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This document represents tentative errata to the June 27, 2012, release of Tentative Order No. R9-2012-0015 as of September 5, 2012. The errata represent minor clarifications, reference mistakes, and updates identified by the San Diego Water Board Staff and/or in the response to comments on the public release of Tentative Order.
1. **Order Section II.A, page 4**

   The last sentence in paragraph 1 will be revised as follows:

   The ROWD was deemed complete on **January 13, 2012**.

2. **Order Section IV.A.1.a, page 11**

   The maximum daily limitations for Total Residual Chlorine were incorrectly placed as instantaneous minimum limitations in Table 7. In addition, footnote no. 1 has been revised. All other parameters in Table 7 remain unchanged. Table 7 and footnote 1 have been modified as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>6-Month Median</th>
<th>Average Monthly</th>
<th>Maximum Daily</th>
<th>Instantaneous Minimum</th>
<th>Instantaneous Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Residual Chlorine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,904</td>
<td>4,904</td>
<td>14,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lbs/day¹</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   ¹ Based on the highest observed 30-day reported average monthly flow of 1.1 MGD. Mass-based effluent limitations may be adjusted for an increased flow rate of up to 1.4 MGD in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR 122.45(b)(2)(ii) by the San Diego Water Board upon receipt of the Anticipated Increase Production Notification specified under Attachment E, Section IX.B of this Order.

3. **Attachment E – Monitoring and Reporting Program, page E-9**

   The first sentence of paragraph 5 will be modified as follows:

   5. **Compliance Determination.** Compliance with effluent limitations for reportable pollutants shall be determined using sample reporting protocols defined above and in Section VII and Attachment A of this Order.

4. **Attachment E – Monitoring and Reporting Program, page E-11**

   The monitoring frequency for Total Residual Chlorine has been changed from monthly to weekly. The monitoring frequency and units for Total Suspended Solids have been revised in Table E-2. All other parameters in Table E-2 remain unchanged. Footnote No. 4 has been removed and remaining footnotes will be renumbered accordingly.

   Table E-2 has been modified as follows:
### Parameter | Units | Sample Type | Minimum Sampling Frequency | Required Analytical Test Method |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free Available Chlorine</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lbs/day&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Residual Chlorine</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>Grab</td>
<td>1/Month&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lbs/day&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>24-hr composite</td>
<td>2/Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>24-hour composite</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, Total Recoverable</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>24-hour composite</td>
<td>2/Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lbs/day&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. The minimum sampling frequency for this constituent may be reduced from monthly to quarterly upon six consecutive monitoring results in compliance with the respective effluent limitations. If at any time there is an exceedance of the respective effluent limitation, the minimum sampling frequency shall be reduced to monthly.

5. Attachment E- Monitoring and Reporting Program, page E-3, and Attachment F, Fact Sheet, page F-21

All references to the California Toxics Rule (CTR) in Footnote’s 5 and 6 on page E-3 and Section IV.C.4.e on page F-21 have been removed and replaced with a reference to Appendix A of 40 CFR 423.15, which is more applicable for a discharge from a power plant to the ocean.

6. Attachment E – Monitoring and Reporting Program, page E-7

The following paragraph will be added as IX.B

**B. Anticipated Increase Production Notification**

The Discharger shall notify the San Diego Water Board at least 2 business days prior to a month in which the Discharger expects to operate at an increased energy output production level which will result in cooling tower blowdown flow in excess of 1.1 MGD. The notice shall specify the anticipated increased energy output production level, respective flows (not to exceed 1.4 MGD) and the period during which the Discharger expects to operate at the alternate level. If the notice covers more than one month, the notice shall specify the reasons for the anticipated energy output production level increase. New notice of discharge at alternate levels is required to cover a period or production level not covered by prior notice or, if during two consecutive months otherwise covered by the notice, the production level at the facility does not in fact meet the higher level designated in the notice. The Discharger shall comply with the mass-based effluent limitations based on 1.1 MGD unless the Discharger has notified the San Diego Water Board of the increase in energy output production.

The Discharger shall submit, with the DMR and SMR, the level of energy output production that actually occurred during each month and the mass-based effluent limitations applicable to that level of production.
7. Attachment F, Fact Sheet, page F-11

In compliance with 40 CFR sections 122.45(f) and 40 CFR Part 423.15, mass-based limitations have also been established in the Order for conventional, nonconventional, and toxic pollutants. Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.45(b)(2)(i) specifies mass-based limitations are shall be based upon a reasonable measure of actual production of the facility rather than upon the design production capacity. Section 122.45(b)(2)(ii) specifies the San Diego Water Board may include conditions establishing alternate permit limitations, standards, or prohibitions based upon anticipated increased production levels. Mass-based limitations for low volume waste have been established on the maximum discharge flow rate of 0.32 MGD. Review of historical effluent data for low volume wastes indicates that, between January 2008 and September 2011, flows fluctuated above and below the design flow of 0.32 MGD but generally stayed within the design flow. Mass-based limitations for the cooling tower blowdown have been established based on the highest observed 30-day average flow, between January 2008 and September 2011, of 1.09 MGD. For the purposes of calculating mass-based limitations the highest observed flow was rounded up to 1.1 MGD. In addition, this Order contains alternative mass-based effluent limitations based on a maximum flow of 1.4 MGD to account for anticipated increased energy output production levels at the facility.

8. Attachment F, Fact Sheet, page F-22

Table F-11 has been modified as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Effluent Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6-Month Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Residual Chlorine</td>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lbs/day</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Attachment F, Fact Sheet, page F-33

Section VI.B.1 has been modified as follows:

Effluent monitoring is required to determine compliance with the permit conditions and to identify operational problems. Effluent monitoring requirements include monitoring for priority pollutants (as listed in Appendix A of 40 CFR 423.15 and included in Attachment H of this Order) in addition to monitoring for Ocean Plan Table B Parameters. CTR priority pollutant monitoring for cooling tower blowdown is needed because USEPA effluent limitation guidelines (ELGs) are specific to priority pollutants listed in Attachment A of 40 CFR 423.15 and not Table B Parameters. Because the discharge is to the Pacific Ocean to which the CTR is applicable, and is a discharge to the Pacific Ocean to which the Ocean Plan is applicable, monitoring requirements have been added to be specific for obtain the necessary information to conduct an RPA pursuant to the requirements of the Ocean Plan.