



EDMUND G. BROWN JR.  
GOVERNOR

MATTHEW RODRIGUEZ  
SECRETARY FOR  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

## Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

February 27, 2014

**CERTIFIED MAIL NO. 7012 3460 0000 2166 0156  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

Mr. Jorge Lopez  
Suburban Water Systems  
1325 N. Grand Avenue  
Covina, CA 91724

**REVISED COVERAGE UNDER GENERAL NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM AND WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS-SUBURBAN WATER SYSTEMS, PIPELINE REPLACEMENT PROJECT, RACIMO DRIVE, WALTHALL AVENUE, REIS STREET, ANOLA STREET AND SORENSON CHANNEL, WHITTIER, CALIFORNIA (NPDES NO. CAG674001, CI-9968)**

Dear Mr. Lopez:

We have received your revised Notice of Intent (NOI) date February 3, 2014, for the above referenced project. You requested a revision of your enrollment under the general NPDES permit to cover discharges from hydrostatic testing of replacement pipeline that crosses Sorenson Channel approximately 700 feet south of Carmenita Road along the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works access road and to add one discharge point location, M-005.

We have completed our review of your submittal and concur with your request. Enclosed are your Waste Discharge Requirements, which also serve as your NPDES permit, consisting of Order No. R4-2009-0068 and Monitoring and Reporting Program No. CI-9968. The discharge limitations in Part V. of the Effluent Limitations Order No. R4-2009-0068 for the specific constituents listed in the Table with the enclosed Fact Sheet are applicable to your discharge. The discharge of hydrostatic test water from the project flows into Coyote Creek therefore, the mineral limitations in Attachment B of the Order are not applicable to your discharge. All parts of the Order applies, including but not limited to narrative effluent and receiving water limitations. Prior to starting discharge, a representative sample of the effluent shall be obtained and analyzed to determine compliance with the discharge limitations.

The Monitoring and Reporting Program requires you to implement the monitoring program on the effective date of coverage under this permit. All monitoring reports should be sent to the Regional Board, electronically by e-mail to [losangeles@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:losangeles@waterboards.ca.gov). When submitting monitoring or technical reports to the Regional Board per these requirements, please include a reference to "Compliance File No. CI-9968 and NPDES No. CAG674001", which will assure that the reports are directed to the appropriate file and staff. Also, please do not combine other reports with your monitoring reports. Submit each type of report as a separate document.

CHARLES STRINGER, CHAIR | SAMUEL UNGER, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

320 West 4th St., Suite 200, Los Angeles, CA 90013 | [www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles)

February 27, 2014

-2-

The Regional Board is implementing a paperless office system to reduce paper use, increase efficiency and provide a more effective way for our staff, the public and interested parties to view water quality documents. Therefore, please convert all regulatory documents, submissions, data and correspondence that you would normally submit to us as hard copies to a searchable Portable Document Format (PDF). Documents that are less than 10 MB should be emailed to [losangeles@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:losangeles@waterboards.ca.gov). Documents that are 10 MB or larger should be transferred to a disk and mailed to the address listed above. If you need additional information regarding electronic submittal of documents please visit the Regional Board's website listed above and navigate to Paperless Office.

To avoid future annual fees, please submit written request for termination of your enrollment under the general permit in a separate letter, when the project has been completed and the permit is no longer needed.

We are sending a copy of Order No. R4-2009-0068 only to the applicant. For those on the mailing list, please refer to the Board Order previously sent to you. A copy of the Order will be furnished to anyone who requests it, or it can be obtained at our web site address: [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/board\\_decisions/adopted\\_orders/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/board_decisions/adopted_orders/).

If you have any questions, please contact Alex Carlos at 213-576-6726.

Sincerely,

  
Samuel Unger, P.E.  
Executive Officer

Enclosures:

Order No. R4-2009-0068  
Monitoring and Reporting Program No. CI-9968  
Fact Sheet

cc: Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Permit Section (WTR-5)  
State Water Resources Control Board, [npdes-wastewater@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:npdes-wastewater@waterboards.ca.gov)  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, Division of Ecological Services  
NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service  
California Department of Fish and Game, Marine Resources, Region 5  
Los Angeles County, Department of Public Works, Environmental Programs Division  
Jae Kim. Tetrattech  
Kenneth Reich, Suburban Water Systems

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD  
LOS ANGELES REGION  
320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90013

**REVISED FACT SHEET  
WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS  
FOR**

**SUBURBAN WATER SYSTEMS  
(PIPELINE REPLACEMENT PROJECT)**

**ORDER NO. R4-2009-0068  
NPDES NO. CAG674001, SERIES NO.027  
CI-9968**

**FACILITY ADDRESS**

Racimo Drive, Walthall Ave, Reis St, Anola St  
and Sorenson Channel, Whittier, CA 90606

**FACILITY MAILING ADDRESS**

1325 N. Grand Avenue, Suite 100  
Covina, CA91724

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Suburban Water Systems (Discharger) proposes to discharge hydrostatic test water associated with the replacement of existing water main pipelines on Racimo Drive, Walthall Avenue, Reis Street and Anola Street in unincorporated Whittier. On August 6, 2013, the Discharger requested a revision of the enrollment under the general NPDES permit to cover discharges from hydrostatic testing of replacement pipeline along Reis Street and add three discharge point locations, M-002, M-003 and M-004. These discharge points are in the proximity of Racimo Drive and discharge point M-001. On February 3, 2014, the Discharger requested a revision of the enrollment under the general NPDES permit to cover discharges from hydrostatic testing of replacement pipeline that crosses Sorenson Channel, approximately 700 feet south of Carmenita Road, along the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works access road and add one discharge point location, M-005. For the pressure test, the Discharger will use potable water originating from its Bartolo wellfield. Up to 500,000 gallons of hydrostatic test water will be discharged intermittently along the street to storm drains on Racimo Drive, Dupage Avenue/Reis Street and Painter Avenue/Carmenita Road for five days. This water will flow into the Sorenson Drain. Prior to discharge into the storm drain, all water will be de-chlorinated.

**VOLUME AND DESCRIPTION OF DISCHARGE:**

Up to 500,000 gallons of hydrostatic test water will be discharged from the project site over a five-day period to the nearby storm drain systems at Discharge Points M-001 through M-005. The coordinates of the discharge points are shown below. The discharges enter the Sorenson Drain and flows into Coyote Creek, a water of the United States. The site location maps are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Outfalls	Latitude			Longitude		
	Deg	Min	Sec	Deg	Min	Sec
M-001	33.9	57	0.172	-118	2	52
M-002	33	56	59.0424	-118	2	56.3208
M-003	33	56	56.5116	-118	2	47.5692
M-004	33	56	55.5252	-118	2	47.8392
M-005	33	56	47.72	-118	2	40.92

**APPLICABLE EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS**

Based on the information provided in the NPDES Application Supplemental Requirements, the Regional Board has determined that the constituents listed in the Table 1 below, show reasonable potential to exist in the discharge. Therefore, effluent limitations contained in Part V. of Order No. R4-2009-0068 are applicable to the discharge, as listed in Table 1 below. The discharge flows to the Coyote Creek therefore, the mineral effluent limitations in Attachment B of Order R4-2009-0068 are not applicable to the discharge. The Discharger must comply with all other parts of the Order, including, but not limited, to narrative effluent and receiving water limitations.

**Table 1:** The Discharger is required to comply with these effluent limitations during its enrollment under Order No. R4-2009-0068.

Constituents	Units	Discharge Limitations	
		Daily Maximum	Monthly Average
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	150	50
Turbidity	NTU	150	50
BOD <sub>5</sub> 20°C	mg/L	30	20
Oil and Grease	mg/L	15	10
pH	pH units	6.5 to 8.5	---
Settleable Solids	ml/L	0.3	0.1
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/L	0.1	---

**FREQUENCY OF DISCHARGE:**

The discharge of groundwater will be intermittent and is estimated to last for 5 days.

**REUSE OF WATER:**

The discharger submitted a feasibility study to the Regional Board analyzing the water conservation re-use, and/or alternative disposal options for the discharge. The discharger will reuse some of the hydrostatic test water from the Sorenson Channel pipeline for dust suppression of the Los Angeles County right-of-way. The discharger found that there are no

landscaped areas that require irrigation using the hydrostatic test water discharge. The discharger also found that discharging the hydrostatic test water to the sanitary sewer system or hauling the wastewater for off-site disposal is not economically feasible. Since alternative disposal methods are not feasible, the Discharger proposes to discharge the remainder of the wastewater to the nearby storm drain systems (that discharges to the Coyote Creek) in compliance with the requirements of the attached Order R4-2009-0068.




Figure 1. Site location map




Figure 2. Storm drain map



Figure 3. Storm drain map

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD  
LOS ANGELES REGION

REVISED MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM NO. CI-9968  
FOR  
DISCHARGES FROM LOW THREAT HYDROSTATIC TEST WATER  
TO THE SURFACE WATERS IN  
COASTAL WATERSHEDS OF LOS ANGELES AND VENTURA COUNTIES  
(GENERAL NPDES PERMIT NO. CAG674001, SERIES NO. 027)

This Order was adopted by the Regional Water Board on:	June 4, 2009
This Order shall become effective on:	February 27, 2014
This Order shall expire on:	June 4, 2014
The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the Regional Water Board have classified this discharge as a minor discharge.	

Ordered By: Samuel Unger  
Samuel Unger, P.E.  
Executive Officer

Date: February 27, 2014

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### ATTACHMENT E - Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP)

I.	General Monitoring Provisions .....	3
II.	Effluent Monitoring Requirement.....	6
	A. Monitoring Locations.....	6
	B. Effluent Monitoring Requirements.....	6
III.	Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements.....	7
	A. Definition of Toxicity.....	7
	B. Acute Toxicity Effluent Monitoring Program.....	7
	C. Reporting .....	7
IV.	Land Discharge Monitoring Requirements .....	9
V.	Reclamation Monitoring Requirements .....	9
VI.	Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements – Surface Water And Groundwater .....	9
VII.	Other Monitoring Requirements .....	9
VIII.	Reporting Requirements .....	9
	A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements.....	9
	B. Self Monitoring Reports (SMRs) .....	9
	C. Notification .....	11
	D. Monitoring Frequencies Adjustment .....	11
	E. Self Monitoring Reports Submittal .....	12

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Monitoring Locations.....	6
Table 2.	Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule.....	10

## Attachment E – Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP)

The Code of Federal Regulations section 122.48 requires that all NPDES permits specify monitoring and reporting requirements. Water Code Sections 13267 and 13383 also authorize the Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) to require technical and monitoring reports. This MRP establishes monitoring and reporting requirements, which implement the federal and California regulations.

### I. General Monitoring Provisions

- A. An effluent sampling station shall be established for Discharge Point M-001 and shall be located where representative samples of that effluent can be obtained. Provisions shall be made to enable visual inspections before discharge. In the event of presence of oil sheen, debris, and/or other objectionable materials or odors, discharge shall not commence until compliance with the requirements is demonstrated. All visual observations shall be included in the monitoring report.
- B. This Regional Water Board shall be notified in writing of any change in the sampling stations once established or in the methods for determining the quantities of pollutants in the individual waste streams.
- C. Effluent samples shall be taken downstream of any addition to treatment works (if treatment is applicable) and prior to mixing with the receiving waters.
- D. Pollutants shall be analyzed using the analytical methods described in 40 CFR §§136.3, 136.4, and 136.5 (revised May 14, 1999); or, where no methods are specified for a given pollutant, by methods approved by this Regional Water Board or the State Water Board. Appropriate field test kits are authorized for measurement of residual chlorine and pH.
- E. Laboratories analyzing effluent samples and/or receiving water samples shall be certified by the California Department of Public Health Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP) or approved by the Executive Officer and must include QA/QC data in their reports. A copy of the laboratory certification shall be provided each time a new certification and/or renewal of the certification is obtained from ELAP.
- F. For any analyses performed for which no procedure is specified in the USEPA guidelines or in the MRP, the constituent or parameter analyzed and the method or procedure used must be specified in the monitoring report.
- G. Each monitoring report must affirm in writing that “all analyses were conducted at a laboratory certified for such analyses by the Department of Public Health or approved by the Executive Officer and in accordance with current USEPA guideline procedures or as specified in this Monitoring and Reporting Program”.
- H. The monitoring reports shall specify the analytical method used, the MDL, and the ML for each pollutant. For the purpose of reporting compliance with numerical limitations, performance goals, and receiving water limitations, analytical data shall be reported by one of the following methods, as appropriate:
  1. An actual numerical value for sample results greater than or equal to the ML;  
or

2. "DNQ" if results are greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL but less than the ML; or,
3. "ND" for sample results less than the laboratory's MDL with the MDL indicated for the analytical method used.

Analytical data reported as "less than" for the purpose of reporting compliance with permit limitations shall be the same or lower than the permit limit(s) established for the given parameter.

Current MLs (Attachment G) are those published by the State Water Resources Control Board in the Policy for the Implementation of Toxic Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California, March 2, 2000.

- I. Where possible, the MLs employed for effluent analyses shall be lower than the permit limitations established for a given parameter. If the ML value is not below the effluent limitation, then the lowest ML value and its associated analytical method shall be selected for compliance purposes. At least once a year, the Discharger shall submit a list of the analytical methods employed for each test and associated laboratory QA/QC procedures.

The Regional Water Board, in consultation with the State Water Board Quality Assurance Program, shall establish a ML that is not contained in Attachment G to be included in the Discharger's permit in any of the following situations:

1. When the pollutant under consideration is not included in Attachment G;
  2. When the Discharger and Regional Water Board agree to include in the permit a test method that is more sensitive than that specified in 40 CFR Part 136 (revised May 14, 1999);
  3. When the Discharger agrees to use an ML that is lower than that listed in Attachment G;
  4. When the Discharger demonstrates that the calibration standard matrix is sufficiently different from that used to establish the ML in Attachment H, and proposes an appropriate ML for their matrix; or,
  5. When the Discharger uses a method whose quantification practices are not consistent with the definition of an ML. Examples of such methods are the USEPA-approved method 1613 for dioxins and furans, method 1624 for volatile organic substances, and method 1625 for semi-volatile organic substances. In such cases, the Discharger, the Regional Water Board, and the State Water Board shall agree on a lowest quantifiable limit and that limit will substitute for the ML for reporting and compliance determination purposes.
- J. Water/wastewater samples must be analyzed within allowable holding time limits as specified in 40 CFR §136.3. All QA/QC items must be run on the same dates the samples were actually analyzed, and the results shall be reported in the Regional Water Board format, when it becomes available, and submitted with the laboratory reports. Proper chain of custody procedures must be followed, and a copy of the chain of custody shall be submitted with the report.

- K. All laboratory analyses shall be accompanied by the chain of custody form QA/QC data, and a perjury statement executed by the person responsible for the laboratory.
- L. The Discharger shall calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring instruments and to insure accuracy of measurements, or shall insure that both equipment activities will be conducted.
- M. For parameters that both monthly average and daily maximum limitations are specified and the monitoring frequency is less than four times a month, the following shall apply. If an analytical result is greater than the monthly average limitation, the Discharger shall collect four additional samples at approximately equal intervals during the month, until compliance with the monthly average limitation has been demonstrated. All five analytical results shall be reported in the monitoring report for that month, or 45 days after results for the additional samples were received, whichever is later. In the event of noncompliance with a monthly average effluent limitation, the sampling frequency for that constituent shall be increased to weekly and shall continue at this level until compliance with the monthly average effluent limitation has been demonstrated. The Discharger shall provide for the approval of the Executive Officer a program to ensure future compliance with the monthly average limitation.
- N. In the event wastes are transported to a different disposal site during the report period, the following shall be reported in the monitoring report:
1. Types of wastes and quantity of each type;
  2. Name and address for each hauler of wastes (or method of transport if other than by hauling); and
  3. Location of the final point(s) of disposal for each type of waste.
- If no wastes are transported off-site during the reporting period, a statement to that effect shall be submitted.
- O. Each monitoring report shall contain a separate section titled "Summary of Non compliance" which discusses the compliance record and corrective action taken or planned that may be needed to bring the discharge into full compliance with waste discharge requirements. This section shall clearly list all non-compliance with waste discharge requirements, as well as all excursions of effluent limitations.
- P. Before commencing a new discharge<sup>1</sup>, a representative sample of the effluent shall be collected and analyzed for the constituents listed in Fact Sheet, and the test results must meet all applicable limitations of Order No. R4-2009-0068.
- Q. SAMPLE COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS (AS APPROPRIATE)
1. Daily samples shall be collected each day.
  2. Weekly samples shall be collected on a representative day of each week.
  3. Monthly samples shall be collected on a representative day of each month.
  4. Quarterly samples shall be collected in February, May, August, and November.
  5. Semi-annual samples shall be collected in May and November.

<sup>1</sup> The first discharge from a hydrostatic testing project.

- 6. Annual samples shall be collected in November.
- R. If monitoring results indicate an exceedance of a limit contained in Order R4-2009-0068, the discharge shall be terminated and shall only be resumed after remedial measures have been implemented and full compliance with the requirements has been ascertained.

**II. Effluent Monitoring Requirement**

**A. Monitoring Locations**

The Discharger shall establish the following monitoring locations to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitations, discharge specifications, and other requirements in this Order:

**Table 1. Monitoring Locations**

Discharge Point Name	Monitoring Location Name	Monitoring Location Description
Discharge Point 1	M-001	Treated effluent, after treatment and before contact with the receiving water and/or dilution by any other water or waste.
Discharge Point 2	M-002	If more than one discharge point is authorized under the General Permit, compliance monitoring locations shall be named M-002, M-003, etc. and shall be located so as to allow collection of treated effluent after treatment and before contact with receiving water and/or dilution by any other water or waste.

**B. Effluent Monitoring Requirements**

The Discharger shall monitor the effluent at Discharge Points M-001 through M-005. (The representative effluent samples shall be collected after all treatment processes (if any) while discharging and before contact or mixing with receiving water or other waters and/or dilution with any other water or waste).

**Monitoring Constituents**

Pollutant	Unit	Sample Type	Minimum Frequency of Analysis
Flow	gal/day	totalizer	Continuously <sup>2</sup>
pH	pH units	grab	once per discharge event <sup>3</sup>
Temperature	°F	grab	once per discharge event <sup>3</sup>
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	grab	once per discharge event <sup>3</sup>
Turbidity	NTU	grab	once per discharge event <sup>3</sup>
Oil and Grease	mg/L	grab	once per discharge event <sup>3</sup>
BOD <sub>5</sub> 20°C	mg/L	grab	once per discharge event <sup>3</sup>
Settleable Solids	ml/L	grab	once per discharge event <sup>3</sup>
Residual Chlorine	mg/L	grab	once per discharge event <sup>3</sup>

Pollutant	Unit	Sample Type	Minimum Frequency of Analysis
Acute Toxicity	% survival	grab	Annually <sup>1</sup>

- 2 Record the monthly total flow and report the calculated daily average flow and monthly flow in the quarterly and annual reports, as appropriate.
- 3 If the discharge event for a site is continuous or intermittent for more than 30 days, the minimum frequency of analysis becomes monthly.

### III. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements

#### A. Definition of Toxicity

##### Acute Toxicity

The MRP requires an annual test for acute toxicity which measures primarily lethal effects that occur over a 96-hour period. Acute toxicity shall be measured in percent survival measured in undiluted (100%) effluent.

#### B. Acute Toxicity Effluent Monitoring Program

1. The Discharger shall conduct acute toxicity tests on effluent grab sample by methods specified in 40 CFR Part 136 which cites USEPA's *Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms*, Fifth Edition, October 2002, USEPA, Office of Water, Washington D.C. (EPA/821-R-02-012) or a more recent edition to ensure compliance in 100 % effluent.
2. The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas*, shall be used as the test species for fresh water discharges and the topsmelt, *Atherinops affinis*, shall be used as the test species for brackish effluent. The method for topsmelt is found in USEPA's *Short-term Method for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to West Coast Marine and Estuarine Organisms*, First Edition, August 1995 (EPA/600/R-95/136), or a more recent edition.
3. In lieu of conducting the standard acute toxicity testing with the fathead minnow, the Discharger may elect to report the results or endpoint from the first 48 hours of the chronic toxicity test as the results of the acute toxicity test.
4. Accelerated Toxicity Monitoring: If the results of the toxicity test yield a survival of less than 90%, then the frequency of analyses shall increase to monthly until at least three test results have been obtained and full compliance with effluent limitations has been demonstrated, after which the frequency of analyses shall revert to annually. Results of toxicity tests shall be included in the first monitoring report following sampling.

#### C. Reporting

1. The Discharger shall submit a full report of the toxicity test results, including any accelerated testing conducted during the month as required by this

- permit. Test results shall be reported as % survival for acute toxicity test results with the self monitoring reports (SMR) for the month in which the test is conducted.
2. If an initial investigation indicates the source of toxicity and accelerated testing is unnecessary, then those results also shall be submitted with the SMR for the period in which the investigation occurred.
    - a. The full report shall be submitted on or before the end of the month in which the SMR is submitted.
    - b. The full report shall consist of (1) the results; (2) the dates of sample collection and initiation of each toxicity test; (3) the acute toxicity average limit.
  3. Test results for toxicity tests shall be reported according to the appropriate manual chapter on Report Preparation and shall be attached to the SMR. Routine reporting shall include, at a minimum, as applicable, for each test:
    - a. Sample date(s);
    - b. Test initiation date;
    - c. Test species;
    - d. End point values for each dilution (e.g., number of young, growth rate, percent survival);
    - e. Any applicable charts; and
    - f. Available water quality measurements for each test (e.g., pH, D.O., temperature, conductivity, hardness, salinity, ammonia).
  4. The Discharger shall provide a compliance summary, which includes a summary table of toxicity data from all samples collected during that year.

The Discharger shall notify this Regional Water Board by calling Alex Carlos at (213) 576-6726 and by email to [acarlos@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:acarlos@waterboards.ca.gov) of any toxicity exceedance of the limit or trigger within 24 hours of receipt of the results followed by a written report within 14 calendar days of receipt of the results. The verbal or electronic notification shall include the exceedance and the plan the Discharger has taken or will take to investigate and correct the cause(s) of toxicity. It may also include a status report on any actions required by the permit, with a schedule for actions not yet completed. If no actions have been taken, the reasons shall be given

**IV. Land Discharge Monitoring Requirements**

Not Applicable.

**V. Reclamation Monitoring Requirements**

Not Applicable.

**VI. Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements – Surface Water And Groundwater**

Not Applicable.

**VII. Other Monitoring Requirements**

Not Applicable.

**VIII. Reporting Requirements**

**A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements**

1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions (Attachment D) related to monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping.
2. If there is no discharge during any reporting period, the report shall so state.
3. The Discharger shall inform the Regional Water Board well in advance of any proposed construction activity that could potentially affect compliance with applicable requirements.

**B. Self Monitoring Reports (SMRs)**

1. At any time during the term of this permit, the State or Regional Water Board may notify the Discharger to electronically submit Self-Monitoring Reports using the State Board's California Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program Web site (<http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/ciwqs/index.html>). Until such notification is given, the Discharger shall submit hard copy SMRs. The CIWQS Web site will provide additional directions for SMR submittal in the event there will be service interruption for electronic submittal.
2. The Discharger shall report in the SMR the results for all monitoring specified in this MRP. The Discharger shall submit SMRs including the results of all required monitoring using USEPA-approved test methods or other test methods specified in this Order. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculations and reporting of the data submitted in the SMR.
3. Monitoring periods and reporting for all required monitoring shall be completed according to the following schedule:

**Table 2. Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule**

Sampling Frequency	Monitoring Period Begins On	Monitoring Period	SMR Due Date
Continuous	February 27, 2014	All	Submit with quarterly SMR
Weekly	Sunday following permit effective date or on permit effective date if on a Sunday	Sunday through Saturday	Submit with quarterly SMR
Monthly	First day of calendar month following permit effective date or on permit effective date if that date is first day of the month	1 <sup>st</sup> day of calendar month through last day of calendar month	Submit with quarterly SMR
Quarterly	Closest of January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1	January 1 through March 31. April 1 through June 30. July 1 through September 30. October 1 through December 31	45 days from the end of the monitoring period
Annually	January 1	January 1 through December 31	45 days from the end of the monitoring period

4. Reporting Protocols. The Discharger shall report with each sample result the applicable Reporting Level (RL) and the current Method Detection Limit (MDL), as determined by the procedure in Part 136.

The Discharger shall report the results of analytical determinations for the presence of chemical constituents in a sample using the following reporting protocols:

- a. Sample results greater than or equal to the RL shall be reported as measured by the laboratory (i.e., the measured chemical concentration in the sample).
- b. Sample results less than the RL, but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL, shall be reported as "Detected, but Not Quantified," or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.

For the purposes of data collection, the laboratory shall write the estimated chemical concentration next to DNQ as well as the words "Estimated Concentration" (may be shortened to "Est. Conc."). The laboratory may, if such information is available, include numerical estimates of the data quality for the reported result. Numerical estimates of data quality may be percent accuracy ( $\pm$  a percentage of the reported value), numerical ranges (low to high), or any other means considered appropriate by the laboratory.

- c. Sample results less than the laboratory's MDL shall be reported as "Not Detected," or ND.
  - d. Dischargers are to instruct laboratories to establish calibration standards so that the ML value (or its equivalent if there is differential treatment of samples relative to calibration standards) is the lowest calibration standard. At no time is the Discharger to use analytical data derived from *extrapolation* beyond the lowest point of the calibration curve.
5. The Discharger shall submit SMRs in accordance with the following requirements:

- a. The Discharger shall arrange all reported data in a tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with interim and/or final effluent limitations. The Discharger is not required to duplicate the submittal of data that is entered in a tabular format within CIWQS. When electronic submittal of data is required and CIWQS does not provide for entry into a tabular format within the system, the Discharger shall electronically submit the data in a tabular format as an attachment.
- b. The Discharger shall attach a cover letter to the SMR. The information contained in the cover letter shall clearly identify violations of the WDRs; discuss corrective actions taken or planned; and the proposed time schedule for corrective actions. Identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation.
- c. SMRs must be submitted to the Regional Water Board, signed and certified as required by the Standard Provisions (Attachment D), to the address listed below:

**C. Notification**

1. The Discharger shall notify the Executive Officer in writing prior to discharge of any chemical that may be toxic to aquatic life. Such notification shall include:
  - a. Name and general composition of the chemical,
  - b. Frequency of use,
  - c. Quantities to be used,
  - d. Proposed discharge concentrations, and
  - e. EPA registration number, if applicable.

No discharge of such chemical shall be made prior to obtaining the Executive Officer's approval.

2. The Discharger shall notify the Regional Board by calling Alex Carlos at (213) 576-6726 and/or email to [acarlos@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:acarlos@waterboards.ca.gov) within 24 hours of noticing an exceedance above the effluent limits in Order No. R4-2013-0095. The Discharger shall provide to the Regional Water Board within 14 days of observing the exceedance a detailed statement of the actions undertaken or proposed that will bring the discharge into full compliance with the requirements and submit a timetable for correction.

**D. Monitoring Frequencies Adjustment**

Monitoring frequencies may be adjusted by the Executive Officer to a less frequent basis if the Discharger requests same and the request is backed by statistical trends of monitoring data submitted.

**E. Self Monitoring Reports Submittal**

SMRs must be signed and certified as required by the standard provisions (Attachment D). The Discharge shall submit the original SMR to the address listed below:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Los Angeles Region  
320 W. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
Attention: Information and Technology Unit.