CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

COMPLAINT NO. R2-2004-0041
MANDATORY MINIMUM PENALTIES
IN THE MATTER OF
CITY OF PETALUMA
SONOMA COUNTY

Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13385, this Complaint is issued to City of Petaluma (hereinafter called the Discharger) to assess mandatory minimum penalties (MMP), based on a finding of the Discharger's violations of Waste Discharge Requirements contained in Order No. 98-076 for the period between January 1, 2000 and April 30, 2004.

The Executive Officer finds the following:

- 1. On July 15, 1998, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, (the Water Board) adopted Order No. 98-076 for the Discharger, to regulate discharges of waste from the Discharger's facility.
- 2. Water Code Section 13385(h)(1) requires the Water Board to assess an MMP of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each serious violation.
- 3. Water Code Section 13385(h)(2) defines "serious violation" as any waste discharge of a Group I pollutant that exceeds the effluent limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements by 40 percent of more, or any waste discharge of a Group II pollutant that exceeds the effluent limitation by 20 percent of more.
- 4. Water Code Section 13385(i)(1) requires the Water Board to assess an MMP of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each violation, not counting the first three violations, if the discharger does any of the following four or more times in any six consecutive months:
 - a. Violates a waste discharge requirement effluent limitation.
 - b. Fails to file a report pursuant to Section 13260.
 - c. Files an incomplete report pursuant to 13260.
 - d. Violates a toxicity effluent limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements where the waste discharge requirements do not contain pollutant-specific effluent limitations for toxic pollutants.
- 5. Water Code Section 13385(l) allows the Water Board, with the concurrence of the discharger, to direct a portion of the MMP amount to be expended on a supplemental

environmental project (SEP) in accordance with the enforcement policy of the State Water Resources Control Board. The discharger may undertake an SEP for up to the full amount of the MMP for liabilities less than or equal to \$15,000. If the MMP amount exceeds \$15,000, the maximum MMP amount that may be expended on an SEP may not exceed \$15,000 plus 50 percent of the MMP amount that exceeds \$15,000.

6. Effluent Limitations

Order No. 98-076 includes the following applicable effluent limitations:

"EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

1. The term "effluent" in the following limitations means the fully treated wastewater effluent from the discharger's wastewater treatment facility, as discharged to the Petaluma River. The effluent discharged to the Petaluma River during the wet weather period shall not exceed the following limits:

Conventional Pollutants Effluent Limitations

Constituent	Units	Monthly Average	Weekly Average	Daily Maximum	Instantaneous Maximum
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅ , 20°C)	mg/L	30	45	60	
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	45	65	70	
Settleable Matter	Mg/L- hr	0.1			0.2
Chlorine Residual ¹	mg/L			·	0.0

¹ Requirement defined as below the limit of detection in standard test methods defined in the latest edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.

- 2. The pH of the discharge shall not exceed 8.5 nor be less than 6.5.
- 3. <u>Coliform Bacteria</u>: The treated wastewater, at some point in the treatment process prior to discharge, shall meet the following limits of bacteriological quality:
 - a. The moving median value for the MPN of total coliform bacteria in any seven consecutive samples shall not exceed 23 MPN/100 mL; and
 - b. Any single sample shall not exceed 240 MPN/100 mL.
- 4. <u>85 Percent Removal, BOD and TSS</u>: The arithmetic mean of the biochemical oxygen demand (Five-day, 20°C) and total suspended solids values, by weight, for effluent samples collected in each calendar month shall not exceed 15 percent of the arithmetic mean of the respective values, by weight, for influent samples collected at approximately the same times during the same period."

7. Summary of Effluent Limit Violations

During the period between January 1, 2000 and April 30, 2004, the Discharger had several violations of its effluent discharge limits in which an MMP has not been assessed. These violations are: four chlorine residual instantaneous maximum limit violations, five total coliform daily limit violations, seventeen total coliform 7-day moving median limit violations, one BOD monthly average limit violation, three TSS monthly average limit violations, one TSS monthly percent removal limit violation and one pH limit violation. The details of these limit violations are summarized in the attached Table 1, which is incorporated herein by reference, and the following findings.

8. Chlorine Residual

The four chlorine residual instantaneous maximum limit violations (items 1 through 4 in Table 1) are serious violations. Therefore, the total MMP for these violations is \$12,000.

9. Total Coliform 7-day moving median

Sixteen of the seventeen violations listed in Table 1 (items 9-10, 12-14, 17-18, 20-23, and 28-32 in Table 1) are chronic violations and subject to an MMP since there have been four or more violations in a six-month period. One violation (item 27 in Table 1) is a non-serious violation and counts as the third chronic violation in the 180-day period counting back from April 13, 2004 and is not subject to an MMP. Therefore, these violations are subject to a \$48,000 MMP.

10. Settleable Matter

The settleable matter instantaneous effluent limit violations (items 6 and 7 in Table 1) have already been assessed an MMP in Complaint No. R2-2002-0006.

11. Total Coliform daily maximum

Three of the five violations (items 8, 11, and 19 in Table 1) are chronic violations and subject to an MMP since there have been four or more violations in a six-month period. The remaining two violations (items 25-26 in Table 1) are non-serious violations and count as the first and second chronic violations in the 180-day period counting back from March 12, 2004 and are not subject to an MMP. Therefore, the total MMP for the total coliform daily limit violations is \$9,000.

12. BOD monthly average

The one BOD violation (item 15 in Table 1) is a chronic violation. This violation is subject to a \$3,000 MMP since there have been four or more violations in a six-month period.

13. TSS monthly average

The three TSS violations (items 16, 24 and 34 in Table 1) are chronic violations and subject to an MMP since there have been four or more violations in a six-month period. These violations are subject to a \$9,000 MMP.

14. TSS monthly percent removal

The one TSS monthly percent removal limit violation (item 35 in Table 1) is a chronic violation subject to a \$3,000 MMP since there have been four or more violations in a six month period.

15. pH

The pH limit violation (item 33 in Table 1) is a chronic violation subject to a \$3,000 MMP since there have been four or more violations in a six month period.

16. Water Code Exception

Water Code Section 13385(j) provides some exceptions related to the assessment of an MMP for effluent limit violations. None of the exceptions apply to the violations cited in this Complaint.

17. MMP Assessment

Twenty-nine of the 35 items listed in Table 1 are subject to an MMP. The total MMP amount is \$87,000.

19. Partial Suspended MMP Amounts

Instead of paying the full penalty amount to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, the Discharger may spend an amount up to \$51,000 on an SEP acceptable to the Executive Officer. Any such amount expended to satisfactorily complete an SEP will be permanently suspended.

20. SEP Categories

If the Discharger chooses to propose an SEP, the proposed SEP shall be in the following categories:

- a. Pollution prevention;
- b. Pollution reduction:
- c. Environmental clean-up or restoration; and
- d. Environmental education.

THE CITY OF PETALUMA IS HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:

- 1. The Executive Officer proposes that the Discharger be assessed MMP in the total amount of \$87,000.
- 2. The Water Board will hold a hearing on this Complaint on September 15, 2004, unless the Discharger waives the right to a hearing by signing the last page of this Complaint and checks the appropriate box. By doing so, the Discharger agrees to:
 - a. Pay the full MMP of \$87,000 within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective, or

- b. Propose an SEP in an amount up to \$51,000. Pay the balance of the penalty within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. The sum of the SEP amount and the amount of the fine to be paid to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account shall equal the full penalty of \$87,000.
- 3. If the Discharger chooses to propose an SEP, it must submit a preliminary proposal by August 20, 2004 to the Executive Officer for conceptual approval. Any SEP proposal shall also conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002 and the attached Standard Criteria and Reporting Requirement for Supplemental Environmental Projects. If the proposed SEP is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, the Discharger has 30 days from receipt of notice of an unacceptable SEP to either submit a new or revised proposal, or make a payment for the suspended penalty of \$51,000. All payments, including money not used for the SEP, must be payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account. Regular reports on the SEP implementation shall be provided to the Executive Officer according to a schedule to be determined. The completion report for the SEP shall be submitted to the Executive Officer within 60 days of project completion.
- 4. The signed waiver will become effective on the next day after the public comment period for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.
- 5. If a hearing is held, the Water Board may impose an administrative civil liability in the amount proposed or for a different amount; decline to seek civil liability; or refer the matter to the Attorney General to have a Superior Court consider imposition of penalty.

Bruce H. Wolfe / Executive Officer

Table 1 – Violations Summary

Attachment A- Standard Criteria and Reporting Requirement for Supplemental Environmental Projects

WAIVER

(The signed waiver will become effective on the next day after the public comment period for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.)

Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to make payment in full.

By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2004-0041 and to remit the full penalty payment to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, c/o State Water Resources Control Board at 1515 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective as indicated above. I understand that I am giving up my right to be heard, and to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in this Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed.

X

Waiver of right to a hearing and agree to make payment and undertake an SEP. By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2004-0041, and to complete a supplemental environmental project (SEP) in lieu of the suspended liability up to \$51,000. I also agree to remit payment of the balance of the fine to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account (CAA) within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. I understand that the SEP proposal shall conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002, and be subject to approval by the Executive Officer. If the SEP proposal, or its revised version, is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, I agree to pay the suspended penalty amount for the SEP within 30 days of the date of the letter from the Executive Officer denying the approval of the proposed/revised SEP. I also understand that I am giving up my right to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in the Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed. I further agree to satisfactorily complete the approved SEP within a time schedule set by the Executive Officer. I understand failure to adequately complete the approved SEP will require immediate payment of the suspended liability to the CAA.

Margaret P. Orr
Name (print)

Margaret P. Orr
Signature

Argust 19, 2004

Engineering Manager
Title/Organization

6

LMMT VALUE Postable Communic Stationary 1981 Communic Stationary 1982 2 22/2000 Chlorine residual, mpd 0.0 0.6 4.6 53.000 53.000 53.000 53.000 53.000 54							
al, mg/l ing/l ing				Liwit	VALUE	<u></u> 8	nt start of us 180 days
al, mg/l al, mg	-	2/2/2000	Chlorine residual, mg/l	0.0	4.6	\$3,00	
al, mg/f 2 ample moving median, MPN/100mL 2 ample moving median, MPN/100	7	2/8/2000	Chlorine residual. mo/	0.0	9.0	\$3.00	8
at, mg/f 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 2 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 3 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 4 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 2 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 2 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 2 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 2 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 3 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 3 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 4 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 4 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 2 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 3 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 3 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 3 samp	l 67	2/15/2000	Chlorine residual mo/l	00	14	23.0	2 5
Testangle moving median, MPN/100mL 23 0 C1 Testangle moving median, MPN/100mL 240 0.2 C3 Testangle moving median, MPN/100mL 240 1600 53,000 Testangle moving median, MPN/100mL 240 300 \$3,000 Testangle moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 Testangle moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 Testangle moving median, MPN/100mL	4	3/12/2000		} c	. 60	23.0	2 5
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 C1 6.1 instantaneous max, mg/L 0.2 0.6 C2 6.1 instantaneous max, mg/L 240 1600 \$3,000 6.2 instantaneous max, mg/L 240 1600 \$3,000 6.2 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 53,000 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 7 sample moving media				ò) 5		2
et, instantaneous max, mg/L daily max, MPV/100mL 2 sample moving median, MPV/100mL	ţ,	11/12/2002	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL	23	8	5	5/12/2002
er, instantaneous max, mg/L 240 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 1	ę.	11/21/2002		0.2	6.0	23	
daily max, MPN/100nL 240 1600 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100nL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100nL 24 300 \$3,000 4sin ple moving median, MPN/100nL 23 170 \$3,000 5 sample moving median, MPN/100nL 23 170 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100nL 23 170 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100nL 23 50 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100nL 23 50 \$3,000 2 sample moving median, MPN/100nL 23 50 \$3,000 2 sample moving median, MPN/100nL 23 50 \$3,000 2 sample moving median, MPN/100nL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100nL 23 30 \$3,000 7 sample moving med	۲.	3/1/2003	Settleable matter, instantaneous max, mg/L	0.2	9.0	ප	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 300 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50,2 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 55 88 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 55 88 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 55 88 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 55 88 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 55 88 88 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 55 88 88 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 55 88 88 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 58 88 88 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 58 88 88 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 58 88 88 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 58 88 88 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 58 88 88 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 58 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24	œ	4/25/2003	Total coliforn, daily max, MPN/100mL	240	1600	\$3,000	10/25/2002
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 300 \$3,000 45,000 46th/max, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 5,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 40th/100mL 240 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 40th/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 50 \$3,000 C1 40th/100mL 240 50 \$3,000 C2 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 50 \$3,000 C2 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 50 \$3,000 C2 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 50 \$3,000 C2 \$3,	o	4/25/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100ml.	23	80	\$3,000	
daily max, MPN/100mL 240 300 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 vg, mg/L 30 37.8 \$3,000 vg, mg/L 240 50 \$3,000 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 daily max, MPN/100mL 240 50 \$3,000 daily max, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 daily max, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 daily max, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 daily max, MPN/100mL 240 90 C2 Asmple moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 Asmple moving	10	4/26/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL	23	80	\$3,000	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 58.5 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 \$5 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MP	=	4/28/2003	Total coliform, daily max, MPN/100mL	240	300	\$3,000	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 170 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 8 \$3,0	12	4/28/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL	23	170	\$3,000	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 176 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 800 C1 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 C3 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 \$3,000	13	4/29/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL	23	170	\$3,000	
avg, mg/L 45	4	4/30/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL	23	170	\$3,000	
wg, mg/L 45 58.5 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 40 170 \$3,000 \$3,000 40 1600 \$3,000 5 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 90 \$2,000 Vg. mg/L 45 50.2 \$3,000 vg. mg/L 240 900 C2 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN	15	4/30/2003	BOD, monthly avg, mg/L	8	37.8	\$3,000	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 53,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 1600 53,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 53,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 53,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 53,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 80 50,2 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 900 C1 45 50,2 \$3,000 C3 40 900 C2 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3	16	4/30/2003	TSS, monthly avg, mg/L	45	58.5	\$3,000	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 900 C2 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 C3 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 9 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23	17	5/7/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL	23	170	\$3,000	
daily max, MPN/100mL 240 1600 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 80 \$3,000 vg, mg/L 240 300 C1 daily max, MPN/100mL 24 30 C2 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 45 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL > 85 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL > 85 \$3,000	18	5/8/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL	23	20	\$3,000	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$0 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$0 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$0 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 \$0 C1 daily max, MPN/100mL 240 \$0 C2 daily max, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 C3 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 C3 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 \$5,000 \$1,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 28 \$3,000 \$3,000 8 sample movi	19	5/17/2003	Total coliform, daily max, MPN/100mL	240	1600	\$3,000	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 240 300 C1 daily max, MPN/100mL 240 300 C2 daily max, MPN/100mL 23 30 C3 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 \$3,000 \$3,000 Ag. 5 85	20	5/19/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL	23	20	\$3,000	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 80 \$\$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 24 50.2 \$\$3,000 7 daily max, MPN/100mL 240 900 C2 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$\$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 50 \$\$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 245 52 \$\$3,000 8	21	5/20/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL	23	20	\$3,000	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 45 50.2 \$3,000 45 mg/L 45 50.2 \$3,000 45 mg/L 45 50.2 \$3,000 46 may, MPN/100mL 46 900 C2 40	73	5/21/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100ml,	23	80	\$3,000	
reg, mg/L 45 \$0.2 \$3.000 daily max, MPN/100mL 240 300 C1 daily max, MPN/100mL 23 30 C3 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 8.8 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 8.8 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 8.8 \$3,000 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 45 52 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 4 \$3,000 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 28 \$3,000 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 4 \$3,000 \$3,000 8 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 58<	23	5/22/2003	Total coliform, 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL	83	8	\$3,000	
daily max, MPN/100mL 240 300 C1 Jample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 53,000 Sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 53,000 V8. mg/L 45 52 53,000 Wg. mg/L 45 52 53,000 A 4 512,000 Total Penalty \$87,000 Total Penalty R97,000 File No. 2149,4006 NORDER NO. 98-076 WDID 2494006601 File No. 2149,4006 NORDER NO. 98-076 NORDER PERMIT NO. CA 0037810	24	5/31/2003	TSS, monthly avg, mg/L	45	50.2	\$3,000	
delily max, MENY DOML 240 900 C2 C2 C3 C3 Sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 \$3,000 C3 C3 C3 C4 C3 C4 C3 C4 C3 C4	,	1000000	Total coliform doily may MONI/400ml	070	ç	8	042/2003
Total Penalty	3 %	4/12/2004	Total colliform daily may MON/100ml	240	8 8	5 8	9/12/2003
7 Sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 7 Samp	3 2	4/13/2004	Total coliform 7 complementing median MDN/400ml	£ 5	3	3 8	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 70 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 88 7 remained 45 52 8 remained 45 5	7 80	4/13/2004	Total Coliform 7 sample moving median MDN/400ml	3 8	8 8	3 5	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 70 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 70 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 70 8 sample moving mov	3 8	4/15/2004	Total Colliform 7 sample moving median MDN/100ml	3 8	8 8	2000	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 70 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 8 s 8 s 8 s 8 s 8 s 8 s 8 s 8 s 8 s 8 s	3 8	4/16/2004	Total Coliform 7 sample moving median MPN/100ml	3 8	3 8	000'5	
7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 7 sample moving median, MPN/100mL 23 30 8.8 8.8 8.9 8.9 8.1 8.1 52 8.1 52 8.1 52 8.1 52 8.2 52 8.1 52 8.2 52 8.3 30 8.3 52 8.4 52 8.8 6.1 52 8.8 6.	3 8	4/19/2004	Total coliform 7 sample moving median MPN/100ml	3 8	8 8	33,000	
Vig. mg/L	32	4/20/2004	Total coliform 7 sample movino median MPN/100ml	8	8	000(5)	
rvg, mg/L 45 52 errcent removal > 85 81 25 4 A maltzed, Total Penalty i at \$3,000 per violation. no3-0042	33 8	4/27/2004	oH. daily limit	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	, ec	23,000	
Second removal Second Se	8 8	4/30/2004	TSS, monthly avg, mo/L	5	52	\$3.000	
25 4 Total Penalty at \$3,000 per violation.	32	4/30/2004		> 82	84	\$3,000	
Total Penalty enaltsed, at \$3,000 per violation.	lumber of Fine lumber of Seri	able Chronic ous Violation	Violations Is	25 4			8
enalized, lat \$3,000 per violation. 003-0042					Total Penalty	\$87,00	0
onalized, at \$3,000 per violation.	lotations						
18. 4. Jour por reductor.	(X) - Running ch fourth and s	ronic violation. I	First three are not penalized, attors are negatived at \$3.000 per violation			ORDER NO. 98-076	
03-0042	serious violation	penalized at S	arons are penanced at \$5,000 per violation. 53,000 per violation.			WOID 2494006001	
	AMP assessed for	items #5-7 in C	Complaint No. R2-2003-0042			NPDES PERMIT NO. CA 00	037810
						Previous Enforcement: Corr	nplaint Nos. 00-078,

ATTACHMENT A

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

JANUARY 2004

STANDARD CRITERIA AND REPORTING REQUIREMENT **FOR** SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

BASIS AND PURPOSE Α.

The San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) accepts and encourages Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEP) in lieu of a portion of the ACL imposed on Dischargers in the Bay Area.

The Water Board does not select projects for SEP; rather, the Discharger identifies a project it would like to fund and then obtains approval from the Water Board's Executive Officer. The Water Board facilitates the process by maintaining a list of possible projects, which is made available to Dischargers interested in pursuing the SEP option. This list is available on the Water Board web site:

http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb2/

Dischargers are not required to select a project from this list. Dischargers may contact local governments or public interest groups for potential projects in their area, or develop projects of their own.

GENERAL SEP QUALIFICATION CRITERIA B.

All SEPs approved by the Water Board must satisfy the following general criteria:

- (a) An SEP shall only consist of measures that go above and beyond all legal obligations of the Discharger (including those from other agencies). For example, sewage pump stations should have appropriate reliability features to minimize the occurrence of sewage spills in that particular collection system. The installation of these reliability features following a pump station spill would not qualify as an SEP.
- (b) The SEP should benefit or study groundwater or surface water quality or quantity, and the beneficial uses of waters of the State. SEPs in the following categories have received approval from the Water Board's Executive Officer:
 - Pollution prevention. These are projects designed to reduce the amount of pollutants being discharged to either sewer systems or to storm drains. Examples include improved industrial processes that reduce production of pollutants or improved spill prevention programs.
 - Pollution reduction. These are projects that reduce the amounts of pollution being discharged to the environment from treatment facilities. An example is a program to recycle treated wastewaters.
 - Environmental restoration. These projects either restore or create natural environments. Typical examples are wetland restoration or planting of stream bank vegetation.

• Environmental education. These projects involve funding environmental education programs in schools (or for teachers) or for the general public.

Further, an SEP should be located near the Discharger, in the same local watershed, unless the project is of region-wide importance.

C. APPROVAL PROCESS

The following information shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for approval of an SEP:

- 1. Name of the organization and contact person, with phone number.
- 2. Name and location of the project, including watershed (creek, river, bay) where it is located.
- 3. A detailed description of the proposed project, including proposed activities, time schedules, success criteria, other parties involved, monitoring program where applicable, and any other pertinent information.
- 4. General cost of the project.
- 5. Outline milestones and expected completion date.

Generally SEP proposals are submitted along with waivers of hearings. In such a case the approval of a proposal will not become effective until the waiver goes into effect, i.e. at the close of the public comment period. There will not be a public hearing on the SEP proposal unless new and significant information becomes available after the close of the public comment period that could not have been presented during the comment period.

If the Discharger needs additional time to prepare an SEP it may waive its right to a hearing within 30 days of the issuance of a Complaint (and retain its right to a hearing to contest the Complaint at a later date), and request additional time to prepare an SEP proposal. Any such time extension needs to be approved by Water Board staff.

D. REPORTING REQUIREMENT

On January 15 and July 15 of each year, progress reports shall be filed for the SEPs with expected completion date beyond 240 days after the issuance of the corresponding complaint.

E. FINAL NOTIFICATION

No later than 60 days after completion of the approved SEP, a final notification shall be filed. The final notification shall include the following information:

- Outline completed tasks and goals;
- Summary of all expenses with proof of payment; and
- Overall evaluation of the SEP.

F. THIRD PARTY PROJECT OVERSIGHT

For SEPs of more than \$10,000 the Water Board requires there to be third party oversight of the project. The Water Board has made arrangements with the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) to provide this oversight, or a Discharger may choose an alternative third party acceptable to the Executive Officer. If ABAG is chosen, six per cent of the SEP funds shall be directed to ABAG for oversight services (the remaining 94% of funds go directly to the SEP). If an alternative third party is chosen, the amount of funds directed to the SEP, as opposed to oversight, shall not be less than 94% of the total SEP funding. For projects greater than \$10,000 the Discharger shall indicate when submitting the information required under C. above whether ABAG or an alternative third party oversight entity will be used.



CITY OF PETALUMA

POST OFFICE BOX 61 ORNIA REGIONAL WATER PETALUMA, CA 94953-0061

AUG 2 0 2004

David Glass Mayor

Mike Harris Mike Healy Bryant Moynihan Mike O'Brien E. Clark Thompson Pamela Torliatt Councilmembers August 19, 2004

Bruce H. Wolfe, Executive Officer California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400 Oakland, CA 94612

via Federal Express

RE: Mandatory Minimum Penalty Complaint R2-2004-0041

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

Enclosed please find the waiver form and agreement to make a payment and undertake a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP).

The City of Petaluma would like to direct \$51,000 in fines to the Wetland Habitat Studies Program (WHSP). The project is attached for Regional Board review and consideration. Overall, the WHSP will provide students and the general public with exciting opportunities to tour and study upland habitats, ponds, freshwater marshes, tidal wetlands and mudflats all located at the City's Shollenberger Park. Casa Grande High School will develop a native plant nursery to provide plants for student planting within the Petaluma watershed.

The City of Petaluma will send a check in the amount of \$36,000 to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account within 30 days of the signed waiver becoming affective.

Please call me at (707) 778-4589 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Margaret P. Orr, P.E. Engineering Manager

Enclosure

xc:

Water Field Office 202 N. McDowell Boulevard

Petaluma, CA 94954

dwrc@ci.petaluma.ca.us

Water Resources & Conservation 11 English Street

Petaluma, CA 94952

Phone (707) 778-4304 Fax (707) 776-3635

E-Mail:

Phone (707) 778-4392 Fax (707) 778-4508 E-Mail: water@ci.petaluma.ca.us Michael Chee, CRWQCB (via Federal Express)

Grant Davis, The Bay Institute, 500 Palm Drive, Suite 200, Novato, CA 94949

Peter Lee, Veolia Water NA
Michael Ban, City of Petaluma
File 6210-10.10.1.6.2
6210-10.12.5.2