

## Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

May 16, 2019

Mr. Christopher Cannon  
Director of Environmental Management  
The Port of Los Angeles  
425 S. Palos Verdes Street  
San Pedro, CA 90733

CERTIFIED MAIL NO.:  
7018 0680 0000 4671 1647  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

### **TRANSMITTAL OF WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS (WDRs) AND NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT – PORT OF LOS ANGELES, NEW DOCK STREET PUMP STATION, TERMINAL ISLAND, CALIFORNIA (NPDES NO. CA0064157, CI NO. 7856)**

Dear Mr. Cannon:

On April 30, 2019, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (Regional Water Board) transmitted to you the revised tentative Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs)/National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the Port of Los Angeles (Discharger), New Dock Street Pump Station (Facility). On May 6, 2019, the Regional Water Board transmitted to you a change sheet with minor edits to the revised tentative WDRs for clarification. Pursuant to Division 7 of the Water Code, the Regional Water Board at a public hearing held on May 9, 2019, reviewed the revised tentative requirements and change sheet, considered all factors in the case, and adopted Order No. R4-2019-0054.

Order No. R4-2019-0054 serves as an NPDES permit, and it expires on June 30, 2024. Section 13376 of the Water Code requires that an application/Report of Waste Discharge for a new permit be filed at least 180 days before the expiration date.

You are required to implement the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) on the effective date (July 1, 2019) of Order No. R4-2019-0054. Table E-5 on page E-15 of the Monitoring and Reporting Plan (Attachment E) provides details regarding the monitoring periods and reporting schedule. Your first monitoring report for the period of July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 is due by November 15, 2019.

In addition, Order No. R4-2019-0054 (pages 10-13) requires you to submit the following documents to the Regional Water Board by no later than 90 days after the effective date (by September 1, 2019):

- Initial Investigation Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Workplan
- Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)
- Best Management Practices Plan (BMPP)

IRMA MUÑOZ, CHAIR | RENEE PURDY, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Mr. Christopher Cannon  
Port of Los Angeles  
New Dock Street Pump Station

- 2 -

May 16, 2019

Please continue to electronically submit Self-Monitoring Reports (SMR's) using the State Water Resource Control Board's California Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program web site (<http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ciwqs/index.html>). The CIWQS web site will provide additional information for SMR submittal in the event there is a planned service interruption for electronic submittal. Also, please do not combine other reports with your monitoring reports. Submit each type of report as a separate document. If you have any further questions, please contact Thomas Siebels at (213) 576-6756.

Sincerely,



Cassandra Owens, Chief  
Industrial Permitting Unit

Enclosures

cc (via email only): see mailing list

#### MAILING LIST

Ms. Robyn Stuber, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Permits Branch (WTR-5)  
Ms. Becky Mitschele, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9  
Mr. Kenneth Wong, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
Mr. Bryant Chesney, NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service  
Mr. Jeff Phillips, Department of Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Ms. Loni Adams, Department of Fish and Wildlife, Region 5  
Ms. Sutida Bergquist, State Water Resource Control Board, Drinking Water Division  
Mr. Charles Posner, California Coastal Commission, South Coast Region  
Ms. Amber Dobson, California Coastal Commission  
Mr. Theodore Johnson, Water Replenishment District of Southern California  
Mr. Tim Smith, Los Angeles County, Department of Public Works  
Mr. Angelo Bellomo, Los Angeles County, Department of Public Health  
Ms. Sylvie Makara, Heal the Bay  
Ms. Annelisa Moe, Heal the Bay  
Mr. Bruce Reznik, Los Angeles WaterKeeper  
Mr. Arthur Pugsley, Los Angeles WaterKeeper  
Ms. Corinne Bell, Natural Resources Defense Council  
Ms. Joan Matthews, Natural Resources Defense Council  
Mr. James Ashby, PG Environmental  
Ms. Sarah Torres, PG Environmental  
Ms. Pauling Sun, Port of Los Angeles  
Ms. Shirin Sadrpour, Port of Los Angeles  
Ms. Heather Benfield, Tetra Tech

**CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD  
LOS ANGELES REGION**

320 W. 4th Street, Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90013  
Phone (213) 576-6600 • Fax (213) 576-6640  
<http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles>

**ORDER NO. R4-2019-0054  
NPDES NO. CA0064157**

**WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS  
FOR THE PORT OF LOS ANGELES  
NEW DOCK STREET PUMP STATION**

The following Discharger is subject to waste discharge requirements (WDRs) set forth in this Order:

**Table 1. Discharger Information**

|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| <b>Discharger</b>       | Port of Los Angeles                           |
| <b>Facility Name</b>    | New Dock Street Pump Station                  |
| <b>Facility Address</b> | New Dock Street / 151 South Henry Ford Avenue |
|                         | Terminal Island, CA 90731                     |
|                         | Los Angeles County                            |

**Table 2. Discharge Location**

| <b>Discharge Point</b> | <b>Effluent Description</b>                      | <b>Discharge Point Latitude</b> | <b>Discharge Point Longitude</b> | <b>Receiving Water</b> |
|------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 001                    | Treated Storm Water and Infiltrating Groundwater | 33.765095° N                    | -118.239789° W                   | Cerritos Channel       |

**Table 3. Administrative Information**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| This Order was adopted on:   | <b>May 9, 2019</b>                                 |
| This Order shall become effective on:  | <b>July 1, 2019</b>                                |
| This Order shall expire on:  | <b>June 30, 2024</b>                               |
| The Discharger shall file a Report of Waste Discharge as an application for reissuance of WDRs in accordance with title 23, California Code of Regulations, and an application for reissuance of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit no later than: | <b>180 days prior to the Order expiration date</b> |
| The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region have classified this discharge as follows.   | <b>Major discharge</b>                             |

I, Renee Purdy, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that this Order with all attachments is a full, true, and correct copy of the Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, on May 9, 2019.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Renee Purdy, Executive Officer

## CONTENTS

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| I.   | Facility Information .....  | 3  |
| II.  | Findings .....  | 3  |
| III. | Discharge Prohibitions .....  | 3  |
| IV.  | Effluent Limitations and Discharge Specifications .....                 | 4  |
|      | A. Final Effluent Limitations – Discharge Point 001 .....               | 4  |
|      | B. Land Discharge Specifications—Not Applicable.....                    | 6  |
|      | C. Recycling Specifications—Not Applicable .....                        | 6  |
| V.   | Receiving Water Limitations .....                                       | 6  |
|      | A. Surface Water Limitations .....                                      | 6  |
|      | B. Groundwater Limitations—Not Applicable .....                         | 7  |
| VI.  | Provisions .....  | 8  |
|      | A. Standard Provisions.....   | 8  |
|      | B. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Requirements .....            | 9  |
|      | C. Special Provisions.....  | 9  |
| VII. | Compliance Determination .....  | 13 |
|      | A. Single Constituent Effluent Limitation.....                          | 13 |
|      | B. Effluent Limitations Expressed as a Sum of Several Constituents..... | 13 |
|      | C. Effluent Limitations Expressed as a Median.....                      | 13 |
|      | D. Multiple Sample Data .....   | 13 |
|      | E. Average Monthly Effluent Limitation (AMEL) .....                     | 14 |
|      | F. Maximum Daily Effluent Limitations (MDEL) .....                      | 15 |
|      | G. Instantaneous Minimum Effluent Limitation .....                      | 15 |
|      | H. Instantaneous Maximum Effluent Limitation .....                      | 15 |
|      | I. Median Monthly Effluent Limitation (MMEL) .....                      | 15 |
|      | J. Chronic Toxicity .....   | 15 |
|      | K. Mass and Concentration Limitations .....                             | 16 |
|      | L. Bacterial Standards and Analyses .....                               | 16 |

## TABLES

|          |  |    |
|----------|--|----|
| Table 1. | Discharger Information.....  | 1  |
| Table 2. | Discharge Location .....   | 1  |
| Table 3. | Administrative Information.....                                    | 1  |
| Table 4. | Effluent Limitations at Discharge Point 001 .....                  | 5  |
| Table 5. | Harbor Toxics TMDL Sediment Chemistry Monitoring Requirements..... | 11 |

## ATTACHMENTS

|                |   |     |
|----------------|---|-----|
| Attachment A – | Definitions.....  | A-1 |
| Attachment B – | Maps.....   | B-1 |
| Attachment C – | Flow Schematic .....  | C-1 |
| Attachment D – | Standard Provisions .....   | D-1 |
| Attachment E – | Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP NO. 6108).....                        | E-1 |
| Attachment F – | Fact Sheet .....  | F-1 |
| Attachment G – | Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan Requirements.....                     | G-1 |
| Attachment H – | State Water Board Minimum Levels (micrograms/liter( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ))..... | H-1 |
| Attachment I – | List of Priority Pollutants.....  | I-1 |

## I. FACILITY INFORMATION

Information describing the Port of Los Angeles New Dock Street Pump Station (Facility) is summarized in Table 1 and in sections I and II of the Fact Sheet (Attachment F). Section I of the Fact Sheet also includes information regarding the Facility's permit application.

## II. FINDINGS

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (Regional Water Board), finds:

- A. Legal Authorities.** This Order serves as waste discharge requirements (WDRs) pursuant to article 4, chapter 4, division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13260). This Order is also issued pursuant to section 402 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and implementing regulations adopted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and chapter 5.5, division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13370) and state regulations (including title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 22561 et seq.). It shall serve as a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit authorizing the Discharger to discharge into waters of the United States at the discharge location described in Table 2 subject to the WDRs in this Order.
- B. Background and Rationale for Requirements.** The Regional Water Board developed the requirements in this Order based on information submitted as part of the application, through monitoring and reporting programs, and other available information. The Fact Sheet (Attachment F), which contains background information and rationale for the requirements in this Order, is hereby incorporated into and constitutes Findings for this Order. Attachments A through E and G through I are also incorporated into this Order.
- C. Notification of Interested Persons.** The Regional Water Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe WDRs for the discharge and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations. Details of the notification are provided in the Fact Sheet.
- D. Consideration of Public Comment.** The Regional Water Board, in a public meeting, heard and considered all comments pertaining to the discharge. Details of the public hearing are provided in the Fact Sheet.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Order supersedes Order No. R4-2013-0097 except for enforcement purposes, and, in order to meet the provisions contained in division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13000) and regulations adopted thereunder, and the provisions of the CWA and regulations and guidelines adopted thereunder, the Discharger shall comply with the requirements in this Order. This action in no way prevents the Regional Water Board from taking enforcement action for violations of the previous Order.

## III. DISCHARGE PROHIBITIONS

- A.** Wastes discharged shall be limited to a maximum of 7.25 million gallons per day (MGD) of treated storm water runoff and infiltrating groundwater via Discharge Point 001.
- B.** The discharge of wastewater at a location other than specifically described in this Order is prohibited and constitutes a violation of the Order. The discharge of wastes from accidental spills or other sources is prohibited.
- C.** Discharges of water, materials, thermal wastes, elevated temperature wastes, toxic wastes, deleterious substances, or wastes other than those authorized by this Order, to a storm drain system, the Cerritos Channel, or other waters of the United States or State, are prohibited.

- D. Neither the treatment nor the discharge of pollutants shall create pollution, contamination, or a nuisance as defined by section 13050 of the Water Code.
- E. Wastes discharged shall not contain any substances in concentrations toxic to human, animal, plant, or aquatic life.
- F. The discharge shall not cause a violation of any applicable water quality standards for receiving waters adopted by the Regional Water Board or the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) as required by the federal CWA and regulations adopted thereunder. If more stringent applicable water quality standards are promulgated or approved pursuant to section 303 of the federal CWA, and amendments thereto, the Board will revise and modify this Order in accordance with such more stringent standards.
- G. Discharge of oil or any residuary product of petroleum to waters of the State, except in accordance with waste discharge requirements or other provisions of division 7 of the Water Code, is prohibited.
- H. The discharge of any radiological, chemical, or biological warfare agent into the waters of the state is prohibited under Water Code section 13375.
- I. The discharge of any product registered under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act to any waste stream that may ultimately be released to waters of the United States, is prohibited unless specifically authorized elsewhere in this permit or another NPDES permit. This requirement is not applicable to products used for lawn and agricultural purposes.
- J. The discharge of any waste resulting from the combustion of toxic or hazardous wastes to any waste stream that ultimately discharges to waters of the United States is prohibited, unless specifically authorized elsewhere in this permit.
- K. Any discharge of wastes at any point(s) other than specifically described in this Order is prohibited and constitutes a violation of the Order.
- L. The discharge of trash to surface waters of the State or the deposition of trash where it may be discharged into surface waters of the State is prohibited.

#### **IV. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS**

##### **A. Final Effluent Limitations – Discharge Point 001**

The Discharger shall maintain compliance with the following effluent limitations at Discharge Point 001, with compliance measured at Monitoring Location EFF-001 as described in the Monitoring and Reporting Program, Attachment E:

**Table 4. Effluent Limitations at Discharge Point 001**

| Parameter                                       | Units                                     | Effluent Limitations |                       |               |                 |
|---|---|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
|   |   | Average Monthly      | Maximum Daily         | Instantaneous |                 |
|   |   |                      |                       | Minimum       | Maximum         |
| <b>Conventional Pollutants</b>                  |   |                      |                       |               |                 |
| pH  | s.u.                                      | --                   |                       | 6.5           | 8.5             |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5-day @ 20°C)  | mg/L                                      | 20                   | 30                    | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | 1,209                | 1,814                 | --            | --              |
| Oil and Grease                                  | mg/L                                      | 10                   | 15                    | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | 605                  | 907                   | --            | --              |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS)                    | mg/L                                      | 50                   | 75                    | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | 3,023                | 4,535                 | --            | --              |
| <b>Non-conventional Pollutants</b>              |   |                      |                       |               |                 |
| Methyl Tert-butyl Ether (MTBE)                  | µg/L                                      | --                   | 35                    | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | --                   | 2.1                   | --            | --              |
| Chlorinated Phenols <sup>2</sup>                | mg/L                                      | --                   | 1.0                   | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | --                   | 60                    | --            | --              |
| Settleable Solids                               | ml/L                                      | 0.1                  | 0.3                   | --            | --              |
| Sulfides  | mg/L                                      | --                   | 1                     | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | --                   | 60                    | --            | --              |
| Temperature                                     | °F  | --                   | --                    | --            | 86 <sup>3</sup> |
| Turbidity                                       | NTU                                       | 50                   | 75                    | --            | --              |
| Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) <sup>4</sup> | µg/L                                      | --                   | 100                   | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | --                   | 6.0                   | --            | --              |
| Bacteria  |   | 5                    |                       |               |                 |
| Chronic Toxicity                                | Pass or Fail, % Effect (TST) <sup>6</sup> | Pass <sup>7</sup>    | Pass or % Effect < 50 | --            | --              |
| <b>Priority Pollutants</b>                      |   |                      |                       |               |                 |
| Copper, Total Recoverable                       | µg/L                                      | 2.6                  | 6.9                   | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | 0.16                 | 0.42                  | --            | --              |
| Lead, Total Recoverable                         | µg/L                                      | 4.4                  | 14                    | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | 0.27                 | 0.85                  | --            | --              |
| Mercury, Total Recoverable                      | µg/L                                      | 0.05                 | 0.10                  | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | 0.0031               | 0.0062                | --            | --              |
| Nickel, Total Recoverable                       | µg/L                                      | 8.0                  | 9.5                   | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | 0.48                 | 0.57                  | --            | --              |
| Zinc, Total Recoverable                         | µg/L                                      | 76                   | 121                   | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | 4.6                  | 7.3                   | --            | --              |
| 4,4'-DDT  | µg/L                                      | 0.00059              | 0.0012                | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | 0.00004              | 0.00007               | --            | --              |
| Total PCBs <sup>8</sup>                         | µg/L                                      | 0.00017              | 0.00034               | --            | --              |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup>                      | 0.00001              | 0.00002               | --            | --              |

1. The mass limitations are based on a maximum flow of 7.25 MGD and are calculated as follows:  
$$\text{Flow (MGD)} \times \text{Concentration (mg/L)} \times 8.34 \text{ (conversion factor)} = \text{lbs/day.}$$
2. Chlorinated phenols shall mean the sum of 2-chlorophenol, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 4-chloro-3-methylphenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol and pentachlorophenol.
3. The maximum temperature of waste discharges shall not exceed the natural temperature of the receiving water by more than 20 degrees F, neither shall the temperature of waste discharges exceed a maximum of 86 degrees F.
4. TPH equals the sum of TPH(C<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>12</sub>), TPH(C<sub>13</sub>-C<sub>22</sub>), and TPH(C<sub>23+</sub>).
5. Both geometric mean and single sample bacterial limitations are established. The geometric mean values should be calculated based on a statistically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than 5 samples equally spaced over a 30-day period):
  - a. Rolling 30-day Geometric Mean Limits
    - i. Total coliform density shall not exceed 1,000/100 ml.
    - ii. Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 200/100 ml.
    - iii. Enterococcus shall not exceed 35/100 ml.
  - b. Single Sample Limits
    - i. Total coliform density shall not exceed 10,000/100 ml.
    - ii. Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 400/100 ml.
    - iii. Enterococcus density shall not exceed 104/100 ml.
    - iv. Total coliform density shall not exceed 1,000/100 ml, if the ratio of fecal-to-total coliform exceeds 0.1.
6. Report "Pass" or "Fail" for Median Monthly Effluent Limitation (MMEL). Report "Pass" or "Fail" and "% Effect" for Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation (MDEL). During a calendar month, up to three independent toxicity tests may be conducted if the first toxicity test results in "Fail". TST is the Test of Significant Toxicity which is the protocol used to evaluate the data.
7. This is a Median Monthly Effluent Limitation.
8. See Footnote 9 of Table E-2 in Attachment E of this Order for requirements on PCBs analyses.

**1. Interim Effluent Limitations—Not Applicable**

**B. Land Discharge Specifications—Not Applicable**

**C. Recycling Specifications—Not Applicable**

**V. RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS**

**A. Surface Water Limitations**

1. The pH of the receiving water shall not be depressed below 6.5 or raised above 8.5 as a result of the discharge. Ambient pH levels shall not be changed more than 0.5 units from natural conditions as a result of waste discharge. Natural conditions shall be determined on a case-by-case basis.
2. Elevated temperature waste discharges shall comply with limitations necessary to assure protection of beneficial uses. At no time shall the temperature be raised above 86° F as a result of waste discharged.
3. Water Contact Standards

In marine waters designated for Water Contact Recreation (REC-1) and potential REC-1 uses, the waste discharged shall not cause or contribute to exceedances of the following bacterial standards in the receiving water:

- a. Geometric Mean Limitations
  - i. Total coliform density shall not exceed 1,000/100 ml.
  - ii. Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 200/100 ml.
  - iii. Enterococcus density shall not exceed 35/100 ml.
- b. Single Sample Maximum (SSM) Limitations
  - i. Total coliform density shall not exceed 10,000/100 ml.

- ii. Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 400/100 ml.
  - iii. Enterococcus density shall not exceed 104/100 ml.
  - iv. Total coliform density shall not exceed 1,000/100 ml, if the ratio of fecal-to-total coliform exceeds 0.1.
4. The mean annual dissolved oxygen concentration shall be greater than 7.0 mg/L. No single determination of dissolved oxygen shall be less than 5.0 mg/L, except when natural conditions cause lesser concentrations.

Further, the discharge shall not cause the following in the Cerritos Channel:

5. Exceedance of the total ammonia (as N) concentrations specified in Chapter 3 of the Basin Plan. For inland surface waters not characteristic of freshwater, the four-day average concentration of un-ionized ammonia shall not exceed 0.035 mg/L and the one-hour average concentration shall not exceed 0.233 mg/L.
6. The presence of visible, floating, suspended or deposited macroscopic particulate matter or foam.
7. Where natural turbidity is between 0 to 50 NTU, increases in turbidity shall not exceed 20%. Where natural turbidity is greater than 50 NTU, increases in turbidity shall not exceed 10%.
8. Oils, greases, waxes, or other materials in concentrations that result in a visible film or coating on the surface of the receiving water or on objects in the water.
9. Suspended or settleable materials, chemical substances or pesticides in amounts that cause nuisance or adversely affect any designated beneficial use.
10. Toxic or other deleterious substances in concentrations or quantities which cause deleterious effects on aquatic biota, wildlife, or waterfowl or render any of these unfit for human consumption either at levels created in the receiving waters or as a result of biological concentration.
11. Accumulation of bottom deposits or aquatic growths.
12. Biostimulatory substances at concentrations that promote aquatic growth to the extent that such growth causes nuisance or adversely affects beneficial uses.
13. The presence of substances that result in increases of BOD that adversely affect beneficial uses.
14. Taste or odor-producing substances in concentrations that alter the natural taste, odor, and/or color of fish, shellfish, or other edible aquatic resources; cause nuisance; or adversely affect beneficial uses.
15. Alteration of turbidity, or apparent color beyond present natural background levels.
16. Damage, discolor, or formation of sludge deposits on flood control structures or facilities, or overloading of the design capacity.
17. Degradation of surface water communities and populations including vertebrate, invertebrate, and plant species.
18. Problems associated with breeding of mosquitoes, gnats, black flies, midges, or other pests.
19. Nuisance, or adversely affect beneficial uses of the receiving water.

**B. Groundwater Limitations—Not Applicable**

## VI. PROVISIONS

### A. Standard Provisions

1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions included in Attachment D.
2. The Discharger shall comply with the following provisions. In the event that there is any conflict, duplication, or overlap between provisions specified by this Order, the more stringent provision shall apply:
  - a. The Discharger must comply with the lawful requirements of municipalities, counties, drainage districts, and other local agencies regarding discharges of wastewater and storm water to storm drain systems or other water courses under their jurisdiction; including applicable requirements in municipal storm water management programs developed to comply with NPDES permits issued by the Regional Water Board to local agencies.
  - b. The Discharger shall comply with all applicable effluent limitations, national standards of performance, toxic effluent standards, and all federal regulations established pursuant to sections 301, 302, 303(d), 304, 306, 307, 316, 318, 405, and 423 of the federal CWA and amendments thereto.
  - c. These requirements do not exempt the operator of the waste disposal facility from compliance with any other laws, regulations, or ordinances which may be applicable; they do not legalize this waste disposal facility, and they leave unaffected any further restraints on the disposal of wastes at this site which may be contained in other statutes or required by other agencies.
  - d. Oil or oily material, chemicals, refuse, or other wastes that constitute a condition of pollution or nuisance shall not be stored or deposited in areas where they may be picked up by rainfall and carried off of the property and/or discharged to surface waters. Any such spill of such materials shall be contained and removed immediately.
  - e. A copy of these waste discharge specifications shall be maintained at the discharge facility so as to be available at all times to operating personnel.
  - f. After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this Order may be terminated or modified for cause, including, but not limited to:
    - i. Violation of any term or condition contained in this Order;
    - ii. Obtaining this Order by misrepresentation, or failure to disclose all relevant facts;
    - iii. A change in any condition that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge.
  - g. If there is any storage of hazardous or toxic materials or hydrocarbons at this Facility and if the Facility is not staffed at all times, a 24-hour emergency response telephone number shall be prominently posted where it can easily be read from the outside.
  - h. The Discharger shall file with the Regional Water Board a report of waste discharge at least 180 days before making any material change or proposed change in the character, location, or volume of the discharge.
  - i. In the event of any change in name, ownership, or control of these waste disposal facilities, the Discharger shall notify this Regional Water Board of such change 30 days prior to taking effect and shall notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, copy of which shall be forwarded to the Regional Water Board.

- j. Violation of any of the provisions of this Order may subject the violator to any of the penalties described herein, or any combination thereof, at the discretion of the prosecuting authority; except that only one kind of penalty may be applied for each kind of violation.
- k. The Discharger shall notify the Executive Officer in writing no later than 6 months prior to the planned discharge of any chemical, other than the products previously reported to the Executive Officer, which may be toxic to aquatic life. Such notification shall include:
  - i. Name and general composition of the chemical,
  - ii. Frequency of use,
  - iii. Quantities to be used,
  - iv. Proposed discharge concentrations, and
  - v. USEPA registration number, if applicable.
- l. Failure to comply with provisions or requirements of this Order, or violation of other applicable laws or regulations governing discharges from this facility, may subject the Discharger to administrative or civil liabilities, criminal penalties, and/or other enforcement remedies to ensure compliance. Additionally, certain violations may subject the Discharger to civil or criminal enforcement from appropriate local, state, or federal law enforcement entities.
- m. In the event the Discharger does not comply or will be unable to comply for any reason, with any prohibition, effluent limitation, or receiving water limitation of this Order, the Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board by telephone (213) 576-6600 within 24 hours of having knowledge of such noncompliance, and shall confirm this notification in writing within five days, unless the Regional Water Board waives confirmation. The written notification shall state the nature, time, duration, and cause of noncompliance, and shall describe the measures being taken to remedy the current noncompliance and prevent recurrence including, where applicable, a schedule of implementation. Other noncompliance requires written notification as above at the time of the normal monitoring report.
- n. The provisions of this Order are severable. If any provision of this Order is found invalid, the remainder of this Order shall not be affected.

**B. Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Requirements**

The Discharger shall comply with the MRP, and future revisions thereto, in Attachment E.

**C. Special Provisions**

**1. Reopener Provisions**

- a. If more stringent applicable water quality standards are promulgated or approved pursuant to section 303 of the federal CWA, and amendments thereto, the Regional Water Board may revise and modify this Order in accordance with such more stringent standards.
- b. This Order may be reopened to include effluent limitations for toxic constituents determined to be present in significant amounts in the discharge through a more comprehensive monitoring program included as part of this Order and based on the results of the RPA.
- c. This Order may be reopened and modified, in accordance with the provisions set forth in 40 C.F.R. parts 122 and 124, to include requirements for the implementation of the watershed management approach or to include new MLs.

- d. This Order may be reopened and modified to revise effluent limitations as a result of future Basin Plan Amendments, such as an update of an objective or the adoption or revision of a TMDL for the Cerritos Channel or tributaries thereto.
- e. This Order may be reopened for modification, or revocation and reissuance, as a result of the detection of a reportable priority pollutant generated by special conditions included in this Order. These special conditions may be, but are not limited to, fish tissue sampling, whole effluent toxicity, monitoring requirements on internal waste stream(s), and monitoring for surrogate parameters. Additional requirements may be included in this Order as a result of the special condition monitoring data.
- f. This Order may be reopened upon submission by the Discharger of adequate information, as determined by the Regional Water Board, to provide for dilution credits or a mixing zone, as may be appropriate.
- g. This Order may also be reopened and modified, revoked, and reissued or terminated in accordance with the provisions of 40 C.F.R.; sections 122.44, 122.62 to 122.64, 125.62, and 125.64. Causes for taking such actions include, but are not limited to, failure to comply with any condition of this Order, and endangerment to human health or the environment resulting from the permitted activity; or acquisition of newly-obtained information which would have justified the application of different conditions if known at the time of Order adoption.

## 2. Special Studies, Technical Reports and Additional Monitoring Requirements

- a. **Initial Investigation Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Workplan.** The Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board an updated Initial Investigation TRE workplan (1-2 pages) within 90 days of the effective date of this permit. This plan shall describe the steps the permittee intends to follow in the event that toxicity is detected. See section V of the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E) for an overview of TRE requirements.
- b. **Harbor Toxics TMDL Water Column, Sediment and Fish Tissue Monitoring for Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors.**

As defined in the amendment to the Basin Plan incorporating the *TMDL for Toxic Pollutants in Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors Waters* (Resolution No. R11-008 or Harbor Toxics TMDL), the Discharger is a “responsible party” because it is an “Individual Industrial Permittee”. As such, the Discharger, either alone, or as part of a collaborating group, is responsible for monitoring water and sediment discharges. For Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 the Discharger met this requirement as part of the Harbor Toxics TMDL Coordinated Compliance Monitoring and Reporting Program Group formed by the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach. The Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board within 90 days of the effective date of this Order of its intention to continue to meet this requirement as part of a collaborating group, to form a new group, or to develop a site-specific Monitoring Plan with a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). If the Discharger decides to develop a site-specific Monitoring Plan, it shall notify the Regional Water Board within 90 days of the effective date of the Order and submit the proposed Monitoring Plan and QAPP to the Regional Water Board within 12 months of the effective date of the Order for public comment and the Regional Water Board Executive Officer approval. The Discharger shall begin monitoring 6 months after the Monitoring Plan and QAPP are approved by the Executive Officer, unless otherwise directed by the Executive Officer. The Discharger shall continue to participate in the collaborating group until monitoring under the approved site-specific

Monitoring Plan begins. The compliance monitoring program shall include water column, sediment, and fish tissue monitoring.

The Compliance Monitoring Program shall include the following components:

i. **Water Column Monitoring**

Water samples and total suspended solids (TSS) samples shall be collected during two wet weather events and one dry weather event each year. TSS shall be collected at several depths during wet weather events. The first large storm event of the season shall be included as one of the wet weather monitoring events. General water chemistry (temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and salinity) shall be required at each sampling event.

ii. **Sediment Monitoring**

Sediment chemistry samples shall be collected every five years (in addition to, and in between, the sediment triad sampling events as described below), beginning after the first sediment triad event, to evaluate trends in general sediment quality constituents and listed constituents relative to sediment quality targets. Chemistry data without accompanying sediment triad data shall be used to assess sediment chemistry trends and shall not be used to determine compliance.

**Table 5. Harbor Toxics TMDL Water and Sediment Chemistry Monitoring Requirements for Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors**

| Water Body Name         | Station ID | Station Location   | Sample Media      |  |
|-------------------------|------------|--|-------------------|--|
|                         |            |  | Water/TSS         | Sediment                                   |
| Long Beach Inner Harbor | 12         | Cerritos Channel between the Heim Bridge and the Turning Basin | Metals, PCBs, DDT | Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community Effect |
|                         | 13         | Back Channel between Turning Basin and West Basin              | Metals, PCBs, DDT | Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community Effect |
|                         | 14         | Center of West Basin   | Metals, PCBs, DDT | Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community Effect |
|                         | 15         | Center of Southeast Basin                                      | Metals, PCBs, DDT | Metals, Toxicity, Benthic Community Effect |

Sediment quality objective evaluation (sediment triad sampling) as detailed in the Statewide Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries—Part 1 Sediment Quality (SQO Part 1) shall be performed every five years in coordination with the Biological Baseline and Bight regional monitoring programs, if possible. Sampling and analysis for the full chemical suite, two toxicity tests and four benthic indices as specified in SQO Part 1 shall be conducted and evaluated. If moderate toxicity as defined in the SQO Part 1 is observed, results shall be highlighted in annual reports and further analysis and evaluation to determine causes and remedies shall be required in accordance with the EO approved monitoring plan. Locations for sediment triad assessment and the methodology for combining results from sampling locations to determine

sediment conditions shall be specified in the MRP to be approved by the Executive Officer. The sampling design shall be in compliance with the SQO Part 1 Sediment Monitoring section (VII.E.).

iii. **Fish Tissue Monitoring**

Fish tissue samples shall be collected every two years in San Pedro Bay, Los Angeles Harbor, and Long Beach Harbor, and analyzed for chlordane, dieldrin, toxaphene, DDT, and PCBs. At a minimum, three species shall be collected, including white croaker, a sport fish, and a prey fish.

iv. **Sampling and Analysis Plan**

The Sampling and Analysis Plan must be proposed based on methods or metrics described in the State Water Board Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries – Part 1 Sediment Quality (Resolution 2008-0070 – SQO Part 1), and the U.S.EPA or American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). The plan shall include a list of chemical analytes for the water column and sediment.

v. **Quality Assurance Project Plan**

The Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) shall describe the project objectives and organization, functional activities, and quality assurance/quality control protocols for the water and sediment monitoring. The QAPP shall include protocols for sample collection, standard analytical procedures, and laboratory certification. All samples shall be collected in accordance with Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) protocols.

The details of the Harbor Toxics TMDL Water and Sediment Monitoring Plan including sampling locations and all methods shall be specified in the Monitoring Plans submitted to the Executive Officer.

**3. Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention**

The Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board, within 90 days of the effective date of this Order:

- a. An updated **Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)** that describes site specific management practices for minimizing contamination of storm water runoff and for preventing contamination of storm water runoff from being discharged directly to the waters of the state. The SWPPP shall address the following specific areas of concern: petroleum storage tanks, equipment washing, vehicle traffic, chemicals storage, or other industrial activity with the potential to impact water quality. The SWPPP shall be developed in accordance with the requirements in Attachment G.

The SWPPP shall also specify Best Management Practices (BMPs) that will be implemented to reduce the discharge of pollutants in storm water. In particular the Discharger shall focus on improving secondary containment and good housekeeping practices. Further, the Discharger shall assure the storm water discharge from the facility would neither cause, nor contribute to the exceedance of water, and that the unauthorized discharges (i.e. spills, dry weather discharge) to the receiving water have been effectively prohibited.

- b. An updated **Best Management Practices Plan (BMPP)** that will be implemented to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the receiving water. The BMPP shall include site-specific plans and procedures implemented and/or to be implemented to prevent hazardous waste/material and trash from being discharged to waters of the State.

Further, the Discharger shall ensure that the storm water discharges from the Facility would neither cause nor contribute to a nuisance in the receiving water, and that unauthorized discharges (i.e. spills) to the receiving water have been effectively prohibited. In particular, a risk assessment of each area identified by the Discharger shall be performed to determine the potential for hazardous or toxic waste/material and trash discharge to surface waters. The BMPP can be included and submitted with the SWPPP.

The Discharger shall implement the SWPPP and BMPP within 10 days of the approval by the Executive Officer or no later than 90 days after submission to the Regional Water Board, whichever comes first. The Discharger shall continue to implement any existing and previously approved SWPPP until an updated SWPPP is approved by the Executive Officer or until the stipulated 90-day period after the updated SWPPP submittal has occurred.

#### **4. Construction, Operation and Maintenance Specifications**

The Discharger shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems installed or used to achieve compliance with this Order.

#### **5. Other Special Provisions—Not Applicable**

#### **6. Compliance Schedules—Not Applicable**

### **VII. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION**

Compliance with the effluent limitations contained in section IV of this Order shall be determined as specified below:

#### **A. Single Constituent Effluent Limitation**

If the concentration of the pollutant in the monitoring sample is greater than the effluent limitation and greater than or equal to the reported Minimum Level (ML) (see Reporting Requirement I.H. of the MRP), then the Discharger is out of compliance.

#### **B. Effluent Limitations Expressed as a Sum of Several Constituents**

If the sum of the individual pollutant concentrations is greater than the effluent limitation, then the Discharger is out of compliance. In calculating the sum of the concentrations of a group of pollutants, constituents reported as ND or DNQ are treated as having concentrations equal to zero, provided that the applicable ML is used.

#### **C. Effluent Limitations Expressed as a Median**

In determining compliance with a median limitation, the analytical results in a set of data will be arranged in order of magnitude (either increasing or decreasing order); and

1. If the number of measurements ( $n$ ) is odd, then the median will be calculated as  $= X_{(n+1)/2}$ , or
2. If the number of measurements ( $n$ ) is even, then the median will be calculated as  $= [X_{n/2} + X_{(n/2)+1}]$ , i.e. the midpoint between the  $n/2$  and  $n/2+1$  data points.

#### **D. Multiple Sample Data**

When determining compliance with an AMEL or MDEL for priority pollutants and more than one sample result is available, the Discharger shall compute the arithmetic mean unless the data set contains one or more reported determinations of "Detected, but Not Quantified" (DNQ) or "Not Detected" (ND). In those cases, the Discharger shall compute the median in place of the arithmetic mean in accordance with the following procedure:

1. The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.
2. The median value of the data set shall be determined. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an even number of data points, then the median is the average of the two values around the middle unless one or both of the points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two data points where DNQ is lower than a value and ND is lower than DNQ.

#### **E. Average Monthly Effluent Limitation (AMEL)**

If the average (or when applicable, the median determined by subsections B and D above for multiple sample data) of daily discharges over a calendar month exceeds the AMEL for a given parameter, this will represent a single violation for the purpose of calculating mandatory minimum penalties; though the Discharger will be considered out of compliance for each day of that month for that parameter (e.g., resulting in 31 days of non-compliance in a 31-day month) for the purpose of calculating discretionary administrative civil liabilities. However, an alleged violation of the AMEL will be considered one violation for the purpose of assessing mandatory minimum penalties. If only a single sample is taken during the calendar month and the analytical result for that sample exceeds the AMEL, the Discharger will be considered out of compliance for that calendar month. If multiple samples are taken the Discharger will only be considered out of compliance for days when the discharge occurs. For anyone calendar month during which no sample (daily discharge) is taken, no compliance determination can be made for that calendar month.

In determining compliance with the AMEL, the following provisions shall also apply to all constituents:

1. If the analytical result of a single sample, monitored monthly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually, does not exceed the AMEL for that constituent, the Discharger has demonstrated compliance with the AMEL for each day of the month for that parameter;
2. If the analytical result of a single sample monitored monthly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually, exceeds the AMEL for any constituent, the Discharger shall collect four additional samples at approximately equal intervals during the same calendar month. All five analytical results shall be reported in the monitoring report for that month, or 45 days after results for the additional samples were received, whichever is later.

When all sample results are greater than or equal to the reported ML (see Reporting Requirement I.I of the MRP), the numerical average of the analytical results of these five samples will be used for compliance determination.

When one or more sample results are reported as "Not-Detected (ND)" or "Detected, but Not Quantified (DNQ)" (see Reporting Requirement I.I of the MRP), the median value of these five samples shall be used for compliance determination. If one or both of the middle values is ND or DNQ, the median shall be the lower of the two middle values.

3. In the event of noncompliance with an AMEL, the sampling frequency for that constituent shall be increased to weekly and shall continue at this level until compliance with the AMEL has been demonstrated.
4. If only one sample was obtained for the month or more than a monthly period and the result exceeds the AMEL; then the Discharger is in violation of the AMEL.

**F. Maximum Daily Effluent Limitations (MDEL)**

If a daily discharge exceeds the MDEL for a given parameter, an alleged violation will be flagged and the Discharger will be considered out of compliance for that parameter for that one day only within the reporting period. For any one day during which no sample is taken, no compliance determination will be made for that day.

**G. Instantaneous Minimum Effluent Limitation**

If the analytical result of a single grab sample is lower than the instantaneous minimum effluent limitation for a parameter, a violation will be flagged and the Discharger will be considered out of compliance for that parameter for that single sample. Non-compliance for each sample will be considered separately (e.g., the results of two grab samples taken within a calendar day that both are lower than the instantaneous minimum effluent limitation would result in two instances of non-compliance with the instantaneous minimum effluent limitation).

**H. Instantaneous Maximum Effluent Limitation**

If the analytical result of a single grab sample is higher than the instantaneous maximum effluent limitation for a parameter, a violation will be flagged and the Discharger will be considered out of compliance for that parameter for that single sample. Non-compliance for each sample will be considered separately (e.g., the results of two grab samples taken within a calendar day that both exceed the instantaneous maximum effluent limitation would result in two instances of non-compliance with the instantaneous maximum effluent limitation).

**I. Median Monthly Effluent Limitation (MMEL)**

If the median of daily discharges over a calendar month exceeds the MMEL for a given parameter, an alleged violation will be flagged and the Discharger will be considered out of compliance for each day of that month for that parameter (e.g., resulting in 31 days of noncompliance in a 31-day month). However, an alleged violation of the MMEL will be considered one violation for the purpose of assessing State mandatory minimum penalties. If no sample (daily discharge) is taken over a calendar month, no compliance determination can be made for that month with respect to an effluent violation, but compliance determination can be made for that month with respect to reporting violations.

**J. Chronic Toxicity**

The discharge is subject to determination of “Pass” or “Fail” and “Percent Effect” from a single-effluent concentration chronic toxicity test at the discharge IWC using the Test of Significant Toxicity (TST) approach described in *National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Test of Significant Toxicity Implementation Document* (EPA 833-R-10-003, 2010), Appendix A, Figure A-1, and Table A-1. The null hypothesis (Ho) for the TST approach is:

$$\text{Mean discharge IWC response} \leq 0.75 \times \text{Mean control response.}$$

A test result that rejects this null hypothesis is reported as “Pass”. A test result that does not reject this null hypothesis is reported as “Fail”. The relative “Percent Effect” at the discharge IWC is defined and reported as  $((\text{Mean control response} - \text{Mean discharge IWC response}) / \text{Mean control response}) \times 100$ .

The Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation (MDEL) for chronic toxicity is exceeded and a violation will be flagged when a chronic toxicity test, analyzed using the TST approach, results in “Fail” and the “Percent Effect” is  $\geq 0.50$ .

The Median Monthly Effluent Limitation (MMEL) for chronic toxicity is exceeded and a violation will be flagged when the median of no more than three independent chronic toxicity tests conducted within the same calendar month—analyzed using the TST approach—results in

“Fail”. During a calendar month, up to three independent toxicity tests may be conducted if the first toxicity test results in “Fail”.

**K. Mass and Concentration Limitations**

Compliance with mass effluent limitations and concentration effluent limitations for the same parameter shall be determined separately. When the concentration for a parameter in a sample is reported as ND or DNQ, the corresponding mass emission rate determined using that sample concentration shall also be reported as ND or DNQ.

**L. Bacterial Standards and Analyses**

The geometric mean used for determining compliance with bacterial standards is calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Geometric Mean} = (C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_n)^{1/n}$$

Where *n* is the number of days samples were collected during the period and *C* is the concentration of bacteria (MPN/100 mL or CFU/100 mL) found on each day of sampling. For bacterial analyses, sample dilutions should be performed so the expected range of values is bracketed (for example, with multiple tube fermentation method or membrane filtration method, 2 to 16,000 per 100 ml for total and fecal coliform, at a minimum, and 1 to 1000 per 100 ml for *Enterococcus*). The detection method used for each analysis shall be reported with the results of the analysis.

Detection methods used for coliforms (total and fecal) and *Enterococcus* shall be those presented in Table 1A of 40 C.F.R. section 136 (revised July 1, 2017), unless alternate methods have been approved by USEPA pursuant to part 136 or improved methods have been determined by the Executive Officer and/or USEPA.

## ATTACHMENT A – DEFINITIONS

### **Arithmetic Mean ( $\mu$ )**

Also called the average, is the sum of measured values divided by the number of samples. For ambient water concentrations, the arithmetic mean is calculated as follows:

Arithmetic mean =  $\mu = \Sigma x / n$                       where:  $\Sigma x$  is the sum of the measured ambient water concentrations, and  $n$  is the number of samples.

### **Average Monthly Effluent Limitation (AMEL)**

The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.

### **Best Management Practices (BMPs)**

BMPs are methods, measures, or practices designed and selected to reduce or eliminate the discharge of pollutants to surface waters from point and nonpoint source discharges including storm water. BMPs include structural and non-structural controls, and operation maintenance procedures, which can be applied before, during, and/or after pollution-producing activities.

### **Bioaccumulative**

Those substances taken up by an organism from its surrounding medium through gill membranes, epithelial tissue, or from food and subsequently concentrated and retained in the body of the organism.

### **Carcinogenic**

Pollutants are substances that are known to cause cancer in living organisms.

### **Coefficient of Variation (CV)**

CV is a measure of the data variability and is calculated as the estimated standard deviation divided by the arithmetic mean of the observed values.

### **Daily Discharge**

Daily Discharge is defined as either: (1) the total mass of the constituent discharged over the calendar day (12:00 am through 11:59 pm) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling (as specified in the permit), for a constituent with limitations expressed in units of mass or; (2) the unweighted arithmetic mean measurement of the constituent over the day for a constituent with limitations expressed in other units of measurement (e.g., concentration).

The daily discharge may be determined by the analytical results of a composite sample taken over the course of one day (a calendar day or other 24-hour period defined as a day) or by the arithmetic mean of analytical results from one or more grab samples taken over the course of the day.

For composite sampling, if 1 day is defined as a 24-hour period other than a calendar day, the analytical result for the 24-hour period will be considered as the result for the calendar day in which the 24-hour period ends.

### **Detected, but Not Quantified (DNQ)**

DNQ are those sample results less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL. Sample results reported as DNQ are estimated concentrations.

### **Dilution Credit**

Dilution Credit is the amount of dilution granted to a discharge in the calculation of a water quality-based effluent limitation, based on the allowance of a specified mixing zone. It is calculated from the dilution ratio or determined through conducting a mixing zone study or modeling of the discharge and receiving water.

### **EC25**

EC25 is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause an observable adverse effect (e.g., death, immobilization, or serious incapacitation) in 25 percent of the test organisms.

### **Effluent Concentration Allowance (ECA)**

ECA is a value derived from the water quality criterion/objective, dilution credit, and ambient background concentration that is used, in conjunction with the coefficient of variation for the effluent monitoring data, to calculate a long-term average (LTA) discharge concentration. The ECA has the same meaning as wasteload allocation (WLA) as used in USEPA guidance (Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control, March 1991, second printing, EPA/505/2-90-001).

### **Enclosed Bays**

Enclosed Bays means indentations along the coast that enclose an area of oceanic water within distinct headlands or harbor works. Enclosed bays include all bays where the narrowest distance between the headlands or outermost harbor works is less than 75 percent of the greatest dimension of the enclosed portion of the bay. Enclosed bays include, but are not limited to, Humboldt Bay, Bodega Harbor, Tomales Bay, Drake's Estero, San Francisco Bay, Morro Bay, Los Angeles-Long Beach Harbor, Upper and Lower Newport Bay, Mission Bay, and San Diego Bay. Enclosed bays do not include inland surface waters or ocean waters.

### **Estimated Chemical Concentration**

The estimated chemical concentration that results from the confirmed detection of the substance by the analytical method below the ML value.

### **Estuaries**

Estuaries means waters, including coastal lagoons, located at the mouths of streams that serve as areas of mixing for fresh and ocean waters. Coastal lagoons and mouths of streams that are temporarily separated from the ocean by sandbars shall be considered estuaries. Estuarine waters shall be considered to extend from a bay or the open ocean to a point upstream where there is no significant mixing of fresh water and seawater. Estuarine waters included, but are not limited to, the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, as defined in Water Code section 12220, Suisun Bay, Carquinez Strait downstream to the Carquinez Bridge, and appropriate areas of the Smith, Mad, Eel, Noyo, Russian, Klamath, San Diego, and Otay rivers. Estuaries do not include inland surface waters or ocean waters.

### **Existing Discharger**

Any discharger that is not a new discharger. An existing discharger includes an "increasing discharger" (i.e., any existing facility with treatment systems in place for its current discharge that is or will be expanding, upgrading, or modifying its permitted discharge after the effective date of this Order).

### **Four-Day Average of Daily Maximum Flows**

The average of daily maxima taken from the data set in four-day intervals.

### **Inland Surface Waters**

All surface waters of the state that do not include the ocean, enclosed bays, or estuaries.

**Instantaneous Maximum Effluent Limitation**

The highest allowable value for any single grab sample or aliquot (i.e., each grab sample or aliquot is independently compared to the instantaneous maximum limitation).

**Instantaneous Minimum Effluent Limitation**

The lowest allowable value for any single grab sample or aliquot (i.e., each grab sample or aliquot is independently compared to the instantaneous minimum limitation).

**Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation (MDEL)**

The highest allowable daily discharge of a pollutant, over a calendar day (or 24-hour period). For pollutants with limitations expressed in units of mass, the daily discharge is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the daily discharge is calculated as the arithmetic mean measurement of the pollutant over the day.

**Median**

The middle measurement in a set of data. The median of a set of data is found by first arranging the measurements in order of magnitude (either increasing or decreasing order). If the number of measurements ( $n$ ) is odd, then the median =  $X_{(n+1)/2}$ . If  $n$  is even, then the median =  $(X_{n/2} + X_{(n/2)+1})/2$  (i.e., the midpoint between the  $n/2$  and  $n/2+1$ ).

**Method Detection Limit (MDL)**

MDL is the minimum concentration of a substance that can be reported with 99 percent confidence that the measured concentration is distinguishable from method blank results, as defined in 40 C.F.R. part 136, Attachment B.

**Minimum Level (ML)**

ML is the concentration at which the entire analytical system must give a recognizable signal and acceptable calibration point. The ML is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, assuming that all the method specified sample weights, volumes, and processing steps have been followed.

**Mixing Zone**

Mixing Zone is a limited volume of receiving water that is allocated for mixing with a wastewater discharge where water quality criteria can be exceeded without causing adverse effects to the overall water body.

**Not Detected (ND)**

Sample results which are less than the laboratory's MDL.

**PCBs (polychlorinated biphenyls)**

PCBs as aroclors shall mean the sum of chlorinated biphenyls whose analytical characteristics resemble those of Aroclor-1016, Aroclor-1221, Aroclor-1232, Aroclor-1242, Aroclor-1248, Aroclor-1254 and Aroclor-1260. PCBs as congeners shall be individually quantified (or quantified as mixtures of isomers of a single congener in co-elutions as appropriate) using EPA Method 1688c. PCBs as congeners shall be analyzed using EPA Method 1688c for three years and an alternate method may be used if none of the PCB congeners are detected for three years using EPA Method 1688c. To facilitate interpretation of sediment/fish tissue data collected pursuant to the Harbor Toxics TMDL development, PCB congeners whose analytical characteristics resemble those of PCB-8, 18, 28, 37, 44, 49, 52, 66, 70, 74, 77, 81, 87, 99, 101, 105, 110, 114, 118, 119, 123, 126, 128, 138, 149, 151, 153, 156, 157, 158, 167, 168, 169, 170, 177, 180, 183, 187, 189, 194, 195, 201, 206 and 209 shall be reported as a sum and individually quantified (or quantified as mixtures of isomers of a single congener in co-elutions as appropriate).

### **Persistent Pollutants**

Persistent pollutants are substances for which degradation or decomposition in the environment is nonexistent or very slow.

### **Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP)**

PMP means waste minimization and pollution prevention actions that include, but are not limited to, product substitution, waste stream recycling, alternative waste management methods, and education of the public and businesses. The goal of the PMP shall be to reduce all potential sources of a priority pollutant(s) through pollutant minimization (control) strategies, including pollution prevention measures as appropriate, to maintain the effluent concentration at or below the water quality-based effluent limitation. Pollution prevention measures may be particularly appropriate for persistent bioaccumulative priority pollutants where there is evidence that beneficial uses are being impacted. The Regional Water Board may consider cost effectiveness when establishing the requirements of a PMP. The completion and implementation of a Pollution Prevention Plan, if required pursuant to Water Code section 13263.3(d), shall be considered to fulfill the PMP requirements.

### **Pollution Prevention**

Pollution Prevention means any action that causes a net reduction in the use or generation of a hazardous substance or other pollutant that is discharged into water and includes, but is not limited to, input change, operational improvement, production process change, and product reformulation (as defined in Water Code section 13263.3). Pollution prevention does not include actions that merely shift a pollutant in wastewater from one environmental medium to another environmental medium, unless clear environmental benefits of such an approach are identified to the satisfaction of the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) or Regional Water Board.

### **Reporting Level (RL)**

The RL is the ML (and its associated analytical method) chosen by the Discharger for reporting and compliance determination from the MLs included in this Order, including an additional factor if applicable as discussed herein. The MLs included in this Order correspond to approved analytical methods for reporting a sample result that are selected by the Regional Water Board either from Appendix 4 of the SIP in accordance with section 2.4.2 of the SIP or established in accordance with section 2.4.3 of the SIP. The ML is based on the proper application of method-based analytical procedures for sample preparation and the absence of any matrix interferences. Other factors may be applied to the ML depending on the specific sample preparation steps employed. For example, the treatment typically applied in cases where there are matrix-effects is to dilute the sample or sample aliquot by a factor of ten. In such cases, this additional factor must be applied to the ML in the computation of the RL.

### **Significant Storm Event**

A continuous discharge of storm water for a minimum of one hour, or the intermittent discharge of storm for a minimum of three hours in a 12-hour period.

### **Source of Drinking Water**

Any water designated as municipal or domestic supply (MUN) in a Regional Water Board Basin Plan.

### **Standard Deviation ( $\sigma$ )**

Standard Deviation is a measure of variability that is calculated as follows:

$$\sigma = (\sum[(x - \mu)^2]/(n - 1))^{0.5}$$

where:

x is the observed value;

$\mu$  is the arithmetic mean of the observed values; and

n is the number of samples.

### **Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE)**


TRE is a study conducted in a step-wise process designed to identify the causative agents of effluent or ambient toxicity, isolate the sources of toxicity, evaluate the effectiveness of toxicity control options, and then confirm the reduction in toxicity. The first steps of the TRE consist of the collection of data relevant to the toxicity, including additional toxicity testing, and an evaluation of facility operations and maintenance practices, and best management practices. A Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) may be required as part of the TRE, if appropriate. (A TIE is a set of procedures to identify the specific chemical(s) responsible for toxicity. These procedures are performed in three phases (characterization, identification, and confirmation) using aquatic organism toxicity tests.)

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS


|                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| AMEL             | Average Monthly Effluent Limitation  |
| B                | Background Concentration   |
| BAT              | Best Available Technology Economically Achievable  |
| Basin Plan       | <i>Water Quality Control Plan for the Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties</i>             |
| BCT              | Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology   |
| BMP              | Best Management Practices  |
| BMPP             | Best Management Practices Plan   |
| BPJ              | Best Professional Judgment   |
| BOD              | Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day @ 20 °C  |
| BPT              | Best Practicable Treatment Control Technology  |
| C                | Water Quality Objective  |
| CCR              | California Code of Regulations   |
| CEQA             | California Environmental Quality Act   |
| C.F.R.           | Code of Federal Regulations  |
| CTR              | California Toxics Rule   |
| CV               | Coefficient of Variation   |
| CWA              | Clean Water Act  |
| CWC              | Water Code   |
| Discharger       | The Port of Los Angeles  |
| DMR              | Discharge Monitoring Report  |
| DNQ              | Detected But Not Quantified  |
| ELAP             | State Water Resources Control Board, Drinking Water Division, Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program |
| ELG              | Effluent Limitations, Guidelines and Standards   |
| Facility         | New Dock Street Pump Station   |
| GPD              | gallons per day  |
| IC               | Inhibition Coefficient   |
| IC <sub>15</sub> | Concentration at which the organism is 15% inhibited   |
| IC <sub>25</sub> | Concentration at which the organism is 25% inhibited   |
| IC <sub>40</sub> | Concentration at which the organism is 40% inhibited   |
| IC <sub>50</sub> | Concentration at which the organism is 50% inhibited   |
| IWC              | In-stream Waste Concentration  |
| LA               | Load Allocations   |
| LOEC             | Lowest Observed Effect Concentration   |
| µg/L             | micrograms per Liter   |
| mg/L             | milligrams per Liter   |
| MDEL             | Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation  |
| MEC              | Maximum Effluent Concentration   |
| MGD              | Million Gallons Per Day  |
| ML               | Minimum Level  |
| MRP              | Monitoring and Reporting Program   |
| ND               | Not Detected   |
| NOEC             | No Observable Effect Concentration   |
| NPDES            | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System  |
| NSPS             | New Source Performance Standards   |
| NTR              | National Toxics Rule   |
| OAL              | Office of Administrative Law   |
| PMEL             | Proposed Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation   |
| PMP              | Pollutant Minimization Plan  |

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| POTW                  | Publicly Owned Treatment Works   |
| QA                    | Quality Assurance  |
| QA/QC                 | Quality Assurance/Quality Control  |
| Ocean Plan            | <i>Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California</i>   |
| Regional Water Board  | California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region  |
| RPA                   | Reasonable Potential Analysis  |
| SCP                   | Spill Contingency Plan   |
| Sediment Quality Plan | <i>Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries – Part 1 Sediment Quality</i>  |
| SIP                   | State Implementation Policy ( <i>Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California</i> ) |
| SMR                   | Self-Monitoring Reports  |
| SPCC                  | Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures Plan  |
| State Water Board     | California State Water Resources Control Board   |
| SWPPP                 | Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan  |
| TAC                   | Test Acceptability Criteria  |
| Thermal Plan          | <i>Water Quality Control Plan for Control of Temperature in the Coastal and Interstate Water and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California</i>             |
| TIE                   | Toxicity Identification Evaluation   |
| TMDL                  | Total Maximum Daily Load   |
| TOC                   | Total Organic Carbon   |
| TRE                   | Toxicity Reduction Evaluation  |
| TSD                   | Technical Support Document ( <i>Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control</i> (EPA/505/2-90-001,1991))                             |
| TSS                   | Total Suspended Solid  |
| TST                   | Test of Significant Toxicity   |
| TU <sub>c</sub>       | Chronic Toxicity Unit  |
| USEPA                 | United States Environmental Protection Agency  |
| WDR                   | Waste Discharge Requirements   |
| WET                   | Whole Effluent Toxicity  |
| WLA                   | Waste Load Allocations   |
| WQBELs                | Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations   |
| WQS                   | Water Quality Standards  |
| %                     | Percent  |

**ATTACHMENT B – MAPS**  
**MAP B-1: FACILITY LOCATION**



USGS MAP, Long Beach, CA




C:\Projects\POLA\New Dock Pump Station\2018 NPDES Permit App\Form 1 - Topographic Map.dwg



**NEW DOCK STREET PUMP STATION**  
**FORM 1 - TOPOGRAPHIC MAP**

|                |               |
|----------------|---------------|
| DATE           | February 2018 |
| SCALE          | 1" = 1,500'   |
| PROJECT NUMBER | T73479.22     |

**ATTACHMENT C – FLOW SCHEMATIC**



## ATTACHMENT D – STANDARD PROVISIONS

### I. STANDARD PROVISIONS – PERMIT COMPLIANCE

#### A. Duty to Comply

1. The Discharger must comply with all of the terms, requirements, and conditions of this Order. Any noncompliance constitutes a violation of the CWA and the Water Code and is grounds for enforcement action; permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; denial of a permit renewal application; or a combination thereof. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(a); Wat. Code, §§ 13261, 13263, 13265, 13268, 13000, 13001, 13304, 13350, 13385.)
2. The Discharger shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the CWA for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions, even if this Order has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(a)(1).)

#### B. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a Discharger in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(c).)

#### C. Duty to Mitigate

The Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this Order that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(d).)

#### D. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The Discharger shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the Discharger to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems that are installed by a Discharger only when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(e).)

#### E. Property Rights

1. This Order does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privileges. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(g).)
2. The issuance of this Order does not authorize any injury to persons or property or invasion of other private rights, or any infringement of state or local law or regulations. (40 C.F.R. § 122.5(c).)

#### F. Inspection and Entry

The Discharger shall allow the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, USEPA, and/or their authorized representatives (including an authorized contractor acting as their representative),

upon the presentation of credentials and other documents, as may be required by law, to (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(B); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383):

1. Enter upon the Discharger's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records are kept under the conditions of this Order (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(B)(i); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(1); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383);
2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this Order (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(B)(ii); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(2); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383);
3. Inspect and photograph, at reasonable times, any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this Order (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(B)(ii); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(3); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383); and
4. Sample or monitor, at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring Order compliance or as otherwise authorized by the CWA or the Water Code, any substances or parameters at any location. (33 U.S.C. § 1318(a)(4)(B); 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(i)(4); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383.)

#### **G. Bypass**

1. Definitions
  - a. "Bypass" means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(1)(i).)
  - b. "Severe property damage" means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities, which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources that can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(1)(ii).)
2. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The Discharger may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause exceedances of effluent limitations, but only if it is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions listed in Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.3, I.G.4, and I.G.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(2).)
3. Prohibition of bypass. Bypass is prohibited, and the Regional Water Board may take enforcement action against a Discharger for bypass, unless (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)):
  - a. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A));
  - b. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass that occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(B)); and
  - c. The Discharger submitted notice to the Regional Water Board as required under Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.5 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(i)(C).)

4. The Regional Water Board may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Regional Water Board determines that it will meet the three conditions listed in Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.G.3 above. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(4)(ii).)
5. Notice
  - a. Anticipated bypass. If the Discharger knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass. As of December 21, 2020, all notices must be submitted electronically to the initial recipient defined in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.J below. Notices shall comply with 40 C.F.R. part 3, 40 C.F.R. section 122.22, and 40 C.F.R. part 127. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(3)(i).)
  - b. Unanticipated bypass. The Discharger shall submit a notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Standard Provisions - Reporting V.E below (24-hour notice). As of December 21, 2020, all notices must be submitted electronically to the initial recipient defined in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.J below. Notices shall comply with 40 C.F.R. part 3, 40 C.F.R. section 122.22, and 40 C.F.R. part 127. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(m)(3)(ii).)

#### **H. Upset**

Upset means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Discharger. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(1).)

1. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.H.2 below are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(2).)
2. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A Discharger who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)):
  - a. An upset occurred and that the Discharger can identify the cause(s) of the upset (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(i));
  - b. The permitted facility was, at the time, being properly operated (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(ii));
  - c. The Discharger submitted notice of the upset as required in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.E.2.b below (24-hour notice) (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(iii)); and
  - d. The Discharger complied with any remedial measures required under Standard Provisions – Permit Compliance I.C above. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(3)(iv).)
3. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the Discharger seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(n)(4).)

## **II. STANDARD PROVISIONS – PERMIT ACTION**

### **A. General**

This Order may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the Discharger for modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any Order condition. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(f).)

### **B. Duty to Reapply**

If the Discharger wishes to continue an activity regulated by this Order after the expiration date of this Order, the Discharger must apply for and obtain a new permit. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(b).)

### **C. Transfers**

This Order is not transferable to any person except after notice to the Regional Water Board. The Regional Water Board may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the Order to change the name of the Discharger and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the CWA and the Water Code. (40 C.F.R. §§ 122.41(l)(3), 122.61.)

## **III. STANDARD PROVISIONS – MONITORING**

**A.** Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(1).)

**B.** Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136 for the analyses of pollutants unless another method is required under 40 C.F.R. chapter 1, subchapter N. Monitoring must be conducted according to sufficiently sensitive test methods approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136 for the analysis of pollutants or pollutant parameters or as required under 40 C.F.R. chapter 1, subchapter N. For the purposes of this paragraph, a method is sufficiently sensitive when:

1. The method minimum level (ML) is at or below the level of the most stringent effluent limitation established in the permit for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter, and either the method ML is at or below the level of the most stringent applicable water quality criterion for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter or the method ML is above the applicable water quality criterion but the amount of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in the facility's discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in the discharge; or
2. The method has the lowest ML of the analytical methods approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136 or required under 40 C.F.R. chapter 1, subchapter N for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter.

In the case of pollutants or pollutant parameters for which there are no approved methods under 40 C.F.R. part 136 or otherwise required under 40 C.F.R. chapter 1, subchapter N, monitoring must be conducted according to a test procedure specified in this Order for such pollutants or pollutant parameters. (40 C.F.R. §§ 122.21(e)(3), 122.41(j)(4), 122.44(i)(1)(iv).)

## **IV. STANDARD PROVISIONS – RECORDS**

**A.** The Discharger shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this Order, and records of all data used to complete the application for this Order, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Regional Water Board Executive Officer at any time. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(2).)

**B. Records of monitoring information shall include:**

1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(i));
2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(ii));
3. The date(s) analyses were performed (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(iii));
4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(iv));
5. The analytical techniques or methods used (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(v)); and
6. The results of such analyses. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(j)(3)(vi).)

**C. Claims of confidentiality for the following information will be denied (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)):**

1. The name and address of any permit applicant or Discharger (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)(1)); and
2. Permit applications and attachments, permits and effluent data. (40 C.F.R. § 122.7(b)(2).)

**V. STANDARD PROVISIONS – REPORTING**

**A. Duty to Provide Information**

The Discharger shall furnish to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA within a reasonable time, any information which the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this Order or to determine compliance with this Order. Upon request, the Discharger shall also furnish to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA copies of records required to be kept by this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(h); Wat. Code, §§ 13267, 13383.)

**B. Signatory and Certification Requirements**

1. All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, and/or USEPA shall be signed and certified in accordance with Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2, V.B.3, V.B.4, V.B.5, and V.B.6 below. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(k).)
2. All permit applications shall be signed by a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this section, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) A president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(a)(1).)
3. All reports required by this Order and other information requested by the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA shall be signed by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above, or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:

- a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(1));
  - b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.) (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(2)); and
  - c. The written authorization is submitted to the Regional Water Board and State Water Board. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(3).)
4. All permit applications shall be signed by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(a)(2).)
5. All permit applications shall be signed by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this provision, a principal executive officer of a federal agency includes: (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrators of USEPA). (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(a)(3).)
6. All reports required by this Order and other information requested by the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA shall be signed by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above, or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
  - a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 above (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(1));
  - b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.) (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(2)); and
  - c. The written authorization is submitted to the Regional Water Board and State Water Board. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(b)(3).)
7. If an authorization under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.3 above is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.3 above must be submitted to the Regional Water Board and State Water Board prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications, to be signed by an authorized representative. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(c).)
8. Any person signing a document under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B.2 or V.B.3 above shall make the following certification:

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and

belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.” (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(d).)

9. Any person providing the electronic signature for documents described in Standard Provisions – V.B.1, V.B.2, or V.B.3 that are submitted electronically shall meet all relevant requirements of Standard Provisions – Reporting V.B, and shall ensure that all relevant requirements of 40 C.F.R. part 3 (Cross-Media Electronic Reporting) and 40 C.F.R. part 127 (NPDES Electronic Reporting Requirements) are met for that submission. (40 C.F.R. § 122.22(e).)

### **C. Monitoring Reports**

1. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment E) in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4).)
2. Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form or forms provided or specified by the Regional Water Board or State Water Board. As of December 21, 2016, all reports and forms must be submitted electronically to the initial recipient defined in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.J and comply with 40 C.F.R. part 3, 40 C.F.R. section 122.22, and 40 C.F.R. part 127. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(i).)
3. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order using test procedures approved under 40 C.F.R. part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 C.F.R. chapter 1, subchapter N, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR or reporting form specified by the Regional Water Board or State Water Board. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(ii).)
4. Calculations for all limitations, which require averaging of measurements, shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(4)(iii).)

### **D. Compliance Schedules**

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this Order, shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(5).)

### **E. Twenty-Four Hour Reporting**

1. The Discharger shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the Discharger becomes aware of the circumstances. A report shall also be provided within five (5) days of the time the Discharger becomes aware of the circumstances. The report shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

For noncompliance events related to combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or bypass events, these reports must include the data described above (with the exception of time of discovery) as well as the type of event (i.e., combined sewer overflow, sanitary sewer overflow, or bypass event), type of overflow structure (e.g., manhole, combined sewer overflow outfall), discharge volume untreated by the treatment works treating domestic sewage, types of human health and environmental impacts of the event, and whether the noncompliance was related to wet weather.

2. As of December 21, 2020, all reports related to combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or bypass events must be submitted to the Regional Water Board and must be submitted electronically to the initial recipient defined in Standard Provisions – Reporting V.J. The reports shall comply with 40 C.F.R. part 3, 40 C.F.R. section 122.22, and 40 C.F.R. part 127. The Regional Water Board may also require the Discharger to electronically submit reports not related to combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or bypass events under this section. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(i).)
3. The following shall be included as information that must be reported within 24 hours:
  - a. Any unanticipated bypass that exceeds any effluent limitation in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(A).)
  - b. Any upset that exceeds any effluent limitation in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(B).)
4. The Regional Water Board may waive the above required written report on a case-by-case basis if an oral report has been received within 24 hours. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(6)(ii)(B).)

#### **F. Planned Changes**

The Discharger shall give notice to the Regional Water Board as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required under this provision only when (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)):

1. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in section 122.29(b) (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)(i)); or
2. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants that are not subject to effluent limitations in this Order. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)(ii).)

The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants that are subject neither to effluent limitations in this Order nor to notification requirements under section 122.42(a)(1) (see Additional Provisions—Notification Levels VII.A.1). (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(1)(ii).)

#### **G. Anticipated Noncompliance**

The Discharger shall give advance notice to the Regional Water Board of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity that may result in noncompliance with this Order's requirements. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(2).)

#### **H. Other Noncompliance**

The Discharger shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Standard Provisions – Reporting V.C, V.D, and V.E above at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in Standard Provision – Reporting V.E above. For noncompliance events related to combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or bypass events, these reports shall contain the information described in Standard Provision – Reporting V.E and the applicable required data in appendix A to 40 C.F.R. part 127. The Regional Water Board may also require the Discharger to electronically submit reports not related to combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or bypass events under this section. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(7).)

**I. Other Information**

When the Discharger becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Regional Water Board, State Water Board, or USEPA, the Discharger shall promptly submit such facts or information. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(8).)

**J. Initial Recipient for Electronic Reporting Data**

The owner, operator, or the duly authorized representative is required to electronically submit NPDES information specified in appendix A to 40 C.F.R. part 127 to the initial recipient defined in 40 C.F.R. section 127.2(b). USEPA will identify and publish the list of initial recipients on its website and in the Federal Register, by state and by NPDES data group [see 40 C.F.R. section 127.2(c)]. USEPA will update and maintain this listing. (40 C.F.R. § 122.41(l)(9).)

**VI. STANDARD PROVISIONS – ENFORCEMENT**

- A.** The Regional Water Board is authorized to enforce the terms of this permit under several provisions of the Water Code, including, but not limited to, sections 13268, 13385, 13386, and 13387.
- B.** The CWA provides that any person who violates section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$25,000 per day for each violation. The CWA provides that any person who negligently violates sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under section 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to criminal penalties of \$2,500 to \$25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one (1) year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than two (2) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates such sections, or such conditions or limitations is subject to criminal penalties of \$5,000 to \$50,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than three (3) years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than six (6) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates section 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and can be fined up to \$2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions [section 122.41(a)(2)] [Water Code sections 13385 and 13387].
- C.** Any person may be assessed an administrative penalty by the Regional Water Board for violating section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of this Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of this Act. Administrative penalties for Class I violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per violation, with the

maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed \$25,000. Penalties for Class II violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed \$125,000 [40 C.F.R. section 122.41(a)(3)].

- D. The CWA provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this Order shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than 2 years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than 4 years, or both [40 C.F.R. section 122.41(j)(5)].
- E. The CWA provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this Order, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or noncompliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six months per violation, or by both [40 C.F.R. section 122.41(k)(2)]

## VII. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS – NOTIFICATION LEVELS

### A. Non-Municipal Facilities

Existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural Dischargers shall notify the Regional Water Board as soon as they know or have reason to believe (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)):

1. That any activity has occurred or will occur that would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant that is not limited in this Order, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels" (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(1)):
  - a. 100 micrograms per liter ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(1)(i));
  - b. 200  $\mu\text{g/L}$  for acrolein and acrylonitrile; 500  $\mu\text{g/L}$  for 2,4-dinitrophenol and 2-methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol; and 1 milligram per liter ( $\text{mg/L}$ ) for antimony (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(1)(ii));
  - c. Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the Report of Waste Discharge (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(1)(iii)); or
  - d. The level established by the Regional Water Board in accordance with section 122.44(f). (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(1)(iv).)
2. That any activity has occurred or will occur that would result in the discharge, on a non-routine or infrequent basis, of any toxic pollutant that is not limited in this Order, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels" (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)):
  - a. 500 micrograms per liter ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)(i));
  - b. 1 milligram per liter ( $\text{mg/L}$ ) for antimony (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)(ii));
  - c. Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the Report of Waste Discharge (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)(iii)); or
  - d. The level established by the Regional Water Board in accordance with section 122.44(f). (40 C.F.R. § 122.42(a)(2)(iv).)

**ATTACHMENT E – MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (MRP NO. 6108)**

**CONTENTS**

|       |  |      |
|-------|--|------|
| I.    | General Monitoring Provisions .....  | E-2  |
| II.   | Monitoring Locations .....   | E-5  |
| III.  | Influent Monitoring Requirements—NOT APPLICABLE .....  | E-5  |
| IV.   | Effluent Monitoring Requirements .....   | E-6  |
|       | A. Monitoring Location EFF-001 .....   | E-6  |
| V.    | Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements .....   | E-8  |
|       | A. Chronic Toxicity .....  | E-8  |
|       | B. Preparation of an Initial Investigation Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Workplan..... | E-11 |
|       | C. Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Process .....   | E-11 |
|       | D. Reporting .....   | E-12 |
| VI.   | Land Discharge Monitoring Requirements—NOT APPLICABLE .....                                  | E-12 |
| VII.  | Recycling Monitoring Requirements—NOT APPLICABLE .....                                       | E-12 |
| VIII. | Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements .....  | E-12 |
|       | A. Surface Water Monitoring (Monitoring Location RSW-001) .....                              | E-12 |
|       | B. Surface Water Monitoring (Monitoring Location RSW-002) .....                              | E-14 |
| IX.   | Other Monitoring Requirements .....  | E-14 |
|       | A. Rainfall Monitoring .....   | E-14 |
|       | B. Visual Monitoring .....   | E-14 |
|       | C. Harbor Toxics TMDL Water Column, Sediment and Fish Tissue Monitoring .....                | E-15 |
| X.    | Reporting Requirements .....   | E-15 |
|       | A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements .....                                       | E-15 |
|       | B. Self-Monitoring Reports (SMRs) .....  | E-15 |
|       | C. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs).....  | E-17 |
|       | D. Other Reports .....   | E-18 |

**TABLES**

|            |  |      |
|------------|--|------|
| Table E-1. | Monitoring Station Locations .....   | E-5  |
| Table E-2. | Effluent Monitoring.....   | E-6  |
| Table E-3. | Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements at Monitoring Location RSW-001 ..... | E-12 |
| Table E-4. | Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements at Monitoring Location RSW-002.....  | E-14 |
| Table E-5. | Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule.....                               | E-16 |

## ATTACHMENT E – MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (MRP NO. 7856)

Section 308 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and sections 122.41(h), (j)-(l), 122.44(i), and 122.48 of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 C.F.R.) require that all NPDES permits specify monitoring and reporting requirements. Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 also authorize the Regional Water Board to establish monitoring, inspection, entry, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements. This MRP establishes monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements that implement the federal and California laws and/or regulations.

### I. GENERAL MONITORING PROVISIONS

- A. An effluent sampling station shall be established for the point of discharge (Discharge Point 001 (Latitude 33.765095° N, Longitude -118.239789° W) and shall be located where representative samples of that effluent can be obtained.
- B. Effluent samples shall be taken downstream of any treatment works and prior to mixing with the receiving waters.
- C. The Regional Water Board shall be notified in writing of any change in the sampling stations once established or in the methods for determining the quantities of pollutants in the individual waste streams.
- D. Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. sections 136.3, 136.4, and 136.5 (revised July 1, 2017); or, where no methods are specified for a given pollutant, by methods approved by this Regional Water Board or the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board).
- E. Laboratory Certification. Laboratories analyzing monitoring samples shall be certified by the State Water Board, Division of Drinking Water, Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP), in accordance with the provision of Water Code section 13176, and must include quality assurance/quality control data with their reports. A copy of the laboratory certification shall be provided each time a new certification and/or renewal of the certification is obtained from ELAP.
- F. For any analysis performed for which no procedure is specified in the USEPA guidelines or in the MRP, the constituent or parameter analyzed and the method or procedure used must be specified in the monitoring report.
- G. Each monitoring report must affirm in writing that “*all analyses were conducted at a laboratory certified for such analyses by the State Water Board, Division of Drinking Water, Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or approved by the Executive Officer and in accordance with current U.S. EPA guideline procedures or as specified in this MRP*”.
- H. The monitoring reports shall specify the analytical method used, the Method Detection Limit (MDL), and the Minimum Level (ML) for each pollutant. For the purpose of reporting compliance with numerical limitations, performance goals, and receiving water limitations, analytical data shall be reported by one of the following methods, as appropriate:
  - 1. An actual numerical value for sample results greater than or equal to the ML; or
  - 2. “Detected, but Not Quantified (DNQ)” if results are greater than or equal to the laboratory’s MDL but less than the ML; or,
  - 3. “Not-Detected (ND)” for sample results less than the laboratory’s MDL with the MDL indicated for the analytical method used.

Analytical data reported as “less than” for the purpose of reporting compliance with permit limitations shall be the same or lower than the permit limit(s) established for the given parameter.

Current MLs (Attachment H) are those published by the State Water Board in the *Policy for the Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California*, February 24, 2005.

- I. The MLs employed for effluent analyses to determine compliance with effluent limitations shall be lower than the effluent limitations established in this Order for a given parameter as per the 40 C.F.R. parts 122 and 136; *Use of Sufficiently Sensitive Test Methods for Permit Applications and Reporting*. If the ML value is not below the effluent limitation, then the lowest ML value and its associated analytical method shall be selected for compliance purposes. At least once a year, the Discharger shall submit a list of the analytical methods employed for each test and associated laboratory QA/QC procedures.
- J. The MLs employed for effluent analyses not associated with determining compliance with effluent limitations in this Order shall be lower than the lowest applicable water quality objective, for a given parameter as per the 40 C.F.R. parts 122 and 136; *Use of Sufficiently Sensitive Test Methods for Permit Applications and Reporting*. Water quality objectives for parameters may be found in Chapter 3 of the Basin Plan and the CTR (40 C.F.R. section 131.38). If the ML value is not below the water quality objective, then the lowest ML value and its associated analytical method shall be selected for compliance purposes. At least once a year, the Discharger shall submit a list of the analytical methods employed for each test, the associated laboratory QA/QC procedures, reporting levels (RLs), and MDLs.

The Regional Water Board, in consultation with the State Water Board Quality Assurance Program, shall establish a ML that is not contained in Attachment H to be included in the Discharger’s permit in any of the following situations:

1. When the pollutant under consideration is not included in Attachment H;
  2. When the Discharger and Regional Water Board agree to include in the permit a test method that is more sensitive than that specified in part 136 (revised August 28, 2017);
  3. When the Discharger agrees to use an ML that is lower than that listed in Attachment H;
  4. When the Discharger demonstrates that the calibration standard matrix is sufficiently different from that used to establish the ML in Attachment H, and proposes an appropriate ML for their matrix; or,
  5. When the Discharger uses a method whose quantification practices are not consistent with the definition of an ML. Examples of such methods are the USEPA-approved method 1613 for dioxins and furans, method 1624 for volatile organic substances, and method 1625 for semi-volatile organic substances. In such cases, the Discharger, the Regional Water Board, and the State Water Board shall agree on a lowest quantifiable limit and that limit will substitute for the ML for reporting and compliance determination purposes.
- K. Water/wastewater samples must be analyzed within allowable holding time limits as specified in 40 C.F.R. section 136.3. All QA/QC items must be run on the same dates the samples were actually analyzed, and the results shall be reported in the Regional Water Board format, when it becomes available, and submitted with the laboratory reports. Proper chain of custody procedures must be followed, and a copy of the chain of custody shall be submitted with the report.
  - L. Field analyses with short sample holding time such as pH, total chlorine residual, and temperature, may be performed using properly calibrated and maintained portable instruments

by trained personnel acting on the Discharger's behalf, using methods in accordance with 40 C.F.R. part 136. All field instruments must be calibrated per manufacturer's instructions. A manual containing the standard operating procedures for all field analyses, including records of personnel proficiency, training, instruments calibration and maintenance, and quality control procedures shall be maintained onsite, and shall be available for inspection by Regional Water Board staff. Information including instrument calibration, time of sample collection, time of analysis, name of analyst, quality assurance/quality control data, and measurement values shall be clearly documented during each field analysis and submitted to the Regional Water Board as part of the corresponding regular monitoring report.

- M.** All analyses shall be accompanied by the chain of custody, including but not limited to date and time of sampling, sample identification, and name of person who performed sampling, date of analysis, name of person who performed analysis, QA/QC data, method detection limits, analytical methods, copy of laboratory certification, and a perjury statement executed by the person responsible for the laboratory.
- N.** The Discharger shall calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring instruments to insure accuracy of measurements, or shall insure that both equipment activities will be conducted.
- O.** The Discharger shall ensure that the results of the Discharge Monitoring Report-Quality Assurance (DMR-QA) Study or the most recent Water Pollution Performance Evaluation Study and submitted annually to the State Water Board at the following address:
  - State Water Resources Control Board
  - Quality Assurance Program Officer
  - Office of Information Management and Analysis
  - 1001 I Street, Sacramento CA 95814
- P.** For parameters that both average monthly and daily maximum limits are specified and the monitoring frequency is less than four times a month, the following shall apply. If an analytical result is greater than the average monthly limit, the Discharger shall collect four additional samples at approximately equal intervals during the month, until compliance with the average monthly limit has been demonstrated. All five analytical results shall be reported in the monitoring report for that month, or 45 days after results for the additional samples were received, whichever is later. In the event of noncompliance with an average monthly effluent limitation, the sampling frequency for that constituent shall be increased to weekly and shall continue at this level until compliance with the average monthly effluent limitation has been demonstrated. The Discharger shall provide for the approval of the Executive Officer a program to ensure future compliance with the average monthly limit.
- Q.** In the event wastes are transported to a different disposal site during the reporting period, the following shall be reported in the monitoring report:
  - 1. Types of wastes and quantity of each type;
  - 2. Name and address for each hauler of wastes (or method of transport if other than by hauling); and
  - 3. Location of the final point(s) of disposal for each type of waste.If no wastes are transported off-site during the reporting period, a statement to that effect shall be submitted.
- S.** Each monitoring report shall state whether or not there was any change in the discharge as described in the Order during the reporting period.

**II. MONITORING LOCATIONS**

The Discharger shall establish the following monitoring locations to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitations, discharge specifications, and other requirements in this Order (latitude and longitude information in Table E-1 is approximate for administrative purposes):

**Table E-1. Monitoring Station Locations**

| Discharge Point Name | Monitoring Location Name | Monitoring Location Description  |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 001                  | EFF-001                  | At the discharge pipe outfall located where representative samples of the treated effluent can be obtained.<br>(Latitude 33.765095° N, Longitude -118.239789° W)                               |
| --                   | RSW-001                  | A sampling station shall be established at a location outside the influence of the effluent discharge location, and at least 50 feet upstream, relative to tidal flow in the Cerritos Channel. |
| --                   | RSW-002                  | A sampling station shall be established at a location 50 feet downstream from the effluent discharge location, relative to tidal flow in the Cerritos Channel.                                 |

The North latitude and West longitude information in Table E-1 are approximate for administrative purposes.

**III. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS—NOT APPLICABLE**

#### IV. EFFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

##### A. Monitoring Location EFF-001

**Table E-2. Effluent Monitoring**

| Parameter  | Units                           | Sample Type                    | Minimum Sampling Frequency <sup>1</sup> | Required Analytical Test Method  |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Flow   | MGD <sup>2</sup>                | Recorder <sup>3</sup>          | Continuous                              | --                               |
| <b>Conventional Pollutants</b>   |                                 |                                |   |                                  |
| pH   | s.u.                            | Grab                           | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-day @ 20 deg. C) (BOD) <sup>6</sup>                               | mg/L                            | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Oil and Grease <sup>6</sup>  | mg/L                            | Grab                           | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS) <sup>6</sup>  | mg/L                            | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| <b>Non-conventional Pollutants</b>   |                                 |                                |   |                                  |
| Chronic Toxicity   | Pass or Fail and % Effect (TST) | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Quarter <sup>11</sup>                 | 5,7                              |
| Ammonia, Total (as N) <sup>6</sup>   | mg/L                            | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Total Coliform   | MPN/100 ml                      | Grab                           | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Fecal Coliform   | MPN/100 ml                      | Grab                           | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| <i>Enterococcus</i>  | MPN/100 ml                      | Grab                           | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Methyl Tert-butyl Ether (MTBE) <sup>6</sup>  | µg/L                            | Grab                           | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Chlorinated Phenols <sup>6,8</sup>   | µg/L                            | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Settleable Solids  | mg/L                            | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Sulfides <sup>6</sup>  | mg/L                            | Grab                           | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Temperature  | °F                              | Grab                           | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Gasoline (C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>12</sub> ) <sup>6</sup> | µg/L                            | Grab                           | 2/Year                                  | EPA Method 503.1 or 8015B        |
| TPH as Diesel (C <sub>13</sub> -C <sub>22</sub> ) <sup>6</sup>                                 | µg/L                            | Grab                           | 2/Year                                  | EPA Method 503.1, 8015B, or 8270 |
| TPH as Waste Oil (C <sub>23+</sub> ) <sup>6</sup>  | µg/L                            | Grab                           | 2/Year                                  | EPA Method 503.1, 8015B, or 8270 |
| Turbidity  | NTU                             | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Quarter                               | 5                                |
| Xylenes  | µg/L                            | Grab                           | 2/Year                                  | 5                                |
| <b>Priority Pollutants</b>   |                                 |                                |   |                                  |
| Copper, Total Recoverable <sup>6</sup>   | µg/L                            | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Month                                 | 5                                |
| Lead, Total Recoverable <sup>6</sup>   | µg/L                            | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Month                                 | 5                                |
| Mercury, Total Recoverable <sup>6</sup>  | µg/L                            | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Month                                 | 5                                |

| Parameter                                   | Units | Sample Type                    | Minimum Sampling Frequency <sup>1</sup> | Required Analytical Test Method |
|---|-------|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Nickel, Total Recoverable <sup>6</sup>      | µg/L  | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Month                                 | 5                               |
| Zinc, Total Recoverable <sup>6</sup>        | µg/L  | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Month                                 | 5                               |
| 4',4-DDT <sup>6</sup>                       | µg/L  | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Month                                 | 5                               |
| PCBs <sup>9</sup>                           | µg/L  | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Quarter                               | 5                               |
| Remaining Priority Pollutants <sup>10</sup> | µg/L  | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Year                                  | 5                               |
| TCDD Equivalents <sup>12</sup>              | µg/L  | Grab or Composite <sup>4</sup> | 1/Permit Term                           | 5                               |

<sup>1</sup> During periods of extended or frequent discharge, no more than one sample per week is required. Sampling shall be performed during the first hour of a discharge. If, for safety reasons, a sample cannot be obtained during the first hour of discharge, a sample shall be obtained at the first safe opportunity, and the reason for the delay shall be included in the report.

If there is no discharge to surface waters, then no monitoring is required. In the corresponding monitoring report, the Discharger will indicate that no discharge to surface water occurred during the reporting period.

<sup>2</sup> MGD= million gallons per day.

<sup>3</sup> Flow shall be reported in MGD, based on records of operating time of pumps.

<sup>4</sup> Per 40 C.F.R. section 122.21(g)(7)(ii), and for these parameters, the Discharger has the options to either:

- a) collect a grab sample within the first hour of discharge. If, for safety reasons, a sample cannot be obtained during the first hour of discharge, a sample shall be obtained at the first safe opportunity, and the reason for the delay shall be included in the corresponding quarterly report; or
- b) collect a flow-weighted composite sample for the entire duration of the discharge or for the first three hours of the discharge. The flow-weight composite sample may be taken with a continuous sampler or as a combination of a minimum of three sample aliquots taken in each hour of the discharge for the entire discharge or for the first three hours of the discharge, with each aliquot being separated by a minimum period of fifteen minutes.

However, grab samples **must** be collected for the analyses of the following parameters: pH, temperature, oil and grease, bacteria (total coliform, fecal coliform, and *enterococcus*), and volatile and semi-volatile organics.

<sup>5</sup> Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136; for priority pollutants, the methods must meet the lowest MLs specified in Appendix 4 of the SIP, provided as Attachment H in this Order. Where no methods are specified for a given pollutant, the methods must be approved by the Regional Water Board or the State Water Board. If more than one analytical test method is listed for a given parameter, the Discharger must select a sufficiently sensitive method from the listed methods and corresponding ML necessary to demonstrate compliance with applicable effluent limitations.

<sup>6</sup> The mass emission (lbs/day) for the discharge shall be calculated and reported using the measured concentration and the actual flow rate measured at the time of discharge, using the formula.

$$M = 8.34 \times C_e \times Q$$

where: M = mass discharge for a pollutant, lbs/day  
C<sub>e</sub> = measured concentration for a pollutant, mg/L  
Q = actual discharge flow rate, MGD

<sup>7</sup> Refer to section V of this Fact Sheet: Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements.

<sup>8</sup> Chlorinated phenols shall mean the sum of 2-chlorophenol, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 4-chloro-3-methylphenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol and pentachlorophenol.

<sup>9</sup> Monitoring for PCBs as aroclors using USEPA Method 608 is required. PCBs as aroclors shall mean the sum of chlorinated biphenyls whose analytical characteristics resemble those of Aroclor-1016, Aroclor-1221, Aroclor 1232, Aroclor-1242, Aroclor-1248, Aroclor-1254, and Aroclor-1260. Monitoring for PCBs as congeners using USEPA Method 1668c is also requested. PCBs as congeners should be analyzed using USEPA Method 1668c for three years and an alternate method may be used if none of the PCB congeners are detected for three years. PCB congeners whose analytical characteristics resemble those of PCB-8, 18, 28, 37, 44, 49, 52, 66, 70, 74, 77, 81, 87, 99, 101, 105, 110, 114, 118, 119, 123, 126, 128, 138, 149, 151, 153, 156, 157, 158, 167, 168, 169, 170, 177, 180, 183, 187, 189, 194,

195, 201, 206 and 209 should be reported as a sum and individually quantified (or quantified as mixtures of isomers of a single congener in co-elutions as appropriate).

<sup>10</sup>Priority Pollutants as defined by the CTR defined in Finding II.I of the Limitations and Discharge Requirements of this Order, and included as Attachment I.

<sup>11</sup>Chronic toxicity monitoring shall occur one month per quarter with up to three samples collected during that month to demonstrate compliance with the MMEL.

<sup>12</sup>TCDD equivalents shall be calculated using the following formula, where the Minimum Levels (ML), and toxicity equivalency factors (TEFs) are as listed in the Table below. The Discharger shall report all measured values of individual congeners, including data qualifiers. When calculating TCDD equivalents, the Discharger shall set congener concentrations below the minimum levels to zero. USEPA method 1613 may be used to analyze dioxin and furan congeners.

$$\text{Dioxin-TEQ (TCDD equivalents)} = \sum(C_x \times \text{TEF}_x)$$

where:  $C_x$  = concentration of dioxin or furan congener x

$\text{TEF}_x$  = TEF for congener x

**Toxicity Equivalency Factors**

| <b>Congeners</b>           | <b>Minimum Level (pg/L)</b> | <b>Toxicity Equivalence Factor (TEF)</b> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 2,3,7,8 - tetra CDD        | 10                          | 1.0                                      |
| 1,2,3,7,8 - penta CDD      | 50                          | 1.0                                      |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8 - hexa CDD     | 50                          | 0.1                                      |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8 - hexa CDD     | 50                          | 0.1                                      |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9 - hexa CDD     | 50                          | 0.1                                      |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8 - hepta CDD  | 50                          | 0.01                                     |
| Octa CDD                   | 100                         | 0.0001                                   |
| 2,3,7,8 - tetra CDF        | 10                          | 0.1                                      |
| 1,2,3,7,8 - penta CDF      | 50                          | 0.05                                     |
| 2,3,4,7,8 - penta CDF      | 50                          | 0.5                                      |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8 - hexa CDF     | 50                          | 0.1                                      |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8 - hexa CDF     | 50                          | 0.1                                      |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9 - hexa CDF     | 50                          | 0.1                                      |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8 - hexa CDF     | 50                          | 0.1                                      |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8 - hepta CDFs | 50                          | 0.01                                     |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9 - hepta CDFs | 50                          | 0.01                                     |
| Octa CDF                   | 100                         | 0.0001                                   |

## V. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING REQUIREMENTS

### A. Chronic Toxicity

1. Discharge In-stream Waste Concentration (IWC) for Chronic Toxicity

The chronic toxicity IWC for this discharge at Discharge Point 001 is 100 percent effluent.

2. Sample Volume and Holding Time

The total sample volume shall be determined by the specific toxicity test method used. Sufficient sample volume shall be collected to perform the required toxicity test. Sufficient sample volume shall also be collected for subsequent TIE studies, if necessary, at each sampling event. All toxicity tests shall be conducted as soon as possible following sample collection. No more than 36 hours shall elapse before the conclusion of sample collection and test initiation.

3. Chronic Marine and Estuarine Species and Test Methods

If effluent samples are collected from outfalls discharging to receiving waters with salinity >1 ppt, the Discharger shall conduct the following chronic toxicity tests on effluent samples, at the in-stream waste concentration for the discharge, in accordance with species and test methods in *Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to West Coast Marine and Estuarine Organisms* (EPA/600/R-95/136,

1995). Artificial sea salts or hypersaline brine shall be used to increase sample salinity if needed. In no case shall these species be substituted with another test species unless written authorization from the Executive Officer is received.

- a. A static renewal toxicity test with the topsmelt, *Atherinops affinis* (Larval Survival and Growth Test Method 1006.0). If the salinity of the receiving water is between 1 to 32 parts per thousand (ppt), the Discharger may have the option of using the inland silverside, *Menidia beryllina* (Acute Toxicity Test Method 2006.0), instead of the topsmelt. The method for topsmelt (Larval Survival and Growth Test Method 1006.0) is found in USEPA's *Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluent and Receiving Waters to West Coast Marine and Estuarine Organisms, First Edition, August 1995* (EPA/600/R-95/136).
  - b. A static non-renewal toxicity test with the purple sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, or the sand dollar, *Dendraster excentricus* (Fertilization Test Method 1008.0), or a static non-renewal toxicity test with the red abalone, *Haliotis rufescens* (Larval Shell Development Test Method).
  - c. A static non-renewal toxicity test with the giant kelp, *Macrocystis pyrifera* (Germination and Growth Test Method 1009.0).
4. Species Sensitivity Screening

Species sensitivity screening shall be conducted during this permit's first required sample collection. However, if species sensitivity screening was recently conducted prior to the effective date of this Order, then rescreening shall be conducted 24 months after the most recent screening. The Discharger shall collect a single effluent sample to initiate and concurrently conduct three toxicity tests using the fish, an invertebrate, and the alga species previously referenced. This sample shall also be analyzed for the parameters required on a monthly frequency for the discharge, during that given month. As allowed under the test method for the *Atherinops affinis*, a second and third sample may be collected for use as test solution renewal water as the seven-day toxicity test progresses. If the result of all three species is "Pass", then the species that exhibits the highest "Percent Effect" at the discharge IWC during species sensitivity screening shall be used for routine monitoring during the permit cycle. If only one species fails, then that species shall be used for routine monitoring during the permit cycle. Likewise, if two or more species result in "Fail", then the species that exhibits the highest "Percent Effect" at the discharge IWC during the suite of species sensitivity screening shall be used for routine monitoring during the permit cycle, until such time as a rescreening is required.

Species sensitivity rescreening is required every 24 months if there has been discharge during dry weather conditions. If the discharge is intermittent and occurs only during wet weather, rescreening is required every five years. If rescreening is necessary, the Discharger shall rescreen with the marine vertebrate species, a marine invertebrate species, and the alga species previously referenced, and continue to monitor with the most sensitive species. If the first suite of rescreening tests demonstrates that the same species is the most sensitive then the rescreening does not need to include more than one suite of tests. If a different species is the most sensitive or if there is ambiguity, then the Discharger may proceed with suites of screening tests for a minimum of three, but not to exceed five suites.

During the calendar month, toxicity tests used to determine the most sensitive test species shall be reported as effluent compliance monitoring results for the chronic toxicity MDEL.

5. Quality Assurance and Additional Requirements

Quality assurance measures, instructions, and other recommendations and requirements are found in the test methods manual previously referenced. Additional requirements are specified below.

- a. The discharge is subject to a determination of “Pass” or “Fail” and “Percent Effect” from a single-effluent concentration chronic toxicity test at the discharge IWC using the Test of Significant Toxicity (TST) statistical approach described in *National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Test of Significant Toxicity/Implementation Document, Appendix A, Figure A-1, and Table A-1* (EPA 833-R-10-003, 2010). The null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) for the TST statistical approach is: Mean discharge IWC response  $\leq$  (0.75 x Mean control response). A test result that does not reject this null hypothesis is reported as “Fail”. The relative “Percent Effect” at the discharge IWC is defined and reported as:  $((\text{Mean control response} - \text{Mean discharge IWC response}) \div \text{Mean control response}) \times 100\%$ .
  - b. If the effluent toxicity test does not meet all test acceptability criteria (TAC) specified in the referenced test method, then the Discharger must re-sample and re-test at the subsequent discharge event.
  - c. Dilution water and control water, including brine controls, shall be 1- $\mu\text{m}$ -filtered uncontaminated natural seawater, hypersaline brine prepared using uncontaminated natural seawater, or laboratory water prepared and used as specified in the test methods manual. If dilution water and control water is different from test organism culture water, then a second control using culture water shall also be used.
  - d. Reference toxicant tests and effluent toxicity tests shall be conducted using the same test conditions (e.g., same test duration, etc.). Monthly reference toxicant testing is sufficient.
  - e. All reference toxicant test results should be reviewed and reported according to EPA guidance on the evaluation of concentration-response relationships found in *Method Guidance and Recommendations for Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing* (40 C.F.R. part 136) (EPA 821-B-00-004, 2000).
  - f. The Discharger shall perform toxicity tests on final effluent samples. Chlorine and ammonia shall not be removed from the effluent sample prior to toxicity testing, unless explicitly authorized under this section of the Monitoring and Reporting Program and the rationale is explained in the Fact Sheet (Attachment F).
6. Accelerated Monitoring Schedule for Maximum Daily Single Result: “Fail” and Percent Effect  $\geq$  50

The Maximum Daily single result shall be used to determine if accelerated testing needs to be conducted.

Once the Discharger becomes aware of this result, the Discharger shall implement an accelerated monitoring schedule within five calendar days of the receipt of the result. However, if the sample is contracted out to a commercial laboratory, the Discharger shall ensure that the first of five accelerated monitoring tests is initiated within seven calendar days of the Discharger becoming aware of the result. The accelerated monitoring schedule shall consist of a five concentration dilution series which includes the control with five dilutions, one of which must be the IWC, conducted at approximately two week intervals, over an eight week period; in preparation for the TRE process and associated reporting. If each of the accelerated toxicity tests results in “Pass,” the Discharger shall return to routine monitoring for the next monitoring period. If one of the accelerated toxicity tests results in

“Fail,” the Discharger shall immediately implement the TRE Process conditions set forth below. During accelerated monitoring schedules, only TST results (“Pass” or “Fail”) for chronic toxicity tests shall be reported as effluent compliance monitoring results for the chronic toxicity MDEL.

#### **B. Preparation of an Initial Investigation Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Workplan**

The Discharger shall prepare and submit a copy of the Discharger’s initial investigation TRE work plan to the Executive Officer of the Regional Water Board for approval within 90 days of the effective date of this permit. If the Executive Officer does not disapprove the work plan within 60 days, the work plan shall become effective. The Discharger shall use the *Generalized Methodology for Conducting Industrial Toxicity Reduction Evaluations* (EPA/600/2-88/070, 1989), or the most current version, as guidance. This work plan shall describe the steps that the Discharger intends to follow if toxicity is detected. At a minimum, the TRE Work Plan must describe the steps that the Discharger intends to follow if toxicity is detected. At a minimum the work plan shall include:

1. A description of the investigation and evaluation techniques that will be used to identify potential causes and sources of toxicity, effluent variability, and treatment system efficiency.
2. A description of the Facility’s methods of maximizing in-house treatment efficiency and good housekeeping practices, and a list of all chemicals used in the operation of the Facility; and,
3. If a TIE is necessary, an indication of the person who would conduct the TIEs (i.e., an in-house expert or an outside contractor).

#### **C. Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Process**

1. **Preparation and Implementation of Detailed TRE Work Plan.** The Discharger shall immediately initiate a TRE and, within 15 days, submit to the Executive Officer a Detailed TRE Work Plan, which shall follow the generic Initial Investigation TRE Work Plan revised as appropriate for this toxicity event. It shall include the following information, and comply with additional conditions set by the Executive Officer:
  - a. Further actions by the Discharger to investigate, identify, and correct the causes of toxicity.
  - b. Actions the Discharger will take to mitigate the effects of the discharge and prevent the recurrence of toxicity.
  - c. A schedule for these actions, progress reports, and the final report.
2. **TIE Implementation.** The Discharger may initiate a TIE as part of a TRE to identify the causes of toxicity using the same species and test method and, as guidance, USEPA manuals: *Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase I Toxicity Characterization Procedures* (EPA/600/6-91/003, 1991); *Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase II Toxicity Identification Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity* (EPA/600/R-92/080, 1993); *Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase III Toxicity Confirmation Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity* (EPA/600/R-92/081, 1993); and *Marine Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE): Phase I Guidance Document* (EPA/600/R-96-054, 1996). The TIE should be conducted on the species demonstrating the most sensitive toxicity response.
3. Many recommended TRE elements parallel required or recommended efforts for source control, pollution prevention, and storm water control programs. TRE efforts should be

coordinated with such efforts. As toxic substances are identified or characterized, the Discharger shall continue the TRE by determining the sources and evaluating alternative strategies for reducing or eliminating the substances from the discharge. All reasonable steps shall be taken to reduce toxicity to levels consistent with toxicity evaluation parameters.

4. The Discharger shall continue to conduct routine effluent monitoring for compliance determination purposes while the TIE and/or TRE is taking place. Additional accelerated monitoring and TRE work plans are not required once a TRE has begun.
5. The Regional Water Board and USEPA recognize that toxicity may be episodic and identification of causes and reduction of sources of toxicity may not be successful in all cases. The TRE may be ended at any stage if monitoring finds there is no longer toxicity.

**D. Reporting**

The Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) shall include a full laboratory report for each toxicity test. This report shall be prepared using the format and content of the test methods manual chapter called Report Preparation, including:

1. The toxicity test results for the TST statistical approach, reported as “Pass” or “Fail” and “Percent (%) Effect” at the chronic toxicity IWC for the discharge.
2. Water quality measurements for each toxicity test (e.g., pH, dissolved oxygen, temperature, conductivity, hardness, salinity, chlorine, ammonia).
3. TRE/TIE results. The Regional Water Board Executive Officer shall be notified via email to [losangeles@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:losangeles@waterboards.ca.gov) no later than 30 days from completion of each aspect of TRE/TIE analyses.
4. Statistical program (e.g., TST calculator, CETIS, etc.) output results for each toxicity test.
5. Any additional QA/QC documentation or any additional chronic toxicity related information, upon request by Regional Water Board staff.

**VI. LAND DISCHARGE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS—NOT APPLICABLE**

**VII. RECYCLING MONITORING REQUIREMENTS—NOT APPLICABLE**

**VIII. RECEIVING WATER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

**A. Surface Water Monitoring (Monitoring Location RSW-001)**

The Discharger shall monitor the Cerritos Channel at Monitoring Location RSW-001, within 50 feet upstream of Discharge Point No. 001, relative to tidal flow, as follows:

**Table E-3. Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements at Monitoring Location RSW-001**

| Parameter                      | Units                  | Sample Type | Minimum Sampling Frequency | Required Analytical Test Method |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| pH                             | standard units         | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Ammonia Nitrogen, Total (as N) | mg/L                   | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Dissolved Oxygen               | mg/L                   | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| <i>Enterococcus</i>            | MPN/100ml or CFU/100ml | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Fecal Coliform                 | MPN/100ml or CFU/100ml | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |

| Parameter                        | Units                           | Sample Type | Minimum Sampling Frequency | Required Analytical Test Method |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Total Coliform                   | MPN/100ml or CFU/100ml          | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Salinity                         | ppt                             | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Temperature                      | °F                              | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Chronic Toxicity                 | Pass or Fail and % Effect (TST) | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2,3                             |
| Priority Pollutants <sup>4</sup> | µg/L                            | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| TCDD Equivalents <sup>5</sup>    | µg/L                            | Grab        | 1/Permit Term              | 2                               |

<sup>1</sup> Monitoring is only required during years in which a discharge occurs. Annual samples shall be collected during the first discharge of the year. Receiving water samples should be collected at the same time as effluent samples, if possible, or at the first safe opportunity.

<sup>2</sup> Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136; for priority pollutants the methods must meet the lowest MLs specified in Appendix 4 of the SIP and included as Attachment H in this Order. Where no methods are specified for a given pollutant, the methods must be approved by this Regional Water Board or the State Water Board.

<sup>3</sup> Refer to section V of this Fact Sheet: Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements.

<sup>4</sup> Priority pollutants as defined by the California Toxics Rule (CTR) defined in the Fact Sheet section III.C. and included as Attachment I of this Order.

<sup>5</sup> TCDD equivalents shall be calculated using the following formula, where the Minimum Levels (ML), and toxicity equivalency factors (TEFs) are as listed in the Table below. The Discharger shall report all measured values of individual congeners, including data qualifiers. When calculating TCDD equivalents, the Discharger shall set congener concentrations below the minimum levels to zero. USEPA method 1613 may be used to analyze dioxin and furan congeners.

$$\text{Dioxin-TEQ (TCDD equivalents)} = \sum(C_x \times \text{TEF}_x)$$

where:  $C_x$  = concentration of dioxin or furan congener  $x$

$\text{TEF}_x$  = TEF for congener  $x$

**Toxicity Equivalency Factors**

| Congeners                  | Minimum Level (pg/L) | Toxicity Equivalence Factor (TEF) |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2,3,7,8 - tetra CDD        | 10                   | 1.0                               |
| 1,2,3,7,8 - penta CDD      | 50                   | 1.0                               |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8 - hexa CDD     | 50                   | 0.1                               |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8 - hexa CDD     | 50                   | 0.1                               |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9 - hexa CDD     | 50                   | 0.1                               |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8 - hepta CDD  | 50                   | 0.01                              |
| Octa CDD                   | 100                  | 0.0001                            |
| 2,3,7,8 - tetra CDF        | 10                   | 0.1                               |
| 1,2,3,7,8 - penta CDF      | 50                   | 0.05                              |
| 2,3,4,7,8 - penta CDF      | 50                   | 0.5                               |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8 - hexa CDF     | 50                   | 0.1                               |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8 - hexa CDF     | 50                   | 0.1                               |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9 - hexa CDF     | 50                   | 0.1                               |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8 - hexa CDF     | 50                   | 0.1                               |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8 - hepta CDFs | 50                   | 0.01                              |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9 - hepta CDFs | 50                   | 0.01                              |
| Octa CDF                   | 100                  | 0.0001                            |

**B. Surface Water Monitoring (Monitoring Location RSW-002)**

The Discharger shall monitor the Cerritos Channel, at Monitoring Location RSW-002, approximately 50 feet downstream, relative to tidal flow, of Discharge Point No. 001 as follows:

**Table E-4. Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements at Monitoring Location RSW-002**

| Parameter                      | Units          | Sample Type | Minimum Sampling Frequency | Required Analytical Test Method |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| pH                             | standard units | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Ammonia Nitrogen, Total (as N) | mg/L           | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Dissolved Oxygen               | mg/L           | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Temperature                    | °F             | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Total Coliform                 | MPN/100 ml     | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| Fecal Coliform                 | MPN/100 ml     | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |
| <i>Enterococcus</i>            | MPN/100 ml     | Grab        | 1/Year <sup>1</sup>        | 2                               |

<sup>1</sup> Monitoring is only required during years in which a discharge occurs. Annual samples shall be collected during the first discharge of the year. Receiving water samples should be collected at the same time as effluent samples, if possible, or at the first safe opportunity.

<sup>2</sup> Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 C.F.R. part 136; for priority pollutants the methods must meet the lowest MLs specified in Attachment 4 of the SIP and included as Attachment H in this Order. Where no methods are specified for a given pollutant, the methods must be approved by this Regional Water Board or the State Water Board.

**IX. OTHER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

**A. Rainfall Monitoring**

The Discharger shall measure and record the rainfall on each day of the month at the Facility. If no rainfall measurement data is available at the Facility, the Discharger may submit data obtained from the nearest city/county operated rain gauge monitoring station. The location of the rain gauge utilized and the distance from the Facility and any other information shall be included in the monitoring report for that month.

**B. Visual Monitoring**

1. A visual observation station shall be established in the vicinity of the discharge point to the receiving water, Cerritos Channel.
2. General observations of the receiving water shall be made at the discharge point when discharges occur. All receiving water observations shall be reported in the semiannual monitoring report. Observations shall be descriptive where applicable, such that colors, approximate amounts, or types of materials are apparent. The following observations shall be made:
  - a. Time, and date of monitoring
  - b. Weather conditions
  - c. Color of water
  - d. Appearance of oil films or grease, or floatable materials
  - e. Extent of visual turbidity or color patches
  - f. Direction of flow
  - g. Description of odor, if any, of the receiving water
  - h. Presence and activity of California Least Tern and California Brown Pelican.

**C. Harbor Toxics TMDL Water Column, Sediment and Fish Tissue Monitoring for Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters**

This provision implements the Compliance Monitoring Program as required in the Harbor Toxics TMDL. The Compliance Monitoring Program includes water column monitoring, sediment monitoring and fish tissue monitoring at monitoring stations in the Long Beach Inner Harbor. The Discharger may continue participation in a collaboration group, start a new collaboration group, or develop a site-specific plan to comply with this requirement. Details on these requirements are provided in Section VI.C.2.c of this Order.

**X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

**A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements**

1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions (Attachment D) related to monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping.
2. If there is no discharge during any reporting period, the Discharger shall indicate under penalty of perjury in the corresponding monitoring report that no effluent was discharged to surface water during the reporting period.
3. If the Discharger monitors (other than for process/operational control, startup, research, or equipment testing) any influent, effluent, or receiving water constituent more frequently than required by this Order using approved analytical methods, the results of those analyses shall be included in the monitoring report. These results shall be reflected in the calculation of the average (or median) used in demonstrating compliance with this Order/Permit.
4. Each monitoring report shall contain a separate section—titled “Summary of Non-Compliance”—which discusses the compliance record and corrective actions taken or planned that may be needed to bring the discharge into full compliance with waste discharge requirements (WDRs). This section shall clearly list all non-compliance with WDRs, as well as all excursions of effluent limitations.
5. The Discharger shall inform the Regional Water Board well in advance of any proposed construction activity that could potentially affect compliance with applicable requirements.
6. The Discharger shall report the results of chronic toxicity testing, TRE and TIE as required in Attachment E, Monitoring and Reporting, section V.

**B. Self-Monitoring Reports (SMRs)**

1. The Discharger shall electronically submit SMRs using the State Water Board’s California Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program website:  
[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/ciwqs/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ciwqs/)  
The CIWQS website will provide additional information for SMR submittal in the event there will be a planned service interruption for electronic submittal.
2. The Discharger shall report in the SMR the results for all monitoring specified in this MRP under sections III through IX. The Discharger shall submit quarterly SMRs including the results of all required monitoring using USEPA-approved test methods or other test methods specified in this Order. SMRs are to include all new monitoring results obtained since the last SMR was submitted. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculations and reporting of the data submitted in the SMR.

3. Monitoring periods and reporting for all required monitoring shall be completed according to the following schedule:

**Table E-5. Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule**

| Sampling Frequency | Monitoring Period Begins On... | Monitoring Period   | SMR Due Date                                      |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| 1/Month            | July 1, 2019                   | First day of calendar month through last day of calendar month  | Submit with quarterly report                      |
| 1/Quarter          | July 1, 2019                   | January 1 through March 31<br>April 1 through June 30<br>July 1 through September 30<br>October 1 through December 31 | May 15<br>August 15<br>November 15<br>February 15 |
| 2/Year             | July 1, 2019                   | January 1 through June 30<br>July 1 through December 31   | August 15<br>February 15                          |
| 1/Year             | July 1, 2019                   | January 1 through December 31   | February 15                                       |

4. Reporting Protocols. The Discharger shall report with each sample result the applicable Reporting Level (RL) and the current Method Detection Limit (MDL), as determined by the procedure in 40 C.F.R. part 136.

The Discharger shall report the results of analytical determinations for the presence of chemical constituents in a sample using the following reporting protocols:

- a. Sample results greater than or equal to the RL shall be reported as measured by the laboratory (i.e., the measured chemical concentration in the sample).
- b. Sample results less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL, shall be reported as "Detected, but Not Quantified," or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.

For the purposes of data collection, the laboratory shall write the estimated chemical concentration next to DNQ. The laboratory may, if such information is available, include numerical estimates of the data quality for the reported result. Numerical estimates of data quality may be percent accuracy ( $\pm$  a percentage of the reported value), numerical ranges (low to high), or any other means considered appropriate by the laboratory.

- c. Sample results less than the laboratory's MDL shall be reported as "Not Detected," or ND.
- d. Dischargers are to instruct laboratories to establish calibration standards so that the ML value (or its equivalent if there is differential treatment of samples relative to calibration standards) is the lowest calibration standard. At no time is the Discharger to use analytical data derived from extrapolation beyond the lowest point of the calibration curve.

5. **Compliance Determination.** Compliance with effluent limitations for priority pollutants shall be determined using sample reporting protocols defined above, Section VII of this Order and Attachment A of this Order. For purposes of reporting and administrative enforcement by the Regional Water Board and State Water Board, the Discharger shall be deemed out of compliance with effluent limitations if the concentration of the priority pollutant in the monitoring sample is greater than the effluent limitation and greater than or equal to the ML.
6. **Multiple Sample Data.** When determining compliance with an average monthly limitation (AMEL) for priority pollutants and more than one sample result is available, the Discharger shall compute the arithmetic mean unless the data set contains one or more reported determinations of “Detected, but Not Quantified” (DNQ) or “Not Detected” (ND). In those cases, the Discharger shall compute the median in place of the arithmetic mean in accordance with the following procedure: The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.
  - a. The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.
  - b. The median value of the data set shall be determined. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an even number of data points, then the median is the average of the two values around the middle unless one or both of the points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two data points where DNQ is lower than a value and ND is lower than DNQ.
7. **SMRs.** The Discharger shall submit SMRs in accordance with the following requirements:
  - a. The Discharger shall arrange all reported data in a tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with interim and/or final effluent limitations. The Discharger is not required to duplicate the submittal of data that is entered in a tabular format within CIWQS. When electronic submittal of data is required and CIWQS does not provide for entry into a tabular format within the system, the Discharger shall electronically submit the data in a tabular format as an attachment.
  - b. The Discharger shall attach a cover letter to the SMR. The information contained in the cover letter shall clearly identify violations of the waste discharge requirements; discuss corrective actions taken or planned; and the proposed time schedule for corrective actions. Identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation.

**C. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)**

DMRs are USEPA reporting requirements. The Discharger shall electronically certify and submit DMRs together with SMRs using Electronic Self-Monitoring Reports module eSMR 2.5 or any upgraded version. Electronic DMR submittal shall be in addition to electronic SMR submittal. Information about electronic DMR submittal is available at the DMR website at:

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/discharge\\_monitoring](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/discharge_monitoring)

#### D. Other Reports

1. Within 90 days of the effective date of this permit, the Discharger is required to submit the following to the Regional Water Board:
  - a. Initial Investigation TRE workplan
  - b. Updated SWPPP
  - c. Updated BMPP

The SWPPP and BMPP status shall be reviewed at a minimum once per year and updated as needed to ensure all actual or potential sources of trash and pollutants in wastewater and storm water discharge from the facility are addressed. All changes or revisions to the SWPPP and BMPP shall be submitted to the Regional Water Board within 30 days of any revisions.

2. Within 90 days of the effective date of this Order, the Discharger must submit to the Regional Water Board notification of whether the Discharger will continue to participate with the Collaboration Group to complete the regional monitoring required by the Harbor Toxics TMDL and included in section VI.C.2.b. of the Waste Discharge Requirements of this Order, starting a new collaboration group, or if the Discharger will be developing a site-specific plan. If continuing to participate in the Collaborating Group, the Discharger shall provide proof of its participation. If starting a new collaboration group, or if developing a site-specific plan, the Discharger shall submit the plan to the Regional Water Board within 12 months from the effective date of this Order. Regional Water Board staff will review the plan and provide an opportunity for public comment. After the receipt of the plan the Executive Officer will comment or approve the plan. The Discharger has six months after the approval to implement the plan. The Discharger shall continue to participate in the collaborating group until monitoring under the approved site-specific Monitoring Plan begins.
3. According to the Harbor Toxics TMDL, the Discharger shall submit an annual monitoring/implementation report to the Regional Water Board. The report shall describe the measures implemented and the progress achieved toward meeting the assigned WLAs and compliance with the regional monitoring program in accordance with the Harbor Toxics TMDL, as specified in section VI.C.2.b of the Order. The annual report shall be received by the Regional Water Board by the specified date in the proposed Monitoring Plan and QAPP.

## ATTACHMENT F – FACT SHEET

### CONTENTS

|       |  |      |
|-------|--|------|
| I.    | Permit Information.....  | F-3  |
| II.   | Facility Description.....  | F-4  |
|       | A. Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment and Controls.....         | F-4  |
|       | B. Discharge Points and Receiving Waters.....                                  | F-5  |
|       | C. Summary of Previous Requirements and Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) Data..... | F-5  |
|       | D. Compliance Summary.....   | F-8  |
|       | E. Planned Changes.....  | F-11 |
| III.  | Applicable Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....                               | F-12 |
|       | A. Legal Authorities.....  | F-12 |
|       | B. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).....                            | F-12 |
|       | C. State and Federal Laws, Regulations, Policies, and Plans.....               | F-12 |
|       | D. Impaired Water Bodies on the CWA section 303(d) List.....                   | F-16 |
|       | E. Other Plans, Polices and Regulations—Not Applicable.....                    | F-19 |
| IV.   | Rationale For Effluent Limitations and Discharge Specifications.....           | F-19 |
|       | A. Discharge Prohibitions.....   | F-19 |
|       | B. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations.....                                  | F-19 |
|       | C. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs).....                      | F-22 |
|       | D. Final Effluent Limitation Considerations.....                               | F-30 |
|       | E. Interim Effluent Limitations—Not Applicable.....                            | F-33 |
|       | F. Land Discharge Specifications—Not Applicable.....                           | F-33 |
|       | G. Recycling Specifications—Not Applicable.....                                | F-33 |
| V.    | Rationale for Receiving Water Limitations.....                                 | F-34 |
|       | A. Surface Water.....  | F-34 |
|       | B. Groundwater—Not Applicable.....   | F-34 |
| VI.   | Rationale for Provisions.....  | F-34 |
|       | A. Standard Provisions.....  | F-34 |
|       | B. Special Provisions.....   | F-34 |
| VII.  | Rationale for Monitoring and Reporting Requirements.....                       | F-35 |
|       | A. Influent Monitoring—Not Applicable.....                                     | F-35 |
|       | B. Effluent Monitoring: Discharge Point 001.....                               | F-35 |
|       | C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements.....                           | F-36 |
|       | D. Receiving Water Monitoring.....   | F-36 |
| VIII. | Public Participation.....  | F-36 |
|       | A. Notification of Interested Persons.....                                     | F-36 |
|       | B. Written Comments.....   | F-36 |
|       | C. Public Hearing.....   | F-37 |
|       | D. Reconsideration of Waste Discharge Requirements.....                        | F-37 |
|       | E. Information and Copying.....  | F-37 |
|       | F. Register of Interested Persons.....   | F-37 |
|       | G. Additional Information.....   | F-38 |

**TABLES**

Table F-1. Facility Information..... F-3  
Table F-2. Historic Effluent Limitations..... F-5  
Table F-3. Historic Bacteria Effluent Limitations..... F-7  
Table F-4. Effluent Limitation Violations..... F-8  
Table F-5. Basin Plan Beneficial Uses ..... F-12  
Table F-6. Analytical Results of Sediment Monitoring ..... F-18  
Table F-7. Summary of Technology-based Effluent Limitations for Discharge Point 001..... F-21  
Table F-8. Applicable Water Quality Criteria ..... F-23  
Table F-9. Reasonable Potential Analysis Summary ..... F-25  
Table F-10. Summary of Final Effluent Limitations at Discharge Point 001 ..... F-32

**ATTACHMENT F – FACT SHEET**

As described in section II.B of this Order, the Los Angeles Regional Water Board (Regional Water Board) incorporates this Fact Sheet as findings of the Regional Water Board supporting the issuance of this Order. This Fact Sheet includes the legal requirements and technical rationale that serve as the basis for the requirements of this Order.

This Order has been prepared under a standardized format to accommodate a broad range of discharge requirements for Dischargers in California. Only those sections or subsections of this Order that are specifically identified as “not applicable” have been determined not to apply to this Discharger. Sections or subsections of this Order not specifically identified as “not applicable” are fully applicable to this Discharger.

**I. PERMIT INFORMATION**

The following table summarizes administrative information related to the facility.

**Table F-1. Facility Information**

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>WDID</b>   | 4B191310001  |
| <b>Discharger</b>                                   | The Port of Los Angeles  |
| <b>Name of Facility</b>                             | New Dock Street Pump Station   |
| <b>Facility Address</b>                             | New Dock Street/151 Henry Ford Avenue  |
|   | Terminal Island, CA 90731  |
|   | Los Angeles County   |
| <b>Facility Contact, Title and Phone</b>            | Pauling Sun, Environmental Specialist<br>310-732-0335                            |
| <b>Authorized Person to Sign and Submit Reports</b> | Christopher Cannon, Director Environmental Management Division<br>(310) 732-3675 |
| <b>Mailing Address</b>                              | 425 South Palos Verdes Street, San Pedro, CA 90731                               |
| <b>Billing Address</b>                              | Same as Mailing Address  |
| <b>Type of Facility</b>                             | Pump Station   |
| <b>Major or Minor Facility</b>                      | Major  |
| <b>Threat to Water Quality</b>                      | Category 3   |
| <b>Complexity</b>                                   | Category B   |
| <b>Pretreatment Program</b>                         | Not Applicable   |
| <b>Recycling Requirements</b>                       | Not Applicable   |
| <b>Facility Permitted Flow</b>                      | 7.25 million gallons per day (MGD)   |
| <b>Facility Design Flow</b>                         | 7.25 MGD   |
| <b>Watershed</b>                                    | Los Angeles/Long Beach Harbor  |
| <b>Receiving Water</b>                              | Cerritos Channel   |
| <b>Receiving Water Type</b>                         | Enclosed Bay   |

- A.** The Port of Los Angeles (hereinafter Discharger) is the owner and operator of the New Dock Street Pump Station (hereinafter Facility).

For the purposes of this Order, references to the “discharger” or “permittee” in applicable federal and state laws, regulations, plans, or policy are held to be equivalent to references to the Discharger herein.

- B. The Facility discharges treated storm water and infiltrating groundwater to the Cerritos Channel, a water of the United States and of the State; and was previously regulated by Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01, which was adopted on February 2, 2017, and expired on August 30, 2018. Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 amended Order No. R4-2013-0108, which was adopted on July 11, 2013, to correct conflicting language regarding effluent limitations for bacteria. Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 was administratively continued until the effective date of this Order. Attachment B provides a Facility location map. Attachment C provides a flow schematic for the Facility.
- C. The Discharger filed a report of waste discharge and submitted an application for reissuance of its waste discharge requirements (WDRs) and NPDES permit on March 1, 2018. Supplemental information was requested on March 29, 2018 and received on April 11, 2018. The application was deemed complete on June 20, 2018. A site visit was conducted on July 12, 2018 to observe operations and collect additional data to develop permit limitations and requirements for waste discharge.
- D. Federal regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.46 limit the duration of NPDES permits to a fixed term not to exceed five years. Accordingly, Table 3 of this Order limits the duration of the discharge authorization. However, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 122.6 and California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2235.4, the terms and conditions of an expired permit are automatically continued pending reissuance of the permit if the Discharger complies with all federal NPDES requirements for continuation of expired permits.

## II. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The Facility is located at New Dock Street and 151 Henry Ford Avenue, Terminal Island, California, on land owned by the City of Long Beach. The Discharger operates the Facility through a legal agreement with the City of Long Beach. The Facility collects storm water runoff from an area of approximately 53 acres that includes the Pier S Avenue underpass, parking lots and streets. The Pier S Avenue underpass is the low elevation point in the area and an access point for traffic to Terminal Island. There are three lines draining into the Facility: Line A from the underpass and surrounding areas, Line D from parking lots to the west, and Line F from streets to the north (Vopak Terminal). The water collected is treated at the Facility and discharged into the Cerritos Channel.

Groundwater infiltration occurs at leaks in the junctions of the pipes conveying storm water to the Facility. During the term of Orders No. R4-2013-0108 and R4-2013-0108-A01 the Discharger conducted pipe repairs to reduce the groundwater infiltration issue. The repairs included the installation of o-rings at pipe junctions to seal against infiltration. The repairs resulted in a decrease of the groundwater infiltration from 100,000 gpd to 50,000 gpd.

In the previous NPDES permit cycles, the Discharger estimated a maximum discharge of approximately 0.725 MGD of treated storm water runoff and infiltrating groundwater. On November 4, 2011, the Discharger requested that the permitted flow be increased from 0.725 MGD to 7.25 MGD to account for a maximum wet-season rain event, increases in groundwater infiltration, and the opening of a previously closed valve from a storm water vault located underground at the intersection of Pier S Street and New Dock Street.

### A. Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment and Controls

Storm drain intake locations are surrounded with straw filters and fitted with filter baskets. The three drains enter the Facility through a forebay sediment basin. The sediment collected in the forebay is cleaned out yearly and disposed of off-site by contractor, currently Ocean Blue Environmental Services, Inc. From the forebay the water flows over a weir and through a debris screen into the aeration basin for the removal of VOCs. There are two aeration units that operate on an alternating basis.

From the aeration basin the water is pumped into the StormwaterRx Aquip© unit to remove particulates and dissolved pollutants through passive filtration. The Aquip© unit has a maximum flow rate of 80 gpm. If the intake flow exceeds the treatment capacity then the overflow is directed back to the forebay. The Aquip© unit includes core and fine sand filters, activated carbon and alumina filters, and a gravel filter.

From the Aquip© unit the water flows into the StormwaterRx Purus© unit to remove particulates and dissolved metals using resin filters and ion exchange. Upon exiting the Purus© unit the water is treated with ultraviolet light for bacteria removal.

After treatment the water flows to a post-treatment basin. From there four large pumps and two sump pumps pump the treated water to the discharge piping. The discharge volume is calculated based on pump capacity and run-time. The discharge piping begins aboveground, then goes underground beneath Henry Ford Avenue, then emerges above ground again to the Cerritos Channel discharge point. Effluent sampling is conducted at the end of the pipe where the water enters Cerritos Channel.

**B. Discharge Points and Receiving Waters**

The Discharger proposes to discharge up to 7.25 MGD of treated storm water and infiltrating groundwater from the Facility into the Cerritos Channel, a water of the United States via Discharge Point No. 001 (Latitude 33.765095° N, Longitude -118.239789° W).

**C. Summary of Previous Requirements and Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) Data**

**1. Final Effluent Limitations-Discharge Point No. 001**

- a. Effluent limitations contained in Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 for discharges from Discharge Point 001 are summarized in Tables F-2 and F-3 below.

**Table F-2. Historic Effluent Limitations**

| Parameter   | Units                | Effluent Limitations |               |                       |                       | Performance Goals |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
|   |                      | Average Monthly      | Maximum Daily | Instantaneous Minimum | Instantaneous Maximum |                   |
| <b>Conventional Pollutants</b>                      |                      |                      |               |                       |                       |                   |
| pH  | s.u.                 | --                   | --            | 6.5                   | 8.5                   | --                |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-day @ 20 deg. C) (BOD) | mg/L                 | 20                   | 30            | --                    | --                    | --                |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 1,209                | 1,814         | --                    | --                    | --                |
| Oil and Grease                                      | mg/L                 | 10                   | 15            | --                    | --                    | --                |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 605                  | 907           | --                    | --                    | --                |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS) <sup>2</sup>           | mg/L                 | 50                   | 75            | --                    | --                    | --                |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 3,023                | 4,535         | --                    | --                    | --                |
| <b>Non-Conventional Pollutants</b>                  |                      |                      |               |                       |                       |                   |
| Methyl Tert-butyl Ether (MTBE)                      | µg/L                 | --                   | 35            | --                    | --                    | --                |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | --                   | 2.1           | --                    | --                    | --                |
| Phenols, Chlorinated                                | µg/L                 | --                   | 1.0           | --                    | --                    | --                |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | --                   | 0.06          | --                    | --                    | --                |
| Settleable Solids                                   | ml/L                 | 0.1                  | 0.3           | --                    | --                    | --                |
| Sulfides  | mg/L                 | --                   | 1.0           | --                    | --                    | --                |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | --                   | 60            | --                    | --                    | --                |
| Temperature   | °F                   | --                   | --            | --                    | 86                    | --                |

| Parameter                                       | Units                | Effluent Limitations |               |                       |                       | Performance Goals  |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
|   |                      | Average Monthly      | Maximum Daily | Instantaneous Minimum | Instantaneous Maximum |                    |
| Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) <sup>2</sup> | µg/L                 | --                   | 100           | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | --                   | 6.0           | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| Turbidity                                       | NTU                  | 50                   | 75            | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| <b>Priority Pollutants</b>                      |                      |                      |               |                       |                       |                    |
| Arsenic, Total Recoverable                      | µg/L                 | 28                   | 63            | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 1.7                  | 3.8           | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| Copper, Total Recoverable <sup>3, 4</sup>       | µg/L                 | 2.9                  | 6.5           | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 0.17                 | 0.39          | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| Lead, Total Recoverable <sup>3, 4</sup>         | µg/L                 | 4.6                  | 14            | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 0.28                 | 0.87          | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| Mercury, Total Recoverable                      | µg/L                 | 0.05                 | 0.10          | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 0.0031               | 0.0062        | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| Nickel, Total Recoverable                       | µg/L                 | 7.0                  | 13            | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 0.42                 | 0.79          | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| Selenium, Total Recoverable <sup>3</sup>        | µg/L                 | 45                   | 130           | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 2.7                  | 7.9           | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| Zinc, Total Recoverable <sup>3, 4</sup>         | µg/L                 | 51                   | 150           | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 3.1                  | 9.3           | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane                              | µg/L                 | --                   | 5.0           | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | --                   | 0.3           | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| 4,4'-DDT <sup>3, 4</sup>                        | µg/L                 | 0.00059              | 0.0012        | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 0.000036             | 0.000072      | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| Total PCBs <sup>3, 4, 5</sup>                   | µg/L                 | 0.00017              | 0.00034       | --                    | --                    | --                 |
|   | lbs/day <sup>1</sup> | 0.00001              | 0.00002       | --                    | --                    | --                 |
| <b>PAHs</b>                                     |                      |                      |               |                       |                       |                    |
| Benzo(a)pyrene <sup>4</sup>                     | µg/L                 | --                   | --            | --                    | --                    | 0.049 <sup>6</sup> |
| Chrysene <sup>4</sup>                           | µg/L                 | --                   | --            | --                    | --                    | 0.049 <sup>6</sup> |

<sup>1</sup> Mass (lbs/day) limitations are based on a maximum flow of 7.25 MGD and calculated as follows:  

$$\text{Mass (lbs/day)} = \text{Flow (MGD)} \times \text{Concentration (mg/L)} \times 8.34 \text{ (conversion factor)}$$

<sup>2</sup> TPH equals the sum of TPH gasoline (C<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>12</sub>), TPH diesel (C<sub>13</sub>-C<sub>22</sub>), and TPH oil (C<sub>23+</sub>).

<sup>3</sup> The effluent limitations are based on the USEPA approved Harbor Toxics TMDL WLAs and calculated using the CTR-SIP procedures.

<sup>4</sup> During each reporting period, if effluent monitoring results exceed both a TSS effluent limit and a CTR TMDL-based effluent limit or performance goal for copper, lead, zinc, 4,4'-DDT, total PCBs, benzo(a)pyrene, or chrysene, then the Discharger has not demonstrated attainment with the interim sediment allocations stipulated by the Harbor Toxics TMDL, Resolution No. R11-008, page 11, Item 3, and implementation of the effluent sediment monitoring program is required for that priority pollutant. Sediment monitoring of the effluent shall begin during the first discharge event following the effluent exceedances. An effluent sediment monitoring result at or below the interim sediment allocation in Table 9, page 24 of this Order, demonstrates attainment with the interim sediment allocation and additional sediment monitoring of the effluent is not required. A sediment monitoring result that exceeds the interim sediment allocation requires additional sediment monitoring of the effluent during discharge but not more frequently than once per year until the three-year average concentration for sediment monitoring results is at or below the interim sediment allocation.

<sup>5</sup> Total PCBs (polychlorinated biphenyls) means the sum of chlorinated biphenyls whose analytical characteristics resembles those of Aroclor-1016, Aroclor-1221, Aroclor-1232, Aroclor-1242, Aroclor-1248, Aroclor-1254, and Aroclor-1260.

<sup>6</sup> CTR human health criteria are not promulgated for total PAHs. Therefore, performance goals are based on CTR human health criteria for the individual PAHs, benzo(a)pyrene and chrysene. Benzo(a)pyrene and chrysene are selected because the State's

2010 303(d) List classifies the Los Angeles/Long Beach Inner Harbor as impaired for these PAH compounds. These performance goals are not enforceable effluent limitations. Rather, they act as triggers to determine when sediment monitoring is required for these compounds.

**b. Bacteria Limitations Requirements**

**Table F-3. Historic Bacteria Effluent Limitations**

| Parameters                                   | Units      | Geometric Mean | Single Sample |
|--|------------|----------------|---------------|
| Total coliform                               | MPN/100 ml | 1,000          | 10,000        |
| Fecal coliform                               | MPN/100 ml | 200            | 400           |
| Enterococcus                                 | MPN/100 ml | 35             | 104           |
| Total coliform if Fecal/Total coliform > 0.1 | MPN/100 ml | ---            | 1,000         |

**c. Historic Acute Toxicity Requirements.** There shall be no acute toxicity in the discharge. The acute toxicity of the effluent shall be such that:

- i. The monthly average survival in the undiluted effluent for any three (3) consecutive 96-hour static or continuous flow bioassay test shall be at least 90%, and
- ii. No single test producing less than 70% survival.

**d. Historic Chronic Toxicity Limitation and Requirements.** The Order contains a chronic toxicity limit defined as an exceedance of 1.0 TU<sub>c</sub> in a critical life stage test for 100% effluent (The monthly median for chronic toxicity of 100% effluent shall not exceed 1 TU<sub>c</sub> in a critical life stage test).

- i. The chronic toxicity of the effluent shall be expressed and reported in toxic units, where:

$$TU_c = \frac{100}{NOEC}$$

The No Observable Effect Concentration (NOEC) is expressed as the maximum percent effluent concentration that causes no observable effect on test organisms, as determined by the results of a critical life stage toxicity test.

- ii. There shall be no chronic toxicity in the effluent discharge.
- iii. If the chronic toxicity of the effluent exceeds the monthly median effluent limit of 1.0 TU<sub>c</sub>, the Discharger shall immediately implement accelerated chronic toxicity testing according to Attachment E - MRP, Section V.B.3. If any three out of the initial test and the six accelerated tests results exceed 1.0 TU<sub>c</sub>, the Discharger shall initiate a Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) and implement the Initial Investigation Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Workplan, as specified in Attachment E – MRP, Section V.D.

**D. Compliance Summary**

Data submitted to the Regional Water Board from the terms of Orders No. R4-2013-0108 and R4-2013-0108-A01 (from September 2013 through December 2018) indicate that the Discharger has experienced violations of numeric effluent limitations for discharges from Discharge Point 001 (Monitoring Location EFF-001) as outlined in the table below:

**Table F-4. Effluent Limitation Violations**

| Date       | Type of Limitation | Pollutant                     | Units   | Effluent Limitation | Result |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------|--------|
| 8/31/2013  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 41.5   |
| 8/31/2013  | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 41.5   |
| 8/31/2013  | MDEL               | Lead                          | µg/L    | 14                  | 86.5   |
| 8/31/2013  | AMEL               | Lead                          | µg/L    | 4.6                 | 86.5   |
| 8/31/2013  | MDEL               | Mercury                       | µg/L    | 0.10                | 0.16   |
| 8/31/2013  | AMEL               | Mercury                       | µg/L    | 0.05                | 0.16   |
| 8/31/2013  | MDEL               | Nickel                        | µg/L    | 7.0                 | 17.5   |
| 8/31/2013  | AMEL               | Nickel                        | µg/L    | 13                  | 17.5   |
| 8/31/2013  | MDEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 150                 | 430    |
| 8/31/2013  | AMEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 51                  | 430    |
| 9/19/2013  | MDEL               | Total Suspended Solids        | mg/L    | 75                  | 120    |
| 9/23/2013  | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 2.0    |
| 9/30/2013  | AMEL               | Settleable Solids             | ml/L    | 0.1                 | 0.25   |
| 9/30/2013  | AMEL               | Total Suspended Solids        | mg/L    | 50                  | 120    |
| 10/2/2013  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 11.1   |
| 10/30/2013 | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 11     |
| 10/31/2013 | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 7.8    |
| 10/31/2013 | AMEL               | Lead                          | µg/L    | 4.6                 | 10.1   |
| 10/31/2013 | AMEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 51                  | 76     |
| 11/22/2013 | MDEL               | Phenols, chlorinated          | µg/L    | 1.0                 | 1.1    |
| 2/3/2014   | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 35     |
| 2/3/2014   | MDEL               | Lead                          | µg/L    | 14                  | 22     |
| 2/3/2014   | MDEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 150                 | 350    |
| 2/28/2014  | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 9.6    |
| 3/7/2014   | MDEL               | Phenols, chlorinated          | µg/L    | 1.0                 | 1.1    |
| 3/7/2014   | MDEL               | Total Suspended Solids        | mg/L    | 75                  | 87     |
| 3/10/2014  | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 4.0    |
| 3/10/2014  | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 2.0    |
| 3/14/2014  | MDEL               | Lead                          | µg/L    | 14                  | 19     |
| 3/14/2014  | MDEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 150                 | 180    |
| 3/31/2014  | AMEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 51                  | 64.6   |
| 4/4/2014   | MDEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 150                 | 190    |
| 6/26/2014  | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 16     |
| 9/8/2014   | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 4.0    |
| 10/28/2014 | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 4.0    |
| 12/2/2014  | MDEL               | Copper                        | lbs/day | 0.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 1.6    |
| 12/2/2014  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 49     |
| 12/2/2014  | MDEL               | Lead                          | lbs/day | 0.87                | 1.6    |

| Date       | Type of Limitation | Pollutant                     | Units   | Effluent Limitation | Result |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------|--------|
| 12/2/2014  | MDEL               | Lead                          | µg/L    | 14                  | 49     |
| 12/2/2014  | MDEL               | Nickel                        | µg/L    | 13                  | 14     |
| 12/2/2014  | MDEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 150                 | 440    |
| 12/2/2014  | MDEL               | Zinc                          | lbs/day | 9.3                 | 14.2   |
| 12/11/2014 | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 44     |
| 12/11/2014 | MDEL               | Lead                          | µg/L    | 14                  | 58     |
| 12/11/2014 | MDEL               | Nickel                        | µg/L    | 13                  | 15     |
| 12/11/2014 | MDEL               | Settleable Solids             | ml/L    | 0.3                 | 0.5    |
| 12/11/2014 | MDEL               | Total Suspended Solids        | mg/L    | 75                  | 120    |
| 12/11/2014 | MDEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 150                 | 320    |
| 12/19/2014 | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 25     |
| 12/19/2014 | MDEL               | Lead                          | µg/L    | 14                  | 23     |
| 12/19/2014 | MDEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 150                 | 250    |
| 12/31/2014 | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 26.7   |
| 12/31/2014 | AMEL               | Lead                          | µg/L    | 4.6                 | 26.6   |
| 12/31/2014 | AMEL               | Nickel                        | µg/L    | 7.0                 | 9.8    |
| 12/31/2014 | AMEL               | Settleable Solids             | ml/L    | 0.1                 | 0.5    |
| 12/31/2014 | AMEL               | Total Suspended Solids        | mg/L    | 50                  | 120    |
| 12/31/2014 | AMEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 51                  | 213    |
| 1/28/2015  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 18     |
| 1/30/2015  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 11     |
| 1/31/2015  | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 10.7   |
| 5/11/2015  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 13     |
| 5/18/2015  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 21     |
| 5/31/2015  | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 10.9   |
| 10/7/2015  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 16     |
| 10/29/2015 | AMEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 51                  | 65     |
| 10/31/2015 | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 8.0    |
| 10/31/2015 | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 9.0    |
| 12/31/2015 | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 2.0    |
| 1/12/2016  | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 2.0    |
| 1/14/2016  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 13     |
| 1/20/2016  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 16     |
| 1/31/2016  | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 10.6   |
| 2/1/2016   | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10                  | 13     |
| 9/6/2016   | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 2.0    |
| 11/1/2016  | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 16     |
| 11/7/2016  | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc     | 1.0                 | 2.0    |
| 11/21/2016 | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 43     |
| 11/21/2016 | MDEL               | Lead                          | µg/L    | 14                  | 35     |
| 11/21/2016 | MDEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 150                 | 870    |
| 11/30/2016 | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 13.9   |
| 12/16/2016 | MDEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 150                 | 330    |
| 12/31/2016 | AMEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L    | 51                  | 103    |
| 1/9/2017   | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L    | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 19     |

| Date       | Type of Limitation       | Pollutant                     | Units          | Effluent Limitation | Result |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------|
| 1/9/2017   | MDEL                     | Zinc                          | µg/L           | 150                 | 160    |
| 1/16/2017  | MDEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 18     |
| 1/26/2017  | MDEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 13     |
| 1/31/2017  | AMEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 13.9   |
| 1/31/2017  | AMEL                     | Zinc                          | µg/L           | 51                  | 66     |
| 2/9/2017   | MDEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 10 <sup>1</sup>     | 14     |
| 2/16/2017  | Median Monthly           | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc            | 1.0                 | 2.0    |
| 2/28/2017  | AMEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 7.6 <sup>1</sup>    | 8.2    |
| 5/8/2017   | Single Sample Maximum    | <i>Enterococcus</i>           | MPN/<br>100 mL | 2,685 <sup>3</sup>  | 24,196 |
| 5/10/2017  | Median Monthly           | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc            | 1.0                 | 4.0    |
| 5/30/2017  | 30-Day Geometric Mean    | Fecal Coliform                | MPN/<br>100 mL | 200 <sup>3</sup>    | 223    |
| 7/12/2017  | Median Monthly           | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc            | 1.0                 | 4.0    |
| 8/31/2017  | AMEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 2.9                 | 3.7    |
| 9/5/2017   | MDEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 6.5                 | 34     |
| 9/5/2017   | MDEL                     | Nickel                        | µg/L           | 13                  | 15     |
| 9/5/2017   | MDEL                     | Zinc                          | µg/L           | 150                 | 360    |
| 9/29/2017  | MDEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 6.5                 | 6.7    |
| 9/30/2017  | AMEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 2.9                 | 10.1   |
| 9/30/2017  | AMEL                     | Zinc                          | µg/L           | 51                  | 98     |
| 10/9/2017  | Monthly 3-Sample Average | Acute Toxicity <sup>2</sup>   | %<br>survival  | 90                  | 88.8   |
| 10/9/2017  | Median Monthly           | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc            | 1.0                 | 4.0    |
| 10/16/2017 | MDEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 6.5                 | 15     |
| 10/31/2017 | AMEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 2.9                 | 6.4    |
| 11/30/2017 | AMEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 2.9                 | 3.0    |
| 12/31/2017 | AMEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 2.9                 | 3.8    |
| 2/27/2018  | Single Sample Maximum    | Fecal Coliform                | MPN/<br>100 mL | 2,910 <sup>3</sup>  | 9,000  |
| 3/22/2018  | MDEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 6.5                 | 52     |
| 3/22/2018  | MDEL                     | Lead                          | µg/L           | 14                  | 51     |
| 3/22/2018  | MDEL                     | Zinc                          | µg/L           | 150                 | 550    |
| 3/30/2018  | MDEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 6.5                 | 9.0    |
| 3/30/2018  | AMEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 2.9                 | 14.5   |
| 4/2/2018   | MDEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 6.5                 | 8.5    |
| 4/16/2018  | Single Sample Maximum    | Fecal Coliform                | MPN/<br>100 mL | 2,910 <sup>3</sup>  | 3,500  |
| 4/30/2018  | AMEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 2.9                 | 4.3    |
| 5/31/2018  | AMEL                     | Copper                        | µg/L           | 2.9                 | 3.0    |
| 7/20/2018  | Single Sample Maximum    | Fecal Coliform                | MPN/<br>100 mL | 2,910 <sup>3</sup>  | 16,000 |
| 7/30/2018  | 30-Day Geometric Mean    | <i>Enterococcus</i>           | MPN/<br>100 mL | 773 <sup>3</sup>    | 802.9  |
| 7/30/2018  | Single Sample Maximum    | Fecal Coliform                | MPN/<br>100 mL | 2,910 <sup>3</sup>  | 5,400  |
| 10/22/2018 | Median Monthly           | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc            | 1.0                 | 2.0    |

| Date       | Type of Limitation | Pollutant                     | Units | Effluent Limitation | Result |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------|---------------------|--------|
| 11/29/2018 | MDEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L  | 150                 | 440    |
| 11/29/2018 | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L  | 6.5                 | 38     |
| 11/29/2018 | MDEL               | Lead                          | µg/L  | 14                  | 31     |
| 11/30/2018 | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L  | 2.9                 | 20     |
| 11/30/2018 | AMEL               | Zinc                          | µg/L  | 51                  | 225    |
| 12/13/2018 | MDEL               | Copper                        | µg/L  | 6.5                 | 7.3    |
| 12/27/2018 | Median Monthly     | Chronic Toxicity <sup>2</sup> | TUc   | 1.0                 | 16.0   |
| 12/31/2018 | AMEL               | Copper                        | µg/L  | 2.9                 | 4.3    |

<sup>1</sup> Interim effluent limitation established in Order No. R4-2013-0108.  
<sup>2</sup> Not susceptible to mandatory minimum penalties (MMPs) pursuant to Water Code section 13385(h)(1).  
<sup>3</sup> Interim effluent limitation established in Time Schedule Order No. R4-2017-0046. The interim limitation was effective from February 2, 2017 to August 30, 2018.

On October 5, 2017, the Executive Officer issued Administrative Civil Liability Order (ACL) No. R4-2016-0339. The ACL required the Discharger to pay \$189,000 for 63 mandatory minimum penalties (MMPs) addressing effluent violations that occurred between August 31, 2013 and February 1, 2016. The Discharger paid \$87,000 on November 9, 2017. The remaining \$102,000 was suspended pending completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). The SEP involved the installation of trash skimmers in the marina areas of the harbor and the installation of media filters or debris screens on approximately 200 selected storm drain inlets in the area. The Discharger completed the project and submitted the final report was submitted to the Regional Water Board on January 4, 2019.

On July 26, 2018, the Regional Water Board issued Settlement Offer No. R4-2018-0064 assessing \$84,000 for 28 MMPs addressing effluent violations that occurred between September 6, 2016 and December 31, 2017. The Discharger has not yet responded to the Settlement Offer.

The Regional Water Board is considering the appropriate enforcement action for the 22 effluent violations that occurred after December 31, 2017.

On July 11, 2013 the Regional Water Board adopted Time Schedule Order No. R4-2013-0109 that allowed the Discharger additional time, until July 11, 2017, to complete the tasks necessary to come into compliance with the new effluent limitations for selenium established in Order No. R4-2013-0108. The tasks included housekeeping procedures, repairing pipe leaks and the construction of a treatment system. The Discharger completed the tasks and submitted a final progress report on February 8, 2018.

**E. Planned Changes**

As previously discussed, a treatment system consisting of a StormwaterRx Aquip© unit, a StormwaterRx Purus© unit and an ultraviolet light chamber has been installed and is fully operational. Prior to the installation of that system the Discharger conducted a pilot test of the treatment technology. As of January 2019 the Discharger reported that the pilot test equipment was still intact, but not in use, and that it had been scheduled for removal within a few months.

**III. APPLICABLE PLANS, POLICIES, AND REGULATIONS**

The requirements contained in this Order are based on the requirements and authorities described in this section.

**A. Legal Authorities**

This Order serves as Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) pursuant to article 4, chapter 4, division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13260). This Order is also issued pursuant to section 402 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and implementing regulations adopted by the USEPA and chapter 5.5, division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13370). It shall serve as an NPDES permit authorizing the Discharger to discharge into waters of the United States at the discharge location described in Table 2 of the Order subject to the WDRs in this Order.

**B. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)**

Under Water Code section 13389, this action to adopt an NPDES permit is exempt from CEQA. See also *County of Los Angeles v. State Water Resources Control Board* (2006) 143 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 985, 1007.

**C. State and Federal Laws, Regulations, Policies, and Plans**

- Water Quality Control Plan.** The Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region (hereinafter Basin Plan) designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and contains implementation programs and policies to achieve those objectives for all waters addressed through the plan. Requirements in this Order implement the Basin Plan. The Basin Plan assigns beneficial uses to “all other inner areas” of the Los Angeles-Long Beach Harbor, including the Long Beach Inner Harbor. The Cerritos Channel is located within the Long Beach Inner Harbor. Hence, the beneficial uses associated with the Long Beach Inner Harbor are applicable to the Cerritos Channel. The applicable beneficial uses are as follows:

**Table F-5. Basin Plan Beneficial Uses**

| Discharge Point | Receiving Water Name  | Beneficial Use(s)   |
|-----------------|---|---|
| 001             | Cerritos Channel Within Los Angeles/Long Beach Inner Harbor | <p><u>Existing:</u> Industrial service supply (IND); navigation (NAV); non-contact water recreation (REC-2); commercial and sport fishing (COMM); marine habitat (MAR); rare, threatened, or endangered species (RARE)</p> <p><u>Potential:</u><br/>                     Water contact recreation (REC-1); shellfish harvesting (SHELL)</p> |

- Thermal Plan.** The State Water Board adopted the *Water Quality Control Plan for Control of Temperature in the Coastal and Interstate Waters and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California* (Thermal Plan) on January 7, 1971, and amended this plan on May 18, 1972, and again on September 18, 1975 (Resolution No. 75-89). The Thermal Plan contains temperature objectives for coastal and interstate waters and enclosed bays and estuaries of California. For enclosed bays, the Thermal Plan includes the following specific water quality objectives for these types of discharges: “Elevated temperature waste discharges shall comply with limitations necessary to assure protection of beneficial uses. The maximum temperature of waste discharges shall not exceed the natural temperature of the receiving waters by more than 20°F.” (See Thermal Plan, “Specific Water Quality Objectives,” Part 4.B.(1)).

To determine the limitations necessary to ensure protection of beneficial uses, a white paper was developed by Regional Water Board staff entitled *Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen Impacts on Biota in Tidal Estuaries and Enclosed Bays in the Los Angeles Region*. The white paper evaluated the optimum temperatures for steelhead, topsmelt, ghost shrimp, brown rock crab, jackknife clam, and blue mussel. A maximum effluent temperature limitation of 86°F was determined to be appropriate for protection of aquatic life. The Facility discharges to the Cerritos Channel with the Long Beach Inner Harbor, which is an enclosed bay. Therefore, a maximum temperature effluent limitation of 86°F is included in this Order for the protection of aquatic life and beneficial uses of the receiving waters as well as an effluent limitation of no more than 20 degrees F above the natural receiving water temperature. Requirements of this Order implement the Thermal Plan.

- 3. Enclosed Bays and Estuaries Policy.** The *Water Quality Control Policy for the Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California* (Enclosed Bays and Estuaries Policy), adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) in 1974, and amended by Resolution No. 95-84 on November 16, 1995. The Enclosed Bays and Estuaries Policy states that:

*It is the policy of the State Water Board that the discharge of municipal wastewaters and industrial process waters (exclusive of cooling water discharges) to enclosed bays and estuaries, other than the San Francisco Bay-Delta system, shall be phased out at the earliest practicable date. Exceptions to this provision may be granted by a Regional Water Board only when the Regional Water Board finds that the wastewater in question would consistently be treated and discharged in such a manner that it would enhance the quality of receiving waters above that which would occur in the absence of the discharge.*

The Facility discharges into the Cerritos Channel. Discharges from the Facility consist of storm water and infiltrating groundwater; therefore, the Facility's discharge is not considered to be industrial process water. Nonetheless, this Order contains provisions necessary to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving water.

- 4. Sediment Quality.** The State Water Board adopted the *Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries – Part 1, Sediment Quality* on September 16, 2008, and it became effective on August 25, 2009 (Enclosed Bays and Estuaries Plan). The Enclosed Bays and Estuaries Plan supersedes other narrative sediment quality objectives, and establishes new sediment quality objectives and related implementation provisions for specifically defined sediments in most bays and estuaries. Requirements of this Order implement sediment quality objectives of the Enclosed Bays and Estuaries Plan.
- 5. National Toxics Rule (NTR) and California Toxics Rule (CTR).** USEPA adopted the NTR on December 22, 1992, and later amended it on May 4, 1995 and November 9, 1999. About forty criteria in the NTR applied in California. On May 18, 2000, USEPA adopted the CTR, which is codified in 40 C.F.R. section 131.38. The CTR promulgated new toxics criteria for California and, in addition, incorporated the previously adopted NTR criteria that were applicable in the state. The CTR was amended on February 13, 2001. These rules contain federal water quality criteria for priority pollutants applicable to all surface waters in California.
- 6. State Implementation Policy.** On March 2, 2000, the State Water Board adopted the Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (State Implementation Policy or SIP). The SIP became effective on April 28, 2000, with respect to the priority pollutant criteria promulgated for California by the USEPA through the NTR and to the priority pollutant objectives established by the Regional Water Board in the Basin Plan. The SIP became effective on May 18, 2000, with

respect to the priority pollutant criteria promulgated by the USEPA through the CTR. The State Water Board adopted amendments to the SIP on February 24, 2005, that became effective on July 13, 2005. The SIP establishes implementation provisions for priority pollutant criteria and objectives and provisions for chronic toxicity control. Requirements of this Order implement the SIP.

7. **Antidegradation Policy.** CWA section 303 and 40 C.F.R. section 131.12 require that the state water quality standards include an antidegradation policy consistent with the federal law and policy. The State Water Board established California's antidegradation policy in State Water Board Resolution 68-16 ("Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California"). Resolution 68-16 is deemed to incorporate the federal antidegradation policy where the federal policy applies under federal law. Resolution 68-16 requires that existing water quality be maintained unless degradation is justified based on specific findings. The Regional Water Board's Basin Plan implements, and incorporates by reference, both the State and federal antidegradation policies. The permitted discharge must be consistent with the antidegradation provision of 40 C.F.R. section 131.12 and State Water Board Resolution 68-16.
8. **Anti-Backsliding Requirements.** Sections 402(o) and 303(d)(4) of the CWA and federal regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(l) restrict backsliding in NPDES permits. These anti-backsliding provisions require that effluent limitations in a reissued permit must be as stringent as those in the previous permit, with some exceptions in which limitations may be relaxed.
9. **Endangered Species Act Requirements.** This Order does not authorize any act that results in the taking of a threatened or endangered species or any act that is now prohibited, or becomes prohibited in the future, under either the California Endangered Species Act (Fish and Game Code, §§ 2050 to 2097) or the Federal Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C.A. §§ 1531 to 1544). This Order requires compliance with effluent limits, receiving water limits, and other requirements to protect the beneficial uses of waters of the state, including protecting rare, threatened, or endangered species. The Discharger is responsible for meeting all requirements of the applicable federal and state Endangered Species Act.
10. **Trash Provisions.** The State Water Board adopted the "*Amendment to the Ocean Plan and Part I Trash Provisions of the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California*" (Trash Provisions) through Resolution No. 2015-0019, which was approved by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on December 2, 2015 and became effective upon USEPA approval on January 12, 2016. The Trash Provisions established a narrative water quality objective and a prohibition on the discharge of trash, to be implemented through permits issued pursuant to CWA section 402(p), waste discharge requirements, or waivers of waste discharge requirements.

The Trash Provisions apply to all surface waters of the State, with the exception of those waters within the jurisdiction of the Regional Water Board where trash or debris Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) are in effect prior to the effective date of the Trash Provisions. There are currently no Trash TMDLs for the Los Angeles/Long Beach Inner Harbors therefore the discharges described in this Order are subject to the Trash Provisions. This Order incorporates the requirements of the Trash Provisions through the prohibition of trash discharges to the discharge points. This Order also requires the Discharger to develop and implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), which shall include specific BMPs used as storm water control measures that the Discharger will undertake to prevent the discharge of trash from the Facility to the Los Angeles/Long Beach Inner Harbors. The Discharger is required to detail and submit to the

Regional Water Board annually (through their annual SWPPP submittal) specific BMPs (storm water control measures) employed to control and prohibit the discharge of trash and other pollutants from the Facility through the NPDES discharge point, consistent with the monitoring and reporting requirement of the Trash Provisions.

#### 11. **Mercury Provisions.**

The State Water Board adopted "*Part 2 of the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California- Tribal and Subsistence Fishing Beneficial Uses and Mercury Provisions*" (Mercury Provisions) through Resolution 2017-0027, which was approved by OAL on June 28, 2017 and became effective upon USEPA approval on July 14, 2017. The Mercury Provisions are implemented through NPDES permits issued pursuant to CWA section 402, waste discharge requirements, or waivers of waste discharge requirements. The Provisions included specific implementation provisions for individual non-storm water NPDES permits for municipal and industrial dischargers; storm water discharges regulated by Municipal Separate Storm Sewer (MS4) permits and the Industrial General Permit (NPDES No. CAS000001); as well as storm water from mine site remediation sites; dredging activities; wetland projects and nonpoint source discharges.

In section IV.D.3 Storm Water Discharges (c) Industrial Activities the Mercury Provisions stipulated that the Numeric Action Level (NAL) of 1,400 nanograms/liter (ng/L), which is in the current NPDES General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associate with Industrial Activities, be modified to 300 ng/L or lower. This is the applicable criteria for storm water discharges. The NAL of 300 ng/L (0.3 µg/L) is less stringent than criteria for mercury of 0.051 µg/L established for the protection of the human health criterion in 40 C.F.R. section 131.38 (i.e. the California Toxics Rule or CTR). Therefore, considering the nature of the discharge, this Order retains the water-quality based effluent limitations (WQBELs) for mercury previously established in Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 consisting of a maximum daily effluent limitation (MDEL) of 0.1 µg/L and an average monthly effluent limitation (AMEL) of 0.05 µg/L. These limitations were established based on the human health criterion for mercury in the CTR due to the reasonable potential for the discharge to cause or contribute to an exceedance of this mercury criterion. Reasonable potential was demonstrated from an analysis of effluent monitoring data submitted by the Discharger during the terms of Order No. R4-2013-0108 and Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 (See section IV.C.3 of this Fact Sheet).

The Facility also discharges infiltrating groundwater to the Cerritos Channel through Discharge Point 001. Chapter IV.D.2.e.2 of the Mercury Provisions authorizes the permitting authority to exempt certain dischargers from some or all of the provisions of Chapter IV.D.2.d.2 if it makes a finding that the discharge is an "insignificant discharge" that will have no reasonable potential with respect to the applicable mercury water quality objectives. The Regional Water Board finds that the discharge of infiltrating groundwater from the Facility is an insignificant discharge and therefore exempt from the provisions of Chapter IV.D.2.d.2 for the reasons listed below:

- a. As previously discussed, the Discharger conducted pipe repairs that resulted in a decrease of the groundwater infiltration from 100,000 gpd to 50,000 gpd. This represents less than one percent of the permitted discharge volume.
- b. During periods of groundwater-only discharge the entire volume of the discharge is treated through the multi-stage treatment system described in Section II.A above.
- c. Infiltrating groundwater is conveyed directly to the treatment system without any intervening industrial processes that would contribute mercury to the discharge.

- d. Monitoring results for the period of April 2015 through December 2018 indicate that on days of groundwater-only discharge mercury was reported as not detected (ND) or detected but not quantified (DNQ) for 49 out of 49 samples. Since the installation of the treatment system in 2017 all mercury results have been ND.
- e. As discussed below the Facility discharges into Cerritos Channel, within the Long Beach Inner Harbor. The receiving water is not listed as impaired for mercury on the 2014-16 303(d) List.

The Regional Water Board, therefore, has determined that the discharge of infiltrating groundwater from this Facility is exempt from the implementation procedures per Chapter IV.D.2.e.2 of the Mercury Provisions.

#### **D. Impaired Water Bodies on the CWA section 303(d) List**

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) requires states to identify specific water bodies where water quality standards are not expected to be met after implementation of technology-based effluent limitations on point sources. For all 303(d)-listed water bodies and pollutants, the Regional Water Board plans to develop and adopt TMDLs that will specify waste load allocations (WLA) for point sources and load allocations (LAs) for non-point sources, as appropriate.

The USEPA approved the California 2014-2016 CWA section 303(d) List of Impaired Waters (2014-16 303(d) List) on April 6, 2018. Certain receiving waters in the Los Angeles and Ventura County watersheds do not fully support beneficial uses and therefore have been classified as impaired on the 2014-16 303(d) List and have been scheduled for TMDL.

The Facility discharges into Cerritos Channel, within the Long Beach Inner Harbor. The 2014-16 303(d) List includes the Los Angeles/Long Beach Inner Harbor. The pollutants/stressors of concern for this waterbody include benthic community effects, benzo(a)pyrene (3,4-benzopyrene-7-d), chrysene (C1-C4), copper, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), toxicity and zinc.

One TMDL has been developed that address some of the stressors listed for the Long Beach Inner Harbor. Following is a summary of this TMDL:

1. **Harbor Toxics TMDL.** The Regional Water Board adopted Resolution No. R11-008 on May 5, 2011, that amended the Basin Plan to incorporate the *TMDL for Toxic Pollutants in Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors Waters* (Harbor Toxics TMDL). The Harbor Toxics TMDL was approved by the State Water Board on February 7, 2012, the OAL on March 21, 2012, and the USEPA on March 23, 2012. The TMDL became effective on March 23, 2012. The Harbor Toxics TMDL contains requirements applicable to this discharge.

For the Cerritos Channel within the Los Angeles/Long Beach Inner Harbor, the Harbor Toxics TMDL includes:

- a. Sediment interim concentration-based waste load allocations (WLAs) (in mg/kg sediment) for copper, lead, zinc, DDT, PAHs and PCBs (Attachment A to Resolution No. R11-008, p. 11).
- b. Water column final concentration-based WLAs ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) for copper, lead, zinc, 4,4'-DDT and total PCBs (Attachment A to Resolution No. R11-008, p. 13).
- c. Provisions for monitoring discharges and/or receiving waters during the TMDL's 20-year implementation schedule to determine attainment with waste load and load allocations as appropriate.

### Implementation of the Harbor Toxics TMDL

The provisions included here are consistent with the assumptions and requirements of the WLAs established in the Harbor Toxics TMDL that are applicable to the discharge from this Facility.

- a. **Water Column WLAs.** This Order includes water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) (in µg/L, total metal) based on Harbor Toxics TMDL saltwater column final concentration-based WLAs for copper (3.73), lead (8.52), zinc (85.6), 4,4'-DDT (0.00059) and total PCBs (0.00017). The TMDL's WLAs were converted from saltwater California Toxics Rule (CTR) criteria using CTR saltwater default translators. The WQBELs were statistically calculated from the WLAs according to provisions in section 1.4 of the State Implementation Policy (SIP).

The Regional Water Board has determined that the WQBELs established in this Order (i.e., copper, lead, zinc, PAHs [benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, chrysene, pyrene], 4,4'-DDT and total PCBs) are consistent with, and constitute equivalency with, the Harbor Toxics TMDL's water and sediment based WLAs for non-MS4 point sources, including irregular discharges. The concentration of the pollutants in the effluent provides a measure of the pollutants discharged from the Facility to the Cerritos Channel within the Long Beach Inner Harbor.

- b. **Sediment Interim Allocations.** The Harbor Toxics TMDL includes interim bed sediment load allocations that apply to the Long Beach Inner Harbor. The interim bed sediment load allocations identified in the TMDL were calculated using data from existing bed sediments. Therefore, the interim sediment allocations identified in the TMDL refer to allocations to the bed sediments in the receiving water and identify the receiving water conditions to be achieved, which WQBELs must protect.

The discharge from the Facility consists of low volumes (approximately 40,000 gpd) of treated infiltrating groundwater with intermittent higher volumes (up to 7.25 MGD) of treated storm water during rain events. As such, the Facility is considered an "irregular discharger" as specified in the TMDL and is assigned concentration-based WLAs equal to the CTR saltwater targets for metals and the CTR human health targets for organic compounds. For these dischargers, the direct application of sediment allocations to the effluent is problematic because the volume of effluent necessary to collect a sufficient amount of total suspended solids (TSS) for sediment analysis is very large and would require a level of planning that would be infeasible to implement for an irregular discharge. The alternative of analyzing bed sediments in the receiving water to demonstrate compliance with the TMDL is also problematic because it is not possible to link bed sediment pollutant levels with the quality of the discharge due to the infrequent nature of the Facility's discharge in combination with contributions of pollutants from other ongoing discharges.

The Harbor Toxics TMDL includes interim bed sediment load allocations (in mg/kg dry sediment) for copper (142.3), lead (50.4), zinc (240.6), DDT (0.07), PAHs (4.58) and PCBs (0.06). The Harbor Toxics TMDL also includes water column WLAs for these parameters. As previously discussed, WQBELs for these pollutants are established in this Order based on Harbor Toxics TMDL WLAs and constitute equivalency with the Harbor Toxics TMDL sediment-based WLAs for non-MS4 point sources including irregular discharges.

The prior Order included monitoring thresholds based on the TMDL's interim sediment allocations that triggered sediment monitoring. The Discharger has indicated to the Regional Water Board that collecting enough sediment sample for

analysis is problematic. The Discharger attempted on at least three occasions to collect enough sediment for analysis over the last five years. On one of those occasions, October 30, 2013, the Discharger sampled deeper into the post-treatment basin to collect settled sediment that is typically cleaned out and disposed offsite rather than being discharged with the effluent. While this was not a representative sample, the technique allowed the Discharger to collect enough sample for a partial sediment analysis. The results of that analysis are summarized in the following table:

**Table F-6. Analytical Results of Sediment Monitoring**

| Parameter   | TMDL Interim Sediment Allocation (mg/kg) | Result (mg/kg) |
|---|--|----------------|
| Copper  | 142.3                                    | 21             |
| Lead  | 50.4                                     | 14             |
| Zinc  | 240.6                                    | 160            |
| PAHs, Total   | 4.58                                     | NA             |
| DDTs, Total   | 0.07                                     | NA             |
| PCBs, Total   | 0.06                                     | NA             |
| NA = Not analyzed due to insufficient sample volume |  |                |

Since 2013, pipe repairs have been completed that have reduced the amount of infiltrating groundwater. Also, the installation of the treatment system in 2017 has further reduced the volume of solids in the effluent. Therefore, collecting enough sediment sample for analysis has become increasingly problematic.

For this Facility, which is an irregular discharger, using the water portion of the effluent as the measure of compliance for copper, lead, zinc, DDT, PAHs and PCBs is equally protective of receiving water quality. Therefore, the monitoring thresholds that trigger sediment monitoring are not retained in this Order.

- c. **Water Column, Sediment, and Fish Tissue Monitoring for the Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters.** As defined in the Harbor Toxics TMDL, the Discharger is a “responsible party” because it is an “Individual Industrial Permittee”. As such, the Discharger, either alone, or as part of a collaborating group, is responsible for monitoring water and sediment discharges. For Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 the Discharger met this requirement as part of the Harbor Toxics TMDL Coordinated Compliance Monitoring and Reporting Program Group formed by the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach. The Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board within 90 days of the effective date of this Order of its intention to continue to meet this requirement as part of a collaborating group, start a new collaborating group, or to develop a site-specific Monitoring Plan with a QAPP. If the Discharger decides to develop a site-specific Monitoring Plan, it shall notify the Regional Water Board within 90 days of the effective date of the Order and submit the proposed Monitoring Plan and QAPP to the Regional Water Board within 12 months of the effective date of the Order for public comment and the Regional Water Board Executive Officer approval. The Discharger shall begin monitoring 6 months after the Monitoring Plan and QAPP are approved by the Executive Officer, unless otherwise directed by the Executive Officer. The Discharger shall continue to participate in the collaborating group until monitoring under the approved site-specific Monitoring Plan begins. The compliance monitoring program shall include water column, sediment,

and fish tissue monitoring. Details on these requirements are provided in Section VI.C.2.b of this Order.

**E. Other Plans, Polices and Regulations—Not Applicable**

**IV. RATIONALE FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS**

The CWA requires point source dischargers to control the amount of conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants that are discharged into the waters of the United States. The control of pollutants discharged is established through effluent limitations and other requirements in NPDES permits. There are two principal bases for effluent limitations in the Code of Federal Regulations: 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(a) requires that permits include applicable technology-based limitations and standards; and 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d) requires that permits include water quality-based effluent limitations to attain and maintain applicable numeric and narrative water quality criteria to protect the beneficial uses of the receiving water.

The list of pollutants of concern was developed based on constituents that were historically found in the effluent or are common pollutants from storm water discharges, site-specific information and monitoring data. Pollutants commonly associated with storm water discharges include pH, BOD, oil and grease, TSS, settleable solids, turbidity, temperature and metals.

Generally, mass-based effluent limitations ensure that proper treatment, and not dilution, is employed to comply with the final effluent concentration limitations. Section 122.45(f)(1) requires that all permit limitations, standards or prohibitions be expressed in terms of mass units except under the following conditions: (1) for pH, temperature, radiation or other pollutants that cannot appropriately be expressed by mass limitations; (2) when applicable standards or limitations are expressed in terms of other units of measure; or (3) if in establishing technology-based permit limitations on a case-by-case basis, limitations based on mass are infeasible because the mass or pollutant cannot be related to a measure of production. The limitations, however, must ensure that dilution will not be used as a substitute for treatment. This Order includes mass-based effluent limitations, where appropriate, to comply with Section 122.45(f)(1).

**A. Discharge Prohibitions**

Discharge Prohibitions in this Order are based on the federal CWA, the Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.), the Basin Plan, the Water Code, State Water Board's plans and policies, USEPA guidance and regulations, and previous permit provisions. This Order includes a new prohibition for trash in order to implement the statewide Trash Provisions. The discharge prohibitions included in this Order are consistent with the requirements set for other dischargers within the Los Angeles Region that are regulated by NPDES permits.

**B. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations**

**1. Scope and Authority**

Section 301(b) of the CWA and implementing USEPA permit regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.44 require that permits include conditions meeting applicable technology-based requirements at a minimum, and any more stringent effluent limitations necessary to meet applicable water quality standards. The discharge authorized by this Order must meet minimum federal technology-based requirements based on Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) in accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 125.3.

The CWA requires that technology-based effluent limitations be established based on several levels of controls:

- a. Best practicable treatment control technology (BPT) represents the average of the best existing performance by well-operated facilities within an industrial category or

subcategory. BPT standards apply to toxic, conventional, and non-conventional pollutants.

- b. Best available technology economically achievable (BAT) represents the best existing performance of treatment technologies that are economically achievable within an industrial point source category. BAT standards apply to toxic and non-conventional pollutants.
- c. Best conventional pollutant control technology (BCT) represents the control from existing industrial point sources of conventional pollutants including BOD, TSS, fecal coliform, pH, and oil and grease. The BCT standard is established after considering a two-part reasonableness test. The first test compares the relationship between the costs of attaining a reduction in effluent discharge and the resulting benefits. The second test examines the cost and level of reduction of pollutants from the discharge from publicly owned treatment works to the cost and level of reduction of such pollutants from a class or category of industrial sources. Effluent limitations must be reasonable under both tests.
- d. New source performance standards (NSPS) represent the best available demonstrated control technology standards. The intent of NSPS guidelines is to set limitations that represent state-of-the-art treatment technology for new sources.

The CWA requires USEPA to develop effluent limitations, guidelines and standards (ELGs) representing application of BPT, BAT, BCT, and NSPS. Section 402(a)(1) of the CWA and 40 C.F.R. section 125.3 authorize the use of BPJ to derive technology-based effluent limitations on a case-by-case basis where ELGs are not available for certain industrial categories and/or pollutants of concern. Where BPJ is used, the Regional Water Board must consider specific factors outlined in 40 C.F.R. section 125.3.

## **2. Applicable Technology-Based Effluent Limitations**

Currently, no technology-based ELGs exist that apply to the Facility. The technology-based requirements in this Order are based on case-by-case numeric limitations, developed in Order No. R4-2013-0108, using BPJ, and retained in Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01. In setting these limitations, the Regional Water Board considered the factors listed in 40 C.F.R. section 125(d) and chose to apply BCT for these conventional pollutants. The technology-based effluent limitations are carried over from the existing Order for BOD, oil and grease, MTBE, chlorinated phenols, settleable solids, sulfides, TPH and turbidity. Pursuant to state and federal anti-backsliding regulations, this Order retains effluent limitations for these pollutants as technology-based effluent limitations. These limitations are consistent with technology-based limitations included in other Orders within the State for similar types of discharges.

Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 included a technology-based effluent limitation of 5 µg/L for 1,1-dichloroethane based on BPJ. Effluent monitoring results from September 2013 through June 2018 exhibited a maximum 1,1-dichloroethane concentration of 0.58 µg/L, indicating the Discharger has not demonstrated reasonable potential to exceed the limitation. Therefore, this Order does not retain the technology-based effluent limitation for 1,1-dichloroethane but requires monitoring for that pollutant.

Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 included technology-based effluent limitations for TSS based on BPJ. This Order retains these limitations as the treatment technologies utilized onsite have demonstrated the ability to meet the effluent limitations. The TSS effluent limitation is also a water quality-based effluent limitation based on Basin Plan requirements and EPA criteria (see Section IV.C.6.g below).

Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 required the Discharger to develop and implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). This Order requires the Discharger to update and continue to implement the SWPPP. The SWPPP will outline site-specific management processes for minimizing storm water contamination and for preventing contaminated storm water from being discharged directly to the receiving water. At a minimum, the management practices should ensure that raw materials and chemicals do not come into contact with storm water. This Order requires the Discharger to update and continue to implement a SWPPP consistent with requirements in Attachment G.

This Order requires the Discharger to develop and implement a Best Management Practices Plan that addresses specific areas that are considered sources of pollutants. The BMPs shall include measures to minimize the amount of pollutants entering the discharge.

The combination of the SWPPP and BMPs and Order limitations reflecting BPJ will serve as the equivalent of technology-based effluent limitations, in the absence of established ELGs, in order to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

The following table summarizes the technology-based effluent limitations for Discharge Point 001:

**Table F-7. Summary of Technology-based Effluent Limitations for Discharge Point 001**

| Parameter   | Units                | Average Monthly | Maximum Daily |
|---|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) <sup>1</sup>      | mg/L                 | 20              | 30            |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | 1209            | 1814          |
| Oil and Grease <sup>1</sup>                       | mg/L                 | 10              | 15            |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | 605             | 907           |
| TSS <sup>1</sup>                                  | mg/L                 | 50              | 75            |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | 3,023           | 4,535         |
| Methyl Tert-butyl Ether (MTBE) <sup>1</sup>       | µg/L                 | --              | 35            |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | --              | 2.1           |
| Phenols, Chlorinated <sup>1,3</sup>               | µg/L                 | --              | 1             |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | --              | 0.06          |
| Settleable Solids <sup>1</sup>                    | mL/L                 | 0.1             | 0.3           |
| Sulfides <sup>1</sup>                             | mg/L                 | --              | 1             |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | --              | 60            |
| Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) <sup>1,4</sup> | µg/L                 | --              | 100           |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | --              | 4.2           |
| Turbidity <sup>1</sup>                            | NTU                  |                 | 75            |

<sup>1</sup> TBELs for this parameter is based on BPT requirements (40 C.F.R. section 125.3(d)(1); 40 C.F.R. section 125.3(c)(2)).

<sup>2</sup> The mass limitations are based on a maximum flow of 7.25 MGD and is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Flow (MGD)} \times \text{Concentration (mg/L)} \times 8.34 \text{ (conversion factor)} = \text{lbs/day.}$$

<sup>3</sup> Chlorinated phenols shall mean the sum of 2-chlorophenol, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 4-chloro-3-methylphenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol and pentachlorophenol.

<sup>4</sup> TPH equals the sum of TPH(C<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>12</sub>), TPH(C<sub>13</sub>-C<sub>22</sub>), and TPH(C<sub>23+</sub>).

## **C. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)**

### **1. Scope and Authority**

CWA Section 301(b) and 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d) require that permits include limitations more stringent than applicable federal technology-based requirements where necessary to achieve applicable water quality standards.

40 C.F.R. Section 122.44(d)(1)(i) requires that permits include effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at levels that have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard in the receiving water, including numeric and narrative objectives within a standard. Where reasonable potential has been established for a pollutant, but there is no numeric criterion or objective for the pollutant, water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) must be established using: (1) USEPA criteria guidance under CWA section 304(a), supplemented where necessary by other relevant information; (2) an indicator parameter for the pollutant of concern; or (3) a calculated numeric water quality criterion, such as a proposed state criterion or policy interpreting the state's narrative criterion, supplemented with other relevant information, as provided in section 122.44(d)(1)(vi). WQBELs must also be consistent with the assumptions and requirements of TMDL WLAs approved by USEPA.

The process for determining reasonable potential and calculating WQBELs when necessary is intended to protect the designated uses of the receiving water as specified in the Basin Plan and achieve applicable water quality objectives and criteria that are contained in other state plans and policies, or any applicable water quality criteria contained in the CTR and NTR.

The specific procedures for determining reasonable potential and, if necessary, for calculating WQBELs are contained in the USEPA's *Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control* (EPA/505/2-90-001,1991) (TSD) for storm water discharges and in the SIP for non-storm water discharges. However, the TSD on Page 64 Section 3.3.8 states that "The statistical approach shown in Box 3-2 or an analogous approach developed by a regulatory authority can be used to determine the reasonable potential." The Regional Water Board has determined that the procedures for determining reasonable potential and calculating WQBELs contained in the SIP for non-storm water discharges may also be used to evaluate reasonable potential and calculate WQBELs for storm water discharges. Hence, in this Order, the SIP methodology is used to evaluate reasonable potential for storm water discharges through Discharge Point 001.

### **2. Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives**

As noted in section III of this Fact Sheet, the Regional Water Board adopted a Basin Plan that designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, and contains implementation programs and policies to achieve those objectives for all waters addressed through the Basin Plan. The beneficial uses applicable to the receiving water are summarized in section III.C.1 of this Fact Sheet. The Basin Plan includes both narrative and numeric water quality objectives applicable to the receiving water.

Priority pollutant water quality criteria in the CTR are applicable to the Cerritos Channel. The CTR contains both saltwater and freshwater criteria. Because a distinct separation generally does not exist between freshwater and saltwater aquatic communities, and in accordance with Section 131.38(c)(3), saltwater criteria apply at salinities of 10 part per thousand (ppt) and greater at locations where this occurs 95 percent or more of the time. The most recent receiving water monitoring data for station RSW-002 submitted by the Discharger (from April 3, 2017) indicated a salinity of 33.2 ppt. Therefore, the CTR criteria for saltwater aquatic life or human health for consumption of organisms, whichever is more

stringent, are used to prescribe the effluent limitations in this Order to protect the beneficial uses of the Cerritos Channel within the Long Beach Inner Harbor, a water of the United States.

The following table summarizes the applicable water quality criteria/objective for priority pollutants reported in detectable concentrations in the effluent or receiving water:

**Table F-8. Applicable Water Quality Criteria**

| CTR No. | Constituent                 | Selected Criteria | CTR/NTR Water Quality Criteria |         |   | TMDL Waste Load Allocation <sup>1</sup> |
|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---|---|
|         |                             |                   | Saltwater                      |         | Human Health for Consumption of: Organisms only |   |
|         |                             |                   | Acute                          | Chronic |   |   |
|         |                             | µg/L              | µg/L                           | µg/L    | µg/L  |   |
| 1       | Antimony                    | 4,300             | --                             | --      | 4,300   | --                                      |
| 2       | Arsenic <sup>2</sup>        | 36                | 69                             | 36      | --  | --                                      |
| 4       | Cadmium <sup>2</sup>        | 9.4               | 42.3                           | 9.4     | Narrative                                       | --                                      |
| 5a      | Chromium (III) <sup>2</sup> | 180               | 550                            | 180     | --  | --                                      |
| 5b      | Chromium (VI) <sup>2</sup>  | 50                | 1100                           | 50      | --  | --                                      |
| 6       | Copper <sup>2</sup>         | 3.73              | 5.8                            | 3.7     | --  | 3.73                                    |
| 7       | Lead <sup>2</sup>           | 8.52              | 221                            | 8.5     | --  | 8.52                                    |
| 8       | Mercury                     | 0.051             | --                             | --      | 0.051   | --                                      |
| 9       | Nickel <sup>2</sup>         | 8.3               | 75                             | 8.3     | 4,600   | --                                      |
| 10      | Selenium <sup>2</sup>       | 71                | 290                            | 71      | --  | --                                      |
| 13      | Zinc <sup>2</sup>           | 85.6              | 95                             | 86      | --  | 85.6                                    |
| 53      | Pentachlorophenol           | 7.9               | 13                             | 7.9     | 8.2   | --                                      |
| 95      | Nitrobenzene                | 1,900             | --                             | --      | 1,900   | --                                      |
| 108     | 4-4'-DDT                    | 0.00059           | 0.13                           | 0.001   | 0.00059   | 0.00059                                 |
| 119-125 | Total PCBs                  | 0.00017           | --                             | 0.03    | 0.00017   | 0.00017                                 |

<sup>1</sup> TMDL for Toxic Pollutants in Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors Waters (Attachment A to Resolution No. R11-008, Page 13).

<sup>2</sup> CTR dissolved criteria converted to total recoverable using CTR standard conversion factors.

### 3. Determining the Need for WQBELs

#### a. Reasonable Potential Analysis Methodology

In accordance with section 1.3 of the SIP, the Regional Water Board conducts a Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA) for each priority pollutant with an applicable criterion or objective to determine if a WQBEL is required in the permit. If there is an applicable TMDL-based WLA, then WQBELs are developed using the WLA pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(d)(1)(vii)(B). Otherwise, the Regional Water Board analyzes effluent and receiving water data and identifies the maximum observed effluent concentration (MEC) and maximum background concentration (B) in the receiving water for each constituent. To determine reasonable potential, the MEC and the B are then compared with the applicable water quality objectives (C) outlined in the CTR, NTR, as well as the Basin Plan. For all pollutants that have a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above a state water quality standard, numeric WQBELs are required.

Section 1.3 of the SIP provides the procedures for determining reasonable potential to exceed applicable water quality criteria and objectives. The SIP specifies three triggers to complete an RPA:

Trigger 1 – if  $MEC \geq C$ , a limit is needed.

Trigger 2 – If the background concentration  $B > C$  and the pollutant is detected in the effluent, a limit is needed.

Trigger 3 – If other related information such as CWA 303(d) listing for a pollutant, discharge type, compliance history, or other applicable factors indicate that a WQBEL is required.

Sufficient effluent and receiving water data are needed to conduct a complete RPA. If data are not sufficient, the Discharger will be required to gather the appropriate data for the Regional Water Board to conduct the RPA. Upon review of the data, and if the Regional Water Board determines that WQBELs are needed to protect the beneficial uses, the permit will be reopened for appropriate modification.

The RPA was performed for the priority pollutants regulated in the CTR for which data are available. Effluent data for Discharge Point 001 was collected from September 2013 through June 2018. Upstream receiving water data collected in 2013 through 2018 was utilized as background data in the RPA.

**b. Reasonable Potential Analysis Results**

The RPA was performed for the priority pollutants included in the Basin Plan and the CTR criteria. Based on the RPA, pollutants that demonstrate reasonable potential are copper, lead, nickel and zinc.

Copper, lead, nickel and zinc demonstrated Trigger 1 reasonable potential because the MEC was greater than the C. Effluent limitations contained in Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 for pollutants that did not display reasonable potential were not retained in this Order (see section IV.D.1 for further discussion of compliance with anti-backsliding requirements).

As discussed in section III.D of the Fact Sheet, discharges from the Facility are subject to the Harbor Toxics TMDL. This TMDL provides water column concentration-based WLAs for copper, lead, zinc, 4,4'-DDT and total PCBs that are applicable to the Facility. Copper, lead and zinc demonstrated reasonable potential and effluent limitations were calculated using TMDL WLAs and SIP procedures. Though 4,4'-DDT and total PCBs did not display reasonable potential the effluent limitations from Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 for these pollutants are retained in this Order based on Trigger 3. The RPA results are summarized in the following table:

**Table F-9. Reasonable Potential Analysis Summary**

| CTR No. | Constituent       | Applicable Water Quality Criteria (C) in µg/L | Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC) in µg/L | Maximum Receiving Water Conc. (B) in µg/L | RPA Result - Need Limit? | Reason <sup>1</sup> |
|---------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 1       | Antimony          | 4,300   | 2.3                                  | ND  | No                       | MEC<C               |
| 2       | Arsenic           | 36  | 20                                   | 6.2                                       | No                       | MEC<C               |
| 4       | Cadmium           | 9.4   | 0.76                                 | 0.64                                      | No                       | MEC<C               |
| 5a      | Chromium (III)    | 180   | 12                                   | 0.47                                      | No                       | MEC<C               |
| 5b      | Chromium (VI)     | 50  | 1.4                                  | ND  | No                       | MEC<C               |
| 6       | Copper            | 3.7   | 52                                   | 7.5                                       | Yes                      | Triggers 1 & 3      |
| 7       | Lead              | 8.5   | 90                                   | ND  | Yes                      | Triggers 1 & 3      |
| 8       | Mercury           | 0.051   | 0.16                                 | ND  | Yes                      | Trigger 1           |
| 9       | Nickel            | 8.3   | 18                                   | 8.4                                       | Yes                      | Trigger 1           |
| 10      | Selenium          | 71  | 26                                   | 8.8                                       | No                       | MEC<C               |
| 13      | Zinc              | 86  | 870                                  | ND  | Yes                      | Triggers 1 & 3      |
| 53      | Pentachlorophenol | 7.9   | 1.1                                  | ND  | No                       | MEC<C               |
| 95      | Nitrobenzene      | 1,900   | 44.1                                 | 33.2                                      | No                       | MEC<C               |
| 108     | 4-4'-DDT          | 0.00059                                       | ND                                   | ND  | Yes                      | Trigger 3           |
| 119-125 | Total PCBs        | 0.00017                                       | ND                                   | ND  | Yes                      | Trigger 3           |

ND = Not Detected

<sup>1</sup> Section 1.3 of the SIP specifies three triggers to complete an RPA:  
Trigger 1 – If the MEC ≥ C, a limit is needed.  
Trigger 2 – If B > C and the pollutant is detected in the effluent, a limit is needed.  
Trigger 3 – If other related information such as CWA 303(d) listing for a pollutant, discharge type, compliance history, etc. indicates that a WQBEL is required.

#### 4. WQBEL Calculations

- a. If a reasonable potential exists to exceed applicable water quality criteria or objectives, then a WQBEL must be established in accordance with one or more of the three procedures contained in Section 1.4 of the SIP. These procedures include:
  - i. If applicable and available, use of the WLA established as part of a TMDL.
  - ii. Use of a steady-state model to derive MDELs and AMELs.
  - iii. Where sufficient effluent and receiving water data exist, use of a dynamic model, which has been approved by the Regional Water Board.
- b. In this Order, no dilution credit is being allowed. However, in accordance with the reopener provision in section VI.C.1.e in the Order, this Order may be reopened upon the submission by the Discharger of adequate information to establish appropriate dilution credits or a mixing zone, as determined by the Regional Water Board.

- c. The process for developing these limits is in accordance with Section 1.4 of the SIP. Two sets of AMEL and MDEL values are calculated separately, one set for the protection of aquatic life and the other for the protection of human health. The AMEL and MDEL limitations for aquatic life and human health are compared, and the most restrictive AMEL and the most restrictive MDEL are selected as the WQBEL.

Using nickel as an example, the WQBELs were calculated using the process described below:

Calculation of aquatic life AMEL and MDEL:

**Step 1:** For each constituent requiring an effluent limit, identify the applicable water quality criteria or objective. For each criterion, determine the effluent concentration allowance (ECA) using the following steady state equation:

$$ECA = C + D(C-B) \text{ when } C > B, \text{ and}$$

$$ECA = C \quad \text{when } C \leq B,$$

Where C = The priority pollutant criterion/objective, adjusted if necessary for hardness, pH and translators. For discharges from the Facility, criteria for saltwater are independent of hardness and pH.

D = The dilution credit, and

B = The ambient background concentration

As discussed above, for this Order, dilution was not allowed; therefore:

$$ECA = C$$

For total recoverable nickel the applicable ECAs are:

$$ECA_{\text{acute}} = 74.75 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$ECA_{\text{chronic}} = 8.28 \mu\text{g/L}$$

**Step 2:** For each ECA based on aquatic life criterion/objective, determine the long-term average discharge condition (LTA) by multiplying the ECA by a factor (multiplier). The multiplier is a statistically based factor that adjusts the ECA to account for effluent variability. The value of the multiplier varies depending on the coefficient of variation (CV) of the data set and whether it is an acute or chronic criterion/objective. Table 1 of the SIP provides pre-calculated values for the multipliers based on the value of the CV. Equations to develop the multipliers are provided in Section 1.4, Step 3 of the SIP and will not be repeated here.

$$LTA_{\text{acute}} = ECA_{\text{acute}} \times \text{Multiplier}_{\text{acute}}^{99}$$

$$LTA_{\text{chronic}} = ECA_{\text{chronic}} \times \text{Multiplier}_{\text{chronic}}^{99}$$

The CV for the data set must be determined before the multipliers can be selected and will vary depending on the number of samples and the standard deviation of a data set. If the data set is less than 10 samples, or at least 80% of the samples in the data set are reported as non-detect, the CV shall be set equal to 0.6. Since there are more than 10 samples, and none of the samples were reported as non-detect, the CV is calculated as follows:

$$CV = \frac{\text{Standard Deviation}}{\text{Average}} = \frac{0.33}{2.78} = 0.12$$

For total recoverable nickel, the following data were used to develop the acute and chronic LTA using equations provided in Section 1.4, Step 3 of the SIP (Table 1 of the SIP also provides this data up to three decimals):

| No. of Samples | CV   | ECA Multiplier <sub>acute</sub> | ECA Multiplier <sub>chronic</sub> |
|----------------|------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 4              | 0.12 | 0.76                            | 0.87                              |

$$LTA_{acute} = 74.75 \mu\text{g/L} \times 0.76 = 57.2 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$LTA_{chronic} = 8.28 \mu\text{g/L} \times 0.87 = 7.23 \mu\text{g/L}$$

**Step 3:** Select the most limiting (lowest) of the LTA.

$$LTA = \text{most limiting of } LTA_{acute} \text{ or } LTA_{chronic}$$

For total recoverable nickel, the most limiting LTA was the  $LTA_{acute}$

$$LTA_{nickel} = LTA_{acute} = 7.23 \mu\text{g/L}$$

**Step 4:** Calculate the WQBELs by multiplying the LTA by a factor (multiplier). WQBELs are expressed as AMEL and MDEL. The multiplier is a statistically based factor that adjusts the LTA for the averaging periods and exceedance frequencies of the criteria/objectives and the effluent limitations. The value of the multiplier varies depending on the probability basis, the CV of the data set, the number of samples (for AMEL) and whether it is a monthly or daily limit. Table 2 of the SIP provides pre-calculated values for the multipliers based on the value of the CV and the number of samples. Equations to develop the multipliers in place of using values in the tables are provided in Section 1.4, Step 5 of the SIP and will not be repeated here.

$$AMEL_{aquatic\ life} = LTA \times AMEL_{multiplier\ 95}$$

$$MDEL_{aquatic\ life} = LTA \times MDEL_{multiplier\ 99}$$

AMEL multipliers are based on a 95<sup>th</sup> percentile occurrence probability, and the MDEL multipliers are based on the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile occurrence probability. If the number of samples is less than four (4), the default number of samples to be used is four (4).

For total recoverable nickel, the following data were used to develop the AMEL and MDEL for effluent limitations using equations provided in Section 1.4, Step 5 of the SIP (Table 2 of the SIP also provides this data up to two decimals):

| No. of Samples Per Month | CV   | Multiplier <sub>MDEL 99</sub> | Multiplier <sub>AMEL 95</sub> |
|--------------------------|------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4                        | 0.12 | 1.31                          | 1.10                          |

Total recoverable nickel

$$AMEL = 7.23 \mu\text{g/L} \times 1.10 = 8.0 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$MDEL = 7.23 \mu\text{g/L} \times 1.31 = 9.5 \mu\text{g/L}$$

For nickel, the effluent limits are based on aquatic toxicity and are incorporated into this Order. These limitations are expected to be protective of the beneficial uses of the receiving water.

## 5. WQBELs Based on Basin Plan Objectives

- a. **pH.** This Order includes instantaneous minimum and maximum effluent limitations for pH based on Basin Plan objectives.
- b. **Ammonia.** The Basin Plan includes objectives for waters not characteristic of freshwater for un-ionized ammonia of 0.233 mg/L (1-hour avg.) and 0.035 mg/L (4-

day avg.). Effluent monitoring was conducted for ammonia (total as N) during the period of September 2013 through June 2018. The un-ionized ammonia objectives were translated to ammonia (total as N) objectives based on receiving water values for pH, temperature and salinity. The translated objectives are 6.97 mg/L (1-hour avg.) and 1.52 mg/L (4-day avg.). Effluent monitoring results for all samples collected were below the 6.97 mg/L objective. Effluent monitoring results for samples collected prior to the completion of the pipe repairs and the installation of the treatment system were above the 1.52 mg/L objective. Effluent monitoring results for samples collected after the completion of these tasks were all below this objective. Therefore, reasonable potential has not been demonstrated and this Order does not establish effluent limitations for ammonia based on Basin Plan objectives. Monitoring requirements for this pollutant are retained in this Order.

- c. **Bacteria.** This Order retains the effluent bacteria limitations from Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 based on Water Quality Objectives (WQOs) included in the Basin Plan that are applicable to the Cerritos Channel.
- d. **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>).** The 5-day BOD test indirectly measures the amount of readily degradable organic material in water by measuring the residual dissolved oxygen after a period of incubation (usually 5 days at 20° C). This Order addresses BOD through technology-based effluent limitations.
- e. **Dissolved Oxygen.** This Order applies the water quality objective for dissolved oxygen as a receiving water limit.
- f. **Oil and Grease.** This Order addresses oil and grease through technology-based effluent limitations.
- g. **Solid, Suspended or Settleable Materials.** The Basin Plan requires that, “Waters shall not contain suspended or settleable material in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.” This narrative objective has been translated into a numeric effluent limitation, based on USEPA’s *Quality Criteria for Water* (commonly known as the “Gold Book”). In the Gold Book, USEPA notes that in a study downstream from a discharge where inert suspended solids were increased to 80 mg/L, the density of macroinvertebrates decreased by 60 percent...”. This indicates that suspended solids concentrations of 80 mg/L in the receiving water resulted in adverse effects to aquatic life. Therefore, this Order establishes a maximum daily effluent limitation of 75 mg/L for Total Suspended Solids (TSS). This limitation is expected to be protective of receiving water quality, consistent with what is typically established for similar discharges in the Los Angeles Region, and achievable with technologies employed at the Facility.
- h. **Temperature.** This Order includes an instantaneous effluent temperature limitation of 86°F based on the Thermal Plan and consistent with a white paper entitled *Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen Impacts on Biota in Tidal Estuaries and Enclosed Bays in the Los Angeles Region*.
- i. **Turbidity.** This Order applies the water quality objective for turbidity as a receiving water limitation in addition to the technology-based effluent limitation.

## 6. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)

Whole effluent toxicity (WET) protects the receiving water quality from the aggregate toxic effect of a mixture of pollutants in the effluent. WET tests measure the degree of response of exposed aquatic test organisms to an effluent. The WET approach allows for protection of the narrative “no toxics in toxics amounts” criterion while implementing numeric criteria

for toxicity. There are two types of WET tests: acute and chronic. An acute toxicity test is conducted over a short time and measures mortality. A chronic toxicity test is conducted over a longer period of time and may measure mortality, reproduction and growth.

The Basin Plan specifies a narrative objective for toxicity, requiring that all waters be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are lethal to or produce other detrimental responses by aquatic organisms. Detrimental responses include, but are not limited to, decreased growth rate, decreased reproductive success of resident or indicator species, and/or significant alterations in population, community ecology, or receiving water biota.

Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 included acute toxicity limitations and monitoring requirements at Discharge Point 001 in accordance with the Basin Plan, in which the acute toxicity objective for discharges dictates that the average survival in undiluted effluent for any three consecutive 96-hour static or continuous flow bioassay tests shall be at least 90%, with no single test having less than 70% survival.

Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 also included chronic toxicity limitations and monitoring requirements at Discharge Point 001 in accordance with the Basin Plan, which prescribes a monthly median effluent limitation for chronic toxicity of 1.0 TU<sub>c</sub>.

As indicated in Table F-4 above, the Discharger experienced thirteen violations of the chronic toxicity effluent limitation during the period of September 2013 through December 2018. Therefore, reasonable potential has been demonstrated for chronic toxicity and an effluent limitation for chronic toxicity is required.

Chronic toxicity is a more stringent requirement than acute toxicity. A chemical at a low concentration can have chronic effects but no acute effects. This Order does not retain the acute toxicity limitation in Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01. The chronic toxicity limitation addresses both acute and chronic toxicity endpoints in organisms exposed to the discharge.

This Order establishes an MDEL of “Pass” or “% Effect <50” as a chronic toxicity effluent limitation. In June 2010, USEPA published a guidance document titled *National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Test of Significant Toxicity Implementation Document* (EPA 833-R-10-003, June 2010), in which they recommend the following: “Permitting authorities should consider adding the TST approach to their implementation procedures for analyzing valid WET data for their current NPDES WET Program.” The TST approach is another statistical option for analyzing valid WET test data. Use of the TST approach does not result in any changes to EPA’s WET test methods. Section 9.4.1.2 of USEPA’s *Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to West Coast Marine and Estuarine Organisms* (EPA/600/R-95/0136, 1995), recognizes that, “the statistical methods recommended in this manual are not the only possible methods of statistical analysis.” The TST approach can be applied to acute (survival) and chronic (sublethal) endpoints and is appropriate to use for both freshwater and marine EPA WET test methods.

The TST’s null hypothesis for chronic toxicity is:

H<sub>0</sub>: Mean response (IWC in % effluent) ≤ 0.75 mean response (Control).

Results obtained from the chronic toxicity test are analyzed using the TST approach and an acceptable level of chronic toxicity is demonstrated by rejecting the null hypothesis and reporting “Pass” or “P”. Chronic toxicity results are expressed as “Pass” or “Fail” and “% Effect”. Since no dilution is allowed, the chronic toxicity IWC for Discharge Point 001 is 100 percent effluent.

This Order contains numeric chronic toxicity effluent limitations. Nevertheless, this Order contains a reopener to allow the Regional Water Board and USEPA to modify the permit in the future, if necessary, to make it consistent with any new policy, plan, law, or regulation.

#### **D. Final Effluent Limitation Considerations**

##### **1. Anti-Backsliding Requirements**

Sections 402(o) and 303(d)(4) of the CWA and federal regulations at 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(l) prohibit backsliding in NPDES permits. These anti-backsliding provisions require effluent limitations in a reissued permit to be as stringent as those in the previous permit, with some exceptions where limitations may be relaxed. All effluent limitations in this Order are at least as stringent as the effluent limitations in Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 with the exception of those discussed below.

Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 established effluent limitations for acute toxicity. This Order replaces the acute toxicity limitations with a chronic toxicity limitation. Chronic toxicity evaluates lethality as does the previously used acute test and it evaluates reductions in reproduction and growth; therefore, it is more stringent than the acute limitation.

Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 included maximum daily effluent limitations (MDELs) and average monthly effluent limitations (AMELs) for arsenic, copper, nickel, selenium, zinc and 1,1-dichloroethane. Data from self-monitoring reports were used to conduct a new reasonable potential analysis (RPA). The result of the RPA was that reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above water quality objectives was not demonstrated for arsenic, selenium and 1,1-dichloroethane. Therefore, the effluent limitations for these pollutants are not retained in this Order pursuant to CWA section 402(o)(2)(B)(i). For nickel, which demonstrated reasonable potential, new effluent limitations were calculated using SIP procedures. The calculations resulted in new effluent limitations for nickel (AMEL) that are less stringent than those included in Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01. Therefore the nickel (AMEL) limits in this Order were revised pursuant to CWA section 402(o)(2)(B)(i).

With respect to copper and zinc, these limits were revised based on the WLAs in the Harbor Toxics TMDL and new data obtained. To explain, CWA section 402(o)(1) prohibits the establishment of less stringent water quality based effluent limitations "except in compliance with section 303(d)(4)." Section 303(d)(4) of the CWA has two parts: paragraph (A) which applies to nonattainment waters and paragraph (B) which applies to attainment waters as follows:

- a. For waters where standards are not attained, CWA section 303(d)(4)(A) specifies that any effluent limit based on a TMDL or other WLA may be revised only if the cumulative effect of all such revised effluent limits based on such TMDLs or WLAs will assure the attainment of such water quality standards.
- b. For attainment waters, CWA section 303(d)(4)(B) specifies that a limitation based on a water quality standard may be relaxed where the action is consistent with the Antidegradation Policy.

The Los Angeles/Long Beach Harbor is a nonattainment water as it is included on the 303(d) impaired water bodies list. Copper and zinc are two of the pollutants listed as causing impairments. The Harbor Toxics TMDL includes WLAs for these two pollutants in the water column. The new effluent limits for copper (MDEL) and zinc (AMEL) are less stringent than those included in Order R4-2013-0108-A01. They are calculated based on WLAs included in the TMDL and implementing guidance established by the State Water

Resources Control Board. The new effluent limits will assure attainment of water quality standards for copper and zinc, and they comply with the exceptions to CWA section 402(o).

## 2. Antidegradation Policies

40 C.F.R. section 131.12 requires that the state water quality standards include an antidegradation policy consistent with the federal policy. The State Water Board established California's antidegradation policy in State Water Board Resolution 68-16. Resolution 68-16 incorporates the federal antidegradation policy where the federal policy applies under federal law. Resolution 68-16 requires that existing water quality be maintained unless degradation is justified based on specific findings. The Regional Water Board's Basin Plan and the SIP implement, and incorporate by reference, both the state and federal antidegradation policies. Compliance with these requirements will result in the use of best practicable treatment or control of the discharge.

This Order does not provide for an increase in the permitted design flow and the final limitations in this Order hold the Discharger to performance levels that will not cause or contribute to water quality impairment or degradation of water quality. Therefore, the permitted discharge is consistent with the antidegradation provision of section 131.12 and State Water Board Resolution 68-16.

## 3. Mass-based Effluent Limitations

Generally, mass-based effluent limitations ensure that proper treatment, and not dilution, is employed to comply with the final effluent concentration limitations. 40 C.F.R. § 122.45(f)(1) requires that all permit limitations, standards or prohibitions be expressed in terms of mass units except under the following conditions: (1) for pH, temperature, radiation or other pollutants that cannot appropriately be expressed by mass limitations; (2) when applicable standards or limitations are expressed in terms of other units of measure; or (3) if, in establishing technology-based permit limitation on a case-by-case basis, limitations based on mass are infeasible because the mass or pollutant cannot be related to a measure of production.

Mass-based effluent limitations are established using the following formula:

$$\text{Mass (lbs/day)} = \text{flow rate (MGD)} \times 8.34 \times \text{effluent limitation (mg/L)}$$

where: Mass = mass limitation for a pollutant (lbs/day)

Effluent limitation = concentration limit for a pollutant (mg/L)

Flow rate = discharge flow rate (MGD).

According to the Report of Waste Discharge submitted by the Discharger, the maximum flow is 7.25 MGD at Discharge Point 001. The mass-based effluent limitations are calculated using this flow.

## 4. Stringency of Requirements for Individual Pollutants

This Order contains both technology-based and water quality-based effluent limitations for individual pollutants. The technology-based effluent limitations consist of restrictions on BOD, TSS, oil and grease, settleable solids, sulfides, TPH, phenolic compounds, turbidity and MTBE at Discharge Point 001. Restrictions on these parameters are discussed in section IV.B.2 of this Fact Sheet. This Order's technology-based pollutant restrictions implement the minimum, applicable federal technology-based requirements.

Water quality-based effluent limitations have been derived to implement water quality objectives that protect beneficial uses. Both the beneficial uses and the water quality

objectives have been approved pursuant to federal law and are the applicable federal water quality standards. To the extent that toxic pollutant water quality-based effluent limitations were derived from the CTR, the CTR is the applicable standard pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 131.38. The procedures for calculating the individual water quality-based effluent limitations for priority pollutants are based on the CTR implemented by the SIP, which was approved by USEPA on May 18, 2000. Most beneficial uses and water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan were approved under state law and submitted to and approved by USEPA prior to May 30, 2000. Any water quality objectives and beneficial uses submitted to USEPA prior to May 30, 2000, but not approved by USEPA before that date, are nonetheless “applicable water quality standards for purposes of the CWA” pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 131.21(c)(1). The remaining water quality objectives and beneficial uses implemented by this Order were approved by USEPA and are applicable water quality standards pursuant to section 131.21(c)(2). Collectively, this Order’s restrictions on individual pollutants are no more stringent than required to implement the requirements of the CWA.

**5. Summary of Final Effluent Limitations**

**Table F-10. Summary of Final Effluent Limitations at Discharge Point 001**

| Parameter   | Units                               | Effluent Limitations |                       |               |                 | Basis <sup>1</sup> |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|   |                                     | Average Monthly      | Maximum Daily         | Instantaneous |                 |                    |
|   |                                     |                      |                       | Minimum       | Maximum         |                    |
| <b>Conventional Pollutants</b>                      |                                     |                      |                       |               |                 |                    |
| pH  | s.u.                                | --                   |                       | 6.5           | 8.5             | BP, PO             |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5-day @ 20 Deg. C) | mg/L                                | 20                   | 30                    | --            | --              | BPJ, PO            |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup>                | 1,209                | 1,814                 | --            | --              |                    |
| Oil and Grease                                      | mg/L                                | 10                   | 15                    | --            | --              | BPJ, PO            |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup>                | 605                  | 907                   | --            | --              |                    |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS)                        | mg/L                                | 50                   | 75                    | --            | --              | BP, GB, PO         |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup>                | 3,023                | 4,535                 | --            | --              |                    |
| <b>Non-conventional Pollutants</b>                  |                                     |                      |                       |               |                 |                    |
| Methyl Tert-butyl Ether (MTBE)                      | µg/L                                | --                   | 35                    | --            | --              | BPJ, PO            |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup>                | --                   | 2.1                   | --            | --              |                    |
| Chlorinated Phenols <sup>3</sup>                    | mg/L                                | --                   | 1.0                   | --            | --              | BPJ, PO            |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup>                | --                   | 60                    | --            | --              |                    |
| Settleable Solids                                   | ml/L                                | 0.1                  | 0.3                   | --            | --              | BPJ, PO            |
| Sulfides  | mg/L                                | --                   | 1                     | --            | --              | BPJ, PO            |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup>                | --                   | 60                    | --            | --              |                    |
| Temperature   | °F                                  | --                   | --                    | --            | 86 <sup>4</sup> | BP, TP, WP, PO     |
| Turbidity   | NTU                                 | 50                   | 75                    | --            | --              | BPJ, PO            |
| Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) <sup>5</sup>     | µg/L                                | --                   | 100                   | --            | --              | BPJ, PO            |
|   | lbs/day <sup>2</sup>                | --                   | 6.0                   | --            | --              |                    |
| Bacteria  |                                     |                      | <sup>6</sup>          |               |                 | BP, PO             |
| Chronic Toxicity                                    | Pass or Fail, % Effect <sup>7</sup> | Pass <sup>8</sup>    | Pass or % Effect < 50 | --            | --              | BP, BPJ, TST       |

| Parameter                  | Units                | Effluent Limitations |               |               |         | Basis <sup>1</sup> |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|--------------------|
|                            |                      | Average Monthly      | Maximum Daily | Instantaneous |         |                    |
|                            |                      |                      |               | Minimum       | Maximum |                    |
| <b>Priority Pollutants</b> |                      |                      |               |               |         |                    |
| Copper, Total Recoverable  | µg/L                 | 2.6                  | 6.9           | --            | --      | CTR, TMDL, PO      |
|                            | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | 0.16                 | 0.42          | --            | --      |                    |
| Lead, Total Recoverable    | µg/L                 | 4.4                  | 14            | --            | --      | CTR, TMDL, PO      |
|                            | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | 0.27                 | 0.85          | --            | --      |                    |
| Mercury, Total Recoverable | µg/L                 | 0.05                 | 0.1           | --            | --      | CTR, PO            |
|                            | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | 0.0031               | 0.0062        | --            | --      |                    |
| Nickel, Total Recoverable  | µg/L                 | 8.0                  | 9.5           | --            | --      | CTR, PO            |
|                            | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | 0.48                 | 0.57          | --            | --      |                    |
| Zinc, Total Recoverable    | µg/L                 | 76                   | 121           | --            | --      | CTR, TMDL, PO      |
|                            | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | 4.6                  | 7.3           | --            | --      |                    |
| 4,4'-DDT                   | µg/L                 | 0.00059              | 0.0012        | --            | --      | TMDL, PO           |
|                            | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | 0.00004              | 0.00007       | --            | --      |                    |
| Total PCBs <sup>9</sup>    | µg/L                 | 0.00017              | 0.00034       | --            | --      | TMDL, PO           |
|                            | lbs/day <sup>2</sup> | 0.00001              | 0.00002       | --            | --      |                    |

<sup>1</sup> BP = Basin Plan; TP = Thermal Plan; PO = Order No. R4-2013-0097; BPJ = Best Professional Judgment; CTR = California Toxic Rule; GB = USEPA Gold Book; MP = Mercury Provisions; SIP = State Implementation Policy; TMDL = Harbor Toxics TMDL (Attachment A to Resolution No. R11-008), and WP = White Paper.

<sup>2</sup> The mass limitations are based on a maximum flow of 7.25 MGD and is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Flow (MGD)} \times \text{Concentration (mg/L)} \times 8.34 \text{ (conversion factor)} = \text{lbs/day.}$$

<sup>3</sup> Chlorinated phenols shall mean the sum of 2-chlorophenol, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 4-chloro-3-methylphenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol and pentachlorophenol.

<sup>4</sup> The maximum temperature of waste discharges shall not exceed the natural temperature of the receiving water by more than 20 degrees F, neither shall the temperature of waste discharges exceed a maximum of 86 degrees F.

<sup>5</sup> TPH equals the sum of TPH(C<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>12</sub>), TPH(C<sub>13</sub>-C<sub>22</sub>), and TPH(C<sub>23+</sub>).

<sup>6</sup> Bacterial limitations are established for both geometric means and single samples. The geometric mean values should be calculated based on a statically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than 5 samples equally spaced over a 30-day period):

a. Rolling 30-day Geometric Mean Limitations

- i. Total coliform density shall not exceed 1,000/100 ml.
- ii. Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 200/100 ml.
- iii. Enterococcus shall not exceed 35/100 ml.

b. Single Sample (SSM) Limitations

- i. Total coliform density shall not exceed 10,000/100 ml.
- ii. Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 400/100 ml.
- iii. Enterococcus density shall not exceed 104/100 ml.
- iv. Total coliform density shall not exceed 1,000/100 ml, if the ratio of fecal-to-total coliform exceeds 0.1.

<sup>7</sup> Report "Pass" or "Fail" for Median Monthly Effluent Limitation (MMEL). Report "Pass" or "Fail" and "% Effect" for Maximum Daily Effluent Limitation (MDEL). During a calendar month, up to three independent toxicity tests may be conducted if the first toxicity test results in "Fail".

<sup>8</sup> This is a Median Monthly Effluent Limitation.

<sup>9</sup> See Footnote 12 of Table E-2 in Attachment E of this Order for requirements on PCBs analyses.

**E. Interim Effluent Limitations—Not Applicable**

**F. Land Discharge Specifications—Not Applicable**

**G. Recycling Specifications—Not Applicable**

## V. RATIONALE FOR RECEIVING WATER LIMITATIONS

The receiving water limitations in the proposed Order are based upon the water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan and statewide water quality control plans. As such, they are a required part of the proposed Order.

### A. Surface Water

The Basin Plan contains numeric and narrative water quality objectives applicable to all surface waters within the Los Angeles Region. These water quality objectives include the requirement to maintain high-quality waters pursuant to federal regulations (40 C.F.R. section 131.12) and State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16. Numeric and narrative water quality objectives applicable to surface waters within the Los Angeles Region and the Cerritos Channel in particular include the Thermal Plan and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries Plan, including the provisions related to Sediment Quality, Trash Control and Mercury. Receiving water limitations in this Order are included to ensure protection of beneficial uses of the receiving water.

### B. Groundwater—Not Applicable

## VI. RATIONALE FOR PROVISIONS

### A. Standard Provisions

Standard Provisions, which apply to all NPDES permits in accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 122.41, and additional conditions applicable to specified categories of permits in accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 122.42, are provided in Attachment D. The Discharger must comply with all standard provisions and with those additional conditions that are applicable under section 122.42.

Sections 122.41(a)(1) and (b) through (n) of 40 C.F.R. establish conditions that apply to all state-issued NPDES permits. These conditions must be incorporated into the permits either expressly or by reference. If incorporated by reference, a specific citation to the regulations must be included in the Order. Section 123.25(a)(12) of 40 C.F.R. allows the state to omit or modify conditions to impose more stringent requirements. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. section 123.25, this Order omits federal conditions that address enforcement authority specified in 40 C.F.R. sections 122.41(j)(5) and (k)(2) because the enforcement authority under the Water Code is more stringent. In lieu of these conditions, this Order incorporates by reference Water Code section 13387(e).

### B. Special Provisions

#### 1. Reopener Provisions

These provisions are based on 40 C.F.R. part 123 and Order No. R4-2013-0108. The Regional Water Board may reopen the permit to modify permit conditions and requirements. Causes for modifications include the promulgation of new federal regulations, modification in toxicity requirements, or adoption of new regulations by the State Water Board or Regional Water Board, including revisions to the Basin Plan.

#### 2. Special Studies and Additional Monitoring Requirements

- a. **Initial Investigation Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Workplan.** This provision is based on section 4 of the SIP, Toxicity Control Provisions, which establishes minimum toxicity control requirements for implementing the narrative toxicity objective for aquatic life protection established in the basin plans of the State of California.
- b. **Harbor Toxics TMDL Water Column, Sediment and Fish Tissue Monitoring for the Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor Waters.** This provision

implements the Compliance Monitoring Program as required in the Harbor Toxics TMDL. The Compliance Monitoring Program includes water column monitoring, sediment monitoring and fish tissue monitoring at monitoring stations in the Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor waters. The Discharger may join a collaboration group, start a new collaborating group, or develop a site-specific plan to comply with this requirement.

**3. Best Management Practices and Pollution Prevention**

- a. **Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).** The previous Order required the Discharger to develop and implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). This Order will require the Discharger to update and continue to implement the SWPPP. The SWPPP will outline site-specific management processes for minimizing storm water runoff contamination and for preventing trash and contaminated storm water runoff from being discharged directly into the Cerritos Channel. At a minimum, the management practices should ensure that raw materials and chemicals do not come into contact with storm water. SWPPP requirements are included as Attachment G, based on 40 C.F.R. section 122.44(k).
  - b. **Best Management Practices Plan (BMPP).** This Order requires the Discharger to develop and implement the BMPP. The BMPP may be included as a component of the SWPPP. The purpose of the BMPP is to establish site-specific procedures that ensure proper operation and maintenance of equipment, to ensure that unauthorized non-storm water discharges (i.e., spills) do not occur at the Facility. The BMPP shall incorporate the requirements contained in Attachment G. Attachment G requires a discussion on the effectiveness of each BMP to reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges.
- 4. Construction, Operation, and Maintenance Specifications—Not Applicable**
  - 5. Special Provisions for Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)—Not Applicable**
  - 6. Other Special Provisions—Not Applicable**
  - 7. Compliance Schedules—Not Applicable**

**VII. RATIONALE FOR MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

CWA section 308 and 40 C.F.R. sections 122.41(h), (j)-(l), 122.44(i), and 122.48 require that all NPDES permits specify monitoring and reporting requirements. Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 also authorize the Regional Water Board to establish monitoring, inspection, entry, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements. The Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP), Attachment E of this Order establishes monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements that implement federal and state requirements. The following provides the rationale for the monitoring and reporting requirements contained in the MRP for this facility.

- A. Influent Monitoring—Not Applicable**
- B. Effluent Monitoring: Discharge Point 001**

Monitoring for pollutants expected to be present in the discharge will be required as established in the MRP (Attachment E) and as required in the SIP. To demonstrate compliance with established effluent limitations, the Order retains the monitoring requirements from Order No. R4-2013-0108-A01 with the exception of acute toxicity (see section VII.C of this Fact Sheet for a discussion of toxicity requirements).

The SIP states that the Regional Water Board will require periodic monitoring for pollutants for which criteria or objectives apply and for which no effluent limitations have been established.

This Order requires the Discharger to conduct annual monitoring for the remaining CTR priority pollutants and TCDD Equivalents. The Regional Water Board will use the additional data to conduct an RPA and determine if additional WQBELs are required. The Regional Water Board may reopen the permit to incorporate additional effluent limitations and requirements, if necessary.

### **C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements**

Whole effluent toxicity (WET) protects the receiving water quality from the aggregate toxic effect of a mixture of pollutants in the effluent. An acute toxicity test is conducted over a short time period and measures mortality. A chronic toxicity test is conducted over a longer period of time and may measure mortality, reproduction, and growth. This Order includes limitations for chronic toxicity and therefore, monitoring requirements are included in the MRP to determine compliance with the effluent limitations established in Limitations and Discharge Requirements, Effluent Limitations, section IV.A.

### **D. Receiving Water Monitoring**

#### **1. Surface Water**

According to the SIP, the Discharger is required to monitor the upstream receiving water for the CTR priority pollutants to determine reasonable potential. Accordingly, the Regional Water Board is requiring that the Discharger conduct upstream receiving water monitoring of the CTR priority pollutants, TCDD equivalents, and ammonia at Monitoring Location RSW-001 during years in which a discharge occurs. Additionally, the Discharger must analyze pH and salinity of the upstream receiving water at the same time as the samples are collected for priority pollutants analyses.

This Order includes monitoring requirements for the downstream location, Monitoring Location RSW-002. Monitoring for dissolved oxygen is required to demonstrate compliance with Basin Plan Objectives. In addition, at Monitoring Location RSW-002 the Discharger must monitor for ammonia, pH, and temperature to adjust the ammonia water quality objective, expressed as un-ionized ammonia, to total ammonia and to determine potential impacts of effluent ammonia to the receiving water concentrations.

#### **2. Groundwater—Not Applicable**

## **VIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

The Regional Water Board has considered the issuance of WDRs that will serve as an NPDES permit for the Facility. As a step in the WDR adoption process, Regional Water Board staff has developed tentative WDRs and has encouraged public participation in the WDR adoption process.

### **A. Notification of Interested Persons**

The Regional Water Board notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe WDRs for the discharge and provided an opportunity to submit written comments and recommendations. Notification was provided through email and public notice.

The public had access to the agenda and any changes in dates and locations through the Regional Water Board's website at <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles>.

### **B. Written Comments**

Interested persons were invited to submit written comments concerning tentative WDRs as provided through the notification process electronically at [losangeles@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:losangeles@waterboards.ca.gov) with a copy to [thomas.siebels@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:thomas.siebels@waterboards.ca.gov).

To be fully responded to by staff and considered by the Regional Water Board, the written comments were due at the Regional Water Board office by 5:00 p.m. on April 17, 2019.

**C. Public Hearing**

The Regional Water Board held a public hearing on the tentative WDRs during its regular Board meeting on the following date and time and at the following location:

Date: May 9, 2019  
Time: 9:00 AM  
Location: City of Agoura Hills (Council Chambers)  
30001 Ladyface Court  
Aguora Hills, CA 91301

Interested persons were invited to attend. At the public hearing, the Regional Water Board heard testimony pertinent to the discharge, WDRs, and permit. For accuracy of the record, important testimony was requested in writing.

**D. Reconsideration of Waste Discharge Requirements**

Any person aggrieved by this action of the Regional Water Board may petition the State Water Board to review the action in accordance with Water Code section 13320 and California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2050 and following. The State Water Board must receive the petition by 5:00 p.m., within 30 calendar days of the date of adoption of this Order at the following address, except that if the thirtieth day following the date of this Order falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or state holiday, the petition must be received by the State Water Board by 5:00 p.m. on the next business day:

State Water Resources Control Board  
Office of Chief Counsel  
P.O. Box 100, 1001 I Street  
Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

Or by email at [waterqualitypetitions@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:waterqualitypetitions@waterboards.ca.gov)

For instructions on how to file a petition for review, see:

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public\\_notices/petitions/water\\_quality/wqpetition\\_instr.shtml](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/petitions/water_quality/wqpetition_instr.shtml)

**E. Information and Copying**

The Report of Waste Discharge, other supporting documents, and comments received are on file and may be inspected at the address above at any time between 8:30 a.m. and 4:45 p.m., Monday through Friday. Copying of documents may be arranged through the Regional Water Board by calling 213-576-6600.

The tentative WDRs, comments received and response to comments are also available on the Regional Water Board's website at:

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/board\\_decisions/tentative\\_orders/index.shtml](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/board_decisions/tentative_orders/index.shtml)

**F. Register of Interested Persons**

Any person interested in being placed on the mailing list for information regarding the WDRs and NPDES permit should contact the Regional Water Board, reference this facility, and provide a name, address, and phone number.

**G. Additional Information**

Requests for additional information or questions regarding this order should be directed to Thomas Siebels at (213) 576-6756.

## **ATTACHMENT G – STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN REQUIREMENTS**

### **I. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE**

A storm water pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) shall be developed and submitted to the Regional Water Board within 90 days following the adoption of this Order. The SWPPP shall be implemented for each facility covered by this Permit within 10 days of approval from the Regional Water Board, or no later than 90 days from the date of the submittal of the SWPPP to the Regional Water Board (whichever comes first).

### **II. OBJECTIVES**

The SWPPP has two major objectives: (a) to identify and evaluate sources of pollutants associated with industrial activities that may affect the quality of storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges from the facility; and (b) to identify and implement site-specific best management practices (BMPs) to reduce or prevent pollutants associated with industrial activities in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges. BMPs may include a variety of pollution prevention measures or other low-cost and pollution control measures. They are generally categorized as non-structural BMPs (activity schedules, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other low-cost measures) and as structural BMPs (treatment measures, run-off controls, over-head coverage.) To achieve these objectives, facility operators should consider the five phase process for SWPPP development and implementation as shown in Table A.

The SWPPP requirements are designed to be sufficiently flexible to meet the needs of various facilities. SWPPP requirements that are not applicable to a facility should not be included in the SWPPP.

A facility's SWPPP is a written document that shall contain a compliance activity schedule, a description of industrial activities and pollutant sources, descriptions of BMPs, drawings, maps, and relevant copies or references of parts of other plans. The SWPPP shall be revised whenever appropriate and shall be readily available for review by facility employees or Regional Water Board inspectors.

### **III. PLANNING AND ORGANIZATION**

#### **M. Pollution Prevention Team**

The SWPPP shall identify a specific individual or individuals and their positions within the facility organization as members of a storm water pollution prevention team responsible for developing the SWPPP, assisting the facility manager in SWPPP implementation and revision, and conducting all monitoring program activities required in Attachment E of this Permit. The SWPPP shall clearly identify the Permit related responsibilities, duties, and activities of each team member. For small facilities, storm water pollution prevention teams may consist of one individual where appropriate.

#### **N. Review Other Requirements and Existing Facility Plans**

The SWPPP may incorporate or reference the appropriate elements of other regulatory requirements. Facility operators should review all local, state, and federal requirements that impact, complement, or are consistent with the requirements of this permit. Facility operators should identify any existing facility plans that contain storm water pollutant control measures or relate to the requirements of this Permit. As examples, facility operators whose facilities are subject to federal Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures' requirements should already have instituted a plan to control spills of certain hazardous materials. Similarly, facility operators

whose facilities are subject to air quality related permits and regulations may already have evaluated industrial activities that generate dust or particulates.

**TABLE A**  
**FIVE PHASES FOR DEVELOPING AND IMPLEMENTING INDUSTRIAL**  
**STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLANS**

**PLANNING AND ORGANIZATION**

Form Pollution Prevention Team  
Review other plans

**ASSESSMENT PHASE**

Develop a site map  
Identify potential pollutant sources  
Inventory of materials and chemicals  
List significant spills and leaks  
Identify non-storm water discharges  
Assess pollutant risks

**BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IDENTIFICATION PHASE**

Non-structural BMPs  
Structural BMPs  
Select activity and site-specific BMPs

**IMPLEMENTATION PHASE**

Train employees  
Implement BMPs  
Conduct recordkeeping and reporting

**EVALUATION / MONITORING**

Conduct annual site evaluation  
Review monitoring information  
Evaluate BMPs  
Review and revise SWPPP

**IV. SITE MAP**

The SWPPP shall include a site map. The site map shall be provided on an 8-½ x 11 inch or larger sheet and include notes, legends, and other data as appropriate to ensure that the site map is clear and understandable. If necessary, facility operators may provide the required information on multiple site maps.

The following information shall be included on the site map:

- A. The facility boundaries; the outline of all storm water drainage areas within the facility boundaries; portions of the drainage area impacted by run-on from surrounding areas; and direction of flow of each drainage area, on-site surface water bodies, and areas of soil erosion. The map shall also identify nearby water bodies (such as rivers, lakes, and ponds) and municipal storm drain inlets where the facility's storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges may be received.
- B. The location of the storm water collection and conveyance system, associated points of discharge, and direction of flow. Include any structural control measures that affect storm water discharges, authorized non-storm water discharges, and run-on. Examples of structural control measures are catch basins, berms, detention ponds, secondary containment, skim ponds, diversion barriers, etc.
- C. An outline of all impervious areas of the facility, including paved areas, buildings, covered storage areas, or other roofed structures.
- D. Locations where materials are directly exposed to precipitation and the locations where significant spills or leaks identified in section VI.A.4 below have occurred.
- E. Areas of industrial activity. This shall include the locations of all storage areas and storage tanks, shipping and receiving areas, fueling areas, vehicle and equipment storage/maintenance areas, material handling and processing areas, waste treatment and disposal areas, dust or particulate generating areas, cleaning and rinsing areas, and other areas of industrial activity which are potential pollutant sources.

## V. LIST OF SIGNIFICANT MATERIALS

The SWPPP shall include a list of significant materials<sup>1</sup> handled and stored at the site. For each material on the list, describe the locations where the material is being stored, received, shipped, and handled, as well as the typical quantities and frequency. Materials shall include raw materials, intermediate products, final or finished products, recycled materials, and waste or disposed materials.

## VI. DESCRIPTION OF POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES

- A. The SWPPP shall include a narrative description of the facility's industrial activities, as identified in section IV.E. above, associated potential pollutant sources, and potential pollutants that could be discharged in storm water discharges or authorized non-storm water discharges. At a minimum, the following items related to a facility's industrial activities shall be considered:
  2. **Industrial Processes.** Describe each industrial process, the type, characteristics, and quantity of significant materials used in or resulting from the process, and a description of the manufacturing, cleaning, rinsing, recycling, disposal, or other activities related to the process. Where applicable, areas protected by containment structures and the corresponding containment capacity shall be described.

---

<sup>1</sup> "Significant materials" includes, but is not limited to: raw materials; fuels; materials such as solvents, detergents, and plastic pellets; finished materials such as metallic products; raw materials used in food processing or production; hazardous substances designated under section 101(14) of Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA); any chemical the facility is required to report pursuant to section 313 of Title III of Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA); fertilizers; pesticides; and waste products such as ashes, slag, and sludge that have the potential to be released with storm water discharges.

3. **Material Handling and Storage Areas.** Describe each handling and storage area, type, characteristics, and quantity of significant materials handled or stored, description of the shipping, receiving, and loading procedures, and the spill or leak prevention and response procedures. Where applicable, areas protected by containment structures and the corresponding containment capacity shall be described.
4. **Dust and Particulate Generating Activities.** Describe all industrial activities that generate dust or particulates that may be deposited within the facility's boundaries and identify their discharge locations; the characteristics of dust and particulate pollutants; the approximate quantity of dust and particulate pollutants that may be deposited within the facility boundaries; and a description of the primary areas of the facility where dust and particulate pollutants would settle.
5. **Significant Spills and Leaks.** Describe materials that have spilled or leaked in significant quantities in storm water discharges or authorized non-storm water discharges since April 17, 1994. Include toxic chemicals (listed in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.), part 302) that have been discharged to storm water as reported on U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Form R, and oil and hazardous substances in excess of reportable quantities (see 40 C.F.R., parts 110, 117, and 302).

The description shall include the type, characteristics, and approximate quantity of the material spilled or leaked, the cleanup or remedial actions that have occurred or are planned, the approximate remaining quantity of materials that may be exposed to storm water or non-storm water discharges, and the preventative measures taken to ensure spill or leaks do not reoccur. Such list shall be updated as appropriate during the term of this Permit.

6. **Non-Storm Water Discharges.** Facility operators shall investigate the facility to identify all non-storm water discharges and their sources. As part of this investigation, all drains (inlets and outlets) shall be evaluated to identify whether they connect to the storm drain system.

All non-storm water discharges shall be described. This shall include the source, quantity, frequency, and characteristics of the authorized non-storm water discharges and associated drainage area.

Non-storm water discharges that are not authorized by this Permit, other waste discharge requirements, or other NPDES permits are prohibited. The SWPPP must include BMPs to prevent or reduce contact of authorized non-storm water discharges with significant materials (as defined in Footnote 1 of section V above) or equipment.

7. **Soil Erosion.** Describe the facility locations where soil erosion may occur as a result of industrial activity, storm water discharges associated with industrial activity, or authorized non-storm water discharges.
8. **Trash.** Describe the facility locations where trash may be generated as a result of facility operations and on-site activities.

- B. The SWPPP shall include a summary of all areas of industrial activities, potential pollutant sources, and potential pollutants. This information should be summarized similar to Table B. The last column of Table B, "Control Practices", should be completed in accordance with section VIII below.

## **VII. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES**

- A.** The SWPPP shall include a narrative assessment of all industrial activities and potential pollutant sources as described in section VI above to determine:
- 1.** Which areas of the facility are likely sources of pollutants in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges, and
  - 2.** Which pollutants are likely to be present in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges. Facility operators shall consider and evaluate various factors when performing this assessment such as current storm water BMPs; quantities of significant materials handled, produced, stored, or disposed of; likelihood of exposure to storm water or authorized non-storm water discharges; history of spill or leaks; and run-on from outside sources.
- B.** Facility operators shall summarize the areas of the facility that are likely sources of pollutants and the corresponding pollutants that are likely to be present in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges.

Facility operators are required to develop and implement additional BMPs as appropriate and necessary to prevent or reduce pollutants associated with each pollutant source. The BMPs will be narratively described in section VIII below.

## **VIII. STORM WATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES**

The SWPPP shall include a narrative description of the storm water BMPs to be implemented at the facility for each potential pollutant and its source identified in the site assessment phase (sections VI. and VII. above). The BMPs shall be developed and implemented to reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges. Each pollutant and its source may require one or more BMPs. Some BMPs may be implemented for multiple pollutants and their sources, while other BMPs will be implemented for a very specific pollutant and its source.

**TABLE B**  
**EXAMPLE**  
**ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL POLLUTION SOURCES AND**  
**CORRESPONDING BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES**  
**SUMMARY**

| Area                        | Activity | Pollutant Source   | Pollutant | Best Management Practices  |
|-----------------------------|----------|--|-----------|--|
| Vehicle & Equipment Fueling | Fueling  | Spills and leaks during delivery.<br><br>Spills caused by topping off fuel tanks.<br><br>Hosing or washing down fuel oil fuel area.<br><br>Leaking storage tanks.<br><br><br><br>Rainfall running off fuel oil, and rainfall running onto and off fueling area | fuel oil  | Use spill and overflow protection.<br><br>Minimize run-on of storm water into the fueling area.<br><br>Cover fueling area.<br><br>Use dry cleanup methods rather than hosing down area.<br>Implement proper spill prevention control program.<br><br>Implement adequate preventative maintenance program to preventive tank and line leaks.<br><br>Inspect fueling areas regularly to detect problems before they occur.<br><br>Train employees on proper fueling, cleanup, and spill response techniques. |

The description of the BMPs shall identify the BMPs as (1) existing BMPs, (2) existing BMPs to be revised and implemented, or (3) new BMPs to be implemented. The description shall also include a discussion on the effectiveness of each BMP to reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges. The SWPPP shall provide a summary of all BMPs implemented for each pollutant source. This information should be summarized similar to Table B.

Facility operators shall consider the following BMPs for implementation at the facility:

## O. Non-Structural BMPs

Non-structural BMPs generally consist of processes, prohibitions, procedures, schedule of activities, etc., that prevent pollutants associated with industrial activity from contacting with storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges. They are considered low technology, cost-effective measures. Facility operators should consider all possible non-structural BMPs options before considering additional structural BMPs (see section VIII.B. below). Below is a list of non-structural BMPs that should be considered:

1. **Good Housekeeping.** Good housekeeping generally consists of practical procedures to maintain a clean and orderly facility.
2. **Preventive Maintenance.** Preventive maintenance includes the regular inspection and maintenance of structural storm water controls (catch basins, skim ponds, etc.) as well as other facility equipment and systems.
3. **Spill Response.** This includes spill clean-up procedures and necessary clean-up equipment based upon the quantities and locations of significant materials that may spill or leak.
4. **Material Handling and Storage.** This includes all procedures to minimize the potential for spills and leaks and to minimize exposure of significant materials to storm water and authorized non-storm water discharges.
5. **Employee Training.** This includes training of personnel who are responsible for (1) implementing activities identified in the SWPPP, (2) conducting inspections, sampling, and visual observations, and (3) managing storm water. Training should address topics such as spill response, good housekeeping, and material handling procedures, and actions necessary to implement all BMPs identified in the SWPPP. The SWPPP shall identify periodic dates for such training. Records shall be maintained of all training sessions held.
6. **Waste Handling/Recycling.** This includes the procedures or processes to handle, store, or dispose of waste materials or recyclable materials.
7. **Recordkeeping and Internal Reporting.** This includes the procedures to ensure that all records of inspections, spills, maintenance activities, corrective actions, visual observations, etc., are developed, retained, and provided, as necessary, to the appropriate facility personnel.
8. **Erosion Control and Site Stabilization.** This includes a description of all sediment and erosion control activities. This may include the planting and maintenance of vegetation, diversion of run-on and runoff, placement of sandbags, silt screens, or other sediment control devices, etc.
9. **Inspections.** This includes, in addition to the preventative maintenance inspections identified above, an inspection schedule of all potential pollutant sources. Tracking and follow-up procedures shall be described to ensure adequate corrective actions are taken and SWPPPs are made.
10. **Quality Assurance.** This includes the procedures to ensure that all elements of the SWPPP and Monitoring Program are adequately conducted.

## **P. Structural BMPs.**

Where non-structural BMPs as identified in section VIII.A. above are not effective, structural BMPs shall be considered. Structural BMPs generally consist of structural devices that reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges. Below is a list of structural BMPs that should be considered:

1. **Overhead Coverage.** This includes structures that provide horizontal coverage of materials, chemicals, and pollutant sources from contact with storm water and authorized non-storm water discharges.
2. **Retention Ponds.** This includes basins, ponds, surface impoundments, bermed areas, etc. that do not allow storm water to discharge from the facility.
3. **Control Devices.** This includes berms or other devices that channel or route run-on and runoff away from pollutant sources.
4. **Secondary Containment Structures.** This generally includes containment structures around storage tanks and other areas for the purpose of collecting any leaks or spills.
5. **Treatment.** This includes inlet controls, infiltration devices, skim ponds, detention ponds, vegetative swales, etc. that reduce the pollutants in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges.

## **IX. ANNUAL COMPREHENSIVE SITE COMPLIANCE EVALUATION**

The facility operator shall conduct one comprehensive site compliance evaluation (evaluation) in each reporting period (July 1-June 30). Evaluations shall be conducted within 8-16 months of each other. The SWPPP shall be revised, as appropriate, and the revisions implemented within 10 days of approval by the Executive Officer or no later than 90 days after submission to the Regional Water Board, whichever comes first. Evaluations shall include the following:

- A. A review of all visual observation records, inspection records, and sampling and analysis results.
- B. A visual inspection of all potential pollutant sources for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system.
- C. A review and evaluation of all BMPs (both structural and non-structural) to determine whether the BMPs are adequate, properly implemented and maintained, or whether additional BMPs are needed. A visual inspection of equipment needed to implement the SWPPP, such as spill response equipment, shall be included.
- D. An evaluation report that includes, (i) identification of personnel performing the evaluation, (ii) the date(s) of the evaluation, (iii) necessary SWPPP revisions, (iv) schedule, as required in section X.E., for implementing SWPPP revisions, (v) any incidents of non-compliance and the corrective actions taken, and (vi) a certification that the facility operator is in compliance with this Permit. If the above certification cannot be provided, explain in the evaluation report why the facility operator is not in compliance with this Permit. The evaluation report shall be submitted as part of the annual report, retained for at least five years, and signed and certified in accordance with Standard Provisions V.D.5 of Attachment D.

**X. SWPPP GENERAL REQUIREMENTS**

- C.** The SWPPP shall be retained on site and made available upon request of a representative of the Regional Water Board and/or local storm water management agency (local agency) which receives the storm water discharges.
- D.** The Regional Water Board and/or local agency may notify the facility operator when the SWPPP does not meet one or more of the minimum requirements of this section. As requested by the Regional Water Board and/or local agency, the facility operator shall submit an SWPPP revision and implementation schedule that meets the minimum requirements of this section to the Regional Water Board and/or local agency that requested the SWPPP revisions. Within 14 days after implementing the required SWPPP revisions, the facility operator shall provide written certification to the Regional Water Board and/or local agency that the revisions have been implemented.
- E.** The SWPPP shall be revised, as appropriate, and implemented prior to changes in industrial activities which (i) may significantly increase the quantities of pollutants in storm water discharge, (ii) cause a new area of industrial activity at the facility to be exposed to storm water, or (iii) begin an industrial activity which would introduce a new pollutant source at the facility.
- F.** The SWPPP shall be revised and implemented in a timely manner, but in no case more than 90 days after a facility operator determines that the SWPPP is in violation of any requirement(s) of this Permit.
- G.** When any part of the SWPPP is infeasible to implement due to proposed significant structural changes, the facility operator shall submit a report to the Regional Water Board prior to the applicable deadline that (i) describes the portion of the SWPPP that is infeasible to implement by the deadline, (ii) provides justification for a time extension, (iii) provides a schedule for completing and implementing that portion of the SWPPP, and (iv) describes the BMPs that will be implemented in the interim period to reduce or prevent pollutants in storm water discharges and authorized non-storm water discharges. Such reports are subject to Regional Water Board approval and/or modifications. Facility operators shall provide written notification to the Regional Water Board within 14 days after the SWPPP revisions are implemented.

The SWPPP shall be provided, upon request, to the Regional Water Board. The SWPPP is considered a report that shall be available to the public by the Regional Water Board under section 308(b) of the Clean Water Act.

**ATTACHMENT H – STATE WATER BOARD MINIMUM LEVELS (MICROGRAMS/LITER (µG/L))**

The Minimum Levels (MLs) in this appendix are for use in reporting and compliance determination purposes in accordance with section 2.4 of the State Implementation Policy. These MLs were derived from data for priority pollutants provided by State certified analytical laboratories in 1997 and 1998. These MLs shall be used until new values are adopted by the State Water Board and become effective. The following tables (Tables 2a - 2d) present MLs for four major chemical groupings: volatile substances, semi-volatile substances, inorganics, and pesticides and PCBs.

| Table 2a - VOLATILE SUBSTANCES* | GC  | GCMS |
|---------------------------------|-----|------|
| 1,1 Dichloroethane              | 0.5 | 1    |
| 1,1 Dichloroethylene            | 0.5 | 2    |
| 1,1,1 Trichloroethane           | 0.5 | 2    |
| 1,1,2 Trichloroethane           | 0.5 | 2    |
| 1,1,2,2 Tetrachloroethane       | 0.5 | 1    |
| 1,2 Dichlorobenzene (volatile)  | 0.5 | 2    |
| 1,2 Dichloroethane              | 0.5 | 2    |
| 1,2 Dichloropropane             | 0.5 | 1    |
| 1,3 Dichlorobenzene (volatile)  | 0.5 | 2    |
| 1,3 Dichloropropene (volatile)  | 0.5 | 2    |
| 1,4 Dichlorobenzene (volatile)  | 0.5 | 2    |
| Acrolein                        | 2.0 | 5    |
| Acrylonitrile                   | 2.0 | 2    |
| Benzene                         | 0.5 | 2    |
| Bromoform                       | 0.5 | 2    |
| Methyl Bromide                  | 1.0 | 2    |
| Carbon Tetrachloride            | 0.5 | 2    |
| Chlorobenzene                   | 0.5 | 2    |
| Chlorodibromo-methane           | 0.5 | 2    |
| Chloroethane                    | 0.5 | 2    |
| Chloroform                      | 0.5 | 2    |
| Chloromethane                   | 0.5 | 2    |
| Dichlorobromo-methane           | 0.5 | 2    |
| Dichloromethane                 | 0.5 | 2    |
| Ethylbenzene                    | 0.5 | 2    |
| Tetrachloroethylene             | 0.5 | 2    |
| Toluene                         | 0.5 | 2    |
| Trans-1,2 Dichloroethylene      | 0.5 | 1    |
| Trichloroethene                 | 0.5 | 2    |
| Vinyl Chloride                  | 0.5 | 2    |

\*The normal method-specific factor for these substances is 1; therefore, the lowest standard concentration in the calibration curve is equal to the above ML value for each substance.

| Table 2b - SEMI-VOLATILE SUBSTANCES* | GC | GCMS | LC   | COLOR |
|--------------------------------------|----|------|------|-------|
| Benzo (a) Anthracene                 | 10 | 5    |      |       |
| 1,2 Dichlorobenzene (semivolatile)   | 2  | 2    |      |       |
| 1,2 Diphenylhydrazine                |    | 1    |      |       |
| 1,2,4 Trichlorobenzene               | 1  | 5    |      |       |
| 1,3 Dichlorobenzene (semivolatile)   | 2  | 1    |      |       |
| 1,4 Dichlorobenzene (semivolatile)   | 2  | 1    |      |       |
| 2- Chlorophenol                      | 2  | 5    |      |       |
| 2,4 Dichlorophenol                   | 1  | 5    |      |       |
| 2,4 Dimethylphenol                   | 1  | 2    |      |       |
| 2,4 Dinitrophenol                    | 5  | 5    |      |       |
| 2,4 Dinitrotoluene                   | 10 | 5    |      |       |
| 2,4,6 Trichlorophenol                | 10 | 10   |      |       |
| 2,6 Dinitrotoluene                   |    | 5    |      |       |
| 2- Nitrophenol                       |    | 10   |      |       |
| 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether            | 1  | 1    |      |       |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene                  |    | 10   |      |       |
| 3,3' Dichlorobenzidine               |    | 5    |      |       |
| Benzo (b) Fluoranthene               |    | 10   | 10   |       |
| 3-Methyl-Chlorophenol                | 5  | 1    |      |       |
| 4,6 Dinitro-2-methylphenol           | 10 | 5    |      |       |
| 4- Nitrophenol                       | 5  | 10   |      |       |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether           | 10 | 5    |      |       |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether          |    | 5    |      |       |
| Acenaphthene                         | 1  | 1    | 0.5  |       |
| Acenaphthylene                       |    | 10   | 0.2  |       |
| Anthracene                           |    | 10   | 2    |       |
| Benzidine                            |    | 5    |      |       |
| Benzo(a) pyrene                      |    | 10   | 2    |       |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene                 |    | 5    | 0.1  |       |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene                 |    | 10   | 2    |       |
| bis 2-(1-Chloroethoxyl) methane      |    | 5    |      |       |
| bis(2-chloroethyl) ether             | 10 | 1    |      |       |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether         | 10 | 2    |      |       |
| bis(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate          | 10 | 5    |      |       |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate               | 10 | 10   |      |       |
| Chrysene                             |    | 10   | 5    |       |
| di-n-Butyl phthalate                 |    | 10   |      |       |
| di-n-Octyl phthalate                 |    | 10   |      |       |
| Dibenzo(a,h)-anthracene              |    | 10   | 0.1  |       |
| Diethyl phthalate                    | 10 | 2    |      |       |
| Dimethyl phthalate                   | 10 | 2    |      |       |
| Fluoranthene                         | 10 | 1    | 0.05 |       |
| Fluorene                             |    | 10   | 0.1  |       |
| Hexachloro-cyclopentadiene           | 5  | 5    |      |       |
| Hexachlorobenzene                    | 5  | 1    |      |       |
| Hexachlorobutadiene                  | 5  | 1    |      |       |
| Hexachloroethane                     | 5  | 1    |      |       |
| Indeno(1,2,3,cd)-pyrene              |    | 10   | 0.05 |       |
| Isophorone                           | 10 | 1    |      |       |
| N-Nitroso diphenyl amine             | 10 | 1    |      |       |
| N-Nitroso-dimethyl amine             | 10 | 5    |      |       |
| N-Nitroso -di n-propyl amine         | 10 | 5    |      |       |

| Table 2b - SEMI-VOLATILE SUBSTANCES* | GC | GCMS | LC   | COLOR |
|--------------------------------------|----|------|------|-------|
| Naphthalene                          | 10 | 1    | 0.2  |       |
| Nitrobenzene                         | 10 | 1    |      |       |
| Pentachlorophenol                    | 1  | 5    |      |       |
| Phenanthrene                         |    | 5    | 0.05 |       |
| Phenol **                            | 1  | 1    |      | 50    |
| Pyrene                               |    | 10   | 0.05 |       |

\* With the exception of phenol by colorimetric technique, the normal method-specific factor for these substances is 1,000; therefore, the lowest standard concentration in the calibration curve is equal to the above ML value for each substance multiplied by 1,000.

\*\* Phenol by colorimetric technique has a factor of 1.

| Table 2c<br>INORGANICS* | FAA | GFAA | ICP | ICPMS | SPGFAA | HYDRIDE | CVAA | COLOR | DCP    |
|-------------------------|-----|------|-----|-------|--------|---------|------|-------|--------|
| Antimony                | 10  | 5    | 50  | 0.5   | 5      | 0.5     |      |       | 1,000  |
| Arsenic                 |     | 2    | 10  | 2     | 2      | 1       |      | 20    | 1,000  |
| Beryllium               | 20  | 0.5  | 2   | 0.5   | 1      |         |      |       | 1,000  |
| Cadmium                 | 10  | 0.5  | 10  | 0.25  | 0.5    |         |      |       | 1,000  |
| Chromium (total)        | 50  | 2    | 10  | 0.5   | 1      |         |      |       | 1,000  |
| Chromium VI             | 5   |      |     |       |        |         |      | 10    |        |
| Copper                  | 25  | 5    | 10  | 0.5   | 2      |         |      |       | 1,000  |
| Cyanide                 |     |      |     |       |        |         |      | 5     |        |
| Lead                    | 20  | 5    | 5   | 0.5   | 2      |         |      |       | 10,000 |
| Mercury                 |     |      |     | 0.5   |        |         | 0.2  |       |        |
| Nickel                  | 50  | 5    | 20  | 1     | 5      |         |      |       | 1,000  |
| Selenium                |     | 5    | 10  | 2     | 5      | 1       |      |       | 1,000  |
| Silver                  | 10  | 1    | 10  | 0.25  | 2      |         |      |       | 1,000  |
| Thallium                | 10  | 2    | 10  | 1     | 5      |         |      |       | 1,000  |
| Zinc                    | 20  |      | 20  | 1     | 10     |         |      |       | 1,000  |

\* The normal method-specific factor for these substances is 1; therefore, the lowest standard concentration in the calibration curve is equal to the above ML value for each substance.

| Table 2d – PESTICIDES – PCBs* | GC    |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| 4,4'-DDD                      | 0.05  |
| 4,4'-DDE                      | 0.05  |
| 4,4'-DDT                      | 0.01  |
| a-Endosulfan                  | 0.02  |
| alpha-BHC                     | 0.01  |
| Aldrin                        | 0.005 |
| b-Endosulfan                  | 0.01  |
| Beta-BHC                      | 0.005 |
| Chlordane                     | 0.1   |
| Delta-BHC                     | 0.005 |
| Dieldrin                      | 0.01  |
| Endosulfan Sulfate            | 0.05  |
| Endrin                        | 0.01  |
| Endrin Aldehyde               | 0.01  |
| Heptachlor                    | 0.01  |
| Heptachlor Epoxide            | 0.01  |
| Gamma-BHC (Lindane)           | 0.02  |
| PCB 1016                      | 0.5   |
| PCB 1221                      | 0.5   |
| PCB 1232                      | 0.5   |
| PCB 1242                      | 0.5   |
| PCB 1248                      | 0.5   |
| PCB 1254                      | 0.5   |
| PCB 1260                      | 0.5   |
| Toxaphene                     | 0.5   |

\* The normal method-specific factor for these substances is 100; therefore, the lowest standard concentration in the calibration curve is equal to the above ML value for each substance multiplied by 100.

Techniques:

- GC - Gas Chromatography
- GCMS - Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry
- HRGCMS - High Resolution Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (i.e., EPA 1613, 1624, or 1625)
- LC - High Pressure Liquid Chromatography
- FAA - Flame Atomic Absorption
- GFAA - Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption
- HYDRIDE - Gaseous Hydride Atomic Absorption
- CVAA - Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption
- ICP - Inductively Coupled Plasma
- ICPMS - Inductively Coupled Plasma/Mass Spectrometry
- SPGFAA - Stabilized Platform Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption (i.e., EPA 200.9)
- DCP - Direct Current Plasma
- COLOR – Colorimetric

**ATTACHMENT I – LIST OF PRIORITY POLLUTANTS**

| CTR Number | Parameter                  | CAS Number | Analytical Methods |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 1          | Antimony                   | 7440360    | 1                  |
| 2          | Arsenic                    | 7440382    | 1                  |
| 3          | Beryllium                  | 7440417    | 1                  |
| 4          | Cadmium                    | 7440439    | 1                  |
| 5a         | Chromium (III)             | 16065831   | 1                  |
| 5a         | Chromium (VI)              | 18540299   | 1                  |
| 6          | Copper                     | 7440508    | 1                  |
| 7          | Lead                       | 7439921    | 1                  |
| 8          | Mercury                    | 7439976    | 1                  |
| 9          | Nickel                     | 7440020    | 1                  |
| 11         | Selenium                   | 7782492    | 1                  |
| 11         | Silver                     | 7440224    | 1                  |
| 12         | Thallium                   | 7440280    | 1                  |
| 13         | Zinc                       | 7440666    | 1                  |
| 14         | Cyanide                    | 57125      | 1                  |
| 15         | Asbestos                   | 1332214    | 1                  |
| 16         | 2,3,7,8-TCDD               | 1746016    | 1                  |
| 17         | Acrolein                   | 117028     | 1                  |
| 18         | Acrylonitrile              | 117131     | 1                  |
| 19         | Benzene                    | 71432      | 1                  |
| 20         | Bromoform                  | 75252      | 1                  |
| 21         | Carbon Tetrachloride       | 56235      | 1                  |
| 22         | Chlorobenzene              | 118907     | 1                  |
| 23         | Chlorodibromomethane       | 124481     | 1                  |
| 24         | Chloroethane               | 75003      | 1                  |
| 25         | 2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether   | 111758     | 1                  |
| 26         | Chloroform                 | 67663      | 1                  |
| 27         | Dichlorobromomethane       | 75274      | 1                  |
| 28         | 1,1-Dichloroethane         | 75343      | 1                  |
| 29         | 1,2-Dichloroethane         | 117062     | 1                  |
| 30         | 1,1-Dichloroethylene       | 75354      | 1                  |
| 31         | 1,2-Dichloropropane        | 78875      | 1                  |
| 32         | 1,3-Dichloropropylene      | 542756     | 1                  |
| 33         | Ethylbenzene               | 110414     | 1                  |
| 34         | Methyl Bromide             | 74839      | 1                  |
| 35         | Methyl Chloride            | 74873      | 1                  |
| 36         | Methylene Chloride         | 75092      | 1                  |
| 37         | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane  | 79345      | 1                  |
| 38         | Tetrachloroethylene        | 127184     | 1                  |
| 39         | Toluene                    | 118883     | 1                  |
| 40         | 1,2-Trans-Dichloroethylene | 156605     | 1                  |
| 41         | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane      | 71556      | 1                  |
| 42         | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane      | 79005      | 1                  |
| 43         | Trichloroethylene          | 79016      | 1                  |
| 44         | Vinyl Chloride             | 75014      | 1                  |
| 45         | 2-Chlorophenol             | 95578      | 1                  |
| 46         | 2,4-Dichlorophenol         | 120832     | 1                  |
| 47         | 2,4-Dimethylphenol         | 115679     | 1                  |
| 48         | 2-Methyl-4,6-Dinitrophenol | 534521     | 1                  |

| CTR Number | Parameter                   | CAS Number | Analytical Methods |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 49         | 2,4-Dinitrophenol           | 51285      | 1                  |
| 50         | 2-Nitrophenol               | 88755      | 1                  |
| 51         | 4-Nitrophenol               | 110027     | 1                  |
| 52         | 3-Methyl-4-Chlorophenol     | 59507      | 1                  |
| 53         | Pentachlorophenol           | 87865      | 1                  |
| 54         | Phenol                      | 118952     | 1                  |
| 55         | 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol       | 88062      | 1                  |
| 56         | Acenaphthene                | 83329      | 1                  |
| 57         | Acenaphthylene              | 208968     | 1                  |
| 58         | Anthracene                  | 120127     | 1                  |
| 59         | Benzidine                   | 92875      | 1                  |
| 60         | Benzo(a)Anthracene          | 56553      | 1                  |
| 61         | Benzo(a)Pyrene              | 50328      | 1                  |
| 62         | Benzo(b)Fluoranthene        | 205992     | 1                  |
| 63         | Benzo(ghi)Perylene          | 191242     | 1                  |
| 64         | Benzo(k)Fluoranthene        | 207089     | 1                  |
| 65         | Bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Methane  | 111911     | 1                  |
| 66         | Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether     | 111444     | 1                  |
| 67         | Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)Ether | 118601     | 1                  |
| 68         | Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate  | 117817     | 1                  |
| 69         | 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether  | 111553     | 1                  |
| 70         | Butylbenzyl Phthalate       | 85687      | 1                  |
| 71         | 2-Chloronaphthalene         | 91587      | 1                  |
| 72         | 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether | 7005723    | 1                  |
| 73         | Chrysene                    | 218019     | 1                  |
| 74         | Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene      | 53703      | 1                  |
| 75         | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene         | 95501      | 1                  |
| 76         | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene         | 541731     | 1                  |
| 77         | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene         | 116467     | 1                  |
| 78         | 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine      | 91941      | 1                  |
| 79         | Diethyl Phthalate           | 84662      | 1                  |
| 80         | Dimethyl Phthalate          | 131113     | 1                  |
| 81         | Di-n-Butyl Phthalate        | 84742      | 1                  |
| 82         | 2,4-Dinitrotoluene          | 121142     | 1                  |
| 83         | 2,6-Dinitrotoluene          | 606202     | 1                  |
| 84         | Di-n-Octyl Phthalate        | 117840     | 1                  |
| 85         | 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine       | 122667     | 1                  |
| 86         | Fluoranthene                | 206440     | 1                  |
| 87         | Fluorene                    | 86737      | 1                  |
| 88         | Hexachlorobenzene           | 118741     | 1                  |
| 89         | Hexachlorobutadiene         | 87863      | 1                  |
| 90         | Hexachlorocyclopentadiene   | 77474      | 1                  |
| 91         | Hexachloroethane            | 67721      | 1                  |
| 92         | Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene      | 193395     | 1                  |
| 93         | Isophorone                  | 78591      | 1                  |
| 94         | Naphthalene                 | 91203      | 1                  |
| 95         | Nitrobenzene                | 98953      | 1                  |
| 96         | N-Nitrosodimethylamine      | 62759      | 1                  |
| 97         | N-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine   | 621647     | 1                  |
| 98         | N-Nitrosodiphenylamine      | 86306      | 1                  |
| 99         | Phenanthrene                | 85018      | 1                  |
| 100        | Pyrene                      | 129000     | 1                  |

| CTR Number | Parameter              | CAS Number | Analytical Methods |
|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 101        | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 120821     | 1                  |
| 102        | Aldrin                 | 309002     | 1                  |
| 103        | alpha-BHC              | 319846     | 1                  |
| 104        | beta-BHC               | 319857     | 1                  |
| 105        | gamma-BHC              | 58899      | 1                  |
| 106        | delta-BHC              | 319868     | 1                  |
| 107        | Chlordane              | 57749      | 1                  |
| 108        | 4,4'-DDT               | 50293      | 1                  |
| 109        | 4,4'-DDE               | 72559      | 1                  |
| 110        | 4,4'-DDD               | 72548      | 1                  |
| 111        | Dieldrin               | 60571      | 1                  |
| 112        | alpha-Endosulfan       | 959988     | 1                  |
| 113        | beta-Endosulfan        | 33213659   | 1                  |
| 114        | Endosulfan Sulfate     | 1131178    | 1                  |
| 115        | Endrin                 | 72208      | 1                  |
| 116        | Endrin Aldehyde        | 7421934    | 1                  |
| 117        | Heptachlor             | 76448      | 1                  |
| 118        | Heptachlor Epoxide     | 1124573    | 1                  |
| 119        | PCB-1116               | 12674112   | 1                  |
| 120        | PCB-1221               | 11114282   | 1                  |
| 121        | PCB-1232               | 11141165   | 1                  |
| 122        | PCB-1242               | 53469219   | 1                  |
| 123        | PCB-1248               | 12672296   | 1                  |
| 124        | PCB-1254               | 11197691   | 1                  |
| 125        | PCB-1260               | 11196825   | 1                  |
| 126        | Toxaphene              | 8001352    | 1                  |

<sup>1</sup> Pollutants shall be analyzed using the methods described in 40 C.F.R. Part 136.