Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan – Los Angeles Region to incorporate the Ballona Creek Metals TMDL

Adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region on September 6, 2007.

Amendments:

Table of ContentsAdd:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Summaries <u>7-12</u> Ballona Creek Metals TMDL

List of Figures, Tables and Inserts Add:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Tables

7-12 Ballona Creek Metals TMDL

7-12.1. Ballona Creek Metals TMDL: Elements

7-12.2. Ballona Creek Metals TMDL: Implementation Schedule

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Summaries, Section 7-12 (Ballona Creek Metals TMDL)

Add:

This TMDL was adopted by the Regional Water Quality Control Board on September 6, 2007.

This TMDL was approved by:

The State Water Resources Control Board on [*insert date*]. The Office of Administrative Law on [*insert date*]. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on [*insert date*].

The following tables include the elements of this TMDL.

 Table 7-12.1. Ballona Creek Metals TMDL: Elements

Element	1		latory Provisions	
Problem Statement				303(d) list of impaired
				ad, total selenium, and
				is 303(d) listed for lead.
		-	-	utants, and the existing
		0		national policy that the
		• •		e prohibited. When one
	-	-		at levels exceeding the
				g water is toxic. The
	following of	designated be	eneficial uses are imp	aired by these metals:
	water conta	ct recreation	(REC1); non-contact w	rater recreation (REC2);
	warm fresh	water habita	t (WARM); estuarine	habitat (EST); marine
				are and threatened or
	endangered	species (RA	RE); migration of aqua	atic organisms (MIGR);
	reproductio	n and early d	evelopment of fish (Sl	PWN); commercial and
	sport fishin	g (COMM); a	nd shellfish harvesting	(SHELL).
		a developed	for reaches on the	303(d) list and metal
		-		ain to impaired reaches.
				charges of copper, lead,
			ona Creek and Sepulve	
	selement a		ona creek and sepurve	da Caliyon Channel.
Numeric Target	Numeric w	ater quality ta	argets are based on the	e numeric water quality
(Interpretation of the narrative	standards e	stablished for	metals by the Californ	nia Toxics Rule (CTR).
and numeric water quality	The targets	are expressed	in terms of total recov	erable metals. There are
objective, used to calculate the				ather because hardness
load allocations)				and Sepulveda Canyon
				The dry-weather targets
	~ ~ •	-	-	n Ballona Creek is less
		·		veather targets apply to
	-		n daily flow in Ballor	a Creek is equal to or
	greater than	1 40 cfs.		
	Dry Weath	ier		
	The dry-we	eather targets	are based on the chro	onic CTR criteria. The
				hardness to adjust for
				on factors to convert
			total recoverable meta	
	based on th	e 50 th percen	tile hardness value of 2	300 mg/L and the CTR
				tor for lead is hardness
	dependent,	which is also	based on a hardness of	of 300 mg/L. The dry-
			im is independent of ha	rdness and expressed as
	total recove	erable metals.		
	Dry-we	ather numer	ic targets (µg total rec	overable metals/L)
		Dissolved	Conversion Factor	Total Recoverable
		Dissolved	Conversion r deter	10tul Recoverable
	Copper	23	0.96	24
	Copper Lead			
		23	0.96	24

Element	Key Findin	igs and Regu	latory Provisions	
	Wet Weath	ıer		
	The wet-weather targets for copper, lead and zinc are based on the acute CTR criteria and the 50 th percentile hardness value of 77 mg/L for storm water collected at Sawtelle Boulevard. Conversion factors for copper and zinc are based on a regression of dissolved metal values to total metal values collected at Sawtelle. The CTR default conversion factor based on a hardness value of 77 mg/L is used for lead. The wetweather target for selenium is independent of hardness and expressed as total recoverable metals.			
	Wet-we		ic targets (µg total rec	coverable metals/L)
		Dissolved	Conversion Factor	Total Recoverable
	Copper Lead	11 49	0.62 0.829	18 59
	Selenium	т <i>)</i>	0.02)	5
	Zinc	94	0.79	119
Source Analysis	and zinc lo weather, mo drains conv weather bec of metals in weather loa Additional groundwate	badings during ost of the met wey a large p cause althoug n urban runof adings accour sources of d	g dry weather and wet als loadings are in the ercentage of the meta h their flows are typica f may be quite high. It for 25-35% of the a ry weather flow and r and flows from oth	f copper, lead, selenium t weather. During dry dissolved form. Storm ls loadings during dry dlly low, concentrations During dry years, dry- nnual metals loadings. metals loading include her permitted NPDES
	in the partic flows. On copper load water flow system (MS Caltrans sto	culate form an an annual ba ling and 92% is permitted 54) permit iss orm water per	d are associated with w sis, storm water contril of the lead loading to through the municipal ued to the County of L	gs in Ballona Creek are vet-weather storm water butes about 91% of the Ballona Creek. Storm I separate storm sewer Los Angeles, a separate ion storm water permit,
	TMDL. I relative to t Indirect atm deposited o and deliver metals asso	Direct atmosp the annual dr nospheric dep on the land su red to Ballon ociated with i	wheric deposition of the y-weather loading or the position reflects the pro- rface may be washed of a Creek and its tribut	gnificant source in this metals is insignificant ne total annual loading. ocess by which metals off during storm events aries. The loading of eposition are accounted

Element	Key Findings and Re	egulatory	Provision	ns	
Loading Capacity	TMDLs are developed Creek and Sepulveda			elenium and zi	nc for Ballona
	Dry Weather				
	Dry-weather loading capacities for Ballona Creek and Canyon Channel are equal to the dry-weather numer multiplied by the critical dry-weather flow for each waterbo on long-term flow records for Ballona Creek at Sawtelle t dry-weather flow is 14 cfs. The median dry-weather Sepulveda Canyon Channel, based on measurements con 2003, is 6.3 cfs.				terbody. Based elle the median ather flow for
	Dry-weather loading				
	Ballona Creek Sepulveda Channel	<u>Copper</u> 821 371	Lead 440 199	<u>Selenium</u> 171 77	Zinc 10,423 4,712
	Wet Weather				
	Wet-weather loading capacities are calculated by multiplying the daily storm volume by the wet-weather numeric target for each metal.				
	Wet-weather lo			<u>tal recoverabl</u>	e metals)
	Metal	Load Ca		аа <u>у 19 и а</u> Л	
	CopperDaily storm volume x 18 µg/LLeadDaily storm volume x 59 µg/L				
	Selenium Zinc	Daily st	orm volun	ne x 5 μg/L ne x 119 μg/L	,
<i>Load Allocations</i> (for nonpoint sources)	<i>t</i> Load allocations (LA) are assigned to non-point sources for Ball Creek and Sepulveda Canyon Channel.			ces for Ballona	
	Dry Weather				
	Dry-weather load allocations for copper, lead and zinc are developed for direct atmospheric deposition. The mass-based load allocations are equal to the ratio of the length of each segment over the total length multiplied by the estimates of direct atmospheric loading for Ballona Creek (3.5 g/day for copper, 2.3 g/day for lead, and 11.7 k/day for zinc).				allocations are the total length ing for Ballona
	Dry-weather direct air deposition LAs (total recoverable metals)				
		Copper (g		Lead (g/day)	Zinc (g/day)
	Ballona Creek Sepulveda Channel	2.0 0.3		1.4 0.2	6.8 0.9
	Wet Weather				
	Wet-weather load all developed for direct allocations for direct area of surface water	atmosph atmosph	eric depos eric depos	sition. The main the main the main the set of the set o	nass-based load l to the percent

Element	Key Findings and R	egulatory	Provisions		
	Wet-weather dire			total recov	verable metals)
		Load All	location (gra	ms/dav)	
	Copper		7 x Daily st	•	e (L)
	Lead		7 x Daily st		
	Selenium		3 x Daily st		
	Zinc		7 x Daily st		
Waste Load Allocations (for point sources)	Waste load allocation Creek and Sepulved load allocation is of Angeles County MS Industrial) by subtra capacity. Concentrat other point sources in	ns (WLA) a a Canyon (developed S4, Caltran acting the ion-based	are assigned Channel. A for the sto ns, General load allocat waste load a	to point so grouped n orm water Constructi ion from t	urces for Ballona nass-based waste permittees (Los on and General he total loading
	Dry Weather				
	Dry-weather waste lo weather critical flow minus the load alloca	w multiplie	ed by the d	lry-weather	numeric target
			Storm Wat)
	(51)	Copper	Lead	Selenium	Zinc
	Ballona Creek	818.9	438.6	171	10,416.2
	Sepulveda Channel	370.7	198.8	77	4,711.1
	A waste load allocat and industrial storm storm water waste lo permittees and Caltra Dry-weather S	water pern oad allocat nns, based c	nits during c ions are app on an areal w	lry weather portioned b reighting ap	Therefore, the etween the MS4 pproach.
	Storm Water P				
		Copper	Lead	Selenium	
	Ballona Creek				
	MS4 permittees	807.7	432.6	169	10,273.1
	Caltrans	11.2	6.0	2	143.1
	Sepulveda Channel	0.65.6	106.1	-	
	MS4 Permittees	365.6	196.1	76	4646.4
	Caltrans	5.1	2.7	1	64.7
	Concentration-based the minor NPDES permits that discharg minor NPDES perm NPDES permit will load allocations.	permits a ge to Ballo its or enro	nd general na Creek or llees under	non-storm its tributat a general	water NPDES ries. Any future non-storm water
	Dry-weather WLA				
	$\frac{\text{Copper }(\mu g/L)}{24}$	Lead (µg/I	L) Seleni	<u>um (µg/L)</u>	Zinc (µg/L) 304
	· · / /	13		5	411/1

Element	Key Findings and Regula	atory Provisions		
	Wet Weather			
	loading capacity minus deposition. Wet-weather	Wet-weather waste load allocation for storm water is equal to the total loading capacity minus the load allocation for direct atmospheric deposition. Wet-weather waste load allocations for the grouped storm water permittees apply to all reaches and tributaries.		
	Wet-weather Storm	Water WLAs (total recoverable metals)		
	Wa	ste Load Allocation (grams/day)		
	~ ~	9E-05 x Daily storm volume (L)		
		7E-05 x Daily storm volume (L)		
		7E-06 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	Zinc 1.1	8E-04 x Daily storm volume (L)		
		bad allocations are apportioned between the		
	-	s, the general construction and the general nits based on an areal weighting approach.		
	industrial storm water per	ints based on an arear weighting approach.		
		storm Water WLAs Apportioned		
	Between Storm Wat	er Permits (total recoverable metals)		
	Coppor	Waste Load Allocation (grams/day)		
	Copper MS4 Permittees	1.70E-05 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	Caltrans	2.37E-07 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	General Construction	4.94E-07 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	General Industrial	1.24E-07 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	Lead			
	MS4 Permittees	5.58E-05 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	Caltrans	7.78E-07 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	General Construction	1.62E-06 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	General Industrial	4.06E-07 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	Selenium			
	MS4 Permittees	4.73E-06 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	Caltrans	6.59E-08 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	General Construction	1.37E-07 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	General Industrial	3.44E-08 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	Zinc MS4 Dormittage	1.12E 0.4 x Daily storm values (L)		
	MS4 Permittees Caltrans	1.13E-04 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	General Construction	1.57E-06 x Daily storm volume (L) 3.27E-06 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	General Industrial	8.19E-07 x Daily storm volume (L)		
	Seneral industrial	0.17E of x Dury storm volume (E)		
	industrial storm water pe	ee enrolled under the general construction or rmits will receive an individual waste load sis, based on the acreage of their facility.		

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions			
	Individual per Acre WLAs for General Construction or			
	Industrial Storm Water Permittees (total recoverable metals)			
	Waste Load Allocation (grams/day/acre)			
	Copper 2.20E-10 x Daily storm volume (L)			
	Lead 7.20E-10 x Daily storm volume (L)			
	Selenium 6.10E-11 x Daily storm volume (L)			
	Zinc 1.45E-09 x Daily storm volume (L)			
	Concentration-based wet-weather waste load allocations are assigned to the minor NPDES permits and general non-storm water NPDES permits that discharge to Ballona Creek or its tributaries. Any future minor NPDES permits or enrollees under a general non-storm water NPDES permit will also be subject to the concentration-based waste load allocations.			
	Wet-weather WLAs for other permits (total recoverable metals)Copper ($\mu g/L$)Lead ($\mu g/L$)Selenium ($\mu g/L$)Zinc ($\mu g/L$)18505110			
	18 59 5 119			
Margin of Safety	There is an implicit margin of safety through the use of conservative values for the conversion from total recoverable metals to the dissolved fraction during dry and wet weather. In addition, the TMDL includes a margin of safety by evaluating dry-weather and wet-weather conditions separately and assigning allocations based on two disparate critical conditions.			
Implementation	The regulatory mechanisms used to implement the TMDL will include the Los Angeles County Municipal Storm Water NPDES Permit (MS4), the State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Storm Water Permit, minor NPDES permits, general NPDES permits, general industrial storm water NPDES permits, and general construction storm water NPDES permits. Nonpoint sources will be regulated through the authority contained in Sections 13263 and 13269 of the Water Code, in conformance with the State Water Resources Control Board's Nonpoint Source Implementation and Enforcement Policy (May 2004). Each NPDES permit assigned a WLA shall be reopened or amended at re-issuance, in accordance with applicable laws, to incorporate the applicable WLAs as a permit requirement.			
	The Regional Board shall reconsider this TMDL by January 11, 2011 based on additional data obtained from special studies. Table 7-12.2 presents the implementation schedule for the responsible permittees.			
	Minor NPDES Permits and General Non-Storm Water NPDES Permits:			
	Permit writers may translate applicable waste load allocations into effluent limits for the minor and general NPDES permits by applying the effluent limitation procedures in Section 1.4 of the State Water Resources Control Board's Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (2000) or other applicable engineering practices authorized			

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	under federal regulations. Compliance schedules may be established in individual NPDES permits, allowing up to 5 years within a permit cycle to achieve compliance. Compliance schedules may not be established in general NPDES permits. A discharger that can not comply immediately with effluent limitations specified to meet waste load allocations will be required to apply for an individual permit, in order to, demonstrate the need for a compliance schedule.
	Permittees that hold individual NPDES permits and solely discharge storm water may be allowed (at Regional Board discretion) compliance schedules up to January 11, 2016 to achieve compliance with final WLAs.
	General Industrial Storm Water Permits:
	The Regional Board will develop a watershed specific general industrial storm water permit to incorporate waste load allocations.
	Dry-weather Implementation
	Non-storm water flows authorized by Order No. 97-03 DWQ, or any successor order, are exempt from the dry-weather waste load allocation equal to zero. Instead, these authorized non-storm water flows shall meet the concentration-based waste load allocations assigned to the other NPDES Permits. The dry-weather waste load allocation equal to zero applies to unauthorized non-storm water flows, which are prohibited by Order No. 97-03 DWQ.
	It is anticipated that the dry-weather waste load allocations will be implemented by requiring improved best management practices (BMPs) to eliminate the discharge of non-storm water flows. However, the permit writers must provide adequate justification and documentation to demonstrate that specified BMPs are expected to result in attainment of the numeric waste load allocations.
	Wet-weather Implementation
	The general industrial storm water permittees are allowed interim wet- weather concentration-based waste load allocations based on benchmarks contained in EPA's Storm Water Multi-sector General Permit for Industrial Activities. The interim waste load allocations apply to all industry sectors until no later than January 11, 2016.
	Interim Wet-Weather WLAs for General Industrial Storm Water
	Permittees (total recoverable metals)Copper (μ g/L)Lead (μ g/L)Selenium (μ g/L)Zinc (μ g/L)63.681.6238.5117
	Until January 11, 2011, interim waste load allocations will not be interpreted as enforceable permit conditions. If monitoring demonstrates that interim waste load allocations are being exceeded, the

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	permittee shall evaluate existing and potential BMPs, including structural BMPs, and implement any necessary BMP improvements. It is anticipated that monitoring results and any necessary BMP improvements would occur as part of an annual reporting process. After January 11, 2011, interim waste load allocations shall be translated into enforceable permit conditions. Compliance with permit conditions may be demonstrated through the installation, maintenance, and monitoring of Regional Board-approved BMPs. If this method of compliance is chosen, permit writers must provide adequate justification and documentation to demonstrate that BMPs are expected to result in attainment of interim waste load allocations.
	The general industrial storm water permits shall achieve final wet- weather waste load allocations no later than January 11, 2016, which shall be expressed as NPDES water quality-based effluent limitations. Effluent limitations may be expressed as permit conditions, such as the installation, maintenance, and monitoring of Regional Board-approved BMPs if adequate justification and documentation demonstrate that BMPs are expected to result in attainment of waste load allocations.
	General Construction Storm Water Permits:
	Waste load allocations will be incorporated into the State Board general permit upon renewal or into a watershed-specific general permit developed by the Regional Board.
	Dry-weather Implementation
	Non-storm water flows authorized by the General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity (Water Quality Order No. 99-08 DWQ), or any successor order, are exempt from the dry-weather waste load allocation equal to zero as long as they comply with the provisions of sections C.3 and A.9 of the Order No. 99-08 DWQ, which state that these authorized non-storm discharges shall be (1) infeasible to eliminate (2) comply with BMPs as described in the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan prepared by the permittee, and (3) not cause or contribute to a violation of water quality standards, or comparable provisions in any successor order. Unauthorized non-storm water flows are already prohibited by Order No. 99-08 DWQ.
	Wet-weather Implementation
	By January 11, 2013, the construction industry will submit the results of BMP effectiveness studies to determine BMPs that will achieve compliance with the final waste load allocations assigned to construction storm water permittees. Regional Board staff will bring the recommended BMPs before the Regional Board for consideration by January 11, 2014. General construction storm water permittees will be considered in compliance with final waste load allocations if they implement these Regional Board approved BMPs. All permittees must implement the approved BMPs by January 11, 2015. If no

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	effectiveness studies are conducted and no BMPs are approved by the Regional Board by January 11, 2014, each general construction storm water permit holder will be subject to site-specific BMPs and monitoring requirements to demonstrate compliance with final waste load allocations.
	MS4 and Caltrans Storm Water Permits:
	The County of Los Angeles, City of Los Angeles, Beverly Hills, Culver City, Inglewood, Santa Monica, and West Hollywood are jointly responsible for meeting the mass-based waste load allocations for the MS4 permittees. Caltrans is responsible for meeting their mass-based waste load allocations, however, they may choose to work with the MS4 permittees. The primary jurisdiction for the Ballona Creek watershed is the City of Los Angeles.
	Applicable CTR limits are being met most of the time during dry weather, with episodic exceedances. Due to the expense of obtaining accurate flow measurements required for calculating loads, concentration-based permit limits may apply during dry weather. These concentration-based limits would be equal to the dry-weather concentration-based waste load allocations assigned to the other NPDES permits.
	Each municipality and permittee will be required to meet the storm water waste load allocation at the designated TMDL effectiveness monitoring points. A phased implementation approach, using a combination of non-structural and structural BMPs may be used to achieve compliance with the stormwater waste load allocations. The administrative record and the fact sheets for the MS4 and Caltrans storm water permits must provide reasonable assurance that the BMPs selected will be sufficient to implement the waste load allocations.
	The implementation schedule for the MS4 and Caltrans permittees consists of a phased approach, with compliance to be achieved in prescribed percentages of the watershed, with total compliance to be achieved within 15 years.
Seasonal Variations and Critical Conditions	Seasonal variations are addressed by developing separate waste load allocations for dry weather and wet weather.
	Based on long-term flow records, dry-weather flows in Ballona Creek are estimated to be 14 cubic feet per second (cfs). Since, this flow has been very consistent, 14 cfs is used to define the critical dry-weather flow for Ballona Creek at Sawtelle Boulevard (upstream of Sepulveda Canyon Channel). There are no historic flow records to determine the average long-term flows for Sepulveda Canyon Channel. Therefore, in the absence of historical records the 2003 dry-weather characterization study measurements are assumed reasonable estimates of flow for this channel. The critical dry-weather flow for Sepulveda Canyon Channel is defined as the average flow of 6.3 cfs.
	Wet-weather allocations are developed using the load-duration curve

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	concept. The total wet-weather waste load allocation varies by storm, therefore, given this variability in storm water flows, no justification was found for selecting a particular sized storm as the critical condition.
Monitoring	Effective monitoring will be required to assess the condition of the Ballona Creek and to assess the on-going effectiveness of efforts by dischargers to reduce metals loading to Ballona Creek. Special studies may also be appropriate to provide further information about new data, new or alternative sources, and revised scientific assumptions. Below the Regional Board identifies the various goals of monitoring efforts and studies. The programs, reports, and studies will be developed in response to subsequent orders issued by the Executive Officer.
	Ambient monitoring
	An ambient monitoring program is necessary to assess water quality throughout Ballona Creek and its tributaries and the progress being made to remove the metals impairments. The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees are jointly responsible for implementing the ambient monitoring program. The responsible agencies shall analyze samples for total recoverable metals and dissolved metals, including cadmium and silver, and hardness once a month at each monitoring location. The reported detection limits shall be lower than the hardness adjusted CTR criteria to determine if water quality objectives are being met. There are three ambient monitoring locations.
	Ambient Monitoring Locations
	WaterbodyLocationBallona CreekAt Sawtelle BoulevardSepulveda ChannelJust Above the Confluence with Ballona CreekBallona CreekAt Inglewood Boulevard
	TMDL Effectiveness Monitoring
	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees are jointly responsible for assessing the progress in reducing pollutant loads to achieve the TMDL. The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees are required to submit for approval of the Executive Officer a coordinated monitoring plan that will demonstrate the effectiveness of the phased implementation schedule for this TMDL, which requires attainment of the applicable waste load allocations in prescribed percentages of the watershed over a 15-year period. The monitoring locations specified for the ambient monitoring program may be used as the effectiveness monitoring locations.
	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees will be found to be effectively meeting the dry-weather waste load allocations if the in- stream pollutant concentrations or load at the first downstream monitoring location is equal to or less than the corresponding concentration- or load-based waste load allocation. Alternatively, effectiveness of the TMDL may be assessed at the storm drain outlet

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	based on the concentration-based waste load allocation for the receiving water. For storm drains that discharge to other storm drains, the waste load allocation will be based on the waste load allocation for the ultimate receiving water for that storm drain system.
	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees will be found to be effectively meeting the wet-weather waste load allocations if the loading at the most downstream monitoring location is equal to or less then the wet-weather waste load allocation. Compliance with individual general construction and industrial storm water permittees will be based on monitoring of discharges at the property boundary. Compliance may be assessed based on concentration and/or load allocations.
	The general storm water permits shall contain a model monitoring and reporting program to evaluate BMP effectiveness. A permittee enrolled under the general permits shall have the choice of conducting individual monitoring based on the model program or participating in a group monitoring effort. MS4 permittees are encouraged to take the lead in group monitoring efforts for industrial facilities under their jurisdiction because compliance with waste load allocations by these facilities will in many cases translate to reductions in metals loads to the MS4 system.
	Special studies
	The implementation schedule, Table 7-12.2, allows time for special studies that may serve to refine the estimate of loading capacity, waste load and/or load allocations, and other studies that may serve to optimize implementation efforts. The Regional Board will re-consider the TMDL by January 11, 2011 in light of the findings of these studies. Studies may include:
	• Refinement of hydrologic and water quality model
	Additional source assessment
	• Refinement of potency factors correlation between total suspended solids and metals loadings during dry and wet weather
	• Correlation between short-term rainfall intensity and metals loadings for use in sizing in-line structural BMPs
	• Correlation between storm volume and total recoverable metals loading for use in sizing storm water retention facilities
	• Refined estimates of metals partitioning coefficients, conversion factors, and site-specific toxicity.
	• Evaluation of potential contribution of aerial deposition and sources of aerial deposition.

Date	Action
January 11, 2006	Regional Board permit writers shall incorporate the waste load allocations into the NPDES permits. Waste load allocations will be implemented through NPDES permit limits in accordance with the implementation schedule contained herein, at the time of permit issuance or re-issuance.
January 11, 2010	Responsible jurisdictions and agencies shall provide to the Regional Board results of the special studies.
January 11, 2011	The Regional Board shall reconsider this TMDL to re-evaluate the waste load allocations and the implementation schedule.
MINOR NPDES PERMIT	IS AND GENERAL NON-STORM WATER NPDES PERMITS
Upon permit issuance or renewal	The non-storm water NPDES permittees shall achieve the waste load allocations, which shall be expressed as NPDES water quality-based effluent limitations specified in accordance with federal regulations and state policy on water quality control. Compliance schedules may allow up to five years in individual NPDES permits to meet permit requirements. Compliance schedules may not be established in general NPDES permits. Permittees that hold individual NPDES permits and solely discharge storm water may be allowed (at Regional Board discretion) compliance schedules up to January 11, 2016 to achieve compliance with final WLAs.
GENERA	L INDUSTRIAL STORM WATER PERMITS
Upon permit issuance or renewal	The general industrial storm water NPDES permittees shall achieve dry-weather waste load allocations, which shall be expressed as NPDES water quality-based effluent limitations specified in accordance with federal regulations and state policy on water quality control. Effluent limitations may be expressed as permit conditions, such as the installation, maintenance, and monitoring of Regional Board-approved BMPs. Permittees shall begin to install and test BMPs to meet the interim wet- weather WLAs. BMP effectiveness monitoring will be implemented to determine progress in achieving interim wet- weather waste load allocations.
January 11, 2011	The general industrial storm water NPDES permittees shall achieve the interim wet-weather waste load allocations, which

 Table 7-12.2.
 Ballona Creek Metals TMDL: Implementation Schedule

BMPs.

shall be expressed as NPDES water quality-based effluent limitations specified in accordance with federal regulations and state policy on water quality control. Effluent limitations may be expressed as permit conditions, such as the installation, maintenance, and monitoring of Regional Board-approved

including BMP effectiveness monitoring to achieve compliance

Permittees shall begin an iterative BMP process

Date	Action
	with final wet-weather WLAs.
January 11, 2016	The general industrial storm water NPDES permittees shall achieve the final wet-weather waste load allocations, which shall be expressed as NPDES water quality-based effluent limitations specified in accordance with federal regulations and state policy on water quality control. Effluent limitations may be expressed as permit conditions, such as the installation, maintenance, and monitoring of Regional Board-approved BMPs.
GENERAL CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER PERMITS	
Upon permit issuance, renewal, or re-opener	Non-storm water flows not authorized by Order No. 99-08 DWQ, or any successor order, shall achieve dry-weather waste load allocations of zero. Waste load allocations shall be expressed as NPDES water quality-based effluent limitations specified in accordance with federal regulations and state policy on water quality control. Effluent limitations may be expressed as permit conditions, such as the installation, maintenance, and monitoring of Regional Board-approved BMPs.
January 11, 2013	The construction industry will submit the results of wet- weather BMP effectiveness studies to the Regional Board for consideration. In the event that no effectiveness studies are conducted and no BMPs are approved, permittees shall be subject to site-specific BMPs and monitoring to demonstrate BMP effectiveness.
January 11, 2014	The Regional Board will consider results of the wet-weather BMP effectiveness studies and consider approval of BMPs.
January 11, 2015	All general construction storm water permittees shall implement Regional Board-approved BMPs.
MS4 AND CALTRANS STORM WATER PERMITS	
January 11, 2007	In response to an order issued by the Executive Officer, the MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees must submit a coordinated monitoring plan, to be approved by the Executive Officer, which includes both ambient monitoring and TMDL effectiveness monitoring. Once the coordinated monitoring plan is approved by the Executive Officer ambient monitoring shall commence within 6 months.
January 11, 2010 (Draft Report) July 11, 2010 (Final Report)	MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees shall provide a written report to the Regional Board outlining the drainage areas to be address and how these areas will achieve compliance with the waste load allocations. The report shall include implementation methods, an implementation schedule, proposed milestones, and any applicable revisions to the TMDL

Date	Action
	effectiveness monitoring plan.
January 11, 2012	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees shall demonstrate that 50% of the total drainage area served by the MS4 system is effectively meeting the dry-weather waste load allocations and 25% of the total drainage area served by the MS4 system is effectively meeting the wet-weather waste load allocations.
January 11, 2014	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees shall demonstrate that 75% of the total drainage area served by the MS4 system is effectively meeting the dry-weather waste load allocations.
January 11, 2016	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees shall demonstrate that 100% of the total drainage area served by the MS4 system is effectively meeting the dry-weather waste load allocations and 50% of the total drainage area served by the MS4 system is effectively meeting the wet-weather waste load allocations.
January 11, 2021	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees shall demonstrate that 100% of the total drainage area served by the MS4 system is effectively meeting both the dry-weather and wet-weather waste load allocations.