



December 14, 2016

VIA EMAIL TO: sandiego@waterboards.ca.gov

Ms. Christina Arias, PE
Water Resource Control Engineer
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Diego Region
2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92108

Subject: Letter of Support for County of San Diego Comments on Tentative
Investigative Order No. R9-2016-0205--Reference 786088: CArias

Dear Ms. Arias:

The City of Vista (City) appreciates the opportunity to comment on Tentative Investigative Order R9-2016-0205 (Tentative Order). In doing so, the City broadly supports the comments and recommendations prepared by the County of San Diego (attached), who is a responsible party in most of the region's watersheds, as well as in the two watersheds in which Vista participates: Carlsbad and San Luis Rey.

Of particular concern to the City is delineating in the Tentative Order the clear distinctions between Track 1 and Track 2 that are identified in the Trash Amendments, i.e., compliance methodology, timelines, as well as reporting and monitoring requirements. Given the immediate and ongoing resources that will be required to implement either track, it is important to clearly define and thereby distinguish them so that agencies can make reasonable compliance decisions and commitments. Related to track selection, the City also supports the County's recommendation to allow agencies to change their initial track selection—with proper justification. Like the County, the City may be inclined to choose Track 1 because of the seeming compliance clarity of this regulatory pathway. However, the feasibility of this choice will not be fully known by the compliance selection deadline in early 2017.

Again, the City appreciates the opportunity to comment on Investigative Order No. R9-2016-0205 to ensure consistency with the Trash Amendments.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Filar
Storm Water Program Manager

Attachment



County of San Diego

RICHARD E. CROMPTON
DIRECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
5510 OVERLAND AVE, SUITE 410
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92123-1237
(858) 694-2212 FAX: (858) 694-3597
Web Site: www.sdcountry.ca.gov/dpw/

December 14, 2016

Christina Arias, PE
Water Resource Control Engineer
San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board
2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92108-2700

Electronic submission: sandiego@waterboards.ca.gov

Dear Ms. Arias:

**COMMENTS ON TENTATIVE INVESTIGATIVE ORDER - NO. R9-2016-0205
REFERENCE 786088: CARIAS**

The County of San Diego (County) appreciates the opportunity to comment on Tentative Investigative Order R9-2016-0205, An Order Directing the Owners and Operators of Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) draining the Watersheds within the San Diego Region to submit Technical and Monitoring Reports Pertaining to the Control of Trash in Discharges from Phase I MS4s to Ocean Waters, Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries in the San Diego Region (Tentative Order). The County acknowledges that the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board released the Tentative Investigative Order to meet the requirements of the Statewide Trash Amendments to the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (ISWEBE Plan) and the Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California (Ocean Plan) (referred to hereafter as "Trash Amendments"). With this in mind, the County respectfully submits the following comments to reflect our concerns with the Tentative Order as drafted and to propose improvements to the revised Order.

The County has identified eight key areas of concern within the Tentative Order as described in the detailed comments below. For each area of concern, a recommendation is included. Related detailed suggestions for modifications to the Tentative Order are included in "redline/strikeout" form in Attachment A.

Issue #1 – Clear Definition of Track 1 and Track 2 Requirements and Consistency with Trash Amendments

(Findings 7, 8, 9.a, 9.b, 11, 14; Directives A.2.e, A.3.f)

The Trash Amendments provide jurisdictions with two tracks for compliance. The tracks differ in terms of compliance methodology, timelines, and reporting and monitoring requirements. Selecting which track to follow is one of the first decisions the County and other jurisdictions will face, and this choice will guide future implementation efforts. Moreover, because the Tentative Order will be issued prior to incorporation of the Trash Amendments into the Regional MS4 Permit, it will be the regulatory document that most directly defines the minimum requirements for complying with a Track 1 or Track 2 approach. It is therefore essential that the Tentative Order's findings and directives clearly define the requirements for Track 1 and 2 and the differences between them.

In addition, the County requests revisions to the Tentative Order to ensure that its language is consistent with language from the Trash Amendments. Statewide consistency is a stated goal of the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) in developing the Trash Amendments. There are several portions of the Tentative Order, such as Findings 7 and 9, where Amendment language has been incompletely incorporated. These omissions reduce needed flexibility that will help ensure effective and efficient trash reduction over the long-term.

Recommendations (with specific language suggestions provided in Attachment A):

1. **Finding 7. Under a Track 2 approach, implementation actions are not limited to the priority land use areas. Add language from the Trash Amendments.**
2. **Finding 8 presents the definition for Full Capture System Equivalency. However, the definition omits some of the language from the Trash Amendments that provides flexibility to the MS4 Permittees. Add the omitted language from the Trash Amendments to the Tentative Investigative Order.**
3. **Finding 9.a should clarify that the priority land uses only apply under a Track 1 approach.**
4. **Finding 9.b should include all language from the Trash Amendments.**
5. **Finding 11 needs to provide more clarity regarding the reporting requirements under Track 1 vs. Track 2. Add language from the Trash Amendments.**
6. **Finding 14 should include clarifying language to specify which requirements apply to Track 1, Track 2, or both.**
7. **Directive A.2.e incorrectly links Priority Land Uses and Equivalent Alternative Land Uses with a Track 2 approach. Suggest deletion of A.2.e.**
8. **Directive A.2.f imposes a schedule based on the "shortest practicable time", which is not consistent with the schedule requirements within the Trash Amendments. Recommend deletion of "based on the shortest practicable time" to maintain consistency. Footnote 3 should also be revised for consistency with the Trash Amendments.**

Issue #2 – Incorporation of Compliance Time Schedule in Implementing Permit

(Finding 10)

The inclusion of an enforceable compliance schedule is not an appropriate subject to be addressed in an Investigative Order according to the statutory terms and conditions of Water Code Sections 13267 and 13383 combined. It is imperative that any compliance schedule be adopted directly into the MS4 Permit to ensure proper legal protection for permittees while they implement the plans and practices to meet the timeframes contained within the Trash Amendments.

***Recommendation:** Revise language from the Compliance Time Schedule finding (Finding 10) to state the Regional MS4 Permit reissued after June 27, 2018 will be the first implementing permit and will contain a compliance time schedule consistent with the requirements of the Trash Amendments.*

Issue #3 – Incorporation into the Water Quality Improvement Plan

(Finding 13, Directive A.2)

The Trash Amendments were developed to focus on trash originating from the combinations of land uses and landscape features which are unique to every jurisdiction. By offering the track choices, the State Water Board has shown its desire to develop a tool that is functional for the particular characteristics of each jurisdiction founded on the premise that different kinds of land uses “produce” trash at different rates and each jurisdiction has different combinations and locations of those land uses. For this reason, the Amendments do not fit well into a watershed-based regulatory context, as they are designed for use on a jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction basis. For example, under Track 2, a jurisdiction’s Full Capture System Equivalency value is developed based on its own combination of Priority Land Uses and is a value specific only to that jurisdiction.

The County is a Copermitee in eight watersheds within the San Diego region, and will develop compliance approaches based on its own jurisdictional responsibilities, which reflect the characteristics of the unincorporated portions of San Diego County at large, not based on watershed boundaries. For this reason, the County feels that Finding 13 of the Tentative Order should provide flexibility for jurisdictions by including the option of incorporating Amendment compliance language into the Water Quality Improvement Plans or the Jurisdictional Runoff Management Plan (JRMP) or a combination of the Water Quality Improvement Plans and JRMP. Jurisdictions would then have the choice of determining which method best meets their situation. As discussed with Regional Board staff during a meeting on December 1, 2016, it is possible that over time, trash could be raised to the highest priority water quality condition in a particular watershed. If this happens, then a goal based on watershed or sub-watershed scale implementation may be appropriate.

***Recommendation:** Delete Finding 13 and Revise Finding 12 to allow the flexibility for agencies to include their approach for compliance with the Trash Amendments, whether Track 1 or Track 2, within the Water Quality Improvement Plans or their respective JRMPs or in a combination of the Water Quality Improvement Plans and JRMPs. The options should also be supported with revisions to the language in Directive A.2.*

Issue #4 – Compliance through Implementation of a Track 1 or Track 2 Approach and Approval of Track 2 Implementation Plan

(Finding 7)

The County requests a modification to the Tentative Order to clarify that the timely and complete implementation of an approved Track 1 or 2 compliance approach will meet the narrative water quality objective and constitute compliance with the trash discharge prohibitions. Revisions to Finding 7 of the Tentative Order should be made to reflect these needed clarifications. In addition, in order to better understand the process through which the required implementation plans under Track 2 will be approved by the Regional Water Board, language outlining the milestones and timing for approval involved should be added to Finding 7.

Recommendation: *Include language in Finding 7 describing the Regional Board's approval process for Implementation Plans developed under a Track 2 approach. Add language indicating that timely and complete implementation under a Track 1 or Track 2 approach will meet the narrative water quality objective (Finding 5) and constitute compliance with the trash discharge prohibitions (Finding 6).*

Issue #5 – Clarification of a Jurisdiction's Ability to Change Compliance Tracks with Supporting Justification

(Finding 7)

Jurisdictions should be provided with the ability to change their initial determination of which compliance track to pursue. Implementation of the Trash Amendments will surely involve many lessons learned and efficiencies to be gained along the way. The State Water Board has clearly expressed its expectation "that the MS4 permittee will elect to install full capture systems where such installation is not cost-prohibitive". The County may be inclined to pursue Track 1 because of the simplicity of the approach and the compliance certainty it provides. However, with an MS4 that includes nearly 4,000 storm drain inlets within high priority land use areas; there may be some limited number of locations where installation of full capture systems is either not possible or cost-prohibitive. We will not know whether this is the case by the time we are required to submit our choice of compliance track, thus potentially forcing us to select Track 2. Allowing jurisdictions to change tracks during the implementation period, with sufficient supporting justification, is reasonable and would provide jurisdictions with much needed flexibility to implement this 10-year program. It will also likely encourage more jurisdictions to take a full capture approach, which appears to be the intent of the State Water Board.

Recommendation: *Add language to Finding 7 stating MS4 permittees may change tracks, provided they submit sufficient supporting justification. In addition, this language should be added to the first implementing permit (Regional MS4 Permit reissued after June 27, 2018).*

Issue #6 – Transient Encampments in the San Diego River Watershed

(Finding 9.d, Directive A.4)

The County supports CASQA's December 14, 2016 comment letter on the Tentative Order, which refers to the State Water Board's Responses to Comments on transient encampments during consideration of the Trash Amendments. Clearly, the intent of the Trash Amendments was not to address transient encampments.

The County has two key concerns with the methods proposed to address transient encampments within the San Diego River Watershed. First, transient encampments are by their nature a non-point source of trash and should be regulated as such. Therefore, they should not be regulated within an MS4 Permit which is a point source permit. As noted in their Response to Comments for the Trash Amendments, the State Water Board intended for the Trash Amendments to apply to NPDES Permits issued pursuant to Federal Clean Water Act Section 402(p) (see response 10.6), with other sources addressed through Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) or waivers of WDRs (see response 34.2). As has been found in other regions (e.g., Ventura River Estuary), only addressing MS4 sources of trash, when the problem stems from transient encampments, has little effect on the overall levels of trash. The transient encampments simply pick up and move, at least temporarily, to another part of the watershed. Further, Copermitees often do not have effective "regulatory control" over properties where transient encampments are common, i.e., private, state, and federal properties. The request for the Permittees to "address trash runoff from the relevant areas of land affected by transient encampments" via the MS4 Permit is inappropriate as it is the wrong mechanism for controlling this type of discharge. In order to effectively address the issue, participation from all land owners and key responsible parties, particularly those beyond the control of the MS4 permit, will be needed. Further, it will be necessary to involve other agencies to holistically address the transient problems within the watershed (e.g., social services, law enforcement) to ensure that the issue is not simply transferred from one portion of the region to another.

Second, the requirement to address trash from transient encampments for an entire watershed under the Trash Amendments limits the ability of the permittee to be in compliance with Track 1 or Track 2. To implement a Track 1 approach, consistent with the intent of the Amendments, full capture devices would only treat MS4 discharges from priority land use areas, not other non-priority land uses or receiving waters where many transient encampments occur. To implement a Track 2 approach, "transient encampments" would have to be identified as a "land use" and a "full capture equivalency" would need to be demonstrated. Such an approach is cumbersome, certainly not the intent of the Amendments, and may be counterproductive to actually solving the problem.

Recommendations: *Finding 9.d and Directive A.4 should be removed. The San Diego Board should maintain consistency with the State Water Board and other Regional Boards in addressing trash generated from transient encampments as non-point in nature. In order to effectively address this particular source, the Regional Board could issue a separate Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirement to all land owners/responsible parties where trash from transient encampments has been determined to be a problem. However, if the San Diego Board does not remove Finding 9.d and Directive A.4, then consider the revision proposed in redline/strikeout that requests that the MS4s coordinate with entities under their jurisdiction to address trash from transient encampments.*

Issue #7 – Coordination with Caltrans

(Directive A.3)

The County requests a modification to the Tentative Order to be consistent with the Trash Amendments and with the MS4 Permit with respect to coordination with Caltrans. The Amendments and the MS4 Permit already require coordination with Caltrans, as applicable, but neither requires a submittal to the Regional Board describing these efforts. In general, the County and Copermitees have established a good working relationship with Caltrans through the Water Quality Improvement Plans. As this coordination continues, it will include implementation of the requirements under the Trash Amendments as appropriate for Caltrans and for the MS4 Permittees to be compliant. Coordination should not necessitate a new reporting requirement for the Copermitees.

Recommendation: Require coordination with Caltrans, as applicable, to effectively implement the requirements of the Amendments, but remove the requirement to describe this coordination in a separate submittal to the Regional Board.

Issue #8 - Clarification of the Monitoring and Reporting requirements of the 13267 Order

(Finding 11, New Directive)

Finding 11 does not provide adequate information related to the monitoring and reporting requirements specific to the Track 1 and Track 2 compliance options as detailed in the Trash Amendments. By not providing the specific requirements for the Track 1 and Track 2 compliance options, the Tentative Order leaves the monitoring and reporting requirements ambiguous and could cause unnecessary monitoring and/or reporting by the MS4 Permittees. Furthermore, including the monitoring requirements as a finding rather than a directive is also problematic. Including the monitoring and reporting requirements as a directive would clearly indicate what the MS4 Permittees are responsible for.

Recommendation: Revise Finding 11 language and add a new Directive A.3 to describe the specific monitoring and reporting requirements applicable to each track.

Thank you for your time and consideration of these comments offered in an effort to improve the Tentative Order and ensure consistency with the Trash Amendments. If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Jo Ann Weber, Planning Manager, at (858) 495-5317 or e-mail at JoAnn.Weber@sdcounty.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



TODD E. SNYDER, Manager
Watershed Protection Program

Attachment: County of San Diego Recommended Redline-Strikeout of Tentative Order

**CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
SAN DIEGO REGION**

TENTATIVE INVESTIGATIVE ORDER NO. R9-2016-0205

**AN ORDER DIRECTING THE OWNERS AND OPERATORS OF
PHASE I MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS (MS4s)
DRAINING THE WATERSHEDS WITHIN THE SAN DIEGO REGION**

**TO SUBMIT TECHNICAL AND MONITORING REPORTS PERTAINING TO
THE CONTROL OF TRASH IN DISCHARGES FROM PHASE I MS4s
TO OCEAN WATERS, INLAND SURFACE WATERS,
ENCLOSED BAYS, AND ESTUARIES
IN THE SAN DIEGO REGION**

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region (hereinafter San Diego Water Board) finds:

- 1. Legal and Regulatory Authority.** This Order conforms to and implements policies and requirements of the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (division 7 of the Water Code, commencing with Section 13000) including (1) sections 13267 and 13383; (2) applicable state and federal regulations; (3) all applicable provisions of statewide Water Quality Control Plans adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) and the *Water Quality Control Plans for the San Diego Basin* (Basin Plan) adopted by the San Diego Water Board including beneficial uses, water quality objectives, and implementation plans; (4) State Water Board policies and regulations, including Resolution No. 68-16 (Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California); and (5) relevant standards, criteria, and advisories adopted by other state and federal agencies.
- 2. Trash Amendments.** On April 7, 2015, the State Water Board adopted Resolution No. 2015-0019, amending the *Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California* (Ocean Plan) and the *Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California* (ISWEBE Plan) to address the impacts of trash to the surface waters of California (referred to hereafter as the Trash Amendments). The effective date of the Trash Amendments is December 2, 2015.
- 3. Trash Amendments Implementation.** The Trash Amendments establish a statewide narrative water quality objective and implementation requirements to control trash, including a prohibition against the discharge of trash to ocean waters, inland surface waters, enclosed bays, and estuaries in California. Within eighteen (18) months of the effective date (i.e. by June 2, 2017), for each MS4 that has been issued a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit by the San Diego Water Board with regulatory authority over priority land uses in the San Diego Region, the San Diego Water Board is required to modify, re-issue, or adopt an applicable MS4 permit, or issue an order pursuant to Water Code section 13267 or 13383 to implement the Trash Amendments.

4. Persons Responsible for the Discharges of Trash. The owners and operators of Phase I MS4s are responsible for discharges of waste, including trash, from land uses and locations within their jurisdictions through their MS4s to ocean waters, inland surface waters, enclosed bays, and estuaries in the San Diego Region. In the San Diego Region, owners and operators of Phase I MS4s (herein referred to as MS4 permittees) include the following entities:

- County of Orange
 - City of Aliso Viejo
 - City of Dana Point
 - City of Laguna Beach
 - City of Laguna Hills
 - City of Laguna Niguel
 - City of Laguna Woods
- County of Riverside
 - City of Menifee²
 - City of Murrieta
 - City of Temecula
 - City of Wildomar
- County of San Diego
 - City of Carlsbad
 - City of Chula Vista
 - City of Coronado
 - City of Del Mar
 - City of El Cajon
 - City of Encinitas
 - City of Escondido
 - City of Imperial Beach
 - City of La Mesa
 - City of Lemon Grove
- City of Lake Forest¹
- City of Mission Viejo
- City of Ranch Santa Margarita
- City of San Clemente
- City of San Juan Capistrano
- Orange County Flood Control District
- Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
- City of National City
- City of Oceanside
- City of Poway
- City of San Diego
- City of San Marcos
- City of Santee
- City of Solana Beach
- City of Vista
- San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
- San Diego Unified Port District

5. Water Quality Standards. The Trash Amendments established the following statewide narrative water quality objectives for trash in ocean waters, inland surface waters, enclosed bays, and estuaries in California.

¹ On February 10, 2015, the San Diego Water Board and the Santa Ana Water Board entered into an agreement, pursuant to Water Code section 13228, regarding MS4 discharges within the City of Lake Forest geographically located in the San Diego Region. According to the agreement, the City of Lake Forest must participate in preparation and implementation of the Water Quality Improvement Plan for the Aliso Creek Watershed Management Area. The requirements of the Trash Amendments will be incorporated into the Regional MS4 Permit during reissuance which may require an update to the Water Quality Improvement Plan.

² On October 26, 2015, the San Diego Water Board and the Santa Ana Water Board entered into an agreement, pursuant to Water Code section 13228, regarding MS4 discharges within the City of Menifee geographically located in the San Diego Region. According to the agreement, the City of Menifee must participate in preparation and implementation of the Water Quality Improvement Plan for the Santa Margarita River Watershed Management Area. The requirements of the Trash Amendments will be incorporated into the Regional MS4 Permit during reissuance which may require an update to the Water Quality Improvement Plan.

- a. The Trash Amendments established the following narrative water quality objective for trash in Chapter II.C.5 of the Ocean Plan:

“Trash shall not be present in ocean waters, along shorelines or adjacent areas in amounts that adversely affect beneficial uses or cause nuisance.”

- b. The Trash Amendments established the following narrative water quality objective or trash in Chapter III.A of the ISWEBE Plan:

“Trash shall not be present in inland surface waters, enclosed bays, estuaries, and along shorelines or adjacent areas in amounts that adversely affect beneficial uses or cause nuisance.”

Meeting these narrative water quality objectives for trash will be protective and supportive of numerous beneficial uses for the ocean waters, inland surface waters, enclosed bays, and estuaries in the San Diego Region, including but not limited to, wildlife habitat (WILD), marine habitat (MAR), preservation of rare and endangered species (RARE), fish migration (MIGR), navigation (NAV), and water contact and non-contact recreation (REC1 and REC2).

- 6. Trash Discharge Prohibition.** The Trash Amendments established the following discharge prohibition in Chapter III.I.6 of the Ocean Plan and Chapter IV.A.2 of the ISWEBE Plan:

“The discharge of trash to surface waters of the State or the deposition of trash where it may be discharged into surface waters of the State is prohibited.”

- 7. MS4 Permit Implementation of the Trash Amendments.** The Trash Amendments are required to be implemented through the incorporation of the trash narrative water quality objectives and discharge prohibition into NPDES MS4 permits. The NPDES MS4 permit then will require the MS4 permittees to comply with the trash narrative water quality objectives and discharge prohibition through the implementation of one of two measures to be selected by the MS4 permittees.

To comply with the trash narrative water quality objectives and discharge prohibition, the MS4 permittees are required to implement either of the following measures:

Track 1: Install, operate, and maintain full capture systems for all storm drains that capture runoff from the priority land uses in their jurisdictions; or

Track 2: Install, operate, and maintain any combination of full capture systems, multi-benefit projects, other treatment controls, and/or institutional controls within either the jurisdiction of the MS4 permittee or within the jurisdiction of the MS4 permittee and contiguous MS4 permittees. The MS4 permittee may determine the locations or land uses within its jurisdiction to implement any combination of controls. The MS4 permittee shall demonstrate that such combination achieves full capture system equivalency. The MS4 permittee may determine which controls to implement to achieve compliance with full capture system equivalency. It is, however, the State Water Board’s expectation that the MS4 permittee will elect to

install full capture systems where such installation is not cost-prohibitive.

Within three (3) months of the effective date of the first implementing permit, or the receipt of an order issued by the San Diego Water Board pursuant to Water Code section 13267 or 13383, each MS4 permittee is required to provide written notice to the San Diego Water Board stating whether the MS4 permittee elects to comply with the trash discharge prohibition by implementing Track 1 or Track 2. MS4 permittees that elect to implement Track 2 are also required to submit an implementation plan to the San Diego Water Board within eighteen (18) months of the effective date of the first implementing permit, or the receipt of the order issued pursuant to Water Code section 13267 or 13383. The implementation plan is required to describe: (i) the combination of controls selected by the MS4 permittee and the rationale for the selection, (ii) how the combination of controls is designed to achieve full capture system equivalency, and (iii) how full capture equivalency will be demonstrated. The implementation plan is subject to approval by the San Diego Water Board. Track 2 Implementation Plans will be deemed approved by the San Diego Water Board ninety (90) days after submission unless otherwise directed in writing by the San Diego Water Board Executive Officer. MS4 permittees may elect to change tracks through their adaptive management process during the 10-year implementation period, provided they submit sufficient, supporting justification to the San Diego Water Board. MS4 permittees fully complying with Track 1 or Track 2 are deemed to be in compliance with the trash discharge prohibition and narrative water quality objectives incorporated into the MS4 permit.

8. Full Capture System Equivalency. The Trash Amendments define full capture system equivalency as follows:

“Full capture system equivalency is the trash load that would be reduced if full capture systems were installed, operated, and maintained for all storm drains that capture runoff from the relevant areas of land (priority land uses, significant trash generating areas, facilities or sites regulated by NPDES permits for discharges of storm water associated with industrial activity, or specific land uses or areas that generate substantial amounts of trash, as applicable). The full capture system equivalency is a trash load reduction target that the permittee quantifies by using an approach, and technically acceptable and defensible assumptions and methods for applying the approach, subject to the approval of permitting authority. Examples of such approaches include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (1) *Trash Capture Rate Approach. Directly measure or otherwise determine the amount of trash captured by full capture systems for representative samples of all similar types of land uses, facilities, or areas within the relevant areas of land over time to identify specific trash capture rates. Apply each specific trash capture rate across all similar types of land uses, facilities, or areas to determine full capture system equivalency. Trash capture rates may be determined either through a pilot study or literature review. Full capture systems selected to evaluate trash capture rates may cover entire types of land uses, facilities, or areas, or a representative subset of types of land uses, facilities, or areas. With this approach, full capture system equivalency is the sum of the products of each type of land use, facility, or area multiplied by trash capture rates for that type of land use, facility, or area.*

(2) *Reference Approach. Determine the amount of trash in a reference receiving water in a reference watershed where full capture systems have been installed for all storm drains that capture runoff from all relevant areas of land. The reference watershed must be comprised of similar types and extent of sources of trash and land uses (including priority land uses and all other land uses), facilities, or areas as the permittee's watershed. With this approach, full capture system equivalency would be demonstrated when the amount of trash in the receiving water is equivalent to the amount of trash in the reference receiving water.*"

9. Land Uses and Locations Requiring Trash Controls. The Trash Amendments define land uses and locations that are to be controlled for trash discharges by MS4 permittees using the Track 1 compliance option:

- a. **Priority Land Uses:** Those developed sites, facilities, or land uses (i.e. not simply zoned land uses) within a MS4 permittee's jurisdiction from which discharges of trash are regulated by the Ocean Plan or ISWEBE Plan as follows:
- High-density residential: all land uses with at least ten (10) developed dwelling units/acre.
 - Industrial: land uses where the primary activities on the developed parcels involve product manufacture, storage, or distribution (e.g., manufacturing businesses, warehouses, equipment storage lots, junkyards, wholesale businesses, distribution centers, or building material sales yards).
 - Commercial: land uses where the primary activities on the developed parcels involve the sale or transfer of goods or services to consumers (e.g., business or professional buildings, shops, restaurants, theaters, vehicle repair shops, etc.).
 - Mixed urban: land uses where high-density residential, industrial, and/or commercial land uses predominate collectively (i.e., are intermixed).
 - Public transportation stations: facilities or sites where public transit agencies' vehicles load or unload passengers or goods (e.g., bus stations and stops).
- b. **Equivalent Alternative Land Uses:** An MS4 permittee with regulatory authority over priority land uses may issue a request to the San Diego Water Board that the MS4 permittee be allowed to substitute a land use identified above with an alternate land use within the MS4 permittee's jurisdiction that generates rates of trash that is equivalent to or greater than the priority land use being substituted. The land use area requested to substitute for a priority land use need not be an acre-for-acre substitution but may involve one or more priority land uses, or a fraction of a priority land use, or both, provided the total trash generated in the equivalent alternative land use is equivalent or greater than the total trash generated from the priority land uses for which substitution is requested. Comparative trash generation rates shall be established through the reporting of quantification measures such as street sweeping and catch basin cleanup records; mapping; visual trash presence surveys, such as the "Keeping America Beautiful Visible

Litter Survey"; or other information as required by the San Diego Water Board.

- c. *Coordination with California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)*. The Trash Amendments (Ocean Plan Chapter III.L.2.b and ISWEBE Plan Chapter IV.A.3.b) require that Caltrans and MS4 permittees coordinate their efforts to install, operate, and maintain full capture systems, multi-benefit projects, other treatment controls, and/or institutional controls in significant trash generating areas and/or priority land uses.
- d. *Specific Land Uses or Locations Determined by the San Diego Water Board*: The Trash Amendments (Ocean Plan Chapter III.L.2.d and ISWEBE Plan Chapter IV.A.3.d) provide the San Diego Water Board with the authority to determine that specific land uses or locations generate substantial amounts of trash in addition to the priority land uses defined above. In the event the San Diego Water Board makes that determination, the San Diego Water Board may require the MS4 permittees to comply with the requirements of the Trash Amendments with respect to such land uses or locations.

[Note: The County of San Diego requests the removal of this paragraph, but if Regional Board must keep, then recommended edits are shown] The San Diego Water Board has evaluated the San Diego River Park Foundation's 2013, 2014, and 2015 State of the River reports, and information received in regard to Item 5 on the May 14, 2014 Board meeting agenda pertaining to trash generated by transient encampments in the San Diego River watershed and related water quality issues. Based on this information the San Diego Water Board has determined that transient encampments in the San Diego River watershed are generating substantial trash in amounts that adversely affect beneficial uses or cause nuisance in the San Diego River. ~~This Order requires MS4 permittees in the San Diego River Watershed Management Area to develop plans to address trash runoff from the relevant areas of land affected by transient encampments through Track 1 or Track 2 controls as stipulated in the Trash Amendments (Ocean Plan Chapter III.L.2.d and ISWEBE Plan Chapter IV.A.3.d)~~ This Order requires MS4 permittees in the San Diego River watershed to coordinate with other entities within the watershed, as appropriate, to address trash associated with transient encampments from areas under their jurisdiction. Because this may involve entities not subject to the MS4 Permit, the coordination may be implemented through another regulatory mechanism, such as a Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements, or cooperative agreements which would be separate from the NPDES permit for the MS4 permittees.

10. Compliance Time Schedule. ~~The Trash Amendments require the implementing permit to state that full compliance with the trash discharge prohibition shall occur within ten (10) years of the effective date of the first implementing permit. In addition, the implementing permit must require the MS4 permittees to demonstrate achievements of interim milestones. In no case may the final compliance date be later than fifteen (15) years from the effective date of the Trash Amendments (i.e. December 2, 2030).~~ The current Regional MS4 Permit (Order R9-2013-0001, as amended by Orders R9-2015-0001 and R9-2015-0100) will expire on June 27, 2018. The Regional MS4 Permit reissued after June 27, 2018 will be the first implementing permit and will contain a compliance time schedule consistent with the requirements of the Trash Amendments.

~~Full compliance with the Trash Amendments will be within 10 years of the effective date of the re-issued Regional MS4 Permit.~~

11. Monitoring and Reporting. The Trash Amendments require the implementing permit to include monitoring and reporting requirements. The MS4 permittees will be required to provide reports to the San Diego Water Board on an annual basis to monitor progress toward achieving full compliance with the trash discharge prohibition. ~~The monitoring and reporting requirements are dependent on the measures elected to be implemented by a MS4 permittee.~~

12. Regional MS4 Permit and Incorporation into Copermitttee Planning Documents. On May 8, 2013, the San Diego Water Board adopted Order No. R9-2013-0001, NPDES No. CAS0109266, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit and Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges from the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) Draining the Watersheds within the San Diego Region (Regional MS4 Permit). The Regional MS4 Permit initially only incorporated the owners and operators of Phase I MS4s in San Diego County (San Diego County MS4 permittees). The Regional MS4 Permit was subsequently amended in 2015 to incorporate the owners and operators of the Phase I MS4s in south Orange County (Orange County MS4 permittees) and in southwest Riverside County (Riverside County Copermitttees). The San Diego Water Board intends to incorporate the requirements of the Trash Amendments into the Regional MS4 Permit after it expires (June 27, 2018). The renewed Regional MS4 Permit will be the first implementing permit of the Trash Amendments for the MS4 permittees.

~~The Regional MS4 Permit requires the MS4 Copermitttees to develop and implement Water Quality Improvement Plans for ten (10) Watershed Management Areas (WMAs), designated in Table B-1 of the Permit. Each jurisdiction is also required to develop and implement a Jurisdictional Runoff Management Plan (JRMP) that describes how specific strategies in the Water Quality Improvement Plans are implemented as well as how other agency specific permit requirements are met. While the JRMPs are not explicitly part of the Water Quality Improvement Plan, reporting related to JRMP programs is accomplished through the Water Quality Improvement Plan Annual Reporting Process.~~

~~Compliance with the Trash Amendments is based on implementation of specific measures to control trash within a jurisdiction. There may be synergy to be gained through implementation of watershed scale efforts to mitigate trash impacts also. The implementation measures, interim milestones, and compliance schedules for Track 1 or Track 2 of the Trash Amendments shall be incorporated into the Water Quality Improvement Plans for the watershed, into the jurisdictional specific JRMPs, or a combination of the two, to be implemented by the MS4 permittees as part of the adaptive management process.~~

~~Through the issuance of this Order pursuant to Water Code section 13267, the San Diego Water Board intends the MS4 permittees to incorporate the requirements of the Trash Amendments into the Water Quality Improvement Plans, into the Jurisdictional Runoff Management Plans, or a combination of the two, after renewal of the Regional MS4 Permit. Reporting on implementation of measures to comply with the Trash Amendments will be provided through JRMP Annual Report forms, which are submitted as part of the WQIP Annual Reports.~~

13. Water Quality Improvement Plans. The Regional MS4 Permit requires the MS4 permittees to develop and implement Water Quality Improvement Plans for ten (10) Watershed Management Areas, designated in the Regional MS4 Permit as shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1. San Diego Region Watershed Management Areas

Hydrologic Unit(s)	Watershed Management Area	Major Surface Water Bodies	Responsible MS4 permittees
San Juan (901.00)	South Orange County	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Aliso Creek - San Juan Creek - San Mateo Creek - Pacific Ocean - Heisler Park ASBS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Aliso Viejo - City of Dana Point - City of Laguna Beach - City of Laguna Hills¹ - City of Laguna Niguel - City of Laguna Woods¹ - City of Lake Forest² - City of Mission Viejo - City of Rancho Santa Margarita - City of San Clemente - City of San Juan Capistrano - County of Orange - Orange County Flood Control District - City of Menifee³ - City of Murrieta⁴ - City of Temecula - City of Wildomar⁴ - County of Riverside - County of San Diego - Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
Santa Margarita (902.00)	Santa Margarita River	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Murrieta Creek - Temecula Creek - Santa Margarita River - Santa Margarita Lagoon - Pacific Ocean 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Oceanside - City of Vista - County of San Diego
San Luis Rey (903.00)	San Luis Rey River	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - San Luis Rey River - San Luis Rey Estuary - Pacific Ocean 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Carlsbad - City of Encinitas - City of Escondido - City of Oceanside - City of San Marcos - City of Solana Beach - City of Vista - County of San Diego
Carlsbad (904.00)	Carlsbad	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Loma Alta Slough - Buena Vista Lagoon - Agua Hedionda Lagoon - Batiquitos Lagoon - San Elijo Lagoon - Pacific Ocean 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Del Mar - City of Escondido - City of Poway - City of San Diego - City of Solana Beach - County of San Diego
San Dieguito (905.00)	San Dieguito River	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - San Dieguito River - San Dieguito Lagoon - Pacific Ocean 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Del Mar - City of Poway - City of San Diego - City of Solana Beach - County of San Diego
Penasquitos (906.00)	Penasquitos	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Los Penasquitos Lagoon - Pacific Ocean 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Del Mar - City of Poway - City of San Diego - County of San Diego

Table 1. San Diego Region Watershed Management Areas

Hydrologic Unit(s)	Watershed Management Area	Major Surface Water Bodies	Responsible MS4 permittees
--------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

	Mission Bay	-Mission Bay -Pacific Ocean -San Diego Marine Life Refuge ASBS	-City of San Diego
San Diego (907.00)	San Diego River	-San Diego River -Pacific Ocean	-City of El Cajon -City of La Mesa -City of San Diego -City of Santee -County of San Diego
Pueblo San Diego (908.00) Sweetwater (909.00) Otay (910.00)	San Diego Bay	-Sweetwater River -Otay River -San Diego Bay -Pacific Ocean	-City of Chula Vista -City of Coronado -City of Imperial Beach -City of La Mesa -City of Lemon Grove -City of National City -City of San Diego -County of San Diego -San Diego County Regional Airport Authority -San Diego Unified Port District
Tijuana (911.00)	Tijuana River	-Tijuana River -Tijuana Estuary -Pacific Ocean	-City of Imperial Beach -City of San Diego -County of San Diego

Notes:

1. By agreement dated February 10, 2016, pursuant to Water Code section 13228, the Phase I MS4 discharges within the jurisdiction of the City of Laguna Hills and the City of Laguna Woods located in the Santa Ana Region are regulated by San Diego Water Board Order No. R9-2013-0001 as amended by Order No. R9-2015-0001, upon the later effective date of Order No. R9-2015-0001 or Santa Ana Water Board Tentative Order No. R8-2015-0001. The City of Laguna Hills and Laguna Woods must also comply with the requirements of the San Diego Creek/Newport Bay TMDL in section XVIII of Santa Ana Water Board Order No. R8-2015-0001.
2. By agreement dated February 10, 2016, pursuant to Water Code section 13228, Phase I MS4 discharges within the City of Lake Forest located within the San Diego Water Board Region are regulated by the Santa Ana Water Board Order No. R8-2015-0001 (NPDES No. CAS618030) upon the later effective date of this Order or Santa Ana Water Board Tentative Order No. R8-2015-0001. In accordance with the terms of the agreement between the San Diego Water Board and the Santa Ana Water Board, the City of Lake Forest must implement the requirements of the Bacteria TMDL in Attachment E of this Order, participate in preparation and implementation of the Water Quality Improvement Plan for the Aliso Creek Watershed Management Area as described in Provision B of this Order and continue implementation of its over-irrigation discharge prohibition in its City Ordinance, Title 16, Chapter 15, section 14.030, List (b).
3. By agreement dated October 26, 2016, pursuant to Water Code section 13228, Phase I MS4 discharges within the City of Menifee located within the San Diego Water Board Region are regulated by the Santa Ana Water Board Order No. R8-2010-0033 as it may be amended or reissued (NPDES No. CAS618033) upon the later effective date of this Order. In accordance with the terms of the agreement between the San Diego Water Board and the Santa Ana Water Board, the City of Menifee must participate in preparation and implementation of the Water Quality Improvement Plan for the Santa Margarita River Watershed Management Area as described in Provision B of this Order.
4. By agreement dated October 26, 2016, pursuant to Water Code section 13228, the Phase I MS4 discharges within the jurisdiction of the City of Murrieta and the City of Wildomar located in the Santa Ana Region are regulated by San Diego Water Board Order No. R9-2013-0001 as amended by Order No. R9-2015-0001 and R9-2015-0100. The City of Murrieta and City of Wildomar must also comply with the requirements of the Lake Eleanor/Canyon Lake Nutrient TMDLs in section VI.D.2 of Santa Ana Water Board Order No. R8-2010-0033, or corresponding section as it may be amended or reissued.

The Water Quality Improvement Plans include the following: (a) identification of priority water quality conditions that need to be addressed to improve the water quality in each Watershed Management Area; (2) numeric goals for the highest priority water quality conditions to be achieved that will demonstrate discharges from the MS4s are not causing or contributing to exceedances of applicable water quality objectives, or water quality objectives are being attained in receiving waters; (3) a description of the water quality improvement strategies that will be and may be implemented to achieve the numeric goals; and (4) schedules for implementing the water quality improvement strategies and achieving the numeric goals.

The Regional MS4 Permit also requires incorporation of implementation plans for applicable Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and Areas of Special Biological Significance (ASBS), which include interim and final water quality-based effluent limitations, compliance strategies, and compliance schedules, into the Water Quality Improvement Plans. The implementation measures, interim milestones, and compliance schedules for Track 1 or Track 2 of the Trash Amendments shall also be incorporated into the Water Quality Improvement Plans to be implemented by the MS4 permittees as part of the adaptive management process.

Through the issuance of this Order pursuant to Water Code section 13267, the San Diego Water Board intends the MS4 permittees to incorporate the requirements of

~~the Trash Amendments into the Water Quality Improvement Plans after renewal of the Regional MS4 Permit.~~

14.13. Basis for Requiring Technical and Monitoring Reports. Water Code section 13267 provides that the San Diego Water Board may require dischargers, past dischargers, or suspected dischargers to furnish those technical or monitoring reports as the San Diego Water Board may specify, provided that the burden, including costs, of these reports, must bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the reports and the benefits to be obtained from the reports. The technical and monitoring reports required under this Investigative Order are needed to provide information to the San Diego Water Board regarding (a) the measures each MS4 permittee is electing to implement (i.e. Track 1 or Track 2) within its jurisdiction to comply with the trash discharge prohibition (Track 1 and Track 2), (b) the plan that will be implemented by each MS4 permittee to comply with the trash discharge prohibition (Track 2 only), (c) the interim milestones that each MS4 permittee will achieve within its jurisdiction (Track 1 and Track 2), (d) the schedules to achieving the interim milestones, and full compliance with the trash discharge prohibition (Track 1 and Track 2), and (e) the monitoring (Track 2 only) and reporting (Track 1 and Track 2) that will be implemented to demonstrate progress toward achieving full compliance with the trash discharge prohibition.

15.14. California Environmental Quality Act. Adoption of this Order is for the protection of the environment and is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.) in accordance with section 15308, Chapter 3, Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR). This action is also exempt from the provisions of CEQA in accordance with section 15061(b)(3) of Chapter 3, Title 14 of the CCR because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to California Water Code section 13267, that the MS4 Permittees must comply with the following directives:

A. TECHNICAL AND MONITORING REPORTS

1. **Written Notices.** Each MS4 permittee must submit to the San Diego Water Board, **no later than three (3) months from the date of this Order [INSERT DATE]**, a written notice stating whether the MS4 permittee will implement Track 1 or Track 2 to comply with the trash discharge prohibition in the Ocean Plan and ISWEBE Plan.
2. **Track 2 Implementation Plans.** Each MS4 permittee electing to comply with Track 2 must submit, **no later than eighteen (18) months from the date of this Order [INSERT DATE]**, an implementation plan, which shall also be incorporated into the applicable Water Quality Improvement Plan or Jurisdictional Runoff Management Plan, or combination of the two, after renewal of the Regional MS4 Permit, for each Watershed Management Area described in Table 1 in Finding 13 above that describes:

- a. The combination of controls³ selected by the MS4 permittee and the rationale for each selection;
 - b. How the combination of controls is designed to achieve full capture system equivalency;
 - c. How full capture system equivalency will be demonstrated;
 - d. How the trash implementation plans will be monitored and assessed ~~in Water Quality Improvement Plan Annual Reports;~~
 - e. ~~Requests by MS4 permittees, if any, for authorization to substitute a Priority Land Use described in Finding 9 above with an Equivalent Alternate Land Use that generates rates of trash equivalent to, or greater than, the Priority Land Use being substituted. The MS4 permittees must provide data or information which establishes that trash generation rates from the Alternate Land Use(s) are greater than the Priority Land Use(s) being substituted;~~
 - f. A compliance time schedule ~~based on the shortest practicable time~~ to achieve full compliance with the trash discharge prohibition, including interim milestones (such as average load reductions of ten percent per year) and a final compliance date. The final compliance date must not be later than fifteen (15) years from the effective date of the Trash Amendments (i.e. December 2, 2030).
3. Monitoring and Reporting. Upon adoption of the implementing MS4 Permit, the MS4 permittees are required to provide reports to the San Diego Water Board on an annual basis to demonstrate progress toward achieving full compliance with the trash discharge prohibition. The monitoring and reporting requirements are dependent on the compliance track selected by a MS4 permittee. Reporting may be performed using the Jurisdictional Urban Runoff Management Plan form, submitted with the Water Quality Improvement Plan Annual Report.
- a. MS4 permittees that elect to comply with the Statewide Trash Amendments via the Track 1 compliance option shall provide a report to the Regional Board demonstrating installation, operation, maintenance, and the Geographic Information System- (GIS-) mapped location and drainage area served by its full capture systems on an annual basis as part of the JRMP reporting form within the Water Quality Improvement Plan Annual Report.
 - b. MS4 permittees that elect to comply with the Statewide Trash Amendments via the Track 2 compliance option shall develop and implement monitoring plans that demonstrate the effectiveness of the full capture systems, multi-benefit projects, other treatment controls, and/or institutional controls, and compliance with full capture system equivalency. Monitoring reports shall be provided on an annual basis as part of the JRMP reporting form within the Water Quality Improvement Plan Annual Report and shall include GIS-mapped locations and drainage area served for each of the full capture systems, multi-benefit projects, other treatment controls, and/or institutional controls installed or utilized by the MS4 permittee.

4. **Coordination with Caltrans.** Each MS4 permittee subject to this Order must submit, ~~no later than eighteen (18) months from the date of this Order [INSERT DATE],~~ a description of how MS4 permittees will coordinate their efforts to install, operate, and maintain full capture systems, multi-benefit projects, and other controls with Caltrans in significant trash generating areas and/or priority land uses, as applicable.

5. **[Note: The County of San Diego requests removal of this paragraph, if Regional Board keeps in then recommended edits presented.]** **Transient Encampments in the San Diego River Watershed.** MS4 permittees discharging to the San Diego River watershed (Cities of San Diego, Santee, El Cajon, La Mesa, and County of San Diego), must submit, ~~no later than eighteen (18) months from the date of this Order [INSERT DATE],~~ a description of how coordinate with other entities in the watershed, as appropriate, to address trash generated from transient encampments in areas under their jurisdiction in the San Diego River Watershed Management Area will be addressed. These efforts may be implemented under another regulatory mechanism, such as a Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements, or non-regulatory cooperative agreements, separate from the NPDES permit for the MS4 permittees.

³ ***Controls include, but are not limited to, full capture systems, multi-benefit projects, other treatment controls, and/or institutional controls ~~treatment controls and institutional controls~~, as defined in the Appendix D to the Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California ~~California Ocean Plan~~ and Appendix E of the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California.***

B. PROVISIONS

1. **Signatory Requirements.** All documents submitted to the San Diego Water Board must be signed and certified.

a. All reports required by this Order must be signed as follows:

- (1) For a corporation, by a principal executive officer of at least the level of vice-president;
- (2) For a partnership or sole proprietorship, by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively;
- (3) For a municipality, state, federal or other public agency, by either a principal executive or ranking elected official.
- (4) By a duly authorized representative of the person designated above (B.6.a.(1), B.6.a.(ii), or B.6.(a)(iii)). A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
 - (a) The authorization is made in writing by a person described in paragraph B.6.a above;
 - (b) The authorization specifies either an individual or position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity; and
 - (c) The written authorization is submitted to the San Diego Water Board.

b. Any person signing a document required by this Order must make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

2. **Submission of Documents.** All documents submitted to the San Diego Water Board in compliance with this Order must be submitted in electronic format (compact disk (CD-ROM or CD) in a Portable Document Format (PDF), unless otherwise directed. All electronic format documents required under this Order must be submitted to:

Executive Officer
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Diego Region
2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92108
Attn: Laurie Walsh, PE, Storm Water Management Unit

3. **Changes to Order.** This Order may be amended, rescinded, or updated by the Executive Officer. The MS4 permittees may propose changes or alternatives to the requirements in this Order if a valid rationale for the changes is shown. The filing of a request by a MS4 permittees for amending, rescinding, or updating this Order, or notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any condition of this Order.

C. NOTIFICATIONS

1. **Enforcement Discretion.** The San Diego Water Board reserves its right to take any enforcement action authorized by law for violations of the terms and conditions of this Order.
2. **Requesting Administrative Review by the State Water Board.** Any aggrieved person may petition the State Water Board regarding this Order in accordance with Water Code section 13320 and the California Code of Regulations title 23 sections 2050 and following. The State Water Board must receive the petition by 5:00 p.m., 30 days following the date of this Order. Copies of the laws and regulations applicable to filing petitions may be found on the State Water Board website at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/petitions/water_quality or will be provided upon request.

For instructions on how to file a petition for review, see the State Water Board website at:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/petitions/water_quality/wqpetition_instr.shtml

Ordered By: _____

David W. Gibson
EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Date

