



Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

December 26, 2017

Michael Rock, City Manager
City of Santa Paula
970 Ventura Street
Santa Paula, CA 93061

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
CLAIM NO. 7016 0750 0000 3359 7278

AMENDMENT TO EXTEND WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS (WDRs) FOR SANTA PAULA WATER RECYCLING FACILITY – CITY OF SANTA PAULA (ORDER NO. R4-2007-0028-R04, AS AMENDED, CI NO. 9259, FILE NO. 06-189, GLOBAL ID WDR100000849)

Dear Mr. Rock:

Our letter of December 11, 2017, transmitted tentative Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Amendment for the Santa Paula Water Recycling Facility - City of Santa Paula.

Pursuant to Division 7 of the California Water Code, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (Regional Board) at a public meeting held on December 21, 2017, reviewed the tentative WDRs Amendment and Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP), considered all factors in the case, and adopted WDRs Amendment Order No. R4-2007-0028-R04 and MRP No. CI-9259, (copies enclosed) relative to this discharge. The adopted WDRs Amendment and MRP will be posted on the Regional Board's website at:

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/board decisions/adopted orders/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/board%20decisions/adopted%20orders/)

The City of Santa Paula shall comply with the Electronic Submittal of Information (ESI) requirements by submitting all reports required under the WDRs Amendment and MRP, including groundwater monitoring data, discharge location data, and searchable Portable Document Format of monitoring reports to the State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker database under Global ID WDR100000849.

If you have any questions, please call the Project Manager, Dr. Don Tsai, at (213) 620-2264 (Don.Tsai@Waterboards.ca.gov) or the Groundwater Permitting Unit Chief, Dr. Eric Wu, at (213) 576-6683 (Eric.Wu@Waterboards.ca.gov) regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

for Eric Wu, Ph.D., P.E.
Chief of Groundwater Permitting Unit

Enclosures:

1. Order No. R4-2007-0028-R04
2. Monitoring and Reporting Program No. CI-9259

cc (via email):

Mr. John Ilasin – City of Santa Paula
Mr. Jim Kuykendall – Stantec
Ms. Ashli Desai – Larry Walker Associates
Ms. Stephanie Medina, Heal the Bay
Mr. William C. Stratton, County of Ventura Environmental Health Division
Mr. Jeff Pratt, Ventura County Public Works Agency
Mr. Chris Theisen, Ventura Regional Sanitation District
Mr. Mauricio E. Guardado, United Water Conservation District
Department of Fish and Game, Region 5
Ventura County Waterworks District 16
Mr. Donald Westerdale
Mr. David Lippert
Mr. Norman Bigott
Ms. Kate Neiswender
Ms. Elaine Malzacher

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
LOS ANGELES REGION**

**WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
FOR
CITY OF SANTA PAULA
ORDER NO. R4-2007-0028-R04, AS AMENDED
(Santa Paula Wastewater Recycling Facility)
(File No. 06-189)**

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (Regional Board) finds:

BACKGROUND

1. The City of Santa Paula (hereafter Discharger) owns a wastewater treatment plant commonly known as Santa Paula Wastewater Recycling Facility (SPWRF). The SPWRF is located at 905 Corporation Street, Santa Paula, California (Figures 1 and 2: Site Location Map and SPWRF Area Map respectively). The existing SPWRF is, and the new Santa Paula wastewater recycling facility (new SPWRF) described herein will be, operated and maintained by the City of Santa Paula (hereinafter the City) or by an Operating Company under a service contract with the City. The SPWRF was originally constructed in 1939, and serves the community of the City of Santa Paula, which has a population of approximately 29,100 people.
2. Municipal, domestic and commercial wastewater (including pump and treat, metal foundry and fruit washing) produced from the community of Santa Paula is treated at the SPWRF. There are three significant industrial users within the City [Chevron Oil (ground water pump and treat), Aurora Casting (metal foundry), and Saticoy Lemon (lemon packing house)]. One of them, subject to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Categorical Pretreatment Standards (Chevron Oil), is using carbon filtration pretreatment. The final treated wastewater effluent (effluent) is discharged to the Santa Clara River, under Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) contained in Order No. 97-041, adopted by the Regional Board on April 7, 1997. Order 97-041 also serves as a permit under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CA0054224.
3. The City owns and operates the sewer collection system. Therefore, the City is required to implement a Pretreatment Program and to comply with the requirement of operation and maintenance of the sewer collection system.

PURPOSE OF ORDER

4. On April 27, 2005, the Discharger filed a Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) and applied to the Regional Board for WDRs for disposal and reuse of treated wastewater from the new SPWRF, to be constructed by the Discharger. The Discharger plans to complete construction of the new SPWRF by September 15, 2010, and achieve full compliance by December 15, 2010. The new SPWRF capacity is expected to meet the demand for treatment and disposal of municipal wastewater from the forecasted 2020 population of the City of Santa Paula. This new WDR has been written to establish the requirements for

the future wastewater treatment processes and to include findings, effluent limitations, prohibitions, and a monitoring and reporting program for the new SPWRF.

5. These WDRs are issued pursuant to Chapter 9, Division 3, Title 23, California Code of Regulations (CCR) and are therefore eligible for a section 20090(a) exemption from CCR Title 27. The discharge(s) authorized herein and the treatment and storage facilities associated with the discharge of treated municipal wastewater, except for discharges of residual sludge and solid waste, are exempt from the requirements of Title 27, CCR, section 20005 et seq. (hereafter Title 27). The exemption, pursuant to section 20090(a) of Title 27, is based on the following factors; that the waste consists primarily of domestic sewage and treated effluent; that the waste discharge requirements are consistent with water quality objectives; and that the treatment and storage facilities described herein are associated with a municipal wastewater treatment facility.

FACILITY AND TREATMENT PROCESS DESCRIPTION

6. Municipal wastewater produced from the community of Santa Paula has been collected and treated at the existing SPWRF since 1939. The SPWRF is a secondary wastewater treatment plant and currently has a design capacity of 2.55 million gallons per day (mgd) and a peak design flow of 5.9 mgd. The treatment system at the SPWRF consists of primary sedimentation, primary and secondary biofiltration (trickling filter), secondary clarification, a trickling filter solids contact basin, sand filtration, chlorination and dechlorination. Solids removed from the primary and secondary treatment clarifiers are anaerobically treated in three digesters and then dried in drying beds. The supernatant is returned to the headwork. Dried sludge is hauled to a legal disposal facility on a periodic basis. Grit screening and digester cleaning wastes are also disposed of at a legal disposal site. Figure 3: Existing SPWRF Treatment Processes.
7. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the Regional Board have classified the discharge from the existing SPWRF as a major Discharge. It has a Threat to Water Quality and Complexity rating of 1-A pursuant to Chapter 9, Division 3, Title 23, CCR section 2200.
8. The Discharger intends to construct a new SPWRF in order to meet regulatory compliance standards as well as to accommodate future growth in the area. The new SPWRF would be located on a site immediately adjacent (west) and southwest of the existing SPWRF (see Figure 2). The site is within the City's existing corporate boundary and City Urban Restriction Boundary, and within the City's Sphere of Influence. The site was identified in the City's 1998 General Plan as the West Area 2 expansion area. The Santa Paula Municipal Code (SPMC) designates the site for the new SPWRF as institutional/civil (IN).
9. The Discharger currently discharges all treated effluent from an existing SPWRF to the Santa Clara River. However, once the new SPWRF is constructed and is in operation, all treated effluent from the new SPWRF will be discharged to evaporation/percolation ponds and through future subsurface drip line and surface spray irrigation systems. The existing SPWRF will be decommissioned after the new SPWRF starts operation.

The uses of treated wastewater are not specifically addressed in the CEQA document. However, the Discharger intends to supplement the CEQA document should it identify uses for treated wastewater in the future. The exact locations where treated wastewater

may be used are not known at this time. However, it is anticipated that these could include adjacent agriculture lands, municipal landscaping, City parks and landscaping in the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) SR 126 maintained right-of-way as shown in Figure 4: Future Possible Reclaimed Water Use Areas. Future end-use users may also include non-agriculture and/or municipal uses identified at later date. The uses of treated wastewater will be determined after the Discharger meets Title 22 requirements, CEQA has been supplemented to include recycling issues, and the Regional Board Executive Officer approves on a project-by-project basis the recycling disposal approach. Therefore, the requirement for reuse of treated wastewater has been included herein instead of revising these WDR when the Discharger starts to reuse the treated wastewater. The Discharger has indicated that the reuse of the treated wastewater may begin as soon as a few months after September 15, 2010 when the new SPWRF begins operation but only after appropriate CEQA review is finalized.

10. Wastewater influent entering the new SPWRF will initially be screened in the headwork, an initial screening structure and/or device used to remove large floating objects such as rags and sticks that might clog or damage the treatment equipment. After initial screening, additional solids and other organic and inorganic materials will be removed through fine screens ahead of the membrane bioreactor treatment unit. From this primary treatment unit the wastewater will flow to the membrane bioreactor treatment unit (secondary treatment). The use of micro-filtration membranes with pore sizes usually between 0.1 and 0.4 microns (μm) at this unit will ensure the retention of suspended matter and lead to a considerable reduction of the amount of bacteria in the outflow. The treatment may include disinfection using ultra violet radiation and/or a sodium hypochlorite contact chamber to meet Title 22 standards for treated wastewater reuse. The treated wastewater will be reused once the CEQA and Title 22 requirements are met and the Regional Board Executive Officer has approved its reuse. To reduce odors generated from new SPWRF operations, the headworks and dewatering facilities will be enclosed or covered. The odorous air will be removed and treated with foul air scrubbers and/or biofilter. The disinfection level required for the treated wastewater discharged to the percolation/evaporation ponds will be according to the limits established in these WDR's. The disinfection level required for treated wastewater reuse will be in accordance with water recycling requirements established per Title 22 requirements.
11. The percolation/evaporation ponds would be built on approximately 34 acres of agriculture land and would be located in and around Section 21, Township 3N, Range 21W, San Bernardino Base & Meridian (Figure 2: SPWRF Area Map). The new SPWF's latitude is $34^{\circ} 20' 04''$; its longitude is $119^{\circ} 04' 45''$. The percolation/evaporation ponds are located to the west of Peck Road in the Santa Clara-Santa Paula hydrological area.
12. The Regional Board is classifying the discharge to the percolation/evaporation ponds as a discharge of treated wastewater that is subject to Waste Discharge Requirements, and has not classified the discharge as a groundwater recharge project that is subject to State Department of Health Services groundwater recharge criteria.
13. The Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) (1985) published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for the area in which the project site is located indicate that a part of the evaporation/percolation ponds are within the 100-year flood zone. The project site would be affected by flood overflow from Fagan Canyon and the Peck Road Drain. Both the Fagan Canyon drainage and Peck Road drain are located to the east of

the project site, approximately 2,500 feet and 25 feet, respectively. The FIRM indicates that the project site is located within Zone AO (i.e., zone which would experience shallow flow of one foot in depth) and would experience flood overflow. Additional areas to the west of the project site (i.e. Adams Barranca and Todd Lane) have been identified as areas of overflow that might affect the project site. It should be noted however, that flows from these drainages may have been reduced or eliminated by channel improvements to these drainages since publication of the 1985 FIRM. A detailed hydraulic analysis is underway to determine the actual level of reduction in overflow levels for the project site from these improvements.

14. A hydrologic study of the Santa Clara River (2000) has been undertaken by the Ventura County Watershed Protection District (VCWPD) to determine the 100-year flood plain limits since preparation of the 1985 FIRM for this area. Draft information derived from this analysis indicates that the 100-year flood zone for this part of the Santa Clara River is located north of its current 1985 FIRM location. The study did not analyze flooding within the City of Santa Paula, but instead focused on potential river-related flooding. In addition, although FEMA or VCWPD has not adopted these revised floodplain limits, the VCWPD is required to use the document for land planning and development purposes. It should also be noted that the project site is located outside of the FEMA and VCWPD defined Santa Clara River floodway.
15. The Discharger has stated in the Environmental Impact Report that to protect the site from a 100-year storm event, an earthen dike would be constructed along the southern boundary of the new SPWRF site. The earthen dike would be five feet high, ten feet wide and extend approximately 4,850 feet in length. Construction of the dike system is subject to the requirements of Clean Water Act section 401 and 404 and of the Ventura County Watershed Protection District.
16. The Discharger owns and operates five municipal water supply wells (Wells 1-B, 11, 12, 13, and 14) that supply drinking water to the residences and businesses in and around the City of Santa Paula (Figure 5: City of Santa Paula; Water Supply Wells Location). The five wells can produce up to 10.6 million gallons per day and are the source of drinking water for the community of Santa Paula. The groundwater quality complies with all primary State and federal drinking water standards. However, the groundwater has exceeded the secondary maximum contaminant level of 50 parts per billion and 500 parts per million for manganese and total dissolved solids, respectively. Many residents use self-regenerating water softeners to reduce the hardness levels of the water produced from City wells. However, self-regenerating water softening systems using salts discharge the salty waste (brine) directly into the sanitary sewer system. The Discharger believes that eliminating the need for the home water softeners will reduce the concentration of the chloride entering the existing SPWRF and new SPWRF. Consequently, the Discharger has adopted Ordinance No. 1160 (copy attached and incorporated herein by reference) which prohibits the installation or replacement, of residential self-regenerating water softeners discharging to the City sanitary sewer system within the City of Santa Paula. Ordinance No 1160 authorizes the City Manager to promulgate administrative policies and procedures designed to enforce the Ordinance and to establish a buy-back program to assist in reducing the number of existing residential self-regenerating water softening appliances. To improve water quality and reduce discharges of self-regenerating water softener brines, the Discharger is analyzing alternatives to construct a centralized well water treatment system. A membrane technology is currently an alternative being considered. The

Discharger will select an appropriate softening technology that can be the most cost effective treatment technology system and that complies with the requirements of this Order.

The Discharger proposes to discharge the hardness waste generated from the centralized well water treatment softening system by commingling it with the effluent from the SPWRF. The commingled wastewater mixture will be discharged only if it meets Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives and complies with the requirements of this waste discharge requirement.

The following table displays water quality of the municipal well water and the existing SPWRF effluent.

Constituents	Units ¹	Well 1B ² Average	Well 11 ² Ave.	Well 12 ² Ave.	Well 13 ² Ave.	Well 14 ³	SPWRF Effluent	Basin Plan ⁶
TDS	mg/L	959	888	981	831	960	1480 ⁴	2000
Total Hardness	mg/L	553.6	270.3	521	405.2	553	-- ⁵	-- ⁵
Boron	mg/L	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.8	1.0
Chloride	mg/L	45.1	38.9	38.2	38	49	150	110
Sulfate	mg/L	400	377	445.2	344	431	460	800
Fluoride	mg/L	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.4	-- ⁵	-- ⁵
Nitrate as N + Nitrite as N	mg/L	15.1	1.5	8.4	0.9	10.9	6.2	10
Manganese	mg/L	70.0	158	328	204	110	-- ⁵	-- ⁵
Sodium	mg/L	88.1	85.1	89.9	82.4	88	-- ⁵	-- ⁵
Total Alkalinity	mg/L	257.6	231.7	214.3	190	240	-- ⁵	-- ⁵

1. mg/L: milligrams per liter; TDS: Total Dissolved Solids; Total hardness as CaCO₃; Total alkalinity as CaCO₃.

2. Based on analyses performed from 1996 through June 2004.

3. Based on analysis on June 16, 2004.

4. The highest level recorded in 2002.

5. --: No available data or No limit set for groundwater.

6. Basin Plan Objective for groundwater.

17. The Discharger will provide water services and hookups to residences within a radius of five hundred feet from the edge of the infiltration ponds.
18. Three agriculture water wells (B1, B2, and B3) are located inside the project site area and will be decommissioned prior to construction of the new SPWRF. Additional agriculture wells (A1 and A2) are located immediately east of the project site, outside the project limits. Three private domestic wells (G1, G2, G3) are located approximately 150 feet west, 200 feet west, and 300 feet southwest respectively of the project site, adjacent to the proposed location of the evaporation/percolation pond area, as shown in Figure 6: Vicinity Well Locations.
19. The new SPWRF will be constructed at a new location approximately 1,200 feet southwest of the existing site. The Discharger is planning on acquiring approximately 50 acres of land, which is currently used primarily for agriculture, and decommissioning the existing SPWRF site after the new SPWRF has started operations. The new SPWRF will discharge treated wastewater to evaporation/percolation ponds and ultimately make highly treated wastewater available for reclamation (see Figures 7 and 8). The new SPWRF will be designed for an average daily dry weather flow of 4.2 mgd and the peak day hydraulic design capacity of 8.0 mgd. The new SPWRF may include the following treatment processes and facilities:

- Flow Equalization Tank
- Influent Pump Station
- Head works
- Splitter Box
- Aeration Tank
- Odor Control Filters
- Membrane Bioreactor
- Sludge Dewatering and Thickening Building
- Control Building
- Mechanical Building
- Future UV Tanks or Chlorine Contact Tank
- Future Recycling Water Tank
- Future Recycled Water Pump Station
- Evaporation/percolation Ponds

20. The new SPWRF will produce an effluent better than that produced by secondary treatment processes as required by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) for publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) treating municipal wastewater. The Discharger indicated that the new SPWRF will be designed to produce the following treated effluent water quality (described in the engineering design proposal and proposed in the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Santa Paula Wastewater Recycling Facility, April 2005):

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Units*</u>	<u>Concentration</u>
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅)	mg/L	10 or less
Total suspended solids (TSS)	mg/L	10 or less
Turbidity	NTU	2 or less
Oil and grease	mg/L	10 or less
Settleable Solids	mg/L	0.1 or less
Total Chlorine Residual	mg/L	5 or less
Nitrite Nitrogen	mg/L	1 or less
Nitrate and Nitrite – N	mg/L	5.0 or less
Total dissolved solids	mg/L	2,000
Sulfate	mg/L	800 or less
Chloride	mg/L	110
Boron	mg/L	1.0
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5 or less
<u>Total Coliform</u>	<u>MPN/100 mL</u>	<u>2.2 or less</u>

* mg/L: milligrams per liter NTU: nephelometric turbidity unit; MPN/mL: most probable number per 100 milliliters.

Subsequently, however, the Discharger has become concerned that it may not be able to meet the groundwater quality objective of 110 mg/L for chloride. The Regional Board is considering either a regional solution for the area of Fillmore, Santa Paula, and Piru, or a case by case approach solution for those facilities with chloride issues in the area. Therefore, an amendment to this chloride limit may be made according to TMDL studies as explained in Finding 32. The reconsideration and action taken is tentatively scheduled for reopening by September 2008. A new water quality objective for chloride is expected for the Santa Paula area by the time this new SPWRF is in operation and discharging to the infiltration ponds and/or subsurface irrigation.

21. The new SPWRF site and associated project components are located in an approximately 50-acre tract along Todd Lane, south of highway 126, west of Shell Road, east of Adam Barranca, and north of the Santa Clara River in Santa Paula. Depth to groundwater at the project site ranges from a depth of 14.76 to 40.90 feet below ground surface. Groundwater gradients generally appear to parallel the ground surface, gently sloping downward to the southwest. During wet years, groundwater may rise to within ten to eleven feet of the ground surface in the southwest area (along the Santa Clara River).

22. The Discharger has been conducting quarterly groundwater-monitoring from three drill hole/wells (DH-3, DH-4, and DH-5) (Figure 9: Drill Hole/Wells Location) since July 2005. These temporary drill hole/wells will be decommissioned and replaced with permanent monitoring wells that will be located near the area. Two monitoring drill hole/wells (DH-4 and DH-5) are located in the future percolation ponds area and DH-3 is located east of the future new SPWRF. The following table shows a comparison of current groundwater monitoring data obtained since July 2005.

Constituents	Units ¹	Range of Concentrations		Groundwater Quality Objectives (Basin Plan)
		Downgradient Wells (DH-4 & DH-5)	Upgradient Well DH-3	
Nitrate-N	mg/L	0.4 – 34	0.4 – 37	10 (including Nitrite-N)
Boron	mg/L	0.27 – 1.1	0.28 – 2.30	1.0
TDS	mg/L	1270 – 2160	1430 – 2200	2,000
Chloride	mg/L	100 – 120	87 – 104	110
Sulfate	mg/L	470 – 890	610 – 890	800
Coliform	MPN/100 ml	-- ²	-- ²	1.1/100 ml
Cadmium	µg/L	0.4 – 3.3	0.5 – 1.6	5
Chromium	µg/L	0.76 – 12	0.87 – 30	50
Lead	µg/L	0.5 – 18	0.56 – 19	15
Selenium	µg/L	2.2 – 63	7.7 – 16	50

¹ mg/L: milligrams per liter; MPN/100ml: most probably number per 100 milliliters; µg/L: micrograms per liter

² --: No monitoring for these parameters

ENFORCEMENT

23. These WDRs are for a new SPWRF that will be built by September 15, 2010. Therefore, there is no history of noncompliance or enforcement. However, the Discharger operates the existing SPWRF and discharges its effluent to Santa Clara River under NPDES No. CA0054224, Order 97-041. With respect to compliance with this NPDES, the Discharger has been in violation of several effluent limitations since its adoption on April 7, 1997. In December 1997, the Discharger engaged an environmental engineering firm to study improvements to the existing SPWRF that would bring the discharge into full compliance with effluent limitations. In February 2000, the final report (Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Facilities Improvement Needs) indicated that the existing SPWRF can not provide the means of meeting effluent limits for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total suspended solids (TSS), turbidity, and coliform set forth in Order No. 97-041 because of the existing plant's physical configuration and capacity limitation. On January 23, 2001, the Discharger was issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) for exceeding effluent discharge limits that occurred between 1999 and 2000. Further review of the monitoring reports submitted in accordance with Monitoring and Reporting Program No. CI-1759 from January 1, 2000 to June 14, 2004 indicate that the Discharger continued to exceed effluent discharge limitations, as follows: for TSS 353 violations, for total coliform 527 violations, for BOD 472 violations, for turbidity 1,444 violations, for residual chlorine 5 violations, and for sulfate 4 violations. In addition to effluent discharge limit violations, the Discharger has incurred reporting violations and unpermitted discharge violations throughout the life of the NPDES permit. At this point the State of California ex rel. California Regional Water

Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, under chapter 5.5 of the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (California Water Code, Division 7, commencing at section 13000) is engaged in settlement discussions with the City of Santa Paula to obtain penalties and injunctive relief for violations of (1) the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (commonly known as the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. section 1251 et seq.), (2) California Water Code section 13376, and (3) Regional Board Order No. 97-041 through a Stipulated Consent Judgment and Final Order.

24. The Regional Board has required the Discharger to make the necessary modifications to the existing SPWRF to bring it into compliance with NPDES No. CA0054224 (Order No. 97-041). However, despite some modifications to the existing SPWRF, the Discharger has not been able to achieve full compliance with the requirements contained in Order No. 97-041. According to the Discharger's request, the Regional Board has issued and amended Time Schedule Orders (TSO) (R4-2003-0161, R4-2004-0149, R4-2005-0019) to extend the compliance date and to include interim effluent discharge limitations. TSO R4-2004-0149 expired October 6, 2006. A new TSO No. R4-2006-0090 was issued on October 6, 2006. Currently, the Discharger is operating the existing SPWRF under the interim effluent discharge limitations prescribed in TSO No. R4-2006-0090, which will expire on October 6, 2007.

APPLICABLE LAWS, PLANS, POLICIES AND REGULATIONS

25. On June 13, 1994, the Regional Board adopted a revised *Water Quality Control Plan for Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties* (Basin Plan). Subsequently, amendments to the Basin Plan have been adopted by the Regional Board in 1997 (Resolution No. 97-02); 1998 (Resolution No. 1998-018); 1999 (Resolution No. 1999-013); 2000 (Resolution No. 2000-010); 2001 (Resolution Nos. 2001-013, 2001-014, 2001-018); 2002 (Resolution Nos. 2002-004, 2002-011, 2002-017, 2002-022); and 2003 (Resolution Nos. 2003-001, 2003-009, 2003-010, 2003-011, 2003-012, 2003-015). The Basin Plan (i) designates beneficial uses for surface waters and groundwater, (ii) sets narrative and numerical objectives that must be attained or maintained to protect the designated beneficial uses and conform to the State antidegradation policy, and (iii) describes implementation programs to achieve and maintain water quality standards contained in the Basin Plan in order to protect all waters in the Region. In addition, the Basin Plan incorporates by reference applicable State and Regional Board plans and policies and other pertinent water quality policies and regulations. This Order implements the plans, policies and provisions of the Regional Board's Basin Plan.
26. State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) Resolution No. 68-16 (hereafter Resolution 68-16 or the "Antidegradation" Policy) requires the Regional Board in regulating the discharge of waste to maintain high quality waters of the State until it is demonstrated that any change in quality will be consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the State, will not unreasonably affect beneficial uses, and will not result in water quality less than that described in the Regional Board's policies (e.g., quality that exceeds water quality objectives).
27. The Regional Board finds that some degradation of groundwater beneath the SPWRF and Use Area is consistent with Resolution 68-16 provided that the degradation is confined to a specified area. The Discharger minimizes the degradation by fully implementing, regularly maintaining, and optimally operating best practicable treatment and control

(BPTC) measures. The degradation is limited to waste constituents (BOD, Coliform and chloride) typically encountered in municipal wastewater as specified in the groundwater limitations in this Order. The degradation does not result in water quality less than that prescribed in the Basin Plan.

28. Some degradation of groundwater by some of the typical waste constituents released with discharge from a municipal wastewater facility after effective source control, treatment, and control is consistent with maximum benefit to the people of California. The technology, energy, water recycling, and waste management advantages of municipal utility service for the City of Santa Paula far exceed any benefits derived from a community otherwise reliant on numerous concentrated individual wastewater systems, and the impact on water quality will be substantially less.
29. This Order establishes limitations that will not unreasonably threaten present and anticipated beneficial uses or result in receiving ground water quality that exceeds water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan. This means that where the stringency of the limitations for the same waste constituent differs according to beneficial use, the most stringent applies as the governing limitation for that waste constituent. This Order contains tasks for assuring that BPTC and the highest water quality consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State will be achieved. Accordingly, the discharge is consistent with the antidegradation provisions of Resolution 68-16. Based on the results of the scheduled tasks, the Regional Board may reopen this Order to reconsider groundwater limitations and other requirements to comply with Resolution 68-16.
30. The new SPWRF will be located west of Peck Road in the Santa Clara-Santa Paula Hydrologic area. The Basin Plan designates beneficial uses and water quality objectives for the Santa Clara-Santa Paula Hydrologic Area Groundwater Basin water body as follows:

Groundwater (West of Peck Road):

Existing: Municipal and Domestic Supply, Industrial Service Supply, Industrial Process Supply, and Agricultural Supply.

31. The Discharger will be able to achieve compliance with all the effluent limitations listed in this Order and will not discharge any wastewater to surface water from the new SPWRF when the plant becomes operational.
32. The Regional Board adopted a total maximum daily load (TMDL) to address chloride impairments of the Upper Santa Clara River (USCR) on May 6, 2004 (Resolution 04-004). The TMDL was approved by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board), Office of Administrative Law (OAL) and United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), and became effective on May 4, 2005. The TMDL applies to reaches 5 and 6 of the Santa Clara River, upstream of the Santa Paula WRF and requires the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County (Districts) to implement special studies and actions to reduce chloride loadings from their Saugus and Valencia Water Reclamation Plants (WRPs). Currently, TMDL studies are underway, including a groundwater and surface water interaction (GWSI) model study to provide information for the Regional Board to consider if a Site Specific Objective (SSO) for chloride and/or groundwater objective revisions is appropriate. The TMDL studies include reach 4 of the USCR. Based on these

studies, the Regional Board may revise objectives or implement a site specific objective in reaches 4 and 3. The effluent discharge limitation contained in these WDR's may be revised to implement site specific objectives for chloride.

33. Section 13523 of the California Water Code (CWC) provides that a Regional Board, after consulting with, and receiving the recommendations of the State Department of Health Services (SDHS), and after any necessary hearing, shall, if it determines such action to be necessary to protect the public health, safety, or welfare, prescribe water reclamation requirements for water which is used, or proposed to be used, as reclaimed water. With respect to the future use of the treated wastewater proposed by the Discharger, the Discharger will be required to comply with SDHS requirements for CCR Title 22 Recycling Water Criteria.

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT AND NOTIFICATION

34. In accordance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.), the Discharger prepared and circulated an Environmental Impact Report for public comments. The document was certified by the Discharger on April 25, 2005.
35. The Regional Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of the intent to issue WDRs for this discharge, and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written views and recommendations for the requirements.
36. The Regional Board, in a public meeting, heard and considered all comments pertaining to the discharge and to the tentative requirements.
37. Pursuant to CWC section 13320, any aggrieved party may seek review of this Order by filing a petition with the State Board. A petition must be received by the State Water Resources Control Board, P.O. Box 100, Sacramento, California, 95812, within 30 days of the date this Order is adopted.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Discharger, City of Santa Paula, shall be responsible for and shall comply with the following requirements in all operations and activities at the new Santa Paula Wastewater Recycling Facility (SPWRF):

A. INFLUENT LIMITATIONS

1. Waste received by the wastewater treatment plant ("influent") shall be limited to domestic, commercial, industrial, and, if needed, a small amount of dry weather storm drain flow. The Discharger shall not allow new water softener regeneration brines to be discharged to the wastewater collection system and treatment plant. Industrial wastewater subject to the Prohibited Discharge Standards listed in 40 CFR 403.5 shall not be accepted by the new SPWRF.
2. The influent shall not exceed a daily average flow of 4.2 mgd and a peak wet weather daily flow of 8.0 mgd. The annual average flow limitation of 2.6 million gallons per day, as evaluated monthly, applies to all discharge to the percolation ponds.

B. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

1. Effluent (wastewater discharged from the wastewater treatment plant or treated wastewater to be discharged through the disposal and/or reuse system) shall not contain heavy metals, arsenic, or cyanide, or other pollutants designated Priority Pollutants by the USEPA in concentrations exceeding the limits contained in the SDHS Drinking Water Standards.
2. Effluent shall not contain organic chemicals, inorganic chemicals (i.e., heavy metals, arsenic, or cyanide) in concentrations exceeding the limits contained in the current California Drinking Water Standards, CCR title 22, sections 64431 (Attachment A-1) and 64444 (Attachment A-3) or subsequent revisions.
3. Radioactivity shall not exceed the limits specified in the CCR title 22, chapter 15, section 64441 et seq., (Attachment A-2) or subsequent revisions.
4. The pH in the effluent shall at all times be from 6.5 to 8.5 pH units.
5. Effluent shall not contain constituents in excess of the following limits:

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Units</u> ¹	<u>Monthly Average</u>	<u>Daily Maximum</u>
BOD ₅	mg/L	10	15
Suspended solids	mg/L	10	15
Ammonia plus Nitrate plus Nitrite plus Organic Nitrogen as nitrogen	mg/L	10	
Nitrite-Nitrogen	mg/L	1	
Oil and grease	mg/L	15	
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/L	2000	
Sulfate	mg/L	800	
Chloride	mg/L	110 ²	
Boron	mg/L	1.0	

¹ mg/L: milligrams per liter

² This limit may be revised before September 15, 2010 in response to TMDL studies.

6. Effluent discharges to the percolation/evaporation ponds that have a minimum vertical separation of ten-feet between the bottom of the percolation ponds and water table (saturated zone) shall not contain E. coli and fecal coliform in excess of the following limits:
 1. Geometric Mean Limits¹:
 - a) E. coli density shall not exceed 126 MPN/100 mL
 - b) Fecal coliform density shall not exceed 200 MPN/100 ml
 2. Single Sample Limits²:
 - a) E. coli density shall not exceed 235 MPN/100 mL
 - b) Fecal Coliform density shall not exceed 400 MPN/100 ml

- 1 Geometric Mean limits: The geometric mean values shall be calculated based on a statistically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than five samples equally spaced over a 30 day period).
 - 2 Single Sample Limits: If any single sample limit is exceeded, the Discharger is required to repeat sampling on a daily basis until sample falls below the single limit in order to determine the persistence of the exceedance. When repeat sampling is conducted because of exceedance of any one single sample limit, values from all samples collected during that 30-day period will be used to calculate the geometric mean.
7. Effluent discharges to the percolation/evaporation ponds that have a minimum vertical separation of five-feet between the bottom of the percolation ponds and water table (saturated zone) shall not exceed a most probable number (MPN) of 23 per 100 milliliters utilizing the bacteriological results of the last seven days for which analyses have been completed, and the number of total coliform bacteria shall not exceed an MPN of 240 per 100 milliliters in more than one sample in any 30 days period.

C. INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

1. Recognizing that the Discharger cannot meet the effluent limitations of Section B above until the new SPWRF is constructed and operational, NPDES and WDRs, Order No. 97-41 and the existing TSO No. R4-2006-0090 for City of Santa Paula remain in full force and effect until further action or decision is taken by this Regional Board or until the new SPWRF is completed and operational by December 15, 2010. In addition, recognizing that the new SPWRF may not meet the effluent limitation for chloride, new limits may be established for chloride before the new SPWRF begins operation or discharge.

D. SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE DRIP REUSE AND DISPOSAL LIMITATIONS FOR TREATED WASTEWATER

1. In addition to meeting all effluent limitations in the above Section B, the treated wastewater to be discharged through subsurface drip and surface irrigation reuse and disposal shall be at all times adequately oxidized, disinfected tertiary-treated wastewater only. A disinfected tertiary-treated wastewater is wastewater that has been filtered and subsequently disinfected, and meets the following criteria:
 - a. The filtered wastewater has been disinfected by one of the following processes:
 - (a) A chlorine disinfection process that provides a concentration-time (CT) value of not less than 450 milligram-minutes per liter at all times with a modal contact time of at least 90 minutes, based on peak dry weather design flow. The CT is the product of total chlorine residual and modal contact time measured at the same period. The modal contact time is the amount of time that elapsed between the time that a tracer, such as salt or dye, is injected into the influent at the entrance of the chlorination chamber and the time that the highest concentration of the tracer is observed in the effluent from the chamber. The peak dry weather design flow is the arithmetic mean of the maximum peak flow rates sustained over some period of time (for example three hours) during the maximum 24-hour dry weather period. Dry weather period is defined as periods of little or no rainfall.

- (b) A disinfection process that, when combined with the filtration process, has been demonstrated to inactivate and/or remove 99.999 percent of the plaque-forming units of F-specific bacteriophage MS2, or polio virus in the wastewater. A virus that is at least as resistant to disinfection as polio virus may be used for purposes of the demonstration. F-specific bacteriophage MS-2 means a strain of a specific type of virus that infects coliform bacteria that is traceable to the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC 15597B1) and is grown on lawns of *E. coli* (ATCC 15597).
 - (c) Where ultraviolet (UV) disinfection is used for disinfection, UV disinfection shall deliver under worst operating conditions a minimum UV dose of 140 milli-watts seconds per square centimeters (mW-s/cm²) at maximum weekly flow and 100 mW-s/cm² at peak daily flow, unless otherwise approved by the California Department of Health Services.
- b. The median concentration of total coliform bacteria measured in the disinfected wastewater does not exceed a most probable number (MPN) of 2.2 per 100 milliliters based on the bacteriological results of the last seven days for which analyses have been completed, and the number of total coliform bacteria does not exceed an MPN of 23 per 100 milliliters in more than one sample in any 30 day period. No sample shall exceed an MPN of 240 total coliform bacteria per 100 milliliters.
- c. A filtered wastewater shall be an oxidized wastewater that meets either (1) or (2):
- (1) Has been coagulated and passed through natural undisturbed soil or a bed of filter media under the following conditions:
 - (a) At a rate that does not exceed 5 gallons per minute per square foot of surface area in mono, dual or mixed media gravity, upflow or pressure filtration systems, or does not exceed 2 gallons per minute per square foot of surface area in a traveling bridge automatic backwash filter; and,
 - (b) The turbidity of the filtered wastewater does not exceed any of the following:
 - An average of 2 NTU within a 24-hour period;
 - 5 NTU more than 5 percent of the time within a 24-hour period; and
 - 10 NTU at any time.
 - (c) "NTU" (Nephelometric Turbidity Unit) is a turbidity measurement determined by the ratio of the intensity of light scattered by the sample to the intensity of incident light as measured by Method 2130 B. in *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 20th Edition; Eaton, A. D., Clesceri, L. S., and Greenberg, A. E., Eds; American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C., 1998; p2-8. Continuous chemical addition upstream of the filters is not required if:

- i) Final effluent turbidity does not exceed 2 NTU;
 - ii) The turbidity of the effluent to the filters is continuously measured;
 - iii) The influent turbidity to the filters does not exceed 5 NTU for more than 15 minutes in any 24-hour period and never exceeds 10 NTU; and,
 - iv) There is the capability to automatically activate chemical addition or divert the wastewater should the filter influent turbidity exceed 5 NTU for more than 15 minutes.
 - (2) Has been passed through a microfiltration, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, or reverse osmosis membrane so that the turbidity of the filtered wastewater does not exceed any of the following:
 - (a) 0.2 NTU more than 5 percent of the time within any calendar day; and,
 - (b) 0.5 NTU at any time.
 - d. A coagulated wastewater shall be an oxidized wastewater in which colloidal and finely divided suspended matter have been destabilized and agglomerated upstream from a filter by the addition of suitable floc-forming chemicals.
 - e. An oxidized wastewater shall be wastewater in which the organic matter has been stabilized, is nonputrescible, and contains dissolved oxygen.
2. Treated wastewater shall not be directly reused for purposes other than those defined above until requirements for other uses have been established by the Regional Board, in accordance with CWC section 13523, unless the Regional Board finds that the above cited standards are applicable to other uses.
3. No disposal areas with treated wastewater shall be located within 50 feet of any domestic water supply well unless all of the following conditions have been met:
- a. A geological investigation demonstrates that an aquitard exists at the well between the uppermost aquifer being drawn from and the ground surface;
 - b. The well contains an annular seal that extends from the surface into the aquitard;
 - c. The well is housed to prevent any treated wastewater spray from coming into contact with the wellhead facilities;
 - d. The ground surface immediately around the wellhead is contoured to allow surface water to drain away from the well; and,
 - e. The owner of the well approves of the elimination of the buffer zone requirement.

4. There shall be no storage or impoundment of treated wastewater within 100 feet of any domestic water supply well.
5. No disposal of sludge, waste, and treated wastewater shall take place within 50 feet of any reservoir or stream used as a source of domestic water.
6. Use of treated wastewater shall comply with the following:
 - a. Treated wastewater shall not be applied above ground at such a rate and volumes as to exceed vegetative demand and soil moisture conditions. Special precautions must be taken to: prevent clogging of spray nozzles, prevent over-watering, and minimize the production of run-off. Pipelines shall be maintained so as to prevent leakage;
 - b. Any runoff shall be confined to the proposed disposal area and shall not be allowed to escape as surface flow, unless the runoff does not pose a public health threat and is authorized under a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit issued by the Regional Board. For the purpose of this requirement, however, minor amounts of irrigation return water from peripheral areas shall not be considered a violation of this Order;
 - c. Spray, mist, or runoff shall not enter dwellings, designated outdoor eating areas, or food handling facilities, and shall not contact any drinking water fountain; and,
 - d. Treated wastewater shall not be used for surface irrigation during periods of rainfall and/or run-off.
7. All treated wastewater use areas that are accessible to the public shall be posted with signs that are visible to the public, in a size no less than 4 inches high by 8 inches wide, that include the following wording: "RECYCLED WATER – DO NOT DRINK". Each sign shall display an international symbol similar to that shown in Figure 10. An alternative signage and wording may be used provided they are approved in advance by the California State Department of Health Services.
8. No physical connection shall be made or allowed to exist between any treated wastewater piping and any piping conveying potable water, except as allowed under section 7604 of CCR Title 17.
9. The portions of the treated wastewater piping system that are in areas subject to access by the general public shall not include any hose bibbs (a faucet or similar device to which a common garden hose can be readily attached). Only quick couplers that differ from those used on the potable water system shall be used on the portions of the treated wastewater piping system in areas subject to public access.
10. Treated wastewater use shall not result in earth movement in geologically unstable areas.
11. Treated wastewater shall not be used for direct human consumption or for the processing of food or drink intended for human consumption.

E. GROUNDWATER LIMITATIONS

1. "Receiving water" is defined as groundwater underlying the wastewater treatment plant, percolation/evaporation ponds, and all areas described for uses of treated wastewater (Finding 9), and the discharge areas described in Finding 11.
2. The Discharger shall periodically dry out the percolation ponds in order to maintain vertical separation between the bottom of the percolation ponds and the water table (saturated zone). For treated wastewater that meets effluent limitations listed in section B.6, this vertical separation shall be at least 10 feet. For treated wastewater that meets effluent limitations listed in section B.7, the Discharger may reduce the vertical separation to five feet. Within 180 days prior to initial discharge, the Discharger shall submit, for Executive Officer approval, a proposed strategy for periodically drying out the ponds to maintain the vertical separation specified above, and for measuring compliance with this groundwater vertical separation limitations.
3. The discharge of treated wastewater from the wastewater treatment plant shall not cause the receiving water to contain any waste constituents in concentration that are statistically greater than background water quality except as provided for in the limits in E.5 below.
4. The discharge of treated wastewater from the wastewater treatment plant shall not cause the concentration of total coliform in the receiving water over a seven-day period to exceed 1.1 most probable numbers (MPN) per 100 milliliters.
5. The discharge of treated wastewater from the wastewater treatment plant shall not cause the receiving water to exceed the following limits:

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/L	2,000
Sulfate	mg/L	800
Chloride	mg/L	110
Boron	mg/L	1.0
Nitrate as nitrogen plus		
Nitrite as nitrogen	mg/L	10
Nitrite as N	mg/L	1

mg/L: milligrams per liter

F. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

1. Standby or emergency power facilities and/or sufficient capacity shall be provided for treated wastewater discharge facility or storage during rainfall or in the event of plant upsets or outages, infiltration ponds exceed their hydraulics capacity and at times when irrigation cannot be practiced.
2. Adequate facilities shall be provided to protect the new SPWRF, treatment system devices, sewer collection system and recycling/disposal facilities from damage by storm flows and run-off or run-on generated by a 100-year return storm/24 hour duration.

3. The treatment plant including the collection system that is a part of the treatment and disposal system shall be maintained in such a manner that prevents sewage from surfacing or overflowing at any location.
4. A minimum of two feet of freeboard shall be maintained in the percolation/evaporation ponds at all time to ensure that direct rainfall will not cause overtopping.
5. Discharge of hardness wastes generated from any possible centralized well water treatment facility to be constructed shall not cause exceedance of constituent limits in Section E of this Order. Any proposed discharge of hardness waste generated from the centralized well water treatment facility shall be identified and approved by the Executive Officer prior to its discharge.

G. PROHIBITIONS

1. There shall be no waste overflows or discharge of partially-treated wastes from the new SPWRF's treatment, storage or disposal facilities to adjacent drainage or water ways, adjacent properties or to waters of the State at any time.
2. Wastes discharged shall not impart adverse tastes, odors, color, foaming or other objectionable characteristics to the receiving groundwater.
3. There shall be no onsite disposal of dry sludge for more than 90 days. Sludge-drying activities are allowed, but only as an intermediate treatment prior to off-site disposal. Any offsite disposal of sewage or sludge shall be made only to a legal point of disposal. For purposes of this Order, a legal disposal site is one for which requirements have been established by a regional water quality control board or comparable regulatory entity, and which is in full compliance therewith. Any sewage or sludge handling shall be in such a manner as to prevent its reaching surface waters or watercourses.
4. Sewage odors from the wastewater treatment plant shall not be detectable beyond the property line.
5. Wastes discharged from the wastewater treatment plant shall at no time contain any substances in concentrations toxic to human, animal, or plant life.
6. The discharge of waste shall not create a condition of pollution, contamination, or nuisance.
7. Nutrient materials in the waste discharged to the percolation/evaporation ponds shall not cause objectionable aquatic growth or degrade indigenous biota.
8. The discharge of any wastewater to surface waters or surface water drainage courses is prohibited without a NPDES permit.
9. The percolation/evaporation ponds shall not contain floating materials, including solids, foams or scum in concentrations that cause nuisance, adversely affect beneficial uses, or serve as a substrate for undesirable bacterial or algae growth or insect vectors.

10. The percolation/evaporation ponds, drying beds and the berms surrounding the ponds shall not contain plants, shrubs, or bushes that may damage the berms and the ponds.
11. Bypass (the intentional diversion of waste stream from any portion of a treatment facility) is prohibited. The Regional Board may take enforcement action against the Discharger for bypass unless:
 - a. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage. (Severe property damage means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities that cause them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production);
 - b. There were no feasible alternatives to bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated waste, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment down time. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass that could occur during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 - c. The Discharger submitted a notice at least 48 hours in advance of the need for a bypass to the Regional Board.
12. Any discharge of treated wastewater from the treatment system (including the wastewater collection system) at any point other than specifically described in this Order is prohibited and constitutes a violation of this Order.

H. PROVISIONS

1. A copy of this Order shall be maintained at the wastewater treatment plant so as to be available at all times to operating personnel.
2. Prior any discharge to the infiltration ponds, the Discharger will provide water services and hookups to residences within a radius of five hundred feet from the edge of the infiltration ponds.
3. The agriculture water wells B1, B2, and B3 located inside the project site area of the new SPWRF shall be decommissioned pursuant to State of California, Department of Water Resources, Water Well Standards, Bulletin 74-90 prior to the construction of the new SPWRF.
4. The Discharger shall file with the Regional Board technical reports on self-monitoring work performed according to the detailed specifications contained in Monitoring and Reporting Program No. CI-9259 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, as directed by the Executive Officer. The results of any monitoring done more frequently than required at the location and/or times specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program shall be reported to the Regional Board. The Discharger shall comply with all of the provisions and requirements of the Monitoring and Reporting Program.

5. Monitoring and Reporting Program No. CI-9259 contains requirements, among others, specifying that a groundwater monitoring program for the new SPWRF, percolation/evaporation ponds and reuse and disposal area shall be established so that the groundwater upgradient and downgradient from the percolation/evaporation ponds and discharge/disposal and reuse areas can be measured, sampled, and analyzed to determine if waste discharges from the percolation/evaporation pond/disposal system are impacting water quality. Background groundwater quality shall be established at the discharge areas described in Findings 9 and 11 based on two years groundwater monitoring data. The Discharger shall submit a technical workplan as required in Section III of Monitoring and Reporting Program No. CI-9259.
6. Should effluent monitoring data indicate possible contamination of groundwater attributable to Discharger's effluent, the Discharger shall submit, within 90-days after discovery of the problem, plans for measures that will be taken, or have been taken, to mitigate any long-term effects that may result from the discharge(s).
7. The Discharger shall monitor the background of the receiving groundwater quality as it relates to its effluent discharges. Should the constituent concentrations in any downstream monitoring well exceed the receiving water quality objectives in the Basin Plan and the increase in constituents is attributable to the Discharge's new SPWRF effluent disposal practices, the Discharger must develop a source control plan including a detailed source identification and pollution minimization plan, together with the time schedule of implementation, and must be submitted within 120-days of recording the exceedance.
8. The Discharger shall participate in the implementation of the watershed-wide Monitoring Program if the Executive Officer determines that a surface water monitoring program for the Santa Clara River is needed to evaluate impacts from effluent discharges to groundwater. The Regional Board may require the Discharger to participate with the Regional Board, Santa Clara River Enhancement and Management Plan Steering Committee, and other stakeholders, in the development and implementation of a watershed-wide monitoring program.
9. Should the nitrate and nitrite-nitrogen concentration in treated effluent from the new SPWRF exceeds 15 mg/L in three (weekly sampling plus two additional sampling events for result verification) consecutive samples taken within one month, the Discharger must submit an investigation plan (Plan) to the Executive Officer for approval within 90 days from the occurrence. The Plan must contain a detailed description of pollutant minimization strategies and prevention measures proposed, together with the time schedule of implementation.
10. The Discharger shall submit a final engineering report for the new SPWRF to the Executive Officer within one year of the effective date of this Order and at least eighteen months in advance from the estimated start of construction of any centralized well water treatment facility.
11. The Discharger shall not discharge any treated wastewater from the new SPWRF to any disposal or use areas that have not been identified in the final Environmental Impact Report certified on April 25, 2005, without completion of a revised CEQA documents and prior approval by the Executive Officer.

12. The Discharger shall prepare a CCR Title 22 Engineering Report for the production, distribution and use of recycled water using the guidelines prepared by the CDHS. The report shall be reviewed and approved by the CDHS-Drinking Water Field Operations Branch, Santa Barbara office prior to delivering any recycled water to use sites.
13. The Discharger shall submit a hydrogeologic assessment technical report prepared by an independent California licensed engineer/geologist within 90 days of adoption of Order No. R4-2007-0028 that addresses concerns regarding potential mounding caused by the percolation ponds, by demonstrating that mounding will not cause groundwater to surface or degrade the adjacent wells. The report shall be submitted for review by Regional Board staff and interested parties prior to plant construction, the Regional Board shall evaluate the adequacy of the percolation ponds, and revise these waste discharge requirements, as appropriate, if the Regional Board at that time determines the ponds are inadequate to percolate the estimated discharge.
14. Wastewater treatment and disposal system and, if any, future centralized well water treatment facility shall not cause pollution or nuisance as defined in CWC section 13050.
15. In accordance with CWC section 13260(c), the Discharger shall file a report of any material change or proposed change in the character, location, or volume of the discharge.
16. The Discharger shall operate and maintain its wastewater collection, treatment and disposal facilities in a manner to ensure that all facilities are adequately staffed, supervised, financed, operated, maintained, repaired, and upgraded as necessary, to provide adequate and reliable transport, treatment, and disposal of all wastewater from planned future wastewater sources under the Discharger's responsibilities. Anyone employed in the operation of the wastewater treatment plant must be certified pursuant to CWC sections 13625-13633.
17. The Discharger owns and operates a sanitary sewer system greater than one mile in length that collects and/or conveys untreated or partially treated wastewater to the SPWRF. Therefore, the Discharger is required to comply with the terms of the Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirement for Sanitary Sewer Systems, Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ.
18. The Discharger shall submit to the Regional Board an Operations and Maintenance Manual (O & M Manual) for the entire new SPWRF and disposal facilities prior to startup of the new SPWRF facility. The Discharger shall maintain the O & M Manual in useable condition, and available for reference and use by all applicable personnel. The Discharger shall regularly review, and revise or update as necessary, the O & M Manual(s) in order for the document(s) to remain useful and relevant to current equipment and operation practices. Reviews shall be conducted annually, and revisions or updates shall be completed as necessary and submitted to the Regional Board on an annual basis.
19. In the event that the new SPWRF employs UV disinfection, the Discharger shall establish an operation manual including quartz sleeve cleaning frequencies that

ensure the minimum required UV dose delivery is consistently met, and file the operation manual with the Regional Board within 90 days prior to commissioning the UV disinfection system. The new SPWRF using UV disinfection shall comply with the National Water Research Institute/American Water Works Association Research Foundation UV Disinfection Guidelines specifying design and performance of UV systems.

20. The Discharger shall take all necessary steps to minimize or prevent any discharge that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health, receiving groundwater or the environment.
21. For any violation of requirements in this Order, the Discharger shall notify the Regional Board within 24 hours of knowledge of the violation either by telephone or electronic mail. The notification shall be followed by a written report within one week. The Discharger, in its next regularly scheduled monitoring report shall also confirm this information. In addition, the report shall include the reasons for the violations or adverse conditions, the steps being taken to correct the problem (including dates thereof), and the steps being taken to prevent a recurrence.
22. This Order does not relieve the Discharger from the responsibility to obtain other necessary local, State, and federal permits to construct facilities necessary for compliance with this Order; nor does this Order prevent imposition of additional standards, requirements, or conditions by any other regulatory agency.
23. After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this Order may be terminated or modified for causes including, but not limited, to:
 - a. Violation of any term or condition contained in this Order;
 - b. Obtaining this Order by misrepresentation, or failure to disclose all relevant facts; or
 - c. A change in any condition, or the discovery of any information, that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge.
24. The Discharger shall furnish, within a reasonable period of time, any information the Regional Board may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this Order. The Discharger shall also furnish to the Regional Board, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this Order.
25. This Order includes the attached Standard Provisions Applicable to Waste Discharge Requirements which are incorporated herein by reference. If there is any conflict between provisions stated herein and the Standard Provisions Applicable to Waste Discharge Requirements, the provisions stated herein will prevail.
26. The Discharger shall allow Regional Board staff, or an authorized representative upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

- a. Enter upon the Discharger premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this Order;
 - b. Have access to and copy any records that must be kept under the conditions of this Order;
 - c. Inspect any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this Order; and,
 - d. Sample or monitor for the purposes of assuring compliance with this Order, or as otherwise authorized by the CWC, any substances or parameters at any locations.
27. This Order shall expire on March 31, 2018, or upon issuance of new or revised WDRs, whichever occurs sooner. Should the Discharger wish to continue discharging to groundwater after the expiration date, the Discharger must file a Report of Waste Discharge with the Regional Board no later than 180 days prior to the expiration date of the Order for consideration of issuance of new or revised requirements. Any discharge of waste after the expiration date of this Order, without filing a Report of Waste Discharge with this Regional Board, is a violation of CWC section 13264. The Regional Board is authorized to take appropriate enforcement action for any noncompliance with this provision including assessment of penalties
28. All discharges of waste into the waters of the State are privileges, not rights. In accordance with CWC section 13263(g), these requirements shall not create a vested right to continue to discharge and are subject to rescission or modification.
29. Pretreatment Program Requirements
- a. Existing Pretreatment Program: The Discharger established a regional pretreatment program for its NPDES Order No. 97-041 (CI 1759). The program and its components such as City Ordinances and local limits, and control mechanisms, among others, are hereby made an enforceable condition of this Order until such time as they are revised.
 - b. Program Revision: Any update to the City's pretreatment program shall be submitted for approval by the Regional Board's Executive Officer. Until the new pretreatment program is approved, the Discharger shall implement the existing pretreatment program.
 - c. Authority: The Discharger shall update as necessary the appropriate contractual agreements with all governmental agencies within one year of approval of an updated pretreatment program. The contractual agreements shall give the Discharger the authority to implement and enforce the approved pretreatment program within the sewer service areas of the treatment facility. The Discharger shall assure that any other steps necessary to provide this implementation and enforcement authority (e.g. adoption of ordinances, etc.) are taken by all governmental agencies. If a governmental agency has an approved pretreatment program for any portion of the service area of the treatment facility, the

Discharger's pretreatment program shall contain provisions ensuring that the governmental agency's program is implemented. In the event that any agency discharging to Discharger's facility fails to effectively implement its individual approved pretreatment program, the Discharger shall implement and enforce its approved program within that agency's service area.

- d. Enforcement: The Discharger shall ensure that the pretreatment program for all contributory agencies discharging to the Discharger's treatment facility are implemented and enforced. The Discharger shall be responsible and liable for the performance of all pretreatment requirements. For violations of pretreatment requirements, the Discharger shall be subject to enforcement actions, penalties, fines and other remedies by the Regional Board as described in this WDR. The Regional Water Board may also initiate enforcement action against an industrial user for non-compliance with applicable standards and requirements as described in this WDR.
- e. The Discharger shall perform the pretreatment functions which are equivalent to those described in 40 CFR Part 403 including, but not limited to:
 - (a) Enforce the pretreatment requirements equivalent to that described in 40 CFR 403.5 and 403.6;
 - (b) Implement the necessary legal authorities equivalent to that described in 40 CFR 403.8;
 - (c) Implement the programmatic functions equivalent to that described in 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2);
 - (d) Publish a list of significant non-compliance equivalent to that described by 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(vii); and
 - (e) Provide the requisite funding and personnel to implement the pretreatment program equivalent to that described in 40 CFR 403.8(f)(3).
- f. The following wastes shall not be introduced into the treatment works:
 - (a) Wastes which create a fire or explosion hazard in the treatment works;
 - (b) Wastes which will cause corrosive structural damage to treatment works, but, in no case, wastes with a pH lower than 5.0 unless the works are designed to accommodate such wastes;
 - (c) Wastes at a flow rate and/or pollutant discharge rate which are excessive over relatively short time periods so that there is a treatment process upset and subsequent loss of treatment efficiency;
 - (d) Solid or viscous wastes in amounts that would cause obstruction to the flow in sewers or otherwise interfere with the proper operation of the treatment works.

- g. Update: The Discharger shall ensure compliance with any existing or future pretreatment requirements adopted by the Regional Board for any discharge to the municipal system.
- h. Protect Standards: The Discharger shall comply with effluent standards adopted by the Regional Board, or prohibitions equivalent to that described under Section 307(a) of the CWA for toxic pollutants, even if this Order has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
- i. Reporting: The Discharger shall require each user not in compliance with any pretreatment standard to submit periodic notice (over intervals not to exceed nine months) of progress toward compliance with applicable toxic and pretreatment standards developed as part of the pretreatment requirements or amendments thereto. The Discharger shall forward a copy of such notice to the Regional Board.

G. REOPENER

- 1. The Regional Board may modify, or revoke and reissue this Order if present or future investigations demonstrate that the discharge(s) governed by this Order will cause, have the potential to cause, or will contribute to adverse impacts on water quality and/or beneficial uses of the receiving waters.
- 2. This Order may be reopened to include additional or modified requirements to address Discharger's expansion or mitigation plans, TMDL or Basin Plan mandates, or groundwater limitation compliance with Resolution 68-16.

I, Samuel Unger, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, on May 3, 2007, and amended on May 6, 2010, February 2, 2012, April 6, 2017, and December 21, 2017.


Samuel Unger, P.E.
Executive Officer

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
LOS ANGELES REGION**

**MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM NO. CI-9259
FOR
CITY OF SANTA PAULA
ORDER NO. R4-2007-0028-R04
(Santa Paula Wastewater Recycling Facility)
(File No. 06-189)**

I. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- A.** The City of Santa Paula (hereinafter, Discharger) shall implement this monitoring program on the effective date of this Order (WDR Order No. R4-2007-0028). The first monitoring report under this Program is due by January 15, 2011. Monitoring reports must be addressed to the Regional Board, Attention: Information Technology Unit. Reference the reports to Compliance File No. CI-9259 to facilitate routing to the appropriate staff and file. Monitoring reports shall be received by the Regional Board by the dates in the following schedule:

<u>Reporting Period</u>	<u>Report Due</u>
January – March	April 15
April – June	July 15
July – September	October 15
October – December	January 15

- B.** By January 30th of each year, beginning January 30, 2011, the Discharger shall submit an annual summary report to the Regional Board. The report shall contain both tabular and graphical summaries of the monitoring data obtained during the previous calendar year. In addition, the Discharger shall discuss the compliance record and the corrective actions taken or planned, which may be needed to bring the discharge into full compliance with the waste discharge requirements.
- C.** Laboratory analyses – all chemical, bacteriological, and toxicity analyses shall be conducted at a laboratory certified for such analyses by the California Department of Health Services Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP). A copy of the laboratory certification shall be provided each time a new and/or renewal is obtained from ELAP.
- D.** The monitoring report shall specify the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) analytical method used, the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and the Minimum Level (ML) for each pollutant. For the purpose of reporting compliance with numerical limitations, and receiving water limitations, analytical data shall be reported by one of the following methods, as appropriate:
1. An actual numerical value for sample results greater than or equal to the ML;
 2. “Detected, but Not Quantified (DNQ)” for sample results greater than or equal to the laboratory’s MDL but less than the ML; or,

3. "Not Detected (ND)" for sample results less than the laboratory's MDL with the MDL indicated for the analytical method used.

The minimum levels are those published by the State Water Resources Control Board in the *Policy for the Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California, February 24, 2005*.

- E. The MLs employed for effluent analyses shall be lower than the permit limits established for a given parameter, unless the Discharger can demonstrate that a particular ML is not attainable and obtains approval for a higher ML from the Executive Officer.
- F. Water/wastewater samples must be analyzed within allowable holding time limits as specified in 40 CFR Part 136.3. All Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) samples must be run on the same dates when samples were actually analyzed. At least once a year, the Discharger shall maintain and update a list of the analytical methods employed for each test and the associated laboratory QA/QC procedures. The Discharger shall make available for inspection and/or submit the QA/QC documentation upon request by Regional Board staff.

Each monitoring report must affirm in writing that "All analyses were conducted at a laboratory certified for such analyses by the California Department of Health Services, and in accordance with current USEPA guideline procedures or as specified in this Monitoring Program." Proper chain of custody procedures must be followed and a copy of the completed chain of custody form shall be submitted with the report.

- G. For every item where the requirements are not met, the Discharger shall submit a statement of the cause(s), and actions undertaken or proposed which will bring the discharge into full compliance with waste discharge requirements at the earliest possible time, including a timetable for implementation of those actions.
- H. The Discharger shall maintain all sampling and analytical results: date; exact place, and time of sampling; dates analyses were performed; analyst's name; analytical techniques used; and results of all analyses. Such records shall be retained for a minimum of three years. This period of retention shall be extended during the course of any unresolved litigation regarding this discharge, or when requested by the Regional Board.
- I. In reporting the monitoring data, the Discharger shall arrange the data in tabular form so that the date, the constituents, and the concentrations are readily discernible. The data shall be summarized to demonstrate compliance with the requirements and, where applicable, shall include results of receiving water observations.
- J. The Discharger shall submit an annual summary report containing a discussion of the previous year's treated wastewater monitoring data, as well as graphical and tabular summaries of the data. The Regional Board may require the Discharger to submit the monitoring and annual summary reports electronically at some time in the future.

The Discharger shall comply with the Electronic Submittal of Information (ESI) requirements by submitting all reports required under the MRP, including groundwater monitoring data, discharge location data, and pdf monitoring reports to

the State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker database under Global ID WDR100000849.

II. WATER QUALITY MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Influent Monitoring

1. Influent monitoring is required to assess treatment plant performance and wastewater quality of discharge from the existing individual self-regenerating water softening facilities and community of Santa Paula.
2. Sampling stations shall be established at each point of inflow to the wastewater treatment plant and shall be located upstream of any in-plant return flows and/or where representative samples of the influent can be obtained. The date and time of sampling shall be reported with the analytical results.
3. Samples for influent BOD₅20°C and suspended solids analysis shall be obtained on the same day that the effluent BOD₅20°C and suspended solids samples are obtained. Similarly, sampling for other constituents shall also be coordinated with effluent sampling.
4. The following shall constitute the influent monitoring program for the new SPWRF:

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Type of Sample</u>	<u>Minimum Frequency of Analysis</u>
Total flow	recorder	continuous
BOD ₅ (20°C)	grab	weekly
Suspended solids	grab	weekly
Chloride	grab	monthly
Sulfate	grab	monthly
Boron	grab	monthly
Total Dissolved Solids	grab	monthly
Metals ¹	grab	quarterly

¹ Refer to attached priority pollutants list - Attachment A

B. Effluent Monitoring

An effluent sampling station(s) shall be established for the new Santa Paula Wastewater Recycling Facility (SPWRF) at a location(s) where representative samples of treated wastewater can be obtained prior to discharge to the ponds or surface and subsurface drip system. The sampling station may be located by the end of the pipe of the new SPWRF treatment system. Any proposed sampling station location for the new SPWRF shall be identified and approved by the Executive Officer prior to its use.

The following shall constitute the effluent monitoring program for the new SPWRF:

Minimum

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Type of Sample</u>	<u>Frequency of Analysis</u>
Total Flow	mgd	recorder	continuous
UV Transmittance ¹	%	recorder	continuous
UV Dose ¹	mW-s/cm ²	calculated	continuous
Turbidity ²	NTU	recorder	continuous
pH	pH units	grab	daily
Fecal coliform ²	MPN/100mL	grab	daily
Total coliform ²	MPN/100mL	grab	daily
Enterococcus ²	MPN/100mL	grab	weekly
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	24-hour composite	weekly
BOD ₅ 20°C	mg/L	24-hour composite	weekly
Oil and Grease	mg/L	grab	weekly
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	grab	weekly
Ammonia-N	mg/L	grab	weekly
Nitrate-N	mg/L	grab	weekly
Nitrite-N	mg/L	grab	weekly
Organic-N	mg/L	grab	weekly
Residual Chlorine ³	mg/L	grab	weekly
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	grab	weekly
Sulfate	mg/L	grab	weekly
Chloride	mg/L	grab	weekly
Boron	mg/L	grab	weekly
MBAS and CTAS ⁴	mg/L	24-hour composite	quarterly
Total hardness	mg/L	24-hour composite	quarterly
Total phosphorus	mg/L	24-hour composite	quarterly
Metals ⁵	µg/L	24-hour composite	quarterly
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	µg/L	24-hour composite	semi-annually
Pesticides ⁵	µg/L	24-hour composite	semi-annually
Volatile organics ⁵	µg/L	grab	semi-annually
Hexavalent chromium	µg/L	grab	semi-annually
Perchlorate	µg/L	24-hour composite	semi-annually
NDMA ⁶	µg/L	24-hour composite	semi-annually
Base/Neutral Extractible ⁵	µg/L	24-hour composite	Annually ⁷
Acid Extractible ⁵	µg/L	24-hour composite	annually ⁷

-
- [1]. If Ultraviolet (UV) is used for disinfection, report daily minimum and daily average UV dose. (mW-s/cm²: milli-watts seconds per square centimeters)
- [2]. Turbidity and coliform samples shall be obtained at some point in the treatment process at a time when wastewater flow and characteristics are most demanding on the treatment facilities and disinfection procedures.
- [3]. If chlorination is used for disinfection.
- [4]. MBAS: Methylene blue active substances, CTAS: Cobalt thiocyanate active substances
- [5]. Refer to attached priority pollutants list - Attachment A
- [6]. NDMA: N-Nitrosodimethylamine
- [7]. After two years of monitoring, monitoring can be reduced to compounds detected under this monitoring schedule. This reduction is subject to approval of a written request that should be submitted to Executive Officer.

C. Pretreatment Monitoring and Reporting

1. The Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board and the EPA Region 9, a quarterly compliance status report. This quarterly reporting requirement shall commence for the first full quarter following issuance of this Order. The reports shall identify:
 - a. All significant industrial users (SIUs) which violated any standards or reporting requirements during that quarter;
 - b. The violations committed;
 - c. The enforcement actions undertaken; and
 - d. The status of active enforcement actions from previous periods, including closeouts (facilities under previous enforcement actions which attained compliance during the quarter).
2. By January 30th of each year, beginning January 30, 2013, the Discharger shall submit an annual summary report to the Regional Board describing the pretreatment activities within the service area during the previous year. In the event that any control authority within the service area is not in compliance with any conditions or requirements of this Order or their approved pretreatment program (such as due to industrial user discharges, interjurisdictional agency agreement implementation issues, or other causes,) then the Discharger shall also include the reasons for non-compliance and state how and when the Discharger and the control authority shall comply with such conditions and requirements. The report shall contain, but not be limited to, the following information:
 - a. A summary of analytical results from representative, flow-proportioned, 24-hour composite sampling of the POTW's influent and effluent wastewaters for those pollutants which are known or suspected to be discharged by industrial users (IUs) as identified by the pretreatment program.
 - b. The summary will include the result of annual full priority pollutant scan, with quarterly samples analyzed only for those pollutants detected in the full scan.
 - c. The Discharger shall also provide any influent or effluent monitoring data for non-priority pollutant which the Discharger believes may be causing or contributing to Interference, Pass Through or adversely impacting sludge quality.
 - d. Sampling and analysis shall be performed in accordance with the techniques prescribed in pretreatment program and amendments thereto.
 - e. A discussion of any upset, interference, or pass-through incidents at the treatment plant (if any), which the Discharger knows or suspects were

caused by IUs of the Publically Owned Treatment Works (POTW) system. The discussion shall include the following:

- (1) The reasons why the incidents occurred, the corrective actions taken, and, if known, the name and address of the Industrial Users IU(s) responsible.
 - (2) A review of the applicable pollutant limitations to determine whether any additional limitations, or changes to existing requirements, may be necessary to prevent pass through, interference or noncompliance with sludge disposal requirements, if any.
- f. A complete and updated list of the Discharger's significant industrial users (SIUs), including names, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code(s) and addresses, and a list of any SIU deletions and/or additions.
- g. The Discharger shall provide a brief explanation for each deletion. The SIU list shall identify the SIUs equivalent to Federal Categorical Standards by specifying which standards are applicable to each SIU. The list shall also indicate which SIUs are subject to local limitations and those, which are not subject to local limits.
- h. A list or table characterizing the industrial compliance status of each SIU, including:
- (1) SIU name and address;
 - (2) Industrial category;
 - (3) The type (processes) of wastewater treatment in place;
 - (4) Number of samples taken by the POTW during the year;
 - (5) Number of samples taken by the SIU during the year;
 - (6) Whether all needed certifications (if allowed) were provided by SIUs which have limits for total toxic organics;
 - (7) Regional Standards violated during the year, reported separately;
 - (8) Whether the SIU at any time in the year was in Significant Noncompliance (SNC), equivalent to that defined in 40 CFR 403.12 (f)(2)(vii); and
 - (9) A summary of enforcement actions against the SIU taken during the year, including the type of action, final compliance date, and amount of fines assessed/collected (if any). Proposed actions, if known, should be included.
 - (10) Number of inspections conducted at each SIU during the year.

- i. A compliance summary table which includes:
 - (1) SIU's which were in SNC at any time during the year;
 - (2) The total number of SIUs which are in SNC with pretreatment compliance schedules during the year;
 - (3) The total number of notices of violation and administrative orders issued against SIUs during the year;
 - The total number of civil and criminal judicial actions filed against SIUs during the year;
 - The number of SIUs which were published as being in SNC during the year; And,
 - The number of IUs from which penalties were collected during the year.
- j. A short description of any significant changes in operating the pretreatment program which differ from the previous year including, but not limited to changes concerning:
 - (1) The program's administrative structure;
 - (2) Local industrial discharge limitations;
 - (3) Monitoring program or monitoring frequencies;
 - (4) Legal authority or enforcement policy;
 - (5) Funding mechanisms; and
 - (6) Resource requirements and/or staffing levels.
- k. A summary of the annual pretreatment budget, including the cost of pretreatment program functions and equipment purchases.
- l. A summary of public participation activities to involve and inform the public.
- m. A description of any changes in sludge disposal methods and a discussion of any concerns not described elsewhere in the report.
- n. The cumulative number of industrial users that the Discharger has notified regarding Baseline Monitoring Reports and the cumulative number of industrial user responses.

- o. The Discharger shall submit the quarterly compliance status reports and the annual pretreatment report to the Regional Water Board.

III. GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM

A groundwater monitoring program shall be implemented to evaluate impacts of wastewater discharged from the new SPWRF to the percolation/evaporation pond system and the reuse and irrigation disposal areas. The Discharger must prepare a groundwater monitoring program to construct background water quality and fully assess any impacts from the historic surface discharge and future discharges (e.g. percolation ponds, subsurface linear dripline, subsurface percolation field, and Title 22 irrigations) and submit a groundwater monitoring plan to the Regional Board for review by September 15, 2009. The groundwater-monitoring wells network for the new SPWRF is subject to approval by the Executive Officer prior to implementation, and shall include monitoring wells located upgradient, downgradient, and in close proximity to the ponds.

The following shall constitute the groundwater monitoring program for the new SPWRF:

<u>Constituent of Analysis</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Type of Sample</u>	<u>Minimum Frequency</u>
pH	pH units	grab	quarterly
Total coliform	MPN/100mL	grab	quarterly
Fecal coliform	MPN/100mL	grab	quarterly
Enterococcus	MPN/100mL	grab	quarterly
MBAS	mg/L	grab	quarterly
Ammonia-N	mg/L	grab	quarterly
Nitrate-N	mg/L	grab	quarterly
Nitrite-N	mg/L	grab	quarterly
Organic-N	mg/L	grab	quarterly
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	grab	quarterly
Boron	mg/L	grab	quarterly
Chloride	mg/L	grab	quarterly
Sulfate	mg/L	grab	quarterly
Volatile organics ¹	µg/L	grab	Quarterly ²
Pesticides ¹	µg/L	grab	Quarterly ²
Metals ¹	µg/L	grab	Quarterly ²

[1]. Refer to attached priority pollutants list - Attachment A

[2]. Quarterly monitoring during the first year, semi-annual during the second year, and thereafter annual monitoring

All groundwater monitoring reports must include, at minimum, the following:

- a. Well identification, date and time of sampling;
- b. Sampler identification, and laboratory identification; and
- c. Quarterly observation of groundwater levels, recorded to .01 feet mean sea level, flow direction.

IV. TREATED WASTEWATER USERS SUMMARY REPORT

The Discharger shall submit a quarterly treated wastewater user summary report containing the following information:

- Volume of treated wastewater supplied to the users for each month of the reporting period;
- Total number and area size of treated wastewater use sites; and,
- Treated wastewater user site inspections on a monthly basis (for any prolonged ponding of water and broken or poorly adjusted distribution/disposal system).

V. SURFACE WATER MONITORING PROGRAM

The Executive Officer may determine that a surface water monitoring program for the Santa Clara River is needed to fully evaluate the impact from Discharger's wastewater discharge on groundwater. If this determination is made, the Discharger must submit a surface water monitoring plan to this Regional Board within 60 days of the notification.

VI. WASTE HAULING REPORTING

In the event that waste oil and grease, sludge, or other wastes are hauled offsite, the name and address of the hauler shall be reported, along with types and quantities hauled during the reporting period and the location of final point of disposal. In the event that no wastes are hauled during the reporting period, a statement to that effect shall be submitted.

VII. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT

The Discharger shall file a technical report with the Executive Officer, not later than 30 days after construction of the new SPWRF relative to the operation and maintenance program for the new SPWRF. The information to be contained in the report shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- a. The name and address of the person or company responsible for the operation and maintenance of the facility;
- b. Type of maintenance (preventive or corrective action performed);
- c. Frequency of maintenance, if preventive; and
- d. Maintenance of adequate UV light system performance to ensure continued system operation (if UV is used for disinfection).

This operation and maintenance report shall be filed with the annual summary report.

VIII. CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Each report shall contain the following declaration:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document, including all attachments and supplemental information, was prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of a fine and imprisonment.

Executed on the _____ day of _____ at _____.

_____ (Signature)

_____ (Title)"

IX. MONITORING FREQUENCIES

Monitoring frequencies may be adjusted to a less frequent basis or parameters dropped by the Executive Officer if the Discharger makes a request and the Executive Officer determines that the request is adequately supported by statistical trends of monitoring data submitted.

These records and reports are public documents and shall be made available for inspection during normal business hours at the office of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region.

Ordered by: Samuel Unger
Samuel Unger, P.E.
Executive Officer

Date: December 21, 2017

Attachment A-1

Constituent	Maximum Contamination Levels (mg/L)
Aluminum	1
Antimony	0.006
Arsenic	0.05
Barium	1
Beryllium	0.004
Cadmium	0.005
Chromium	0.05
Cyanide	0.2
Fluoride	2
Mercury	0.002
Nickel	0.1
Selenium	0.05
Thallium	0.002

California Code of Regulation (CCR) Title 22, Section 64431 Nitrate, Nitrate plus nitrite have been removed from this Table.

Attachment A-2

Table 4 – Radioactivity	
Constituent	Maximum Contamination Levels (pCi/L)
Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228	5
Gross Alpha Particle Activity (Including Radium-226 but Excluding Radon and Uranium)	15
Tritium	20000
Strontium-90	8
Gross Beta Particle Activity	50
Uranium	20

California Code of Regulation (CCR) Title 22, Section 64443

Attachment A-3

Table 64444-A – Organic/Regulated Chemicals	
Constituent	Maximum Contamination Levels (mg/L)
Volatile Organic Chemicals	
Benzene	0.001
Carbon Tetrachloride (CTC)	0.0005
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.6
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.005
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.005
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	0.0005
1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	0.006
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.006
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.01
Dichloromethane	0.005
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.005
1,3-Dichloropropene	0.0005
Ethylbenzene	0.7
Methyl-tert-butyl-ether	0.013
Monochlorobenzene	0.07
Styrene	0.1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.001
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	0.005
Toluene	0.15
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.07
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.2
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.005
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	0.005
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.15
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroethane	1.2
Vinyl Chloride	0.0005
Xylenes (m,p)	1.75
Non-Volatile synthetic Organic Chemicals	
Alachlor	0.002
Atrazine	0.003
Bentazon	0.018
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0002
Carbofuran	0.018
Chloradane	0.0001
2,4-D	0.07
Dalapon	0.2
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.0002

(Continues to the Next Page)

(Continued from the Previous Page)

Table 64444-A – Organic/Regulated Chemicals	
Constituent	Maximum Contamination Levels (mg/L)
Non-Volatile synthetic Organic Chemicals	
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	0.4
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.004
Dinoseb	0.007
Diquat	0.02
Endothall	0.1
Endrin	0.002
Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	0.00005
Glyphosate	0.7
Heptachlor	0.00001
Heptachlor Epoxie	0.00001
Hexachlorobenzene	0.001
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.05
Lindane	0.0002
Methoxychlor	0.04
Molinate	0.02
Oxamyl	0.2
Pentachlorophenol	0.001
Picloram	0.5
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	0.0005
Simazine	0.004
Thiobencarb	0.07
Toxaphene	0.003
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	3×10^{-8}
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	0.05

California Code of Regulation (CCR) Title 22, Section 64444

Attachment A-4

Table 64533-A – Primary MCLs for Disinfection Byproducts	
Constituent	Maximum Contamination Levels (mg/L)
Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)	0.08
Bromodichloromethane	
Bromoform	
Chloroform	
Dibromochloromethane	
Haloacetic acid (five) (HAA5)	0.06
Monochloroacetic acid	
Dichloroacetic acid	
Trichloroacetic acid	
Monobromoacetic acid	
Dibromoacetic acid	
Bromate	0.01
Chlorite	1.0

California Code of Regulation (CCR) Title 22, Section 64533, Chapter 15.5