

Sent via email December 01, 2014

**From:** Felice Pace [<mailto:unofelice@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 01, 2014 2:51 PM  
**To:** Mangelsdorf, Alydda@Waterboards  
**Subject:** Triennial Basin Plan review

Dear Alydda,

With respect to the Review, I have the following questions and requests for information.

1. With respect to the item:

Designate Outstanding National Resource Waters	Preliminary scoping completed
--	-------------------------------

I would like to receive: an electronic copy of the document that presents or summarizes the results of "preliminary scoping." I would like to get electronic copies or links to SWRCB and EPA guidance on how to determine if a waterbody is an ONRW.

2. I would like to know how the NCRWQCB staff decided (i.e. decision criteria for) which watersheds are priorities for instream flow "objectives." Why, for example, did the staff recommend flow objectives for the Navarro as priority 5 while not listing flow objectives for the Scott River at all?

The information I seek will help me and the organizations I represent participate more effectively in the Triennial Review.

Thanks with your help getting the information.

Felice Pace

--

Felice Pace  
Klamath, CA 95548  
707-954-6588

"we must always seek the truth in our opponents' error and the error in our own truth."

- Reinhold Niebuhr