ERRATA SHEET

TENTATIVE ORDER NO. R9-2009-0105

WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, DISTRICT 11 BUCKMAN SPRINGS SAFETY ROADSIDE REST AREA, SAN DIEGO COUNTY

The following changes have been made to Tentative Order No. R9-2009-0105. Some of the corrections below are shown in bold and underline strike through format to indicate added or removed language, respectively:

| Errata | Section | Revision |
|----------|--|--|
| Item No. | | T. () |
| 1. | Finding No. 4 | The following sentence is added to the end of Finding No. 4: |
| | | The discharge from the Rest Area's onsite treatment and disposal system has been regulated in the past under the Regional Board's |
| | | waiver of waste discharge requirements for onsite wastewater treatment systems. |
| 2. | Finding No. 10 | The following text is revised as follows: |
| | | The Discharger, however, will complete a water quality investigation by <u>January 30</u> , <u>April 30</u> , 2011 |
| 3. | Finding No. 11 | The following text is revised as follows: |
| | | A deadline of <u>January 30</u> , <u>April 30</u> , 2011 for submittal of the results of the water quality investigation discussed in Finding 10 |
| 4. | Facility Design and Operation Specification No. 4 | The following text is revised as follows: |
| | | The Discharger shall provide adequate facilities to treat or dispose of wastewater, or use |
| | | alternative methods of disposal such as hauling |
| | | wastewater by a certified waste hauler during and after periods of rainfall when disposal by |
| | | subsurface <u>irrigation</u> <u>disposal</u> cannot be successfully practiced |
| 5. | Facility Design and Operation Specification No. 9: | The following text is revised as follows: |
| | | The Discharger shall submit results of a water |
| | | quality investigation by January 30, April 30, |
| | | 2011 that demonstrates that whether or not the |
| | | discharge of waste from the Rest Area will will or |
| | | will not cause groundwater beneath and |
| | | downgradient of the site to exceed water quality objectives in the Basin Plan. The water quality |
| | | investigation shall also demonstrate that whether |
| | | or not the discharge of waste complies with the |
| | | State Antidegradation Policy. The State |
| | | Antidegradation Policy requires that high quality |

| Errata Item No. | Section | Revision |
|--------------------|--|---|
| | | waters are maintained to the maximum extent possible and that changes in water quality are only allowed if the change is consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State, does not unreasonably affect beneficial uses, and does not result in water quality less than that prescribed in the Basin Plan. |
| | | In the event that the water quality investigation fails to demonstrate finds that the discharge of waste will not cause an exceedance of water quality objectives, or fails to demonstrate finds that the discharge complies will not comply with the State Antidegradation Policy, the Discharger must implement measures submit a Report of Waste Discharge that proposes necessary measures that will be implemented in a timely manner to enable the discharge achieve compliance with the Basin Plan and the State Antidegradation Policy. |
| 6. | Tables 3 and 4 of Tentative Monitoring and Reporting Program No. 2009-0105 | Tables 3 and 4 are revised as follows: Chemical constituents from 1,4-Dichlorobenzene to 2,3,5-TP-Silvex will be replaced with Volatile Organic Compounds (only compounds listed in EPA methods 601 and 602 are to be analyzed). |
| 7. | Table 3 of Tentative Monitoring and Reporting Program No. 2009-0105 | Footnote No.2 for Table 3 is revised as follows: Semiannually means a period of six consecutive calendar months beginning on January 1 or July 1. Monitoring shall be discontinued for all constituents that are not detected or detected below Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) specified in Table 64444-A of Section 64444 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations in the first two consecutive semiannual samples. For constituents that are detected above specified MCLs in one of two samples, the Discharger shall monitor the constituent for a third semiannual period. If the constituent is detected above the MCL during the third semiannual sampling event or detected in both the first and second semiannual sampling events, the Discharger shall conduct and submit the results of a source identification assessment and identify appropriate measures to eliminate the constituent in the discharge. The Discharger shall continue to monitor for the constituent annually until such time that two consecutive samples document that the constituent has been eliminated from the discharge the constituent is detected below the MCL in two consecutive samples. |

| Errata Item No. | Section | Revision |
|--------------------|---|---|
| 8. | Tables 3 and 4 of Tentative Monitoring and Reporting Program No. 2009-0105 | Footnote No. 3 for Tables 3 and 4 will be deleted. |
| 9. | Section C.1 of Tentative Monitoring and Reporting Program No. 2009-0105 | Within 60 120 days of adoption of this Order, the Discharger shall construct monitoring wells for collection of groundwater samples for water quality analysis. |
| 10. | Monitoring Provision A.4 of Tentative Monitoring and Reporting Program No. 2009- 0105 | Monitoring Provision A.4 will be replaced with Monitoring Provisions A.4,5, and 6 as follows to be consistent with proper laboratory quality assurance/quality control procedures: |
| | | Monitoring Provision A.4: |
| | | 4. All analyses shall be performed in a laboratory certified to perform such analyses by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) or a laboratory approved by the Regional Board. |
| | | Monitoring Provision A.4,5,and 6: |
| | | 4. Unless otherwise permitted by the Regional Board, all analyses shall be conducted at a laboratory certified to perform such analyses by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH). The Discharger must use a laboratory capable of producing and providing quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) records for Regional Board review. The director of the laboratory whose name appears on the certification shall supervise all analytical work in his/her laboratory and shall sign all reports submitted to the Regional Board. |
| | | 5. Any report presenting new analytical data is required to include the complete laboratory analytical report(s). The laboratory analytical report must be signed by the laboratory director and contain: |
| | | a. Complete sample analytical report; |
| | | b. Complete laboratory quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) report; |
| | | c. Discussion of the QA/QC data; and |
| | | d. A transmittal letter that shall indicate whether or not all the analytical work was supervised by the director of the laboratory, and contain the following statement, "All analyses were conducted at a laboratory certified for such analyses by the CDPH in accordance with current USEPA procedures." |

| Errata Item No. | Section | Revision |
|--------------------|---------|---|
| | | 6. Specific methods of analysis must be identified in the Discharger's monitoring reports. If the Discharger proposes to use methods or test procedures other than those included in the most current version of 40 CFR 136, "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants; Procedures for Detection and Quantification", the exact methodology must be submitted for review and must be approved by the Regional Board prior to use. |