February 19, 2008

Mr. Peter M. MacLaggan
Senior Vice President
Poseidon Resources Corporation
501 W. Broadway, Suite 840
San Diego, CA 92101

Dear Mr. MacLaggan:


The Regional Board has the following comments from the review of the Plan and CHREP (referenced above):

General Comments:

1. The Plan does not yet integrate all the elements of the statutory requirements of California Water Code (CWC) Section 13142. The proposed project only includes "mitigation", while the statute CWC Section 13142.5(b) also requires that dischargers implement best available technology and mitigation measures. The Plan does not appear to include technology measures for the intake structure to reduce impingement and entrainment (I&E).

2. The Plan provides an evaluation of impacts based upon one year of data, 2004-05 with record rainfall, but does not explicitly evaluate the on-going impacts from Poseidon's operations.
3. The Carlsbad desalination project's (CDP) listing of impacts appears to omit specific impacts to target invertebrates.

4. The proposed mitigation project does not appear to account for all pertinent impacts resulting from impingement of invertebrates, entrainment of invertebrates, discharges of brine, etc.

5. The CHREP did not identify and evaluate the possible mitigation projects located within the same watershed, prior to proposing the out of watershed mitigation in San Dieguito Lagoon. The best mitigation for impacting the lagoon would be to replace lost functions by restoring current upland acreage to the historic wetland condition, or by creating new wetlands where there were none historically.

6. The proposed mitigation ratio of 1.1:1.0 isn't fully supported. The Plan should be revised to include an evaluation of other mitigation options that may be available within the watershed. The proposed mitigation ratio appears inadequate in light of several factors generally considered by the Regional Board:
   a. The proposed mitigation project is located within a different watershed (the San Dieguito Lagoon) instead of the Agua Hedionda Lagoon. A higher ratio may be appropriate for this project because the referenced mitigation project is out-of-kind (i.e., discharger is not actually replacing the lost resources and functions).
   b. It is not clear that the proposed one-time mitigation is adequate to compensate for the long-term ongoing impacts to beneficial uses, resources, and functions present in Agua Hedionda Lagoon.
   c. The mitigation project is for restoration of coastal wetland habitat, rather than the lagoon habitat impacted by the operation of the CDP.

7. Poseidon might benefit from convening a joint meeting with the resources agencies (including California Dept Fish and Game, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Army Corps of Engineers, National Marine Fisheries) to discuss the impacts to beneficial uses, resources, and functions by the proposed project, and on the preferred mitigation project so they can discuss agency concerns/comments.

Specific Comments on the Plan

8. The assessment should address the seasonal and/or daily variations in: impingement impacts.

9. The assessment needs to include results of an impingement study for target invertebrates. Table 3.2 includes only results for fish during 2004-05.
10. The assessment states that: “The total amount of impinged organisms for the individual sampling events is presented in Table 3-2” (p.19). The Plan, however, does not clearly identify individual sampling events. The interpretation of the results is hampered by the absence of a presentation of results for impinged organisms (including invertebrates) with dates, times, and flow rates of sampling events.

11. The assessment states that, “The daily biomass of impinged fish during normal operations is 0.96 kgs/day (1.92 lbs/day) for an intake flow of 304 MGD” (p.19). The text discussion should clarify how this figure is determined and how the total impingement results were adjusted to an intake flow of 304 MGD. Also, there is a conversion discrepancy since 0.96kgs converts to 2.12lbs, not 1.92 lbs as indicated in the Plan.

12. The assessment of impacts from entrainment assessment appears to include larval fish but does not clearly include impacts to fish eggs and invertebrates. It is the understanding of the Regional Board that the 2004-05 study was to include monitoring of (at least) entrained Cancer crab megalops and lobster larvae, but the assessment does not appear to include these data. Also, it is unclear that sampling followed a protocol approved by the Regional Board as stated (p.22).

13. The Plan does not clearly identify the supporting data or an explanation of underlying assumptions and calculations that were used to estimate proportional mortality values for larval fish as presented (p.23) in the Plan. Therefore, the Regional Board could not objectively evaluate the validity of the estimated proportional entrainment mortality (12.2°h) presented in the Plan.

14. Impacts are based upon the few most commonly entrained (most abundant) species. It is unclear how much more severe impacts may be when populations are small.

15. The Regional Board has the following comments regarding the estimated number of lagoon acres impacted, as presented in the plan since:

   a. The estimate of the number of lagoon acres used by the three most commonly entrained species is based on a 2000 Coastal Conservancy Inventory (Table 4-2, p.23). It is unclear if this document is accurate or appropriate for the purpose of determining such an important component of the area of habitat production forgone (APF). The reference document (Attachment 4, Table 2), includes the footnote caveat “…This information is not suitable for any regulatory purpose and should not be the basis for any determination relating to impact assessment or mitigation.” An accurate delineation of lagoon habitats should be used for this critical component of the APF.
b. The estimate of the number of lagoon acres used by the three most commonly entrained species appears to exclude salt marsh and brackish/freshwater acreage (p.23). Excluding these intertidal habitats may result in the analysis underestimating this component of the APF.

c. The calculation of the APF (p.23) appears to use values for mortality and lagoon acreage that are not fully supported.

d. The text should be revised to include a clear explanation of how the estimated lagoon acreage for commonly entrained species was adjusted to include only impacts associated with operations of CDP, rather than impacts from operation of the Encina Power Station.

16. The evaluation concludes that the small fraction of marine organisms lost to entrainment would have "no effect on the species' ability to sustain their population" and goes on to describe the natural rates of high mortality (p. 24). But the argument that that there are "excess" larvae appears to omit an important consideration. Besides contributing to marine food webs, the naturally high production of larvae serves as a buffer against catastrophic and cumulative impacts to populations. These are important 'ecological services' that must not be taken lightly or given away without adequate mitigation.

17. The Regional Board prefers that the evaluation of the impact be presented as a rate (loss of x-amount of organisms per year, or impact/year). The proposed mitigation is a fixed amount ($3 to $4 million). It seems unlikely that a fixed amount would adequately compensate for a loss that is a rate over multiple, future years. It appears more likely that a proposed fixed amount really only accounts for mitigation for just one year of operation. The Regional Board may find a fixed amount to be acceptable, provided that:

a. The average annual impact could be reasonably determined and reasonably translated into a dollar amount, and that amount (or correct share) is paid every year of operation – but that is not what is proposed in the Plan or the CHREP.

b. A fixed amount might also be reasonable if the CDP mitigates its share by increasing lagoon acreage via restoration or creation. Such in-kind mitigation would (if functional) replace the productivity lost to the operation of the CDP, and the impact would be fully mitigated.

The heading portion of this letter includes a Regional Board code number noted after "In reply refer to:" In order to assist us in the processing of your correspondence please
Mr. Peter M. MacLaggan  
Poseidon Resources Corporation  
Revised Flow, Entrainment, and Impingement Plan  

include this code number in the heading or subject line portion of all correspondence and reports to the Regional Board pertaining to this matter.

if you have any questions regarding the above, please contact Mr. Eric Becker at (858) 492-1785, or at Ebecker@waterboards.ca.gov

Respectfully,

JOHN H. ROBERTUS  
Executive Officer

cc:

State Water Resources Control Board  
Division of Water Quality  
P.O. Box 944213  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2130  
Attn: James Maughan

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Attn: Douglas Eberhardt

Bill Paznokas  
California Department of Fish & Game  
4949 Viewridge Road  
San Diego, CA 92123

Mr. Tom Luster  
California Coastal Commission  
Energy and Ocean Resources Unit  
45 Fremont, Suite 2000  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2219

Judy Brown  
Public Land Management Specialist  
CA State Lands Commission  
100 Howe Ave., Suite 100-South  
Sacramento, CA 95825-8202

Sharon Taylor  
Division Chief  
United States Fish & Wildlife Services  
6010 Hidden Valley Road  
Carlsbad, CA 92011

cc: (See Enclosed Interested Parties List)
Interested Parties
Order No. R9-2006-0065
NPDES Permit No. CA0109223

Gabriel Solmer
SD Coast Keeper
2924 Emerson Street, Suite 220
San Diego, CA 92106

Mr. Stephen L. Jenkins
Assistant Chief
Division of Environmental Planning and Management
California State Lands Commission
100 Howe Avenue, Suite 100-South
Sacramento, CA 95825-8202

Mr. Mark McCabe
Environmental Health Specialist III
Department of Environmental Health
Hazardous Material Division
P.O. Box 129261
San Diego, CA 92112-9261

Mr. Donald B. Kent
President
Hubbs-Sea World Research Institute
2595 Ingraham Street
San Diego, CA 92109

Carey L. Cooper, Esq.
Klinedinst Attorneys at Law
501 W. Broadway, Suite 600
San Diego, CA 92101

Ms. Valerie L. Chambers
Assistant Regional Administrator for Habitat Conservation
United State Department of Commerce
National Marine Fisheries Service
Southwest Region
501 West Ocean Boulevard, Suite 4200
Long Beach, CA 90802-4213

Mr. David Lloyd
Secretary
Cabrillo Power I LLC
4600 Carlsbad Blvd.
Carlsbad, CA 92008

Mr. Joseph D. Panetta
President and CEO
BIOCOM
4510 Executive Drive, Plaza One
San Diego, CA 92121

Mr. Robert Hawkins
Law Offices of Robert C. Hawkins
110 Newport Center Drive, Suite 200
Newport Beach, CA 92660

Mr. Mark Chomyn, AICP
Land Planning Supervisor
San Diego Gas and Electric
8315 Century Park Court
San Diego, CA 92123-1548

Mr. Benjamin Frater
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
6010 Hidden Valley Road
Carlsbad, CA 92009

Mr. Steven Aceti, J.D.
Executive Director
California Coastal Coalition
1133 Second Street, Suite G
Encinitas, CA 92024

Sarah Abramson
Heal the Bay
1444 9th Street
Santa Monica, CA 90401

Leslie Mintz
Legislative Director
Heal the Bay
3220 Nebraska Avenue
Santa Monica, CA 90404

Mr. Joe Geever
Surfrider Foundation
P. O. Box 1511
Solana Beach, CA 92075
Interested Parties  
Order No. R9-2006-0065  
NPDES Permit No. CA0109223

Southern California Watershed Alliance  
C/O Mr. Conner Everts  
Environment Now  
2515 Wilshire Blvd  
Santa Monica, CA  90403

Mr. James Peugh  
Conservation Chair  
San Diego Audubon Society  
4891 Pacific Highway, Suite #112  
San Diego, CA  92110

Ms. Heather Allen  
Policy Director  
Friends of the Sea Otter  
125 Ocean View Blvd. #204  
Pacific Grove, CA  93950

California Coastal Protection Network  
906 Garden Street  
Santa Barbara, CA  93101

National Marine Fisheries Service  
501 W. Ocean Blvd.  
Long Beach, CA  90802-4213

Mr. David Hogan  
Desert Rivers Coordinator  
Center for Biological Diversity  
P.O. Box 7745  
San Diego, CA  92167

Mr. Bruce Raznik  
Executive Director  
San Diego Baykeeper  
2924 Emerson Street, Suite 220  
San Diego, CA  92106

Ms. Jane DeLay  
Executive Director  
Save Our Shores  
345 Lake Ave Suite A  
Santa Cruz, CA  95062

Mr. Christopher Garrett  
Latham & Watkins  
600 W. Broadway  
San Diego, CA  92101

Mr. Ed Kimura  
Sierra Club, San Diego Chapter  
6995 Camino Amero  
San Diego, CA  92111-7667

Kevin Thomas, CEP  
Environmental Services Manager  
RBF CONSULTING  
40810 County Center Drive, Suite 100  
Temecula, CA  92591-6022

Mr. Don May  
Executive Director  
California Earthcorps  
4927 Minturn Ave.  
Lakewood, CA  90712

Josh Basofin  
Executive Director  
Environment Now  
2515 Wilshire Blvd.  
Santa Monica, CA  90403

Joe Geever  
Surfrider Foundation  
8117 W. Manchester Ave #297  
Playa del Rey, CA  90293

Deborah Sivas  
Stanford Legal Clinics  
559 Nathan Abbott Way  
Stanford, CA  94305-8610