

Comments to Bacteria TMDL Cost-Benefit Analysis TAC

County of San Diego

April 10, 2017

A Successful CBA if ...

- It is viewed by experts, decision makers, and the public as objective and credible.
- It informs development of good regulations.
- It is used by implementing agencies to make smart management decisions.
- It encourages similar studies in other regions and for other regulations.

Objective & Credible?

- Data and Methods: Are all appropriate data sources utilized? Does the study use state-of-the-art practice? Does it follow federal guidance?
- Uncertainties: Is the study transparent to the public?
- Assumptions: Are they reasonable?
- Sensitivity Analysis: Would management decisions change if the study assumptions changed?

*** Need for Context ***

How does the uncertainty and sensitivity of this CBA's findings compare with findings from similar studies?

5 Principles of Good Regulation

- Proportionality: Remedies should be appropriate to the risk posed, and costs identified and minimised.
- Accountability: Regulators must be able to justify decisions, and be subject to public scrutiny.
- Consistency: Government rules and standards must be joined up and implemented fairly.
- Transparency: Regulators should be open, and keep regulations simple and user-friendly.

*** Targeting ***

Regulation should be focused on the problem, and minimise side effects.

Management Decision in the Context of Public Health

2010 TMDL Scenario

- Number of illnesses at TMDL beaches over next 50 years: 1.57 million
- WQIP reduce illnesses by 84,000 (5% reduction)
- Cost to implement over 50 years: \$6 billion.
- \$71K per avoided illness
- Per illness benefit range from study: \$79 to \$2,630.

Stream Restoration + 10% Wetland

- Scenario reduces illnesses by 31,871(2% reduction)
- Cost to implement over 50 years: \$4.3 billion
- \$135K per avoided illness
- Per illness benefit range from study: \$79 to \$2,630

Human Waste (High) Scenario

- Scenario reduces illnesses by 454,000 (29% reduction)
- Cost to implement over 50 years: \$1.7 billion.
- \$3,744 per avoided illness
- Per illness benefit range from study: \$79 to \$2,630.

Concerns

- Human waste scenarios

- Sewer vs. Septic vs. Transient contributions
- Sewer System Leakage Rate, Fate, and Transport
- Septic System Leakage Rate, Fate, and Transport
- # of Homeless Contributing Waste to Receiving Waters
- Cost to House Each Homeless Person (\$14K) Seems Low

- Stormwater scenarios

- Lack of data on BMP pathogen reduction

- Restoration scenarios

- Complementary to GHG reduction goals but ...
- Is it legal?