

**Carter, Katharine@Waterboards**

---

**From:** cherylkoz44@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 07, 2014 10:17 PM  
**To:** Carter, Katharine@Waterboards  
**Subject:** Impaired Water Bodies Listing for Flows

April 8, 2014

Katharine Carter, North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board  
5550 Skylane Blvd, Suite A  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Dear North Coast Regional Water Board:

Rivers and streams in the North Coast are in urgent need of additional flow. The failure of the North Coast Regional Water Board's Draft Staff Report for the 2012 Integrated Report to list any water bodies for flow impairment is unacceptable and contrary to the Clean Water Act. At minimum, North Coast staff must revise the draft 303(d) list to include the following rivers and creeks as impaired due to low flow: Scott River, Shasta River, Upper Main Eel River, Mattole River, Maacama Creek, and Mark West Creek. As supported by the documentation submitted by numerous organizations, there is no reasonable argument for not identifying these river and streams, some of which run dry months of the year, as flow impaired. Salmon simply cannot exist without water, and adequate flow is necessary to support other water-based beneficial uses. Listing these water bodies for flow under Clean Water Act Section 303(d) is consistent with similar actions taken in other states, including Vermont, Wyoming and Washington. Listing also will help protect waterways by potentially leveraging additional restoration funding, supporting other state regulatory processes (e.g. waste and unreasonable use and public trust proceedings) and local controls (e.g., CEQA analyses and local diversion requests), and advancing other needed efforts to create instream flow protections.

We urge you to add the requested flow impairment listings to the North Coast Regional Board's 303(d) list. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Kozanitas  
646 Pico Ave  
San Mateo, California 94403

**Carter, Katharine@Waterboards**

---

**From:** linda.aguirre.polisner@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 14, 2014 9:57 PM  
**To:** Carter, Katharine@Waterboards  
**Subject:** Impaired Water Bodies Listing for Flows

April 15, 2014

Katharine Carter, North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board  
5550 Skylane Blvd, Suite A  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Dear North Coast Regional Water Board:

Rivers and streams in the North Coast are in urgent need of additional flow. The failure of the North Coast Regional Water Board's Draft Staff Report for the 2012 Integrated Report to list any water bodies for flow impairment is unacceptable and contrary to the Clean Water Act. At minimum, North Coast staff must revise the draft 303(d) list to include the following rivers and creeks as impaired due to low flow: Scott River, Shasta River, Upper Main Eel River, Mattole River, Maacama Creek, and Mark West Creek. As supported by the documentation submitted by numerous organizations, there is no reasonable argument for not identifying these river and streams, some of which run dry months of the year, as flow impaired. Salmon simply cannot exist without water, and adequate flow is necessary to support other water-based beneficial uses. Listing these water bodies for flow under Clean Water Act Section 303(d) is consistent with similar actions taken in other states, including Vermont, Wyoming and Washington. Listing also will help protect waterways by potentially leveraging additional restoration funding, supporting other state regulatory processes (e.g. waste and unreasonable use and public trust proceedings) and local controls (e.g., CEQA analyses and local diversion requests), and advancing other needed efforts to create instream flow protections.

We urge you to add the requested flow impairment listings to the North Coast Regional Board's 303(d) list. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Aguirre  
1828 Shoreview Ave  
San Mateo, California 94401

**From:** [Tom Hinz](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 10:48:02 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Tom Hinz  
5928 Stover Road  
5928 Stover Road  
Blue Lake, CA 95525  
707-668-5974

**From:** [chris riddle](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:07:23 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

chris riddle  
pobox481  
dunsmuir, CA 96025

**From:** [Jay Moller](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:10:11 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Jay Moller  
box 1669  
10555 Moody Rd  
Redway, CA 95560

**From:** [Julie O'Rielly](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:11:42 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Julie O'Rielly  
Bonita  
Aptos, CA 95005  
831 6889426

**From:** [Samantha Rich](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:14:14 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Samantha Rich  
13710 Burke Ave N  
Seattle, WA 98133

**From:** [deborah\\_bruce-hostler](mailto:deborah_bruce-hostler)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:18:55 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Bruce-Hostler  
PO Box 433  
Orleans CA 95556

deborah\_bruce-hostler  
e perch creek rd  
Orleans, CA 95556



**From:** [Francine Fischl](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:26:45 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Francine Fischl  
6955 Ishi Pishi road  
Somes Bar, CA 95568  
5304683485

**From:** [Michele Cornelius](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:27:47 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Michele Cornelius  
P.O. Box 1131  
Happ Camp, CA 96039

**From:** [Tom Peil](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:27:50 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.  
I kayak the Scott and Klamath rivers, please help save them.  
Sincerely, Tom Peil

Tom Peil  
P.O. Box 3395  
Ashland, OR 97520  
541 890-3187

**From:** [Gary Hughes](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:37:16 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Gary Graham Hughes  
Executive Director  
EPIC - the Environmental Protection Information Center  
145 G St., Suite A  
Arcata, CA 95521

Gary Hughes  
PO Box 401  
Redway, CA 95560  
707-822-7711

**From:** [jim derden](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:46:54 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

jim derden  
123 Barley Lane  
Arcata, CA 95521

**From:** [Earl Steen](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 10:49:44 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Earl Steen  
32742 Alipaz St  
# 57  
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

**From:** [Michelle Benson](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:47:00 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,  
Michelle

Michelle Benson  
1357 Willow St.  
Martinez, CA 94553

**From:** [Alan Levine](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:50:32 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Alan Levine  
Box 215  
Pt. Arena, CA 95468



**From:** [opie heyerman](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:55:48 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

opie heyerman  
tolman creek  
ashland, OR 97520

**From:** [Richard Salzman](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:57:29 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Richard Salzman  
1751 Charles Ave  
Arcata, CA 95521

**From:** [Patrick Kallerman](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 12:05:52 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Patrick Kallerman  
630 Thomas L Berkley Way  
Oakland, CA 94612

**From:** [Judith Mayer](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 12:16:19 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Judith Mayer  
449 Blake Ct  
Blake Court  
Arcata, CA 95521

**From:** [Lisa Butterfield](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 12:49:17 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Our fish, which support wildlife, humans, and related fishing industries need to be considered as farmers cry out for more crop water. Crops can be regrown in the future, but if our fish species and subspecies become extinct due to lack of water, their loss will impact all humans and wildlife for all future generations.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Lisa Butterfield  
PO Box 5051  
Muir Beach, CA 94965

**From:** [Dave Willis](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 1:10:43 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Dave Willis  
15187 Hwy 66  
Ashland, OR 97520

**From:** [Jacqueline Green](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 1:16:46 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Green  
50173 Highway 101  
Bandon, OR 97411

**From:** [Robert McCombs](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 1:21:08 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Robert McCombs  
164 Deer Fern Ln. (NO MAIL!)  
PO Box 4175  
Arcata, CA 95518



**From:** [Dno Forbes](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 10:50:05 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Dno Forbes  
133 Crest Rd  
Novato, CA 94945  
4157172312

**From:** [Steven Zeluck](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 1:35:26 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Steven Zeluck  
2750 Sutter Street #8  
San Francisco, CA 94115

**From:** [Douglas Larson](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 1:50:30 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Douglas Larson  
One Shields Drive  
Davis, CA 95616

**From:** [Andrew Kerr](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 3:45:39 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Andrew Kerr  
2436 Emerald Street  
Eugene, OR 97403

**From:** [Thomas Peters](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 3:45:51 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Thomas Peters  
221 Dollison St.  
221 Dollison St., Eureka, CA  
Eureka, CA 95501  
707.445.1666

**From:** [Ken Miller](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 3:58:38 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Ken Miller  
1658 Ocean Drive  
McKinleyville, CA 95519

**From:** [Daniel White](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 3:58:47 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Daniel White  
962 Union St. #1  
Arcata, CA 95521

**From:** [Joe Labash](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 4:23:58 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Joe Labash  
821 Rudder Ct  
Stockton, CA 05209



**From:** [Levi Carolin](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 4:32:21 PM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Levi Carolin  
PO Box 511  
P.O. Box 4641  
Douglas City, CA 96024  
7076018129

**From:** [Bob Davis](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 10:51:17 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Bob Davis  
3604 California Street  
3604 California Street  
Eureka, CA 95503

**From:** [Charles Minton](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 10:51:44 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

The facts are quite clear about the Shasta and Scott rivers and the following summarizes them.

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Charles Minton  
1885 Golf Course Rd.  
Bayside, CA 95524

**From:** [Kayla Carpetner](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 10:55:32 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Kayla Carpetner  
po box 878  
Apt. 103  
Hoopa, CA 95546

**From:** [Morgan Corviday](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 10:58:57 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Morgan Corviday  
Shirley Blvd  
Arcata, CA 95521

**From:** [John Brinkley](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:04:24 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

John Brinkley  
2582 W 28th Ave  
Eugene, OR 07405

**From:** [Ralph Faust](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 11:04:34 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Ralph Faust  
2727 Graham Road  
Bayside, CA 95524

**From:** [Diana Hartel](#)  
**To:** [Carter, Katharine@Waterboards](mailto:Carter_Katharine@Waterboards)  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired  
**Date:** Friday, April 18, 2014 10:46:08 AM

---

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Diana Hartel  
159 North 2nd Street  
159 North 2nd Street  
Ashland, OR 97520



## **Carter, Katharine@Waterboards**

---

**From:** Susan Terence <salmonriversilk@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 18, 2014 3:12 PM  
**To:** Carter, Katharine@Waterboards  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

Scientific evidence already supports listing the Scott River, Shasta River and other California streams proposed in 2010 for flow impairment listing under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. We request that you: 1) list the proposed rivers as flow-impaired using existing evidence and legal authorities, and 2) insist that the State Water Resources Control Board expedite development statewide criteria for flow impairment listings.

These rivers are urgently in need of more water to support beneficial uses protected under the Clean Water Act, particularly the most sensitive coldwater fish species such as steelhead, Chinook salmon and endangered coho salmon that live there.

Clearly, we have gone too long already without directly acknowledging the flow impairments in our rivers due to dewatering. How can we remediate other water quality problems without water? How can we even collect water quality data or track trends in water quality without water?

Citizens deserve a scientific evaluation in this listing cycle of the evidence submitted four years ago by Klamath Riverkeeper and others supporting flow impairment. We are standing up for fishable, swimmable rivers with healthy flows, and we support you in standing up for those rights also.

Thank you for your hard work to protect our waterways.

Sincerely,

Susan Terence  
6304 Butler Mtn. Rd.  
Somes Bar, CA 95568  
530-509-5041

**Carter, Katharine@Waterboards**

---

**From:** Susan Terence <salmonriversilk@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 18, 2014 3:26 PM  
**To:** Carter, Katharine@Waterboards  
**Subject:** List Dry Streams As Flow Impaired

Dear North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board:

How many poster-sized photos of stranded dead coho do we need to hold up at hearings before the truth is heard? The Scott and Shasta Rivers should have been listed for flow impairment years ago and you know it. Your decision to not list them now, after ample evidence has been provided, is a shameful abrogation of your responsibility, and should be reversed immediately.

Susan Terence

Susan Terence  
6304 Butler Mtn. Rd.  
Somes Bar, CA 95568  
530-509-5041