Structural Post-construction Storm Water Best Management Practice Selection Tool

Step 1: Eliminate infeasible Best Management Practices (BMPs) for a specific site by applying the site information and requirements to the 'Hard Gates.'

HARD GATES	Volume Reduction		Stream		Stream Soil Type		Depth to Groundwater			Drainage Area Size (acres)				Site Slope (%)					
Best Management					infiltration rate less than 0.5	infiltration rate more than 0.5	bottom of BMP intersects groundwater	4 to 9 ft.	sufficient separation to make sure the BMP never intersects the groundwater	less	5 to	10 to	25 or	•	1 to 3		6	7 to	16+
Practice Infiltration Basin	yes	no	yes	no	in/hr	in/hr	table	separation	table	than 5	10	25	more	0	-	5	-		10+
Grassed Channel	yes ves		yes ves	yes yes		yes ves		yes yes	yes ves	yes ves	yes			yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	$\vdash\vdash$
Infiltration Trench	yes		yes	yes		yes		γes	yes	yes				yes	_	yes	yes	vac	\vdash
Porous Pavement	yes		yes	yes		ves		yes	ves	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		yes	yes	yes	\vdash
Vegetated Filter Strip	yes		yes	yes		ves		yes	yes	yes	,00	,,,,,	,,,,,	,	yes	γes	γes		М
On-Lot Treatment	yes		ves	yes	yes	ves	yes	yes	yes	ves	yes	ves	ves	ves	yes		-	γes	ves
Dry Swale	yes		ves	yes	yes	yes	,	yes	yes	yes	,	,	,	yes	_	,	,	,	,
Bioretention	yes		yes	yes	yes	yes		,	yes	yes				-	yes	yes	\Box		
Dry Detention Ponds	yes			yes	ves	ves			ves	Г		yes	yes	ves	γes	yes	yes	yes	П
Wet Swale		γes	γes	γes		yes	yes			yes				yes	_				
Sand and Organic Filters		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		yes	yes	yes	yes			yes	yes	yes	yes		
Alum Injection		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Catch Basin Inserts		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Manufactured Products		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
In-Line Storage		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes			yes				
Wet Ponds		yes		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
Storm Water Wetlands		yes		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes				yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	

Step 2: Evaluate the remaining BMP options using the 'Soft Gate' criteria after considering the land uses of this site and the pollutants of concern that will need to be treated

SOFT GATES	l	Pollutant Loa	ad Reduction	on (% Remo	val)							
Best Management Practices	Total Suspended Solids	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	Nitrate as Nitrogen		Bacteria	Construction I Annual Maintenance I		Additional Costs/Value	Environmental Impacts	Social Acceptance	
On-Lot Treatment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$100 to \$200	N/A	1,3,4,7,10,11,12	5		
Bioretention Porous Pavement	90 82-95	70-83 65	49 80-85	15-16	43-98 98-99	90	\$3 to \$4 residential, \$10 to \$40 commercial/sq ft \$10.105 for a one acre watershed	typical landscaping costs \$3,960 for 1 acre watershed	1,2,3,4,5,7,9,11,1 5 1,7,16,20,22	6	1,5,6,7,13	
Alum Injection	95-99	37-95	52-70		41-90	99	\$135,000 to \$400,000	\$6,500 to \$25,000	18,25	13,14,15,16	4	
Dry Swale	77-99	8-99	67-99	45-99	37-99	-33	\$0.50 per sq ft	\$0.58 to \$0.75 per linear ft	1,4,7,9,15	1,2	1,3,13	
Infiltration Trench	75				85-90	90	\$5/cubic ft treated	5 - 20 % of the const. cost	4,5,6,20	1,2,4,11	3	
Infiltration Basin	75				85-90	90	\$2/cubic ft of storage	5 -10% of the const. cost	5,6,20	1,2,3,4,11	3	
Storm Water Wetlands	83	43	26	73	36	76	\$57,100 for a one acre ft facility	3 to 5% of const. cost	2,13,14,19	2,6,12	1,2,3,8,11,12,13	
Sand and Organic Filters	65-89	40-85	17-47	-76	25-90	55-65	\$5/cubic ft treated	\$2,000 to \$4,000 every 2 -10 years	10,16	10		
Wet Swale	67-81	17-39	40	9-52	-35 - 69		\$0.50 per sq ft	\$0.58 to \$0.75 per linear ft	1,2,3,4,7,9	2	1,3,13	
Grassed Channel	67-83	4-29		-25-31	2-73	-10025	\$0.50 per sq ft	\$0.58 to \$0.75 per linear ft	1,2,4,7,9,15,20	1,2	1,3,13	
Vegetated Filter Strip	54-84	-25-40	15	-27-20	-16-88		\$0.30 to \$0.70/sq ft	\$350/acre/year \$125,000 to 150,000 for a	2,4,9,15	1	1,4	
Catch Basin Inserts	32-97				3-15		\$2,000 - \$3,000 per inlet	vactor truck	16,17,18,23,24	7,8,9		
Wet Ponds	32-99	12-91	-12-85	-85-97	-51-90	46-91	\$45,700 for a one acre-ft facility	3 to 5 % of const. costs	2,3,8,13,14,19	2,5,6	1,3,8,9,12,13	
Dry Detention Ponds	61	19	31	9	26-54		\$41,600 for a one acre-ft pond	3 to 5% of const. cost	5,6,14,15,19,21	1,2	3,9,14	
Manufactured Products	21-51	17			17-51		\$5,000 to \$35,000 or \$5,000 to \$10,000 per impervious acre	\$125,000 to 150,000 for a vactor truck	16,17,18,23,24,2 5	8,9	13	
In-Line Storage	0	0	0	0	0	0	low	low	12,16		10	

33 - 49% 16 - 32

Data not available Not Applicable

Additional Costs/Value:

- 1: Less expensive than, or reduces the cost of, a traditional design concrete sewer system
- 2: Aesthetic value
- 3: Captured water may be used for irrigation 4: Can fit into small otherwise unusable portions of a site
- 5: Recharges groundwater
- 6: Maintains flows in streams
- 7: May reduce the need for land intensive BMPs
- 8: Recreational value
- 9: Replaces an area that would have been landscaped
- 10: More flexibility in design sizing compared to other manufactured BMPs 11: Maintained by homeowner/reduces public maintenance costs
- 12: Requires little maintenance
- 13: May increase property values by 10 to 25%
- 14: Long life time (more than 20 years) 15: Maintenance overlaps with landscaping maintenance
- 16: Consumes no surface space
- 17: Truck maintenance and fuel
- 18: Staff costs to operate the BMP equipment 19: Requires a large land area
- 20: Particularly susceptible to failure if not maintained
- 21: Can detract from the value of adjacent homes by 3 to 10%
- 22: Requires a vacuum sweeper for maintenance 23: Requires a vactor truck for maintenance
- 24: Material disposal costs
- 25: Requires frequent maintenance

\$200,000 - \$250,000 \$250,000 - \$300,000 \$50,000 - \$60,000 1:Provides groundwater recharge

\$0 - \$10,000

\$10,000 - \$20,000

\$30,000 - \$40,000

Environmental Impacts:

\$0 - \$50,000

\$50,000 - \$100,000

\$100,000 - \$150,000 \$150,000 - \$200,000

- 2: Provides channel protection 3: Maintains flows in streams
- 4: 100% load reduction to surface waters
- 5: Conserves water, may be used for irrigation 6: Provides habitat
- 7: Provides spill control
- 8: Can become a source of pollutants through resuspension 9: Concentration of pollutants in sediments may have to be disposed of as hazardous waste
- 10: Sorbent pillows may have to be disposed of as hazardous waste
- 11: Potential for groundwater contamination
- 12: May release nutrients during the non-growing season
- 13: Settled floc contains high concentrations of dissolved chemicals, bacteria and viruses and must be disposed of properly 14: Required electricity to operate pumps that dispose of floc to sludge drying beds or sanitary sewer (with permit)
- 15: Experimental practice, little is known about long term impacts.
- 16: Chemicals added during the process may have negative impacts on down stream waters.

Social Acceptance:

- 1: Provides aesthetic value 2: educational value
- 3: Provides flood control
- 4: Unobtrusive, high level of acceptance by the public
- 5: Provides noise reduction 6: Provides shade
- 7: Provides wind breaks

8: may increase the value of nearby homes

favourable

- 9: recreational value 10: may cause up stream flooding
- 11: can look swampy
- 12: safety concerns where there is public access 13: May allow mosquito breeding
- 14: May devalue nearby homes

Step 3: To narrow the remaining BMP options consider:

Design considerations:

- Climate of the project location
- Incorporates input of those affected

Construction considerations:

- Materials are locally sourced
- Transport distance is minimised (materials and labour)

Renewable resources utilised in place of non-renewable resources

Operation considerations:

• Flooding impact on downstream communities eliminated

Disposal considerations:

Materials used are recyclable or reusable

Maintenance wastes are recyclable or compostable

The material and energy use during the construction, operation, and disposal of the BMP should be minimised.

If the use of one BMP will not meet the needs of a unique or diverse site, two or more BMPs can be used in parallel or in series to accomplish volume reduction or treatment goals. Large sites may be divided into multiple small drainage areas to utilise BMPs that best serve smaller areas.

(Sources: USEPA, 2006; CASQA, 2003; SMRC, 2006) Data last verified August 2006.