technical Report Requirements

The Permittee shall maintain up-to-date recycled water technical reports, as follows:

4. The Permittee shall submit and maintain a DDW-approved Title 22 Recycled Water Engineering Report that demonstrates and defines compliance with the Uniform Statewide Recycling Criteria (and any future amendments thereto);

5. The Permittee shall submit revised and/or additional engineering report(s) to the Regional Water Board and DDW, prior to initiating any recycled water use (e.g., new industrial use, recreational surface impoundments, water cooling, new dual-plumbed system, etc.) not addressed in any previously submitted CCR title 22 engineering report(s). The Permittee shall also submit any approval letters prepared by DDW to the Regional Water Board Executive Officer. Engineering report(s) shall be prepared by a properly qualified engineer registered in California and experienced in the field of wastewater treatment, and shall contain (1) a description of the design of the recycled water system; (2) a contingency plan which will assure that no untreated or inadequately treated wastewater will be delivered to the use areas; and (3) a cross-connection control program (title 17 of the CCR) where a dual-plumbed system is used. Engineering reports shall clearly indicate the means for compliance with CCR title 22 regulations and this Order.

6. Prior to the initial operation of any dual-plumbed recycled water system, and annually thereafter, the Permittee shall ensure that the dual-plumbed system within each facility and use area is inspected for possible cross connections with the potable water system. The recycled water system shall also be tested for possible cross connections at least once every four years. The testing shall be conducted in accordance with the method described in the Engineering Report. The inspections and the testing shall be performed by a cross connection control specialist certified by the California-Nevada section of the American Water Works Association or an organization with equivalent certification requirements. A written report documenting the result of the inspection or testing for the prior year shall be
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submitted to DDW and the Regional Water Board by March 1 of each year. [CCR title 22, section 60316]

7. The Permittee shall submit and maintain an up-to-date Recycled Water Irrigation Operation and Management Plan that includes the following:

   a. A list of all recycled water users receiving or proposing to receive recycled water, the type of use for each user, the acreage and estimated amount of recycled water use at each use site; the method(s) of conveyance to each user; name(s) of the Recycled Water Use Supervisor at each use site, and maps of each use area.

      i. Attachment G-1 of this Order provides a list of existing recycled water uses site; and

      ii. Attachment G-1 will be updated by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer to include new use sites if and when the Permittee submits the required CEQA and technical information for proposed new use sites and receives approval from the Regional Water Board Executive Officer.

   b. For uses with frequent or routine application (such as irrigation), the Plan shall specify hydraulic and nutrient agronomic rates and demonstrate that the use areas will not exceed these rates, and identify BMPs that are protective of groundwater and surface water quality and human health. At a minimum, the Permittee shall implement the required BMPs identified in Recycled Water Requirement B.11 and implement other BMPs as appropriate;

   c. For uses with infrequent or non-routine applications, the Plan shall specify a list of practices to ensure compliance with this Order;

   d. The Plan may include a water and nutrient budget for use area(s), use area supervisor training, periodic inspections, or other appropriate measures;

   e. A description of the recycled water operations and maintenance program, including a description of maintenance of equipment and emergency backup systems to maintain compliance with the use area requirements of this Order; and

   f. Emergency procedures and notification.

8. The Permittee shall submit and maintain a Water Recycling Administration report that includes:

   a. A full description of the Permittee's water recycling program, including:

      i. Description of the Permittee’s authority, rules, and/or regulations;

      ii. Design and implementation of the recycled water program;

      iii. Cross-connection testing responsibilities and procedures;

      iv. Monitoring and reporting program (MRP), if different from the MRP specified in this Order;

      v. Recycled water use area inspection program;
vi. Compliance program;

vii. The Permittee’s training program for its employees and use area supervisors; and

viii. Methods used to document that recycled water program procedures are followed (i.e., documentation of cross-connection testing, inspections, and employee and user training).

b. A description of the organization and responsibilities of pertinent personnel involved in the water recycling program, including:

i. Organizational chart;

ii. The name(s), title(s), and phone number(s) of contact person(s) who are charged with operation/oversight of the water recycling program, including the Permittee’s recycled water staff and identification of Recycled Water Use Supervisors at each use site;

iii. Identification of all agencies or entities involved in the production, distribution, and use of recycled water;

A description of legal arrangements, such as, but not limited to, charters, agreements, or Memorandum of Understanding, and inclusion of such legal documents.
## ATTACHMENT H - AMEL AND MDEL AMMONIA STANDARDS BASED ON THE 2013 FRESHWATER ACUTE CRITERIA

### Table 1: pH and Temperature Dependent MDEL Ammonia Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 2: pH and Temperature Dependent AMEL Ammonia Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>14.0</th>
<th>15.0</th>
<th>16.0</th>
<th>17.0</th>
<th>18.0</th>
<th>19.0</th>
<th>20.0</th>
<th>21.0</th>
<th>22.0</th>
<th>23.0</th>
<th>24.0</th>
<th>25.0</th>
<th>26.0</th>
<th>27.0</th>
<th>28.0</th>
<th>29.0</th>
<th>30.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT I – PDF EXAMPLE OF THE AIR CALCULATOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Sample</td>
<td>Ammonia Value in Effluent (mg/LN)</td>
<td>Receiving Water pH</td>
<td>Receiving Water Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Ammonia Standard as determined from Ammonia Criteria Tables</td>
<td>MOEL Ammonia Impact Ratio (Column E/Column E)</td>
<td>AMEL Ammonia Impact Ratio (Column E/Column E)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>