

California Regional Water Quality Control Board

Lahontan Region

Arnol

Linda S. Adams
Secretary for
Environmental Protection

2501 Lake Tahoe Boulevard, South Lake Tahoe, California 96150 (530) 542-5400 • Fax (530) 544-2271 www.waterboards.ca.gov/lahontan

Arnold Schwarzenegger Governor

JAN 2 2 2008

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS AND AGENCIES:

TRANSMITTAL OF DRAFT MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR GENERAL SITE-WIDE GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION PROJECT, PG&E COMPRESSOR STATION, HINKLEY, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Enclosed for your information is the Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration for the General Site-wide Groundwater Remediation Project at the PG&E Compressor Station in Hinkley. The document has been prepared by Water Board staff to evaluate potential environmental impacts from the proposed project. The proposed project includes 143 parcels, of which 36 parcels are owned by PG&E.

The document will be considered for adoption by the Water Board at a public hearing on **April 9-10, 2008** with waste discharge requirements. Water Board staff will be accepting public comments on the draft document until February 29, 2008. More information will be provided at a community meeting in Hinkley to be put on by Water Board staff on February 26, 2008 at 7:00 p.m. at the Hinkley School.

If you have any questions, please contact Lisa Dernbach at (530) 542-5424. The Water Board website can be accessed at www.waterboards.ca.gov/lahontan/.

Chuck Curtis, Manager

Cleanup and Enforcement Division

Enclosure:

Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration

cc: Hinkley Mailing List

LSD/didT:/PG&E Sitewide NegDec 108 public let.doc [Send to file: WDID No. 6B369107001(VVL)]

Draft Environmental Checklist Hinkley Chromium Remediation Project Pacific Gas and Electric Company Compressor Station Hinkley, California

1. Project title:

General Permit for Site-wide Groundwater Remediation Project, Hinkley Compressor Station Remediation Project

2. Lead agency name and address:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region 2501 Lake Tahoe Blvd., South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

3. Contact person and phone number:

Lisa Dernbach, Senior Engineering Geologist Telephone: (530) 542-5424

4. Project location:

Hinkley, San Bernardino County, California 92347

5. Project sponsor's name and address;

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 77 Beale Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 Attention: Robert Doss

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 350 Salem Street Chico, CA 95928

Attention: Eric Johnson

6. General plan designation:

Various: RL-5 (Rural Living 5-acre minimum); RL-40; AG-AP; RL; RL-10

Note: San Bernardino County's land use and zoning designations are the same.

7. Zoning:

RL-5 (Rural Living 5-acre minimum); RL-40; AG-AP; RL; RL-10

8. Description of project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) has developed a Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) proposing the implementation of remedial activities for hexavalent chromium (Cr[VI]) in groundwater within the Project Area (Attachment A). The ROWD supports the preparation and adoption of General Waste Discharge Requirements (GWDRs) by the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board (LRWQCB) for multiple remedial actions.

Prior to remedial action, PG&E will submit a Notice of Intent (NOI) to the LRWQCB Executive Officer. The NOI will identify the specific remedial action or combination of actions being proposed and will contain the necessary information to support coverage under the GWDRs. The LRWQCB Executive Officer would approve of the remedial action by issuing a Notice of Applicability (NOA).

The remedial actions to be included in the GWDRs are: 1) extraction and management of groundwater and 2) in-situ treatment. These remedial actions are described briefly

below.

Extraction and Management of Groundwater

Remedial actions that require extraction and management of groundwater are: 1) extraction of groundwater and 2) above-ground groundwater treatment, as necessary, and/or amendment with reductant.

Technologies for aboveground treatment to reduce Cr(VI) concentrations, if necessary, are chemical reduction/precipitation, ion exchange, and biological treatment. Prior to discharge, groundwater may be amended by a chemical or biological reductant (calcium polysulfide, ferrous chloride, ferrous sulfate, sodium dithionite, zero-valent iron, emulsified vegetable oil (EVO), ethanol, lactate, whey, molasses, corn syrup, glucose, or acetate).

Groundwater will be discharged via injection wells. Rehabilitation compounds (acetic acid, citric acid, hydrochloric acid, hydrogen peroxide, sodium hydroxide) may be used to remove microbial or geochemical fouling that may develop within the discharge systems.

In Situ Treatment

In situ remedial actions will be used to reduce Cr(VI) concentrations in groundwater through the injection of chemical reductants (calcium polysulfide, ferrous chloride, ferrous sulfate, sodium dithionite, or zero-valent iron) or biological reductants (EVO, ethanol, methanol, lactate, whey, molasses, corn syrup, glucose, or acetate). Prior to project implementation, a pilot study will be conducted for compounds not having a prior discharge history at the site or at a site with similar conditions.

Reductants will be injected directly to groundwater by means of manual or semiautomated recirculation systems, or manually using temporary well points or direct injection methods such as a Cone Penetrometer (CPT).

Tracers (bromide and fluorescent tracers including fluorescein and eosine) may be injected to groundwater to characterize flow conditions within the treatment areas. Well rehabilitation compounds (acetic acid, citric acid, hydrochloric acid, hydrogen peroxide, and sodium hydroxide) may be used to remove microbial or geochemical fouling that may develop within the well.

9. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

The Project site consists of approximately 1,997 acres (2.8 miles long x 1.6 miles wide) of land located near the town of Hinkley in San Bernardino County, California. The Project site is located north of the Mojave River and southwest of Mt. General along Highway 58. The area is zoned as AG-AP (Agricultural, Agricultural Preserve) and RL (Rural Living). The local setting is agricultural and rural residential.

The Project site is composed of 143 parcels. Of the total number of parcels, PG&E owns 36. The main active uses for the land within the Project Area are the Hinkley Compressor Station and Desert View Dairy, both owned by PG&E. Between the Compressor Station and the Desert View Diary, PG&E-owned land is mostly vacant that

can be characterized by disturbed desert saltbush scrub. Of the 107 parcels within the project area not owned by PG&E, surrounding land uses include farms, rural residences, the Burlington Northern Railroad, and State Highway 58. Water supply is mostly in the form of domestic and agricultural wells. A few municipal wells are used by small purveyors, such as mobile home parks.

The topography of the Project site, located in the Hinkley Valley, is a narrow northwest-trending alluvium-filled depression located north of the Mojave River. The main valley averages about 11 kilometers (km) in length and 4.5 km in width, and the axis of the valley is relatively flat with a gentle slope toward the northwest away from the river (Andrews and Neville 2004). The surrounding area has a typical mountain-and-basin topography with sparse vegetation. The topography at the Project Site generally ranges in elevation from 2,160 feet to 2,200 feet above mean sea level, and slopes gently toward the north at an overall slope of less than one percent.

10. Other public agencies whose approval is potentially required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement).

The following permits may be required for this Project depending on the remedial alternatives selected. All are likely non-discretionary, except the LRWQCB's Waste Discharge Requirements:

Agency	Permit	Activity Requiring Permit
Regional Water Quality Control Board	Waste Discharge Requirements	Discharge of extracted contaminated groundwater back into the aquifer.
		Addition of biological or chemical reagents to the groundwater.
		Discharge of treated water to the aquifer.
San Bernardino County Planning Department	Conditional Use Permit	Land uses that are not included in the current agricultural zoning of the site.
	Temporary Use Permit	Temporary trailers or buildings placed onsite during construction or for periods less than 2 years.

	Grading Permit	Site grading or trenching.
San Bernardino County Health Department	Well Installation or Well Destruction Permit	Installation of extraction, injection, or monitoring wells; installation of borings.
State Water Resources Control Board	Coverage under the General Permit for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Construction Activities	Construction disturbance of 1 acre or more.
	Coverage under the General Permit for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activities	Applicable industrial activities.
San Bernardino County Fire Department	Hazardous Materials Use & Storage Permit	Hazardous materials used or stored above threshold quantities as specified in the Fire Code.
Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District	Permit to construct and/or Permit to Operate	Air emission source discharges as specified in District regulations.
Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau	Industrial Alcohol Users Permit	Storage and use of denatured alcohol (ethanol).

b) References

The following references were used in completing this Draft Initial Study:

Albion Environmental, Inc. *Cultural Resources Survey of Six Parcels, Hinkley, California*. Prepared for Pacific Gas and Electric Company. June 2005.

California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). California Natural Diversity Database List of California Terrestrial Natural Communities. September 1999.

California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB). Commercial version. Information dated July 1, 2002. Information accessed April 2005.

CH2M HILL. Hinkley Remediation Site Biological Resources Technical Memorandum. September 2002.

CH2M HILL. Hinkley Remediation Site Biological Resources Technical Memorandum. November 2003.

Department of the Interior. Bureau of Land Management, California Desert District. Record of Decision: West Mojave Plan – Amendment to the California Desert

Conservation Area Plan. March 2006.

Holland, Robert. *Natural Community Descriptions*. California Department of Fish and Game. 1986.

Pacific Gas & Electric Company. *Biological Assessment of Parcels Proposed For Remediation Activities Near Hinkley Compressor Station*. April 2005.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

\boxtimes	Aesthetics		Agriculture Resources	\bowtie	Air Quality
\boxtimes	Biological Resources	\boxtimes	Cultural Resources		Geology /Soils
\boxtimes	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	\boxtimes	Hydrology / Water Quality	. 🔲 .	Land Use / Planning
	Mineral Resources	\boxtimes	Noise		Population / Housing
	Public Services		Recreation	\boxtimes	Transportation/Traffic
	Utilities / Service Systems	\boxtimes	Mandatory Findings of S	ignifica	ance
DETE	RMINATION: (To be com	pleted	by the Lead Agency)		
On the	e basis of this initial evalua	ation:			
	•		ct COULD NOT have a sig /E DECLARATION will be		
\boxtimes	environment, there will	not be	sed project could have a set a significant effect in this ar agreed to by the project will be prepared.	case b	ecause revisions in the
			ct MAY have a significant CT REPORT is required.	effect (on the environment, and
	"potentially significant effect 1) has been ade legal standards, and 2 earlier analysis as des	unless quatel) has t cribed	ct MAY have a "potentially mitigated" impact on the e y analyzed in an earlier do been addressed by mitigat on attached sheets. An E nust analyze only the effec	environ ocumer ion me ENVIRO	ment, but at least one at pursuant to applicable easures based on the DNMENTAL IMPACT
7	environment, because adequately in an earlie standards, and (b) hav NEGATIVE DECLARA	all po er EIR ve bee ATION	osed project could have a stentially significant effects or NEGATIVE DECLARA n avoided or mitigated pur, including revisions or mit project, nothing further is	(a) hav TION p suant (igation	ve been analyzed oursuant to applicable to that earlier EIR or measures that are
Sign	nature // X				Date
Sigi	nature				Date

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).
- Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. CCR, Title 14, Section 15063I(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.

- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
b) AESTHETICS Would the project:	,				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			\boxtimes		
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?					
Significance: Less Than Significant Impact or No Impact. a) The Project site consists of 143 parcels of land of varying acreage located near the town of Hinkley in San Bernardino County, California. The Project site is located north of the Mojave River and southwest of Mt. General along Highway 58. The Project site is visible from the road adjacent to the land parcels and Highway 58. The Site is not located within, or in the vicinity of a scenic vista or any designated scenic resources. The proposed project may include low-lying structures associated with treatment of groundwater, which may include temporary buildings, security fencing and lighting, and aboveground storage tanks. b) None of the structures are proposed to exceed 35 feet in total height, and none would visually impair scenic resources in the Project Area such as trees, rock outcroppings, or histori buildings within a state scenic highway because no such resources are in the vicinity of the proposed Project site. Mitigation Measures: None Required					
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				·	
Significance: Less than Significant with a The project area is mostly vacant that can Visual changes to the site as a result of in	n be charactei	rized by disturbed			

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	--------------

Groundwater Extraction: Extraction from groundwater extraction wells will have no significant impact on aesthetics. Extraction wells will be completed at or below ground surface, but some of the extraction well head equipment and surrounding security equipment will be visible. The visual impact of this equipment will be consistent with extraction well setups built on site to date and existing agricultural wells in the vicinity. The extraction well equipment and fencing is consistent with the visual character of the existing agricultural land use in the area. The fences will be a maximum of 12 feet high and may be topped with 3-strand barbed wire. The fencing will have privacy slats installed to hide the equipment contained inside. Most permanent conveyance pipelines would be installed below ground.

Aboveground Water Treatment Plant: Above ground treatment, if necessary, will be completed using equipment placed on property owned or leased by PG&E. The visual impact will include a fenced area up to approximately 1 acre in size, and may include concrete foundation pads, equipment controls buildings, water treatment tanks, chemical supply tanks, and miscellaneous support structures. The height of tanks, buildings, and structures will not exceed 35 feet. The facilities would be located in predominantly rural agricultural areas, and could create contrast because these areas are generally flat with no other large structures except the existing Compressor Station and the Desert View Dairy LTU. Temporary impacts during construction could also be expected, including site clearing, grading, and soil excavation. Therefore, these facilities could potentially be viewed from nearby roads and highways.

Discharge: Injection into groundwater via injection wells will have no significant impact on aesthetics. While some of the injection well head equipment and surrounding security equipment will be visible, the visual impact of this equipment will be consistent with injection well head setups built on site to date, and will be completed at or below grade. The injection well equipment is consistent with the visual character of the existing agricultural land use in the area. Permanent conveyance pipelines would be installed below ground.

In Situ Treatment: The visual appearance of the in situ remediation systems may consist of concrete foundation pads, equipment controls buildings, reagent delivery tanks, and extraction, injection, and monitoring wells similar to existing wells in this area. The footprint of the in situ treatment facilities would be no more than 100 by 200 feet in area and 20 feet in height. Fences surrounding the remediation system will be a maximum of 12 feet high and may be topped with 3-strand barbed wire. The fencing will have privacy slats. Permanent conveyance pipelines will be installed below ground. Limited above ground piping will be contained within the fenced area and will not be visible.

Mitigation Measures:

The following mitigation measures would reduce impact to the visual character of the Site from construction of above-ground remediation systems to below a level of significance:

 Screening techniques will include privacy slats for all fencing and/or landscaping for all major structures

Incorporated							
 The facilities will be located at least 700 feet away from the nearest residence or major road or highway; the architectural design will include features to reduce the bulk and scale All building materials will be designed and constructed utilizing materials and colors that blend in with the local area to the extent possible Facilities will be limited to 35 feet in height 							
d) Create a new source of substantial							
Significance: Less than Significant. The proposed Project will include new lighting in the areas of proposed structures and fencing for the primary purposes of security. Mitigation Measures: The lighting will not result in a new source of substantial light or glare in the area because any lighting will be shielded and directed downwards in conformance with County of San Bernardino General Plan. Structures containing lighting will be located more than 700 feet from current residences providing adequate mitigation from potential glare. No further mitigation would be required.							
b) AGRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effect lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use i assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:							
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non- agricultural use?							
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?							

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				\boxtimes		
a)-c) Much of the Project site consists of vacant land that was formerly used for agricultural cultivation. Current San Bernardino General Plan designations for the Project site include RL-5 (Rural Living 5-acre minimum), AG –AP (Agricultural with an Agricultural Preserve Overlay), RL-40 (Rural Living, 10 acre minimum); RL (Rural Living) and RL-10-AP (Rural Living – 10 acre minimum, Agricultural Preserve). No lands will be converted to non-agricultural use. The Project site does not contain any lands designated as Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland). The Project site does contain Prime Farmland but the majority of the land is designated as grazing land, and it will not be converted into non-agricultural use.						
The Proposed Project would not affect Williamson Act contracts as no Williamson Act farmlands have been identified on the Proposed Project site. The Project would not interfere with ongoing or future agricultural activities and would be consistent with the existing agricultural land use designation for the site. Construction would involve the placement of equipment trailers, mixing tanks, underground pipes and conduits, and installation of wells. Overall, the Project could potentially result in a beneficial impact to agricultural uses by restoring the aquifer to a condition that is appropriate for agricultural needs.						
Mitigation Measures: None Required.						
III. AIR QUALITY Where available, the significance criteria or air pollution control district may be relied Would the project:		•	•	•		
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				\boxtimes		
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	. 🗆					

c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone				
precursors)?				

Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated.

b)-c) The Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD) regulates air quality and emissions in the Project region to achieve Federal and State air quality standards, and addresses local concerns and issues.

Due to violations of the Federal standard in the air basin that are unrelated to this project, the Mojave Desert Air Basin (MDAB) was re-designated to a moderate non-attainment status. In 1995, the MDAQMD submitted a Federal Particulate Matter (PM_{10}) Attainment Plan (plan), which demonstrated how attainment of the Federal PM_{10} standard would be achieved by the earliest practicable date. The plan outlines selected control measures that would limit the amount of PM_{10} released into the atmosphere. Part of this plan requires the implementation of dust control plans for construction projects disturbing 100 or more acres.

The significance emission threshold values outlined by MDAQMD are shown in Table 1.

Table 1Significant MDAQMD Emissions Thresholds

Criteria Pollutant	Daily Threshold (pounds)	Annual Threshold (tons)
Carbon monoxide (CO)	<i>5</i> 48	100
Oxides of nitrogen (NO _x)	137	25
Volatile organic compounds		
(VOC)	137	25
Oxides of sulfur (SO _x)	137	25
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	82	15

Air quality impacts associated with construction generally arise from fugitive dust generation and the operation of construction equipment. Fugitive dust results from land clearing, grading, excavation, concrete work, and vehicle traffic on paved and unpaved roads. The amount of dust generated is a function of construction activities, silt and moisture content of the soil, wind speed, frequency of precipitation, vehicle traffic and types, and roadway characteristics. Emissions are greater during drier summer and autumn months and in fine-textured soils. Fugitive dust is a source of airborne particulates, including PM₁₀.

Most emissions from the Project would be PM_{10} emissions from construction activities such as trenching, drilling, and construction vehicles driving on unpaved roads, as well as decommissioning facilities at the end of the Project.

At any given time, construction of only a few facilities would be underway. Because of the minor level of construction activities, emissions such as NO_x and SO_x from construction vehicles themselves would be well below the MDAQMD daily threshold limits.

Point source and fugitive air emissions, such as those from tanker truck unloading, storage, and handling of volatile chemicals including ethanol and methanol, are subject to the Rules and Regulations of the MDAQMD. Under Regulation II (Permits), the MDAQMD requires that all equipment with the potential to emit air pollutants have a valid permit prior to commencing construction and/or operation. Fugitive emissions will be monitored in compliance with the MDAQMD permit.

For the storage of ethanol and methanol at the Site, the MDAQMD will assign a set of conditions to each issued permit. These conditions will define acceptable operation of the device within the air quality requirements. These requirements are derived from Federal, State and MDAQMD laws, rules and regulations, MDAQMD permitting policy and precedent, and regulatory engineering practices. In addition, the permit will define what is allowed through the description and equipment details and/or equipment detail list, in most cases including a maximum rating.

Mitigation Measures:

To minimize any emissions and comply with MDAQMD requirements, the following mitigation measures will be implemented during project construction activities:

- Vehicle speeds on unpaved roads will be limited to 10 miles per hour to minimize vehicle-related dust emissions.
- During dust-generating activities such as drilling or trenching, water application or other dust suppression measures will be implemented as needed.
- Construction activities creating dust will cease when winds reach speeds of 25 miles per hour or more.
- All construction vehicles and equipment will be checked periodically to ensure that they
 are in proper working condition and that there is no potential for fugitive emissions of oil
 orhazardous products.

Other requirements of the MDAQMD will be a Fugitive Dust Control for the Mojave Desert F	•	•	2 which re	gulates
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				. 🗵
No sensitive receptors are located within 700 receptors are the Hinkley Senior Center and Hinkley Senior Center and Hinkley Senior Center is located at 35997 Moof the Project Area boundary near the Hinkle Elementary/Middle School is located more the Project Area on Hinkley Road at Santa Fe Addistance, and the low levels of Project emiss would occur.	the Hinkle ountain Vie y Compres an 2,000 f venue (370	y Elementary/Midd ew Road, approxim ssor Station. The i eet west of the we 600 Hinkley Road)	lle School. nately 1,000 Hinkley stern bound Because	The O feet west dary of the of this

Mitigation Measures:	:		
None Required.			
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?			
Significance: Less than Significant			
There may be some minor odors associated with the injective potential to generate small amounts of hydrogen sultare only expected to be detectable at the well head and nearest residence.	fide and methane g	as. Both of	these
There may also be some minor and temporary odors as operation of ethanol and methanol use. The rural location distance to the nearest residences will prevent these possibstantial number of people.	on of the remediatio	n site and th	ne
Mitigation Measures:			
An air monitoring program will evaluate any odors, methoduring project operations. If high levels of nuisance air or plan to scale back or shut down injections will be impler responsible for recording high levels of nuisance air corcorrective actions according to agencies permits.	constituents are det mented. The site ma	ected, a con anager will b	ntingency e
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES			
Would the project:	·		
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			
Significance: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigat	ion Incorporated.		
Three special status species: the Desert tortoise (Goph squirrel (Spermophilus mohavensis); and the Mohave identified as potentially occurring in the general vicinity Project Area is not a suitable habitat for the tortoise or of the land use surrounding the Project Area (CH2MHII the chub due to the lack of water within the Project Area surveys looking for the occurrence of special status will CH2M HILL, covering roughly half of the Project Area north of State Highway 58 between Mountain View Roa The area north of Santa Fe Avenue between Mountain	Tui chub (Gila bicolo of the Project Area the squirrel, due to LL 2002) and is not a. Three biological dlife species have I In August 2002, PG ad and Summerset	or), have be In general the develop a suitable h reconnaissa peen conduct &E property Road was s	en , the ed nature eabitat for ance cted by / located urveyed.

surveyed in October 2003 and properties abutting Fairview Road and/or Community Boulevard between Highway 58 and Frontier Road near the Hinkley Compressor Station were surveyed in March 2005. The special status species were not found during the three biological surveys.

The Project Area does have marginal foraging habitat for three special-status avian species known from the region listed as State species of special concern by California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG): ferruginous hawk (Buteo regalis); loggerhead shrike (Lanius ludovicianus); and prairie falcon (Falco mexicanus). For example, the row of white alder trees (Alnus rhombifolia) in the southern portion of the Desert View Dairy LTU provide suitable roosting habitat for these special-status species. The results of the biological survey listed above determined no resident individuals use the Project Area. Additionally, there is a low potential for these species to occur onsite as migrants during the breeding season (February to August). Therefore, impacts are not likely to occur during Project implementation. To avoid any potential impacts to these three species, as well as other nesting birds protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, a preconstruction survey shall be conducted 2 weeks before any ground-disturbing construction activities are scheduled to occur during the breeding season (February to August).

The Project Area is within the boundaries of the West Mojave Plan. The Project does not conflict with the West Mojave Plan because: (1) the Project boundary falls outside of the plan's designated habitat conservation areas, and (2) there are no proposed impacts to any special-status species or sensitive habitats covered by the plan.

Biological resources are minimal, and of low quality. There is a low potential for impacts due to the overall sensitive nature of this area. Mitigation measures will be incorporated into the project as described below.

Based on the surveys conducted to date, the Project Area is unlikely to be suitable for habitation by special status wildlife species. If features are implemented on a parcel within the Project Area that was not previously surveyed, the parcel will be surveyed prior to starting construction. If the results of the survey do not indicate the presence of special status species, the activities can be conducted under this CEQA documentation; otherwise, a new CEQA initial study will be completed.

Mitigation Measures:

The following mitigation measures will be incorporated into the Project and will be conducted before and during project implementation as follows:

- A biological reconnaissance survey to determine the applicability of the general permit to newly acquired land or land not previously surveyed will be conducted prior to beginning remedial activities.
- A qualified biologist will provide worker environmental awareness training for all
 construction personnel in the identification of sensitive biological resources. Measures
 required to minimize Project impacts during the construction and operation phase will
 also be identified. Workers will be required to report the occurrence of any specialstatus species observed on the Project site to biological monitors, who will then
 implement species protection measures.
- Preconstruction surveys by qualified biologist(s) will be implemented for special-status wildlife species in impact areas prior to initiation of ground-disturbing activities at least two weeks prior to construction activities. Pre-construction surveys for nesting pairs,

nests, and eggs will occur in areas proposed for vegetation removal, and active nesting areas will be flagged. If necessary and feasible, resource relocation (trapping and release of species) or construction exclusion by devices (such as fences) will be implemented. Coordination with the CDFG, the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, or other regulatory agencies will be done as deemed appropriate by the qualified biologist.

- If nesting birds are detected, vegetation removal will be avoided during the nesting season (generally February to August for most birds). All construction activity within 300 feet of active nesting areas will be prohibited until the nesting pair/young have vacated the nests.
- To the maximum extent possible, all facilities will be located in existing barren areas or right-of-ways to limit new surface disturbance in consultation with the Project Biologist.
- All vehicle traffic will adhere to a speed limit of 10 miles per hour during construction and maintenance to ensure avoidance of impacts to sensitive biological resources on access roads.

		•	
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	. 🗆	\boxtimes	

Significance: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.

The Project site generally consists of disturbed desert habitats degraded by past agricultural and grading activities. The three habitats include disturbed desert saltbush scrub, agricultural lands, and ruderal habitat. No habitats classified according to the California Natural Diversity Database List of California Terrestrial Natural Communities (CDFG 1999) are present within or adjacent to the Project Area.

Construction of facilities, particularly above-ground treatment facilities, may result in a permanent loss of several acres total of existing vegetation. In addition, temporary impacts to vegetation will occur with construction of some of the Project facilities such as underground piping.

Because of the types of habitat present and the level of impacts that would occur, potential impacts to the habitat types present on the Project site will not be considered significant. A more detailed description of each of the three habitats that occur on Project site is provided below. The habitats are classified according to the vegetation classification system derived by Holland (1986).

Upland Habitats

This habitat type is characterized by the dominance of a variety of saltbush (Atriplex spp.) shrubs in saline soils within the Mojave Desert region. Dominant plant species onsite include shadscale (Atriplex canescens) and saltbush (Atriplex polycarpa). Due to past disturbances to this habitat, many non-native annual species have become frequent associates. The dominant annual cover includes filaree (Erodium ssp.), London rocket (Sisymbrium irio), and Mediterranean grass (Schismus barbatus). Most of the areas onsite are low in diversity and are dominated by non-native annuals with isolated patches of saltbush stands. This habitat type is not considered a sensitive habitat by CDFG.

Agricultural Lands

This vegetation type is classified by areas once designated for cultivation of row crops. Many of the agricultural lands on site were used for alfalfa production. The dominant plant species are generally non-native, invasive annual species such as alfalfa (Medicago sativa), London rocket, and filaree. This habitat type is not considered a sensitive habitat by CDFG.

Ruderal Habitat

This habitat type described by the dominance of non-native, invasive forbs such as Russian thistle (Salsola tragus) and dock (Rumex spp.). Mainly areas that have been disturbed previously by agricultural practices such as grading and tilling are dominated by this non-native vegetation community. Ruderal habitat is not considered a sensitive habitat by CDFG.

Based on the surrounding disturbed habitat observed during the surveys conducted it seems likely that parcels adjacent to parcels previously surveyed will have similar habitat to those described above. If features are implemented on a parcel within the Project Area that was not previously surveyed, the parcel will be surveyed prior to starting construction. If the habitat is similar to that described herein, the activities can be conducted under this CEQA documentation. If the habitat is different than what is covered in this CEQA document, a new CEQA initial study will be completed.

Mitigation Measures:

To determine the applicability of the general site-wide permit to newly acquired land or land not previously surveyed, a biological reconnaissance survey will be conducted prior to the commencement of remedial activities. If the survey finds that the land can be described by one of the three above-referenced types, this CEQA document will be deemed applicable. A new CEQA analysis will be required if the survey finds a habitat type that is not included above.

		•		
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh,				
vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct	•			
removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	•	•		
of other means:			·	
Significance: No Impact.				
The Project site does not contain wetland Water Act within or adjacent to the Project therefore, no mitigation will be required.				
Mitigation Measures:				
None Required.				
1.			,	

c) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
Significance: Less than Significant Impac	ct with Mitigati	on Incorporated.	<u>. </u>	
The Project site does not contain any pere would facilitate movement or migration of t Therefore, the proposed Project will not in	fish species, c	or would be used	as a fish nurs	sery site.
In addition, no migratory corridors for terre Project site. However, the Project Area do avian species as described above. Althou on site, mitigation measures as previously	oes have març ugh there is a	ginal habitat for t low potential for	hree special-s these species	status s to occur
As described above, if remedial measures that was not previously surveyed, the part the habitat is similar to that described here documentation. If the habitat is different to CEQA initial study will be completed.	cel will be survein, the activiti	veyed prior to sta ies can be condu	arting construction ucted under the	tion. If is CEQA
Mitigation Measures:				
Same as Section IV.a above.				
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				
Significance: No Impact.		-		
There is no conflict with any local policies resources, such as a tree preservation po			sitive biologica	il .
Mitigation Measures:				
None Required.		•		
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				

Significance: Less than Significant Impact w	ith Mitigatio	n Incorporated.		
The Project Area falls within the boundaries of Number 45. The Project would not be in conf following: (1) the Project boundary falls outsid areas, and (2) there are no proposed impacts covered by the plan. See previous discussion.	lict with the de of the pla	West Mojave Pi n's designated	lan based on habitat conse	the ervation
Mitigation Measures:				
Same as Section IV.a above.		·		
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?			\boxtimes	
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?				
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				. 🗆
Significance: Less than Significant	-		· ·	•
a), b), d) Based on a review of the Project si Bernardino staff (S. Hall 2003), the Project s resource overlay maps. In June 2005, Albio resources survey of approximately 470 acre survey were to identify and record the cultur preliminary evaluations of the resources, and additional archaeological action at the site (i	ite does not in Environmos within the al resources d provide Pof necessary	fall within the Cental Inc. (Albio Project Area. To within the Project Area.).	County's cultun) conducted the objectives ect Area, develoemendations to where enco	ral a cultural of this relop for
the Project Area surveyed. In addition, soils culturally produced stratigraphy. The report prehistoric and historic sites including a prehnear the Mojave River. Although unlikely, it present in the Project site.	does indica historic villag	te the presence te site to the so	of previously of the Pro	y recorded oject Area
The Project site is located in areas previous Minor grading activities will be required for activities will occur, as much as possible, on to have cultural resources.	construction	of new remedia	l infrastructur	e. These

The project is not underlain by any geologic impacts to paleontological resources are ex		that would conta	in fossils. The	erefore no
Mitigation Measures:	,		•	
A qualified archaeologist and Native Americ deposits or features are discovered during of If prehistoric or historic deposits or features archaeologist will inspect the discovery and	construction are discove	and/or other gro ered, activities wil	undbreaking a I cease and a	activities. qualified
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the project:			,	
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				\boxtimes
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<u> </u>	. 🗆		
iv) Landslides?				\boxtimes
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				\boxtimes
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	· 🗖			

e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
Significance: No Impact.				
The Southern California region is a tectonical shaking due to the numerous earthquake far Project site is the Lockhart Fault, located approverse the Project site. The Project design County Uniform Building Code that specify of potential geologic hazards to acceptable leving plan that describes the specific procedures damage.	ult zones in proximately n will confor design paral vels. PG&E l	the area. The n 0.7 mile from th m to the applical meters to reduce has a detailed er	earest fault to e site. No kno ple requiremen seismic and o nergency prep	the own faults ats of the other paredness
The Project would not result in erosion. No Project implementation. All above-ground r will be properly constructed for earthquake	emediation .			
Mitigation Measures:				
None Required.				
VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATER	RIALS	 ,		 ,
Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the				
environment?		 		·
Significance: Less Than Significant Impac Groundwater	t with Mitiga	tion Incorporated	d.	
a), b) Total chromium Cr(T) concentrations 50 micrograms per liter (µg/L) in portions of concentration below 50 µg/L. When extract designated waste under Section 20210 of None of the groundwater exceeds hazardo Management of the extracted groundwater not create a hazard to the public because the chance for the public to come into contact to the section of the section of the public to come into contact to the public to come into contact the section of the section of the public to come into contact the section of	f the plume; ted, chromit Title 27 of th ous waste cr abovegrour he systems	a large portion o um contaminated e California Cod iteria of CCR Tit nd, such as at tre are essentially o	if the plume had groundwater to of Regulation in 22 Section to attention in the call the section in the call the section in the call the section in the sect	as a Cr(T) is a liquid ns (CCR). 66261. es, would

Transportation of Hazardous Materials to Project Site for Use in Treatment Technologies

Hazardous materials (described below) will be transported to the Project site for use in the various remedial actions. Shipments of hazardous materials will follow United States Department of Transportation (DOT) requirements for hazardous materials packaging, labeling, and transport.

Use of Hazardous Materials in Groundwater Extraction Remedies and In Situ Technologies

Groundwater extraction remedies (such as above-ground bioreactors and amendment of extracted groundwater) and in situ remedies may entail discharge of a food-grade biological reductant(s) or a dilute chemical reductant(s) into groundwater. The injection of reagents changes the oxidation-reduction (redox) conditions in the discharge area resulting in direct or indirect reduction of Cr(VI) to trivalent chromium (Cr[III]). The biological reductants for amendment of extracted groundwater and in situ remedies would include sodium lactate, whey, ethanol, EVO, molasses, corn syrup, glucose, and acetate. The chemical reductant(s) for amendment of extracted groundwater and in situ remedies will include calcium polysulfide, ferrous chloride, ferrous sulfate, sodium dithionite, and zero-valent iron. In addition to the biological reductants used for amendment of extracted groundwater and in situ remedies, methanol may be used as the biological reductant for the above-ground bioreactor. A polymeric flocculant may also be used for the above-ground bioreactor.

Acetic, citric, and hydrochloric acids, sodium hydroxide, and/or hydrogen peroxide solutions will be used as injection system rehabilitation compounds. The rehabilitation compounds will be purchased and used as needed, and will not be stored in bulk at the Site.

The chemical reagents typically used for treatment of the groundwater in a treatment plant include ferrous chloride (for chromium removal), sulfuric acid (for pH control), sodium hydroxide (for pH control to improve precipitation), an anionic polymer to facilitate particle settling, and an anti-scalant to reduce mineral buildup on reverse osmosis membrane surfaces. Solutions of each chemical are stored in tanks and metered into the water treatment process as required to complete treatment. A typical treatment plant will maintain the following approximate quantities onsite:

- Ferrous chloride 1,000 gallons of 38 percent by weight solution
- Sulfuric acid 600 gallons of 50 percent by weight solution
- Sodium hydroxide 700 gallons of 25 percent by weight solution
- Citric acid 150 gallons
- Anionic polymer to facilitate particle settling 150 gallons
- Anti-scalant 150 gallons

Mitigation Measures:

The following mitigation measures will be implemented to prevent hazards to the public and environment for the use of hazardous materials during remedial activities (e.g., releases of hazardous materials):

 Rehabilitation chemicals will be brought to the site in totes (approximately 300 gallons) or smaller containers. Totes and containers will be offloaded in a paved/contained area

- only and stored and used only in a secondarily contained area.
- Treatment reagent (biological/chemical reductants) tanker truck deliveries will be offloaded in secondary containment areas with sufficient capacity (110% of the tanker volume) to contain any spilled reagent.
- Reagent delivery vehicle speeds on site access roads and tanker truck turnarounds will be limited to 10 miles per hour to reduce the potential for chemical releases to the environment.
- Hazardous materials storage and usage will be in accordance with the requirements of the San Bernardino County Fire Code, Articles 79 and 80. A Hazardous Materials Business Plan will be prepared for chemicals stored onsite for more than 30 days in excess of the regulatory thresholds (55 gallons, 500 pounds, or 200 standard cubic feet of gas). The plan will list hazardous materials handled and include procedures for emergency response, training, and inspections. Hazardous wastes will be managed in accordance with the requirements of Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Division 4.5.
- Hazardous wastes are not expected to be generated by groundwater extraction and management or in situ treatment; however, if hazardous wastes are generated, they will be managed in accordance with the requirements of Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Division 4.5.
- All spills and corrective actions will be recorded in the field log by the site manager.
- Treatment plants will be constructed on a concrete foundation and provided with secondary containment to contain drips and spills and tanker off-loading areas as necessary.
- A treatment system operations manual will be maintained at each treatment system.
 System operators will be trained regarding system operation, maintenance, and emergency procedures.
- Electronic control loops will be included in the system designs to link extraction well
 operations with treatment system operations, regulate process flow rate within the plant
 and discharge of the treated water and wastes, flow-pace chemical feeds, and
 backwash filters.
- Level alarms/switches will be provided in tanks to prevent overflows and damage to pumps.
- Extraction well pumps and plant operations will shut down in the event of a process
 failure and/or mechanical damage. Alarms will be indicated on a local control panel at
 the treatment unit. Alarm conditions will also be relayed to the PG&E Compressor
 Station and the on-duty plant operator by means of an automatic phone or electronic
 dialer. A manual reset will be required to restart the system.
- For remedial technologies using ethanol or methanol, the conveyance systems will employ an educator with potable water as the motive fluid, to ensure that only a dilute ethanol/methanol solution will be conveyed into the remediation systems. The concentration of ethanol/methanol in the water will be limited, to maintain a non-combustible solution based on the flashpoint. Since the ambient temperature can reach 120 degrees Fahrenheit at the Project site, the solution strength will be designed to yield a flashpoint of 130 degrees Fahrenheit or greater. As described above, the system will be outfitted with mechanical and process control systems, to ensure that the ethanol/methanol dilution system is operating properly.
- Personnel involved in the transportation, delivery and handling of the materials will take proper safety precautions, based upon recommendations contained in the Material Safety Data Sheets for the materials.

c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?			· .	
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the Project Area?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the Project Area?				
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	. 🗆			
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				
Significance: No Impact. The Project site is not located within 0.25 is school is Hinkley Elementary/Middle Schoboundary of the Project Area (37600 Hinkley). The Project site is not listed on the state's Government Code Section 65962.5.	ol, located mo ley Road).	re than 2,000 fe	eet west of the	e western
The Project site is not located within an air airport. The site does not fall within an exit a public or private airport				

Project implementation will not impair or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response or emergency evacuation plans for the Project site and vicinity. The Hazardous Materials Business Plan, developed specifically for the Project site (and submitted to the San Bernardino County Fire Department), will address evacuation routes for site personnel in the case of release of hazardous materials, fire, etc.

Mitigation Measures:

None required.

VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

Would the project:

a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?

Significance: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.

The project consists of remedial activities to address Cr(VI) in groundwater. The following discusses the potentially significant impacts on water quality and hydrology associated with

Potential Significant Impacts from Groundwater Extraction and Management on Water Quality - TDS, Nitrate, and Sulfate

these activities.

The groundwater below the Project site contains constituents from past and present agricultural activities in the area as well as naturally-occurring constituents, including total dissolved solids (TDS), nitrate, and sulfate. Groundwater extraction and discharge may affect water quality with respect to TDS, nitrate, and sulfate if: 1) extracted groundwater contains higher concentrations of TDS, nitrate, and sulfate than the groundwater in the area of discharge, or 2) discharge results in movement of groundwater containing concentrations of TDS, nitrate, and sulfate above water quality standards into areas where water quality standards are not currently exceeded. Although changes in water quality with respect to TDS, nitrate, and sulfate may occur, the impacts will be limited by the mitigation measures discussed below, and will not result in water quality standards being exceeded or increasing more than 25 percent above current concentrations; therefore, there will not be a significant impact (i.e. the loss of an existing or potential beneficial use).

Potential Significant Impacts from Groundwater Extraction and Management on Water Quality- Cr(VI)

The proposed Project is designed to be compatible with Water Quality Control Plan for the Lahontan Region (Basin Plan). Specifically, the Project will be consistent with Resolution 68-16, "Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California." The Project will comply with the Basin Plan objectives by: (1) minimizing the potential for unexpected migration of the Cr(VI) groundwater plume and (2) treating groundwater to reduce Cr(VI) concentrations in the aquifer. Groundwater extraction and management activities used to achieve these objectives may result in localized changes in plume geometry, such as minor and temporary lateral migration in the area(s) of groundwater injection. The plume will be controlled by the groundwater remedial extraction system(s), and mitigation measures will implemented to ensure there is not a significant impact (i.e. the loss of an existing or potential beneficial use).

Potential Significant Impacts from Use of Reductants on Water Quality- Secondary Byproducts

Biological and chemical reductants will be injected into the subsurface as a part of in situ remedies and potentially as a part of groundwater extraction and management activities. Prior to project implementation, a pilot study will be conducted for compounds not having a prior discharge history at the site or at a site with similar conditions.

The injection of biological reductants and some chemical reductants (such as sodium dithionite) into the aquifer may result in the temporary mobilization of metals (arsenic, manganese, and iron) above baseline concentrations as naturally occurring minerals are reduced. This mobilization is temporary, and any mobilized metals are expected to precipitate once the substrates have been depleted and/or the metals are exposed to background aerobic groundwater conditions before reaching the plume boundary of 4 micrograms per liter for Cr(VI). Therefore, the Project will not cause an increase in groundwater concentrations of arsenic, manganese or iron above baseline concentrations outside the Project site and will not result in a loss of existing or potential beneficial use.

Mitigation Measures:

Mitigation Measures for Groundwater Extraction and Management-TDS, Nitrate, and Sulfate

Projects covered under the General Permit will not result in water quality standards being exceeded or increasing more than 25 percent above current concentrations for TDS, nitrate, or sulfate. Where these water quality standards are already exceeded, unrelated to PG&E activities, the project will not cause concentrations to increase.

For groundwater extraction and management activities, trigger levels will be proposed in the NOI for monitoring wells. The locations will be proposed such that changes in water quality conditions can be monitored and mitigation measures can be instituted before there is an impact (i.e., water quality standards are exceeded).

Mitigation measures may include, but are not limited to:

- Scaling back groundwater extraction and discharge.
- Halting groundwater extraction and discharge.
- Groundwater treatment.

Mitigation Measures for Groundwater Extraction and Management- Cr(VI)

Projects covered under the General Permit will not cause changes in plume geometry such that the plume boundary, as defined by the 4 µg/L iso-concentration line for Cr(VI), or the plume core, as defined by the 50 µg/L iso-concentration line for Cr(VI), migrate laterally into areas where down-gradient hydraulic control features (pumping) implemented by PG&E do not capture the area of migration. Projects covered under the general site-wide permit will not cause the plume core to migrate to property not owned by PG&E.

Trigger levels will be established at key monitoring wells to provide an early detection system for lateral spreading of the Cr(VI) that may result from groundwater pumping and/or discharge activities. The monitoring wells will be in locations such that water quality changes can be detected and mitigation measures can be instituted before there is an impact.

Mitigation measures may include, but are not limited to:

- Scaling back groundwater extraction and discharge.
- Halting groundwater extraction and discharge.
- Modifying downgradient pumping to more effectively capture the area(s) where changes in plume geometry occur.

Mitigation Measures for Use of Reductants - Secondary Byproducts

Projects covered under the General Permit will not result in secondary byproducts exceeding water quality standards outside the in situ treatment zone. The impacts must be limited to PG&E owned property and be temporary in nature.

Mitigation measures will be implemented when secondary byproduct concentrations exceed trigger levels at specified monitoring locations. The NOI will propose the trigger levels (generally, baseline concentrations or MCLs, which ever is higher) and monitoring well locations at which exceedence of trigger levels will cause implementation of mitigation measures. The monitoring well locations will be placed on PG&E owned property such that mitigation measures can be implemented well before secondary byproducts could migrate to property where existing beneficial uses could be affected.

Mitigation measures may include, but are not limited to:

- Scaling back reductant injections.
- Operation of a groundwater extraction system up gradient of the water supply wells to provide capture of secondary byproducts.
- Groundwater oxygenation (such as recirculation of aerated water or air sparging).

b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which	' □		
permits have been granted)?	_	_	

Significance: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.

Groundwater extraction will affect water levels in the area surrounding the extraction. Extraction will be designed such that existing private wells do not experience a decrease in water level that results in a loss of yield for existing or potential beneficial uses.

Hydraulic Influence on the Groundwater Basin

The use of groundwater resources at the Project site is subject to the 1996 stipulated agreement for groundwater use in the Mojave Basin. Groundwater extraction rates for the extraction remedies will be either maintained within the allocated groundwater rights or additional water rights will be obtained. Given rising groundwater levels since the adjudication and the fact that the proposed Project will extract groundwater within allocated limits, Project implementation would not deplete groundwater supplies in the Project vicinity.

Localized Effects

The proposed Project accommodates groundwater extraction throughout the Project Area. A review of the water level data throughout the Project Area suggests that a majority of domestic wells in the vicinity are drilled into bedrock, with the depth to the bottom of the well screens range from 60 to 195 feet below ground surface (bgs). Well screen lengths range from 20 feet to 128 feet bgs. The water level in this area is approximately 80 feet bgs. Given the available data, it is assumed that groundwater extraction throughout the Project Area will not negatively affect the beneficial use for the domestic wells. To ensure beneficial use of the domestic wells are maintained, the water levels will be monitored and mitigation measures will be implemented if adverse conditions should occur.

Mitigation Measures:

The following actions will be implemented as necessary during operation of the extraction system(s) to ensure the domestic wells in the vicinity of the extraction maintain beneficial use:

- Prior to implementation, PG&E will evaluate the potential effects of the extraction through groundwater modeling and will develop maps showing the extent of drawdown.
- Monitoring well locations and trigger levels for implementing drawdown mitigation measures
 will be established in the NOI based upon the hydraulic modeling. The trigger levels will be
 established at monitoring points located between the PG&E extraction area(s) and the
 private wells so as to provide an effective monitoring so mitigation can be taken before
 impacts occur.
- Once extraction has begun, aquifer water level monitoring will be conducted to evaluate the
 effects of pumping on off-site domestic wells and to re-calibrate the groundwater model, as
 necessary.
- Adjustment of the pumping (increase or decrease) will be made to maintain beneficial use for nearby domestic wells while attempting to maintain plume capture if trigger levels are exceeded at specified monitoring locations.

		_	
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?		ο.	
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?			
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			\boxtimes
Significance: No Impact			

Project implementation (in situ treatment or groundwater extraction and management) will create minor impervious surfaces for treatment system equipment pads, wellhead protection pads, etc. Project implementation would not result in an alteration of drainage patterns such that erosion, siltation, or flooding will result on or off the Project site. Mitigation Measures: None Required. f) Otherwise substantially degrade water \boxtimes quality? Significance: No Impact. Water quality degradation beyond what was discussed in Section VIII. a and b above are not expected as a result of Project activities. Mitigation Measures: None Required. g) Place housing within a 100-year flood \boxtimes hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map? h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard \boxtimes area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows? i) Expose people or structures to a \boxtimes significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam? j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or \boxtimes mudflow? Significance: No Impact. The nearest surface water to the site is an unnamed ephemeral stream located about 4,000 feet northeast of the northern plume boundary. In addition, the Mojave River is located less than one mile south of the Project's southern boundary. The site is not located within a 100-year floodplain and would not be subject to flood-related hazards, nor would it expose people or structures to risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding. The site is not subject to risk from seiche, tsunami, or mudflows. Mitigation Measures: None Required.

IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING				
Would the project:	<u>, </u>			
a) Physically divide an established community?		·		
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				
No new development of housing or infrascommunity would occur with Project implemented on land owned or residential or other types of land uses. As set forth in the San Bernardino Count Project site and surrounding areas are R with an Agricultural Preserve Overlay), R Living) and RL-10-AP (Rural Living – 10 would not conflict with any future land us General Plan and Development Ordinan County General Plan or zoning ordinance The Project Area falls within the boundar Number 45. However, the Project is not following criteria: (1) the Project boundar conservation areas, and (2) there are no sensitive habitats covered by the plan. Mitigation Measures:	lementation. The leased by PG&E leas	the land use 5-acre mining, 10 acre mining compliance with implementation with the plan's de the pla	eatment technomot affect existing designations for mum), AG -AP(note in the existing that the San Bernomoto of the Project (March 2006), It was Plan based esignated habit	ologies or the Agricultural Rural Project G County Pardino Pect. Map on the at
None Required.	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
X. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be o value to the region and the residents of the state?	ıf			

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				
Significance: No Impact.			, .	
The Project site is not located within a delineal included on the County of San Bernardino Mir located on land that was previously or is currefallow. No loss of, or interference with, mineral implementation. Mitigation Measures: None Required.	neral Resource ntly used for a	e Zone Overlay). agriculture. Muc	. The Projec h of the land	t site is is
XI. NOISE				
Would the project result in:		·	•	
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				
Significance: Less than Significant Impact with The revised project may expose persons to eduring the installation of wells. The vibrations equipment. Mitigation Measures: The following mitigation measures will be imp	xcessive grou are associate	ndborne vibratio ed to drill rig ope revent excessive	rations and s	
 Well installation and construction will hours. No more than two drill rigs will be pre The project is located approximately location of the remediation site and the these potential conditions from affect. Personnel and workers will adhere to protection. The site manager will note in the site reported. He/she will document correct. 	be conducted sent on site do 700 feet of the destance to ing a substant the Health and log book if cor	during normal of uring the same to enearest resider the nearest resider in all number of ped Safety Manual mplaints of excess	ime. nce. The rura dences will p eople. I for wearing ssive vibratio	al prevent ear

c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?							· 🖂
Significance: Less than Significant			-				
The County of San Bernardino's General are a community noise equivalent level sound level [L _{eq} (h)] of 55 dBA between p.m. and 7:00 a.m.	of 60 d	ecibels	(dBA)	and an	equiva	lent stea	dy-state
Audible noise levels during Project oper proposed treatment systems (i.e. noise extraction pumps, etc.). The noise general distance to the nearest receptor (over 7 expected to decrease to levels well below systems will be provided from the local of Hinkley Compressor Station. Diesel general management bulk reagent deliveries will be will not significantly increase the ambientation.	genera erated i 00 feet ow the c utility, S enerato to dista e condu	ted by a by treati). Over County : Southeri rs may i ances to ucted du	above- ment s such thresh a Calit be req o the r	ground systems a distan olds. F ornia Ec uired in nearest	treatm will be nce, noi Power t dison, o termitte sensitiv	ent facilitical selection attenuate selected sel	ies, ed by the can be atment PG&E vever, the ors.
Mitigation Measures:							
When diesel generators and above-gro	und tre	atment	faciliti	es are o	peratic	nal, a no	ise
monitoring plan will be implemented to	verify c	omplian	ce wit	h the C	ounty's	noise sta	andards.
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the prject?						. 🗆	
Significance: Less than Significant Imp	nact wit	h Mitiae	tion Ir	ncornora	ated		
Chapter 9 of the San Bernardino Count temporary construction, repair, or demo p.m. except Sundays and Federal Holio equivalent level requirements.	ty Noise olition a day fror	e Ordina ctivities n meeti	nce e betwe ng the	exempts een the County	noise hours d 's com	of 7:00 a.i munity no	m. and 7:00 pise
Project construction activities (drill rig, to temporarily increase noise levels at the to the duration of construction and consum business hours. Table 2 shows approximate both at the Project site, as well as at a consum of the project site.	Projec structio imate n	t site; h n activit oise lev	oweve ies wii els fro	er, consi Il be limi	truction ited to i	noise wi normal da	II be limited sytime
·							

 Table 2

 Construction Equipment Noise Levels

Equipment Type	Noise Level At 50 Feet (dB)	Noise Level at 500 Feet (dB)
Earthmoving		
Front Loaders	79	54
Backhoes	85	60
	80	55
Tractors	80	55
Scrapers	88	63
Graders	85	60
Truck	91	66
Pavers	89	64
Material Handli	ng	
Concrete	85	60
Mixers		
Concrete	82	57
Pumps		
Cranes	83	58
Stationary		
Pumps	76	51
Generators	78	53
Compressors	81	56
Impact		
Pile Drivers	101	76
Jack Hammers	88	63
Pneumatic	86	61
Tools		
Other		
Saws	78	53
Vibrators	76	51

The nearest sensitive receptor is over 700 feet away from the proposed Project site. Project operating conditions will result not result in significant levels of noise emanating from vehicles and extraction/injection well pumps.

The exact locations of any extraction wells, injection wells, or treatment facilities will be selected with consideration for the proximity to the residences. Due to the distance between the nearest sensitive receptor and the Project site, construction and operation noise will not be significant.

Mitigation Measures:

The project will be conducted in accordance with the County of San Bernardino's General Plan Noise Element standard for residential development. In addition, the following mitigation measures will be implemented by project personnel to ensure that noise from the revised project will be as minimal as possible:

 Work will only be conducted during of Vehicle traffic will be scheduled so as at any one time. If noise complaints are received, the deciblemeter at the project limits. All the noise level is found to exceed the appropriate action to reduce noise or 	s to prevent site manage measureme e County ord	excessive vehic er will measure tl nts will be docur linance, the site	ne noise leve mented in the manager will	I using a site log. If
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the Project Area to excessive noise levels?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the Project Area to excessive noise levels?	· 🗆			
The Project site is not located within an airp airport. There are no private airstrips in the implementation. Mitigation Measures: None Required. XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?		·		\boxtimes
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
Significance: No Impact.				
Project implementation does not involve the development or infrastructure that could support a Project implementation would not dis	pport additio	nal population g	rowth in the I	ercial Project

Mitigation Measures:				
			,	
None Required.				
XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES				
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated				
with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for				
new or physically altered governmental				
facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts,				
in order to maintain acceptable service			•	
ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the			•	
public services:				
Fire protection?				
Police protection?				
Schools?				
Parks?			: [\boxtimes
•				
Other public facilities?				\boxtimes
Other public facilities? Significance: No Impact. Project construction and operation activities				accidents
Significance: No Impact. Project construction and operation activities involving fire and spills/releases of hazardo. These may require local emergency fire serimplementation would not require the exparaffect current response times. Project operations could potentially involve result from the Project. The project include permits issued by the appropriate regulator parks, or other public facilities is anticipated.	us materials a vice personn asion of exista full time oper s an emerger y agency. Th	and it is possilel and equipming emergency attors, but no pacy plan as dispersed in the property of the proper	ble for injuries to nent. However, y services and copulation grove scussed above npact to police,	accidents o occur. Project would not wth would and schools,
Significance: No Impact. Project construction and operation activities involving fire and spills/releases of hazardo. These may require local emergency fire serimplementation would not require the exparaffect current response times. Project operations could potentially involve result from the Project. The project include permits issued by the appropriate regulator	us materials a vice personn asion of exista full time oper s an emerger y agency. Th	and it is possilel and equipming emergency attors, but no pacy plan as dispersed in the property of the proper	ble for injuries to nent. However, y services and copulation grove scussed above npact to police,	accidents o occur. Project would not wth would and schools,
Significance: No Impact. Project construction and operation activities involving fire and spills/releases of hazardo. These may require local emergency fire serimplementation would not require the exparaffect current response times. Project operations could potentially involve result from the Project. The project include permits issued by the appropriate regulator parks, or other public facilities is anticipated.	us materials a vice personn asion of exista full time oper s an emerger y agency. Th	and it is possilel and equipming emergency attors, but no pacy plan as dispersed in the property of the proper	ble for injuries to nent. However, y services and copulation grove scussed above npact to police,	accidents o occur. Project would not wth would and schools,
Significance: No Impact. Project construction and operation activities involving fire and spills/releases of hazardo. These may require local emergency fire serimplementation would not require the exparaffect current response times. Project operations could potentially involve result from the Project. The project include permits issued by the appropriate regulator parks, or other public facilities is anticipated altered government facilities. Mitigation Measures: None Required.	us materials a vice personn asion of exista full time oper s an emerger y agency. Th	and it is possilel and equipming emergency attors, but no pacy plan as dispersed in the property of the proper	ble for injuries to nent. However, y services and copulation grove scussed above npact to police,	accidents o occur. Project would not wth would and schools,
Project construction and operation activities involving fire and spills/releases of hazardo. These may require local emergency fire serimplementation would not require the exparaffect current response times. Project operations could potentially involve result from the Project. The project include permits issued by the appropriate regulator parks, or other public facilities is anticipated altered government facilities. Mitigation Measures: None Required. XIV. RECREATION	us materials a vice personn asion of exista full time oper s an emerger y agency. Th	and it is possilel and equipming emergency attors, but no pacy plan as dispersed in the property of the proper	ble for injuries to nent. However, y services and copulation grove scussed above npact to police,	accidents o occur. Project would not wth would and schools,
Significance: No Impact. Project construction and operation activities involving fire and spills/releases of hazardo. These may require local emergency fire serimplementation would not require the exparaffect current response times. Project operations could potentially involve result from the Project. The project include permits issued by the appropriate regulator parks, or other public facilities is anticipated altered government facilities. Mitigation Measures: None Required.	us materials a vice personn asion of exista full time oper s an emerger y agency. Th	and it is possilel and equipming emergency attors, but no pacy plan as dispersed in the property of the proper	ble for injuries to nent. However, y services and copulation grove scussed above npact to police,	accidents o occur. Project would not wth would and schools,
Project construction and operation activities involving fire and spills/releases of hazardo. These may require local emergency fire serimplementation would not require the exparaffect current response times. Project operations could potentially involve result from the Project. The project include permits issued by the appropriate regulator parks, or other public facilities is anticipated altered government facilities. Mitigation Measures: None Required. XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be	us materials a vice personn asion of exista full time oper s an emerger y agency. Th	and it is possilel and equipming emergency attors, but no pacy plan as dispersed in the property of the proper	ble for injuries to nent. However, y services and copulation grove scussed above npact to police,	accidents to occur. Project would not with would and schools, whysically
Project construction and operation activities involving fire and spills/releases of hazardo. These may require local emergency fire serimplementation would not require the exparaffect current response times. Project operations could potentially involve result from the Project. The project include permits issued by the appropriate regulator parks, or other public facilities is anticipated altered government facilities. Mitigation Measures: None Required. XIV. RECREATION a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be	us materials a vice personn asion of exista full time oper s an emerger y agency. Th	and it is possilel and equipming emergency attors, but no pacy plan as dispersed in the property of the proper	ble for injuries to nent. However, y services and copulation grove scussed above npact to police,	accidents to occur. Project would not with would and schools, whysically

b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
Significance: No Impact.	· · · · · ·	_	_	
The Project will not result in an increased of with existing recreational uses. No direct of implementation of the proposed Project; the use or demand for recreational facilities. The proposed Project does not include the	or indirect po erefore, Pro	opulation growth b bject implementat	will occur with ion will not inci	
recreational facilities.	,	,,		
Balaine Aire Barrer				
Mitigation Measures:				
None Required.				
XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC				· ·
Would the project:				
a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				
Significance: Less than Significant with M	Aitigation Inc	corporated.		
The effects of traffic and transportation as operations and maintenance will not be signeriods of construction, however the durat weeks to months). During times of construction way will be identified for parking, loading,	gnificant. A tion of these uction, desi	n increased level e construction eve gnated areas loca	of traffic will re ents will be limi	esult during [.] ited (ie:
During routine operations, infrequent bulk be required; however, there will be no imp existing roadways or block traffic. If neede will be located outside the right of way of e	act to existi ed, a design	ng traffic patterns ated truck loading	trucks will not	t stop on
Mitigation Measures:				
During project construction, measures will at the site, including: • Work will only be conducted during			and transporta	tion issues
Vehicle speeds on unpaved roadw vehicle-related dust emissions.	•		s per hour to n	ninimize

Dirt roads will be sprayed with water to minimize dust generation.

 Project personnel will direct traffic to prevent vehicles from lining up on County roads that could impede through traffic during construction, delivery, and drilling activities. 						
b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				. 🖾		
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks?						
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?						
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?						
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?						
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?						
Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigate The transportation of construction materials as a fety practices and applicable laws and regroundative traffic levels. Truck trips associate with existing roadway infrastructure and surroundative traffic levels. Truck trips associate with existing roadway infrastructure and surroundative training the provided from several the Project site will be provided from several and from the site will not affect existing levels of the Project. Project operations will not generated by and from the site will not affect existing levels of the Project. Project operations will not generated by any change to the design of existing roadway. The exception to the decrease in traffic being days) of ethanol, a flammable liquid, and meduring ingress and egress at the site. Other patterns as the tanker trucks will not stop on frequency and volumes will be similar to the	and equipmoulations, and ted with material with material surface streets of service are parking the infrequent of the in	ent will be in acted will not substaintenance operativities. Adequates. Derations from consurrounding demand that esult from Project implementations. Lead the deliveries will be no in adways or block	antially increations will be of the emergency perators composite implementation does not increase traffic (and distinct to exist traffic traff	se compatible compatible access to muting to the vicinity acity. No tion, involve ary 30 to 90 fic hazard ing traffic elivery		
offloading station will be located off the exist The Project site is not located within the nea Project improvements and operations will ha	ing roadway arby vicinity	ys. of an airport of a	airfield; the pr	oposed		

Mitigation Measures: Following project construction, project personnel will ensure that the ethanol delivery truck has immediate access to enter the site so that it does not pose a potential hazard to other vehicles on the road. This mitigation measure will be implemented by project personnel being on site prior to time of expected ethanol deliveries. XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? b) Require or result in the construction of mew water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? c) Require or result in the construction of mew storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities or expansion of existing facilities or expansion of existing facilities or expansion of which could cause significant environmental effects? d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entillements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequale capacity to serve the project that it has adequale capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Significance: No Impact. Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the analysis of the state			 :				
immediate access to enter the site so that it does not pose a potential hazard to other vehicles on the road. This mitigation measure will be implemented by project personnel being on site prior to time of expected ethanol deliveries. XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project a) Exceed wastewater treatment	Mitigation Measures:						
Would the project a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Significance: No Impact. Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the	immediate access to enter the site so that it does not pose a potential hazard to other vehicles on the road. This mitigation measure will be implemented by project personnel being on site						
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Significance: No Impact. Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the	XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS						
requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projects demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Significance: No Impact. Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the	Would the project		·	· 			
new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project sprojected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Significance: No Impact. Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the	requirements of the applicable Regional		,				
new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Significance: No Impact. Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the	new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could						
available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Significance: No Impact. Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the	new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause						
wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Significance: No Impact. Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the	available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements				\boxtimes		
permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Significance: No Impact. Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the	wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to						
statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Significance: No Impact. Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the	permitted capacity to accommodate the						
Treatment and discharge of groundwater will comply with the facility GWDRs issued by the	statutes and regulations related to solid			. , 🗖			
LRWQB. In the event the LRWQCB modifies the GWDRs or issues new GWDRs, PG&E will comply with those requirements.	Treatment and discharge of groundwater wi LRWQB. In the event the LRWQCB modifi						

No potable water or wastewater treatment facilities would be constructed as part of this Project or as a result of the Project, and no existing facility will be used to treat water under this Project. Project implementation would not require additional storm water drainage facilities. Groundwater extraction for Project operations would fall within the allocation granted to the PG&E properties in accordance with the adjudication of groundwater rights in the Mojave Basin in 1996. No demand would be placed on the regional wastewater treatment facilities serving the area. The nominal volume of solid waste generated by the proposed Project will be disposed of in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations. Implementation of the Project would have no significant impacts on the utilities and solid waste disposal. Construction and operation would comply with Federal, State, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste. Mitigation Measures: None Required. XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE a) Does the project have the potential to \boxtimes degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? Significance: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated. The Project is designed to avoid areas providing significant environmental habitat for fish wildlife species and cultural or historical resources. The Project is not expected to threaten fish, wildlife, or plant populations. Procedures are in place to evaluate potential habitat before disturbance and to respond to the discovery of historical or cultural resources. Therefore, the Project will have a less-than-significant impact after mitigation measures have been incorporated. Mitigation Measures: Environmental awareness training for all drilling and construction personnel will be provided to identify sensitive biological resources, using the current PG&E training program. Workers will be required to report to the project biologist the occurrence of any special-status species observed during the drilling and construction operations, who would then implement species protection measures.

When the mitigation measures described are below a level of significance. Therefore, no a anticipated	implemented, dverse cumula	potential impa ative impact to	cts will be red the environn	duced nent is
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the				
incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the		· .		· •
effects of probable future projects).				<u> </u>
Significance: Less than Significant Impact w	ith Mitigation l	ncorporated.		
This General Site-Wide CEQA Initial Study evactivities at the Project site and the cumulative once mitigation measures have been incorpo	e impact is exp			
Mitigation Measures:			,	
Groundwater and air monitoring plans will efformulation of the nuisance air emissions are occurring. Contingulation identified. Noise monitoring will be implement than significant. When the mitigation measureduced below a level of significance. Therefore environment is anticipated.	gency plans wated to ensure res are implem	vill ensure that that cumulative ented, potenti	potential imp e impacts are al impacts wi	e less Il be
c) Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				
Significance: Less than Significant Impact w	vith Mitigation I	Incorporated.		
This General Site-Wide CEQA Initial Study e establishes mitigation measures for all the point implementation of the project per this Initial Simpact on human beings.	otential activitie	es at the Proje	ct site. The	
Mitigation Measures:				
When the mitigation measures described are below a level of significance. Therefore, no a anticipated.				

