



September 18, 2017

The Honorable Felicia Marcus, Chair and Members of the State Water Resources Control Board c/o Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 24th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Statewide Procedures for Discharge of Dredged or Fill Material into Waters of the State

Dear Chair Marcus:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised draft State Wetland Definition and Procedures for Discharges of Dredged or Fill Materials to Waters of the State (Definition and Procedures), as well as the Draft Staff Report for the permitting program for discharges of dredged or fill material (Proposed Regulatory Program). Irvine Ranch Water District (IRWD) applauds the State Water Resources Control Board for both its concern in protecting remaining natural wetland areas and its consistent promotion over the years of artificially constructed wetlands and other facilities for the treatment of impaired surface waters, storm water, urban runoff, and non-point source pollution.

The intent of the Wetlands Jurisdictional Framework, as stated in the Draft Staff Report, is to exclude artificially constructed facilities that meet the technical definition of a wetland from regulation as wetland WOTS. IRWD is concerned that as drafted, the Framework would include virtually all artificial, Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities¹ into the wetland Waters of the State (WOTS) designation. In addition, the Proposed Regulatory Program would impose additional permitting costs and delays that will impact IRWD's ability to restore, enhance, manage, operate and maintain Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities, such as IRWD's San Joaquin Marsh and its Natural Treatment System (NTS) facilities. It will also deter investments into the creation of new Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities.

IRWD is requesting that the State Board <u>exempt Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities from permitting under the Proposed Regulatory Program</u> by excluding, for purposes of the Proposed Regulatory Program only, such facilities from jurisdictional Waters of the State.

¹ Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities term defined in IRWD's suggested redlined revisions to the Procedures, provided as Attachment 2 to this letter.

IRWD's Interest in the Proposed Regulations:

IRWD provides high-quality drinking water, reliable wastewater collection and treatment, ground-breaking recycled water programs, and prevention and treatment of urban runoff for more than 390,000 residents in Central Orange County. As a water purveyor located in the coastal plain of Southern California, IRWD has an interest in both California's water supply reliability, and the protection of sensitive and environmentally significant WOTS. As a steward of regional water supplies, IRWD has long implemented state-of-the-art constructed, but natural and environmentally beneficial treatment facilities, such as the San Joaquin Marsh and the NTS, for the treatment of urban runoff, storm water, and removal of pollutants from San Diego Creek and the Upper Newport Bay.

a) IRWD's San Joaquin Marsh

The San Joaquin Marsh (Marsh) is a 274-acre marsh adjacent to San Diego Creek, and just upstream of the location where the creek outlets to the Upper Newport Bay. The Marsh was built by IRWD in 1997, and is a series of constructed water quality treatment facilities that use natural processes to receive and treat flows from the San Diego Creek before reaching environmentally sensitive waters. The Marsh reduces nutrients and other pollutants as a part of the San Diego Creek and Upper Newport Bay Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) implementation programs for nutrients, sediment, toxics, metals, and pathogens. Environmental water quality and habitat benefits associated with the Marsh include:

- Treatment of over 1 billion gallons of urban runoff annually;
- 85% removal of nitrogen; 100% removal of phosphorus loads into the Newport Bay State Ecological Reserve;
- 99% reduction of coliform bacteria;
- 79% reduction of selenium; 59% reduction of copper loads into Newport Bay State Ecological Reserve; and
- Creation of major riparian and wetland habitat which supports over 282 species of migratory birds, including several state and federally listed species.

To operate and maintain the Marsh in a manner that continues to provide these benefits, the following types of operation and maintenance activities must be conducted periodically:

- Pond berm and pump station maintenance and repair, which includes, but is not limited to, vegetation control, fill activities to maintain berms and weir structures, and leak repair as needed, and vegetation removal;
- Invasive weed control of exotic species and pond and stream emergent vegetation using physical and approved chemical control methods;

- Irrigation system repair and maintenance as needed to maintain delivery of water to various parts of the San Joaquin Marsh, including minor vegetation removal, trenching, and backfilling;
- Dewatering of portions of Marsh as necessary to minimize vector control problems, and to provide access for vegetation maintenance, structure repair, and shorebird habitat; and
- Periodic removal of accumulated sediment in ponds and streams to ensure they continue to operate at design flows.

b) IRWD's Natural Treatment System

The success of the San Joaquin Marsh led IRWD to develop a region-wide NTS. The NTS is a network of artificial constructed water quality treatment facilities that benefit the San Diego Creek watershed by enhancing water quality and providing additional neighborhood open space and wildlife habitat. The NTS facilities are designed to treat storm water and urban runoff utilizing processes that occur in natural wetlands. The natural ecosystems created in the NTS facilities remove sediment, nutrients, pathogens, and other contaminants from urban runoff and storm flows and prevents these contaminants from reaching sensitive receiving waters such as the Upper Newport Bay. Each individual NTS facility – whether constructed as a part of a Water Quality Management Plan for new development prepared and approved pursuant to the regional municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit, or constructed to serve existing development as a treatment best management practice – is a component of a larger regional system to address non-point source and storm water pollutants. NTS basins are constructed either as "offline" facilities or within existing public flood control facilities, as described below:

- "Offline" facilities treat flows prior to entering the public storm drain system, or after discharge from the storm drain system but prior to discharge into a Water of the U.S. For example, urban runoff and first flush storm flows from the City of Irvine's Quail Hill residential and commercial development are piped to the Quail Hill NTS basin, which is three acres in size, where they are treated via vegetative filtering and partial infiltration prior to being discharged into San Diego Creek.
- Other NTS facilities are constructed within existing storm water detention basins. For
 example, the Marshburn NTS basin, which is 15 acres in size, was constructed within the
 Serrano Creek storm water detention basin and treats urban runoff and first flush storm
 flows tributary to that basin via vegetative filtering and partial infiltration prior to their
 conveyance downstream.

Environmental benefits of the NTS facilities include:

• Improved storm water quality within the San Diego Creek watershed and other watersheds that lie within IRWD boundaries;

- Reductions in TMDLs of various sediment, nutrients, bacteria, metals, and toxics various other pollutants, which address water quality impairments and improve the quality of surface waters within the San Diego Creek and Upper Newport Bay watersheds; and
- Distributed areas of riparian and wetland habitat throughout the San Diego Creek and Upper Newport Bay watersheds benefitting species that include the listed least Bell's vireo, California least tern, and orange-throated whiptail.

To operate and maintain the NTS in a manner that continues to provide these benefits and preserve design treatment capacity, the following types of operation and maintenance activities must be implemented periodically:

- Maintain and repair concrete and graded earthen structure, inlet, outlet, berm, embankment and weir structures, via fill and/or patching;
- Remove sediment and debris from constructed wetlands and related conveyances and other structures;
- Remove non-native vegetation, and harvest and replace wetlands and riparian species of plants necessary for maximizing pollutant treatment through natural processes;
- Maintain and repair rodent damage to slopes and banks;
- Maintain and replace irrigation system components, including removal of vegetation and excavation and replacement of piping; and
- Emergency response, including major erosion and sedimentation as a result of heavy rainfall.

Comments on the Proposed Definitions, Procedures and Regulatory Program:

IRWD is concerned that, as proposed, the Definitions, Procedures and Proposed Regulatory Program will significantly impact the creation, restoration, enhancement, management, operations, and maintenance of Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities.

As discussed below, Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities are encouraged by a number of state polices, and the State should continue to incentivize their continued operation and expansion. The increased costs and delays associated with the permitting requirements Proposed Regulatory Program would affect IRWD's ability to cost-effectively operate and maintain its existing facilities and would discourage construction of new ones. It would not provide a demonstrable incremental benefit to water quality or the environment since these facilities are already protected by existing resource regulations.

Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities Should be Encouraged

Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities are encouraged by a variety of State Board, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), and California Department of Water Resources (DWR) policy statements and reports. The California Water Action Plan establishes

the following three broad objectives developed to advance California toward more sustainable water management:

- Development of more reliable water supplies;
- Development of more resilient, sustainably managed, multi-benefit water resource systems, including water supply and water quality facilities that better enhance the environment, and better withstand inevitable and unforeseen pressures; and
- Restoration of important species and habitat.

The Water Action Plan also includes several measures to encourage multi-benefit projects to attain sustainable and reliable water supplies using a multi-pronged approach to water supply development and management, including the following water supply development and management strategies:

- Full utilization of existing surface reservoir capacity;
- Increased groundwater recharge to improve management and water quality in groundwater basins; and
- Urban storm water capture and natural treatment, including both larger-scale and incidental infiltration to groundwater basins.

Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities such as artificial wetlands and in-channel water recharge and percolation facilities increase the quantity and improve the quality of local groundwater supplies through water infiltration, while also providing wildlife habitat, parks, and open space. With respect to water quality, both the California STORMS: Strategy to Optimize Resource Management of Storm Water and DWR's Urban Stormwater Runoff Management: A Resource Management Strategy of the California Water Plan encourage and emphasize that capture, natural treatment, and infiltration of runoff and storm water are integral to treating surface waters, runoff, and storm water. Treatment facilities and surface water diversion and treatment facilities that mimic natural bio-filtration and wetland treatment processes reduce surface water pollution while improving flood protection, increasing wetland, riparian and other habitat and vegetation, and increasing long term water supply reliability through capture and infiltration.

Proposed Regulatory Program Should be Modified

The Proposed Regulatory Program mandates that the State Board and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards implement a new expanded permitting program for discharges of dredge or fill material to WOTS. From IRWD's "on-the-ground" perspective, the scope of the Proposed Regulatory Program's new permitting requirements and the stringency of the new permit application analysis requirements, will add unnecessary costs and delays to the development, operation and maintenance of IRWD's Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities. The Proposed Regulatory Program's permit application and analysis are not required under currently applicable federal or State laws. In many cases the new permitting requirements would mandate waste

discharge requirements for the operation and maintenance of Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities. The State's regulatory role plays an important part in shaping the economic and technical constraints that we take into consideration when deciding whether to undertake, prioritize, or continue maintenance of a particular Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities project. The additional regulatory requirements will discourage construction of new Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities, which can provide significant environmental, water supply and economic benefits to the state.

3. <u>Environmental Benefits of Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities Are Already Protected</u> through Other Resource Regulations

Although the Proposed Regulatory Program new permit requirements would add costs and delays, they would not be offset by an incremental environmental benefit due to the significant degree to which the new permitting program duplicates regulation of resources already protected under section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. EPA, and section 1600 of the California Fish and Game Code by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. The Proposed Regulatory Program would impose new and supplemental permitting requirements, all of which are different than, and in some cases conflict with, existing federal and State requirements as summarized in Attachment 1.

In order to preserve and expand the benefits to the State from Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities, we request that the State Board revise the Proposed Regulatory Program to exclude Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities from the proposed permitting requirements by excluding them from designation as wetland WOTS for purposes of the Proposed Regulatory Program. Proposed revisions to the procedures are provided in Attachment 2.

Conclusion:

It is critical that the State Board continue to protect natural, historic wetlands while simultaneously encouraging and supporting the construction of artificially constructed Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities that are designed to improve water quality and water supply throughout the state. The Proposed Regulatory Program should consider and address the fact that Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities are different from naturally occurring WOTS. Regulating managed artificially constructed treatment wetlands, and other Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities as though they are natural can greatly discourage their continued and future expanded use. The high level of protection needed for natural wetlands, when applied to Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities, leads to unnecessary cost and restriction of critical maintenance activities, These costs and restrictions are not offset by any additional environmental benefit from the Proposed Regulatory Program due to the degree to which the new permitting program duplicates regulation of protected resources. Therefore, we request that the State Board revise the Proposed Regulatory Program to exclude/exempt Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities from the requirements of the new permitting program.

Addressing the specific comments in this letter, and incorporating the recommended revised language provided in Attachment 2 will ensure that Multi-Benefit Constructed Facilities continue to provide the state with significant environmental benefits, while protecting natural, historic wetlands and other Waters of the State. Please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 453-5590 or cook@irwd.com should you have any questions, or if we can be of assistance to you or your staff.

Sincerely,

Paul A. Cook, P.E. General Manager

Attachments:

Attachment 1: Summary of New/Supplemental Permitting Requirements

Attachment 2: Proposed Revisions to the Procedures

ATTACHMENT 1

Summary of New/Supplemental Permitting Requirements

New/Supplemental Permitting Requirement	New/Increased Regulatory Burden	Consistent with USACE and CDFW regulation?
Delineation Report for wetland and non- wetland WOTS	For wetland WOTS: New definition and new Wetlands Jurisdictional Framework substantially increases the number of Multi-benefit Constructed Facilities deemed jurisdictional wetland WOTS compared to existing regulation	No
	For non-wetland WOTS: no guidance regarding features that are jurisdictional, leaving it to each Water Board's discretion, and resulting in inconsistent application across regions	No
Prepare and submit application, including	Includes O&M, which by definition cannot be conducted in another location	No
an alternatives analysis	Includes activities that under current rules would be performed pursuant to a Nationwide Permit and CWA section 401 water quality certification	No
	Potential conflicts between USACE's and Water Boards' Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative (LEDPA) determinations	
Analyze and provide compensatory mitigation	Use of watershed profiles, which do not now exist and encompass all lands within a watershed, including those privately owned and not publicly accessible	No
	Prioritizes in-watershed mitigation, which is different from USACE prioritization of mitigation banks, and results in different compensatory mitigation requirements	No
	Unspecified, but different methodology for calculating mitigation obligations: declines to adopt USACE's California Rapid Assessment Method and Standard Operating Procedure, used to determine compensatory mitigation requirements, but does not propose an alternative	No
	With a broader, more inclusive definition of "wetlands," a corresponding increase in compensatory mitigation obligation	No
	Requires compensatory mitigation necessary to address permanent, net loss of aquatic resources for temporal impacts that are addressed by restoration, particularly if restoration effort takes more than 1 year	

Water Boards

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARDS

PRELIMINARY DRAFT

State Wetland Definition and Procedures for Discharges of Dredged or Fill Materials to Waters of the State

[Proposed for Inclusion in the Water Quality Control Plans for Inland Surface Waters and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries and Ocean Waters of California]

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

July 21, 2017 Final Draft

I.	Introd	uction	1
II.	Wetla	nd Definition	1
III.	Wetla	nd Delineation	3
IV.		dures for Regulation of Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material to Waters of	3
	A.	Project Application Submittal	4
	B.	Permitting Authority Review and Approval of Applications for Individual Orders	9
	C.	General Orders	12
	D.	Activities and Areas Excluded from the Application Procedures for Regulation of Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material to Waters of the State	12
V.	Defini	tions	15
Appei	ndix A:	State Supplemental Dredge or Fill Guidelines	18
	Subpa	art A – General	18
	Subpa	art B – Compliance with Guidelines	19
	Subpa	art E – Potential Impacts on Special Aquatic Sites	21
	Subpa	art H – Actions to Minimize Adverse Effects	21
	Subpa	art J – Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources	25

PRELIMINARY DRAFT

Procedures for Discharges of Dredged or Fill Materials into Waters of the State

A		I 4	4	4:
1	I.	Intro	auc	ction

2	The mission of the	State Water Resources	Control Board and the	Regional Water Quality
---	--------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	------------------------

- Control Boards (Water Boards) includes the preservation, enhancement, and restoration of the 3
- quality of California's water resources for the protection of the environment and all beneficial 4
- uses for the benefit of present and future generations. In accordance with the Porter-Cologne 5
- 6 Water Quality Control Act (Water Code, § 13000 et seg.), the Water Boards are authorized to
- regulate discharges of waste that may affect the quality of waters of the state. As described 7
- below, waters of the state include some, but not all, features that are defined as wetlands, as 8
- well as other features, including the ocean, lakes, and rivers, but, for purposes of these 9
- Procedures for the Discharges of Dredged or Fill Materials to Waters of the State, do not include 10
- features defined as Multi-benefit Constructed Facilities. These wetlands provide environmental 11
- and economic benefits to the people of this state, including flood and storm water control. 12
- surface and ground water supply, fish and wildlife habitat, erosion control, pollution treatment, 13
- nutrient cycling, and public enjoyment. Wetlands ameliorate the effects of global climate 14
- change by providing floodwater storage, sequestering carbon, and maintaining vulnerable plant 15
- and animal communities. Many of these invaluable areas statewide have been lost to fill and 16
- development. Presently, wetlands are threatened by impacts from increasing population 17
- growth, land development, sea level rise, and climate change. These Procedures for the
- 18 Discharges of Dredged or Fill Materials to Waters of the State (Procedures) conform to 19
- Executive Order W-59-93, commonly referred to as California's "no net loss" policy for wetlands. 20
- In accordance with Executive Order W-59-93, the Procedures ensure that the Water Boards' 21
- regulation of dredged or fill activities will be conducted in a manner "to ensure no overall net 22
- 23 loss and long-term net gain in the quantity, quality, and permanence of wetlands acreage and
- values..." The Water Boards are committed to increasing the quantity, quality, and diversity of 24
- 25 wetlands that qualify as waters of the state.
- These Procedures contain a wetland definition in section II and wetland delineation procedures 26
- in section III, both of which apply to all Water Board programs. The wetland definition 27
- encompasses the full range of wetland types commonly recognized in California, including some 28
- features not protected under federal law, and reflects current scientific understanding of the 29
- formation and functioning of wetlands. These Procedures also include procedures for the 30
- review and approval of activities that could result in the discharge of dredged or fill material to 31
- any waters of the state in section IV. However, for purposes of these Procedures, features 32
- defined as Constructed Water Supply/Water Quality Treatment Facilities are not considered 33
- waters of the state under section II or section IV. The Procedures include elements of the Clean 34
- Water Act Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines, thereby bringing uniformity to Water Boards' regulation 35
- of discharges of dredged or fill material to all waters of the state. 36

37 II. Wetland Definition

- The Water Boards define an area as wetland as follows: 38
- 39 An area is wetland if, under normal circumstances, (1) the area has continuous or recurrent
- saturation of the upper substrate caused by groundwater, or shallow surface water, or both; (2) 40

the duration of such saturation is sufficient to cause anaerobic conditions in the upper substrate; 41 and (3) the area's vegetation is dominated by hydrophytes or the area lacks vegetation. 42 The Water Code defines "waters of the state" broadly to include "any surface water or 43 groundwater, including saline waters, within the boundaries of the state." The following 44 wetlands are waters of the state unless they are Multi-benefit Constructed Facilities, in which 45 case they are excluded as waters of the state for purposes of these Procedures: 46 47 1: Natural wetlands. Wetlands created by modification of a water of the state,1 48 2. Wetlands that meet current or historic definitions of "waters of the United 49 3. States,"2 and 50 Artificial wetlands3 that meet any of the following criteria: 51 4. Approved by an agency as mitigation for impacts to other waters of the 52 a. state, except where the approving agency explicitly identifies the 53 mitigation as being of limited duration; 54 Artificial wetlands³ that are greater than or equal to one acre in size 5. 55 Specifically identified in a water quality control plan as a wetland or other 56 a. water of the state; 57 Resulted from historic human activity and has become a relatively 58 b. permanent part of the natural landscape; 59 Unless the artificial wetland was constructed and is currently used and 60 C. maintained primarily for one or more of the following purposes (i.e., the 61 following artificial wetlands are not waters of the state unless they also 62 63 satisfy another one of the above criteria): Industrial or municipal wastewater treatment or disposal, i. 64 ii. Settling of sediment, 65 Storm water detention, infiltration, or treatment, 66 iii. Agricultural crop irrigation or stock watering, 67 iv.

¹ "Created by modification of a water of the state" means that the wetland that is being evaluated must have been directly converted from a water of the state, and does not include a situation where the water of the state was completely eliminated.

² This includes features that have been determined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to be "waters of the U.S." in an approved jurisdictional determination; "waters of the U.S." identified in a preliminary jurisdictional determination upon which a permitting decision was based; and features that are consistent with any current or historic final judicial interpretation of "waters of the U.S." or any current or historic federal regulation defining "waters of the U.S."

³ Artificial wetlands are wetlands that result from human activity.

68	V.	Fire suppression,
69	vi	. Cooling water,
70 71	vi	 Active surface mining – even if the site is managed for interim wetlands functions and values, or
72	vi	ii. Log storage.
73	III. Wetland Deline	ation
74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85	report with a preliminary Army Corps of Engineer of the U.S. A delineation be performed using the (collectively referred to a meets the state definition Supplements, "lacks vegoeak of the growing seal lack of vegetation does	shall rely on any wetland area delineation from a final aquatic resource or approved jurisdictional determination issued by the United States is (Corps) for the purposes of determining the extent of wetland waters in of non-federal wetland areas potentially impacted by the project shall methods described in the three federal documents listed below as "1987 Manual and Supplements") to determine whether the area in of a wetland as defined above. As described in the 1987 Manual and getation if it has less than 5 percent areal coverage of plants at the son. The methods shall be modified only to allow for the fact that the not preclude the determination of such an area that meets the definition efined in these Procedures shall be used if there is conflict with terms in upplements.
86 87 88		al Laboratory. 1987. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation hnical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment sburg, MS.
89 90 91 92	Wetland Deli Lichvar, and	orps of Engineers. 2008. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers neation Manual: Arid West Region (Version 2.0). ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-08-28. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer d Development Center.
93 94 95 96	Wetland Deli 2.0). ed. J. S	orps of Engineers. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers neation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-3. S: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
97 98	IV. Procedures for the State	Regulation of Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material to Waters of
99 100 101 102 103 104	fill material to waters of of the state, except for p not considered waters of discharges of dredged of	tion is to establish application procedures for discharges of dredged or the state, which includes both waters of the U.S. and non-federal waters ourposes of these Procedures, Multi-benefit Constructed Facilities are of the state. This section supplements existing state requirements for or fill material to waters of the U.S. ⁴ These Procedures include Appendix ant portions of the U.S. EPA's Section 404(b)(1) "Guidelines for

⁴ 4 California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 3830-3869 (state's Clean Water Act (CWA) section 401 (33 USC § 1341) water quality certification program)

105 106 107 108 109	modifications Supplementa	to make Il Dredge r fill mate	osal Sites for Dredge or Fill Material" ⁵ (Guidelines), 1980, with minor them applicable to the state dredged or fill program (hereafter State or Fill Guidelines). ⁶ This section applies to all applications for discharges erial to waters of the state submitted after [insert the effective date of the
110	Project App	lication	Submittal for Individual Orders
111 112 113 114 115	activity that of accordance we consult with the	ould res vith Calif he Wate	Section IV.D, applicants must file an application to the Water Boards for any ult in the discharge of dredged or fill material to waters of the state in fornia Code of Regulations, title 23, section 3855.7 The applicant may be Boards to determine whether a project could result in impacts to waters scuss submittals that would meet the application requirements listed below.
116	A.	Projec	et Application Submittal
117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127	applicants sh Within 30 day the applicant Within 30 day whether the a federal licens 2 below, the state applica application, t	all consings of rectoring to submit of rectoring the submit of the submi	nit the items listed in subsection 1 to the permitting authority. In addition, all with the permitting authority about the items listed in subsection 2. eiving the items listed in subsection 1, the permitting authority may require hit one or more of the items in subsection 2 for a complete application. eiving all of the required items, the permitting authority shall determine on is complete and notify the applicant accordingly. If the applicant's mit application includes any of the information required in subsections 1 or t may submit the federal application materials to satisfy the corresponding mation. If federal application materials are submitted as part of the state cant shall indicate where the corresponding state application information ederal application materials.
128	1.	Items	Required for a Complete Application
129 130		a.	All items listed in California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 3856 "Contents of a Complete Application."
131 132		b.	If waters of the U.S. are present, a final aquatic resource delineation report, with a preliminary or approved jurisdictional issued by the Corps.
133 134 135		C.	If waters of the state outside of federal jurisdiction are present, a delineation of those waters, including wetlands delineated as described in section III.

⁵ 40 C.F.R. § 230.

⁶ The State Supplemental Dredge and Fill Guidelines are included as Appendix A. Because Appendix A is derived directly from the 404(b)(1) guidelines, it uses slightly different terms than terms used in sections I through V of these Procedures. Appendix A will be applied in a manner consistent with sections I through V of these Procedures.

⁷ Note that California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 3855 applies only to individual water quality certifications, but these Procedures extend the application of section 3855 to individual waste discharge requirements for discharges of dredged or fill material to waters of the state.

⁸ Note that California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 3856 applies only to individual water quality certifications, but these Procedures extend the application of section 3856 to individual waste discharge requirements for discharges of dredged or fill material to waters of the state.

The dates upon which the overall project activity will begin and end; and, d. 136 if known, the date(s) upon which the discharge(s) will take place. 137 Map(s) with a scale of at least 1:24000 (1" = 2000') and of sufficient detail 138 e. to accurately show (1) the boundaries of the lands owned or to be utilized 139 by the applicant in carrying out the proposed activity, including the 140 grading limits, proposed land uses, and the location, dimensions and type 141 of any structures erected (if known) or to be erected and (2) all aquatic 142 resources that may qualify as waters of the state, within the boundaries of 143 the project, and all aquatic resources that may qualify as waters of the 144 state outside of the boundary of the project that could be affected by the 145 project. A map submitted for a Corps' preliminary jurisdictional 146 determination may satisfy this requirement if it includes all potential 147 waters of the state. The permitting authority may require that the map(s) 148 be submitted in electronic format (e.g., GIS shapefiles). 149 A description of the waters proposed to receive a discharge of dredged or 150 f. fill material, including the beneficial uses as listed in the applicable water 151 quality control plan. The description should also include: a description of 152 discharge at each individual impact location; quantity of impact at each 153 location rounded to the nearest one-thousandth (0.001) of an acre, 154 nearest linear foot, and nearest cubic yard (as applicable); assessment of 155 potential direct and indirect impacts to listed beneficial uses and potential 156 mitigation measures for those potential impacts to beneficial uses, 157 identification of existing water quality impairment(s); the source of water 158 quality impairment(s), if known; and the presence of rare, threatened or 159 endangered species habitat. 160 An alternatives analysis, 9 unless any of the following exemptions apply. 161 g. The project includes discharges to waters of the state outside of i. 162 federal jurisdiction, but the project would meet the terms and 163 conditions of one or more Water Board certified Corps' General 164 Permits, if all discharges were to waters of the U.S. The 165 permitting authority will verify that the project would meet the 166 terms and conditions of the Corps' General Permit(s) if all 167 discharges were to waters of the U.S. based on information 168 supplied by the applicant. 169 The project would be conducted in accordance with a watershed 170 ii. plan that has been approved by the permitting authority and 171 analyzed in an environmental document that includes an 172 alternatives analysis, monitoring provisions, and guidance on 173 174 compensatory mitigation opportunities.

⁹ "Alternatives analysis" as used in these Procedures refer to the analysis required by Section IV.A.(h) and Appendix A, State Supplement Dredged or Fill Guidelines, section 230.10(a). An alternatives analysis also may be required in order to comply with other statutory or regulatory requirements, such as CEQA. The exemptions and the tiers set forth below do not affect any alternatives analysis conducted pursuant to another statutory or regulatory requirement. To the extent that the permitting authority is acting as the lead agency under CEQA, it may be necessary for the permitting authority to conduct further analysis to comply with CEQA.

The project is an Ecological Restoration and Enhancement iii. 175 176 Project. The project has no permanent impacts to aquatic resources and 177 iv. no impacts to any bog, fen, playa, seep, wetland, vernal pool, 178 headwater creek, eelgrass bed, anadromous fish habitat . or 179 habitat for rare, threatened or endangered species, and all 180 implementation actions in the restoration plan can reasonably be 181 concluded within one year. 182 If none of the above exemptions apply, the applicant must submit an 183 h. alternatives analysis consistent with the requirements of 230.10 of the 184 State Supplemental Dredge or Fill Guidelines that allows the permitting 185 authority to determine whether the proposed project is the Least 186 Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative (LEDPA). If the 187 applicant submitted a draft alternatives analysis to the Corps, the 188 applicant shall provide a copy to the permitting authority. Such 189 alternatives analyses may satisfy some or all of the following 190 requirements in accordance with Section IV.B.3. Alternatives analyses 191 192 shall be completed in accordance with the following tiers, unless the permitting authority determines that a lesser level of analysis is 193 appropriate. The level of effort required for an alternatives analysis within 194 each tier shall be commensurate with the significance of the project's 195 potential threats to water quality and beneficial uses¹⁰. 196 i. Tier 3 projects include any project that directly impacts more than 197 two-tenths (0.2 0.5) of an acre or 300 linear feet of waters of the 198 state, or directly impacts a bog, fen, playa, seep wetland, vernal 199 pool, headwater creek, eelgrass bed, anadromous fish habitat, or 200 201 habitat for rare, threatened or endangered species; and is not a project that inherently cannot be located at an alternate location. 202 Tier 3 projects shall provide an analysis of off-site and on-site 203 alternatives. 204 ii. Tier 2 projects include any project that directly impacts more than 205 one tenth (0.1) and less than or equal to two five-tenths (0.2) of an 206 acre or more than 100 and less than or equal to 300 linear feet of 207 waters of the state, or any project that inherently cannot be 208 located at an alternate location (unless it meets the size 209 requirements set forth in Tier 1). Tier 2 projects shall provide an 210 211 analysis of only on-site alternatives. Tier 1 projects include any project that directly impacts less than 212 iii. 213 or equal to one tenth (0.1) of an acre or less than or equal to 100 linear feet of waters of the state. Tier 1 projects shall provide a 214 description of any steps that have been or will be taken to avoid 215 and minimize loss of, or significant adverse impacts to, beneficial 216

uses of waters of the state.

217

¹⁰ As used below, "impacts" include both permanent and temporary impacts.

218	2.	Additi	onal Info	ormation Required for a Complete Application.
219 220 221 222		a.	wetland	red by the permitting authority on a case-by-case basis, if the day season, mental field data from the wet season to substantiate dry season tions.
223 224 225 226 227		b.	assessi related	red by the permitting authority on a case-by-case basis, an ment of the potential impacts associated with climate change to the proposed project and any proposed compensatory on, and any measures to avoid or minimize those potential s.
228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240		C.	case-by resource their like permitti using a mitigation or in-lie and ii, a section	rensatory mitigation is required by the permitting authority on a y-case basis, an assessment of the overall condition of aquatic ses proposed to receive a discharge of dredged or fill material and ely stressors, using an assessment method approved by the ing authority and a draft compensatory mitigation plan developed watershed approach containing the items below. Compensatory on not required for Ecological Restoration and Enhancement is. For permittees who intend to fulfill their compensatory on obligations by securing credits from approved mitigation banks are fee programs, their mitigation plans need include only the items as described below, as well as information required in Appendix A, 230.94 (c)(5) and (c)(6), and the name of the specific mitigation in-lieu fee program proposed to be used.
241 242 243			Supplei	ompensatory mitigation plans shall comport with the State mental Dredge or Fill Guidelines, Subpart J, and include the ts listed below.
244 245 246				A watershed profile for the project evaluation area for both the proposed dredged or fill project and the proposed compensatory mitigation project.
247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257				A description of how the project impacts and compensatory mitigation would not cause a net loss of the overall abundance, diversity, and condition of aquatic resources, based on the watershed profile. If the compensatory mitigation is located in the same watershed as the project, no net loss will be determined on a watershed basis. If the compensatory mitigation and project impacts are located in multiple watersheds, no net loss will be determined considering all affected watersheds. The level of detail in the plan shall be sufficient to accurately evaluate whether compensatory mitigation offsets the adverse impacts attributed to a project.
258 259 260				Preliminary information about ecological performance standards, monitoring, and long-term protection and management, as described in State Supplemental Dredge or Fill Guidelines.

261		iv.	A timetable for implementing the compensatory mitigation plan.
262 263		٧.	If the compensatory mitigation plan includes buffers, design criteria and monitoring requirements for those buffers.
264 265 266 267 268 269 270		vi.	If the compensatory mitigation involves restoration or establishment as the form of mitigation, applicants shall notify state and federal land management agencies, airport land use commission, fire control districts, flood control districts, local mosquito-vector control district(s), and any other interested local entities prior to initial site selection. These entities should be notified as early as possible during the initial compensatory mitigation project design stage.
272 273 274 275 276 277	d.	activit qualit objec	uired by the permitting authority on a case-by-case basis, if project ies include in-water work or water diversions, a proposed water y monitoring plan to monitor compliance with water quality tives of the applicable water quality control plan. At a minimum, the should include type and frequency of sampling for each applicable neter.
278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294	e.	plan t for residesig gradin with p invasi shall i conto struct maint perfor timefr maint invasi be su	cases where temporary impacts are proposed, a draft restoration hat outlines design, implementation, assessment, and maintenance storing areas of temporary impact to pre-project conditions. The n components shall include the objectives of the restoration plan; and plan of disturbed areas to pre-project contours; a planting palette plant species native to the area; seed collection locations; and an active species management plan. The implementation component include all proposed actions to implement the plan (e.g., re-uring, initial planting, site stabilization, removal of temporary ures) and a schedule for completing those actions. The enance and assessment components shall include a description of rmance standards used to evaluate attainment of objectives; the same for determining attainment of performance standards; and enance requirements (e.g., watering, weeding, replanting and live species control). The level of detail in the restoration plan shall efficient to accurately evaluate whether the restoration offsets the see impacts attributed to a project.
295 296 297		restor	to issuance of the Order, the applicant shall submit a final ration plan that describes the restoration of all temporarily disturbed to pre-project conditions.
298 299 300 301 302 303 304	f.	asses perfor proto- for per asses condi	Il Ecological Restoration and Enhancement Projects, a draft asment plan including the following: project objectives; description of rmance standards used to evaluate attainment of objectives; cols for condition assessment; the timeframe and responsible party erforming condition assessment; and assessment schedule. A draft assment plan shall provide for at least one assessment of the overall tion of aquatic resources and their likely stressors, using an approved by the permitting authority

306 307 308		prior to restoration and/or enhancement and two years following restoration and/or enhancement to determine success of the restoration and/or enhancement.
309 310	В.	Permitting Authority Review and Approval of Applications for Individual Orders
311 312 313 314 315	1.	The permitting authority will evaluate the potential impacts on the aquatic environment from the proposed project and determine whether the proposed project complies with the Procedures. The permitting authority has the discretion to approve a project only if the applicant has demonstrated the following:
316 317		 A sequence of actions has been taken to first avoid, then to minimize, an lastly compensate for adverse impacts to waters of the state;
318 319		b. The potential impacts will not contribute to a net loss of the overall abundance, diversity, and condition of aquatic resources in a watershed;
320 321 322		c. The discharge of dredged or fill material will not violate water quality standards and will be consistent with all applicable water quality control plans and policies for water quality control; and
323 324		d. The discharge of dredged or fill material will not cause or contribute to significant degradation of the waters of the state.
325 326 327 328 329	2.	The permitting authority shall rely on any final aquatic resource report with a preliminary or approved jurisdictional determination issued by the Corps to determine boundaries of waters of the U.S. For all other wetland area delineations, the permitting authority shall review and approve delineations that are performed using the methods described in Section III.
330	3.	Alternatives Analysis Review Requirements:
331 332 333 334 335 336 337		a. The purpose of the alternatives analysis is to identify the LEDPA. The permitting authority will be responsible for determining the sufficiency of an alternatives analysis except as described in 3(b) below. In all cases, the alternatives analysis must establish that the proposed project alternative is the LEDPA in light of all potential direct, secondary (indirect), and cumulative impacts on the physical, chemical, and biological elements of the aquatic ecosystem.
338		b. <u>Discharges to waters of the U.S.</u>
339 340 341 342 343 344		In reviewing and approving the alternatives analysis for discharges of dredged or fill material that impact waters of the U.S., the permitting authority shall defer to the Corps' determinations on the adequacy of the alternatives analysis, or rely on a draft alternatives analysis if no final determination has been made, unless the Executive Officer or Executive Director determines that (1) the permitting authority was not provided an
345		adequate opportunity to collaborate in the development of the alternative

analysis, (2) the alternatives analysis does not adequately address issues 346 identified in writing by the Executive Officer or Executive Director to the 347 Corps during the development of the alternatives analysis, or (3) the 348 proposed project and all of the identified alternatives would not comply 349 with water quality standards. 350 If the project also includes discharges to waters of the state outside of 351 352 federal jurisdiction, the permitting authority shall require the applicant to supplement the alternatives analysis to include waters of the state outside 353 of federal jurisdiction. If an alternatives analysis is not required by the 354 Corps for waters of the U.S. impacted by the discharge of dredged or fill 355 material, the permitting authority shall require an alternatives analysis for 356 357 the entire project in accordance with the State Supplemental Dredge or Fill Guidelines. 358 359 4. Prior to issuance of the Order aquatic resources, the permitting authority will review and approve the final restoration plan for temporary impacts. 360 361 5. Compensatory Mitigation Compensatory mitigation, in accordance with the State Supplemental 362 a. Dredge or Fill Guidelines, Subpart J, may be required to ensure that an 363 activity complies with these Procedures. 364 Where feasible, the permitting authority will consult and coordinate with 365 b. any other public agencies that have concurrent mitigation requirements in 366 order to achieve multiple environmental benefits with a single mitigation 367 project, thereby reducing the cost of compliance to the applicant. 368 Amount: The amount of compensatory mitigation will be determined on a 369 C. project-by-project basis in accordance with State Supplemental Dredge or 370 Fill Guidelines, section 230.93(f). The permitting authority may take into 371 account recent anthropogenic degradation to the aquatic resource and 372 the potential and existing functions and conditions of the aquatic 373 resource. A minimum of one-to-one acreage or length of stream reach 374 replacement is necessary to compensate for wetland or stream losses 375 unless an appropriate function or condition assessment method clearly 376 demonstrates, on an exceptional basis, that a lesser amount is sufficient. 377 A reduction in the mitigation ratio for compensatory mitigation will be 378 considered by the permitting authority if buffer areas adjacent to the 379 compensatory mitigation are also required to be maintained as part of the 380 compensatory mitigation management plan. The amount of 381 compensatory mitigation required by the permitting authority will vary 382 383 depending on which of the following strategies the applicant uses to locate the mitigation site within a watershed. 384 Strategy 1: Applicant locates compensatory mitigation using a watershed 385

guidance on compensatory mitigation opportunities;

approach based on a watershed profile developed from a watershed plan

that has been approved by the permitting authority and analyzed in an

environmental document, includes monitoring provisions, and includes

386

387

388

389

Strategy 2: Applicant locates compensatory mitigation using a watershed 390 approach based on a watershed profile developed for a project evaluation 391 area, and demonstrates that the mitigation project will contribute to the 392 sustainability of watershed functions and the overall health of the 393 watershed area's aquatic resources. 394 Generally, the amount of compensatory mitigation required under 395 396 Strategy 1 will be less than the amount of compensatory mitigation required under Strategy 2 since the level of certainty that a compensatory 397 mitigation project will meet its performance standards increases if the 398 compensatory mitigation project complies with a watershed plan as 399 described above. Certainty increases when there is a corresponding 400 401 increase in understanding of watershed conditions, which is increased when using a watershed plan as described above to determine 402 compensatory mitigation requirements. 403 Type and Location: The permitting authority will evaluate the applicant's 404 d. proposed mitigation type and location based on the applicant's use of a 405 watershed approach based on a watershed profile. The permitting 406 authority will determine the appropriate type and location of 407 compensatory mitigation based on watershed conditions, impact size, 408 location and spacing, aquatic resource values, relevant watershed plans, 409 and other considerations. 410 In general, the required compensatory mitigation should be located within 411 the same watershed as the impact site, but the permitting authority may 412 approve compensatory mitigation in a different watershed. For example, 413 if a proposed project may affect more than one watershed, then the 414 permitting authority may determine that locating all required project 415 mitigation in one area is ecologically preferable to requiring mitigation 416 within each watershed. 417 Final Compensatory Mitigation Plan: The permitting authority will review 418 e. and approve the final compensatory mitigation plan submitted by the 419 applicant to ensure mitigation comports with the State Supplemental 420 Dredge or Fill Guidelines, Water Code requirements, applicable water 421 quality standards, and other appropriate requirements of state law. The 422 level of detail in the final plan shall be sufficient to accurately evaluate 423 whether compensatory mitigation offsets the adverse impacts attributed to 424 425 a project considering the overall size and scope of impact. The compensatory mitigation plan shall be sufficient to provide the permitting 426 authority with a reasonable assurance that replacement of the full range 427 of lost aquatic resource(s) and/or functions will be provided in perpetuity. 428 The permitting authority may include as a condition of an Order-that the 429 applicant receive approval of a final mitigation plan prior to discharging 430 dredged or fill materials to waters of the state. In this case, the permitting 431 authority will approve the final mitigation plan by amending the Order. 432

Financial Security: Where deemed necessary by the permitting authority,

provision of a financial security (e.g., letter of credit or performance bond)

f.

433

434

435 436 437		shall be a condition of the Order. In this case, the permitting authority will approve the financial security to ensure compliance with compensatory mitigation plan requirements.
438 439 440 441 442		g. <u>Term of Mitigation Obligation:</u> The permitting authority may specify in the Order the conditions that must be met in order for the permitting authority to release the permittee from the mitigation obligation, including compensatory mitigation performance standards and long-term management funding obligations.
443 444 445 446 447 448 449	6.	The permitting authority shall provide public notice in accordance with Water Code section 13167.5 for waste discharge requirements. The permitting authority shall provide public notice of an application for water quality certification in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 3858. If the permitting authority receives comments on the application or there is substantial public interest in the project, the permitting authority shall also provide public notice of the draft Order, or draft amendment of the Order, unless circumstances warrant a shorter notice period.
451 452 453	7,	The permitting authority will review and approve the final monitoring and reporting requirements for all projects. Monitoring and reporting may be required to demonstrate compliance with the terms of the Order.
454	C.	General Orders
455 456 457 458 459	discharge act adverse impa potential adve	g authority may issue general orders for specific classes of dredged or fill ivities that are similar; involve the same or similar types of discharges and possible acts requiring the same or similar conditions or limitations in order to alleviate erse impacts to water quality; and are determined by the permitting authority to riately be regulated under a general order rather than under an individual Order.
460 461 462	General orde requirements of title 23.	rs shall be reviewed, noticed, and issued in accordance with the applicable of division 7 of the Water Code and the California Code of Regulations, division 3
463 464		plying to enroll under a general order shall follow the instructions specified in the for obtaining coverage.
465 466	D.	Activities and Areas Excluded from the Application Procedures for Regulation of Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material to Waters of the State
467 468 469 470 471	discharges of following area or waive was	on procedures specified in sections IV.A and IV.B do not apply to proposed dredged or fill material to waters of the state from the following activities, or to the as. These exclusions do not, however, affect the Water Board's authority to issue the discharge requirements (WDRs) or take other actions for the following activities to extent authorized by the Water Code.
472	1.	Activities excluded from application procedures in sections IV.A and IV.B:
473 474		a. Activities that are exempt under CWA section 404(f) (33 USC § 1344(f)). The following federal regulations (Table 1), guidance letters (Table 2),

and memoranda (Table 3), that have been adopted pursuant to CWA section 404(f) or that are used to interpret or implement section 404(f) shall be used when determining whether certain activities are excluded from these procedures. These documents are hereby incorporated by reference and shall apply to all waters of the state. Consistent with CWA section 404(f)(2) and 40 CFR section 232.3, any discharge of dredged or fill material to a water of the state incidental to any of these activities is not exempt under CWA section 404(f) and shall be subject to the application procedures sections IV.A and IV.B, if (1) the purpose of the activity is bringing a water of the state into a use to which it was not previously subject, where the flow or circulation of water of the state may be impaired or the reach of such waters be reduced, or (2) the discharge contains any toxic pollutant listed in CWA section 307.

b. Table 1: CFR References¹¹

Title	Section	Name	
33 CFR	323.4	Discharges not requiring permits (1986)	
40 CFR	232.3	Activities not requiring permits (1988)	

Table 2: Applicable U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Regulatory Guidance Letters (RGLs)¹²

RGL	Title
82-03	Irrigation Exemption in Section 404(F)(1)(C) of the Clean Water Act
84-01	Regulatory Jurisdiction Over Vegetative Operations
84-05	Fifth Circuit Decision in Avoyelles vs. Marsh
85-04	Agricultural Conversion
86-01	Exemptions to Clean Water Act - Plowing
86-03	Exemption of Farm and Forest Roads
87-07	Exemption for Drainage Ditch Maintenance
87-09	Exemption for Construction or Maintenance of Farm or Stock Ponds
92-02	Water Dependency and Cranberry Production
93-03	Rescission of RGL's 90-5 and 90-8
96-02	Applicability of Exemptions under Section 404(f) to "Deep Ripping" Activities in Wetlands

¹¹ The documents in Table 1 are available at the U.S. Government Printing Office, Code of Federal Regulations webpage: http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/browse/collectionCfr.action?collectionCode=C.F.R.

¹² The documents in Table 2 are available at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Program and Permits, Related Resources, Regulatory Guidance Letters webpage: http://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWorks/RegulatoryProgramandPermits/GuidanceLetters.aspx

07-02

Exemptions for Construction or Maintenance of Irrigation Ditches and Maintenance of Drainage Ditches Under Section 404 of Clean Water Act

491

Table 3: Memoranda¹³

Memorandum for the Field: Clean Water Act Section 404 Regulatory Program and Agricultural Activities (1990)

492 493

Suction dredge mining activities for mineral recovery regulated under C. CWA section 402.

- 494
- Areas excluded from application procedures in sections IV.A and IV.B. 2.
- 495 496 497
- 498 499
- 500 501
- 502 503
- 504
- 505 506 507 508
- 509 510
- 511 512

513

514

515

516

517 518

- Discharges of dredged or fill material that occur within wetland areas that a. have been certified as prior converted cropland (PCC) by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. The PCC exclusion will no longer apply if: (1) the PCC changes to a non-agricultural use, or (2) the PCC is abandoned, meaning it is not planted to an agricultural commodity for more than five consecutive years and wetland characteristics return, and the land was not left idle in accordance with a USDA program.
 - i. For purposes of D.2.(a), agricultural commodity means any crop planted and produced by annual tilling of the soil, including tiling by one-trip planters, or sugarcane.14
 - ii. For purposes of D.2.(a), agricultural use means open land planted to an agricultural crop, used for the production of (1) food or fiber, (2) used for haying or grazing, (3) left idle per a USDA program, or (4) diverted from crop production to an approved cultural practice by NRCS that prevents erosion or other degradation. 15
- Discharges of dredged or fill material that are associated with routine b. maintenance of storm water facilities regulated under another Water Board Order, such as sedimentation/storm water detention basins.

For activities associated with (1) an appropriation of water subject to Part 2 (commencing with section 1200) of Division 2 of the Water Code, (2) a hydroelectric facility where the proposed activity requires a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) license or amendment to a FERC license, or (3) any other diversion of water for beneficial use, the Division of Water Rights will inform the applicant whether the application procedures in sections IV.A and IV.B will apply to the application.

¹³ These documents are available at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program and Permits, Related Resources, Memoranda of Understanding/Agreement webpage: http://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWorks/RegulatoryProgramandPermits/ MOUMOAs.aspx

¹⁴ Joint Guidance from the Natural Resources Conservation Service and the Army Corps of Engineers Concerning Wetland Determinations for the Clean Water Act and the Food Security Act of 1985, February 25, 2005.

¹⁵ Joint Guidance from the Natural Resources Conservation Service and the Army Corps of Engineers Concerning Wetland Determinations for the Clean Water Act and the Food Security Act of 1985, February 25, 2005

519	V.	Definitions
520 521 522 523	Fill Gu shall h	llowing definitions apply to these Procedures, including the State Supplemental Dredge or idelines. Unless otherwise indicated, any term that is not defined in these Procedures ave the same meaning as defined in Water Code section 13050, and title 23, section if the California Code of Regulations.
524 525	Abund area, a	lance means an estimate of the amount of aquatic resources by type in a watershed and what types of aquatic resources are most and least prevalent.
526 527 528	Altern project damag	atives Analysis is the process of analyzing project alternatives, including the proposed to determine the alternative that is both practicable and the least environmentally ling.
529 530 531	quality	cation means a written request, including a report of waste discharge or request for water certification, for authorization of any activity that may result in the discharge of dredged naterial and is subject to these Procedures.
532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541	operat treatm enviror substranatura manag swales chann	benefit Constructed Facilities means artificial, man-made, or improved facilities that are ed to provide water supply/quantity, water storage, water conveyance, water quality ent, and/or storm water, runoff or flood control functions, while also providing other nmental benefits, such as: groundwater recharge; natural beds, banks, soils, or ates; wetland, riparian, or other habitat and vegetation, including, without limitation, lized surface water, runoff, or storm water quality treatment facilities or structural best gement practices; naturalized surface water, runoff, storm water, or flood management so, conveyance channels, or basins; naturalized percolation ponds and percolation els; bio-filtration and bio-retention basins, ponds, and wetlands; and naturalized dwater and surface water storage facilities.
542 543 544 545	bound of wet	nd Delineation means the application of a technical and procedural method to identify the ary of a wetland area within a specified study site by identifying the presence or absence and indicators at multiple points at the site and by establishing boundaries that group er sets of points that share the same status as wetland versus non-wetland.
546 547 548	or dre	arge of Dredged Material means addition of dredged material, material that is excavated dged from waters of the state, including redeposit of dredged material other than ntal fallback within, to the waters of state.
549 550	Divers	sity means the relative proportion of aquatic resource types, classification, connectivity, patial distribution in a watershed area.
551 552 553	of repl	arge of Fill Material means the addition of fill material where the material has the effect acing any portion of a water of the state with dry land or changing the bottom elevation of ortion of a water of the state.
554 555 556 557 558 559	under has be to enh under	gical Restoration and Enhancement Project means the project is voluntarily taken for the purpose of assisting or controlling the recovery of an aquatic ecosystem that seen degraded, damaged or destroyed to restore some measure of its natural condition and ance the beneficial uses, including potential beneficial uses of water. Such projects are taken: 1) in accordance with the terms and conditions of a binding stream or wetland occurrent or restoration agreement, or a wetland establishment agreement, between the

560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573	landowner and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Farm Service Agency, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, California Wildlife Conservation Board, California Coastal Conservancy, or other federal or state resource agency or non-governmental conservation organization; or 2) by a state or federal agency. These projects do not include the conversion of a stream or natural wetland to uplands or stream channelization. It is recognized that ecological restoration and enhancement projects may require filling gullied stream channels and similar rehabilitative activities to re-establish stream and meadow hydrology. Changes in wetland plant communities that occur when wetland hydrology is more fully restored during rehabilitation activities are not considered a conversion to another aquatic habitat type. These projects also do not include actions required under a Water Board order (e.g., WDRs, waivers of WDRs, or water quality certification) for mitigation, actions to service required mitigation, or actions undertaken for the primary purpose of land development.
574 575	Environmental Document means a document prepared for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act or the National Environmental Policy Act.
576 577 578	Hydrophyte means any macrophyte that grows in water or on a substrate that is at least periodically deficient in oxygen as a result of excessive water content; plants typically found in wet habitats.
579 580 581	LEDPA means the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative. The determination of practicable alternatives shall be consistent with the State Supplemental Guidelines, section 230.10(a).
582 583 584 585 586	Normal Circumstances is the soil and hydrologic conditions that are normally present, without regard to whether the vegetation has been removed. The determination of whether normal circumstances exist in a disturbed area involves an evaluation of the extent and relative permanence of the physical alteration of wetlands hydrology and hydrophytic vegetation and consideration of the purpose and cause of the physical alterations to hydrology and vegetation.
587 588	Order means Waste Discharge Requirements, waivers of Waste Discharge Requirements, or water quality certification.
589 590	Permitting Authority means the entity or person issuing the Order (i.e., the applicable Water Board, Executive Director or Executive Officer, or his or her designee).
591 592 593 594 595	Project Evaluation Area means an area that includes the project impact site, and/or the compensatory mitigation site, and is sufficiently large to evaluate the effects of the project and/or the compensatory mitigation on the abundance, diversity, and condition of aquatic resources in an ecologically meaningful unit of the watershed. The size and location of the ecologically meaningful unit shall be based on a reasonable rationale.
596 597	Water Boards mean any of the nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards, the State Water Resources Control Board, or all of them collectively.
598 599	Watershed means a land area that drains to a common waterway, such as a stream, lake, estuary, wetland, or ultimately the ocean.

Watershed Approach means an analytical process for evaluating the environmental effects of a proposed project and making decisions that support the sustainability or improvement of aquatic resources in a watershed. The watershed approach recognizes that the abundance, diversity, and condition of aquatic resources in a watershed support beneficial uses. Diversity of aquatic resources includes both the types of aquatic resources and the locations of those aquatic resources in a watershed. Consideration is also given to understanding historic and potential aquatic resource conditions, past and projected aquatic resource impacts in the watershed, and terrestrial connections between aquatic resources. The watershed approach can be used to evaluate avoidance and minimization of direct, indirect, secondary, and cumulative project impacts. It also can be used in determining compensatory mitigation requirements.

Watershed Plan means a document developed in consultation with relevant stakeholders, for the specific goal of aquatic resource restoration, establishment, enhancement, and preservation within a watershed. A watershed plan addresses aquatic resource conditions in the watershed, multiple stakeholder interests, and land uses. Watershed plans should include information about implementing the watershed plan. Watershed plans may also identify priority sites for aquatic resource restoration and protection. Examples of watershed plans include special area management plans, advance identification programs, and wetland management plans. The permitting authority may approve the use of HCPs and NCCPs as watershed plans.

Watershed Profile means a compilation of data or information on the abundance, diversity, and condition of aquatic resources in a project evaluation area. The watershed profile shall include a map and a report characterizing the location, abundance and diversity of aquatic resources in the project evaluation area, assessing the condition of aquatic resources in the project evaluation area, and describing the environmental stress factors affecting that condition.

The watershed profile shall include information sufficient to evaluate direct, secondary, and cumulative impacts of project and factors that may favor or hinder the success of compensatory mitigation projects, and help define watershed goals. It may include such things as current trends in habitat loss or conservation, cumulative impacts of past development activities, current development trends, the presence and need of sensitive species, and chronic environmental problems or site conditions such as flooding or poor water quality.

The scope and detail of the watershed profile shall be commensurate with the magnitude of impact associated with the proposed project. Information sources include online searches, maps, watershed plans, and possibly some fieldwork if necessary. In some cases, field data may need to be collected in the project evaluation area to confirm the reported condition. Some or all of the information may be obtained from a watershed plan. Watershed profiles for subsequent projects in a watershed can be used to track the cumulative effectiveness of the permitting authority's decisions.

638	Appendix A: State Supplemental Dredge or Fill Guidelines
639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648	It is the intent of the Water Boards to be consistent with the EPA's 404(b)(1) Guidelines where feasible. Due to jurisdictional and procedural differences, some modifications to the EPA's Guidelines were necessary. Generally, these changes or deletions were made to reduce redundancy (especially where sufficiently described elsewhere in these Procedures) and to account for other state requirements. Note that the numbering scheme of the EPA's 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained in these State Supplemental Dredge or Fill Guidelines for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the federal Guidelines. The State Supplemental Dredge or Fill Guidelines describe how the Water Boards will implement the 404(b)(1) Guidelines under these Procedures. The definitions contained herein apply to these Procedures, including the State Supplemental Dredge or Fill Guidelines.
649	Subpart A – General ¹⁶
650	§ 230.3 Definitions.
651	For purposes of these Procedures, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated:
652 653 654	(c) The terms aquatic environment and aquatic ecosystem mean waters of the state, including wetlands, that serve as habitat for interrelated and interacting communities and populations of plants and animals.
655 656	(h) The term discharge point means the point within the disposal site at which the dredged or fill material is released.
657 658 659 660 661	(i) The term disposal site means that portion of the "waters of the state" where the discharge of dredged or fill material is permitted and involves a bottom surface area and any overlying volume of water. In the case of wetlands or ephemeral streams on which surface water is not present, the disposal site consists of the wetland or ephemeral stream surface area.
662 663	(k) The term extraction site means the place from which the dredged or fill material proposed for discharge is to be removed.
664 665	(n) The term permitting authority means as defined above in the main text of these Procedures.
666 667	(q) The term practicable means available and capable of being done after taking into consideration cost, existing technology, and logistics in light of overall project purposes.
668 669 670 671 672	(q1) Special aquatic sites are geographic areas, large or small, possessing special ecological characteristics of productivity, habitat, wildlife protection, or other important and easily disrupted ecological values. These areas are generally recognized as significantly influencing or positively contributing to the general overall environmental health or vitality of the entire ecosystem of a region. (See § 230.10 (a)(3))

 $^{^{16}}$ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

673 § 230.6 Adaptability¹⁷

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683 684

685 686

687

688

689 690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703 704

705 706

707

708

709 710

(a) The manner in which these Guidelines are used depends on the physical, biological, and chemical nature of the proposed extraction site, the material to be discharged, and the candidate disposal site, including any other important components of the ecosystem being evaluated. Documentation to demonstrate knowledge about the extraction site, materials to be extracted, and the candidate disposal site is an essential component of guideline application. These Guidelines allow evaluation and documentation for a variety of activities, ranging from those with large, complex impacts on the aquatic environment to those for which the impact is likely to be innocuous. It is unlikely that the Guidelines will apply in their entirety to any one activity, no matter how complex. It is anticipated that substantial numbers of applications will be for minor, routine activities that have little, if any, potential for significant degradation of the aquatic environment. It generally is not intended or expected that extensive testing, evaluation or analysis will be needed to make findings of compliance in such routine cases.(b) The Guidelines user, including the agency or agencies responsible for implementing the Guidelines, must recognize the different levels of effort that should be associated with varying degrees of impact and require or prepare commensurate documentation. The level of documentation should reflect the significance and complexity of the discharge activity.

(c) An essential part of the evaluation process involves making determinations as to the relevance of any portion(s) of the Guidelines and conducting further evaluation only as needed. However, where portions of the Guidelines review procedure are "short form" evaluations, there still must be sufficient information (including consideration of both individual and cumulative impacts) to support the decision of whether to specify the site for disposal of dredged or fill material and to support the decision to curtail or abbreviate the evaluation process. The presumption against the discharge in § 230.1 applies to this decision-making.

Subpart B – Compliance with Guidelines¹⁸

§ 230.10 Restrictions on Discharge

- (a) No discharge of dredged or fill material shall be permitted if there is a practicable alternative to the proposed discharge which would have less adverse impact on the aquatic ecosystem, so long as the alternative does not have other significant adverse environmental consequences.
 - (1) For the purpose of this requirement, practicable alternatives include, but are not limited to:
 - (i) Activities which do not involve a discharge of dredged or fill material to waters of the state or ocean waters;
 - (ii) Discharges of dredged or fill material at other locations in waters of the state or ocean waters;

¹⁷ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

¹⁸ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

711 712 713 714 715	(2) An alternative is practicable if it is available and capable of being done after taking into consideration cost, existing technology, and logistics in light of overall project purposes. If it is otherwise a practicable alternative, an area not presently owned by the applicant which could reasonably be obtained, utilized, expanded or managed in order to fulfill the basic purpose of the proposed activity may be considered.
716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723	(3) Where activity associated with a discharge which is proposed for a special aquatic site (as defined in subpart E) does not require access or proximity to or siting within the special aquatic site in question to fulfill its basic purpose (i.e., is not "water dependent"), practicable alternatives that do not involve special aquatic sites are presumed to be available, unless clearly demonstrated otherwise. In addition, where a discharge is proposed for a special aquatic site, all practicable alternatives to the proposed discharge which do not involve a discharge into a special aquatic site are presumed to have less adverse impact on the aquatic ecosystem, unless clearly demonstrated otherwise.
724	(b) No discharge of dredged or fill material shall be permitted if it:
725 726	(1) Causes or contributes, after consideration of disposal site dilution and dispersion, to violations of any applicable State water quality standard;
727 728	(2) Violates any applicable toxic effluent standard or prohibition under section 307 of the Clean Water Act;
729 730 731	(c) No discharge of dredged or fill material shall be permitted which will cause or contribute to significant degradation of the waters of the state. Under these Guidelines, effects contributing to significant degradation considered individually or collectively, include:
732 733 734	(1) Significantly adverse effects of the discharge of pollutants on human health or welfare, including but not limited to effects on municipal water supplies, plankton, fish, shellfish, wildlife, and special aquatic sites;
735 736 737 738	(2) Significantly adverse effects of the discharge of pollutants on life stages of aquatic life and other wildlife dependent on aquatic ecosystems, including the transfer, concentration, and spread of pollutants or their byproducts outside of the disposal site through biological, physical, and chemical processes.
739 740 741 742	(3) Significantly adverse effects of the discharge of pollutants on aquatic ecosystem diversity, productivity, and stability. Such effects may include, but are not limited to, loss of fish and wildlife habitat or loss of the capacity of a wetland to assimilate nutrients, purify water, or reduce wave energy; or
743 744	(4) Significantly adverse effects of the discharge of pollutants on recreational, aesthetic, and economic values.
745 746 747	(d) No discharge of dredged or fill material shall be permitted unless appropriate and practicable steps have been taken which will minimize potential adverse impacts of the discharge on the aquatic ecosystem. Subpart H identifies such possible steps.

748	Subpart E – Potential Impacts on Special Aquatic Sites
749	§ 230.40 Sanctuaries and refuges ¹⁹
750 751 752	(a) Sanctuaries and refuges consist of areas designated under State and Federal laws or local ordinances to be managed principally for the preservation and use of fish and wildlife resources.
753	§ 230.41 Wetlands.
754	(a)(1) Wetlands are as defined above in the main text of these Procedures.
755	§ 230.42 Mud Flats.
756 757 758 759 760 761 762	(a) Mud flats are broad flat areas along the sea coast and in coastal rivers to the head of tidal influence and inland lakes, ponds, and riverine systems. When mud flats are inundated, wind and wave action may resuspend bottom sediments. Coastal mud flats are exposed at extremely low tides and inundated at high tides with the water table at or near the surface of the substrate. The substrate of mud flats contains organic material and particles smaller in size than sand. They are either unvegetated or vegetated only by algal mats.
763	§ 230.43 Vegetated shallows.
764 765 766	(a) Vegetated shallows are permanently inundated areas that under normal circumstances support communities of rooted aquatic vegetation, such as turtle grass and eel grass in estuarine or marine systems as well as a number of freshwater species in rivers and lakes.
767	§ 230.45 Riffle and Pool Complexes.
768 769 770 771 772 773 774	(a) Steep gradient sections of streams are sometimes characterized by riffle and pool complexes. Such stream sections are recognizable by their hydraulic characteristics. The rapid movement of water over a coarse substrate in riffles results in a rough flow, a turbulent surface, and high dissolved oxygen levels in the water. Pools are deeper areas associated with riffles. Pools are characterized by a slower stream velocity, a streaming flow, a smooth surface, and a finer substrate. Riffle and pool complexes are particularly valuable habitat for fish and wildlife.
775	Subpart H – Actions to Minimize Adverse Effects
776 777 778 779	Note: There are many actions which can be undertaken in response to 230.10(d) to minimize the adverse effects of discharges of dredged or fill material. Some of these, grouped by type of activity, are listed in this subpart. Additional criteria for compensation measures are provided in subpart J of these procedures.

¹⁹ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

780	§ 230.70 Actions concerning the location of the discharge.
781 782	The effects of the discharge can be minimized by the choice of the disposal site. Some of the ways to accomplish this are by:
783	(a) Locating and confining the discharge to minimize smothering of organisms;
784	(b) Designing the discharge to avoid a disruption of periodic water inundation patterns;
785 786	(c) Selecting a disposal site that has been used previously for dredged material discharge;
787 788	(d) Selecting a disposal site at which the substrate is composed of material similar to that being discharged, such as discharging sand on sand or mud on mud;
789 790	(e) Selecting a disposal site, the discharge point, and the method of discharge to minimize the extent of any plume;
791 792 793	(f) Designing the discharge of dredged or fill material to minimize or prevent the creation of standing bodies of water in areas of normally fluctuating water levels, and minimize o prevent the drainage of areas subject to such fluctuations.
794	§ 230.71 Actions concerning the material to be discharged ²⁰
795 796	The effects of a discharge can be minimized by treatment of, or limitations on the material itself, such as:
797 798	(a) Disposal of dredged material in such a manner that physiochemical conditions are maintained and the potency and availability of pollutants are reduced.
799 800	(b) Limiting the solid, liquid, and gaseous components of material to be discharged at a particular site;
801	(c) Adding treatment substances to the discharge material;
802 803	(d) Utilizing chemical flocculants to enhance the deposition of suspended particulates in diked disposal areas.
804	§ 230.72 Actions controlling the material after discharge.
805	The effects of the dredged or fill material after discharge may be controlled by:
806 807 808	(a) Selecting discharge methods and disposal sites where the potential for erosion, slumping or leaching of materials into the surrounding aquatic ecosystem will be reduced. These sites or methods include, but are not limited to:
809	(1) Using containment levees, sediment basins, and cover crops to reduce erosions

 $^{^{20}}$ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

810 811	(2) Using lined containment areas to reduce leaching where leaching of chemical constituents from the discharged material is expected to be a problem;
812 813	(b) Capping in-place contaminated material with clean material or selectively discharging the most contaminated material first to be capped with the remaining material;
814 815	(c) Maintaining and containing discharged material properly to prevent point and nonpoint sources of pollution;
816 817	(d) Timing the discharge to minimize impact, for instance during periods of unusual high water flows, wind, wave, and tidal actions.
818	§ 230.73 Actions affecting the method of dispersion.
819	The effects of a discharge can be minimized by the manner in which it is dispersed, such as:
820 821	(a) Where environmentally desirable, distributing the dredged material widely in a thin layer at the disposal site maintain natural substrate contours and elevation;
822 823 824	(b) Orienting a dredged or fill material mound to minimize undesirable obstruction to the water current or circulation pattern, and utilizing natural bottom contours to minimize the size of the mound;
825 826	(c) Using silt screens or other appropriate methods to confine suspended particulate/turbidity to a small area where settling or removal can occur;
827 828	(d) Making use of currents and circulation patterns to mix, disperse and dilute the discharge;
829 830 831	 (e) Minimizing water column turbidity by using a submerged diffuser system. A similar effect can be accomplished by submerging pipeline discharges or otherwise releasing materials near the bottom;
832 833 834	(f) Selecting sites or managing discharges to confine and minimize the release of suspended particulates to give decreased turbidity levels and to maintain light penetration for organisms;
835 836	(g) Setting limitations on the amount of material to be discharged per unit of time or volume of receiving water.
837	§ 230.74 Actions related to technology.
838 839 840	Discharge technology should be adapted to the needs of each site. In determining whether the discharge operation sufficiently minimizes adverse environmental impacts, the applicant should consider:
841 842 843	 (a) Using appropriate equipment or machinery, including protective devices, and the use of such equipment or machinery in activities related to the discharge of dredged or fill material;
844 845	(b) Employing appropriate maintenance and operation on equipment or machinery, including adequate training, staffing, and working procedures;

(c) Using machinery and techniques that are especially designed to reduce damage to 846 wetlands. This may include machines equipped with devices that scatter rather than 847 mound excavated materials, machines with specially designed wheels or tracks, and the 848 use of mats under heavy machines to reduce wetland surface compaction and rutting; 849 (d) Designing access roads and channels spanning structures using culverts, open 850 channels, and diversions that will pass both low and high water flows, accommodate 851 fluctuating water levels, and maintain circulation and faunal movement; 852 (e) Employing appropriate machinery and methods of transport of the material for 853 854 discharge. § 230.75 Actions affecting plant and animal populations.²¹ 855 Minimization of adverse effects on populations of plant and animals can be achieved by: 856 (a) Avoiding changes in water current and circulation patterns which would interfere with 857 the movement of animals: 858 (b) Selecting sites or managing discharges to prevent or avoid creating habitat 859 conducive to the development of undesirable predators or species which have a 860 competitive edge ecologically over indigenous plants or animals; 861 862 (c) Avoiding sites having unique habitat or other value, including habitat of threatened or 863 endangered species: (d) Using planning and construction practices to institute habitat development and 864 restoration to produce a new or modified environmental state of higher ecological value 865 by displacement of some or all of the existing environmental characteristics. Habitat 866 development and restoration techniques can be used to minimize adverse impacts and 867 to compensate for destroyed habitat. Additional criteria for compensation measures are 868 provided in subpart J of this part. Use techniques that have been demonstrated to be 869 effective in circumstances similar to those under consideration wherever possible. 870 Where proposed development and restoration techniques have not yet advanced to the 871 pilot demonstration stage, initiate their use on a small scale to allow corrective action if 872 873 unanticipated adverse impacts occur; (e) Timing discharge to avoid spawning or migration seasons and other biologically 874 875 critical time periods: (f) Avoiding the destruction of remnant natural sites within areas already affected by 876 877 development.

²¹ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

878	§ 230.76 Actions affecting human use.
879	Minimization of adverse effects on human use potential may be achieved by:
880 881 882	(a) Selecting discharge sites and following discharge procedures to prevent or minimize any potential damage to the aesthetically pleasing features of the aquatic site (e.g. viewscapes), particularly with respect to water quality;
883	(b) Selecting disposal sites which are not valuable as natural aquatic areas;
884 885	(c) Timing the discharge to avoid the seasons or periods when human recreational activity associated with the aquatic site is most important;
886 887	(d) Following discharge procedures which avoid or minimize the disturbance of aesthetic features on an aquatic site or ecosystem;
888 889 890	 (e) Selecting sites that will not be detrimental or increase incompatible human activity, or require the need for frequent dredge or fill maintenance activity in remote fish and wildlife areas;
891	(f) Locating the disposal site outside of the vicinity of a public water supply intake.
892	§ 230.77 Other actions.
893 894	(a) In the case of fills, controlling runoff and other discharges from activities to be conducted on the fill;
895 896	(b) In the case of dams, designing water releases to accommodate the needs of fish and wildlife;
897 898 899 900	(c) In dredging projects funded by Federal agencies other than the Corps of Engineers, maintain desired water quality of the return discharge through agreement with the Federal funding authority on scientifically defensible pollutant concentration levels in addition to any applicable water quality standards;
901 902 903	(d) When a significant ecological change in the aquatic environment is proposed by the discharge of dredged or fill material, the permitting authority should consider the ecosystem that will be lost as well as the environmental benefits of the new system.
904	Subpart J – Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources ²²
905	§ 230.91 Purpose and general considerations.
906	(a) Purpose.
907	(1) The purpose of this subpart is to establish standards and criteria for the use of all

 $^{^{22}}$ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

909 910	mitigation, mitigation banks, and in-lieu fee mitigation to offset unavoidable impacts to waters of the state authorized through the issuance of Orders.
911 912 913 914	(d) Accounting for regional variations. Where appropriate, the permitting authority shall account for regional characteristics of aquatic resource types, functions and services when determining performance standards and monitoring requirements for compensatory mitigation projects.
91 4 915	§ 230.92 Definitions. ²³
916	For the purposes of this subpart, the following terms are defined:
917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925	Adaptive management means the development of a management strategy that anticipates likely challenges associated with compensatory mitigation projects and provides for the implementation of actions to address those challenges, as well as unforeseen changes to those projects. It requires consideration of the risk, uncertainty, and dynamic nature of compensatory mitigation projects and guides modification of those projects to optimize performance. It includes the selection of appropriate measures that will ensure that the aquatic resource functions are provided and involves analysis of monitoring results to identify potential problems of a compensatory mitigation project and the identification and implementation of measures to rectify those problems.
926 927 928	Buffer means an upland, wetland, and/or riparian area that protects and/or enhances aquatic resource functions associated with waters of the state from disturbances associated with adjacent land uses.
929 930 931 932	Compensatory mitigation means the restoration (re-establishment or rehabilitation), establishment (creation), enhancement, and/or in certain circumstances preservation of aquatic resources for the purposes of offsetting unavoidable adverse impacts which remain after all appropriate and practicable avoidance and minimization has been achieved.
933 934 935	Compensatory mitigation project means compensatory mitigation implemented by the permittee as a requirement of an Order (i.e., permittee-responsible mitigation), or by a mitigation bank or an in-lieu fee program.
936 937 938	Condition means the relative ability of an aquatic resource to support and maintain a community of organisms having a species composition, diversity, and functional organization comparable to reference aquatic resources in the region.
939 940 941 942	Credit means a unit of measure (e.g., a functional or areal measure or other suitable metric) representing the accrual or attainment of aquatic functions at a compensatory mitigation site. The measure of aquatic functions is based on the resources restored, established, enhanced, or preserved.
943	Days means calendar days.
944 945 946	Debit means a unit of measure (e.g., a functional or areal measure or other suitable metric) representing the loss of aquatic functions at an impact or project site. The measure of aquatic functions is based on the resources impacted by the authorized activity.

947 948 949 950 951	Enhancement means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of an aquatic resource to heighten, intensify, or improve a specific aquatic resource function(s). Enhancement results in the gain of selected aquatic resource function(s), but may also lead to a decline in other aquatic resource function(s). Enhancement does not result in a gain in aquatic resource area. ²⁴
952 953 954	Establishment (creation) means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics present to develop an aquatic resource that did not previously exist at an upland site. Establishment results in a gain in aquatic resource area and functions.
955 956	Functional capacity means the degree to which an area of aquatic resource performs a specific function.
957	Functions means the physical, chemical, and biological processes that occur in ecosystems.
958	Impact means adverse effect.
959 960	In-kind means a resource of a similar structural and functional type to the impacted resource.
961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969	In-lieu fee program means a program involving the restoration, establishment, enhancement, and/or preservation of aquatic resources through funds paid to a governmental or non-profit natural resources management entity to satisfy compensatory mitigation requirements for Orders. Similar to a mitigation bank, an in-lieu fee program sells compensatory mitigation credits to permittees whose obligation to provide compensatory mitigation is then transferred to the in-lieu program sponsor. However, the rules governing the operation and use of in-lieu fee programs are somewhat different from the rules governing operation and use of mitigation banks. The operation and use of an in-lieu fee program are governed by an in-lieu fee program instrument.
970 971	In-lieu fee program instrument means the legal document for the establishment, operation, and use of an in-lieu fee program.
972	Instrument means mitigation banking instrument or in-lieu fee program instrument.
973 974 975 976 977 978	Mitigation bank means a site, or suite of sites, where resources (e.g., wetlands, streams, riparian areas) are restored, established, enhanced, and/or preserved for the purpose of providing compensatory mitigation for impacts authorized by Orders. In general, a mitigation bank sells compensatory mitigation credits to permittees whose obligation to provide compensatory mitigation is then transferred to the mitigation bank sponsor. The operation and use of a mitigation bank are governed by a mitigation banking instrument.
979 980	Mitigation banking instrument means the legal document for the establishment, operation, and use of an in-lieu fee program.
981 982	Off-site means an area that is neither located on the same parcel of land as the impact site, nor on a parcel of land contiguous to the parcel containing the impact site.

 $^{^{24}}$ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

983 984	On-site means an area located on the same parcel of land as the impact site, or on a parcel of land contiguous to the impact site.
985 986	Out-of-kind means a resource of a different structural and functional type from the impacted resource.
987 988 989	Performance standards are observable or measurable physical (including hydrological), chemical and/or biological attributes that are used to determine if a compensatory mitigation project meets its objectives. ²⁵
990 991 992 993	Permittee-responsible mitigation means an aquatic resource restoration, establishment, enhancement, and/or preservation activity undertaken by the permittee (or an authorized agent or contractor) to provide compensatory mitigation for which the permittee retains full responsibility.
994 995 996 997 998	Preservation means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, aquatic resources by an action in or near those aquatic resources. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of aquatic resources through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions.
999 1000 1001 1002	Re-establishment means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural/historic functions to a former aquatic resource. Re-establishment results in rebuilding a former aquatic resource and results in a gain in aquatic resource area and functions.
1003 1004 1005	Reference aquatic resources are a set of aquatic resources that represent the full range of variability exhibited by a regional class of aquatic resources as a result of natural processes and anthropogenic disturbances.
1006 1007 1008 1009	Rehabilitation means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of repairing natural/historic functions to a degraded aquatic resource. Rehabilitation results in a gain in aquatic resource function, but does not result in a gain in aquatic resource area.
1010 1011 1012 1013	Restoration means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural/historic functions to a former or degraded aquatic resource. For the purpose of tracking net gains in aquatic resource area, restoration is divided into two categories: reestablishment and rehabilitation.
1014 1015	Riparian areas are lands adjacent to waters of the state. Riparian areas provide a variety of ecological functions and services and help improve or maintain local water quality.
1016 1017	Service area means the geographic area within which impacts can be mitigated at a specific mitigation bank or an in-lieu fee program, as designated in its instrument.
1018 1019	Services mean the benefits that human populations receive from functions that occur in ecosystems.

 $^{^{25}}$ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

Sponsor means any public or private entity responsible for establishing, and in most 1020 circumstances, operating a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program. 1021 Temporal loss is the time lag between the loss of aquatic resource functions caused by the 1022 permitted impacts and the replacement of aquatic resource functions at the compensatory 1023 mitigation site. Higher compensation ratios may be required to compensate for temporal 1024 loss. When the compensatory mitigation project is initiated prior to, or concurrent with, the 1025 permitted impacts, the permitting authority may determine that compensation for temporal 1026 loss is not necessary, unless the resource has a long development time. 1027 Watershed means a land area that drains to a common waterway, such as a stream, lake, 1028 estuary, wetland, or ultimately the ocean.²⁶ 1029 Watershed approach is defined above in the main text of these Procedures. 1030 Watershed plan is defined above in the main text of these Procedures. 1031 § 230.93 General compensatory mitigation requirements. 1032 1033 (a) General Considerations. (1) The fundamental objective of compensatory mitigation is to offset environmental 1034 losses resulting from unavoidable impacts to waters of the state authorized by Orders. 1035 The permitting authority must determine the compensatory mitigation to be required in 1036 an Order, based on what would be environmentally preferable. In making this 1037 determination, the permitting authority must assess the likelihood for ecological success 1038 and sustainability, and the location of the compensation site relative to the impact site 1039 and their significance within the watershed, and the costs of the compensatory mitigation 1040 project. In many cases, the environmentally preferable compensatory mitigation may be 1041 provided through mitigation banks or in-lieu fee programs because they usually involve 1042 consolidating compensatory mitigation projects where ecologically appropriate. 1043 consolidating resources, providing financial planning and scientific expertise (which often 1044 is not practical for permittee-responsible compensatory mitigation projects), reducing 1045 temporal losses of functions, and reducing uncertainty over project success. 1046 Compensatory mitigation requirements must be commensurate with the amount and 1047 type of impact that is associated with a particular Order. Applicants are responsible for 1048 proposing an appropriate compensatory mitigation option to offset unavoidable impacts. 1049 (2) Compensatory mitigation may be performed using methods or restoration, 1050 enhancement, establishment, and in certain circumstances preservation. Restoration 1051 should generally be the first option considered because the likelihood of success is 1052 greater and the impacts to potentially ecologically important uplands are reduced 1053 compared to establishment, and the potential gains in terms of aquatic resource 1054 functions are greater, compared to enhancement and preservation. 1055 (3) Compensatory mitigation projects may be sited on public or private lands. Credits for 1056 compensatory mitigation projects on public land must be based solely on aquatic 1057 resource functions provided by the compensatory mitigation project, over and above

²⁶ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

those provided by public programs already planned or in place. All compensatory mitigation projects must comply with the standards in section IV of these Procedures, if they are to be used to provide compensatory mitigation for activities authorized by Orders, regardless of whether they are sited on public or private lands and whether the sponsor is a governmental or private entity.

(b) Type and location of compensatory mitigation.²⁷

- (1) In general, the required compensatory mitigation should be located within the same watershed as the impact site, and should be located where it is most likely to successfully replace lost functions and services, taking into account such watershed scale features as aquatic habitat diversity, habitat connectivity, relationships to hydrologic sources (including the availability of water rights), trends in land use, ecological benefits, and compatibility with adjacent land uses. When compensating for impacts to marine resources, the location of the compensatory mitigation site should be chosen to replace lost functions and services within the same marine ecological system (e.g., reef complex, littoral drift cell). Compensation for impacts to aquatic resources in coastal watersheds (watersheds that include a tidal water body) should also be located in a coastal watershed where practicable. Compensatory mitigation projects should not be located where they will increase risks to aviation by attracting wildlife to areas where aircraft-wildlife strikes may occur (e.g., near airports).
- (2) Mitigation bank credits. When permitted impacts are located within the service area of an approved mitigation bank, and the bank has the appropriate number and resource type of credits available, the permittee's compensatory mitigation requirements may be met by securing those credits from the sponsor. Since an approved instrument (including an approved mitigation plan and appropriate real estate and financial assurances) for a mitigation bank is required to be in place before its credits can begin to be used to compensate for authorized impacts, use of a mitigation bank can help reduce risk and uncertainty, as well as temporal loss of resource functions and services. Mitigation bank credits are not released for debiting until specific milestones associated with the mitigation bank site's protection and development are achieved, thus use of mitigation bank credits can also help reduce risk that mitigation will not be fully successful. Mitigation banks typically involve larger, more ecologically valuable parcels. and more rigorous scientific and technical analysis, planning and implementation than permittee-responsible mitigation. Also, development of a mitigation bank requires site identification in advance, project-specific planning, and significant investment of financial resources that is often not practicable for many in-lieu fee programs. For these reasons, the permitting authority should give preference to the use of mitigation bank credits when these considerations are applicable. However, these same considerations may also be used to override this preference, where appropriate, as, for example, where an in-lieu fee program has released credits available from a specific approved in-lieu fee project, or a permittee-responsible project will restore an outstanding resource based on rigorous scientific and technical analysis.
- (3) In-lieu fee program credits. Where permitted impacts are located within the service area of an approved in-lieu fee program, and the sponsor has the appropriate number and resource type of credits available, the permittee's compensatory mitigation

²⁷ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

requirements may be met by securing those credits from the sponsor. Where permitted impacts are not located in the service area of an approved mitigation bank, or the approved mitigation bank does not have the appropriate number and resource type of credits available to offset those impacts, in-lieu fee mitigation, if available, is generally preferable to permittee-responsible mitigation. In-lieu fee projects typically involve larger, more ecologically valuable parcels, and more rigorous scientific and technical analysis, planning and implementation than permittee-responsible mitigation. They also devote significant resources to identifying and addressing high-priority resource needs on a watershed scale, as reflected in their compensation planning framework. For these reasons, the permitting authority should give preference to in-lieu fee program credits over permittee-responsible mitigation, where these considerations are applicable. However, as with the preference for mitigation bank credits, these same considerations may be used to override this preference where appropriate. Additionally, in cases where permittee-responsible mitigation is likely to successfully meet performance standards before advance credits secured from an in-lieu fee program are fulfilled, the permitting authority should also give consideration to this factor in deciding between in-lieu fee mitigation and permittee-responsible mitigation.

- (4) Permittee-responsible mitigation under a watershed approach. Where permitted impacts are not in the service area of an approved mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program that has the appropriate number and resource type of credits available, permittee-responsible mitigation is the only option. Where practicable and likely to be successful and sustainable, the resource type and location for the required permittee-responsible compensatory mitigation should be determined using the principles of a watershed approach as outlined in paragraph (c) of this section.
- (5) Permittee-responsible mitigation through on-site and in-kind mitigation. In cases where a watershed approach is not practicable, the permitting authority should consider opportunities to offset anticipated aquatic resource impacts by requiring on-site and in-kind compensatory mitigation. The permitting authority must also consider the practicability of on-site compensatory mitigation and its compatibility with the proposed project.
- (6) Permittee-responsible mitigation through off-site and/or out-of-kind mitigation. If, after considering opportunities for on-site, in-kind compensatory mitigation as provided in paragraph (b)(5) of this section, the permitting authority determines that these compensatory mitigation opportunities are not practicable, are unlikely to compensate for the permitted impacts, or will be incompatible with the proposed project, and an alternative, practicable off-site and/or out-of-kind mitigation opportunity is identified that has a greater likelihood of offsetting the permitted impacts or is environmentally preferable to on-site or in-kind mitigation, the permitting authority should require that this alternative compensatory mitigation be provided.
- (c) Watershed approach to compensatory mitigation.²⁸
 - (1) The permitting authority must use a watershed approach to establish compensatory mitigation requirements in Orders as described in the main text of the Procedures. Where a watershed plan is available, the permitting authority will determine whether the

²⁸ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

plan meets the definition of watershed plan in the Procedures and therefore is appropriate for use in the watershed approach for compensatory mitigation. In cases where the permitting authority determines that an appropriate watershed plan is available, the watershed approach should be based on that plan. Where no such plan is available, the watershed approach should be based on information provided by the project sponsor or available from other sources. The ultimate goal of a watershed approach is to maintain and improve the abundance, diversity, and condition of aquatic resources within watersheds through strategic selection of compensatory mitigation sites.

(2) Considerations.

- (i) A watershed approach to compensatory mitigation considers the importance of condition, landscape position and resource type of compensatory mitigation projects for the sustainability of aquatic resource functions within the watershed. Such an approach considers how the condition, types, and locations of compensatory mitigation projects will provide the desired aquatic resource functions, and will continue to function over time in a changing landscape. It also considers the habitat requirements of important species, habitat loss or conversion trends, sources of watershed impairment, and current development trends, as well as the requirements of other regulatory and non-regulatory programs that affect the watershed, such as storm water management or habitat conservation programs. It includes the protection and maintenance of terrestrial resources, such as non-wetland riparian areas and uplands, when those resources contribute to or improve the overall ecological functioning of aquatic resources in the watershed. Compensatory mitigation requirements determined through the watershed approach should not focus exclusively on specific functions (e.g., water quality or habitat for certain species), but should provide, where practicable, the suite of functions typically provided by the affected aquatic resource.
- (ii) Locational factors (e.g., hydrology, surrounding land use) are important to the success of compensatory mitigation for impacted habitat functions and may lead to siting of such mitigation away from the project area. However, consideration should also be given to functions and services (e.g., water quality, flood control, shoreline protection) that will likely need to be addressed at or near the areas impacted by the permitted impacts.²⁹
- (iii) A watershed approach may include on-site compensatory mitigation, off-site compensatory mitigation (including mitigation banks or in-lieu fee programs), or a combination of on-site and off-site compensatory mitigation.
- (iv) A watershed approach to compensatory mitigation should include, to the extent practicable, inventories of historic and existing aquatic resources, including identification of degraded aquatic resources, and identification of immediate and long-term aquatic resource needs within watersheds that can be met through permittee-responsible mitigation projects, mitigation banks, or in-lieu fee programs. Planning efforts should identify and prioritize aquatic resource restoration, establishment, and enhancement activities, and preservation of existing aquatic

²⁹ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

resources that are important for maintaining or improving ecological functions of the 1189 watershed. The identification and prioritization of resource needs should be as 1190 specific as possible, to enhance the usefulness of the approach in determining 1191 compensatory mitigation requirements. 1192 (v) A watershed approach is not appropriate in areas where watershed boundaries 1193 do not exist, such as marine areas. In such cases, an appropriate spatial scale 1194 should be used to replace lost functions and services within the same ecological 1195 system (e.g., reef complex, littoral drift cell). 1196 (3) Information Needs. 1197 (i) In the absence of a watershed plan determined by the permitting authority under 1198 paragraph (c)(1) of this section to be appropriate for use in the watershed approach, 1199 the permitting authority will use a watershed approach based on analysis of 1200 information regarding watershed conditions (as identified in the watershed profile) 1201 and needs, including potential sites for aquatic resource restoration activities and 1202 priorities for aquatic resource restoration and preservation. Such information 1203 includes: Current trends in habitat loss or conversion; cumulative impacts of past 1204 development activities, current development trends, the presence and needs of 1205 sensitive species; site conditions that favor or hinder the success of compensatory 1206 mitigation projects; and chronic environmental problems such as flooding or poor 1207 water quality. 1208 (ii) This information may be available from sources such as wetland maps; soil 1209 surveys; U.S. Geological Survey topographic and hydrologic maps; aerial 1210 photographs; information on rare, endangered and threatened species and critical 1211 habitat; local ecological reports or studies; and other information sources that could 1212 be used to identify locations for suitable compensatory mitigation projects in the 1213 watershed. 1214 (iii) The level of information and analysis needed to support a watershed approach 1215 must be commensurate with the scope and scale of the proposed impacts requiring 1216 an Order, as well as the functions lost as a result of those impacts. 1217 (4) Watershed Scale. The size of watershed addressed using a watershed approach 1218 should not be larger than is appropriate to ensure that the aquatic resources provided 1219 through compensation activities will effectively compensate for adverse environmental 1220 impacts resulting from activities authorized by Orders. The permitting authority should 1221 consider relevant environmental factors and appropriate locally-developed standards 1222 and criteria when determining the appropriate watershed scale in guiding compensation 1223 1224 activities. (d) Site selection.30 1225 (1) The compensatory mitigation project site must be ecologically suitable for providing 1226 the desired aquatic resource functions. In determining the ecological suitability of the 1227

³⁰ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

1228 1229	compensatory mitigation project site, the permitting authority must consider, to the extent practicable, the following factors:
1230 1231	(i) Hydrological conditions, soil characteristics, and other physical and chemical characteristics;
1232 1233	(ii) Watershed-scale features, such as aquatic habitat diversity, habitat connectivity, and other landscape scale functions;
1234 1235	(iii) The size and location of the compensatory mitigation site relative to hydrologic sources (including the availability of water rights) and other ecological features;
1236	(iv) Compatibility with adjacent land uses and watershed management plans;
1237 1238 1239 1240	(v) Reasonably foreseeable effects the compensatory mitigation project will have on ecologically important aquatic or terrestrial resources (e.g., shallow sub-tidal habitat, mature forests), cultural sites, or habitat for federally- or state-listed threatened and endangered species; and
1241 1242 1243 1244 1245 1246 1247	(vi) Other relevant factors including, but not limited to, development trends, anticipated land use changes, habitat status and trends, the relative locations of the impact and mitigation sites in the stream network, local or regional goals for the restoration or protection of particular habitat types or functions (e.g., reestablishment of habitat corridors or habitat for species of concern), water quality goals, floodplain management goals, and the relative potential for chemical contamination of the aquatic resources.
1248 1249 1250	(2) Permitting authorities may require on-site, off-site, or a combination of on-site and off-site compensatory mitigation to replace permitted losses of aquatic resource functions and services.
1251 1252	(3) Applicants should propose compensation sites adjacent to existing aquatic resources or where aquatic resources previously existed.
1253	(e) Mitigation type.
1254 1255 1256 1257 1258 1259 1260	(1) In general, in-kind mitigation is preferable to out-of-kind mitigation because it is most likely to compensate for the functions and services lost at the impact site. For example, tidal wetland compensatory mitigation projects are most likely to compensate for unavoidable impacts to tidal wetlands, while perennial stream compensatory mitigation projects are most likely to compensate for unavoidable impacts to perennial streams. Thus, except as provided in paragraph (e)(2) of this section, the required compensatory mitigation shall be of a similar type to the affected aquatic resource.
1261 1262 1263 1264 1265 1266	(2) If the permitting authority determines, using the watershed approach in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section that out-of-kind compensatory mitigation will serve the aquatic resource needs of the watershed, the permitting authority may authorize the use of such out-of-kind compensatory mitigation. The basis for authorization of out-of-kind compensatory mitigation must be documented in the administrative record for the Order action.

1267 1268 1269 1270 1271 1272	(3) For difficult-to-replace resources (e.g., bogs, fens, springs, streams, vegetated seasonal wetlands, slope and seep wetlands, vernal pools, and wet meadows) if further avoidance and minimization is not practicable, the required compensation should be provided, if practicable, through in-kind rehabilitation, enhancement, or preservation since there is greater certainty that these methods of compensation will successfully offset permitted impacts.
1273	(f) Amount of compensatory mitigation.
1274 1275 1276 1277 1278 1279 1280 1281	(1) If the permitting authority determines that compensatory mitigation is necessary to offset unavoidable impacts to aquatic resources, the amount of required compensatory mitigation must be, to the extent practicable, sufficient to replace lost aquatic resource functions. In cases where appropriate functional or condition assessment methods or other suitable metrics are available, these methods should be used where practicable to determine how much compensatory mitigation is required. If a functional or condition assessment or other suitable metric is not used, a minimum one-to-one acreage or linear foot compensation ratio must be used.
1282 1283 1284 1285 1286 1287 1288 1289	(2) The permitting authority must require a mitigation ratio greater than one-to-one where necessary to account for the method of compensatory mitigation (e.g., preservation), the likelihood of success, differences between the functions lost at the impact site and the functions expected to be produced by the compensatory mitigation project, temporal losses of aquatic resource functions, the difficulty of restoring or establishing the desired aquatic resource type and functions, and/or the distance between the affected aquatic resource and the compensation site. The rationale for the required replacement ratio must be documented in the administrative record for the Order action.
1290 1291 1292 1293 1294	(3) If an in-lieu fee program will be used to provide the required compensatory mitigation, and the appropriate number and resource type of released credits are not available, the permitting authority must require sufficient compensation to account for the risk and uncertainty associated with in-lieu fee projects that have not been implemented before the permitted impacts have occurred.
1295 1296 1297 1298 1299 1300	(g) Use of mitigation banks and in-lieu fee programs. Mitigation banks and in-lieu fee programs may be used to compensate for impacts to aquatic resources authorized by general Orders and individual Orders in accordance with the preference hierarchy in paragraph (b) of this section. Mitigation banks and in-lieu fee programs may also be used to satisfy requirements arising out of an enforcement action, such as supplemental environmental projects.
1301	(h) Preservation. ³¹
1302 1303	(1) Preservation may be used to provide compensatory mitigation for activities authorized by Orders when all the following criteria are met:
1304 1305	(i) The resources to be preserved provide important physical, chemical, or biological functions for the watershed;

 $^{^{31}}$ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

1306 1307 1308 1309	(ii) The resources to be preserved contribute significantly to the ecological sustainability of the watershed. In determining the contribution of those resources to the ecological sustainability of the watershed, the permitting authority must use appropriate quantitative assessment tools where available;
1310 1311	(iii) Preservation is determined by the permitting authority to be appropriate and practicable;
1312	(iv) The resources are under threat of destruction or adverse modifications; and
1313 1314 1315	(v) The preserved site will be permanently protected through an appropriate real estate or other legal instrument (e.g., easement, title transfer to state resource agency or land trust).
1316 1317 1318 1319 1320 1321	(2) Where preservation is used to provide compensatory mitigation, to the extent appropriate and practicable the preservation shall be done in conjunction with aquatic resource restoration, establishment, and/or enhancement activities. This requirement may be waived by the permitting authority where preservation has been identified as a high priority using a watershed approach described in paragraph (c) of this section, but compensation ratios shall be higher.
1322 1323 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328	(i) Buffers. The permitting authority may require the restoration, establishment, enhancement, and preservation, as well as the maintenance, of riparian areas and/or buffers around aquatic resources where necessary to ensure the long-term viability of those resources. Buffers may also provide habitat or corridors necessary for the ecological functioning of aquatic resources. If buffers are required by the permitting authority as part of the compensatory mitigation project, compensatory mitigation credit will be provided for those buffers, as provided in section IV B.5 (c).
1329	(j) Relationship to other federal, tribal, state, and local programs.
1330 1331 1332 1333 1334 1335	(1) Compensatory mitigation projects for Orders may also be used to satisfy the environmental requirements of other programs, such as tribal, state, or local wetlands regulatory programs, other federal programs such as the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act, Corps civil works projects, and Department of Defense military construction projects, consistent with the terms and requirements of these programs and subject to the following considerations:
1336 1337 1338	(i) The compensatory mitigation project must include appropriate compensation required by the Order for unavoidable impacts to aquatic resources authorized by that Order.
1339 1340 1341 1342 1343	(ii) Under no circumstances may the same credits be used to provide mitigation for more than one permitted activity. However, where appropriate, compensatory mitigation projects, including mitigation banks and in-lieu fee projects, may be designed to holistically address requirements under multiple programs and authorities for the same activity.
1344 1345 1346	(2) Except for projects undertaken by federal agencies, or where federal funding is specifically authorized to provide compensatory mitigation, federally-funded aquatic resource restoration or conservation projects undertaken for purposes other than

1347 1348 1349 1350 1351 1352	compensatory mitigation, such as the Wetlands Reserve Program, Conservation Reserve Program, and Partners for Wildlife Program activities, cannot be used for the purpose of generating compensatory mitigation credits for activities authorized by Orders. However, compensatory mitigation credits may be generated by activities undertaken in conjunction with, but supplemental to, such programs in order to maximize the overall ecological benefits of the restoration or conservation project.
1353 1354 1355 1356	(3) Compensatory mitigation projects may also be used to provide compensatory mitigation under the federal and state Endangered Species Act or for Natural Community Conservation Plans and Habitat Conservation Plans, as long as they comply with the requirements of paragraph (j)(1) of this section.
1357	(k) Order conditions.
1358 1359 1360 1361	(1) The compensatory mitigation requirements for an Order, including the amount and type of compensatory mitigation, must be clearly stated in the special conditions of the individual Order or authorization to use the general Order. The special conditions must be enforceable. ³²
1362 1363	(2) For an Order that requires permittee-responsible mitigation, the special conditions must:
1364	(i) Identify the party responsible for providing the compensatory mitigation;
1365 1366	(ii) Incorporate, by reference, the final or draft mitigation plan approved by the permitting authority;
1367 1368 1369	(iii) State the objectives, performance standards, and monitoring required for the compensatory mitigation project, unless they are provided in the approved final mitigation plan; and
1370 1371 1372	(iv) Describe any required financial assurances or long-term management provisions for the compensatory mitigation project, unless they are specified in the approved final mitigation plan.
1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381	(4) If a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program is used to provide the required compensatory mitigation, the special conditions must indicate whether a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program will be used, and specify the number and resource type of credits the permittee is required to secure. In the case of an individual Order, the special condition must also identify the specific mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program that will be used. For authorizations to use a general Order, the special conditions may either identify the specific mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program, or state that the specific mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program used to provide the required compensatory mitigation must be approved by the permitting authority before the credits are secured.

 $^{^{32}}$ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

(I) Party responsible for compensatory mitigation.

- (1) For permittee-responsible mitigation, the special conditions of the Order must clearly indicate the party or parties responsible for the implementation, performance, and long-term management of the compensatory mitigation project.
- (3) If use of a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program is approved by the permitting authority to provide part or all of the required compensatory mitigation for an Order, the permittee retains responsibility for providing the compensatory mitigation until the appropriate number and resource type of credits have been secured from a sponsor and the permitting authority has received documentation that confirms that the sponsor has accepted the responsibility for providing the required compensatory mitigation. This documentation may consist of a letter or form signed by the sponsor, with the Order number and a statement indicating the number and resource type of credits that have been secured from the sponsor. Copies of this documentation will be retained in the administrative records for both the Order and the instrument. If the sponsor fails to provide the required compensatory mitigation, the permitting authority may pursue measures against the sponsor to ensure compliance.³³
- (m) Timing. Implementation of the compensatory mitigation project shall be, to the maximum extent practicable, in advance of or concurrent with the activity causing the authorized impacts. The permitting authority shall require, to the extent appropriate and practicable, additional compensatory mitigation to offset temporal losses of aquatic functions that will result from the permitted activity.
- (n) Financial assurances.
 - (1) The permitting authority shall require sufficient financial assurances to ensure a high level of confidence that the compensatory mitigation project will be successfully completed, in accordance with applicable performance standards. In cases where an alternate mechanism is available to ensure a high level of confidence that the compensatory mitigation will be provided and maintained (e.g., a formal, documented commitment from a government agency or public authority) the permitting authority may determine that financial assurances are not necessary for that compensatory mitigation project.
 - (2) The amount of the required financial assurances must be determined by the permitting authority, in consultation with the project sponsor, and must be based on the size and complexity of the compensatory mitigation project, the degree of completion of the project at the time of project approval, the likelihood of success, the past performance of the project sponsor, and any other factors the permitting authority deems appropriate. Financial assurances may be in the form of performance bonds, escrow accounts, casualty insurance, letters of credit, legislative appropriations for government sponsored projects, or other appropriate instruments, subject to the approval of the permitting authority. The rationale for determining the amount of the required financial assurances must be documented in the administrative record for either the Order or the instrument. In determining the assurance amount, the permitting authority shall consider

³³ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

1423 1424	the cost of providing replacement mitigation, including costs for land acquisition, planning and engineering, legal fees, mobilization, construction, and monitoring.
1425 1426 1427	(3) If financial assurances are required, the Order must include a special condition requiring the financial assurances to be in place prior to commencing the permitted activity. ³⁴
1428	(4) Financial assurances shall be phased out once the compensatory mitigation project
1429	has been determined by the permitting authority to be successful in accordance with its
1430	performance standards. The Order or instrument must clearly specify the conditions
1431	under which the financial assurances are to be released to the permittee, sponsor,
1432	and/or other financial assurance provider, including, as appropriate, linkage to
1433	achievement of performance standards, adaptive management, or compliance with
1434	special conditions.
1435	(5) A financial assurance must be in a form that ensures that the permitting authority will
1436	receive notification at least 120 days in advance of any termination or revocation. For
1437	third-party assurance providers, this may take the form of a contractual requirement for
1438	the assurance provider to notify the permitting authority at least 120 days before the
1439	assurance is revoked or terminated.
1440	(6) Financial assurances shall be payable at the direction of the permitting authority to
1441	his designee or to a standby trust agreement. When a standby trust is used (e.g., with
1442	performance bonds or letters of credit) all amounts paid by the financial assurance
1443	provider shall be deposited directly into the standby trust fund for distribution by the
1444	trustee in accordance with the permitting authority's instructions.
1445	(o) Compliance with applicable law. The compensatory mitigation project must comply with
1446	all applicable federal, state, and local laws. The Order, mitigation banking instrument, or in-
1447	lieu fee program instrument must not require participation by the permitting authority in
1448	project management, including receipt or management of financial assurances or long-term
1449	financing mechanisms, except as determined by the permitting authority to be consistent
1450	with its statutory authority, mission, and priorities.
1451	§ 230.94 Planning and documentation.
1452	(a) Pre-application consultations. Potential applicants for Orders are encouraged to
1453	participate in pre-application meetings with the permitting authority and appropriate
1454	agencies to discuss potential mitigation requirements and information needs.
1455	(c) Mitigation plan.
1456	(1) Preparation and Approval.
1457	(i) For individual Orders, the permittee must prepare a draft mitigation plan and
1458	submit it to the permitting authority for review prior to certification. After addressing
1459	any comments provided by the permitting authority, the permittee must prepare a
1460	final mitigation plan, which must be approved by the permitting authority prior to

 $^{^{34}}$ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

commencing work in waters of the state. The approved final mitigation plan must be incorporated into the individual Order either as an attachment or by reference. The final mitigation plan must include the items described in paragraphs (c)(2) through (c)(14) of this section, but the level of detail of the mitigation plan should be commensurate with the scale and scope of the impacts. As an alternative, the permitting authority may determine that it would be more appropriate to address any of the items described in paragraphs (c)(2) through (c)(14) of this section as Order conditions, instead of components of a compensatory mitigation plan. For permittees who intend to fulfill their compensatory mitigation obligations by securing credits from approved mitigation banks or in-lieu fee programs, their mitigation plans need include only the items described in paragraphs (c)(5) and (c)(6) of this section, and the name of the specific mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program to be used.³⁵

- (ii) For general Orders, if compensatory mitigation is required, the permitting authority may approve a conceptual or detailed compensatory mitigation plan to meet required time frames for general Order enrollments, but a final mitigation plan incorporating the elements in paragraphs (c)(2) through (c)(14) of this section, at a level of detail commensurate with the scale and scope of the impacts, must be approved by the permitting authority before the permittee commences work in waters of the state. As an alternative, the permitting authority may determine that it would be more appropriate to address any of the items described in paragraphs (c)(2) through (c)(14) of this section as Order conditions, instead of components of a compensatory mitigation plan. For permittees who intend to fulfill their compensatory mitigation obligations by securing credits from approved mitigation banks or in-lieu fee programs, their mitigation plans need include only the items described in paragraphs (c)(5) and (c)(6) of this section, and either the name of the specific mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program to be used or a statement indicating that a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program will be used (contingent upon approval by the permitting authority).
- (2) Objectives. A description of the resource type(s) and amount(s) that will be provided, the method of compensation (i.e., restoration, establishment, enhancement, and/or preservation), and the manner in which the resource functions of the compensatory mitigation project will address the needs of the watershed, ecoregion, physiographic province, or other geographic area of interest.
- (3) Site selection. A description of the factors considered during the site selection process. This should include consideration of watershed needs, on-site alternatives where applicable, and the practicability of accomplishing ecologically self-sustaining aquatic resource restoration, establishment, enhancement, and/or preservation at the compensatory mitigation project site. (See § 230.93(d).)
- (4) Site protection instrument. A description of the legal arrangements and instrument, including site ownership, that will be used to ensure the long-term protection of the compensatory mitigation project site (see § 230.97(a)).³⁶

³⁵ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

³⁶ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

(5) Baseline information. A description of the ecological characteristics of the proposed 1502 compensatory mitigation project site and, in the case of an application for an Order, the 1503 impact site. This may include descriptions of historic and existing plant communities. 1504 historic and existing hydrology, soil conditions, a map showing the locations of the 1505 impact and mitigation site(s) or the geographic coordinates for those site(s), and other 1506 site characteristics appropriate to the type of resource proposed as compensation. The 1507 baseline information should also include a delineation of waters of the state on the 1508 1509 proposed compensatory mitigation project site. A prospective permittee planning to secure credits from an approved mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program only needs to 1510 provide baseline information about the impact site, not the mitigation bank or in-lieu fee 1511 1512 project site. (6) Determination of credits. A description of the number of credits to be provided. 1513 including a brief explanation of the rationale for this determination. (See § 230.93(f).) 1514 (i) For permittee-responsible mitigation, this should include an explanation of how the 1515 compensatory mitigation project will provide the required compensation for 1516 unavoidable impacts to aquatic resources resulting from the permitted activity. 1517 (ii) For permittees intending to secure credits from an approved mitigation bank or in-1518 lieu fee program, it should include the number and resource type of credits to be 1519 secured and how these were determined. 1520 (7) Mitigation work plan. Detailed written specifications and work descriptions for the 1521 compensatory mitigation project, including, but not limited to, the geographic boundaries 1522 of the project; construction methods, timing, and sequence; source(s) of water, including 1523 connections to existing waters and uplands; methods for establishing the desired plant 1524 community; plans to control invasive plant species; the proposed grading plan, including 1525 elevations and slopes of the substrate; soil management; and erosion control measures. 1526 For stream compensatory mitigation projects, the mitigation work plan may also include 1527 other relevant information, such as planform geometry, channel form (e.g., typical 1528 channel cross-sections), watershed size, design discharge, and riparian area plantings. 1529 (8) Maintenance plan. A description and schedule of maintenance requirements to 1530 ensure the continued viability of the resource once initial construction is completed. 1531 (9) Performance standards. Ecologically-based standards that will be used to determine 1532 whether the compensatory mitigation project is achieving its objectives. (See § 230.95.) 1533 1534 (10) Monitoring requirements. A description of parameters to be monitored in order to 1535 determine if the compensatory mitigation project is on track to meet performance standards and if adaptive management is needed. A schedule for monitoring and 1536 reporting on monitoring results to the permitting authority must be included. (See § 1537 1538 230.96.)³⁷

(11) Long-term management plan. A description of how the compensatory mitigation project will be managed after performance standards have been achieved to ensure the

1539

³⁷ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

long-term sustainability of the resource, including long-term financing mechanisms and 1541 the party responsible for long-term management. (See § 230.97(d).) 1542 (12) Adaptive management plan. A management strategy to address unforeseen 1543 changes in site conditions or other components of the compensatory mitigation project, 1544 including the party or parties responsible for implementing adaptive management 1545 measures. The adaptive management plan will guide decisions for revising 1546 compensatory mitigation plans and implementing measures to address both foreseeable 1547 and unforeseen circumstances that adversely affect compensatory mitigation success. 1548 (See § 230.97(c).) 1549 (13) Financial assurances. A description of financial assurances that will be provided 1550 and how they are sufficient to ensure a high level of confidence that the compensatory 1551 mitigation project will be successfully completed, in accordance with its performance 1552 1553 standards (see § 230.93(n)). (14) Other information. The permitting authority may require additional information as 1554 necessary to determine the appropriateness, feasibility, and practicability of the 1555 1556 compensatory mitigation project. 1557 § 230.95 Ecological performance standards. (a) The approved mitigation plan must contain performance standards that will be used to 1558 assess whether the project is achieving its objectives. Performance standards should relate 1559 to the objectives of the compensatory mitigation project, so that the project can be 1560 objectively evaluated to determine if it is developing into the desired resource type, providing 1561 the expected condition or functions, and attaining any other applicable metrics (e.g., acres). 1562 (b) Performance standards must be based on attributes that are objective and verifiable. 1563 Ecological performance standards must be based on the best available science that can be 1564 measured or assessed in a practicable manner. Performance standards may be based on 1565 variables or measures of functional capacity or condition as described in assessment 1566 methodologies, measurements of hydrology or other aquatic resource characteristics, and/or 1567 comparisons to reference aquatic resources of similar type and landscape position. The use 1568 of reference aquatic resources to establish performance standards will help ensure that 1569 those performance standards are reasonably achievable, by reflecting the range of 1570 variability exhibited by the regional class of aquatic resources as a result of natural 1571 processes and anthropogenic disturbances. Performance standards based on 1572 measurements of hydrology should take into consideration the hydrologic variability 1573 exhibited by reference aquatic resources, especially wetlands. Where practicable, 1574 performance standards should take into account the expected stages of the aquatic 1575 resource development process, in order to allow early identification of potential problems 1576 1577 and appropriate adaptive management.

§ 230.96 Monitoring.38 1578 (a) General. 1579 (1) Monitoring the compensatory mitigation project site is necessary to determine if the 1580 project is meeting its performance standards, and to determine if measures are 1581 necessary to ensure that the compensatory mitigation project is accomplishing its 1582 objectives. The submission of monitoring reports to assess the development and 1583 condition of the compensatory mitigation project is required, but the content and level of 1584 detail for those monitoring reports must be commensurate with the scale and scope of 1585 the compensatory mitigation project, as well as the compensatory mitigation project type. 1586 The mitigation plan must address the monitoring requirements for the compensatory 1587 mitigation project, including the parameters to be monitored, the length of the monitoring 1588 period, the party responsible for conducting the monitoring, the frequency for submitting 1589 1590 monitoring reports to the permitting authority, and the party responsible for submitting those monitoring reports to the permitting authority. 1591 (2) The permitting authority may conduct site inspections on a regular basis (e.g., 1592 annually) during the monitoring period to evaluate mitigation site performance. 1593 (b) Monitoring period. The mitigation plan must provide for a monitoring period that is 1594 sufficient to demonstrate that the compensatory mitigation project has met performance 1595 standards, but not less than five years. A longer monitoring period must be required for 1596 aquatic resources with slow development rates (e.g., forested wetlands, bogs). Following 1597 project implementation, the permitting authority may reduce or waive the remaining 1598 monitoring requirements upon a determination that the compensatory mitigation project has 1599 achieved its performance standards. Conversely the permitting authority may extend the 1600 original monitoring period upon a determination that performance standards have not been 1601 met or the compensatory mitigation project is not on track to meet them. The permitting 1602 authority may also revise monitoring requirements when remediation and/or adaptive 1603 1604 management is required. 1605 (c) Monitoring reports. (1) The permitting authority must determine the information to be included in monitoring 1606 reports. This information must be sufficient for the permitting authority to determine how 1607 the compensatory mitigation project is progressing towards meeting its performance 1608 standards, and may include plans (such as as-built plans), maps, and photographs to 1609 illustrate site conditions. Monitoring reports may also include the results of functional, 1610 condition, or other assessments used to provide quantitative or qualitative measures of 1611 the functions provided by the compensatory mitigation project site. 1612 (2) The permittee or sponsor is responsible for submitting monitoring reports in 1613 accordance with the special conditions of the Order or the terms of the instrument. 1614 Failure to submit monitoring reports in a timely manner may result in compliance action 1615

by the permitting authority.

³⁸ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

(3) Monitoring reports must be provided by the permitting authority to interested federal, tribal, state, and local resource agencies, and the public, upon request.

§ 230.97 Management.39

1617 1618

1619

1620

1621

1622 1623

1624

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630

1631

1632 1633

1634

1635

1636 1637

1638

1639

1640

1641 1642

1643

1644 1645

1646

1647

1648

1649

1650

1651

1652

1653 1654

- (a) Site protection.
 - (1) The aquatic habitats, riparian areas, buffers, and uplands that comprise the overall compensatory mitigation project must be provided long-term protection through real estate instruments or other available mechanisms, as appropriate. Long-term protection may be provided through real estate instruments such as conservation easements held by entities such as federal, tribal, state, or local resource agencies, non-profit conservation organizations, or private land managers; the transfer of title to such entities; or by restrictive covenants. For government property, long-term protection may be provided through state or federal facility management plans or integrated natural resources management plans. When approving a method for long-term protection of non-government property other than transfer of title, the permitting authority shall consider relevant legal constraints on the use of conservation easements and/or restrictive covenants in determining whether such mechanisms provide sufficient site protection. To provide sufficient site protection, a conservation easement or restrictive covenant should, where practicable, establish in an appropriate third party (e.g., governmental or non-profit resource management agency) the right to enforce site protections and provide the third party the resources necessary to monitor and enforce these site protections.
 - (2) The real estate instrument, management plan, or other mechanism providing long-term protection of the compensatory mitigation site must, to the extent appropriate and practicable, prohibit incompatible uses (e.g., clear cutting or mineral extraction) that might otherwise jeopardize the objectives of the compensatory mitigation project. Where appropriate, multiple instruments recognizing compatible uses (e.g., fishing or grazing rights) may be used.
 - (3) The real estate instrument, management plan, or other long-term protection mechanism must contain a provision requiring 60–day advance notification to the permitting authority before any action is taken to void or modify the instrument, management plan, or long-term protection mechanism, including transfer of title to, or establishment of any other legal claims over, the compensatory mitigation site.
 - (4) For compensatory mitigation projects on public lands, where state or Federal facility management plans or integrated natural resources management plans are used to provide long-term protection, and changes in statute, regulation, or agency needs or mission results in an incompatible use on public lands originally set aside for compensatory mitigation, the public agency authorizing the incompatible use is responsible for providing alternative compensatory mitigation that is acceptable to the permitting authority for any loss in functions resulting from the incompatible use.⁴⁰

³⁹ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

⁴⁰ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

(5) A real estate instrument, management plan, or other long-term protection mechanism 1656 used for site protection of permittee-responsible mitigation must be approved by the 1657 permitting authority in advance of, or concurrent with, the activity causing the authorized 1658 1659 impacts. (b) Sustainability. Compensatory mitigation projects shall be designed, to the maximum 1660 extent practicable, to be self-sustaining once performance standards have been achieved. 1661 This includes minimization of active engineering features (e.g., pumps) and appropriate 1662 siting to ensure that natural hydrology and landscape context will support long-term 1663 sustainability. Where active long-term management and maintenance are necessary to 1664 ensure long-term sustainability (e.g., prescribed burning, invasive species control, 1665 maintenance of water control structures, easement enforcement), the responsible party must 1666 provide for such management and maintenance. This includes the provision of long-term 1667 financing mechanisms where necessary. Where needed, the acquisition and protection of 1668 water rights must be secured and documented in the Order conditions or instrument. 1669 1670 (c) Adaptive management. (1) If the compensatory mitigation project cannot be constructed in accordance with the 1671 approved mitigation plans, the permittee or sponsor must notify the permitting authority. 1672 A significant modification of the compensatory mitigation project requires approval from 1673 the permitting authority. 1674 (2) If monitoring or other information indicates that the compensatory mitigation project is 1675 not progressing towards meeting its performance standards as anticipated, the 1676 responsible party must notify the permitting authority as soon as possible. The 1677 permitting authority will evaluate and pursue measures to address deficiencies in the 1678 compensatory mitigation project. The permitting authority will consider whether the 1679 compensatory mitigation project is providing ecological benefits comparable to the 1680 original objectives of the compensatory mitigation project. 1681 (3) The permitting authority, in consultation with the responsible party (and other federal, 1682 tribal, state, and local agencies, as appropriate), will determine the appropriate 1683 measures. The measures may include site modifications, design changes, revisions to 1684 maintenance requirements, and revised monitoring requirements. The measures must 1685 be designed to ensure that the modified compensatory mitigation project provides 1686 aquatic resource functions comparable to those described in the mitigation plan 1687 objectives.41 1688 (4) Performance standards may be revised in accordance with adaptive management to 1689 account for measures taken to address deficiencies in the compensatory mitigation 1690 project. Performance standards may also be revised to reflect changes in management 1691 strategies and objectives if the new standards provide for ecological benefits that are 1692 comparable or superior to the approved compensatory mitigation project. No other 1693 revisions to performance standards will be allowed except in the case of natural 1694

disasters.

⁴¹ Note that the numbering scheme of the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines has been retained for the benefit of practitioners who are familiar with the Corps' 404(b)(1) Guidelines.

1696 (d) Long-term management.

(1) The Order conditions or instrument must identify the party responsible for ownership and all long-term management of the compensatory mitigation project. The Order conditions or instrument may contain provisions allowing the permittee or sponsor to transfer the long-term management responsibilities of the compensatory mitigation project site to a land stewardship entity, such as a public agency, non-governmental organization, or private land manager, after review and approval by the permitting authority. The land stewardship entity need not be identified in the original Order or instrument, as long as the future transfer of long-term management responsibility is approved by the permitting authority.