Item No. 08b Doc. No. 9

ERRATA SHEET

TIME SCHEDULE ORDER NO. R9-2009-0117 REQUIRING GENERAL DYNAMICS NATIONAL STEEL AND SHIPBUILDING COMPANY (NASSCO) DISCHARGE TO THE SAN DIEGO BAY TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS PRESCRIBED IN ORDER NO. R9-2009-0099 (NPDES PERMIT NO. CA0109134)

The following revisions will be made to tentative Order No. R9-2009-0099. Some changes/corrections below are shown in <u>underline</u>/strikeout format to indicate added and removed language, respectively.

Errata #	SECTION	REVISION				
	Finding 5.	By letter dated July 8, 2009, the Discharger submitted a request for a Time Schedule Order with a proposed compliance schedule for achieving the final effluent				
1.	Page 2	limitations. This compliance schedule has been incorporated into this Time Schedule Order. <u>The Discharger is pursuing</u> <u>several methods of achieving compliance including a</u> <u>treatment system, discharge to sanitary sewer, and improved</u> <u>BMPs.</u> <u>If the Discharger decides to achieve compliance</u> <u>without installing a treatment system, the compliance</u> <u>schedule below is not applicable, but progress reports are</u> <u>required to document that compliance has been achieved.</u> <u>Progress reports shall be submitted semiannually according</u> <u>to the schedule in Table E-6 of Order No. R9-2009-0099 and</u> <u>shall continue until compliance is achieved.</u>				
2.	Directive 1. Page 5 Add the paragraph	If the Discharger pursues a method other than a treatment system to achieve compliance, the compliance schedule above is not applicable, but progress reports are required to document that compliance has been achieved. Progress reports shall be submitted semiannually according to the schedule in Table E-6 of Order No. R9-2009-0099 and shall continue until compliance is achieved.				

Errata #	SECTION	REVISION							
		Table 2. Compliance Schedule							
3.	Compliance	Task			Complia	•			
	Schedule	Implement new flooding							
		and cleaning procedures			September 30, 2009		9		
	Table 2	and collect data to evaluate			(Graving Dock				
		impact on floodwater			Launch)				
	Page 4	Complete survey of							
		potential teo	chnologies ar	nd	February 28, 2010				
		engineering analysis of							
		alternatives.							
		Refine and implement							
		Tiooding and cleaning			Warch 31, 1010 2010		<u>U</u>		
		procedures based on			(Graving Dock				
		data to ovaluato impact on			Launch				
		floodwater							
4.	Finding 2	Table 1. Final Effluent Limitations for Graving Dock Flood							
	0	Dewatering, in part							
	Table 1	Discharge Location	Parameter		Effluent Limitations				
	Page 1			Units	Annual Average	Average Monthly	Maximu m Daily		
		Flood Dewatering (Graving Dock – M-2)	Copper		Average	montiny	III Daily		
			Total	μg/L	4	_	12.8		
			Recoverable						
			Nickel, Total Recoverable	μg/L	-	6.78	13.60		
		¹ Discharges shall achieve an annual average effluent concentration							
		that is no greater than the running annual average of the receiving water							
		concontration.		age of					